



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Curr Rheumatol Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Rheumatol Rep. ; 20(11): 68. doi:10.1007/s11926-018-0777-6.

Periprosthetic Joint Infection in Patients with Inflammatory Joint Disease: Prevention and Diagnosis

Ajay Premkumar¹, Kyle Morse¹, Ashley E. Levack¹, Mathias P. Bostrom¹, and Alberto V. Carli¹

¹Department of Academic Training, Hospital for Special Surgery, 535 East 70th Street, New York, NY 10021, USA

Abstract

Purpose of Review—Periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) is a devastating complication that can occur following total joint arthroplasty (TJA), causing significant morbidity and often requiring revision surgery. This goal of this manuscript is to review the current evidence for the prevention and diagnosis of PJI in patients with inflammatory arthritis.

Recent Findings—Patients with inflammatory arthritis have a higher risk of PJI after TJA; however, there are several preventive, diagnostic, and therapeutic measures that can be optimized to lower the burden of PJI in this population. This manuscript will review the current evidence and clinical practice recommendations that support specific features of preoperative evaluation, perioperative medication management, and surgical planning in inflammatory arthritis patients undergoing TJA. Evidence and recommendations for the diagnosis of PJI in this patient population will also be reviewed.

Summary—Despite increased research efforts directed towards PJI, specific approaches directed at the inflammatory arthritis patient population remain surprisingly limited. Optimization strategies such as adequately managing disease-modifying medications, treating preoperative anemia, encouraging smoking cessation, and improving weight management are strongly encouraged before entering the perioperative period. If PJI does occur in the inflammatory arthritis patient, establishing the diagnosis is challenging, since guidelines were created from investigations of PJI in primarily patients without inflammatory arthritis. Future prospective research is required to better guide clinicians in preventing and diagnosing PJI in inflammatory arthritis patients undergoing TJA.

Ashley E. Levack, levacka@hss.edu.

Author Contributions AP and KM performed the literature search and drafted the manuscript together. AEL, MPB, and AVC consulted with the conceptual development of the narrative and critically revised the manuscript. All listed authors approve of this manuscript and provided intellectual input.

Conflict of Interest Ajay Premkumar, Kyle Morse, Ashley E. Levack, and Alberto V. Carli declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Dr. Bostrom reports a grant from the National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study. Dr. Bostrom reports other (consultant for Smith & Newpew) for relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Keywords

Prosthetic joint infection; Rheumatoid; Inflammatory arthritis; Peri-operative management; Total knee arthroplasty; Total hip arthroplasty

Introduction

Total joint arthroplasty (TJA) is widely considered to be one of the great medical advancements of the past half-century, consistently relieving patients of pain, restoring mobility, and improving their quality of life [1-3]. Unfortunately, approximately 1% of all TJA patients develop a periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) following surgery, a devastating complication that has severe health and socioeconomic implications. The development of PJI following TJA of the hip or knee is associated with a 5-year mortality rate which is higher than that of breast cancer, melanoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, and several other common malignancies [4]. In addition to increased morbidity and mortality, the cost of treating PJI is substantial, with treatment in the USA alone projected to cost over \$1.6 billion per year by 2020 [5]. Given that the estimated prevalence of hip and knee TJA surgeries is estimated to increase by 145% by 2030, the societal cost of PJI can no longer be ignored [6].

Patients with inflammatory joint diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), juvenile inflammatory arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and psoriatic arthritis are known to be at higher risk for developing PJI following TJA [7]. This increased risk of infection is concerning given that significant advances in the medical management of patients with inflammatory joint disease have consequently led to increased activity levels, life expectancy, and ultimately a higher need for TJA [8]. Prior studies have demonstrated a 1.6–8 times higher risk of PJI in patients with inflammatory arthritis compared to patients with osteoarthritis, with one large review demonstrating an absolute risk increase of PJI from 0.84% in patients with osteoarthritis to 1.26% in patients with RA [9-11].

In addition to inflammatory joint disease, there are several other known independent risk factors for PJI, including increasing age, obesity, alcohol abuse, diabetes, smoking, malnutrition, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) scale > 2, systemic and local corticosteroid therapy, blood transfusion, and immunosuppression, among others [12-14]. As many patients with inflammatory joint disease are treated with immunosuppressive therapies including corticosteroids and disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), they are at higher risk of PJI [15]. The goal of this review is to delineate modifiable risk factors for PJI in patients with inflammatory arthritis. We also present and discuss the current evidence and guidelines regarding risk reduction strategies for PJI and diagnosis of PJI in this high-risk population.

Pre-Surgical Assessment and Optimization

Perioperative Management of Common Medications in the Rheumatologic Patient

Most therapeutic medications for inflammatory arthritis are immunosuppressive, delaying wound healing and increasing the perioperative risk of infection. However, halting these medications for a prolonged period of time could lead to an inflammatory “flare-up” of

arthritic symptoms, which impair postoperative rehabilitation and recovery. Therefore, a good understanding of how to manage these medications during the perioperative period is essential for the treating physician. The most common medications that require careful management include biological and non-biological DMARDs, corticosteroids, and other immunomodulators.

There is a paucity of level I data regarding the perioperative management of these medications. A 2017 practice guideline on the perioperative management of antirheumatic drugs was published through collaboration between the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) and the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons (AAHKS). These guidelines were developed through expert opinions and consensus from panels that included orthopedic surgeons, rheumatologists, infectious disease specialists, and patients [16••]. The major conclusions from these guidelines are (Table 1):

1. Non-biologic DMARDs do not need to be stopped perioperatively
2. Biologic DMARDs should be withheld as close to 1 dosing cycle as scheduling permits prior to surgery, and restarted after evidence of wound healing postoperatively (typically 14 days postoperatively) [16••, 17]

The dosing cycle, directly related to a medication's pharmacokinetic half-life, of each medication is listed in Table 1. For example, as secukinumab is dosed every 4 weeks, surgery should be scheduled 4 weeks after the last dose.

Skin Assessment

The two primary sources of bacteria that cause PJI are contiguous spread of skin flora into the surgical wound and, less commonly, hematogenous seeding from gastrointestinal, respiratory, urological, oral, or cutaneous sites. *Staphylococcus epidermis* is the most common pathogen across all cases of PJI and *Staphylococcus aureus* is the most common pathogen in patients with RA [17]. Due to the common involvement of skin flora in PJI, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) recommend patients take a bath in a skin de-colonizing agent, such as chlorhexidine gluconate or antiseptic soap, at least once on the night prior to surgery to reduce the bacterial load at the surgical site [18].

For patients with psoriatic arthritis, a thorough skin assessment is necessary to characterize the location and extent of psoriatic plaques and surgeons should make every attempt to avoid making surgical incisions in proximity or through an active psoriatic plaque [19]. Despite differing opinions between dermatologists and orthopedic surgeons regarding the danger of incising active psoriatic plaques, high levels of bacteria have been consistently demonstrated to reside in these plaques, including *streptococcal* and *staphylococcal* species. This factor may be responsible for the relatively high deep surgical site infection rates (5–17%) noted in early studies in this patient population [20-22]. Preoperatively, skin lesions may be managed with topical betamethasone propionate plus vitamin D ointment such as calcipotriene, and while complete clearing of plaques may not occur, skin optimization is recommended prior to elective TJA [23].

Anemia

Anemia is the most common comorbidity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with an estimated prevalence of 33.3 to 59.1% [24-27]. Preoperative anemia may increase the likelihood of allogenic transfusion following total joint arthroplasty, which in turn carries an increased risk of PJI [24-26, 28]. The most common causes of anemia in this population are anemia secondary to iron deficiency or chronic disease due to decreased erythropoiesis [25, 26].

Ogbemudia et al. [24] conducted a retrospective review of RA patients undergoing lower extremity TJA to assess the risk factors for postoperative transfusion and identify high-risk patients. In their cohort, all patients with a preoperative hemoglobin less than 9 g/dL received a transfusion postoperatively, and for every 0.1 g/dL increase in hemoglobin, there was an 8.3% decrease in the probability of requiring a transfusion postoperatively [24]. This study also identified three risk groups for postoperative transfusion: high risk (hemoglobin less than 9.0 g/dL), moderate risk (hemoglobin between 9.0 to 11.9 g/dL), and low risk (hemoglobin greater than 12.0 g/dL) [24]. Furthermore, patients with a history of myocardial infarction and those who have received a blood transfusion in the past were independently more likely to require postoperative transfusions [24]. Similarly, Aderinto and Brenkel [29] found a 70% chance of postoperative transfusion with a hemoglobin less than 12.0 g/dL in their general cohort of patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty.

No universal transfusion protocol exists for patients with inflammatory arthritis undergoing total joint arthroplasty. Nevertheless, iron and vitamin deficiencies should be corrected through supplementation strategies prior to surgery [26]. Although there is no published guideline specifically for preoperative transfusion in patients with inflammatory arthritis, administration of recombinant erythropoietin can increase preoperative hemoglobin levels and perioperative use of tranexamic acid has been associated with less blood loss during surgery [26, 30-32]. Postoperatively, little consensus exists regarding an ideal transfusion threshold for patients who have undergone TJA. A recent meta-analysis identified lower cardiovascular postoperative complications with a more liberal hemoglobin transfusion threshold of 10 g/dL compared with a more restrictive threshold of 8 g/dL [33•].

BMI and Diabetes

Body mass index (BMI) is a well-recognized risk factor for postoperative complications following TJA. Gandhi et al. [34] identified obesity (BMI >30) as a risk factor for decreased functional improvement following TJA of the hip or knee at 1 year in non-rheumatologic patients. With regard to infection, several well-powered studies have identified elevated BMI as a significant risk factor for surgical site infection, with one series identifying an eightfold increase in serious complications including PJI in patients whose BMI exceeded 50 [35•, 36-38]. Furthermore, Somayaji et al. [39] reported that patients with a BMI less than 18.5 kg/m² had an odds ratio of 6.0 (95% CI 1.2– 30.9) for risk of surgical site infection compared to normal BMI controls. Although variability exists in BMI thresholds used between providers, patients with a BMI below 18.5 or over 40 kg/m² should be referred for nutritional counseling and medical weight management prior to undergoing TJA [35•]. Although the universal denial of TJA based on BMI is unwarranted, a reasonable goal of

achieving a BMI of less than 40 kg/m² should be attempted prior undergoing surgery [35•, 40].

Diabetes mellitus has been shown to be an independent predictor for generalized infection in the rheumatoid patient, with a reported hazard ratio of 1.6 (95% CI 1.12–2.30) [41]. Hemoglobin A1c has been identified as a key predictor for infection risk prior to TJA. Tarabichi et al. [42•] identified significant associations between preoperative hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels and PJI risk, reporting that a HbA1c exceeding 7.7% carried an odds ratio of 1.6 (95% CI 1.26–2.11) for developing PJI compared to non-infection controls [42•]. This recent report as well as several other large cohort studies have led the American Diabetes Association to strongly recommend lowering preoperative HbA1c to less than 7% prior to elective surgery [43]. These guidelines do not make provisions with patients with inflammatory arthritis and, to date, few studies have precisely examined the association between preoperative HbA1C levels and PJI risk in this population.

Periodontitis

Periodontitis is common in rheumatoid arthritis patients with an estimated prevalence between 63.6–70.0%, and *S. aureus* is found in the flora of 56% of patients with RA [26, 44-49]. Additionally, Fuggle et al. [50] found an odds ratio of 1.13 (95% CI 1.04–1.23) for an increased risk of periodontitis in patients with RA compared to healthy controls. Proper dental care is warranted for all patients prior to undergoing TJA to minimize the risk for PJI. Historically, antibiotic prophylaxis before dental procedures was recommended to reduce hematogenous bacterial load in patients with a TJA. Specifically, some authors recommended that patients should take 2 g of either amoxicillin, cephalexin, or cephadrine 1 h prior to the dental procedure to prevent bloodstream infections after TJA [46]. However, there is limited data to support this recommendation, and according to the latest American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons and American Dental Association guidelines, antibiotic prophylaxis following dental surgery in patients with a TJA is not universally indicated [51•]. Despite these recommendations, there remains ongoing debate on the appropriate role of antibiotics before dental procedures in patients with a TJA, and many providers continue to provide antibiotic prophylaxis in this setting to patients who are immunosuppressed due to underlying disease or medications.

Smoking

Smoking has been identified not only as a risk factor for the development of RA, but as a significant risk factor for prosthetic joint infection, and cessation should be addressed prior to surgery [52, 53]. Although the length of cessation has little scientific support, patients are generally counseled to stop smoking 4 to 6 weeks prior to surgery [54•, 55].

When Are Stress Doses of Glucocorticoids Indicated?

Glucocorticoids are used to manage both disease activity and flares in patients with RA and other inflammatory arthropathies [16•, 56, 57]. Traditionally, supra-physiologic “stress-dose” steroids were given to manage hemodynamic instability and adrenal insufficiency following the cessation of daily corticosteroid usage. Older literature focuses on administering a single dose of 100 mg of hydrocortisone intraoperatively for unilateral

arthroplasty procedures [46]. For bilateral procedures, hydrocortisone 100 mg could be given intraoperatively, followed by additional dosing every 8 h for 24 h, and a taper over the subsequent 24 h until the preoperative dose is met [46]. However, recent literature which reflects advances in anesthesia and surgical technique supports administration of the patient's daily dose of glucocorticoid instead of giving stress doses due to the higher risk of infection associated with high perioperative stress doses [16••, 46, 56]. Continuing home doses of steroids perioperatively specifically refers to adult patients who are taking less than 16 mg per day with a diagnosis of RA, ankylosing spondylitis, or psoriatic arthritis and not to patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis [16••]. Such recommendations do not apply to patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis or those who are being treated for hypothyroidism or primary adrenal insufficiency [16••]. It is recommended that patients receive a cumulative daily steroid dose of less than 20 mg per day to reduce the risk of infection. Preoperative adrenal function testing is generally not recommended because it correlates poorly to a patient's clinical course [16••, 46].

Diagnosing and Treating PJI in the Patient with Rheumatologic Comorbidities

Establishing a diagnosis of PJI is challenging, with patient comorbidities, duration of symptoms, and antibiotic use being some of the factors that can affect the clinical presentation. Furthermore, despite an increasing number of available PJI diagnostic tests, no gold standard method of diagnosis currently exists. In an effort to develop a standardized definition of PJI, the Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS) convened a workgroup in 2011 and determined the presence of either one of two major criteria, or three or more minor criteria would indicate PJI (Table 2) [58]. Threshold values for serologic and synovial tests that may indicate acute or chronic PJI have been determined from literature review and consensus (Table 2). However, very limited data exists supporting such thresholds in diagnosing PJI in patients with coexisting inflammatory comorbidities.

The Role of Serum Inflammatory Markers CRP and ESR

Although C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) can be useful adjuncts to the diagnosis of PJI in this population, they can vary significantly in the context of active inflammatory disease. Thresholds of CRP > 1 mg/dL and ESR > 30 mm/h at a minimum of 3 weeks postoperatively were found to have inconsistent sensitivities and specificities in numerous studies in patients with inflammatory joint disease [59-62]. When comparing the two, CRP was noted to be the more sensitive measure of identifying PJI, when comparing to a gold standard PJI definition of one positive intraoperative culture with a virulent organism (streptococcus species or gramnegative bacterium) or three positive intraoperative cultures for less virulent organisms (coagulase-negative staphylococcus or *Propionibacterium*) [62]. Nevertheless, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons' published algorithm for diagnosing PJI in immunosuppressed patients, specifically including those on antirheumatic medications, notes that a positive ESR/CRP should prompt consideration of a joint aspiration for further evaluation [63]. While several studies have examined optimal serum ESR and CRP threshold values for diagnosing PJI, patients with inflammatory arthritis have been generally excluded from these analyses [61, 64]. In the

presence of limited data for patients with inflammatory arthritis, the current recommendations are to use the same threshold values for the diagnosis of PJI in all patients: CRP >100 mg/L within 6 weeks of surgery (acute PJI), and ESR > 30 mm/h, and CRP >10 mg/L for tests obtained more than 6 weeks from the most recent surgery (chronic PJI). It should be noted that ESR is thought to be unhelpful in the diagnosis of acute PJI [65••]. Further research on optimal ESR and CRP thresholds in predicting both acute and chronic PJI in the setting of underlying rheumatologic disease activity and antirheumatic medication use would be of clinical benefit.

Synovial White Blood Cell Count and Differential

Similar to serum ESR and CRP, the sensitivity and specificity of synovial fluid cell counts vary considerably due to the presence of underlying inflammatory arthritis [17]. However, while inflammatory synovitis may elevate synovial fluid white blood cell (WBC) counts and polymorphic neutrophil percentage (PMN%), these values have still been shown to be the most predictive of currently available tests in separating PJI from aseptic failure in patients with inflammatory arthritis [66]. In this light, positive inflammatory markers and a positive synovial fluid cell count, while still possibly secondary to inflammatory arthritis, should warrant further evaluation for PJI. Current recommendations for threshold values for synovial white blood cell counts and PMN% for the diagnosis of PJI are synovial WBC count > 10,000 cells/uL and PMN% > 90% within the first 6 weeks of surgery (acute PJI) and synovial WBC count > 3000 cells/uL and PMN% > 80% more than 6 weeks from surgery (chronic PJI) [65••].

Conclusion

Patients with inflammatory arthritis have a higher risk of PJI after TJA; however, there are several preventive, diagnostic, and therapeutic factors that can be optimized to lower the burden of PJI in this population. Specifically, this review presents and briefly discusses the current guidelines and evidence regarding risk reduction strategies in this high-risk population, as related to preoperative testing, medical optimization, perioperative medication management, and surgical planning. Considerations regarding PJI diagnosis in this population are also discussed. While certain PJI risk factors in this population are non-modifiable, such as a DMARD requirement to prevent frequent and severe inflammatory flare-ups, awareness of how to optimize these risk factors in the perioperative period is critical to properly allocate risk stratification and to counsel patients of their overall complication risk profile.

Although ample research efforts have been devoted to PJI following TJA, data pertaining to PJI in inflammatory arthritis patients undergoing TJA remains surprisingly limited. As such, best practices are sometimes determined from combining the latest evidence with multidisciplinary expert opinion. Future investigations studying PJI in this high-risk group are needed. Nevertheless, the evolution of clinical practice guidelines, advent of novel diagnostic tests looking at immune markers such as alpha-defensin, standardization of aspiration methods, and standardization of culture protocols to reduce variation may together

have large effects in improving the rates of PJI prevention and diagnosis in TJA patients with inflammatory arthritis.

Acknowledgments

Funding This publication was supported by the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award number T32 AR007281. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
 - Of major Importance
1. Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. *Lancet Lond Engl.* 2007;370:1508–19.
 2. Carr AJ, et al. Knee replacement. *Lancet Lond Engl.* 2012;379:1331–40.
 3. Bumpass DB, Nunley RM. Assessing the value of a total joint replacement. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* 2012;5:274–82. [PubMed: 23054621]
 4. Rezapoor M, Parvizi J. Prevention of periprosthetic joint infection. *J Arthroplast.* 2015;30:902–7.
 5. Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. *J Arthroplast.* 2012;27:61–65.e1.
 6. Sloan M, Premkumar A, Sheth NP. Projected volume of primary total joint arthroplasty in the United States, 2014-2030. *J Bone Jt Surg.* 2018.
 7. Morrison TA, Figgie M, Miller AO, Goodman SM. Periprosthetic joint infection in patients with inflammatory joint disease: a review of risk factors and current approaches to diagnosis and management. *HSS J.* 2013;9:183–94. [PubMed: 24426866]
 8. Young BL, Watson SL, Perez JL, McGwin G, Singh JA, Ponce BA. Trends in joint replacement surgery in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 2018;45:158–64. [PubMed: 29196384]
 9. Schrama JC, et al. Risk of revision for infection in primary total hip and knee arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with osteoarthritis: a prospective, population-based study on 108,786 hip and knee joint arthroplasties from the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2010;62:473–9.
 10. Ravi B, et al. Increased risk of complications following total joint arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol Hoboken.* 2014;66:254–63.
 11. Cancienne JM, Werner BC, Browne JA. Complications of primary total knee arthroplasty among patients with rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and osteoarthritis. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2016;24:567–74. [PubMed: 27355283]
 12. Kong L, Cao J, Zhang Y, Ding W, Shen Y. Risk factors for periprosthetic joint infection following primary total hip or knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. *Int Wound J.* 2017;14:529–36. [PubMed: 27397553]
 13. Zhu Y, Zhang F, Chen W, Liu S, Zhang Q, Zhang Y Risk factors for periprosthetic joint infection after total joint arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hosp Infect.* 2015;89:82–9. [PubMed: 25575769]
 14. Eka A, Chen AF. Patient-related medical risk factors for periprosthetic joint infection of the hip and knee. *Ann Transl Med.* 2015;3:233. [PubMed: 26539450]
 15. Yeganeh MH, Kheir MM, Shahi A, Parvizi J. Rheumatoid arthritis, disease modifying agents, and periprosthetic joint infection: what does a joint surgeon need to know? *J Arthroplast.* 2018;33:1258–64.
 - 16••. Goodman SM, et al. American College of Rheumatology/American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Guideline for the perioperative management of antirheumatic medication in patients

with rheumatic diseases undergoing elective total hip or total knee arthroplasty: ACR/AAHKS guideline for perioperative management. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2017;69:1111–24. This study provides the American College of Rheumatology/American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons guidelines for the peri-operative use of antirheumatic medications for patients with inflammatory arthritis. The paper outlines which medications should be continued, stopped and the timing of restarting the stopped medications.

17. Busti AJ, Hooper JS, Amaya CJ, Kazi S. Effects of perioperative antiinflammatory and immunomodulating therapy on surgical wound healing. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2005;25:1566–91. [PubMed: 16232020]
18. Shahi A, Parvizi J. Prevention of periprosthetic joint infection. *Arch Bone Jt Surg.* 2015;3:72–81. [PubMed: 26110171]
19. Genga EK, Nalawade A, Oyoo GO. Perioperative management of patients with psoriatic arthritis: case report and literature review. *East Afr Orthop J.* 2015;9:35–40.
20. Fahlén A, Engstrand L, Baker BS, Powles A, Fry L. Comparison of bacterial microbiota in skin biopsies from normal and psoriatic skin. *Arch Dermatol Res.* 2012;304:15–22. [PubMed: 22065152]
21. Stern SH, Insall JN, Windsor RE, Inglis AE, Dines DM. Total knee arthroplasty in patients with psoriasis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1989: 108–110; discussion 111. [PubMed: 2805466]
22. Menon TJ, Wroblewski BM. Charnley low-friction arthroplasty in patients with psoriasis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1983:127–128. [PubMed: 6851315]
23. Hsu S, et al. Consensus guidelines for the management of plaque psoriasis. *Arch Dermatol.* 2012;148:95–102. [PubMed: 22250239]
24. Ogbemudia AE, Yee SY, MacPherson GJ, Manson LM, Breusch SJ. Preoperative predictors for allogenic blood transfusion in hip and knee arthroplasty for rheumatoid arthritis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2013;133:1315–20. [PubMed: 23756611]
25. Wilson A, Yu H-T, Goodnough LT, Nissenson AR. Prevalence and outcomes of anemia in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review of the literature. *Am J Med.* 2004;116(Suppl 7A):50S–7S. [PubMed: 15050886]
26. Goodman SM. Rheumatoid arthritis: preoperative evaluation for total hip and total knee replacement surgery. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 2013;19:187–92. [PubMed: 23669794]
27. Salt E, Wiggins AT, Rayens MK, Brown K, Eckmann K, Johannemann A, et al. Risk factors for transfusions following total joint arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 2018; 10.1097/RHU.0000000000000755.
28. Prasad N, Padmanabhan V, Mullaji A. Blood loss in total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of risk factors. *Int Orthop.* 2007;31:39–44. [PubMed: 16568327]
29. Aderinto J, Brenkel IJ. Pre-operative predictors of the requirement for blood transfusion following total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2004;86:970–3. [PubMed: 15446520]
30. Slappendel R, Weber EWG, Hémon YJM, Mählér S, Dalen T, Rouwet EFAM, et al. Patients with and without rheumatoid arthritis benefit equally from preoperative epoetin-alpha treatment. *Acta Orthop.* 2006;77:677–83. [PubMed: 16929448]
31. Tanaka N, Ito K, Ishii S, Yamazaki I. Autologous blood transfusion with recombinant erythropoietin treatment in anaemic patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol.* 1999;18:293–8. [PubMed: 10468168]
32. Sepah YJ, Umer M, Ahmad T, Nasim F, Umer Chaudhry M, Umar M. Use of tranexamic acid is a cost effective method in preventing blood loss during and after total knee replacement. *J Orthop Surg.* 2011;6:22.
33. Gu W-J, Gu XP, Wu XD, Chen H, Kwong JSW, Zhou LY, et al. Restrictive versus liberal strategy for red blood-cell transfusion: a systematic review and meta-analysis in orthopaedic patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2018;100:686–95. [PubMed: 29664857] Meta-analysis studying the effect of hemoglobin levels on cardiovascular outcomes following Orthop Surg The study found that restrictive hemoglobin levels increased cardiovascular events.
34. Gandhi R, Razak F, Davey JR, Mahomed NN. Metabolic syndrome and the functional outcomes of hip and knee arthroplasty. *J Rheumatol.* 2010;37:1917–22. [PubMed: 20634242]

35. Jeschke E, Citak M, Günster C, Halder AM, Heller KD, Malzahn J, et al. Obesity increases the risk of postoperative complications and revision rates following primary total hip arthroplasty: an analysis of 131,576 total hip arthroplasty cases. *J Arthroplast.* 2018;33: 2287–2292.e1. 10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.036. This is a large study that examined the effect of obesity on complications in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. The study defines odd ratios for complications based on BMI grouping.
36. DeMik DE, Bedard NA, Dowdle SB, Elkins JM, Brown TS, Gao Y, et al. Complications and obesity in Arthroplasty-a hip is not a knee. *J Arthroplast.* 2018; 10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.073.
37. Ma Z, Guo F, Qi J, Xiang W, Zhang J. Meta-analysis shows that obesity may be a significant risk factor for prosthetic joint infections. *Int Orthop.* 2016;40:659–67. [PubMed: 26272459]
38. Schwarzkopf R, Thompson SL, Adwar SJ, Liublinska V, Slover JD. Postoperative complication rates in the ‘super-obese’ hip and knee arthroplasty population. *J Arthroplast.* 2012;27:397–401.
39. Somayaji R, Barnabe C, Martin L. Risk factors for infection following total joint arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis. *Open Rheumatol J.* 2013;7:119–24. [PubMed: 24454587]
40. Wallace G, Judge A, Prieto-Alhambra D, de Vries F, Arden NK, Cooper C. The effect of body mass index on the risk of postoperative complications during the 6 months following total hip replacement or total knee replacement surgery. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* 2014;22:918–27. [PubMed: 24836211]
41. Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, O’Fallon WM, Gabriel SE. Predictors of infection in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2002;46:2294–300. [PubMed: 12355476]
42. Tarabichi M, et al. Determining the threshold for HbA1c as a predictor for adverse outcomes after total joint arthroplasty: a multicenter, retrospective study. *J Arthroplasty.* 2017;32:S263–S267.e1. [PubMed: 28662955] The authors of this study define a threshold HbA1c value as a risk factor for prosthetic joint infection.
43. Association AD 6. Glycemic targets: standards of medical care in diabetes—2018. *Diabetes Care.* 2018;41:S55–64. [PubMed: 29222377]
44. Gullick NJ, Scott DL. Co-morbidities in established rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* 2011;25:469–83. [PubMed: 22137918]
45. Bongartz T, Halligan CS, Osmon DR, Reinalda MS, Bamlet WR, Crowson CS, et al. Incidence and risk factors of prosthetic joint infection after total hip or knee replacement in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;59:1713–20. [PubMed: 19035425]
46. Goodman SM, Figgie M. Lower extremity arthroplasty in patients with inflammatory arthritis: preoperative and perioperative management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2013;21:355–63. [PubMed: 23728960]
47. Äyräväinen L, Leirisalo-Repo M, Kuuliala A, Ahola K, Koivuniemi R, Meurman JH, et al. Periodontitis in early and chronic rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective follow-up study in Finnish population. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7:e011916.
48. Eriksson K, Nise L, Kats A, Luttrupp E, Catrina AI, Askling J, et al. Prevalence of periodontitis in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis: a Swedish population based case-control study. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0155956. [PubMed: 27203435]
49. Choi IA, Kim JH, Kim YM, Lee JY, Kim KH, Lee EY, et al. Periodontitis is associated with rheumatoid arthritis: a study with longstanding rheumatoid arthritis patients in Korea. *Korean J Intern Med.* 2016;31:977–86. [PubMed: 27017391]
50. Fuggle NR, Smith TO, Kaul A, Sofat N. Hand to mouth: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between rheumatoid arthritis and periodontitis. *Front Immunol.* 2016;7:80. [PubMed: 26973655]
51. DeFroda SF, Lamin E, Gil JA, Sindhu K, Ritterman S. Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with a history of Total joint replacement. *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2016;29:500–7. [PubMed: 27390382] This paper is a compilation of subspecialty guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis for multiple surgical and dental procedures following total joint arthroplasty.
52. Symmons DP, et al. Blood transfusion, smoking, and obesity as risk factors for the development of rheumatoid arthritis: results from a primary care-based incident case-control study in Norfolk, England. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1997;40:1955–61. [PubMed: 9365083]

53. Singh JA, Houston TK, Ponce BA, Maddox G, Bishop MJ, Richman J, et al. Smoking as a risk factor for short-term outcomes following primary total hip and total knee replacement in veterans. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2011;63:1365–74.
- 54•. Springer BD. Modifying risk factors for total joint arthroplasty: strategies that work nicotine. *J Arthroplast.* 2016;31:1628–30. This study gives an overview of the effects of perioperative nicotine use in total joint arthroplasty. It outlines successful smoking cessation programs and monitoring.
55. Argintar E, Triantafillou K, Delahay J, Wiesel B. The musculoskeletal effects of perioperative smoking. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2012;20:359–63. [PubMed: 22661565]
56. Krause ML, Matteson EL. Perioperative management of the patient with rheumatoid arthritis. *World J Orthop.* 2014;5:283–91. [PubMed: 25035831]
57. Marik PE, Varon J. Requirement of perioperative stress doses of corticosteroids: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Surg.* 2008;196(143):1222–6.
58. Workgroup Convened by the Musculoskeletal Infection Society. New definition for periprosthetic joint infection. *J Arthroplast.* 2011;26:1136–8.
59. Spangehl MJ, Masri BA, O'Connell JX, Duncan CP. Prospective analysis of preoperative and intraoperative investigations for the diagnosis of infection at the sites of two hundred and two revision total hip arthroplasties. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1999;81:672–83. [PubMed: 10360695]
60. Baré J, MacDonald SJ, Bourne RB. Preoperative evaluations in revision total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop.* 2006;446:40–4. [PubMed: 16672870]
61. Della Valle CJ, Sporer SM, Jacobs JJ, Berger RA, Rosenberg AG, Paprosky WG. Preoperative testing for sepsis before revision total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplast.* 2007;22:90–3.
62. Bernard L, Lübbecke A, Stern R, Pierre Bru J, Marc Feron J, Peyramond D, et al. Value of preoperative investigations in diagnosing prosthetic joint infection: retrospective cohort study and literature review. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2004;36:410–6. [PubMed: 15307559]
63. Della Valle C, et al. Diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2010;18:760–70. [PubMed: 21119142]
64. Greidanus NV, et al. Use of erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein level to diagnose infection before revision total knee arthroplasty. A prospective evaluation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007;89:1409–16. [PubMed: 17606776]
- 65••. Parvizi J, et al. The 2018 definition of Periprosthetic hip and knee infection: an evidence-based and validated criteria. *J Arthroplast.* 2018;33:1309–1314.e2. This paper presents the consensus MSIS criteria for diagnosing prosthetic hip and knee infection.
66. Cipriano CA, Brown NM, Michael AM, Moric M, Sporer SM, Della Valle CJ. Serum and synovial fluid analysis for diagnosing chronic periprosthetic infection in patients with inflammatory arthritis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2012;94:594–600. [PubMed: 22488615]

Table 1

Perioperative management of antirheumatic medications [15]

DMARDs (continue through surgery)	Dosing cycle
Methotrexate	Weekly
Sulfasalazine	Daily or twice daily
Hydroxychloroquine	Daily or twice daily
Leflunomide	Daily
Doxycycline	Daily
Biologic agents (stop prior to surgery, schedule surgery at end of dosing cycle)	
Adalimumab (Humira)	Weekly or every other week
Etanercept (Enbrel)	Weekly or twice weekly
Golimumab (Simponi)	Every 4 weeks (SQ) or every 8 weeks (IV)
Infliximab (Remicade)	Every 4, 6, or 8 weeks
Abatacept (Orencia)	Monthly (IV) or weekly (SQ)
Certolizumab (Cimzia)	Every 2 or 4 weeks
Rituximab (Rituxan)	2 doses, 2 weeks apart every 4–6 months
Tocilizumab (Actemra)	Weekly (SQ) or every 4 weeks (IV)
Anakinra (Kineret)	Daily
Secukinumab (Cosentyx)	Every 4 weeks
Ustekinumab (Stelara)	Every 12 weeks
Belimumab (Benlysta)	Every 4 weeks
Tofacitinib (Xeljanz)	Daily or twice daily *Stop 7 days before surgery

DMARDs: disease-modifying antirheumatic medications

Table 2

MSIS definition for PJI and threshold values for minor criteria [58]

Periprosthetic joint infection is defined as:	
Major criteria	Two periprosthetic cultures with identical organisms or A sinus tract communicating with the joint or Three of the following five minor criteria
Minor criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Elevated CRP and ESR - Elevated synovial WBC count or positive leukocyte esterase test strip (synovial fluid) - Elevated synovial fluid PMN% - Positive histological analysis of periprosthetic tissue - A single positive culture
Threshold values	Acute PJI (< 6 weeks from surgery): CRP > 100 mg/L, ESR not useful, synovial WBC > 10 k cells/uL, PMN% > 90% Chronic PJI (> 6 weeks from surgery): CRP > 10 mg/L, ESR > 30 mm/h, synovial WBC > 3 k cells per uL, PMN% > 80%