

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Exp Neurol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Exp Neurol. 2019 July ; 317: 202-205. doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2019.03.009.

Muscle Ciliary Neurotrophic Factor Receptor a Helps Maintain Choline Acetyltransferase Levels in Denervated Motor Neurons Following Peripheral Nerve Lesion

Nancy Lee¹, Holly A. Wanek¹, and A. John MacLennan¹

¹Department of Pharmacology and Systems Physiology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA, 45267-0576

Abstract

Systemic ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) administration protects motor neurons from denervating diseases and lesions but produces non-neuromuscular side effects. Therefore, CNTF related therapeutics will need to specifically target motor neuron protective receptor mechanisms. Expression of the essential ligand binding subunit of the CNTF receptor, CNTF receptor a (CNTFRa), is induced in skeletal muscle by denervating lesion and in human denervating diseases. We show here, with muscle-specific *in vivo* genetic disruption, that muscle CNTFRa makes an essential/non-redundant contribution to maintaining choline acetyltransferase levels in denervated motor neurons following nerve crush, suggesting the muscle CNTFRa induction is an endogenous denervating diseases. Notably, unlike motor neuron gene expression, skeletal muscle gene expression can be specifically targeted with human gene therapy vectors already approved for market.

Keywords

mouse; CNTFRa; sciatic nerve crush; mlc1f-Cre; ChAT

INTRODUCTION

A wide range of diseases and lesions disrupt motor neuron (MN) innervation of skeletal muscle with profound functional consequences. The pressing clinical challenge is to maintain and regenerate functional MNs confronted by such denervating insults. Systemic CNTF administration protects MNs from denervating diseases and lesions but produces

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts.

Correspondence: A. John MacLennan, Pharmacology and Systems Physiology, 231 Albert Sabin Way, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA 45267-0576; john.maclen@uc.edu; Phone: 513-558-0667.

Author contributions: Study concept and design: AJM. Data acquisition: NL, HAW. Data analysis/interpretation: NL, AJM. Drafting manuscript: AJM. Reviewing and editing manuscript: NL, HAW.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

nonneuromuscular side effects (Sendtner, 2014) indicating therapeutic intervention will need to specifically target the endogenous MN protective receptor mechanisms.

In the neuromuscular system, the essential ligand binding subunit of the CNTF receptor, CNTFRa, is found in MNs and muscle (MacLennan et al., 1996). Clinically approved gene therapy vectors specifically targeting MNs are unavailable, so enhancing MN CNTFRa expression is not a current option. However, skeletal muscle CNTFRa expression is greatly upregulated with denervating lesions (Davis et al., 1993b; Lee et al., 2013) and data suggest similar upregulation in all human denervating diseases, including ALS (Weis et al., 1998). When we previously inhibited this increase following lesion with muscle-specific CNTFRa gene disruption, it had no effect on the muscles themselves but surprisingly impaired axon regeneration (Lee et al., 2013), indicating the increased CNTFRa expression is an endogenous response supporting MN axons challenged by this insult, and further suggesting a similar MN supportive role for the muscle CNTFRa induction in denervating diseases. If so, enhancing this neuroprotective/regenerative response may be therapeutic. Muscle is a particularly promising target since expression in muscle, unlike MNs, can be *specifically* modified in humans with *current, approved for market* gene therapy techniques (Ylä-Herttuala, 2012).

MN choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) is essential for acetylcholine synthesis and therefore absolutely required for neuromuscular transmission. Consequently, maintaining MN axons without maintaining MN Chat levels is of little functional/clinical benefit. Here we use muscle-specific CNTFRa disruption and find endogenous muscle CNTFRa makes an essential/non-redundant contribution to ChAT maintenance in MNs following denervation. This surprising denervation-induced interplay between muscle and MNs supports the idea of clinically targeting muscle CNTFRa to maintain denervated MNs.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Floxed CNTFRa (Lee et al., 2013) and mlc1f-Cre (Bothe et al., 2000; from Dr. Steven Burden [NYU]) mice were PCR genotyped from tail biopsy and maintained on a 129SvEvBrd background. CNTFRa knockdown mice (mlc1f-Cre^{+/-}/flxCNTFRa^{+/+}) and non-floxed littermate controls (mlc1f-Cre^{+/-}/flxCNTFRa^{-/-}) of both sexes were generated through mlc1f-Cre+/-/flxCNTFRa+/- × mlc1f-Cre-/-/flxCNTFRa+/- breeding and, at 2.5–4 months, processed in parallel (blind to genotype) through all procedures including, nerve crush, perfusion, immunohistochemistry and image analysis. This controlled for variation in *in utero* and postnatal rearing environments, age, and all reagents.

T-tests were used for direct *a priori* comparisons of 2 groups. These were then confirmed by a 2-way ANOVA analysis followed by appropriately protected Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons, all conducted with GraphPad Prism 5 (RRID:SCR_002798). University of Cincinnati IACUC approved all animal procedures.

Unilateral sciatic nerve crush

Following ketamine/xylazine (100 mg/kg) anesthesia, mid-thigh skin was opened and a 5 mm longitudinal cut in the biceps femoris exposed the underlying nerve passing superficial

to the obturator internus tendon. The nerve was freed from connective tissue and crushed for 10 seconds with Dumont #5 Biologic Tip forceps (Fine Science Tools). This procedure lesions all nerve axons, as we have demonstrated (Lee et al., 2013).

Motor neuron ChAT analysis

Following overdose with avertin (20 mg/ml; IP), mice were perfused with 4°C saline and then 4°C, 4% paraformaldehyde. Spinal cords were post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C and then incubated for at least 48 hours in 30% sucrose/2.5 mM sodium azide before sectioning. Every fourth 30um, coronal section was collected with a cryostat throughout the L5 cord, which contains the sciatic nerve projecting MNs. Sections were processed free-floating according to described immunohistochemistry procedures (MacLennan et al., 1996), and with a 4-day, 4°C incubation in rabbit anti-ChAT polyclonal primary antiserum (Chemicon [Millipore]; Cat. # AB143; RRID:AB2079760) at 1:6000. Label was visualized with ABC signal amplification (Vector Laboratories; cat. # PK-6100) and cyanine-3 tyramide (Perkin Elmer; cat. # SAT704B).

The ChAT antiserum has been widely used and extensively characterized through immunohistochemistry and western blot (see Stillman et al., 2009). Chat-labeled MNs were stereologically counted in accordance with Hyman et al., (1998). In addition to their characteristic ChAT expression, MNs were identified by their characteristic location in the ventrolateral horn, irregular shape, and large nuclei. Counts were multiplied by four to estimate total labeled MNs since every fourth section was assayed. In addition, MetaMorph; RRID:SCR_002368) was used to outline the cytoplasm of each labeled MN (total of over 7500 MNs analyzed) and determine its average cytoplasmic ChAT labeling intensity. As with all procedures, this analysis was conducted by individuals *unaware of genotype*.

Images (Figure 1A–F) were captured with a DXM1200 camera and Nikon E800 microscope, and were identically adjusted with CorelDRAW.

RESULTS

In contrast to early postnatal MNs, adult MNs survive and regenerate axons following peripheral nerve crush (e.g., Lee et al., 2013). Therefore, this model is widely used in the search for endogenous mechanisms that support adult MNs challenged by denervating insult.

CNTF receptors contain the essential ligand binding subunit, CNTFRa, as well as leukemia inhibitory factor receptor β and gp130, with only CNTFRa being unique to CNTF receptors, required for all CNTF receptor signaling, and not involved in other signaling (Elson et al., 2000). Therefore, CNTFRa disruption best reveals endogenous CNTF receptor function. To specifically disrupt the CNTFRa gene in muscle, we crossed floxed CNTFRa mice (Lee et al., 2013) with mice carrying a Cre recombinase (Cre) gene inserted into the myosin light chain *1f* locus (mlc1f-Cre) (Bothe et al., 2000), a locus expressed very selectively in skeletal muscle cells. Our previous work, and that of others, indicate that mlc1f-Cre functions as designed in that it excises floxed sequence in all adult skeletal muscles tested, with no excision in other tissues including brain, spinal cord, sciatic nerve, liver, heart or stomach (Bothe et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2013).

Here we compared "muscle CNTFRa knockdown" mice (mlc1f-Cre+/-/flxCNTFRa+/+) with non-floxed, littermate controls (mlc1f-Cre+/-/flxCNTFRa-/-). We have previously shown by CNTFRa PCR that this knockdown decreases CNTFRa RNA levels by approximately 80% in all muscles tested, including denervated muscles following the same lesion used here (Lee et al., 2013). This large but incomplete decrease is consistent with previous mlc1f-Cre work (Bothe et al., 2000) and may result from Cre expression in most but not all the many nuclei in each myofiber.

Muscle CNTFRa knockdown and control mice received a unilateral sciatic nerve crush. We have shown this lesion axotomizes all MN axons in the nerve, which then regenerate and reinnervate the denervated neuromuscular junctions 2–3 weeks post-lesion (Lee et al., 2013). In order to determine what role, if any, muscle CNTFRa plays in helping the lesioned MNs maintain their ChAT levels during denervation, muscle CNTFRa knockdown and control mice were perfused for ChAT immunohistochemistry one week post-lesion at complete denervation.

Neither the lesion nor the CNTFRa knockdown affected the *number* of ChAT-labeled MNs per mouse (mean \pm SEM: control intact side, 1484 \pm 42.9; knockdown intact side 1636 \pm 126.2; control lesion side, 1684 \pm 74.34; knockdown lesion side, 1585 \pm 143.1; all comparisons p>0.05), consistent with our previous quantification of cresyl violet stained MNs in this same model that indicated muscle CNTFRa does not regulate MN survival following this lesion (Lee et al., 2013).

In contrast, analysis of ChAT labeling *intensity* revealed a lesion-induced decrease in ChAT that was present only in CNTFRa knockdown mice. We first directly compared intact MNs in the control mice with those in the knockdown mice. While this between mouse comparison is not as sensitive as the within mouse lesion vs intact comparisons, there was clearly no knockdown-induced decrease in Chat (mean \pm SEM [MetaMorph arbitrary units]: control, 40.2 \pm 5.9; CNTFRa knockdown, 48.8 \pm 5.1; p=0.29; n=6). We then directly compared the lesioned and intact MNs within the control mice and found no effect (Figure 1G left bar, p=0.27), which indicated MNs normally maintain their ChAT levels following the lesion. However, the same comparison in the knockdown mice revealed a significant lesion-induced reduction in ChAT (Figure 1G right bar, p=0.021), indicating that, in contrast to the control mice, knockdown mice were unable to maintain ChAT levels. As expected, ANOVA analysis of all the data revealed a significant interaction (p=0.012) and appropriately protected Bonferroni post hoc tests found a significant effect of the CNTFRa knockdown in lesioned MNs (p<0.01) and no effect in intact MNs (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

We report here that muscle-specific CNTFRa knockdown does not affect ChAT levels in intact MNs, but with denervating lesion this same knockdown leads to a decrease in MN ChAT. Therefore, muscle CNTFRa makes an essential/non-redundant contribution to ChAT levels in the injured MNs in that no other mechanisms were able to fully compensate for the loss of muscle CNTFRa. The greater role for muscle CNTFRa after the denervating insult is consistent with the large increase in muscle CNTFRa gene expression following this

insult (Davis et al., 1993b; Lee et al., 2013). Together the data suggest that the muscle CNTFRa gene induction is an endogenous neuroprotective response to denervation that helps MNs maintain their functionally critical neurotransmitter synthesizing enzyme, ChAT.

Several considerations suggest that the present data, while revealing a significant effect, likely also underrepresent the magnitude of muscle CNTFRa's contribution to MN ChAT. First, the muscle-specific knockdown used, although the best available, only reduces muscle CNTFRa by ~80% (Lee et al., 2013), such that the remaining 20% can still contribute to MN ChAT. Second, other compensatory mechanisms have most likely evolved to at least partially maintain this critical enzyme. Third, we quantified ChAT labeling in L5 segment MNs because this spinal cord region contains MNs projecting through the sciatic nerve and therefore axotomized by the lesion. However, not all L5 MNs are sciatic MNs and the tissue fixation conditions required for their identification through retrograde labeling were not compatible with the ChAT labeling conditions, such that multi-labeling was not workable. Therefore, we quantified ChAT in all L5 MNs, recognizing this included some MNs not axotomized and likely not affected by muscle CNTFRa. In summary, a clear knockdown effect was observed despite the above three factors that would be expected to each reduce its magnitude. Therefore, muscle CNTFRa likely makes a bigger contribution to ChAT than detected here.

The idea that *muscle* CNTFRa regulates *MN* ChAT is somewhat counterintuitive. This muscle-MN interaction does not result from muscle CNTFRa simply maintaining muscle health since our previous work with the same muscle-specific CNTFRa knockdown used here found no effect on muscle denervation atrophy, regeneration, fiber type or contractility following the same lesion (Lee et al., 2013). However, muscle CNTFRa may promote muscle CNTF receptor signaling leading to release of unidentified muscle factor(s) acting directly or indirectly on MNs to maintain ChAT. Moreover, following nerve lesion, muscle CNTFRa is released in a soluble, functional form (Davis et al., 1993b) that could potentially enhance ChAT-supportive CNTF receptor signaling in MNs by diffusing to regenerating axons for retrograde transport to MN soma, or diffusing directly to MN soma.

The significant distance between the lesion at mid-thigh and the denervated lower limb muscles may seem to suggest against factors from these muscles acting directly on axotomized MNs. However, the rapid, dramatic increase in muscle CNTFRa expression is sustained for at least several days post-lesion (Davis et al., 1993b), so the CNTFRa and/or CNTFRa-dependent factors from denervated muscles should have time to diffuse to regenerating axons and MN soma.

CNTFRa disruption, as done here, is the most comprehensive way to identify *in vivo* functions of endogenous CNTF receptors because CNTFRa is required for all CNTF receptor signaling, regardless of the ligands involved (Elson et al., 2000). As a result, the present data do not reveal which CNTF receptor ligand(s) play a role in muscle CNTFRa's contribution to MN ChAT. CNTF may be involved since it is thought to be released from Schwann cells at peripheral nerve lesion sites (Sendtner, 2014) and therefore may combine with CNTFRa released from denervated muscle (Davis et al., 1993b). The complex of cardiotrophin-like cytokine/cytokine-like factor-1 (CLC/CLF) also acts as a CNTF receptor

ligand (Elson et al., 2000), but studies are needed to determine how CLC/CLF is expressed in the adult neuromuscular system, particularly following nerve lesion. The ligands may also act together. Regardless, conditional disruption of individual ligands, with and without muscle CNTFRa knockdown, will be required to address this issue.

The data here and our previous work (Lee et al., 2013) indicate muscle CNTFRa gene upregulation promotes MN ChAT maintenance and axon regeneration following denervating nerve lesion. Other data suggest that muscle CNTFRa gene expression is similarly upregulated in all denervating human diseases, including ALS (Weis et al., 1998), thereby also suggesting, along with our data, that the upregulation is an endogenous neuroprotective response to denervation. If so, enhancing this endogenous response by further increasing muscle CNTFRa expression may promote MN maintenance and regeneration in cases of denervating trauma and disease. Notably, in contrast to MN gene expression, skeletal muscle gene expression can be *specifically* enhanced in humans with gene therapy techniques currently approved for market (Ylä-Herttuala, 2012). Moreover, while several identified muscle factors are known to work across the neuromuscular synapse to help maintain MNs, the present and previous (Lee et al., 2013) nerve lesion studies suggest muscle CNTFRa can promote MN maintenance and regeneration over the much greater distances from denervated muscle to lesion site. This suggests that muscle CNTFRa enhancement may help maintain and regenerate those MNs in denervating diseases, including ALS, that have lost contact with muscle. If so, this would be particularly useful for treating ALS in that it is generally first diagnosed in the late stages of the disease when many MNs have lost muscle contact.

Acknowledgements:

Supported by NIH grants NS35224 and NS052700 to A.J.M.

RERFERENCES

- Bothe GWM, Haspel JA, Smith CL, Wiener HH, Burden SJ. 2000 Selective expression of Cre recombinase in skeletal muscle fibers. Genesis 26:165–166. [PubMed: 10686620]
- Davis S, Aldrich TH, Ip NY, Stahl N, Scherer S, Farruggella T, DiStefano PS, Curtis R, Panayotatos N, Gascan H, Chevalier S, Yancopoulos GD. 1993b Released form of CNTF receptor a component is a soluble mediator of CNTF responses. Science 259:1736–1739. [PubMed: 7681218]
- Elson GCA, Lelievre E, Guillet C, Chevalier S, Plun-Favreau H, Froger J, Suard I, Benoit de Coignac A, Delneste Y, Bonnefoy J-Y, Gauchat J-F, Gascan H. 2000 CLF associates with CLC to form a functional heteromeric ligand for the CNTF receptor complex. Nature Neurosci 3:867–872. [PubMed: 10966616]
- Hyman BT, Gomez-Isla T, Irizarry MC. 1998 Stereology: A practical primer for neuropathology. J Neuropath Exp Neurol 57:305–310. [PubMed: 9600222]
- Lee N, Spearry RP, Leahy KM, Robitz R, Trinh DS, Mason CO, Zurbrugg RJ, Batt MK, Paul RJ, MacLennan AJ. 2013 Muscle ciliary neurotrophic factor receptor a promotes axonal regeneration and functional recovery following peripheral nerve lesion. J Comp Neurol 521(13), 2947–2965. [PubMed: 23504871]
- MacLennan AJ, Vinson EN, Marks L, McLaurin DL, Pfeifer M, Lee N. 1996 Immunohistochemical localization of ciliary neurotrophic factor receptor a expression in the rat nervous system. J Neurosci 16:621–630. [PubMed: 8551346]
- Sendtner M (2014) Motoneuron Disease In: Lewin G, Carter B (eds) Neurotrophic Factors. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, vol 220 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg

- Stillman AA, Krsnik Z, Sun J, Rašin M-R, State MW, Šestan N, Louvi A. 2009 Developmentally regulated and evolutionarily conserved expression of SLITRK1 in brain circuits implicated in Tourette Syndrome. J Comp Neurol 513(1): 21–37. [PubMed: 19105198]
- Weis J, Lie DC, Ragoss U, Züchner SL, Schröder JM, Karpati G, Farruggella T, Stahl N, Yancopoulos GD, DiStefano PS. 1998 Increased expression of CNTF receptor alpha in denervated human skeletal muscle. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 9;57(9):850–7. [PubMed: 9737548]
- Ylä-Herttuala S 2012 Endgame: glybera finally recommended for approval as the first gene therapy drug in the European union. Mol Ther. 20(10):1831–2. [PubMed: 23023051]



Figure 1. Muscle-specific CNTFRa knockdown leads to decreased motor neuron choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) levels following sciatic nerve crush.

Muscle-specific CNTFRa knockdown mice and littermate controls received a unilateral sciatic nerve crush. Motor neuron ChAT levels were assessed one week post-lesion with ChAT immunohistochemistry and image analysis. (A-F) ChAT immunohistochemistry examples. ChAT-labeled spinal cord ventral horn motor neurons in CNTFRa knockdown (A,B,E,F) and control (C,D) mice. Lesioned side on right (B,D,F); dorsal to top. The CNTFRa knockdown mice display a lesion-induced decrease in ChAT label not seen in control mice. Scale bar=50µm. (G) Quantification of motor neuron ChAT labeling intensity indicated labeling intensity was not affected by the nerve crush in control mice but was significantly reduced in CNTFRa knockdown mice. For each side of each mouse, the labeling intensities of all labeled motor neurons in the many sections collected (see

Methods) were determined and used to calculate an average intensity. * p<0.01 Bonferroni post hoc comparison to control mice.