



Published in final edited form as:

Prev Med. 2019 June ; 123: 71–83. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2019.03.004.

Awareness, Understanding, Use, and Impact of the UV Index: A Systematic Review of Over Two Decades of International Research

Carolyn J. Heckman, PhD^a, Katherine Liang^b, and Mary Riley^a

^aRutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, 195 Little Albany Street New Brunswick, NJ, 08903, USA

^bTemple University, 3500 N Broad St, Philadelphia, PA 19140, USA

Abstract

The ultraviolet radiation index (UVI) was adopted internationally to raise awareness about and encourage the public to protect their skin from skin cancer. The current paper is a systematic review of over 20 years of research investigating awareness, comprehension, use, and impact of the UVI. Thirty-one studies were included from the USA, Canada, Europe, Australia, New Zealand, and elsewhere. Awareness of the UVI varies by country, with samples from some countries demonstrating high awareness. However, comprehension and use of the UVI to inform sun safety behaviors are typically much lower. In fact, greater UVI awareness has sometimes been associated with riskier UV-related behaviors such as intentional tanning. Fewer studies have evaluated interventions, and their results have been mixed. In summary, more research is needed to determine how to help the public understand and use the UVI for effective skin protection. This review offers suggestions for future use of and research with the UVI.

Keywords

UV index; systematic review; skin cancer prevention; health behavior; international

INTRODUCTION

Ultraviolet radiation ¹ can cause damage to the skin and eyes as well as suppress the immune system². UVR is the primary and most preventable risk factor for melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancers, which affect millions of people annually worldwide².

The UV index (UVI) was first developed in Canada in 1992 and adopted by the United States National Weather Service (NWS) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as

Corresponding Author: Carolyn J. Heckman, PhD, Associate Professor, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, ch842@rutgers.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

well as the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and World Health Organization (WHO) in 1994. The UVI, a measure of the UVR levels at the Earth's surface, was published in 1995 as a result of a collaboration between the WMO, WHO, United Nations Environment Programme, the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, and the German Federal Office for Radiation Protection³.

The UVI was intended to raise awareness and alert the public to the need for sun protection in order to reduce skin cancer risk. Although various versions of the UVI existed around the world before the standardization of the Global Solar UVI in 2002, they shared many similar qualities. Despite slight changes in color scheme, labeled exposure categories, and range of values, the actual UVR levels have not changed. The values of the UVI range from 1 to 11+ and are associated with varying recommendations for sun protection. For example, at a UVI value of 3, sun protection is recommended (i.e., seeking shade during midday, increasing clothing coverage, sunscreen and hat use), and at a UVI value of 8, extra sun protection is recommended (i.e., avoid being outdoors during midday, greater emphasis on sun protection)³.

Italia and Rehfuss⁴ conducted a systematic review of 25 studies to examine the effectiveness of the UVI and its impact on five outcome categories: familiarity, knowledge, attitude, behavior, and sun exposure. Overall, the researchers found low to intermediate levels of UVI awareness, low levels of UVI understanding, and minimal influence of UVI on sun protection. The authors concluded that implementation of the UVI had not resulted in widespread improvement of sun protection or reduction of sun exposure.

The purpose of the current paper is to explore UVI awareness, use, and impact on skin cancer risk and risk reduction behaviors by extending the work of Italia and Rehfuss⁴ and including newer studies (those conducted after 2010). In order to best expand upon their work, we modeled our search strategy and data collection methods on theirs. The current paper additionally presents studies related to UVI and various outcomes organized by geographic region (North America, Australia/New Zealand, Europe, and other countries) in order to help assess potential differences in study outcomes across regions with varying public health campaigns and UVR levels. Use and impact of the UVI in experimental and non-experimental studies were also distinguished.

METHODS

Search strategy

Title/abstract search terms included any of the following terms: “UV index”, “UV indexes”, “UV indices”, “UVI”, “ultraviolet radiation index”, “UVR index”, “solar index”, “UV forecast*”, “UV radiation forecast*”, “ultraviolet index”, “solar ultraviolet index”, OR “solar ultraviolet radiation”. We also combined (using the Boolean operator ‘AND’) those terms with any of the following: “familiar*”, “understand” “comprehend”, “comprehension”, “know*”, “aware*”, “perception”, “perceive”, “attitude”, “intervention”, “behavior”, OR “behaviour”. We conducted our searches of peer-reviewed published papers in PubMed, Embase, EBM (Evidence Based Medicine) Reviews, and ScienceDirect. When available, “related content” in the Wiley Online Library and bibliographies was also

searched. We limited the search to humans and papers published from 1994, the year the global solar UVI was adopted by the WHO and WMO, through June 2017.

Data collection

Papers were first analyzed for relevance based on title and abstract. Papers were included that addressed any combination of the UVI search terms and outcomes. Outcomes were reviewed related to: 1) awareness or understanding of the UVI, 2) sun exposure or protective behaviors in association with UVI, and 3) impact of UVI interventions. If the preliminary screening was inconclusive, the full text was screened for relevance. Records were excluded if they were not available in English or failed to address the UVI specifically even if they included terms like “solar ultraviolet radiation” or “ultraviolet radiation”. Records were also excluded for only doing the following: assessing UVR and its adverse effects, comparing UVR measuring devices, discussing skin damage and skin cancer, measuring or assessing personal UVR exposure, or educating the public about UVI or UVR without assessment. Because of the differences in study design and outcomes among the papers, meta-analysis was not appropriate.

RESULTS/DISCUSSION

Overview

See Figure 1 for PRISMA flow diagram. Data extraction was conducted for 31 papers that were included in the systematic review. Papers were organized by outcome and into the following geographic regions: North America, Australia and New Zealand, Europe, and other. Ten papers addressed more than one outcome, and therefore, are reported more than once. Random sampling was a common method of recruitment ($n = 15$), which can increase the representativeness of a sample. Other studies utilized a convenience ($n = 10$) or quota sampling ($n = 5$) strategy. Klostermann and colleagues⁵ sampled the total population in their study. Studies ranged in sample size from 26 to 30,451, with only three studies containing fewer than 100 participants. Most non interventional studies utilized a cross-sectional design with self-reported data. This design does not allow for conclusions of causality and can be limited by selection and recall biases as well as social desirability. However, stronger designs including observations, weekly diaries, and serial cross-sectional surveys were used by Andersen and colleagues⁶, Reeder and colleagues⁷, and Alberink and colleagues⁸, respectively. Three intervention studies had a pre-post design, and five were randomized control trials. Randomized controlled trials allow for a better understanding of the true effect of an intervention on a sample. Few intervention studies reported explicit use of specified behavior change theories. See Table 1 for summaries of the studies included. The research on each outcome by geographic region is reviewed and discussed below.

Awareness and Understanding of the UVI

USA—In the US in the 1990’s, one study found that over half of television and newspaper media reported the UVI, and a similar proportion of a sample of adults reported awareness and overall understanding of the UVI. Of US television stations and newspapers surveyed by Geller and colleagues⁹, 61-71% reported the UVI to the public. Seven hundred adults were also surveyed, with 64% indicating awareness of the UVI; of these, almost all were able to

accurately describe the UVI (90%). However, 15% of participants reported that the UVI was difficult to understand. No more recent US studies on awareness or understanding were identified.

Australia/New Zealand—Awareness of the UVI has ranged from 64% to 93% in Australia^{10,8, 11,12,13}. In one study, the UVR forecast had been viewed or heard by 64% of the sample with sources including television (80%), radio (55%), and newspapers (30%)¹⁰. Differences in awareness/understanding have not been found between public health officials and the general public¹⁰, rural and urban dwellers¹⁰, or men and women^{10,8}. In contrast to the overwhelming majority of participants in several studies who reported awareness of the UVI through various media outlets, fewer participants from Australia demonstrated understanding when prompted to answer knowledge questions^{11,13,14}. For example, among 404 Perth residents, only 5% noticed the UVI forecast for that particular day, 61% did not understand that UVI and temperature are not directly associated, and 55% of participants did not realize that UVR levels are highest at solar noon¹⁴.

Bulliard and colleagues¹⁶ found that a little less than half of New Zealand participants were aware of the UVI, but 94% of those reported understanding it. However, 89% were aware of burn time (an estimate of the time it takes to burn); though, only 66% had adequate understanding of it. Similar trends in awareness and understanding of UVI were discovered among primary school students in New Zealand¹⁵. Researchers found that although year 8 students tended to have better understanding of UVI, sun exposure, and protection when compared to year 4 students; over half (57%) of the year 8 students reported that the UVI was difficult to understand¹⁵. Public awareness and understanding of the UVI may be lower in New Zealand than Australia, potentially due to New Zealand's concurrent and historical public use of burn time.

In summary, public awareness and general understanding of UVI is fairly high in Australia; although discrepancies exist between awareness and comprehension. Although fewer people were aware of UVI in New Zealand, a greater percentage of those people were able to adequately describe it. Some common misunderstandings include the incorrect association of UVI with temperature and not recognizing that the UVI varies throughout the day, reaching its peak around noon.

Europe—Overall in Europe, awareness and especially understanding of the UVI is lower compared to other regions. A study found that only 27% of the Swedish participants had heard of the UVI; although, 41% of those believed that they became better informed about UVR because of the UVI¹⁸. In the UK, researchers found that 67% of participants had heard of the UVI¹⁷. However, of those, only 40% were able to correctly identify a “high” UVI value, and only 8% were able to recall the UVI value on that day.

Several studies have been conducted in Germany among varied samples that have also found low awareness and understanding of UVI. Borner and colleagues¹⁹ found that only 27% of their sample had heard of the UVI. Of those, only 18% correctly identified the meaning of it. There was a significant positive association between knowledge of UVI and level of education. Klostermann and colleagues⁵ surveyed parents of children in Germany and found

that a quarter reported no knowledge of the UVI. Another German study found that although 30% of a sample of 40 outdoor workers were aware of the UVI, only 13% understood at what UVI sun protection is recommended. Although most participants were aware that increased UVR exposure is associated with increased risk of skin cancer, few were able to describe adequate sun protection measures²⁰.

Overall, less than half of Northern European study participants had some awareness of UVI, and fewer still could correctly identify aspects of the UVI. The low awareness and understanding of the UVI in Northern Europe is likely due to low media coverage and lower UVR intensity compared to regions in southern latitudes¹⁹. This may be particularly detrimental to 1) those in an outdoor occupation who may underestimate the effects of chronic UVR exposure as well as to 2) individuals who travel to southern locations for vacation and sunburn more quickly than they had expected. Although there is some association between knowledge of UVI and evidence of higher education (i.e., at least a high school diploma)¹⁹, low awareness and understanding remains consistent across other demographic variables.

Other Countries—Similarly, studies conducted on student populations in China and South Africa concluded that half or fewer students reported awareness of the UVI, and even fewer understood the UVI. Chinese researchers noted that approximately half of a sample of 385 medical university students reported UVI awareness. Only 5% understood the meaning of the UVI, and of these, only 26% correctly identified the number of levels in the UVI²². However, pale skin has historically been favored in China, and skin protection via sunscreen, clothing, and parasols is common³⁶. In South Africa, Wright and colleagues^{21, 15} found that among a sample 7th grade students, only 29% were aware of the UVI, and among those, 19% reported seeing it on television. Public dissemination of the UVI is minimal in South Africa.

Relationship of UVI with sun exposure and protective behaviors

USA/Canada—Despite some awareness of the UVI in weather forecasts, there has been variable association between UVI and its use among the general public. In one study, only 38% of 448 US respondents reported that they or their family changed their sun protection behaviors (i.e., used shade, sunscreen, or hats) as a result of the UVI.⁹ Another study found that when controlling for temperature, the UVI was significantly and positively associated with shade use among vacationers in the US and Canada⁶.

Although exposure to the UVI has been reported to improve some sun protection behaviors, it may also worsen these behaviors. Geller and colleagues⁹ found that 40% of respondents who were aware of the UVI used this information to determine the “best” time to tan. Additionally, Jones and associates²³ found that among white male high school students, as the average UVI increased, sunscreen use decreased, and the odds of never wearing sunscreen increased. In the US and Canada, researchers also found a weak negative association between the use of sun protective clothing and the UVI²³ and a statistically significant association between increased sunburn odds and high awareness of the UVI²⁴.

Thus, researchers need to help public health professionals determine how the UVI might be used to promote skin protection without unintended consequences.

Australia/NZ—Similarly, less than half of respondents in Australia and New Zealand who had heard of the UVI reported that the UVI influenced their sun protection. For example, Krickler and colleagues¹⁰ surveyed a representative sample of 504 adults and 17 health professionals in New South Wales. Of the 64% of adults and 65% of health professionals who reported awareness of UVI, only 24% and 47%, respectively, reported that they used this information to determine personal or recommended sun protection. In a study that stratified results based on gender and time of year, 28% of men and 46% of women in the summer and 22% of men and 39% of women in the winter used the forecast to influence their sun-protective behavior⁸. In New Zealand, 80% of individuals stated that daily UVI had no influence on their sun protective behaviors¹³ and no significant association was identified between UVI levels and clothing coverage⁷. One study even found that 63% of participants perceived the burn time to be more useful than the UVI in guiding sun protection¹⁶. In summary, far fewer Australians or New Zealanders who are aware of the UVI use it to guide their sun exposure or protection behavior.

Europe—Research conducted in European countries has also found generally low levels of UVI use. Among 1,094 Swedish adults, researchers found that of the 27% who had heard of the UVI, only 24% reported that they altered their sun habits as a result¹⁸. However, the majority of participants (57%) believed that it would be good or very good if newspapers presented a daily report of the UVI¹⁸. Morris and associates¹⁷ found that of 67% of UVI-aware participants in Southwest England, 60% reported that UVI did not impact their behavior. Also in the UK, Diffey and colleagues²⁵ found that about one third of respondents reported that they had used the UVI at least once to plan their sun exposure. Use of the UVI did not have a significant effect on the adoption of two or more sun protection methods (i.e., shade, clothing, sunscreen). However, respondents who said they used the UVI also reported a lower incidence of recent sunburn.

In Germany, one study found that about two thirds of UVI-aware participants acknowledged that the UVI influenced their sun protective behavior¹⁹. However, in another study, Klostermann and colleagues⁵ found that although most children received some form of sun protection (69-89% shade, clothes, or sunscreen), only 8% of parents reported that UVI had an impact on these behaviors. Disuse of UVI was positively associated with holidays in mountainous regions, suggesting that participants may not consider mountainous regions to have a high UVI.

Some researchers found that people who travel to high UVI locales engage in more risky sun exposure behavior than non-travelers. In France, Ezzedine and colleagues^{26,27} surveyed expatriate travelers and non-expatriates and found that expatriates generally engaged in more sunbathing or outdoor activities during their lifetime, particularly women. Expatriate men reported experiencing more intensive sun exposure than non-expatriates during their lifetime. Similarly, researchers found that travelers reported more frequent sun exposure and placed greater emphasis on tanning, especially among women. Expatriates were less informed about sun exposure risks than other health exposure risks in their country of stay.

Sun protection behaviors were similar across groups. Although it is not clear whether knowledge of the UVI specifically influenced their sun-seeking behavior, it is likely that respondents had some awareness of the relatively high UVR levels in southern latitudes²⁷.

In summary, less than half of respondents in Europe who had heard of the UVI reported that the UVI influenced their sun exposure or protection. Awareness or use of the UVI has been associated with both lower risk (i.e., less sunburn) and higher risk (i.e., more sun exposure) behaviors.

Impact of UVI interventions

USA/Canada—Whereas the previous section examined the association between existing awareness and knowledge of the UVI on sun-related behaviors, this section focuses on the impact of targeted UVI-related interventions on knowledge, intentions, and behavior. Geller and associates²⁸ evaluated the US EPA’s SunWise School Program. Participating schools utilized UVR measurements and classroom lessons regarding the ozone, the importance of sun protection, and the provision of UVR data to schools and communities. Researchers noted that following the program, children in the intervention condition were more likely to wear long-sleeved shirts and intend to play in the shade compared to the control group. Buller and colleagues conducted two RCTs to evaluate the efficacy of the sun safety mobile application (app), Solar Cell^{29, 30}, as well as an hourly UVI. In the first study, those randomized to the app reported more shade use but less sunscreen use than controls, with no significant difference in the number of sunburns. Participants may have believed that sunscreen is unnecessary when in the shade, thus cancelling out the risk and protective effects of behavior change on sunburns. Although only about 41% of participants in the treatment group used the app, app use was associated with greater sun protection²⁹. In the second study, those randomized to the app reported greater use of the app than in the prior study and also reported wearing more sun protection clothing, particularly among women³⁰. These findings suggest that engaging US adults in app-based interventions can modify sun protection behaviors in relation to the UVI. However, prior studies have also noted that app use can vary widely³⁷.

Australia—Despite the fairly large number of studies that have assessed UVI awareness, understanding, and associations with behavior in Australia or New Zealand, only one randomized intervention trial was identified. Australian researchers found no significant association between weather forecasts with or without the UVI or sun protection messages and sun protection behavior or sunburn after 18 weeks³¹. However, in this study, the authors note that participants had high baseline skin protection awareness, the survey may have functioned as an intervention, and there may have been an effect of social desirability.

Europe—In various European countries, researchers have demonstrated mixed results regarding associations between the UVI interventions and sun protective behavior. A RCT of 1,743 Swedish participants found that although knowledge increased among all intervention groups, information about the UVI, the use of a personal UVR intensity indicator, or their combination did not decrease sunbathing or sunburn any more than general written information about UVR exposure and sun protection³². Researchers hypothesized that: 1) at

the time, the UVI was only available on the Internet and a handful of newspapers, 2) the UVI may be more appropriate in countries with higher ambient UVR intensity, and 3) the detailed information about the UVI, UVR, sun protection, and the UVR sensor may have been too complicated for participants.

Similar results were found in a study in Italy in which medical students were randomized to a commercially available UVI sensor or a control condition. Contrary to hypotheses, the intervention group noted longer sun exposure, lower use of sun protection (i.e., sunglasses, hats), and higher frequency of sunburns. This may be due to the low-cost UVI sensors' under-reporting UVR exposure, causing participants to incorrectly believe that sun risk was low³³. In a study by Sachse and co-authors³⁴, Austrian and German participants received in-person sun protection training as well as "traffic light"-type UVI warnings and sun protection reminder text messages for four weeks. Knowledge of the term UVI increased significantly post training. Researchers found that 58% of participants increased their sunscreen use on high UVI (over 8) days.

In summary, results of UVI-related interventions are mixed in terms of modifications in sun protection behaviors in European studies^{31,32}. Researchers in Europe believe that such findings may be due to unfamiliarity with the UVI, especially in countries that do not normally experience intense UVR. Australian researchers suggest that the provision of UVI forecasts in relevant settings (i.e., on a sports event scoreboard when the public is already outdoors) may be more beneficial than repeated exposure to UVR forecasts in a more general and less relevant setting. Researchers also suggest that the provision of UVR forecasts could be counterproductive, providing a false sense of security or that it may encourage people to tan³¹.

Colombia—In Colombia, a prospective intervention study was conducted before and after a widespread sun protection campaign that utilized a brochure, radio, UVI digital displays on electronic billboards, and local television programs. Although about half of participants were lost to follow up, researchers found significant increases in knowledge about sun protection and the UVI but no significant increase in the use of sunscreen³⁵. The authors report that changes in knowledge were associated with exposures to the UVI brochure and television.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

The strengths of this paper include the systematic review of over 20 years of international research on UVI awareness, comprehension, use, and impact on sun-related behaviors. Many of the individual studies included large sample sizes but were also typically cross-sectional and self-report in nature. Limitations of the review are the inclusion of only papers published in the peer-reviewed English literature. Gray literature was not included, but almost all of the studies from the gray literature included in Italia and Rehfuess's prior review were rated as weak in quality³. Despite including 12 additional studies published after 2010, we reached similar conclusions to Italia and Rehfuess³. Although we noted strengths and limitations of the studies reviewed, we did not conduct a formal quality assessment. Based on our overall review, the quality of the studies appeared variable, for example, with few studies being

randomized controlled trials. However, we are doubtful that a formal quality assessment would significantly alter our conclusions. Additionally, for some outcomes and geographic regions, there was only one study available, so excluding consideration of a lower quality study might not be advantageous.

Although the UVI was intended to raise public awareness about the dangers of excessive UVR exposure and to improve sun protective behavior, global research findings have been mixed. In contrast to promising results regarding high levels of UVI awareness in studies conducted in the USA, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, lower levels of UVI awareness have been found in Europe and elsewhere. Some European researchers hypothesize that lower awareness levels may be due to the relatively lower UVI in those regions. Regardless of awareness of the UVI, comprehension and understanding of the UVI were consistently lower across regions.

This discrepancy suggests that even if individuals notice the UVI displayed in the media, many do not understand it enough to inform their sun-related behaviors. Though some individuals use the UVI to inform their skin protection behavior, many do not. It is well-known that although knowledge is often necessary to inform behavior, it is rarely sufficient³⁸. Moreover, some researchers in the US and France found that the UVI may even be positively associated with risky sun exposure^{9,26,27}. Such findings suggest that although there is some public awareness of the UVI, its promotion and use is largely inadequate. Mechanisms potentially influencing the relationship between the UVI and behavior were not well-described in the cross-sectional studies.

Fewer studies evaluated the impact of UVI-related interventions on behavior than evaluated the other two outcomes, and results have been mixed. The results of the available RCTs are inconclusive in terms of effects of UVI-specific interventions on sun safety behavior. In the US, results from interventions conducted through a school program²⁸ or an app for adults were found to improve some skin protection outcomes^{29, 30}. It should be noted that the app also presented general sun protection information, not just the UVI. However, studies from several other countries demonstrated no intervention effect on behavior. A small Italian intervention study³³ even demonstrated more UVR exposure and less skin protection after intervention among medical students.

Especially given this possibility for a negative impact, better education about the UVI and how it could be used to inform sun protection would need to be provided to the public. Even among high-awareness individuals, there is a need to conduct more research to determine how best to communicate information about the UVI, improve comprehension, and increase the impact of the UVI on sun protection behavior³⁹. Many people are motivated to tan for appearance enhancement, and this has not been well-addressed in the UVI literature. Indeed, the UVI has been used by some to facilitate tanning and potentially justify unprotected exposure. There is more research on successful appearance-focused^{40, 41} and other types of interventions to improve sun safety^{42, 43, 44} than on the use of UVI to modify behavior. One of the other barriers to sun protection is that people do not believe they need to protect themselves at certain times or in certain places, and they make decisions about their skin protection based on temperature or burning rather than UVI. Little attention was paid to the

potential impact of various settings on UVI use. For example, sun protection would likely vary for outdoor versus indoor workers or at school versus at home. Similarly, the Global Solar Index Workshop determined that although the UVI is a useful tool to influence sun protection behavior, it could be improved by adapting it to fit varying countries' conditions⁴⁵.

Would a more nuanced message advising a variety of behaviors based on an imperceptible and changing UVI produce greater behavior change? One of the challenges related to the use of the UVI is that it is fairly complex with regard to behavior change. For example, it changes across hours, days, weeks, months, and years as well as geographic locales and environments within locales (e.g., near the water). At different values, individuals are advised to engage in multiple different sun safety behaviors. People are most successful at increasing and maintaining healthy behaviors (e.g., hand washing) when they become habits. Other than checking the UVI daily, most of the other recommendations related to the UVI essentially preclude habit formation since it has not necessarily been recommended to wear sunscreen during all daylight hours every day all year-round, for example.

Simplification of behavioral messages such as only differentiating between low, moderate, and high UVI, with easily-differentiated behavioral recommendations for each level could be more useful than highly-nuanced messages. More basic behavioral research is needed to inform future interventions. For example, it would be important to determine how many and what types of numbers, colors, images, messages, and behaviors people can attend to most effectively. This type of research should be conducted before future widespread public campaigns. There are extant literatures on health literacy, numeracy, and communication upon which to draw. On the other hand, simple messages such as “wear sunscreen” or “avoid intentional tanning” are sometimes ignored without awareness of the importance of these behaviors in reducing the risk for sunburn, skin cancer, and skin aging and addressing practical issues such as convenience and comfort.

There is also a need for further studies of models, mechanisms, and constructs from psychosocial theory such as self-efficacy and barriers to behavior change, which have been underutilized³⁹, as well as newer promising effective means of communicating the UVI such as text messages and social media. Additionally, there are a couple of additional methodologic issues that could particularly strengthen intervention research in this area: 1) isolation of the effects of UVI-related interventions from other sun protection intervention components and 2) increasing sample size and statistical power in order to obtain more conclusive results. Regarding the former point, more randomized studies could be conducted in which participants receive sun protection interventions with or without a UVI component in order to help determine the independent effects of the UVI component. The Global Solar Index Workshop stressed that the existing research has not yet demonstrated that the UVI is an effective method of behavior change on its own and that it should be used in combination with other effective health communication campaigns at this point⁴⁵. The aforementioned research and intervention recommendations could help clarify and enhance the utility of the UVI for the public health.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grants P30CA072720 and P30CA006927].

REFERENCES

- Hofbauer GF, Bouwes Bavinck JN, Euvrard S. Organ transplantation and skin cancer: Basic problems and new perspectives. *Exp Dermatol*. 2010;19(6):473–482. [PubMed: 20482618]
- Meves A, Repacholi MH, Rehfuss EA. Global Solar UV Index: a physician's tool for fighting the skin cancer epidemic. *Int J Dermatol*. 2003;42(10):846–849. [PubMed: 14521708]
- Organization WH, Protection ICoN-IR. Global solar UV index: a practical guide. Geneva: World Health Organization;2002.
- Italia N, Rehfuss EA. Is the Global Solar UV Index an effective instrument for promoting sun protection? A systematic review (vol 7, pg 200, 2012). *Health Educ Res*. 2012;27(6):1129–1131.
- Klostermann S, Bolte G, Grp GS. Determinants of inadequate parental sun protection behaviour in their children - Results of a cross-sectional study in Germany. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2014;217(2-3):363–369. [PubMed: 23988730]
- Andersen PA, Buller DB, Walkosz BJ, et al. Environmental variables associated with vacationers' sun protection at warm weather resorts in North America. *Environ Res*. 2016;146:200–206. [PubMed: 26775001]
- Reeder AI, Gray AR, Ben Liley J, Scragg RKR, McKenzie RL, Stewart AW. Factors associated with photoprotection by body clothing coverage, particularly in nonsummer months, among a New Zealand community sample. *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*. 2016;15(3):389–397. [PubMed: 26888562]
- Alberink AM, Valery PC, Russell A, Green A. Do forecasts of UV indexes influence people's outdoor behaviour? *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2000;24(5):488–491. [PubMed: 11109685]
- Geller AC, Hufford D, Miller DR, et al. Evaluation of the Ultraviolet Index: media reactions and public response. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1997;37(6):935–941. [PubMed: 9418760]
- Kricker A, Armstrong B. Dissemination, Knowledge and Use of UV Indexes. In. WMO Global Atmosphere Watch. Report of the WMO-WHO Meeting of Experts on Standardization of UV Indices and Their Dissemination to the Public: WMO 1998.
- Harrison SL, Saunders V, Nowak M. Baseline survey of sun-protection knowledge, practices and policy in early childhood settings in Queensland, Australia. *Health Educ Res*. 2007;22(2):261–271. [PubMed: 16880216]
- Mair S, Soyer HP, Youl P, Hurst C, Marshall A, Janda M. Personalised electronic messages to improve sun protection in young adults. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2012;18(5):247–252. [PubMed: 22302765]
- Blunden A, Lower T, Slevin T. Knowledge, awareness, and use of the UV index amongst the West Australian public. *J Health Commun*. 2004;9(3):207–221. [PubMed: 15360034]
- Carter OB, Donovan RJ. Public (Mis)understanding of the UV Index. *J Health Commun*. 2007;12(1):41–52. [PubMed: 17365348]
- Wright CY, Reeder AI, Albers PN. School students' knowledge and understanding of the Global Solar Ultraviolet Index. *Samj South African Medical Journal*. 2015;105(12):1024–1029. [PubMed: 26792159]
- Bulliard JL, Reeder A. Getting the message across: sun protection information in media weather reports in New Zealand. *N Z Med J*. 2001;114(1126):67–70. [PubMed: 11280429]
- Morris J, Laing-Morton T, Marmo P, Curnow A. An investigation into the awareness and understanding of the ultraviolet index forecasts in the South West of England. *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*. 2011;10(1):103–108. [PubMed: 21046048]
- Wester U, Paulsson LE. The influence of a UV index on the attitudes of a Swedish population towards sun exposure. *Radiat Prot Dosimet*. 2000;91(1-3):323–324.
- Borner FU, Schutz H, Wiedemann P. The influence of the UV-index on attitudes toward sun exposure in the German population. *J Cancer Educ*. 2010;25(4):643–649. [PubMed: 20361285]

20. Hault K, Ronsch H, Beissert S, Knuschke P, Bauer A. Knowledge of outdoor workers on the effects of natural UV radiation and methods of protection against exposure. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2016;30:34–37. [PubMed: 26995021]
21. Wright CY, Albers PN, Oosthuizen MA, Phala N. Self-reported sun-related knowledge, attitudes and behaviours among schoolchildren attending South African primary schools. *Photodermatology Photoimmunology & Photomedicine*. 2014;30(5):266–276.
22. Gao Q, Liu G, Liu Y. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding solar ultraviolet exposure among medical university students in Northeast China. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B-Biology*. 2014;140:14–19.
23. Jones SE, Olsen EO, Michael SL, Saraiya M. Association of UV Index and Sunscreen Use Among White High School Students in the United States. *J Sch Health*. 2013;83(10):750–756. [PubMed: 24020690]
24. Purdue MP, Marrett LD, Peters L, Rivers JK. Predictors of sunburn among Canadian adults. *Prev Med*. 2001;33(4):305–312. [PubMed: 11570835]
25. Diffey BL, Norridge Z. Reported sun exposure, attitudes to sun protection and perceptions of skin cancer risk: a survey of visitors to Cancer Research UK's SunSmart campaign website. *Br J Dermatol*. 2009;160(6):1292–1298. [PubMed: 19416235]
26. Ezzedine K, Guinot C, Mauger E, et al. Travellers to high UV-index countries: Sun- exposure behaviour in 7822 French adults. *Travel Med Infect Dis*. 2007;5(3):176–182. [PubMed: 17448945]
27. Ezzedine K, Guinot C, Mauger E, et al. Expatriates in high-UV index and tropical countries: Sun exposure and protection behavior in 9,416 French adults. *J Travel Med*. 2007;14(2):85–91. [PubMed: 17367477]
28. Geller AC, Cantor M, Miller DR, et al. The Environmental Protection Agency's National SunWise school program: Sun protection education in US schools (1999-2000). *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2002;46(5):683–689. [PubMed: 12004307]
29. Buller DB, Berwick M, Lantz K, et al. Smartphone Mobile Application Delivering Personalized, Real-Time Sun Protection Advice: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2015;151(5):497–504. [PubMed: 25629710]
30. Buller DB, Berwick M, Lantz K, et al. Evaluation of Immediate and 12-Week Effects of a Smartphone Sun-Safety Mobile Application: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2015;151(5):505–512. [PubMed: 25629819]
31. Dixon HG, Hill DJ, Karoly DJ, Jolley DJ, Aden SM. Solar UV forecasts: a randomized trial assessing their impact on adults' sun-protection behavior. *Health Educ Behav*. 2007;34(3):486–502. [PubMed: 17435110]
32. Branstrom R, Ullen H, Brandberg Y. A randomised population-based intervention to examine the effects of the ultraviolet index on tanning behaviour. *Eur J Cancer*. 2003;39(7):968–974. [PubMed: 12706366]
33. Carli P, Crocetti E, Chiarugi A, et al. The use of commercially available personal UV-meters does cause less safe tanning habits: a randomized-controlled trial. *Photochem Photobiol*. 2008;84(3):758–763. [PubMed: 18086243]
34. Sachse MM, Bottcher S, Pape L, et al. Face-to-face Sun Protection Training and Text Messages Improve Sun Protection Behaviour in Adolescent Organ Transplant Recipients: HIPPOLINO Feasibility Study. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2016;96(3):341–345. [PubMed: 26336924]
35. Sanclemente G, Diaz A. Impact of a sun protection campaign in Medellin (Colombia). *Int J Dermatol*. 2009;48(12):1296–1302. [PubMed: 20415669]
36. Stephens PM, Martin B, Ghafari G, et al. Skin Cancer Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices among Chinese Population: A Narrative Review. *Dermatology Research and Practice*. 2018;2018:9.
37. Rathbone AL, Prescott J. The Use of Mobile Apps and SMS Messaging as Physical and Mental Health Interventions: Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2017;19(8):e295. [PubMed: 28838887]
38. Happell B, Stanton R, Hoey W, Scott D. Knowing is not doing: The relationship between health behaviour knowledge and actual health behaviours in people with serious mental illness. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*. 2014;7(3):198–204.

39. Allinson S, Asmuss M, Baldermann C, et al. Validity and use of the UV index: report from the UVI working group, Schloss Hohenkammer, Germany, 5-7 December 2011. *Health Phys.* 2012;103(3): 301–306. [PubMed: 22850235]
40. Dodd LJ, Forshaw MJ. Assessing the efficacy of appearance-focused interventions to prevent skin cancer: a systemic review of the literature. *Health Psychol Rev.* 2010.
41. Williams AL, Grogan S, Clark-Carter D, Buckley E. Appearance-based interventions to reduce ultraviolet exposure and/or increase sun protection intentions and behaviours: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2013;18(1):182–217. [PubMed: 22989352]
42. Saraiya M, Frank E, Elon L, Baldwin G, McAlpine BE. Personal and clinical skin cancer prevention practices of US women physicians. *Arch Dermatol.* 2000;136(5):633–642. [PubMed: 10815857]
43. Reinau D, Meier C, Gerber N, Hofbauer GF, Surber C. Sun protective behaviour of primary and secondary school students in North-Western Switzerland. *Swiss Med Wkly.* 2012;142:w13520. [PubMed: 22367965]
44. Rodrigues A, Sniehotta FF, Araujo-Soares V. Are interventions to promote sun-protective behaviors in recreational and tourist settings effective? A systematic review with meta-analysis and moderator analysis. *Ann Behav Med.* 2013;45(2):224–238. [PubMed: 23229160]
45. Gies P, van Deventer E, Green AC, Sinclair C, Tinker R. Review of the Global Solar UV Index 2015 Workshop Report. *Health Phys.* 2018;114(1):84–90. [PubMed: 30085971]

HIGHLIGHTS

1. Awareness of the UVI varies widely across countries and regions.
2. Comprehension and use of the UVI to inform sun safety behaviors are uncommon.
3. Greater UVI awareness has sometimes been associated with more risky behaviors.
4. Few studies have evaluated interventions, and their results have been mixed.
5. More work is needed to help the public understand and use the UVI properly.




Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram

Table 1.

Characteristic of Studies Included in the Review by Outcome and Country

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Awareness and Understanding USA	*Geller et al 1997 ⁹	Evaluation of the Ultraviolet Index: media reactions and public response	- Mailed survey - Observation - Random digit dialing phone survey	- Weathercasters from 169 television stations in 58 US cities - 54 newspapers with the highest circulation in each city - 700 adults	+ Random digit dialing + Relatively large sample size + Representation from every state, DC, and PR (media) + Oversampled high exposure cities - Used estimates of viewership to compute UVI exposure - Did not measure long term maintenance of behaviors	- 71% of stations broadcasted the UVI.61% of newspapers reported the UVI. - 64% of adults indicated that they were aware of the UVI, and of these, 90% were able to describe the UVI. 54% of respondents had heard about the UVI 5 times. 15% believed that the UVI was difficult to understand. -40% believed the UVI was useful to decide the best time to tan. - The most common sources of UVI information were television, followed by newspapers.
Australia/ New Zealand	*Krickler et al 1998 ¹⁰	Dissemination, knowledge and use of UV indexes	Market research Survey	- Random sample of 504 people ages 18 and older; 70% living in metropolitan areas of New South Wales - 17 health professionals who were part of the New South Wales Sun Protection Network	+ Random sampling - Focused on only one area - Cannot be generalized to larger population outside New South Wales	- The UV forecast had been viewed or heard by 64% of the sample. Sources were TV (80%), radio (55%), and newspapers (30%). - 47% of health professionals reported using the UVI in practice. About 18% of those used the UVI 50% of the time.
Australia/ New Zealand	*Alberink et al 2000 ⁸	Do forecasts of UV indexes influence people's outdoor behaviour?	Mailed surveys	977 residents randomly selected from the electoral roll in Queensland	+ Random sampling + Relatively large sample + High response rate (78%) + Investigated seasonal differences - Sample has taken part in prior skin cancer studies - Lack of younger participants	92% of men and 86% of women had seen or heard the UVI forecast during summer.

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Australia/ New Zealand	Harrison et al 2007 ¹¹	Baseline survey of sun-protection knowledge, practices and policy in early childhood settings in Queensland, Australia	Mailed survey	1383 directors and senior teachers of early childhood services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Did not determine type of UVI presented or if meaning was understood + Random sampling + Relatively large and unique sample - Low response rate - Under representation of certain care centers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 93% of respondents had some awareness of the UVI - Few directors understood the UVI. Pre-school teachers had lower knowledge scores than directors. - Only 20% of those who reported understanding of UVI were able to answer a UVI question correctly.
Australia/ New Zealand	Mair et al 2012 ¹²	Personalized electronic messages to improve sun protection in young adults	Online survey	141 Queensland, Australians ages 18-40 years from a pre-established panel of volunteers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Quota sampling - Internet panel based recruitment - Relatively small sample size 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 92% of participants had heard of the UVI. - Very few were able to clearly describe the UVI.
Australia/ New Zealand	*Blunden et al 2004 ¹³	Knowledge, awareness, and use of the UV index amongst the West Australian public	Phone survey	501 randomly-selected adults in Perth, Australia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Random sampling - Relatively small sample from one location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 90% of respondents had heard of the UVI. - 70% were able to correctly define UVI in line with the WHO definition. 88% knew that 10am-3pm is when UV levels are highest. - Only 5% noticed the UVI/forecast for that particular day.
Australia/ New Zealand	Carter & Donovan, 2007 ¹⁴	Public (Mis)understanding of the UV Index	Intercept interview	404 adults ages 16-44 years in Perth, Australia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Large representative sample of Australians that burn at least 1 time/year - Convenience sample - 42% refusal rate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mean estimations of average UVI values in summer and winter were highly exaggerated (20 and 12, respectively). - 61% did not appreciate that the UVI is independent of temperature. 55% did not know that UV peaks at noon. 23% of 22-44 year olds confused the UVI with burn time.
Australia/ New Zealand	*Wright et al 2015 ¹⁵	School students' knowledge and understanding of the Global Solar Ultraviolet Index	In-school survey	264 year eight and 214 year four students 8-13 years old.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Random selection of schools and classrooms - Timing of survey led to age differences - Males underrepresented - Selection bias 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 58% of year eight students answered that they had previously heard about or seen the UVI compared to 31% of year 4 students.

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Australia/ New Zealand	*Bulliard et al 2001 ¹⁶	Getting the message across: sun protection information in media weather reports in New Zealand	Phone survey	396 adults ages 16-44 years selected via random digit dialing in New Zealand	+ Random digit dialing + Quota sampling + Generalizable to NZ population (16-44 years) - Confusing questions related to burn time - Low participation rate (52.5%)	- Among the students who had seen or heard of the UVI, 43% claimed that the UVI was easy to understand. - When asked if the sun's rays get stronger as the UVI value increases, 41% of year 8 students correctly agreed. - There was greater awareness of the burn time than the UVI (89% vs 43%). - The UVI was less often used to guide sun protection (49% vs 63%) but better understood (94% vs. 66%) and more often recalled along with sun protective messages (56% vs 32%) than burn time. - Few could describe the burn time or UVI for the past Sunday.
Europe	*Morris et al 2011 ¹⁷	An investigation into the awareness and understanding of the ultraviolet index forecasts in the South West of England	In-person survey	466 residents and tourists ages 16 years and older from South West England	+ Quota sampling + Wide sampling strategy - Representative of population of South West England only	- 67% of participants had heard of the UVI (the predominant source being TV). - Only 40% were able to state correctly that a value of 7 would be considered to be 'high'. - The authors believe that awareness of the UVI in the UK did not increase drastically from 1998 to 2008.
Europe	Wester et al 2000 ¹⁸	The influence of a UV index on the attitudes of a Swedish population towards sun exposure	Phone survey	1094 Swedish adults ages 18-74 years	+ Random digit dialing + Relatively large representative sample	- 27% of Swedish adults had heard about the UVI. - Of these, 41% had become better informed about UV because of viewing or hearing about the UVI. - 57% of participants thought it would be good if newspapers presented the UVI daily.

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Europe	*Borner et al 2010 ¹⁹	The influence of the UV-index on attitudes toward sun exposure in the German population	Phone survey	1,501 German residents ages 14 years and older via random digit dialing.	+ Random digit dialing + Relatively large, representative sample	-Only 27% of the respondents had heard of the UVI before. - Less than 10% attributed the correct meaning to the UVI. - Around 33% of men and 25% of women knew of the UVI.
Europe	*Klostermann et al 2014 ⁵	Determinants of inadequate parental sun protection behaviour in their children--results of a cross-sectional study in Germany	Paper survey	Parents of 4579 Bavarian children (ages 5-6 years)	+ Total population sampling - Focused only on parents of young children	- The UVI was known to 74% of parents. - 8% of parents used the UVI to determine sun protection.
Europe	Hault et al 2016 ²⁰	Knowledge of outdoor workers on the effects of natural UV radiation and methods of protection against exposure	Paper Survey	40 outdoor workers in Germany	+ Focus on high-risk population - Convenience sample - Small sample size	- 30% had heard of the UVI. - Only 13% knew that skin should be protected when the UVI is 3.
Other	Wright et al 2014 ²¹	Self-reported sun-related knowledge, attitudes and behaviours among schoolchildren attending South African primary schools	In-school paper survey	A randomly selected sample of 707 grade 7 students from 24 government, urban schools in all nine provinces of South Africa	+ Random selection of schools and classrooms - Timing of survey led to age differences - Males underrepresented - Selection bias	-Only 29% of students had seen or heard about the UVI. - 19% of those students had seen the UVI on the television. - The UVI is not commonly broadcast in South Africa
Other	Wright et al 2015 ¹⁵	School students' knowledge and understanding of the Global Solar Ultraviolet Index	In-school paper survey	705 South African grade 7 students	+ Random selection of schools and classrooms - Timing of survey led to age differences - Males underrepresented - Selection bias	- 38% of students had heard of the UVI. - No significant difference in awareness between students of dark or fair skin types.
Other	Gao et al 2014 ²²	Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding solar ultraviolet exposure among medical university students in Northeast China	Paper survey	385 medical undergraduate students in Northeast China	+ Random sampling - Small unique sample	- 5% knew the meaning of UVI. - Only 1% gave the correct number of levels in the UVI. - Out of the 195 participants who reported use of weather forecasts, only 12% of men and 7% of women reported being 'always' or 'often' concerned about the UV forecast.

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Associations with Behavior USA/Canada	*Geller et al 1997 ⁹	Evaluation of the Ultraviolet Index: media reactions and public response	Phone survey	700 adults in 58 US cities	+ Random digit dialing + Relatively large sample size + Representation from every state, DC, and PR (media) + Oversampled high exposure cities - Used estimates of viewership to compute UV exposure - Did not measure long term maintenance of behaviors	- 38% of those who had heard of the UVI stated that they or their family changed their sun protection as a result of it. - 71% who reported a behavior change stated the alteration was "staying out of direct sunlight as much as possible".
USA/Canada	Andersen et al 2016 ⁶	Environmental variables associated with vacationers' sun protection at warm weather resorts in North America	-Interview -Intercept survey -Observation	- Vacationers at 41 summer resorts in 17 US states and 1 Canadian province were interviewed (<i>n</i> =3531) and observed (<i>n</i> =4347). - Environmental information was recorded by research staff or acquired from ground stations and the weather service.	+ Large sample + Standardized observations + High interrater reliability + Focused on current not prospective or retrospective behavior - Convenience sampling	- UVI had a significant, positive relationship with shade use. - The UVI showed a weak, positive relationship with sunscreen use and reapplication.
USA/Canada	Jones et al 2013 ^{2,5}	Association of UV index and sunscreen use among White high school students in the United States	In-school survey (Youth Risk Behavior Survey)	Nationally representative sample of 14,041 US high school students in 2007 and 16,410 in 2009	+ Random sampling + Large nationally representative sample - Survey does not distinguish seasonal differences in sun protection use - Secondary analysis of dataset - Sun protection question was not comprehensive - Utilization of proxy measure - Moderate response rate	- Among White male students only, there was a positive relationship between the mean UVI and the adjusted odds of never wearing sunscreen.
USA/Canada	Purdue et al 2001 ²⁴	Predictors of sunburn among Canadian adults	Phone survey (1996 National Survey on Sun Exposure & Protective Behaviors)	4,023 Canadian adults	+ Random sampling + Relatively large sample - Moderate response rate - Secondary analysis of dataset	- Predictors of sunburn included high awareness of the UVI, summer outdoor work, extended time in the sun (leisure), forgetfulness,

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Australia/ New Zealand	*Krickler et al 1998 ¹⁰	Dissemination, knowledge and use of UV indexes	Market research Survey	- Random sample of 504 adults; 70% living in metropolitan areas of New South Wales - 17 health professionals who were part of the New South Wales Sun Protection Network	+ Random sampling - Focused on only one area - Cannot be generalized to larger population outside New South Wales	sun protective practices, and seeking a tan. - Avoiding the sun during high UV periods was associated with lower odds of sunburn. Though, approximately 57% of those with high UVI awareness reported 1 summer sunburns. - Only 13% used the UVI regularly to determine sun protection during the summer - The majority of health professionals believed that < 26% of the general population would use the UVI regularly
Australia/ New Zealand	*Alberink et al 2000 ⁸	Do forecasts of UV indexes influence people's outdoor behaviour?	- Mailed surveys - Surveys distributed after the end of Australian summer and winter.	977 residents randomly selected from the electoral roll in Queensland	+ Random sampling + Relatively large sample + High response rate (78%) + Investigated seasonal differences - Sample has taken part in prior skin cancer studies - Lack of younger participants - Did not determine type of UVI presented or if meaning was understood	- Of the total number of people who had seen or heard the UVI forecast during the summer, significantly fewer men (28%) than women (46%) claimed the forecast influenced their sun protective behavior. - Skin type or history of sunburn showed no relationship to utilization of UVI forecast.
Australia/ New Zealand	*Bulliard et al 2001 ¹⁶	Getting the message across: sun protection information in media weather reports in New Zealand	Phone survey	396 adults ages 16-44 years selected via random digit dialing	+ Random digit dialing + Quota sampling + Generalizable to NZ population (16-44 years) - Confusing questions related to burn time - Low participation rate (52.5%)	- Although the UVI was better understood by participants, only 49% of the sample used it to guide their sun protective practices compared to the 63% that used burn time.
Australia/ New Zealand	*Blunden et al 2004 ¹³	Knowledge, awareness, and use of the UV index amongst the West Australian public	Phone survey	501 randomly-selected adults in Perth	+ Random sampling - Relatively small sample from one location	Of the 5% of respondents who noticed the UVI for the day, 83% said that knowledge did not influence their behavior.

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Australia/ New Zealand	Reeder et al 2016 ⁷	Factors associated with photo-protection by body clothing coverage, particularly in non-summer months, among a New Zealand community sample	Weekly Diary for eight weeks	506 participants from New Zealand (ages 18 to 85 years)	+ Longitudinal diary + Quota sampling - Lower male representation	- On average, participants covered 82% of their body with clothing. - From the fully weather adjusted model, a higher percentage of the body was covered in non-summer months and during mid-day. - Higher temperatures were associated with lower percentage of body covered by clothing.
Europe	Diffey et al 2009 ²⁵	Reported sun exposure, attitudes to sun protection and perceptions of skin cancer risk: a survey of visitors to Cancer Research UK's SunSmart campaign website	Online survey	2061 participants from the UK	+ Large sample - Convenience sampling - Lower male representation - Few older adults	Although only one-third of respondents reported using the UVI at least once to plan their sun protective practices; they were less likely to burn than those who never consulted the UVI.
Europe	*Morris et al 2011 ¹⁷	An investigation into the awareness and understanding of the ultraviolet index forecasts in the South West of England	In-person survey	466 participants ages 16 years and older from South West England	+ Quota sampling + Wide sampling strategy - Representative of population of South West England only	60% of participants who noted being aware of the UVI indicated that knowing the UVI value did not influence their sun protection behavior.
Europe	*Wester et al 2000 ¹⁸	The influence of a UV index on the attitudes of a Swedish population towards sun exposure	Phone survey	1094 Swedish adults	+ Random digit dialing + Relatively large representative sample	Of the 295 participants who had heard about the UVI, 24% claimed that they had altered their outdoor behavior.
Europe	*Borner et al 2010 ¹⁹	The influence of the UV-index on attitudes toward sun exposure in the German population	Phone survey	1,501 German residents ages 14 to 90 years old via random digit dialing.	+ Random digit dialing + Relatively large representative sample	- About 63% of those who were aware of the UVI considered the UVI for their sun exposure and protection behavior. - Only 37% consider the UVI frequently and alter their sun behavior accordingly. - Only 6% of participants actively searched for the UVI or UVR information.
Europe	*Klosterrmann et al 2014 ⁵	Determinants of inadequate parental sun	Paper survey	Parents of 4579 Bavarian children (ages 5-6 years)	+ Total population sampling	- Most children received sun protection like clothes

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
		protection behaviour in their children--results of a cross-sectional study in Germany			- Focus only on parents of young children	(80%), hat (83%), sunscreen (89%), or shade (69%). - Only 8% of parents reported that the UVI influenced their decisions regarding their children's sun protection. - Overall, participants who used sun protection less were less likely to use the UVI. However, participants who never/rarely used sunscreen were more likely to have used the UVI. - Holidays in mountainous areas were positively associated not using the UVI while trips to pools were negatively associated with disuse of the UVI.
Europe	Ezzedine et al 2007 ²⁶	Travellers to high UV-index countries: sun-exposure behaviour in 7822 French adults	Paper survey in 1997 and 2001	7,822 French non-expatriates were classified as 196 long-term travelers (defined as having experienced at least one month-long visit to a high UVI country) and 7,626 non-travelers.	+ Large sample - Convenience sample - Did not seem to take advantage of two time-points	- In the last year, travelers reported more frequent sun exposure and placed greater emphasis on tanning in high UVI areas, especially among women. - Though expatriates were aware of the travel health advice of their country of stay, they tended to be less informed about risk factors related to sun exposure. - Sun protection was similar in both groups
Europe	Ezzedine et al 2007 ²⁷	Expatriates in high-UV index and tropical countries: sun exposure and protection behavior in 9,416 French adults	Paper survey in 1997 and 2001	9,416 French adults: 1,594 expatriates (defined as having experienced at least one stay in a high UVI country for over three consecutive months), 7,822 non-expatriates.	+ Large sample - Convenience sample - Did not seem to take advantage of two time-points	- Expatriates, particularly women, report greater sunbathing or outdoor activities during their lifetime. - Expatriate men reported experiencing more intensive sun exposure than non-expatriates during their lifetime.
Interventions						
USA/Canada	Geller et al 2002 ²⁸	The Environmental Protection Agency's National SunWise	The US Environmental Protection Agency's National SunWise	Pre-post survey after US EPA's Sunwise School Program with 1,894	+ Random sampling + Pre-post design - Self-selected schools	- Knowledge of the UVI number corresponding with

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
		School Program: sun protection education in US schools (1999-2000)	School Program: sun protection education in US schools	students between kindergarten and eighth grade.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Only one control district - Lack of comprehensive measures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the need for skin protection increased from 25% to 55%. - Students who received the program were more likely than controls to wear long-sleeves and intend to play in the shade.
USA/Canada	Buller et al 2015 ²⁹	Smartphone mobile application delivering personalized, real-time sun protection advice: a randomized clinical trial	A sun protection and UVi mobile app based on Social Cognitive Theory vs. online assessment only with follow up at 10 weeks	A nationwide population-based sample of 604 adults from the US Knowledge Panel 18 years or older who owned an Android smartphone were enrolled.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + RCT + Large randomly selected nationwide sample - Minimal differences may be associated with loss to follow up - Lack of racial and educational variability - Lack of app use - Newly validated measures used 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Individuals utilizing the app reported more shade use but less sunscreen use controls. - No significant difference in number of sunburns in the past 90 days between the groups. - Those who used the app reported spending less time in the sun and using sun protection behaviors more than individuals who did not use the app.
USA/Canada	Buller et al 2015 ³⁰	Evaluation of immediate and 12-week effects of a smartphone sun-safety mobile application: a randomized clinical trial	A sun protection and UVi mobile app based on Social Cognitive Theory vs. online assessment only with follow up at 7 weeks	202 adults 18 years or older who owned a smartphone recruited nationwide in the US through online promotions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + RCT + Nationwide sample + High follow-up rate - Convenience sampling - Representative of interested sample - Racially homogenous 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 77% of intervention participants used the app at least once, which is an improvement from the previous study. - Participants in the intervention group used wide-brimmed hats more than controls.
Australia/ New Zealand	Dixon et al 2007 ³¹	Solar UV forecasts: a randomized trial assessing their impact on adults' sun-protection behavior	- RCT assigned to one of three weather forecast conditions, two of which contained the UVi. - Online survey	557 adult Australians with workplace e-mail and Internet access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + RCT + No admitted cross contamination + Real world presentation of information - High protection awareness at baseline - Survey served as part of intervention - Convenience sampling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant differences in sun exposure, protection, or sunburn after any of the interventions.
Europe	Branstrom et al 2003 ³²	A randomized population-based intervention to examine the effects of the ultraviolet index on tanning behaviour	- RCT assigned to four groups receiving different information packages based on the Theory of Planned Behavior.	A population-based random sample (n=3200) in Sweden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + RCT + Random sampling - Lack of generalizability outside of Sweden - Social desirability effect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Significant improvements in knowledge of UVR and attitudes toward sun protection. - Information about the UVi or a personal UVR intensity

Outcome and Country	Authors, Year *listed under more than one outcome	Paper Title	Methods	Sample Size and Characteristics	Strengths (+) and Limitations (-)	Key Findings
Europe	Carli et al 2008 ³³	The use of commercially available personal UV-meters does cause less safe tanning habits: a randomized-controlled trial	-Mailed survey RCT assignment to daily diary or daily diary plus UVI sensor.	91 medical school students from the University of Florence ages 21–23 years	- Difference in difficulty between comparison brochures - Control condition not blinded + RCT + Dermatologic examination for eligibility (representativeness) + High completion rate + Use of commercially available UVI meter (realistic) - Convenience sampling - Unbalanced geography between arms - Possible incorrect sensor functioning	indicator did not decrease sunbathing and sunburn more when compared to the control information package. - During days of sun-exposure, the intervention group noted longer exposure times and less use of sun protective measures when compared to those who just received the daily diary. - The odd ratio of sunburns was 1.60 for participants in the intervention group.
Europe	Sachse et al 2016 ³⁴	Face-to-face Sun Protection Training and Text Messages Improve Sun Protection Behaviour in Adolescent Organ Transplant Recipients: HIPPOLINO Feasibility Study	-In-person sun protection training and text messages -Phone survey pre and post	26 organ transplant recipients in Austria and Germany ages 13–22	+ Pre-post design + Participants from all over Germany + Unique population - Small unblinded convenience sample - No control	- After training, 74% could answer a question correctly regarding what the term UVI. - 53% of participants reported that they increased sunscreen use, and 21% believed sun protective clothing were of increased importance.
Other	Sancllemente & Diaz, 2009 ³⁵	Impact of a sun protection campaign in Medellin (Colombia)	-Educational brochure and a multimedia public sun protection campaign -Phone surveys pre and post	707 adults in Medellin, Columbia	+ Quota sampling + Large sample size + Pre-post design - Loss to follow up	- The percentage of participants who answered a question regarding the meaning of UVI increased from 2% to 9%. - Sun protective like hat and sunscreen use stayed constant. - Common communication means about the sun and its effects were TV and brochure.