ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Rice phytochelatin synthases OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 make different contributions to cadmium and arsenic tolerance

Shinichi Yamazaki | Yosuke Ueda | Aya Mukai | Kumiko Ochiai | Toru Matoh

Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Correspondence

Kumiko Ochiai, Laboratory of Plant Nutrition, Division of Applied Life Sciences, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan. Email: pochi@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Funding information Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI, Grant/Award Number: JP23405021

Abstract

Cadmium (Cd) and arsenic (As) pollution in paddy soil and their accumulation in rice (Oryza sativa) pose serious threats to human health. Rice internally detoxifies these toxic metal and metalloid to some extent, resulting in their accumulation within the edible parts. However, the mechanisms of Cd and As detoxification in rice have been poorly elucidated. Plants synthesize thiol-rich metal-chelating peptides, termed phytochelatins (PCs). We characterized rice PC synthase (PCS) and investigated its contribution to Cd and As tolerance in rice. We identified two PCS homolog genes, OsPCS1 and OsPCS2, in the rice genome. The expression of OsPCS1 was upregulated by As(III) stress in the roots but that of OsPCS2 was not significantly affected. The expression level of OsPCS2 was higher than that of OsPCS1 in the shoots and roots. Recombinant OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 proteins differed in their metal activation. OsPCS1 was more strongly activated by As(III) than by Cd; however, OsPCS2 was more strongly activated by Cd than by As(III). Genetically engineered plants having their OsPCS2 expression silenced via RNA interference (OsPCS2 RNAi) contained less PCs and more glutathione (GSH), a substrate of PC synthesis, than wild-type plants, although there was no significant difference in OsPCS1 RNAi plants. OsPCS2 RNAi plants were sensitive to As(III) stress, but Cd tolerance was little affected. On the other hand, treatment with buthionine sulfoximine, an inhibitor of GSH biosynthesis, significantly decreased Cd and As tolerance of rice seedlings. These findings indicate that OsPCS2 is a major isozyme controlling PC synthesis, and that PCs are important for As tolerance in rice. However, PC synthesis may make a smaller contribution to Cd tolerance in rice, and GSH plays crucial roles, not only as a substrate of PC synthesis.

KEYWORDS

arsenic, cadmium, glutathione, OsPCS, phytochelatin, rice

1 | INTRODUCTION

Cadmium (Cd) and arsenic (As) are a heavy metal and metalloid that are toxic to living organisms including humans and plants. The

contamination of paddy fields by Cd and As is an agricultural problem in some areas of South-East and South Asia (Brammer & Ravenscroft, 2009; Sriprachote, Kanyawongha, Ochiai, & Matoh, 2012; Williams et al., 2009), and exposure to Cd and As through the

--- --------------------------------------- This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2018 The Authors. Plant Direct published by American Society of Plant Biologists, Society for Experimental Biology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

consumption of contaminated rice (Oryza sativa), a staple food for Asian populations, could pose a serious health hazard (Clemens, Aarts, Thomine, & Verbruggen, 2013; Meharg et al., 2009). In soils with a low level of pollution, rice plants do not show obvious growth inhibition caused by Cd or As (Abedin, Cotter-Howells, & Meharg, 2002; Ito & Iimura, 1976), although these toxic metal/metalloid accumulate in the shoot and grain. Therefore, it is important to understand the mechanisms of Cd and As detoxification in rice.

When exposed to Cd and As, plants and some microorganisms produce a class of thiol-rich peptides with the general structure of (γ Glu-Cys)_n-Gly, termed phytochelatins (PCs) (Schmöger, Oven, & Grill, 2000; Toppi & Gabbrielli, 1999; Verbruggen, Hermans, & Schat, 2009). Peptides are enzymatically synthesized from glutathione (GSH; γ Glu-Cys-Gly) in a reaction catalyzed by PC synthase (PCS). PCS genes are constitutively expressed in plants, and the PCS enzyme is post-translationally activated by the presence of heavy metals/metalloids such as Cd and As (Cobbett, 2000; Vatamaniuk, Mari, Lu, & Rea, 2000). PCs bind Cd and As(III) in the cytosol, and PC-Cd and PC-As complexes are then sequestered into vacuoles. Complexation and sequestration are crucial for Cd and As tolerance in thale cress (Arabidopsis thaliana). PCS genes were first isolated from Arabidopsis, wheat (Triticum aestivum), and fission yeast (Schizosaccharomyces pombe) (Clemens, Kim, Neumann, & Schroeder, 1999; Ha et al., 1999; Vatamaniuk, Mari, Lu, & Rea, 1999), and the Arabidopsis pcs1 mutant that lacked the ability to synthesize PC was shown to be hypersensitive to Cd and As (Ha et al., 1999; Howden, Goldsbrough, Andersen, & Cobbett, 1995). Two ATP-binding cassettes (ABC) transporters, AtABCC1 and AtABCC2, were identified to mediate the vacuolar transport of PC-As and PC-Cd complexes, and the abcc1 abcc2 double-knockout Arabidopsis mutant was also highly sensitive to Cd and As (Park et al., 2012; Song et al., 2010). The formation of PCs in response to Cd stress is a ubiquitous feature in the plant kingdom as revealed by a survey of a large number of plant species belonging to Bryophyta, Pteridophyta, and Spermatophyta (Gekeler, Grill, Winnacker, & Zenk, 1989). It is now clear that PCS genes are present in all higher plants. In several plant species, there are at least two PCS genes in their genome (Clemens, 2006). Rice also has two PCS genes on chromosomes 5 and 6, termed OsPCS1 and OsPCS2. Concerning OsPCS, it was reported that silencing OsPCS1 by RNA interference (RNAi) using a seed-specific promoter reduced Cd accumulation in rice seeds (Li, Guo, Xu, & Ma, 2007). Recently, Das, Bhattacharya, Bhattacharyya, and Maiti (2017) also reported that seed-specific downregulation of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 decreased Cd and As contents in the grain. These reports indicate that PC synthesis contributes to Cd and As accumulation in rice grain. However, the physiological roles in and contributions of the two OsPCS isozymes to Cd and As tolerance of rice plants have not yet been investigated.

The bioavailability of Cd and As varies between upland crops and paddy rice because the metal and metalloid solubility is dependent on the redox status of the rhizosphere. Under aerobic soil conditions, the solubility of Cd is high in aerobic soil, whereas the majority of As is present in its oxidized, less mobile arsenate As(V) form, so that plants easily take up Cd; however, As uptake is suppressed (Arao, Kawasaki, Baba, Mori, & Matsumoto, 2009). In contrast, under anaerobic conditions such as flooded paddy soil, Cd forms an insoluble sulfide, whereas As(V) is reduced to its highly soluble arsenite As(III) form (Ito & Iimura, 1976; Masscheleyn, Delaune, & PatrickJr, 1991; Yamane, 1989). Thus, paddy rice tends to take up more As than upland plants owing to its soil environment. Furthermore, rice is more efficient than other cereal crops in As(III) uptake and translocation, probably because As(III) is taken up by the rice root through its silicon transport pathway (Ma et al., 2008; Su, McGrath, & Zhao, 2010). Such redox states with opposing effects in the soil and different uptake capacities might affect subcellular responses to Cd and As among plant species. However, Cd and As detoxification mechanisms in rice have been poorly elucidated.

In this study, we characterized the enzymatic and physiological properties of OsPCS and investigated the contribution of PC synthesis to Cd and As tolerance in rice. We found that silencing the OsPCS gene increased the As sensitivity of rice seedlings, whereas it had a small effect on Cd tolerance. Our findings suggest that PC synthesis is important for As tolerance in rice, whereas it seems to make a little contribution to Cd tolerance, which contrasts with the case in upland plants such as Arabidopsis.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plant material and growth conditions

The japonica rice cultivar Nipponbare and transgenic plants of RNAi generated from this cultivar were used in this study. Seeds were soaked for 3 days at 30°C in distilled water supplied with 0.3% (w/ v) fungicide (Trifmine; Nippon Soda Co., Ltd.). Seeds were then sown on plastic meshes floating on the culture solution. Seedlings were hydroponically grown in an artificial climate incubator at 30°C and 80% relative humidity with a 12-hr light period. The control culture solution contained 1 mmol/L $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 0.5 mmol/L KCl, 0.25 mmol/L $KH₂PO₄$, 0.5 mmol/L CaCl₂, and 0.5 mmol/L MgCl₂ for macronutrients and 37.7 mg/L EDTA-FeNa, 28.6 mg/L H_3BO_3 , 1.81 mg/L $MnCl₂·4H₂O$, 0.08 mg/L $CuSO₄·5H₂O$, 0.22 mg/L $ZnSO_4$ ・7H₂O, and 0.1 mg/L (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄・4H₂O for micronutrients. At harvest, roots and basal stems were washed with distilled water for 30 s and gently blotted on paper towels.

2.2 | Growth experiment under Cd or As toxicity

Wild-type (WT) and RNAi plants were hydroponically grown in a culture solution containing 10 μ mol/L CdSO₄ or 20 μ mol/L NaAsO₂ and the control culture solution. Seedlings were harvested, and the shoot and root lengths were measured 10 days after sowing.

For the growth experiment of OsPCS2 RNAi plants under Cd toxicity, the WT and RNAi plants were hydroponically grown for 7 days in the control culture solution. Seven-day-old seedlings of similar sizes were selected and transferred to culture solutions containing 0, 3, 10, or 30 μ mol/L CdSO₄. A plate with 20 holes was set on a 1-L

plastic container, and one plant was put into each hole supported by a piece of polyurethane sponge. Seven days after transfer, plants were harvested and shoot and root lengths were measured.

2.3 | Buthionine sulfoximine treatment

Seven-day-old seedlings of Nipponbare grown in the control culture solution were transferred to glass vials, one seedling per vial, containing 50 ml of the culture solution supplemented or not with toxic metals $[10 \mu \text{mol/L Cd or 10 } \mu \text{mol/L As(III)}]$ either alone or in combination with 0.5 mmol/L buthionine sulfoximine (BSO), an inhibitor of GSH synthesis. The treatment solution was renewed 4 days after transfer. At 7 days after transfer, plants were harvested and shoot and root lengths, as well as fresh weights of whole plants, were determined.

2.4 | Homology search and sequence analysis of PCS genes

Two transcript sequences (OsPCS1#4 and OsPCS2#2) were obtained from a database, and five transcript sequences (OsPCS1#1, #2, and #3 and OsPCS2#1 and #3) were newly identified in this study. The transcript variants were numbered in the order of the open reading frame (ORF) length from the longest to shortest. First, rice PCS gene candidates OsPCS1 [AF439787 (OsPCS1#4)] and OsPCS2 [AK071754 (OsPCS2#2), and AK071958] were obtained by a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search of the Rice Annotation Project database (RAP-DB) using an amino acid sequence of Arabidopsis PCS (AtPCS1) as a query. Subsequently, a BLAST search of the expressed sequence tag (EST) database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information was conducted using the OsPCS1#4 transcript sequence as a query, and eight cDNA clones (CB646798, CK083842, CB646799, CI275800, CI126777, C96924, CI067086, and CI226673) were obtained. We estimated a full-length transcript sequence [LC192427 (OsPCS1#1)] from a comparison between the identified cDNA fragments and a genomic sequence (Figure S1a). In OsPCS2, AK071754 (OsPCS2#2) corresponds to the N-terminal half of AtPCS1, and AK071958, which does not contain an initiation codon, corresponds to the C-terminal half. A full-length transcript sequence [LC192430 (OsPCS2#1)] was estimated from a comparison between the identified transcript sequences and the genomic sequence (Figure S1b). Then, the estimated sequences of OsPCS1#1 and OsPCS2#1 were confirmed by sequencing PCR amplified fragments. Primer sets for the amplification were PCS1.seq2F and PCS1.seqR for the OsPCS1#1 fragment and PCS2.seqF and PCS2.seq1R for the OsPCS2#1 fragment (Table S3). Template cDNA was synthesized as described below from the root total RNA of Nipponbare grown in the control culture solution. PCR amplification was carried out using Blend Taq DNA polymerase (TOYOBO). The amplified products were purified using a Mag Extractor (TOYOBO) and then sequenced (FASMAC).

Other shorter transcripts [LC192428 (OsPCS1#2), LC192429 (OsPCS1#3), and LC192431 (OsPCS2#3)] were found during the steps in cloning OsPCS gene fragments into an expression vector for the recombinant protein analysis. Sequence analyses of inserted DNA fragments generated using a primer set PCS1.proF and PCS1- 2.3.R revealed the presence of LC192428 (OsPCS1#2) and LC192429 (OsPCS1#3) besides OsPCS1#1. Similarly, sequencing inserted fragments generated using a primer set PCS2.proF and PCS2-1.proR revealed the presence of LC192431 (OsPCS2#3), which had a premature stop codon, besides OsPCS2#1. The GT-AG rule applies in all splice sites of these transcripts (Figure S1).

2.5 | Gene expression analysis

Expression levels of the multiple transcripts were examined in 10 day-old rice seedlings hydroponically grown under 10 μ mol/L CdSO₄ or 10 μ mol/L NaAsO₂ stress as well as in control plants grown without toxic metals. Three plants were bulked, and total RNA was extracted from shoots and roots using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Genomic DNA in the extracted sample was digested with recombinant DNase I (TaKaRa Bio). Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized using an oligo (dT) $_{20}$ primer and ReverTra Ace reverse transcriptase (TOYOBO). Gene expression levels were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR performed with a THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO). The geometric mean of the expression levels of ubiquitin and actin was used as the internal standard. For the analysis of multiple transcript expression, expression levels were calibrated by the amplification efficiency of each primer pair.

For the analysis of OsPCS1/2 expression in RNAi plants, plants were hydroponically grown for four weeks in the control culture solution. The culture solution was renewed once a week. Total RNA was extracted from the shoots and roots of individual plants. The sequences of primers used in the experiment are shown in Table S3.

2.6 | Preparation and purification of recombinant proteins

Full-length ORF sequences of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 were amplified by PCR using Prime Star DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Bio) and the primer set, PCS1.proF and PCS1-1.proR or PCS2.proF and PCS2-1.proR (Table S3). The reverse primers contained a recognition sequence for SbfI (GGACGTCC) at the $5'$ end. The amplified fragment was subcloned into the pMAL-c5X vector (New England Biolabs) following the manual's instructions. The recombinant maltose-binding protein (MBP) fusion protein was expressed in the Escherichia coli strain NEB Express (ER2523; New England Biolabs) and purified by amylose resin affinity chromatography. Protein purification was confirmed by SDS-PAGE.

2.7 | PCS activity assay

The assay solution contained the final concentrations of 10 mmol/L GSH, 200 mmol/L HEPES-KOH buffer pH 8.0, and 50 µmol/L metal salt (MnCl₂, NiSO₄, CoCl₂, ZnSO₄, Na₂MoO₄, Na₂HAsO₄, CuSO₄, NaAsO₂, or CdSO₄). The solution was pre-incubated at 35 \degree C for

15 min, and the reaction was started by the addition of purified MBP-OsPCS1 (15 µg/ml) or MBP-OsPCS2 (5 µg/ml). The reaction was carried out at 35°C for 60 min and was stopped by the addition of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at a final concentration of 1% (v/v).

In the assay with different concentrations of Cd or As(III), the assay solution contained 10, 20, 50, 100, or 200 μ mol/L NaAsO₂ or $CdSO₄$ and the reaction was carried out for 20 min. Other conditions were the same as mentioned above.

2.8 | Quantification of thiol peptides

Concentrations of thiol peptides in rice plants were quantified as described by Sneller et al. (2000) with some modification. Shoot and root samples were freeze-dried and pulverized using a ball mill. Thiol peptides were extracted from 10 mg of the powdered sample by adding 250 µl of 0.1% (v/v) TFA containing 6.3 mmol/L diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA). After centrifugation at 4° C, 125 µl of the supernatant was collected and mixed with 225 μ l of 200 mmol/L HEPES buffer pH 8.2 containing 6.3 mmol/L DTPA. To this solution, 10 µl of 50 mmol/L monobromobimane (mBBr) dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide was added, and a derivatization reaction was performed for 1 hr at room temperature in the dark. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 150 μ l of 1 mol/L methanesulfonic acid. The sample solution was kept on ice in the dark until analysis.

For the quantification of PCs synthesized by recombinant proteins, 20 ul of the assay solution after the reaction had been stopped was mixed with 180 μ l of 0.1% TFA containing 6.3 mmol/L DTPA. After centrifugation at 4° C, 125 μ l of the solution was collected and derivatized, as described above.

A 20-ul aliquot of the derivatized sample was injected into an HPLC system (LC-10AS; Shimadzu) equipped with a Hypersil ODS C18 column (5 µm, 12 nm, 4.6 mm \times 250 mm; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The elution was performed at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min with a linear gradient of methanol and water for 60 min. It was monitored using a fluorescence detector (RF-10A $_{XL}$; Shimadzu) with the excitation wavelength set at 380 nm and the emission wavelength at 470 nm. Eluent A [10% (v/v) methanol and water containing 0.05% (v/v) TFA] and eluent B (80% methanol and water containing 0.05% TFA) were used in a linear gradient elution with the following time program: At the time of 0 min, eluent B was 28%; 15 min, 30%; 30 min, 40%; 35 min, 45%; 40 min, 58%; 45 min, 75%; 48 min, 90%; 49 min, 100%; 55 min, 28%; and 60 min, 28%.

Artificially synthesized reagents such as GSH (NACALAI TES-QUE), PC₂, PC₄, hydroxymethyl-glutathione (hmGSH, γ Glu-Cys-Ser), γ Glu-Cys (γ EC), and γ Glu-Cys-Glu (γ ECE) (HiPep Laboratories) were used to identify elution peaks of each thiol peptide. Peaks of $PC₃$ and hydroxymethyl-PC₂ [hmPC₂, (γ Glu-Cys)₂-Ser] were identified from the chromatogram of an in vitro PCS assay.

2.9 | Measurement of Cd and As concentrations

Plant materials oven-dried for 2 days were digested with $HNO₃$ and HClO₄. Digested samples were diluted with 0.1% (v/v) $HNO₃$. The

Cd concentration was determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA-6300; Shimadzu) with a graphite furnace atomizer (GFA-EX7i; Shimadzu). The As concentration was determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (SPS1500VR; Seiko Instruments).

2.10 | Transformation of rice

OsPCS RNAi rice plants were produced by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (Toki et al., 2006). A segment of 317 bp or 327 bp in the 3' untranslated region specific to OsPCS1 or OsPCS2, respectively, was used for the RNAi trigger. The RNAi trigger sequences have 39.9% identity with each other, as determined by EMBOSS Needle alignment (Figure S3). The trigger sequence was amplified by PCR using Prime Star DNA polymerase (TaKaRa) and the primer set, PCS1.RNAi.F and PCS1.seqR or PCS2.RNAi.F and PCS2.RNAi.R (Table S3). The forward primers contained a CACC sequence at the 5' end for TOPO cloning. The amplified fragment was cloned into the Gateway pENTR/D-TOPO cloning vector (Invitrogen). The fragment was subsequently inserted into the pANDA destination vector, which was under the control of a constitutive maize ubiquitin1 promoter (Miki & Shimamoto, 2004). The RNAi construct was introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 (Hood, Gelvin, Melchers, & Hoekema, 1993) and rice (cv. Nipponbare) was transformed, as described by Toki et al. (2006).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Cloning of rice PCS genes

PCs are known to be important for Cd and As detoxification in higher plants. Here, we first investigated PC synthesis in rice plants. When rice seedlings (cv. Nipponbare) were grown under control conditions, GSH, hmGSH, and less amount of γ EC were detected in the shoots and roots, but PC-like peptides were not detected. In the presence of 10 μ mol/L Cd or 20 μ mol/L As(III), syntheses of thiol peptides such as GSH, PCs, hmGSH, hydroxymethyl-PCs (hmPCs, (γ Glu-Cys)_n-Ser), γ EC, and γ ECE, were remarkably induced in the roots (Figure 1). The concentration of hmGSH was higher than that of GSH under both Cd and As(III) stresses, although their concentrations were comparable under control conditions. The concentrations of PC-like peptides, PCs and $hmpC_2$, under As(III) stress were much higher than those under Cd stress. In the shoots, Cd and As(III) stresses induced the synthesis of GSH and hmGSH, but PCs and hmPCs were not detected (data not shown). From this result, we hypothesized that PCs were related to Cd and As detoxification in rice, and then we cloned and characterized the rice PCS genes.

In a homology search performed using the amino acid sequence of AtPCS1 as a query, the Os05g0415200 (OsPCS1) and Os06g0102300 (OsPCS2) genes were identified in the rice genome. Their ORF sequences in the RAP-DB (OsPCS1: AF439787; OsPCS2: AK071754 and AK071958) were too small at only half the length of other plant PCS genes. An EST database search and comparison of

FIGURE 1 Synthesis of thiol peptides in response to Cd or As(III) stress in rice roots. The 7-day-old seedlings of Nipponbare were transferred to a culture solution supplemented with 10 µmol/L Cd or 20 μ mol/L As(III) and the control culture solution. Four plants were bulked, and the concentration of thiol peptides in the roots was determined 7 days after transfer. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (control: $n = 3$, Cd, As: $n = 4$). GSH, γ Glu-Cys-Gly; PC_{2.3}, (γ Glu-Cys)_{2.3}-Gly; hmGSH, γ Glu-Cys-Ser; hmPC₂, (γ Glu-Cys)₂-Ser; γEC, γGlu-Cys; γECE, γGlu-Cys-Glu

the hits to a genome sequence of rice suggested probable expression of other transcripts containing longer ORFs (Figure S1). The estimated transcript sequences were verified via sequence analysis using Nipponbare cDNA, and we named them OsPCS1#1 (LC192427) and OsPCS2#1 (LC192430). Like PCS genes in other plant species, the ORF lengths of OsPCS1#1 and OsPCS2#1 were 1,560 and 1,509 bp, respectively. Their predicted amino acid

 $\overbrace{\mathscr{L}}$ $\overbrace{\text{or } \text{Planck}}$ $\overbrace{\text{S} \cdot \text{E} \cdot \text{B}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{S} \cdot \text{E} \cdot \text{B}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{L}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{L}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{L}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$ $\overbrace{\text{L}}$ $\overbrace{\text{V}}$

sequences were 69.6% identical to each other, whereas they were 50% identical to those of the Arabidopsis PCS, and 70% identical to those of the wheat PCS (Figure S2 and Table S1). Transcript sequences of half-length ORF in the RAP-DB, AF439787 and AK071754, were termed OsPCS1#4 and OsPCS2#2, respectively. In addition, when we cloned full-length coding sequences of OsPCS1#1 and OsPCS2#1, other splice variants containing short-length ORFs (OsPCS1#2 and #3, and OsPCS2#3) were isolated (Figure 2a, Figure S1). Predicted amino acid sequences of the N-terminal half, including the catalytic domain of the PCS enzyme, were identical in OsPCS1#1–4 and OsPCS2#1–3.

3.2 | Expression of OsPCS transcriptional variants

Alternative splicing in plants has a key regulatory role in modulating gene expression in response to environmental signals, and biotic and abiotic stresses influence alternative splicing (Reddy, 2007; Syed, Kalyna, Marquez, Barta, & Brown, 2012). Therefore, the expression level of each transcriptional variant in rice seedlings was determined via quantitative RT-PCR using specific primers. Expression levels of the longest ORFs, OsPCS1#1 and OsPCS2#1, were clearly higher than those of other transcripts (Figure 2b,c). Under As(III) stress, the expression of OsPCS1 splice variants was upregulated in the roots (Figure 2b), but that in the shoots was not affected (Figure S4). In addition, the expression pattern of OsPCS1 splice variants was not changed by Cd or As stress in both shoots and roots; the expression level of OsPCS1#1 was the highest. The expression of OsPCS2

FIGURE 2 Structure and expression of OsPCS transcripts. (a) Schematic diagrams of the transcriptional variants of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2. Boxes show the exons, and lines show the introns. Open reading frames are indicated as solid boxes and untranslated regions are indicated as open boxes. The numbers above the boxes and below the lines indicate the length of the region. (b, c) Relative expression of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 in roots under control conditions and heavy metal toxicity. The expression level was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR in 10-day-old roots hydroponically grown under control, Cd stress (10 µmol/L CdSO₄), or As(III) stress (10 µmol/L NaAsO₂) condition. Ubiquitin and Actin were used as internal standards. Differences in PCR amplification efficiency among the primer pairs were corrected using standard curves. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (n = 4). An asterisk (*) shows a significant difference from the control (p < 0.05, Dunnett test)

remained unchanged under Cd or As(III) stress (Figure 2c; Figure S4). This suggests that OsPCS1#1 and OsPCS2#1 are expressed as the predominant transcripts.

3.3 | Enzymatic analysis of recombinant proteins

PCS catalyzes the formation of $(\gamma \text{Glu-Cys})_2$ -Gly (PC₂) from two GSH molecules, or the formation of PC_{n+1} from GSH and PC_n . Such catalytic reactions are activated by the presence of various heavy metal/metalloid ions. Cd^{2+} , Hg²⁺, and As(III) are the most potent activators of PC synthesis catalyzed by AtPCS1 in vitro (Vatamaniuk et al., 2000).

To confirm whether rice PCS homologs could catalyze PC synthesis, we investigated their in vitro enzymatic activity. The PC synthetic activities of MBP-fused OsPCS1#1 and MBP-OsPCS2#1 were assayed in the presence of various heavy metal/metalloid ions such as Mn^{2+} , Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺, MoO₄²⁻, Cu²⁺, As(V), As(III), and Cd²⁺. Among these metals/metalloids, Cd^{2+} and As(III) strongly activated recombinant OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 (Figure S4). Interestingly, Cd^{2+} and As(III) had contrasting activation effects on the two OsPCS isozymes (Figure 3). OsPCS1-catalyzed PC₂ synthesis was increased 50fold by Cd^{2+} and 70-fold by As(III) at the concentration of 50 μ mol/ L compared with the nonmetal control (Figure 3a). In contrast, OsPCS2-catalyzed PC₂ synthesis was increased 70-fold by Cd^{2+} and 50-fold by As(III) (Figure 3b). In the assay of various concentrations that ranged from 10 to 200 µmol/L, the activation levels of OsPCS2 by Cd^{2+} and As(III) increased in a dose-dependent manner, and that by Cd^{2+} was always stronger than that by As(III) (Figure 3d). The activation level of OsPCS1 by Cd also increased with increasing Cd concentration; however, that by As(III) reached a peak at 50 μ mol/L, above which it gradually decreased (Figure 3c). Therefore, in the low concentration range of 10–50 umol/L, the activation of OsPCS1 by As(III) was stronger than that by Cd^{2+} ; however, this was reversed at a high concentration of 200 μ mol/L. From this result, we hypothesized that each OsPCS might be related to a specific response to Cd or As in rice plants, and then we investigated the effect of OsPCS gene knockdown.

3.4 | Cd and As sensitivity of OsPCS RNAi plants

To examine the physiological functions of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 in vivo, we generated transgenic plants of RNAi targeting each OsPCS gene. Figure 4 shows the efficiency and specificity of knockdown in $T₂$ plants of independent lines. In the shoots, the expression of the target gene was suppressed to 7%–14% of that in the WT in OsPCS1 RNAi plants and 8%–18% in OsPCS2 RNAi plants (Figure 4a). The expression of another nontarget OsPCS was suppressed to 60%–80% in OsPCS2 RNAi plants and 40%–60% in OsPCS1 RNAi plants, whereas it was significant only in a line, PCS1 RNAi-4 (Figure 4a). In the roots, the target gene expression was suppressed to 22%–35% of that in the WT in OsPCS1 RNAi plants and 8%–30% in OsPCS2 RNAi plants, but the expression level of another nontarget OsPCS was not decreased (Figure 4b). The difference in the levels of nontarget suppression between the shoots and

FIGURE 3 Activation of MBP-OsPCS by Cd and As(III). (a, b) PC synthesis catalyzed by OsPCS1 (a) and OsPCS2 (b) in the presence of different heavy metals/metalloids. Purified MBP-OsPCS1 (15 µg/ml) or MBP-OsPCS2 (5 µg/ml) was incubated for 1 hr in the reaction medium containing 10 mmol/L GSH, 200 mmol/L HEPES-KOH buffer (pH8.0), and 50 μ mol/L Cd²⁺ or As(III) and the nonmetal control. The reaction was stopped by the addition of TFA at a final concentration of 1% (v/v). PC₂₋₄ and γ EC were derivatized with monobromobimane and quantified by HPLC-fluorescent detection. (c, d) PC₂ synthesis catalyzed by OsPCS1 (c) and OsPCS2 (d) at different Cd or As(III) concentrations. The reaction media contained 10, 20, 50, 100, or 200 μ mol/L Cd²⁺ or As(III), and the reaction was carried out for 20 min. Values are expressed as means \pm SE from three independent experiments

FIGURE 4 Suppression of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 expression in RNAi plants. Expression levels in shoots (a) and in roots (b). WT (cv. Nipponbare) and RNAi plants were hydroponically grown for four weeks in the control culture solution. Total RNA was extracted from the shoots and roots of individual plants. Expression levels were analyzed by qRT-PCR. Ubiquitin and Actin were used as the internal standards. Expression level is shown as a value relative to that in WT plants. Values are expressed as means \pm SE ($n=3$). An asterisk (*) shows a significant difference from WT plants ($p < 0.05$, Dunnett test)

the roots may be caused by the difference in the endogenous expression levels of OsPCS genes between the two organs. Nontarget suppression was negligible at least in the roots. In addition, the suppression of one OsPCS gene did not cause the upregulation of another OsPCS gene.

To consider Cd and As(III) stress conditions, we grew WT plants under 3, 10, and 30 μ mol/L Cd and 5, 20, and 50 μ mol/L As(III) for 10 days. Shoot growth of WT plants was significantly inhibited under Cd or As(III) stress in a dose-dependent manner (Figure S6). On the other hand, root elongation was inhibited under 50 μ mol/L As(III) and promoted under $3-10$ μ mol/L Cd and 5 μ mol/L As(III) (Figure S6). Similar enhancement of root growth by low Cd was reported in miscanthus (Arduini, Masoni, Mariotti, & Ercoli, 2004). Increased demand for sulfur under moderate Cd or As stress may contribute to the promoted root elongation. The growth inhibition effect was mild in 3 μ mol/L Cd and 5 μ mol/L As(III) conditions but it was too severe in 30 and 50 µmol/L concentrations of Cd and As (III), respectively. Thus, we used 10 μ mol/L Cd and 20 μ mol/L As(III) to investigate sensitivity in the RNAi plants.

Knockdown of OsPCS1 had little effect on the sensitivity to Cd and As in rice seedlings. Shoot lengths under control conditions were similar between WT and OsPCS1 RNAi plants, and the inhibition of shoot elongation under Cd or As(III) stress was also comparable (Figure 5a). The root growth of RNAi plants was slightly suppressed under both Cd and As(III) stress compared with that in WT plants (Figure 5d). This indicates that OsPCS1 may be involved in Cd and As tolerance to some extent, although it seems to make only a small contribution.

Knockdown of OsPCS2 increased sensitivity to As but had a small effect on Cd tolerance in rice seedlings. Shoot lengths under control conditions were similar between WT and OsPCS2 RNAi plants, but shoot elongation under As(III) stress was more severely inhibited in RNAi plants (Figure 5b). Reduction rates of shoot length by As(III) stress were 22% in WT plants and 39%–50% in OsPCS2 RNAi plants. The root growth of RNAi plants under As(III) stress was also more suppressed than that of the WT (Figure 5e). In contrast, the inhibition of shoot elongation under $3-30$ μ mol/L Cd stress was similar between WT and OsPCS2 RNAi plants (Figure 5c). The shoot length of one of three OsPCS2 RNAi lines was slightly shorter than that of WT plants under 10 or 30 μ mol/L Cd stress conditions; however, the other two lines did not show significant differences. The root growth of RNAi plants was significantly suppressed compared with that of WT plants only under the 10 µmol/L Cd condition (Figure 5f). This suggests that OsPCS2 plays an important role in As tolerance of rice plants but also indicates that the contribution of OsPCS to Cd tolerance is smaller than that to As tolerance.

3.5 | PC synthesis in OsPCS RNAi plants

Syntheses of thiol peptides in the roots of RNAi plants under 10 μ mol/L Cd or 20 μ mol/L As(III) stress were analyzed. There was no significant difference between the thiol peptide concentrations of OsPCS1 RNAi plants and those of WT plants (Tables 1 and 2). When OsPCS1 RNAi plants were grown under As(III) stress, the $PC₂$ concentration was slightly elevated, and $PC_{3, 4}$ concentrations were slightly reduced compared with their concentrations in WT plants (Table 2); however, these differences were not significant. This indicates that the contribution of OsPCS1 to the overall production of PCs is small.

In contrast, OsPCS2 RNAi plants showed a significant reduction in PC concentration under both Cd and As(III) stresses compared with WT plants. When grown with Cd, the concentrations of $PC₂$ and $h mPC₂$ were severely decreased in the two RNAi lines, PCS2 RNAi-1 and PCS2 RNAi-4, where OsPCS2 expression was suppressed to 8% of the WT. In these RNAi plants, $PC₃$ and $PC₄$ were not detected (Table 1). Furthermore, the concentrations of GSH analogs, such as hmGSH, γ ECE, and γ EC, were also reduced in OsPCS2 RNAi plants grown under Cd stress. The concentration of GSH, a precursor of PCs, was slightly increased in RNAi plants compared with that in the WT; however, this difference was not significant. When grown under As(III) stress, the syntheses of PC_{2-4} and hmPC₂ were diminished in OsPCS2 RNAi plants (Table 2), although

FIGURE 5 Growth of OsPCS RNAi plants under Cd or As(III) stress. (a, d) Shoot and root lengths of OsPCS1 RNAi plants under Cd or As(III) stress. WT and OsPCS1 RNAi plants were hydroponically grown in a culture solution containing 10 µmol/L Cd or 20 µmol/L As(III) and the control culture solution for 10 days. Root length is shown as a value relative to that in the control. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (WT: $n = 5$, PCS1 RNAi-1: $n = 7$, PCS1 RNAi-5: $n = 5$). (b, e) Shoot and root lengths of OsPCS2 RNAi plants under As(III) stress. WT and OsPCS2 RNAi plants were hydroponically grown in a culture solution containing 20 µmol/L As(III) and the control culture solution for 10 days. Root length is shown as a value relative to that in the control. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (n = 4). (c, f) Shoot and root lengths of OsPCS2 RNAi plants under Cd stress. The 7-day-old seedlings of WT and OsPCS2 RNAi plants were transferred to a culture solution containing 0, 3, 10, or 30 μ mol/L Cd and grown for 7 days. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (WT, PCS2 RNAi-1: $n = 5$, PCS2 RNAi-4, -7: $n = 4$). An asterisk (*) shows a significant difference from WT plants ($p < 0.05$, Dunnett test)

10 µmol/L Cd Root	Thiol peptides (nmol/g DW)									
	GSH	PC ₂	PC ₃	PC ₄	hmGSH	hmPC ₂	γ ECE	γEC		
WT	1.000 ± 180	$50.0 + 7.9$	$35.6 + 8.1$	7.12 ± 1.03	1.880 ± 220	221 ± 41	$299 + 34$	$443 + 42$		
PCS1 RNAi-1	$969 + 248$	$46.3 + 10.2$	35.3 ± 0.8	8.98 ± 1.43	1.780 ± 100	$233 + 97$	$276 + 74$	429 ± 23		
-4	1.230 ± 400	$37.3 + 10.9$	$32.5 + 5.2$	$8.87 + 4.79$	2.170 ± 230	$243 + 116$	$302 + 57$	$537 + 40$		
-5	1.170 ± 290	$56.2 + 27.6$	$45.5 + 12.6$	$10.2 + 3.7$	2.250 ± 80	$257 + 134$	$302 + 75$	$515 + 33$		
PCS2 RNAi-1	1.290 ± 40	$9.73* + 9.73$	$n.d.*$	$n.d.*$	$1,190 \pm 190$	$23.3* + 15.6$	$16.3* + 16.3$	$91.4* + 31.1$		
-4	1.510 ± 210	$5.73* + 1.45$	$n.d.*$	$n.d.*$	$1.080* + 200$	$11.3* + 1.6$	$16.5* \pm 13.8$	$65.6* + 10.2$		
-7	1.830 ± 260	$35.4 + 14.0$	$2.23* + 1.82$	$n.d.*$	1.300 ± 400	$66.3* + 25.2$	$10.8* + 1.0$	$95.2* + 21.1$		

TABLE 1 Concentrations of thiol peptides in the roots of OsPCS RNAi plants grown under Cd stress

The 7-day-old seedlings of RNAi plants and wild-type (WT) (Nipponbare) plants were transferred to a culture solution supplemented with 10 µmol/L CdSO4. Three plants were bulked and harvested, and the concentration of thiol peptides in the roots was determined 8 days after transfer. Values are expressed as means \pm SE from three independent experiments. An asterisk (*) shows a significant difference from WT plants ($p < 0.05$, Dunnett test).

the reduction rate was not as severe as that under Cd stress. The GSH concentration was several-fold higher in OsPCS2 RNAi plants than that in WT plants under As(III) stress. This suggests the involvement of OsPCS2 in PC synthesis in response to not only Cd but also As(III) stress in rice.

3.6 | Cd and As accumulation in OsPCS RNAi plants

The accumulation of Cd and As did not differ between WT and OsPCS1 RNAi plants; however, a reduction was observed in OsPCS2

TABLE 2 Concentrations of thiol peptides in the roots of OsPCS RNAi plants grown under As(III) stress

20μ mol/L As(III) Root	Thiol peptides (nmol/g DW)									
	GSH	PC ₂	PC ₃	PC ₄	hmGSH	hmPC ₂	γ ECE	γEC		
WT	1.250 ± 210	$1,820 \pm 340$	$553 + 155$	57.3 ± 15.6	$2,630 \pm 250$	$3,000 \pm 180$	2.020 ± 260	$2,800 \pm 230$		
PCS1 RNAi-1	$1,710 \pm 270$	$2,230 \pm 350$	$333 + 87$	28.9 ± 8.8	$2,530 \pm 140$	2.600 ± 200	$2,100 \pm 160$	$2,860 \pm 280$		
-4	1.800 ± 440	2.350 ± 310	364 ± 111	37.2 ± 8.2	2.720 ± 110	2.870 ± 110	2.260 ± 280	3.340 ± 510		
-5	1.880 ± 450	$2,450 \pm 360$	423 ± 110	38.8 ± 7.9	$2,370 \pm 320$	2.650 ± 230	$2,200 \pm 320$	$3,250 \pm 490$		
PCS2 RNAi-1	$6.680* + 1590$	1.110 ± 160	$182* + 58$	$17.1* \pm 5.6$	2.800 ± 330	$861* + 99$	1.280 ± 80	3.780 ± 750		
-4	$6.750* + 1200$	$1,310 \pm 280$	$214* + 77$	32.8 ± 12.9	$2,960 \pm 310$	$922* + 170$	$1,330 \pm 250$	4.190 ± 470		
-5	$4.460* + 430$	1.650 ± 80	$273 + 61$	35.4 ± 7.8	2.240 ± 170	$1.280* + 230$	$1,430 \pm 150$	3.580 ± 110		

The 7-day-old seedlings of RNAi plants and wild-type (WT) (Nipponbare) plants were transferred to a culture solution supplemented with 20 µmol/L NaAsO₂. Three plants were bulked and harvested, and the concentration of thiol peptides in the roots was determined 8 days after transfer. Values are expressed as means \pm SE from three independent experiments. An asterisk (*) shows a significant difference from WT plants ($p < 0.05$, Dunnett test).

RNAi plants. The Cd concentration in the shoots and the As concentration in both the shoots and the roots of OsPCS1 RNAi plants did not differ from their concentrations in WT plants (Figure S7). Although Cd concentration in the roots of OsPCS1 RNAi plants was significantly lower or higher than that in the roots of WT plants, it was not consistent between the two RNAi lines. On the other hand, the concentrations of Cd and As in the roots of OsPCS2 RNAi plants were significantly lower than their levels in WT plants (Figure 6). The As concentration in the shoots of OsPCS2 RNAi plants was also significantly lower than that in the shoot of WT plants. However, the Cd concentration in their shoots did not differ from that in the shoots of WT plants.

3.7 | Effect of GSH synthesis inhibition

We hypothesized that, instead of PCs, GSH might contribute to Cd tolerance in rice. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the effect of inhibiting GSH synthesis.

BSO is a specific inhibitor of GSH biosynthesis that causes the depletion of cellular GSH levels. BSO is a transition state analog and it tightly binds to the active site of γ EC synthetase; the enzyme catalyzes the first step reaction of GSH synthesis (Griffith, 1982). In our analysis, WT seedlings were grown under Cd or As(III) stress with or without BSO. In the absence of BSO, the fresh weight of the whole plant was not reduced by Cd or As(III) stress. However, the addition of BSO resulted in a significant reduction in the fresh weights and shoot lengths of rice seedlings under both Cd and As(III) stress conditions (Figure 7). Synthesis of thiol peptides in response to Cd and As(III) was almost completely abolished by BSO treatment (Table S2). This suggests that GSH synthesis is crucial for Cd and As tolerance in rice plants.

The effect on Cd and As accumulation in rice seedlings was also investigated. The addition of BSO significantly reduced the concentration of As in both shoots and roots (Figure 8b). Although the addition of BSO also caused a remarkable reduction in the Cd concentration of the roots, it caused an elevation in the Cd concentration of the shoots (Figure 8a). This indicates that GSH suppresses the translocation of Cd from the roots to the shoots.

FIGURE 6 Cd and As concentrations in OsPCS2 RNAi plants. (a) Cd concentration in shoots (left) and roots (right). (b) As concentration in shoots (left) and roots (right). WT and OsPCS2 RNAi plants were hydroponically grown in a culture solution containing 10 μ mol/L Cd or 20 μ mol/L As(III) for 10 days. Each plant was separated into shoots and roots and oven-dried. After digestion with $HNO₃$ and $HClO₄$, Cd concentration was analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy and As concentration was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (n = 4). An asterisk (*) shows a significant difference from WT plants ($p < 0.05$, Dunnett test)

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Physiological functions of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2

On the basis of information provided by bioinformatic tools or public databases, it is now clear that PCS-like genes are ubiquitous in

FIGURE 7 Effect of a GSH synthesis inhibitor on Cd and As sensitivity. (a) Fresh weights and (b) shoot lengths of rice seedlings. The 7day-old seedlings of Nipponbare were transferred to a culture solution supplemented or not with toxic metals [10 µmol/L Cd or 10 µmol/L As (III)] either alone or in combination with 0.5 mmol/L BSO and grown for 7 days. Fresh weight and shoot length were measured before and after the treatment. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (n = 5). Different letters show a significant difference (p < 0.05, Tukey's test)

higher plants and there are at least two PCS genes in most plant species (Clemens, 2006). As PCS-like genes in rice, Os05g0415200 (OsPCS1) and Os06g0102300 (OsPCS2) were found in the RAP-DB.

FIGURE 8 Effect of a GSH synthesis inhibitor on Cd and As concentrations. (a) Cd concentration in shoots (left) and roots (right). (b) As concentration in shoots (left) and roots (right). Seven-day-old seedlings of Nipponbare were transferred to a culture solution supplemented with toxic metals $[10 \text{ }\mu\text{mol/L Cd}$ or $10 \text{ }\mu\text{mol/L A}\text{s(III)}]$ either alone or in combination with 0.5 mmol/L BSO and grown for 7 days. Each plant was separated into shoots and roots and ovendried. After digestion with $HNO₃$ and $HClO₄$, Cd concentration was analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy, and As concentration was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. Values are expressed as means \pm SE (n = 5). An asterisk (*) shows a significant difference ($p < 0.05$, Dunnett test)

We designated Os05g0415200 as OsPCS1 and Os06g0102300 as OsPCS2 according to a guideline for gene nomenclature in rice that suggested that genes be numbered in order of discovery (McCouch, 2008) because the symbol OsPCS1 had been applied to a transcript (AF439787) arising from the locus Os05g0415200 in an earlier report (Li et al., 2007). However, the numbering of OsPCS genes did not coincide with among three recently published reports (Das et al., 2017; Hayashi et al., 2017; Uraguchi et al., 2017). Our designation is the same as in the reports by Das et al. (2017) and Hayashi et al. (2017).

In the present study, we investigated the physiological contributions of OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 to Cd and As tolerance using transgenic plants of RNAi-mediated knockdown. The knockdown of OsPCS1 had no significant effect on the concentration of thiol peptides under Cd or As stress (Tables 1 and 2). The expression of a nontarget gene, OsPCS2 in OsPCS1 RNAi plants, and vice versa, did not increase or decrease in the RNAi roots (Figure 4b). These results suggest that OsPCS1 makes little contribution to overall PC synthesis at the seedling stage. In addition, OsPCS1 RNAi plants did not show a clear effect on Cd and As tolerance, which is consistent with a recent report showing that a loss-of-function mutant of OsPCS1 (has2) was not sensitive to Cd and As (Hayashi et al., 2017). Regarding the physiological function of OsPCS1, Hayashi et al. (2017) suggested that OsPCS1 was crucial for reducing As levels in rice grains by trapping As in the upper node. This does not conflict with our findings obtained here; OsPCS1 expression in the shoots was higher than that in the roots (Figure S4) and the activation of OsPCS1 in vitro by As(III) was stronger than by Cd (Figure 3c). OsPCS1 has a minor role in PC synthesis at the seedling stage, but it may perform tissue-specific or growth-stage-specific functions in rice plants.

In contrast to OsPCS1, knockdown of OsPCS2 significantly decreased the concentration of PCs in the roots (Tables 1 and 2) and affected the As sensitivity of rice plants. Considering that nontarget OsPCS1 has been expressed in OsPCS2 RNAi plants, we suggest that OsPCS2 is a major PCS isozyme that controls PC synthesis in response to toxic heavy metals/metalloids. This is supported by the result that the OsPCS2 expression level was higher than OsPCS1 in shoots and roots (Figure 2, Figure S4). On the other hand, there is the contrasting activator preference between in vivo PC synthesis and in vitro OsPCS2 assay. As(III) stress strongly induced PC synthesis in the rice roots (Figure 1), but Cd^{2+} highly activated in vitro OsPCS2-catalyzed PC formation (Figure 3). This suggests that PC synthesis in rice plants is regulated not just through activation by heavy metals/metalloids. As both OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 are localized in the cytosol (Hayashi et al., 2017), the cytosolic concentration of GSH, a substrate, or Cd^{2+} , an activator, may be insufficient for PC formation in rice cells under Cd stress due to PC-independent Cd sequestration.

We found three alternatively spliced variants of OsPCS2 by sequence analysis, and the longest variant OsPCS2#1 was the dominantly expressed transcript in both the shoots and the roots under the control and Cd or As(III) stress conditions (Figure 2c, Figure S4b). In a recent study, Uraguchi et al. (2017) also isolated additional OsPCS2 variants, which were designated as OsPCS1 variants in their article, having a different transcription start site, and they reported that the longest OsPCS2 variant, OsPCS1full, showed the highest abundance among OsPCS transcripts. In contrast, Das et al. (2017) reported that the longest OsPCS2 variant was abundant in the shoots but not in the roots of indica rice (cv. IR64) under Cd stress, even though the variant is identical to our OsPCS2#1. They used a high concentration of Cd (100 μ mol/L) for the expression analysis (Das et al., 2017), whereas we used a lower concentration (10 μ mol/L Cd) in the present study. Such a difference in Cd level, and also the difference in cultivar (indica IR64 or japonica Nipponbare), could have caused the different expression patterns of OsPCS2 variants.

4.2 | Different contributions of PC synthesis to As and Cd tolerance in rice

It is known that PCS has a crucial role in heavy metal tolerance in higher plants, as revealed by data showing that Arabidopsis PC-deficient mutant, cad1-3 which had a mutation in AtPCS1, was highly sensitive to Cd and As (Ha et al., 1999; Howden et al., 1995). In the present study, OsPCS2 RNAi rice plants contained less PCs under As (III) stress (Table 2), and they were more sensitive to As than were WT plants (Figure 5b). This suggests that PC synthesis is crucial for As tolerance in rice. In plant cells, As(III) is complexed with PCs and sequestered into vacuoles (Song et al., 2010). Previously, Song et al. (2014) reported that the knockout of OsABCC1, a rice ABC transporter gene involved in the vacuolar sequestration of PC-As complex, resulted in significantly decreased As tolerance in rice. Our findings and the previous report suggest that both steps, PC synthesis and vacuolar sequestration of the PC-As complex, are important for As detoxification in rice cells. In addition, PC synthesis in the rice roots was more strongly induced by As(III) exposure than by Cd exposure (Figure 1). Such a preference in activators may be attributable to the bioavailability of As and Cd in the habitat. Under anaerobic soil conditions such as a paddy field, Cd solubility is low but As is present in a highly soluble form As(III); thus, Cd uptake by rice is suppressed but As(III) uptake is enhanced in a flooded soil environment (Arao et al., 2009). Furthermore, rice takes up As(III) more easily than other plants do because of its efficient transport pathway (Su et al., 2010). Accommodation to anaerobic soil conditions and As exposure may result in the strong induction of PC synthesis by As stress in rice.

Surprisingly, we found that knockdown of OsPCS2 had a small effect on Cd tolerance of rice seedlings (Figure 5c) even though PC synthesis was remarkably suppressed (Table 1). From this result, we suppose that PC synthesis in rice may make a small contribution to Cd tolerance compared with its crucial role for As tolerance. Similar phenotypes were observed for other mutants involved in PC synthesis and transport. Recently, it was reported that the T-DNA mutant of OsPCS2 showed a weak phenotype under Cd stress, in contrast to a clear decrease in As tolerance (Uraguchi et al., 2017). In addition, the knockout of OsABCC1 did not affect Cd toxicity in rice (Song et al., 2014), in contrast to Arabidopsis atabcc1 atabcc2 mutant showing high sensitivity to As and Cd (Park et al., 2012; Song et al., 2010). Such our findings and findings from previous reports may indicate that Cd tolerance of rice can be mediated through PC-independent mechanisms.

Different contribution of PCs to As and Cd tolerance may be attributable to the pathway and chemical form of them in vacuolar sequestration. In yeast heterologous expression analyses, OsABCC1 enhances PC-dependent As resistance but does not affect Cd tolerance (Song et al., 2014), suggesting that OsABCC1 has high selectivity for the PC-As complex but a low affinity for the PC-Cd complex. Therefore, the vacuolar sequestration of Cd may be mediated mostly via another pathway in rice. One possible pathway is OsHMA3 mediated Cd^{2+} sequestration into a vacuole. OsHMA3 is a rice tonoplast-localized P_{1B} -ATPase limiting the root-to-shoot Cd translocation via the sequestration of Cd^{2+} into root vacuoles (Miyadate et al., 2011; Ueno et al., 2010). Arabidopsis also has a homolog AtHMA3 (Morel et al., 2009), whereas it is nonfunctional in the Columbia ecotype (background of cad1-3 and atabcc1 atabcc2 mutants) owing to a mutation (Hussain et al., 2004). Another possible pathway is GSH S-conjugate transport like in budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), in which the yeast cadmium factor 1 (YCF1) mediates vacuolar accumulation of glutathione-Cd (GS-Cd) complexes and confers Cd resistance (Li, Szczypka, Lu, Thiele, & Rea, 1996; Li et al., 1997). Although plant vacuolar GS-Cd transporters have not been isolated, YCF1-expressing Arabidopsis plants show increased GS-Cd uptake into intact vacuoles and enhanced Cd resistance (Song et al., 2003). This hypothesis can be supported by the growth experiment with BSO in the present study. It revealed that the inhibition of GSH biosynthesis significantly enhanced Cd sensitivity in rice seedlings (Figure 7), suggesting that GSH plays a crucial role in Cd tolerance, not only as a substrate of PC synthesis. We suppose that GSH itself may bind to Cd and mitigate its toxicity. In addition, the role of GSH as a scavenger of reactive oxygen species produced by Cd stress in plants (Jozefczak, Remans, Vangronsveld, & Cuypers, 2012) may contribute to the alleviation of Cd toxicity.

In OsPCS2 RNAi plants under As(III) stress, GSH accumulated at a several-fold higher level than it did in WT plants (Table 2). Too high a concentration of GSH in plants could paradoxically enhance sensitivity to oxidative stress (Creissen et al., 1999), whereas we did not observe oxidative damage such as chlorosis or necrosis in OsPCS2 RNAi plants. As the inhibition of GSH biosynthesis and subsequent decrease in PCs also resulted in high As sensitivity of rice seedlings (Figure 7), the enhanced As sensitivity of OsPCS2 RNAi plants is most likely due to a decrease in PCs rather than a great increase in GSH. In contrast, under Cd stress, the GSH concentration in OsPCS2 RNAi plants was slightly increased but it did not differ significantly from that in WT plants (Table 1). This might be because the concentrations of PCs even in WT plants were much lower than that of GSH under Cd stress. In addition, OsPCS2 RNAi plants might not undergo Cd stress as severely as As(III) stress.

4.3 | Accumulation and translocation of As and Cd in rice plants

In our transgenic plant experiment, the silencing of OsPCS2 caused a decrease in As and Cd concentrations in the roots (Figure 6), suggesting that OsPCS2 is involved in As and Cd accumulation in the rice roots. This is consistent with previous reports describing that Arabidopsis PC-deficient mutant cad1-3 showed less accumulation of As(III) and Cd in the roots (Liu et al., 2010; Wong & Cobbett, 2009). In Arabidopsis, complexation of As with PCs in the roots decreases As(III) efflux and root-to-shoot translocation (Liu et al., 2010). Interestingly, we found that the suppression of PC synthesis did not enhance As translocation from roots to shoots in rice, based on the results that the As concentration was reduced also in the shoots of OsPCS2 RNAi plants and BSO-treated WT plants. This indicates that nonbound As(III) in the rice roots may be efficiently discharged to the external medium via an aquaporin channel Lsi1 (OsNIP2;1) and other unidentified As(III) efflux pathways (Zhao et al., 2010) rather than loaded into the xylem. Another possibility is that PCs may also be involved in the translocation of As from the roots to the shoots. Although in the rice roots most As is loaded into the xylem in its ionic form via the silicic acid transporter Lsi2 (Ma et al., 2008), some of the PC-As complex may be transferred to the shoots via the xylem or phloem transport pathway in rice.

On the other hand, the shoot Cd concentration was not affected by OsPCS2 knockdown, but it was increased by the inhibition of GSH biosynthesis. As the syntheses of PCs and GSH-like peptides were suppressed in OsPCS2 RNAi roots but the GSH concentration was comparable or slightly higher than in the WT (Table 1), it is suggested that GSH may have roles in the retention of Cd in rice roots and the suppression of root-to-shoot Cd translocation.

In OsPCS1 RNAi plants, the concentrations of Cd and As in the shoots and roots were not affected (Figure S7), even though the nontarget OsPCS2 expression in the shoots was suppressed to <60% of that in the WT (Figure 4a). Such nontarget suppression was not observed in the roots (Figure 4b), and also the synthesis of thiol peptides in the roots was not significantly affected in OsPCS1 RNAi plants (Tables 1 and 2). This indicates that PCS2 activity in rice roots regulates Cd and As accumulation in the roots and As translocation to the shoots.

4.4 | OsPCS2 is involved in the synthesis of GSHlike peptides

Some plant species produce GSH-like peptides including hmGSH in Poaceae (Klapheck, Chrost, Starke, & Zimmermann, 1992) and γ ECE in maize (Zea mays) (Meuwly, Thibault, & Rauser, 1993), in which Ser or Glu replaces Gly in the tripeptide. Although the biosynthetic pathways of hmGSH and γ ECE remain unidentified, it has been estimated that the peptides are synthesized through ATP-dependent ligation from γ EC and Ser or Glu, like in GSH synthesis, or through postsynthetic modifications of GSH, like in the transpeptidation of PC synthesis (Galant, Preuss, Cameron, & Jez, 2011; Skipsey, Davis, & Edwards, 2005). In the present study, accumulations of hmGSH, γ ECE and γ EC were observed in the rice roots under Cd stress (Figure 1), but interestingly we found that OsPCS2 knockdown decreased the concentrations of γ ECE and γ EC severely and that of hmGSH to half the level of WT plants (Table 1). OsPCS2 in vitro did not synthesize hmGSH or γ ECE from GSH and Ser or Glu; however, OsPCS2-catalyzed γ EC production from GSH was detected (Figure 3b). These results suggest that OsPCS2 is involved in the synthesis of hmGSH and γ ECE under Cd stress, but it makes an indirect contribution. In plants, γ EC is synthesized exclusively in the plastid (Wachter, Wolf, Steininger, Bogs, & Rausch, 2005) and it is probably rapidly converted into GSH. The resultant GSH or γ EC in the plastid is exported to the cytosol, which is required for GSH homeostasis in Arabidopsis and rice (Maughan et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2016). On the other hand, PCS can catabolize GSH conjugates into γ EC conjugates in the cytosol and enzyme activity depends on the presence of Cd²⁺ (Beck, Lendzian, Oven, Christmann, & Grill, 2003; Blum, Meyer, Wünschmann, Lendzian, & Grill, 2010; Grzam, Tennstedt, Clemens, Hell, & Meyer, 2006). Taking these results and previous reports into consideration, OsPCS2 may catalyze the conversion of GSH into γ EC in the cytosol under Cd stress, and the resultant γ EC may be used as a substrate in a subsequent hmGSH or γ ECE synthetic reaction catalyzed by unknown enzymes.

5 | CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the two rice PC synthase isozymes, OsPCS1 and OsPCS2, have different enzymatic properties in the activation by Cd and As. Of them, OsPCS2 is a major isozyme controlling PC synthesis in response to Cd and As. PC synthesis is crucial for As tolerance in rice, but it may make a smaller contribution to Cd tolerance than that to As tolerance. In rice, GSH plays a crucial role in coping with Cd toxicity not only as a substrate of PC synthesis. Further investigations about the function of GSH under Cd stress in rice cells should make a substantial contribution to our understanding of Cd detoxification mechanisms in rice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Junta Yanai from Kyoto Prefectural University for kindly allowing the use of inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (Grant Number JP23405021 to T.M. and K.O.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.Y. performed most of the experiments and drafted the article with contributions from all authors; Y.U. conducted the enzyme experiment; A.M. analyzed the synthesis of thiol peptides in the wild-type plants in response to heavy metals; and K.O. and T.M. designed the research and supervised the experiments.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The nucleotide sequence reported in this paper has been submitted to the DNA Data Bank of Japan with accession numbers LC192427, LC192428, LC192429, LC192430, and LC192431.

REFERENCES

- Abedin, M. J., Cotter-Howells, J., & Meharg, A. A. (2002). Arsenic uptake and accumulation in rice (Oryza sativa L.) irrigated with contaminated water. Plant and Soil, 240, 311–319. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015792723288) [1015792723288](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015792723288)
- Arao, T., Kawasaki, A., Baba, K., Mori, S., & Matsumoto, S. (2009). Effects of water management on cadmium and arsenic accumulation and dimethylarsinic acid concentrations in Japanese rice. Environmental Science and Technology, 43, 9361–9367. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9022738) [es9022738](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9022738)
- Arduini, I., Masoni, A., Mariotti, M., & Ercoli, L. (2004). Low cadmium application increase miscanthus growth and cadmium translocation. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 52, 89–100. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2004.01.001) [10.1016/j.envexpbot.2004.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2004.01.001)
- Beck, A., Lendzian, K., Oven, M., Christmann, A., & Grill, E. (2003). Phytochelatin synthase catalyzes key step in turnover of glutathione conjugates. Phytochemistry, 62, 423–431. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S00](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00565-4) [31-9422\(02\)00565-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00565-4)
- Blum, R., Meyer, K. C., Wünschmann, J., Lendzian, K. J., & Grill, E. (2010). Cytosolic action of phytochelatin synthase. Plant Physiology, 153, 159–169.<https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.149922>
- Brammer, H., & Ravenscroft, P. (2009). Arsenic in groundwater: A threat to sustainable agriculture in South and South-east Asia. Environment International, 35, 647–654.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.10.004>
- Clemens, S. (2006). Evolution and function of phytochelatin synthases. Journal of Plant Physiology, 163, 319–332. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2005.11.010) iplph.2005.11.010
- Clemens, S., Aarts, M. G. M., Thomine, S., & Verbruggen, N. (2013). Plant science: The key to preventing slow cadmium poisoning. Trends in Plant Science, 18, 92–99.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.08.003>
- Clemens, S., Kim, E. J., Neumann, D., & Schroeder, J. I. (1999). Tolerance to toxic metals by a gene family of phytochelatin synthases from plants and yeast. EMBO Journal, 18, 3325–3333. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.12.3325) [1093/emboj/18.12.3325](https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.12.3325)
- Cobbett, C. S. (2000). Phytochelatins and their roles in heavy metal detoxification. Plant Physiology, 123, 825–832. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.123.3.825) [1104/pp.123.3.825](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.123.3.825)

- Creissen, G., Firmin, J., Fryer, M., Kular, B., Leyland, N., Reynolds, H., ... Mullineaux, X. (1999). Elevated glutathione biosynthetic capacity in the chloroplasts of transgenic tobacco plants paradoxically caused increased oxidative stress. Plant Cell, 11, 1277–1291. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.11.7.1277) [10.1105/tpc.11.7.1277](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.11.7.1277)
- Das, N., Bhattacharya, S., Bhattacharyya, S., & Maiti, M. K. (2017). Identification of alternatively spliced transcripts of rice phytochelatin synthase 2 gene OsPCS2 involved in mitigation of cadmium and arsenic stresses. Plant Molecular Biology, 94, 167–183. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-017-0600-1) [1007/s11103-017-0600-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-017-0600-1)
- Galant, A., Preuss, M. L., Cameron, J. C., & Jez, J. M. (2011). Plant glutathione biosynthesis: Diversity in biochemical regulation and reaction products. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2, 45.
- Gekeler, W., Grill, E., Winnacker, E. L., & Zenk, M. H. (1989). Suevey of the plant kingdom for the ability to bind heavy metals through phytochelatins. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, 44c, 361-369. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03192.x) [org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03192.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03192.x)
- Griffith, O. W. (1982). Mechanism of action, metabolism, and toxicity of buthionine sulfoximine and its higher homologs, potent inhibitors of glutathione synthesis. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 257, 13704– 13712.
- Grzam, A., Tennstedt, P., Clemens, S., Hell, R., & Meyer, A. J. (2006). Vacuolar sequestration of glutathione S-conjugates outcompetes a possible degradation of the glutathione moiety by phytochelatin synthase. FEBS Letters, 580, 6384–6390. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febsle](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.10.050) [t.2006.10.050](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.10.050)
- Ha, S. B., Smith, A. P., Howden, R., Dietrich, W. M., Bugg, S., O'Connell, M. J., ... Cobbett, C. S. (1999). Phytochelatin synthase genes from Arabidopsis and the yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Plant Cell, 11, 1153–1163.<https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.11.6.1153>
- Hayashi, S., Kuramara, M., Abe, T., Takagi, H., Ozawa, K., & Ishikawa, S. (2017). Phytochelatin synthase OsPCS1 plays a crucial role in reducing arsenic levels in rice grains. The Plant Journal, 91, 840–848. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13612>
- Hood, E. E., Gelvin, S. B., Melchers, L. S., & Hoekema, A. (1993). New agrobacterium helper plasmids for gene transfer to plants. Transgenic Research, 2, 208–218.
- Howden, R., Goldsbrough, P. B., Andersen, C. R., & Cobbett, C. S. (1995). Cadmium-sensitive, cad1 mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana are phytochelatin deficient. Plant Physiology, 107, 1059–1066. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.4.1059) [org/10.1104/pp.107.4.1059](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.4.1059)
- Hussain, D., Haydon, M. J., Wang, Y., Wong, E., Sherson, S. M., Young, J., ... Cobbett, C. S. (2004). P-type ATPase heavy metal transporters with roles in essential Zinc homeostasis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell, 16, 1327–1339.<https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.020487>
- Ito, H., & Iimura, K. (1976). The absorption and translocation in rice plants and its influence on their growth, in comparison with zinc. Studies on heavy metal pollution of soils (Part 1). Bulletin of Hokuriku National Agricultural Experiment Station, 19, 71–139. (in Japanese).
- Jozefczak, M., Remans, T., Vangronsveld, J., & Cuypers, A. (2012). Glutathione is a key player in metal-induced oxidative stress defenses. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 13, 3145–3175. [https://d](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms13033145) [oi.org/10.3390/ijms13033145](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms13033145)
- Klapheck, S., Chrost, B., Starke, J., & Zimmermann, H. (1992). γ -Glutamylcysteinylserine – a new homologue of glutathione in plants of the family Poaceae. Botanica Acta, 105, 174–179. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1992.tb00284.x) [1111/j.1438-8677.1992.tb00284.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1992.tb00284.x)
- Li, J. C., Guo, J. B., Xu, W. Z., & Ma, M. (2007). RNA interferencemediated silencing of phytochelatin synthase gene reduce cadmium accumulation in rice seeds. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology, 49, 1032–1037.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1672-9072.2007.00473.x>
- Li, Z. S., Lu, Y. P., Zhen, R. G., Szczypka, M., Thiele, D. J., & Rea, P. A. (1997). A new pathway for vacuolar cadmium sequestration in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: YCF1-catalyzed transport of bis(glutathionato) cadmium. PNAS, 94, 42–47.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.1.42>

- Li, Z. S., Szczypka, M., Lu, Y. P., Thiele, D. J., & Rea, P. A. (1996). The yeast cadmium factor protein (YCF1) is a vacuolar glutathione S-conjugate pump. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 271, 6509-6517. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.11.6509>
- Liu, W. J., Wood, B. A., Raab, A., McGrath, S. P., Zhao, F. J., & Feldmann, J. (2010). Complexation of arsenite with phytochelatins reduces arsenite efflux and translocation from roots to shoots in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology, 152, 2211–2221.<https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.150862>
- Ma, J. F., Yamaji, N., Mitani, N., Xu, X. Y., Su, Y. H., McGrath, S. P., & Zhao, F. J. (2008). Transporters of arsenite in rice and their role in arsenic accumulation in rice grain. PNAS, 105, 9931–9935. [https://d](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802361105) [oi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802361105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802361105)
- Masscheleyn, P. H., Delaune, R. D., & PatrickJr, W. H. (1991). Effect of redox potential and pH on arsenic speciation and solubility in a contaminated soil. Environmental Science and Technology, 25, 1414–1419. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00020a008>
- Maughan, S. C., Pasternak, M., Cairns, N., Kiddle, G., Brach, T., Jarvis, R., ... Salcedo-Sora, E. (2010). Plant homologs of the Plasmodium falciparum chloroquine-resistance transporter, PfCRT, are required for glutathione homeostasis and stress responses. PNAS, 107, 2331– 2336.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0913689107>
- McCouch, S. R., & CGSNL (2008). Gene nomenclature system for rice. Rice, 1, 72–84.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12284-008-9004-9>
- Meharg, A. A., Williams, P. N., Adomako, E., Lawgali, Y. Y., Deacon, C., Villada, A., ... Raab, A. (2009). Geographical variation in total and inorganic arsenic content of polished (white) rice. Environmental Science and Technology, 43, 1612–1617.<https://doi.org/10.1021/es802612a>
- Meuwly, P., Thibault, P., & Rauser, W. E. (1993). γ -Glutamylcysteinylglutamic acid – a new homologue of glutathione in maize seedlings exposed to cadmium. FEBS Letters, 336, 472–476. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(93)80858-R) [1016/0014-5793\(93\)80858-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(93)80858-R)
- Miki, D., & Shimamoto, K. (2004). Simple RNAi vectors for stable and transient suppression of gene function in rice. Plant and Cell Physiology, 45, 490–495.<https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pch048>
- Miyadate, H., Adachi, S., Hiraizumi, A., Tezuka, K., Nakazawa, N., Kawamoto, T., ... Satoh-Nagasawa, N. (2011). OsHMA3, a P1B-type of ATPase affects root-to-shoot cadmium translocation in rice by mediating efflux into vacuoles. New Phytologist, 189, 190–199. [https://d](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03459.x) [oi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03459.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03459.x)
- Morel, M., Crouzet, J., Gravot, A., Auroy, P., Leonhardt, N., Vavasseur, A., & Richaud, P. (2009). AtHMA3, a P1B-ATPase allowing Cd/Zn/Co/Pb vacuolar storage in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology, 149, 894–904.
- Park, J., Song, W. Y., Ko, D., Eom, Y., Hansen, T. H., Schiller, M., ... Lee, Y. (2012). The phytochelatin transporters AtABCC1 and AtABCC2 mediate tolerance to cadmium and mercury. The Plant Journal, 69, 278–288.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04789.x>
- Reddy, A. S. N. (2007). Alternative splicing of pre-messenger RNAs in plants in the genomic era. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 58, 267– 294.<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103754>
- Schmöger, M. E. V., Oven, M., & Grill, E. (2000). Detoxification of arsenic by phytochelatins in plants. Plant Physiology, 122, 793–801. [https://d](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.122.3.793) [oi.org/10.1104/pp.122.3.793](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.122.3.793)
- Skipsey, M., Davis, B. G., & Edwards, R. (2005). Diversification in substrate usage by glutathione synthetases from soya bean (Glycine max), wheat (Triticum aestivum) and maize (Zea mays). Biochemical Journal, 391, 567–574.<https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20050718>
- Sneller, F. E. C., Heerwaarden, L. M., Koevoets, P. L. M., Vooijs, R., Schat, H., & Verkleij, J. A. C. (2000). Derivatization of phytochelatins from Silene vulgaris, induced upon exposure to arsenate and cadmium: Comparison of derivatization with Ellman's reagent and monobromobimane. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry, 48, 4014–4019. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9903105>
- Song, W. Y., Park, J., Mendoza-Cózatl, D. G., Suter-Grotemeyer, M., Shim, D., Hörtensteiner, S., ... Schroeder, J. I. (2010). Arsenic tolerance in Arabidopsis is mediated by two ABCC-type phytochelatin

transporters. PNAS, 107, 21187–21192. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pna](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013964107) [s.1013964107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013964107)

- Song, W. Y., Sohn, E. J., Martinoia, E., Lee, Y. J., Yang, Y. Y., Jasinski, M., ... Lee, Y. (2003). Engineering tolerance and accumulation of lead and cadmium in transgenic plants. Nature Biotechnology, 21, 914–919. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt850>
- Song, W. Y., Yamaki, T., Yamaji, N., Ko, D., Jung, K. H., Fujii-Kashino, M., ... Ma, J. F. (2014). A rice ABC transporter, OsABCC1, reduces arsenic accumulation in the grain. PNAS, 111, 15699–15704. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414968111>
- Sriprachote, A., Kanyawongha, P., Ochiai, K., & Matoh, T. (2012). Current situation of cadmium-polluted paddy soil, rice and soybean in the Mae Sot District, Tak Province, Thailand. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 58, 349–359.<https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2012.686435>
- Su, Y. H., McGrath, S. P., & Zhao, F. J. (2010). Rice is more efficient in arsenite uptake and translocation than wheat and barley. Plant and Soil, 328, 27–34.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0074-2>
- Syed, N. H., Kalyna, M., Marquez, Y., Barta, A., & Brown, J. W. S. (2012). Alternative splicing in plants–coming of age. Trends in Plant Science, 17, 616–623.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.06.001>
- Toki, S., Hara, N., Ono, K., Onodera, H., Tagiri, A., Oka, S., & Tanaka, H. (2006). Early infection of scutellum tissue with Agrobacterium allows high-speed transformation of rice. The Plant Journal, 47, 969–976. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02836.x>
- Toppi, L. S., & Gabbrielli, R. (1999). Response to cadmium in higher plants. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 41, 105–130. [https://d](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(98)00058-6) [oi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472\(98\)00058-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(98)00058-6)
- Ueno, D., Yamaji, N., Kono, I., Huang, C. F., Ando, T., Yano, M., & Ma, J. F. (2010). Gene limiting cadmium accumulation in rice. PNAS, 107, 16500–16505.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005396107>
- Uraguchi, S., Tanaka, N., Hofmann, C., Abiko, K., Ohkama-Ohtsu, N., Weber, M., ... Kiyono, M. (2017). Phytochelatin synthase has contrasting effects on cadmium and arsenic accumulation in rice grains. Plant and Cell Physiology, 58, 1730–1742. [https://doi.org/10.1093/pc](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcx114) [p/pcx114](https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcx114)
- Vatamaniuk, O. K., Mari, S., Lu, Y. P., & Rea, P. A. (1999). AtPCS1, a phytochelatin synthase from Arabidopsis: Isolation and in vitro reconstitution. PNAS, 96, 7110–7115.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.12.7110>
- Vatamaniuk, O. K., Mari, S., Lu, Y. P., & Rea, P. A. (2000). Mechanism of heavy metal ion activation of phytochealtin (PC) synthase: Blocked thiol are sufficient for PC synthase-catalyzed transpeptidation of glutathione and related thiol peptides. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 275, 31451–31459.<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M002997200>
- Verbruggen, N., Hermans, C., & Schat, H. (2009). Mechanisms to cope with arsenic or cadmium excess in plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 12, 364–372.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.05.001>
- Wachter, A., Wolf, S., Steininger, H., Bogs, J., & Rausch, T. (2005). Differential targeting of GSH1 and GSH2 is achieved by multiple transcription initiation: Implications for the compartmentation of glutathione biosynthesis in the Brassicaceae. The Plant Journal, 41, 15–30.
- Williams, P. N., Lei, M., Sun, G., Huang, Q., Lu, Y., Deacon, C., ... Zhu, Y. G. (2009). Occurrence and partitioning of cadmium, arsenic and lead in mine impacted paddy rice: Hunan, China. Environmental Science and Technology, 43, 637–642.<https://doi.org/10.1021/es802412r>
- Wong, C. K. E., & Cobbett, C. S. (2009). HMA P-type ATPases are the major mechanism for root-to-shoot Cd translocation in Arabidopsis thaliana. New Phytologist, 181, 71–78. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02638.x) [1469-8137.2008.02638.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02638.x)
- Yamane, T. (1989). Mechanisms and counter-measures of arsenic toxicity to rice plant. Bulletin of the Shikoku Agricultural Experiment Station, 24, 1–95. (in Japanese).
- Yang, J., Gao, M. X., Hu, H., Ding, X. M., Lin, H. W., Wang, L., ... Wu, C. (2016). OsCLT1, a CRT-like transporter 1, is required for glutathione homeostasis and arsenic tolerance in rice. New Phytologist, 211, 658– 670.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13908>

Zhao, F. J., Ago, Y., Mitani, N., Li, R. Y., Su, Y. H., Yamaji, N., ... Ma, Y. (2010). The role of the rice aquaporin Lsi1 in arsenite efflux from roots. New Phytologist, 186, 392–399.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Yamazaki S, Ueda Y, Mukai A, Ochiai K, Matoh T. Rice phytochelatin synthases OsPCS1 and OsPCS2 make different contributions to cadmium and arsenic tolerance. Plant Direct. 2018;2:1–15. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.34) [10.1002/pld3.34](https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.34)