## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Restricting maintenance allopurinol dose according to kidney function in patients with gout is inappropriate!

Correspondence Professor Lisa Stamp, Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch, P.O. Box 4345, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand. Tel.: +64 3 364 0253; Fax: +64 3 364 0935; E-mail: lisa.stamp@cdhb.health.nz

Received 29 August 2018; Accepted 12 October 2018

Lisa K. Stamp<sup>1</sup>, Daniel F.B. Wright<sup>2</sup> and Nicola Dalbeth<sup>3</sup>

 $^1$ Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch, Christchurch, New Zealand,  $^2$ School of Pharmacy, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand, and <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

We are concerned to read the paper by Laville et al. evaluating the appropriate prescribing of medications, including allopurinol, in people with chronic kidney disease [1]. We agree with the authors that hyperuricaemia is common in this population, but we disagree with the overall message presented by the authors that allopurinol maintenance doses must be restricted according to kidney function. Allopurinol, a xanthine oxidase inhibitor, not a uricosuric as stated in the manuscript, is one of the first-line urate lowering therapies for the management of gout. Current gout guidelines recommend reduction of serum urate to < 0.36 or < 0.30 mmol  $l^{-1}$  in those with more severe disease for optimal control of gout [2, 3]. It is well recognized that restricting the dose of allopurinol based on kidney function results in the majority of people with gout failing to achieve target serum urate concentrations [4]. The most concerning adverse effect of allopurinol is the rare but potentially fatal allopurinol hypersensitivity syndrome (AHS) which typically occurs in the first 6–8 weeks after stating allopurinol. There are a number of risk factors for AHS, including impaired kidney function and allopurinol dose [5]. Furthermore, in those who develop AHS, impaired kidney function is associated with poorer outcomes [6].

It is important when considering allopurinol dosing as a risk factor for AHS that the starting dose and the maintenance dose are distinguished. There is evidence that starting doses of greater than 1.5 mg of allopurinol per unit of eGFR (in ml min<sup>-1</sup>) are associated with an increased risk of AHS [7]. It is therefore recommended that allopurinol be commenced at a maximum dose of 100 mg daily and the lower dose of 50 mg daily in those with CKD stage 3 or worse [2]. However, in people who have tolerated allopurinol, there is clear evidence that gradually increasing the dose above those based on kidney function is safe and effective even in people with chronic kidney disease [8-12]. There is no evidence that limiting the maintenance dose reduces the risk of AHS [7, 13].

Furthermore, even in people receiving dialysis, there is evidence that oxypurinol is cleared efficiently and allopurinol doses may not need to be restricted [14]. The authors' dogmatic stance that allopurinol dosing must be restricted is outdated and will without doubt lead to worse outcomes for people with gout.

## **Competing Interests**

L.K.S. reports grants from Health Research Council of New Zealand, during the conduct of the study, grants from Ardea Biosciences, grants from Health Research Council of New Zealand, and speaker from Amgen outside the submitted work; D.F.B.W. has nothing to disclose; and N.D. reports grants from Health Research Council of New Zealand, during the conduct of the study, grants from Health Research Council of New Zealand, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, grants and personal fees from Ardea Biosciences, grants from Amgen, personal fees from Takeda, personal fees from Horizon, and personal fees from Kowa, outside the submitted work.

## References

- 1 Laville SM, Metzger M, Stengel B, Jacquelinet C, Combe C, Fouque D, et al. Evaluation of the adequacy of drug prescriptions in patients with chronic kidney disease: results from the CKD-REIN cohort. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2018; 84: 2811-23.
- 2 Khanna D, Fitzgerald J, Khanna P, Sangmee B, Singh M, Neogi T, et al. 2012 American College of Rheumatology guidelines for the management of gout. Part 1: systematic nonpharmacologic and



- pharmacologic therapeutic approaches to hyperuricemia. Arthritis Care Res 2012; 64: 1431-46.
- 3 Richette P, Doherty M, Pascual E, Barskova V, Becce F, Castaneda-Sanabria J, et al. 2016 updated EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the management of gout. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 29-42.
- 4 Dalbeth N, Kumar S, Stamp LK, Gow P. Dose adjustment of allopurinol according to creatinine clearance does not provide adequate control of hyperuricaemia in patients with gout. J Rheumatol 2006; 33: 1646-50.
- 5 Stamp L, Day R, Yun J. Allopurinol hypersensitivity: investigating the cause and minimizing the risk. Nat Rev Rheum 2016: 12: 235-42.
- 6 Chung W-H, Chang W-C, Stocker S, Juo C-G, Graham G, Lee M-H, et al. Insights into the poor prognosis of allopurinol-induced severe cutaneous adverse reactions: the impact of renal insufficiency, high plasma levels of oxypurinol and granulysin. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 2157-64.
- **7** Stamp L, Taylor W, Jones P, Dockerty J, Drake J, Frampton C, et al. Starting dose, but not maximum maintenance dose, is a risk factor for allopurinol hypersensitivity syndrome: a proposed safe starting dose of allopurinol. Arthritis Rheum 2012; 64: 2529–36.
- 8 Stamp L, Chapman P, Barclay M, Horne A, Frampton C, Tan P, et al. A randomised controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of allopurinol dose escalation to achieve target serum urate in people with gout. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 1522-8.

- 9 Stamp L, Chapman P, Barclay M, Horne A, Frampton C, Tan P, et al. Allopurinol dose escalation to achieve serum urate below 6 mg/dL: an open label extension study. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 2065-70.
- 10 Stamp L, Chapman P, Barclay M, Horne A, Frampton C, Tan P, et al. The effect of kidney function on the urate lowering effect and safety of increasing allopurinol above doses based on creatinine clearance: a post hoc analysis of a randomized clinical trial. Arthritis Res Ther 2017: 19: 283.
- 11 Becker M, Fitz-Patrick D, Choi H, Dalbeth N, Storgarde C, Cravetsf M, et al. An open-label, 6-month study of allopurinol safety in gout: the LASSO study. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2015; 2015: 174-83.
- 12 White W, Saag K, Becker M, Borer J, Gorelick P, Whelton A, et al. Cardiovascular safety of febuxostat or allopurinol in patients with gout. N Engl J Med 2018. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1710895.
- 13 Vazquez-Mellado J, Meono Morales E, Pacheco-Tena C, Burgos-Vargas R. Relationship between adverse events associated with allopurinol and renal function in patients with gout. Ann Rheum Dis 2001; 60: 981-3.
- 14 Wright D, Doogue M, Barclay M, Chapman P, Cross N, Irvine J, et al. A population pharmacokinetic model to predict oxypurinol exposure in patients on haemodialysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2017; 73: 71-8.