

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Psychooncology*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 02.

Published in final edited form as:

Psychooncology. 2019 January ; 28(1): 68–75. doi:10.1002/pon.4911.

Trajectories of Health Behaviors across Early Childhood Cancer Survivorship

Rachel S. Fisher, BS¹, Joseph R. Rausch, PhD^{1,2}, Amanda C. Ferrante, BA¹, Kemar V. Prussien, MS³, Randal S. Olshefski, MD^{2,4}, Kathryn A. Vannatta, PhD^{1,2,5}, Bruce E. Compas, PhD³, and Cynthia A. Gerhardt, PhD^{1,2,5}

¹Center for Biobehavioral Health, The Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital

²Department of Pediatrics, The Ohio State University

³Department of Psychology and Human Development, Vanderbilt University

⁴Division of Hematology/Oncology/BMT, Nationwide Children's Hospital

⁵Department of Psychology, The Ohio State University

Abstract

Objective: The majority of childhood cancer survivors develop at least one late effect subsequent to treatment (e.g., cardiovascular disease, obesity). Consistent engagement in recommended health behaviors may mitigate some of these conditions. Researchers have identified early survivorship as a teachable moment, yet few studies have examined positive health behaviors during this period.

Methods: Families of children with cancer (ages 5–17) were initially recruited following a diagnosis or relapse of cancer. Three years post-diagnosis, survivors (n=82, $M_{age}=13.3$, SD=3.7) and their mothers (n=103, $M_{age}=41.1$, SD=7.6) completed a questionnaire assessing exercise, dietary, and sleep patterns among survivors. A follow-up assessment was conducted two years later. Mixed models tested change in health behavior over time.

Results: At three- and five-years post-diagnosis, mother and self-report indicated that few survivors engaged in appropriate levels of low-intensity exercise, fruit/vegetable intake, and dairy consumption. However, most survivors engaged in recommended levels of high intensity exercise, fast food restriction, and sleep. Health behaviors remained stable over time, except for mother report of sleep duration, which decreased (*b*=-0.6, *p*<.001). Brain tumor diagnosis predicted a larger decrease in self-report of sleep duration compared to other diagnoses (*p*=.04). Income predicted fast food intake such that higher income was associated with decreased intake over time, whereas lower income was associated with increased intake (*p*=.04).

Conclusions: During early survivorship, several health behaviors fell short of expectations for exercise and diet and did not improve upon reaching five years post-diagnosis. Providers should evaluate survivors' health behaviors, including sleep, early and often, intervening when necessary.

Corresponding author: Rachel Fisher, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Center for Biobehavioral Health, 700 Children's Drive, Columbus, OH 43205, Telephone: (614) 722-3584, Fax: (614) 722-4718, Rachel.Fisher@nationwidechildrens.org.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Keywords

healthy lifestyle; psychosocial; survivors; survivors of childhood cancer; survivorship; cancer; oncology

Introduction

Five-year survival rates for childhood cancer now exceed 80%, and the population of survivors of childhood cancer continues to grow exponentially, with numbers approaching 400,000 in the U.S.¹ These survival rates come at a cost, as multi-modal treatments can have a significant impact on the developing child. According to some estimates, up to ninety-five percent of these survivors may experience at least one late effect from treatment, such as pulmonary conditions, obesity, and cardiovascular disease.^{1, 2} Thus, minimizing these late effects is a crucial step toward optimizing the long-term quality of life of childhood cancer survivors.

Positive health behaviors, such as exercising often, eating a well-balanced diet, and getting ample sleep, may reduce risk for certain metabolic and cardiovascular conditions among both the healthy population and survivors.^{3–5} Given the importance of preventing cancer recurrence and late effects, engagement in these health behaviors may be particularly important for survivors. Yet, cancer and its treatment can compromise positive health behaviors. Side effects, such as nausea, vomiting, changes in taste, limited mobility, and fatigue may undermine diet, physical activity, and sleep. Later, as children enter survivorship, poor habits may linger – particularly if children exhibit late effects that limit physical functioning.^{6, 7} However, little research has documented how positive health behaviors change between the end of treatment and early survivorship.

Childhood cancer survivors across age groups typically have comparable or lower dietary and physical activity patterns relative to the healthy population and fall short of national lifestyle guidelines.^{7–15} A review of 26 studies concluded that survivors demonstrate low levels of physical activity and fruit and vegetable intake, and most do not meet health behavior recommendations.¹⁶ Limited research has evaluated dairy and fast food intake, but adherence to dairy guidelines also appears poor.^{12, 15, 17}. Children undergoing treatment for cancer may report poor sleep quality (e.g., disrupted, restricted sleep), and a subset experience sleep disorders. ^{18–20} Such issues may persist well into survivorship.^{18, 19, 21, 22} Thus, many long-term survivors of childhood cancer display inadequate patterns of diet, exercise, and sleep – despite the importance of positive health behaviors in the prevention of late effects and recurrence.

Early survivorship is a critical period for establishing positive health behaviors. However, most health behavior research has relied on data from adults who survived childhood cancer – focusing on health-compromising behaviors five or more years after diagnosis.²³ Such studies with young survivors may be subject to bias due to an over-reliance on parent report or single informants.¹⁶ Few studies examining health behaviors in childhood cancer survivors have used longitudinal designs to clarify when and why health behaviors are poor. In cross-sectional studies, survivors who are adolescents or young adults (AYA), of lower

socioeconomic status, and Black typically demonstrate worse health behaviors. ^{8, 9, 12, 14, 16, 24} Diagnoses of leukemia or central nervous system (CNS) malignancy, as well as receipt of CNS-directed treatment, may also predict worse health behaviors. ^{7, 14, 16, 18, 19, 24}. Whether or not these same variables predict health behavior change is poorly understood. Thus, research has yet to examine changes in health-promoting behaviors among contemporary cohorts during early survivorship – a critical transition point in the cancer continuum when providers can engage families in follow-up care.

Given these methodological gaps, we aimed to longitudinally examine the positive health behaviors (i.e., diet, exercise, sleep) of childhood cancer survivors during early survivorship compared to national guidelines. Adherence to guidelines were informed by recommendations from a variety of organizations. We prioritized the use of cancer-specific, measurable guidelines (i.e., The American Cancer Society, the Children's Oncology Group) when available, but consulted resources from the United States government agencies (the United States Department of Agriculture, and the Center for Disease Control), as well as previous research, when needed.^{25–29} Considering developmental expectations and observed differences across age groups in this population, we expected health behaviors to worsen over time, as participants aged into adolescence/young adulthood.^{9, 22} Age, income, diagnostic type, and treatment type (CNS vs. non-CNS directed treatment) were examined as potential predictors of health behavior change across early survivorship.

Methods

Participants and Procedures

Data are part of a larger, longitudinal study investigating coping and family communication in the context of childhood cancer.³⁰ Eligible participants were: (a) ages 5–17, (b) diagnosed with new or relapsed cancer, (c) English-speaking, and (d) without preexisting developmental delay. Following Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval (IRB05–00039; IRB09–00135), study staff at two large pediatric institutions in the United States identified children from cancer registries and recruited them in clinic. Children and their parents provided written informed consent and assent (ages 10–17) to participate. Families received paper surveys to complete and return. Children aged 10 and older completed questionnaires and were offered assistance if needed. Compensation was provided for participation.

At initial enrollment, 336 families of participated (M = 2.5 months post-diagnosis, SD = 2.0 months). A majority of children were newly diagnosed at enrollment, although a subset had a relapsed diagnosis (n = 37, 11%). For this manuscript, health behavior data from parents and children at three (M = 41.0 months post-diagnosis, SD = 3.8 months) and five years (M = 63.3 months post-diagnosis, SD = 5.2 months) was included.

At three years post-diagnosis, 16% (n = 55) of children had died. Of the 281 remaining families, 47 pilot families were not approached at this time due to a lag in funding. Thus, 54% (n = 127) of approached families participated, and 47% (n = 111) had complete data for the current study (103 mothers, 82 children). Mothers and children were, on average, 43.1 years old (SD = 7.6) and 13.3 years old (SD = 3.7), respectively.

Five years post-diagnosis, four additional children had died, and pilot families were included at this time point. Of the 277 approached families, 52% (n = 145) participated, and 43% (n = 120) had complete data (104 mothers, 111 children). One-hundred and sixty-nine families had at least one family member who completed a health behavior questionnaire at either follow-up and were included in analyses. Nearly all of these participants (n = 157; 93%) were off treatment, with an average of 3.73 years (SD=1.28).

Demographic characteristics (i.e., survivor gender, survivor race, family income, diagnostic type, treatment type, treatment status) were not significantly different for participants and those who chose not to participate at each time point. However, participants whose initial study diagnosis was a relapsed diagnosis, were less likely to participate at either time point (p=.001).

Measures

Mothers reported family demographic characteristics (e.g., marital status, education, income), and diagnosis and treatment information was collected via medical chart abstractions. Health behaviors were measured (per mother and self-report) via a health behavior questionnaire designed for this population and adapted from the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System questionnaire (YRBS).³¹ Questions evaluated the frequency of each health behavior over the previous week. Items similar to the YRBS formatting and scales evaluating dairy intake, fast food intake, and sleep duration were also created. Participants rated the number of days in the previous week survivors engaged in each health behavior on a scale of zero to seven days. Sleep duration was assessed via an open-ended response indicating hours slept per night. Health behavior achievement criteria are displayed in Table 2. Criteria were derived from the recommendations of a variety of institutions and guided by previous research.^{7, 25–29}

Data Analysis Plan

Changes in health behaviors over time were analyzed using mixed models with the PROC MIXED procedure in SAS for mother and self-reports separately.³² First, change in each health behavior outcome was analyzed with a statistical model that included time as a repeated measures factor. Second, models examined demographic and medical factors (age, income level, diagnosis type, CNS-directed treatment) as predictors of change in health behavior outcomes from three- to five-year follow-up. As this procedure accounts for missing data, all available data were used in the mixed model analyses (see Table 3 for sample sizes). With n = 79 as the smallest possible sample size, we had approximately 80% power – sufficient to detect moderate to large effects, with $\alpha = .05$ for two-tailed tests.

Results

Survivor Health Behavior Achievement of Recommendations

Using our criteria for health behavior recommendations (presented in Table 2), we calculated the percentage of survivors who met health behavior recommendations at both three- and five-year follow-up. One mother's sleep duration response was removed as it seemed unreasonable (i.e., 19 hours per night). At three-year follow-up, both mother and self-reports

demonstrated that few survivors (11–37%) met recommendations for low intensity exercise, fruit and vegetable intake, and dairy intake (see Table 3). However, most survivors (63–73%) met recommendations for high intensity exercise, fast food intake, and sleep for their age group.

At five-year follow-up, achievement of health behavior recommendations largely remained stable. Few survivors (17–36%) met recommendations for low intensity physical activity, fruit and vegetable intake, and dairy intake (see Table 3). Again, most survivors (52–73%) reported recommended levels of high intensity physical activity, fast food intake, and sleep for their age group.

Concordance between mother and self-report of survivor health behavior was strong. Responses were significantly and positively correlated at both time points (r = .23 - .62, p-values < .05), and two-tailed, paired t-tests found no significant differences between mother and self-report (p = .25 - .97). At three-year follow-up, children on and off treatment reported similar health behaviors with two exceptions. At three years post-diagnosis, participants on treatment consumed less fast food (p=.046) and reported more sleep (p=. 024). Correlations revealed that time since completion of treatment was unrelated to health behaviors.

Health Behavior Change over Time

Health behavior outcome variables were analyzed separately for mother and self-report to analyze change over time. Contrary to our expectations, mixed model analyses revealed that health behaviors remained stable across early survivorship. Sleep duration significantly decreased by approximately 36 minutes per night per mother report (b = -.60, p < .001).

Tested predictors of health behavior change included child age, mother-reported family income level, diagnosis type, and treatment type. Most predictors were non-significant (see Table 4). Brain tumor diagnosis predicted a significant decrease in self-report of sleep duration compared to other diagnoses (b = -2.20, p = .04), evidencing a decrease of 132 minutes of sleep per night, whereas other diagnostic groups demonstrated minimal change (i.e., a 15–20 minute decrease or increase in sleep duration). However, as few children in this sample were brain tumor survivors (see Table 1), this finding should be viewed cautiously.

Although fast food intake remained stable, family income predicted differential change in fast food intake, per both mother (b = -.25, p = .04) and self-report (b = -.31, p = .04). Survivors at the lowest income level (less than \$25,000 annually) increased fast food intake slightly. In contrast, survivors at the highest income level (more than \$100,000 annually) decreased fast food intake in the previous week by about one day.

Discussion

As children diagnosed with cancer enter survivorship, clinicians or family members may expect to see improvements in health behaviors. However, engagement in positive health behaviors is perhaps complicated due to late effects (e.g., fatigue, musculoskeletal problems) and developmental changes as survivors age and evidence normative declines in health

behaviors.^{6, 21, 33} This study examined the trajectories of several key health behaviors during the transition off treatment to long-term survivorship, an often understudied yet critical period in the cancer continuum. Survivors in this study achieved recommendations for high intensity exercise, fast food intake, and sleep, but low intensity exercise, fruit and vegetable intake, and dairy intake fell short of established guidelines. Furthermore, given that health behaviors remained stable and did not vary as a function of time post-treatment, the lack of improvement from three to five years post-diagnosis is concerning.

Achievement of recommended levels of physical activity was moderate for high intensity exercise and poor for low intensity exercise. Reviews report that approximately 50% of survivors or less achieve recommendations for physical activity and/or exercise.^{7, 9, 16} Although few studies have specifically reported low and high intensity physical activity levels in survivors of this age group, our finding of more survivors meeting recommendations for high intensity exercise than for low intensity exercise contradicts previous findings.^{10, 11} Survivor engagement in organized sports, dance, or other physically intense activities perhaps explains this observation in a primarily school-aged sample. Stability of health behaviors in the current study is consistent with some research in healthy populations.³⁴ but differs from findings of declining physical activity in adult survivors over five years from treatment in the St. Jude Childhood Cancer Survivor Study.³⁵ It likely that adherence to physical activity guidelines remains moderate in early survivorship, then declines as survivors age into adulthood. However, the factors examined in our study did not predict change in physical activity in early survivorship, despite correlational findings in prior research.⁹ This may be due to timing. Particularly for children who remained in the same developmental age group, this two-year window may be too brief for significant changes to emerge.

Dietary findings were generally consistent with prior research with this population, as few survivors were adherent to recommendations of five servings of produce and three servings of dairy daily.^{11, 12, 15, 17} While dietary patterns often worsen as healthy children enter adolescence and young adulthood, those of our sample remained stable over a two-year period.³⁶ Generally, sociodemographic and medical factors failed to predict changes in adherence to dietary guidelines in our sample. However, survivors from higher income families decreased their fast food consumption over time, while survivors from lower income families slightly increased fast food intake. Post-hoc analyses found that this difference may be explained by higher income families consuming more fast food than lower income families at three years post-diagnosis. Taken together, our results may reflect a catch-up period for survivors as fast food consumption increases for lower income families and becomes more similar to national trends.²⁵ Overall, these findings add to a growing body of literature from adult survivors, indicating that many younger childhood cancer survivors do not meet dietary recommendations. This is concerning, as the intake of nutrientdense, health-promoting fruits and vegetables and dairy products could mitigate risk for late effects, such as secondary malignancies, osteopenia, and cardiometabolic disorders.

Most survivors achieved the recommended amount of sleep per night for their age group, replicating a study with pediatric brain tumor survivors.³⁷ It is noteworthy that survivors' sleep significantly decreased over time according to their mothers, which mirrors findings of

continued poor sleep quality as survivors mature.^{20, 21} We found a greater decrease in sleep duration among brain tumor survivors relative to other diagnoses, according to self-report. This observation may be explained by circadian changes specific to brain malignancies, but should be viewed cautiously given our small sample of brain tumor survivors.^{18, 19} Together, these observations are troubling, as restricted sleep duration is associated with obesity and obesogenic changes in hormones and physical activity.⁵

Overall, we found few demographic or medical factors that distinguished trajectories of change in health behaviors over a two-year period. This may be due to the longitudinal nature of these data, and factors such as the timing of assessments and the nature of selected predictors. Various medical factors not explored in the current study (e.g., younger age at diagnosis, longer treatment length, lower healthcare utilization, higher presence/severity of late effects) may serve as effective predictors of health behavior decline.^{9, 23} Based on previous research, psychological constructs including beliefs about health self-efficacy, health protection, and cognitive competence may predict health behavior change in childhood cancer survivors.^{13, 16, 38} Future studies should evaluate such constructs and their associations with health behavior change among this population.

Study Limitations

There are several other study limitations to consider. Participant attrition between enrollment and follow-up studies reduced sample size and power. Moreover, the sample is mostly White, with proportionally fewer brain tumor survivors. While multiple informants provided similar health behavior data, they were collected via subjective report on single items. We recruited fathers of survivors, but the small sample prohibited inclusion in this paper. Larger and more diverse samples of survivors should be followed – perhaps over a longer period of time. Future studies should include objective data (e.g., food diaries, actigraphy) when possible. The use of both objective *and* multi-informant subjective measures of health behavior would provide more information and may have greater sensitivity to detect changes over time.

Despite limitations, this is the first longitudinal study examining the positive health behaviors of a broad age range of childhood cancer survivors across early survivorship. Use of multiple informants and evaluation of fast food and dairy intake in this population adds to the existing literature. As this study expands our understanding of when health behaviors among childhood cancer survivors are suboptimal, research should continue to address why this is the case. Such work will inform interventions tailored to this high-risk population. Our findings evidence that young survivors do not appear to improve health behaviors postdiagnosis without intervention. Existing interventions for young survivors typically target physical activity, with most findings demonstrating moderate, short-term success.^{10, 16, 39} The current study demonstrates that efforts aimed at improving dietary quality are also necessary. Interventions should especially target periods of transition within the developmental or cancer continuums, taking advantage of "teachable moments" during treatment or early survivorship to prevent the persistence of unhealthy habits into adulthood.

Clinical Implications

Ideally, clinical efforts should focus on improving family education and providing effective interventions to address the deficits this study and others have identified. However, many survivors are lost to long-term follow-up, and a recent review reported that primary care physicians found survivorship guidelines unclear and inconsistent.⁴⁰ Regular communication between providers and families, as well as the development of an efficient, valid screener of health behaviors, would bring greater attention to this issue. Psychosocial providers play a key role in working with children at the greatest risk. Although survivors in this sample achieved recommendations for several positive health behaviors, intervention may be necessary for youth who are obese, hypertensive, or evidence chronically poor habits. Ultimately, additional research, coupled with improvements in evidence-based care, is needed to increase engagement in positive health behaviors in early survivorship, and thus improve long-term quality of life.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the National Cancer Institute (R01 CA118332) and intramural funding from the Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital. Portions of this work were presented at the Society of Pediatric Psychology Annual Conference, Orlando, Florida (April 2018). Thank you to all of the families that contributed to this work.

References

- Phillips SM, Padgett LS, Leisenring WM, Stratton KK, Bishop K, Krull KR, et al. Survivors of childhood cancer in the United States: prevalence and burden of morbidity. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2015;24(4):653–63. [PubMed: 25834148]
- Hudson MM, Ness KK, Gurney JG, Mulrooney DA, Chemaitilly W, Krull KR, et al. Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. JAMA 2013;309(22): 2371–81. [PubMed: 23757085]
- 3. Hill JO, Peters JC. Environmental Contributions to the Obesity Epidemic. Science 1998;280(5368): 1371–4. [PubMed: 9603719]
- Webb S, Chenghong L, A. NK, A. MD, T. AG, Q. LJ, et al. Lifestyle and metabolic syndrome in adult survivors of childhood cancer: A report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. Cancer 2014;120(17):2742–50. [PubMed: 25070001]
- 5. Ogilvie RP, Patel SR. The epidemiology of sleep and obesity. Sleep Health 2017;3(5):383–8. [PubMed: 28923198]
- 6. Tillmann V, Darlington ASE, Eiser C Bishop NJ, Davies HA Male Sex and Low Physical Activity Are Associated With Reduced Spine Bone Mineral Density in Survivors of Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. J Bone Miner Res 2002;17(6):1073–80. [PubMed: 12054163]
- 7. Zhang FF, Saltzman E, Must A, Parsons SK. Do Childhood Cancer Survivors Meet the Diet and Physical Activity Guidelines? A Review of Guidelines and Literature. International journal of child health and nutrition 2012;1(1):44–58. [PubMed: 26973721]
- Badr H, Chandra J, Paxton RJ, Ater JL, Urbauer D, Cruz CS, et al. Health-related quality of life, lifestyle behaviors, and intervention preferences of survivors of childhood cancer. J Cancer Surviv 2013;7(4):523–34. [PubMed: 23749663]
- 9. Ford JS, Barnett M, Werk R. Health Behaviors of Childhood Cancer Survivors. Children (Basel) 2014;1(3):355–73. [PubMed: 27417484]
- Winter C, Muller C, Hoffmann C, Boos J, Rosenbaum D. Physical activity and childhood cancer. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2010;54(4):501–10. [PubMed: 19743298]

- Phillips-Salimi CR, Lommel K, Andrykowski MA. Physical and mental health status and health behaviors of childhood cancer survivors: findings from the 2009 BRFSS survey. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2012;58(6):964–70. [PubMed: 22012636]
- Demark-Wahnefried W, Werner C, Clipp EC, Guill AB, Bonner M, Jones LW, et al. Survivors of childhood cancer and their guardians. Cancer 2005;103(10):2171–80. [PubMed: 15812823]
- Hocking MC, Schwartz LA, Hobbie WL, Derosa BW, Ittenbach RF, Mao JJ, et al. Prospectively examining physical activity in young adult survivors of childhood cancer and healthy controls. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2013;60(2):309–15. [PubMed: 22434746]
- 14. Devine KA, Mertens AC, Whitton JA, Wilson CL, Ness KK, Gilleland Marchak J, et al. Factors associated with physical activity among adolescent and young adult survivors of early childhood cancer: A report from the childhood cancer survivor study (CCSS). Psychooncology 2017.
- Zhang FF, Saltzman E, Kelly MJ, Liu S, Must A, Parsons SK, et al. Comparison of childhood cancer survivors' nutritional intake with US dietary guidelines. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2015;62(8): 1461–7. [PubMed: 25808589]
- 16. Stolley MR, Restrepo J, Sharp LK. Diet and physical activity in childhood cancer survivors: a review of the literature. Ann Behav Med 2010;39(3):232–49. [PubMed: 20559768]
- Belle F, Wengenroth L, Weiss A, Sommer G, Beck Popovic M, Ansari M, et al. Low adherence to dietary recommendations in adult childhood cancer survivors. Clin Nutr 2017;36(5):1266–74. [PubMed: 28277304]
- Kaleyias J, Manley P, Kothare SV. Sleep disorders in children with cancer. Semin Pediatr Neurol 2012;19(1):25–34. [PubMed: 22641073]
- Walter LM, Nixon GM, Davey MJ, Downie PA, Horne RS. Sleep and fatigue in pediatric oncology: A review of the literature. Sleep Med Rev 2015;24:71–82. [PubMed: 25679070]
- 20. Mandrell BN, Moore C, Crabtree VM. Assessing Common Sleep Disturbances in Survivors of Childhood Cancer. The Journal for Nurse Practitioners 2017.
- Mulrooney DA, Ness KK, Neglia JP, Whitton JA, Green DM, Zeltzer LK, et al. Fatigue and sleep disturbance in adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study (CCSS). Sleep 2008;31(2):271–81. [PubMed: 18274275]
- Elliot DL, Lindemulder SJ, Goldberg L, Stadler DD, Smith J. Health promotion for adolescent childhood leukemia survivors: building on prevention science and ehealth. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2013;60(6):905–10. [PubMed: 23109253]
- Nathan PC, Ford JS, Henderson TO, Hudson MM, Emmons KM, Casillas JN, et al. Health behaviors, medical care, and interventions to promote healthy living in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. J Clin Oncol 2009;27(14):2363–73. [PubMed: 19255308]
- 24. Lown EA, Hijiya N, Zhang N, Srivastava DK, Leisenring WM, Nathan PC, et al. Patterns and predictors of clustered risky health behaviors among adult survivors of childhood cancer: A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer 2016;122(17):2747–56. [PubMed: 27258389]
- Bauer KW, Larson NI, Nelson MC, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Fast food intake among adolescents: secular and longitudinal trends from 1999 to 2004. Prev Med 2009;48(3):284–7. [PubMed: 19166872]
- 26. The American Cancer Society medical and editorial content team. ACS Guidelines on Nutrition and Physical Activity for Cancer Prevention [Internet]. The American Cancer Society; 2017 [updated 2017 April 17; cited 2018 July 27]. Available from: https://www.cancer.org/healthy/eathealthy-get-active/acs-guidelines-nutrition-physical-activity-cancer-prevention/guidelines.html.
- 27. Children's Oncology Group. Health Link: Healthy living after treatment of childhood cancer [Internet]. Children's Oncology Group; 2013 [updated 2013; cited 2018 July 27]. Available from: http://www.survivorshipguidelines.org/pdf/healthlinks/English/ diet_and_physical_activity_Eng.pdf.
- 28. ChooseMyPlate.gov All About the Dairy Group [Internet]. United States Department of Agriculture; 2017 [updated 2017 November 3; cited 2018 July 27]. Available from: https:// www.choosemyplate.gov/dairy.
- 29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. How Much Sleep Do I Need? [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2017 [updated 2017 March 2; cited 2018 July 27]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/sleep/about_sleep/how_much_sleep.html.

- Compas BE, Desjardins L, Vannatta K, Young-Saleme T, Rodriguez EM, Dunn M, et al. Children and adolescents coping with cancer: self- and parent reports of coping and anxiety/depression. Health Psychol 2014;33(8):853–61. [PubMed: 25068455]
- 31. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2005 Youth Risk Behavior Survey [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2005 [cited 2018 July 27]. Available from: https:// www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/yrbs/questionnaires.htm.
- 32. SAS Institute Inc. 2008 SAS/STAT® 9.2 User's Guide Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Nelson MC, Neumark-Stzainer D, Hannan PJ, Sirard JR, Story M. Longitudinal and secular trends in physical activity and sedentary behavior during adolescence. Pediatrics 2006;118(6):e1627–e34. [PubMed: 17142492]
- Graham DJ, Sirard JR, Neumark-Sztainer D. Adolescents' attitudes toward sports, exercise, and fitness predict physical activity 5 and 10 years later. Prev Med 2011;52(2):130–2. [PubMed: 21130803]
- Wilson CL, Stratton K, Leisenring WL, Oeffinger KC, Nathan PC, Wasilewski-Masker K, et al. Decline in physical activity level in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014;23(8):1619–27. [PubMed: 24842624]
- 36. Lytle LA, Seifert S, Greenstein J, McGovern P. How Do Children's Eating Patterns and Food Choices Change over Time? Results from a Cohort Study. Am J Health Promot 2000;14(4):222–8. [PubMed: 10915532]
- 37. Brimeyer C, Adams L, Zhu L, Srivastava DK, Wise M, Hudson MM, et al. Sleep complaints in survivors of pediatric brain tumors. Support Care Cancer 2016;24(1):23–31. [PubMed: 25895632]
- Mulhern RK, Tyc VL, Phipps S, Crom D, Barclay D, Greenwald C, et al. Health-related behaviors of survivors of childhood cancer. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 1995;25(3):159–65.
- Pugh GL Gravestock H, E Hough R, M King W, Wardle J, Fisher A. Health Behavior Change Interventions for Teenage and Young Adult Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Review. Journal of adolescent and young adult oncology 2016;5(2):91–105. [PubMed: 26871647]
- Lawrence RA, McLoone JK, Wakefield CE, Cohn RJ. Primary Care Physicians' Perspectives of Their Role in Cancer Care: A Systematic Review. J Gen Intern Med 2016;31(10):1222–36. [PubMed: 27220499]

Table 1.

Participant Demographic Characteristics

Variable	Three Years Post-diagnosis	Five Years Post-diagnosis
Survivor Mean Age (SD)	13.3 (3.9)	-
Survivor Age Range	7 – 21	-
Survivor Gender		
Male (%)	56 (51%)	57 (48%)
Female (%)	53 (49%)	62 (52%)
Survivor Race		
White (%)	93 (85%)	104 (88%)
Black (%)	11 (10%)	11 (9%)
Native American/Other (%)	5 (5%)	4 (3%)
Family Income		
\$25,000 or under (%)	26 (25%)	23 (22%)
\$25,000 to \$50,000 (%)	21 (20%)	28 (26%)
\$50,000 to \$75,000 (%)	24 (23%)	23 (21%)
\$75,000 to \$100,000 (%)	13 (13%)	17 (17%)
\$100,000 or more (%)	19 (19%)	16 (14%)
Cancer Diagnosis		
Leukemia (%)	41 (38%)	43 (36%)
Lymphoma (%)	30 (27%)	31 (26%)
Brain Tumor (%)	5 (5%)	8 (7%)
Other Solid Tumor (%) \ddagger	33 (30%)	37 (31%)
Type of Treatment		
CNS-directed Treatment (%)	55 (50%)	61 (51%)
Chemotherapy (%)	105 (95%)	116 (98%)
Radiation (%)	35 (32%)	39 (33%)

 $^{\dagger}\!\!\!\!\! Demographics presented reflect children from all families with complete survivor or mother data.$

 \ddagger Other Solid Tumors include Sarcoma diagnoses, as well as Neuroblastoma and Wilms' Tumor

	Table 2.	
Health Behavior Rec	Health Behavior Recommendation Criteria	
Health Behavior	Question	Achievement Criteria
Low Intensity Exercise	"During the past 7 days, how many days did you/your child participate in a physical activity for at least 30 minutes that did not make you/your child sweat and breathe hard (e.g. fast walking, slow bicycling, skating, pushing a lawn mower, or mopping floors)?"	The American Cancer So low intensity exercise at l
High Intensity Exercise	"During the past 7 days, how many days did you/your child exercise or participate in a physical activity for at least 30 minutes that made you/your child sweat and breathe hard (e.g. basketball, soccer, nunning, swimming laps, fast bicycling, fast dancing, or similar aerobic activities)?"	The American Cancer So high intensity exercise at

reta	1.
	f

Low Intensity Exercise	During the past / days, now many days du you you ching pancipate in a physical activity for at least 30 minutes that did not make you'your child sweat and breathe hard (e.g. fast walking, slow bicycling, skating, pushing a lawn mower, or mopping floors)?"	The American Cancer Society recommends that survivors engage in 60 minutes of low intensity exercise at least 5 days per week. ²⁶
High Intensity Exercise	"During the past 7 days, how many days did you/your child exercise or participate in a physical activity for at least 30 minutes that made you/your child sweat and breathe hard (e.g. basketball, soccer, running, swimming laps, fast bicycling, fast dancing, or similar aerobic activities)?"	The American Cancer Society recommends that survivors engage in 30 minutes of high intensity exercise at least 3 days per week. ²⁶
Fruit/vegetable Intake	"During the past 7 days, how many days did you/your child eat at least five fruits or vegetables per day?"	The Children's Oncology Group recommends that survivors consume at least 5 servings of fruits and/or vegetables each day. 27
Dairy Intake	"During the past 7 days, how many days did you/your child have at least three servings of milk or dairy products per day?"	The United States Department of Agriculture recommends that youth consume at least 3 servings of milk or dairy products each day. ²⁸
Fast Food Intake	"During the past 7 days, how many days did you/your child eat fast food or take-out?"	Prior research recommend that youth consume fast food or take-out less than 3 days per week $^{\rm 25}$
Sleep Duration	"During the past 7 days, on average, how many hours per night did you/your child sleep?"	The Center for Disease Control recommends 9–12 hours of sleep per night for school-aged children, 8–10 hours per night for adolescents, and 7 or more hours per night for young adults. ²⁹

 \dot{f} Use of cancer-specific guidelines were used, when available. If unavailable, United States resources and prior research were consulted.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

			Three Years Post-diagnosis	Post-di	agnosis				Five Years Post-diagnosis	ost-diag	gnosis	
		Mother Report	t		Survivor Report	rt		Mother Report			Survivor Report	rt
Health Behavior	u	Met Recommendations n (%)	(SD)	u	Met Recommendations n (%)	(DD) W	z	Met Recommendations n (%)	(QS) W	u	Met Recommendations n (%)	(QD)
High Intensity Exercise 103	103	30 (29%)	3.34 (2.28)	81	25 (31%)	3.53 (2.43) 102	102	37 (35%)	3.02 (2.19)	II	35 (32%)	3.17 (2.16)
Low Intensity Exercise	103	65 (63%)	2.86 (2.47)	81	52 (64%)	3.04 (2.57)	104	54 (53%)	3.29 (2.38)	110	70 (63%)	3.18 (2.23)
Fruit/vegetable Intake	103	11 (11%)	3.21 (2.23)	82	14 (17%)	3.30 (2.39)	103	22 (21%)	3.64 (2.46)	110	19 (17%)	3.37 (2.40)
Dairy Intake	103	38 (37%)	4.52 (2.46)	82	28 (34%)	4.09 (2.64)	104	37 (36%)	4.63 (2.36)	111	32 (29%)	4.25 (2.40)
Fast Food Intake	103	68 (66%)	2.09 (1.39)	82	57 (70%)	2.02 (1.55)	104	74 (71%)	2.09 (1.46)	111	81 (73%)	1.91 (1.31)
Sleep Duration	103	72 (73%)	8.55 (1.70) 79	79	47 (60%)	8.08 (1.62) 104	104	45 (52%)	7.87 (1.23) 107	107	50 (54%)	7.89 (1.66)

As each health behavior was examined separately, we used the maximum n for mother and survivor data for all analyses.

Psychooncology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 02.

Sample Size, Mean, and Standard Deviation (SD) of Health Behaviors Compared with Recommendations

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

≥
uth
or I
Mai
snu
čri
Q

4
Ð
q
Та

Regression Coefficients for Predictors of Health Behaviors

webove F value b t p <t< th=""><th>Imation Imation <t< th=""><th>her tranctor h t p b her Child Age -0.076 -0.81 0.419 0.011 Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Family Income 0.109 0.41 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 0.332 0.41 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Itymphoma 0.000 -1.444 -0.97 0.335 0.017 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.386 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.442 0.522 0.0102 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.422 0.6162 0.336 fivor Child Age 0.331 1.422 0.0100</th><th>Low Intensity Exercise</th><th>High In</th><th>High Intensity Exercise</th><th>xercise</th><th>Fruit/ve</th><th>Fruit/vegetable Intake</th><th>ntake</th><th>Dai</th><th>Dairy Intake</th><th>8</th><th>Fast</th><th>Fast Food Intake</th><th>ake</th><th>S</th><th>Sleep Duration</th><th>tion</th></t<></th></t<>	Imation Imation <t< th=""><th>her tranctor h t p b her Child Age -0.076 -0.81 0.419 0.011 Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Family Income 0.109 0.41 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 0.332 0.41 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Itymphoma 0.000 -1.444 -0.97 0.335 0.017 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.386 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.442 0.522 0.0102 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.422 0.6162 0.336 fivor Child Age 0.331 1.422 0.0100</th><th>Low Intensity Exercise</th><th>High In</th><th>High Intensity Exercise</th><th>xercise</th><th>Fruit/ve</th><th>Fruit/vegetable Intake</th><th>ntake</th><th>Dai</th><th>Dairy Intake</th><th>8</th><th>Fast</th><th>Fast Food Intake</th><th>ake</th><th>S</th><th>Sleep Duration</th><th>tion</th></t<>	her tranctor h t p b her Child Age -0.076 -0.81 0.419 0.011 Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Family Income 0.109 0.41 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 0.332 0.41 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Diagnostic Type -0.268 0.332 0.764 1123 Itymphoma 0.000 -1.444 -0.97 0.335 0.017 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.386 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.442 0.522 0.0102 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.422 0.6162 0.336 fivor Child Age 0.331 1.422 0.0100	Low Intensity Exercise	High In	High Intensity Exercise	xercise	Fruit/ve	Fruit/vegetable Intake	ntake	Dai	Dairy Intake	8	Fast	Fast Food Intake	ake	S	Sleep Duration	tion
Child Age-0076-0810.4190.0110.080.8810.0110.150.881-0.021-0.260.730.710.4790.0110.15Family Income0.1090.420.678-0.066-0.310.7590.056-0.310.759-0.266-0.310.7970.0110.010.010.01Diagnosic Type10.3320.410.6630.5950.900.3710.1250.2060.3910.9770.066-0.310.305Diagnosic Type0.3320.410.6630.5950.900.3711.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1230.107-0.1660.3160.3910.0900.4711.020.3061.03Brain Tunor0.0000.000-0.0000.1030.1071.1231.1231.1231.1230.1071.1461.131.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.120.1010.1140.2010.2011.2010.1011.123Diamento(CNS v.0.8011.330.1970.1830.1011.1231.1230.1030.1410.1060.1011.1231.123Diamento(CNS v.0.8111.330.1970.1830.1910.1920.1920.1920.1410.1060.1011.123<	International conditional conditinane conditional conditional conditional conditional condi	her -0.076 -0.81 0.419 0.011 Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 0.332 0.41 0.693 0.595 Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Brain Tumor -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.42 0.163 0.336 Tive - -0.064 0.552 0.010 Tive - 0.331 1.42 0.336 Diagnostic Type - 0.034 0.554 -1.357 Itveukemia -0.634 0.531	t	q	t	d	q	t	d	q	t	d	q	t	d	q	t	d
Child Age0076-0.810.4190.0110.810.0110.180.8810.0110.180.0230.2470.4790.0110.190.11Family Income0.1090.420.678-0.066-0.310.7390.7920.7920.7370.7170.4790.0110.10Dignostic Type0.3320.410.6830.5950.900.3730.5950.900.3710.5060.310.5010.5010.5050.900.4710.5010.5010.5050.90Luekemia0.206-0.30.7641.1231.220.1231.520.1320.1470.2000.710.2010.5011.52Luekemia0.206-0.30.7641.1231.230.1321.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.120.1010.7172.0171.02Luekemia0.0000.0012.0171.030.1731.1231.220.1010.1230.1360.7172.0171.123Luekemia0.0010.0012.0171.030.1010.1030.1131.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1231.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.1241.12	Child Age-0076-08104100011081001101501501501010111011101110111<	Child Age -0.076 -0.81 0.419 0.011 Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type -0.332 0.41 0.575 -0.066 Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Diagnostic Type -1.444 -0.97 0.683 0.595 Uher Solid Tumor 0.000 $ 0.000$ $-$ Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type -0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.100 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.764 -1.357 Leukemia -0.896 -0.438 0.764 -1.357 Diagnostic Type -0.6571 -0.61 0.764 -1.357 Leukemia -0.896 -0.631 -0.631 -0.609 Leukemia -0.896 -0.631 -0.631 -0.600 Leukemia -0.531 -0.531 -0.63																
Family Income0.1090.420.678-0.066-0.310.739-0.076-0.370.026-0.28-0.070.041"-0.066-0.30Dignostic Type0.3320.410.6830.5950.900.3730.5950.900.3730.4700.660.5900.3710.090.371Leukemia0.3230.410.6830.5950.900.3730.5950.900.3730.1920.1920.1920.192Lupphoma0.206-0.30.7641.1231.200.1320.1920.1920.1920.1920.1920.1930.1920.193 <td< td=""><td>Family Income01090.420.678-0.066-0.310.739-0.076-1.36-0.238-2.070.11*-0.066-0.310.01Diagnostic Type130.3320.410.6330.5330.5330.5350.900.3310.9340.9370.01*0.010.030.01*0.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.01<!--</td--><td>Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 1 1 1 1 Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type 1.437 0.49 0.658 0.012 trun 0.331 1.42 0.764 0.136 trun 1.44 0.631 1.357 0.160 trun 0.643 0.764 0.136</td><td>076 -0.81 0.419</td><td>0.011</td><td>0.08</td><td>0.881</td><td>0.011</td><td>0.15</td><td></td><td>-0.021</td><td>-0.26</td><td>0.792</td><td>0.033</td><td>0.71</td><td>0.479</td><td>0.011</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.881</td></td></td<>	Family Income01090.420.678-0.066-0.310.739-0.076-1.36-0.238-2.070.11*-0.066-0.310.01Diagnostic Type130.3320.410.6330.5330.5330.5350.900.3310.9340.9370.01*0.010.030.01*0.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.010.000.01 </td <td>Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 1 1 1 1 Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type 1.437 0.49 0.658 0.012 trun 0.331 1.42 0.764 0.136 trun 1.44 0.631 1.357 0.160 trun 0.643 0.764 0.136</td> <td>076 -0.81 0.419</td> <td>0.011</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.881</td> <td>0.011</td> <td>0.15</td> <td></td> <td>-0.021</td> <td>-0.26</td> <td>0.792</td> <td>0.033</td> <td>0.71</td> <td>0.479</td> <td>0.011</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.881</td>	Family Income 0.109 0.42 0.678 -0.066 Diagnostic Type 1 1 1 1 Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type 1.437 0.49 0.658 0.012 trun 0.331 1.42 0.764 0.136 trun 1.44 0.631 1.357 0.160 trun 0.643 0.764 0.136	076 -0.81 0.419	0.011	0.08	0.881	0.011	0.15		-0.021	-0.26	0.792	0.033	0.71	0.479	0.011	0.15	0.881
	Diagnostic TypeLeukenia0.3320.410.6830.5950.900.3730.5950.900.4710.900.4710.900.3910.3930.3930.3930.3930.3940.3940.3930.3940.3940.3930.3940.3940.3930.3940.3930.3940.3940.3930.3940.3930.394<	Diagnostic Type Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Leukemia -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 inon-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 finon-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 finon-CNS) 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 linon-CNS 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Leukemia -0.031 1.42 0.162 0.100 Leukemia -0.896 -0.104 0.554 -1.357 Diagnostic Type -0.51	0.42		-0.31	0.759	-0.066	-0.31		-0.276	-1.26	0.210	-0.258	-2.07	0.041		-0.31	0.759
Laukemia0.3320.410.6830.5950.900.3710.5700.3610.3640.3940.3950.395 <th< td=""><td>Leakentia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.594 0.591</td><td>Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 inon-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 inon-CNS 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 inuntri 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 inuntri 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 inuntri 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.100 Inuntri 0.043 0.143 0.1357 0.100 <!--</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td></th<>	Leakentia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.594 0.591	Leukemia 0.332 0.41 0.683 0.595 Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 inon-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 inon-CNS 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 inuntri 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 inuntri 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 inuntri 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.100 Inuntri 0.043 0.143 0.1357 0.100 </td <td></td>																
Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.741 1.123 1.25 0.132 1.123 1.52 0.132 1.123 0.107 2.017 2.017 2.017 2.017 2.017 1.02 0.286 0.771 2.017 1.02 Treament CNSVs. 0.800 1.23 0.107 1.63 0.107 1.63 0.107 1.63 0.286 0.231 1.02 0.001 1.02 Treament CNSVs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 1.62 0.101 0.886 1.62 0.101 0.107 1.26 0.28 0.771 2.077 2.077 2.071 1.63 Treament CNSVs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 1.62 0.101 0.886 1.62 0.101 0.127 0.126 0.218 0.201 1.02 0.001 1.02 0.001 1.02 0.001 0.011 0.001 0.011 0.001 0.011 0.001 0.011 0.001 0.011 0.001 0.011 0.001 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 <th< td=""><td>Lymphoma-0.268-0.30.7641.1231.520.1321.511.520.1131.511.520.1331.511.531.511.531.51</td><td>Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.012 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type - -0.631 1.42 0.162 0.336 Leukemia -0.895 -1.049 0.524 0.136 0.136 Brain Tumor -0.6571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.523 0.047</td><td>0.41</td><td></td><td>06.0</td><td>0.373</td><td>0.595</td><td>0.9</td><td>0.373</td><td>0.470</td><td>0.66</td><td>0.509</td><td>0.351</td><td>0.86</td><td>0.394</td><td>0.595</td><td>0.9</td><td>0.373</td></th<>	Lymphoma-0.268-0.30.7641.1231.520.1321.511.520.1131.511.520.1331.511.531.511.531.51	Lymphoma -0.268 -0.3 0.764 1.123 Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.012 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type - -0.631 1.42 0.162 0.336 Leukemia -0.895 -1.049 0.524 0.136 0.136 Brain Tumor -0.6571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.523 0.047	0.41		06.0	0.373	0.595	0.9	0.373	0.470	0.66	0.509	0.351	0.86	0.394	0.595	0.9	0.373
Brain Tunor-1.44-0.970.33520171.630.1072.0171.630.1072.0172.0171.630.0001.63Other Solid Tunor0.00000.0000.0000.000-Tealment CNS vs.0.3701.530.1870.8861.620.1090.8861.620.1090.8861.630.0000.000-Tealment CNS vs.0.8701.530.1870.8861.620.1090.8861.620.1090.7921.530.1870.7712.0171.63Tealment CNS vs.0.8710.8700.8861.620.1090.8861.620.1090.8861.620.1090.9860.1071.630.8861.62Tealment CNS vs.0.8710.8710.8861.620.1030.8861.620.1090.8861.620.9860.9770.8861.62Child Age0.7110.640.5220.0120.9120.9110.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9120.9260.	Brain Tunor -1444 -0.97 0.335 2017 1.63 0.107 1.646 -1.1 0.272 0.216 0.28 0.777 2.017 1.63 Thermittuner 0.000 - - 0.000 -	Brain Tumor -1.444 -0.97 0.335 2.017 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 rivor 0.001 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 rivor 0.870 0.870 0.886 0.886 0.886 rivor Dild Age 0.331 1.42 0.187 0.886 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lunkor -0.895 -0.638 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.51 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.0000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047 <	-0.3	1.123	1.52	0.132	1.123	1.52	0.132	-0.010	-0.01	066.0	0.471	1.02	0.308	1.123	1.52	0.132
Other Solid Tumor 0000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - 1.62 0.103 0.183 0.183 0.183 0.183 0.183 0.183 0.183 0.183 0.183 0.184 0.185 0.180 0.185 0.165 0.115 0.115 0.115 0.115 0.115 0.115 0.115 0.1	Ohter Solid Tunor 0000 - 0 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 - 0.000 0.000 0.018 0.038 0.	Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 Treatment (CNS vs. child Age -0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Pamily Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Loukemia -0.438 -0.49 0.574 -1.357 Diagnostic Type -0.571 -0.51 -1.357 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 - - Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047 -	-0.97	2.017	1.63	0.107	2.017	1.63	0.107	-1.465	-1.1	0.272	0.216	0.28	0.777	2.017	1.63	0.107
Treatment (CNS vs. 0.8700.8701.330.1870.8861.620.1090.8861.620.1090.7921.350.1860.5550.5850.8861.62non-CNS)0.0011.0310.1870.8861.620.1090.8861.620.1090.7921.350.1860.5550.5850.8861.62Child Age-0.071-0.640.522-0.012-0.120.906-0.072-0.760.449-0.147-1.270.266-0.038-0.044*0.1650.016Family Income0.3311.420.1620.3361.540.131-0.194-0.86-0.1120.044*0.1670.1630.164*0.166Diagnostic Type1.420.1620.3361.540.131-0.124-0.134-0.134-0.1240.134-0.136-0.1670.164*0.1650.1630.164*0.165Leukenia-0.895-1.040.302-0.100-0.120.903-0.344-0.286-1.270.266-0.318-2.040.044*0.1651.21Leukenia-0.895-1.040.924-1.220-1.510.135-0.286-1.2390.727-2.090.727-2.06-0.501-1.37Leukenia-0.9310.724-1.357-0.810.9190.924-1.20-1.597-0.860.391-1.299-1.297-0.260.596-0.590-0.596-0.590-0.596-0.590 <t< td=""><td>Treatment (CNS vs.) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 1.62 0.109 0.786 1.63 0.186 0.567 0.566</td><td>Treatment (CNS vs. non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 rivor Child Age -0.071 0.64 0.522 -0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.654 -1.357 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047</td><td></td><td>0.000</td><td>ï</td><td>ı</td><td>0.000</td><td>ī</td><td>ī</td><td>0.000</td><td>ī</td><td>ī</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.000</td><td></td><td>ı</td></t<>	Treatment (CNS vs.) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 1.62 0.109 0.786 1.63 0.186 0.567 0.566	Treatment (CNS vs. non-CNS) 0.870 1.33 0.187 0.886 rivor Child Age -0.071 0.64 0.522 -0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.654 -1.357 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047		0.000	ï	ı	0.000	ī	ī	0.000	ī	ī				0.000		ı
Child Age-0.071-0.640.522-0.012-0.120.906-0.072-0.760.449-0.147-1.270.208-0.002-0.030.980-0.010-0.147Family Income0.3311.420.1620.3361.540.131-0.194-0.820.414-0.286-1.120.266-0.030.944*0.1651.21Diagnostic Type0.3311.420.1620.3361.540.131-0.194-0.820.414-0.286-1.120.266-0.3182.0440.1651.21Leukemia-0.895-1.040.302-0.100-0.120.903-0.384-0.490.6250.6980.7270.6670.1651.21Leukemia-0.895-1.040.302-0.100-0.120.914-1.220-1.510.135-0.317-0.350.727-0.507-1.21Leukemia-0.438-0.490.5280.0810.100.924-1.220-1.510.135-0.317-0.350.550-0.500-0.501-1.37Brain Tumor-0.571-0.310.754-1.357-0.810.419-1.750-1.597-0.860.391-1.399-1.2700.506-2.66Other Solid Tumor0.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.000<	ivorChild Age-0.071-0.640.522-0.012-0.120.906-0.072-0.760.44-0.147-1.270.208-0.002-0.030.980-0.010-0.17Family Income0.3311.420.1620.3361.540.131-0.194-0.826-1.120.206-0.3182.0440.1651.51Diagnostic Type1.420.1620.3361.540.131-0.194-0.869-0.770.444-0.191-0.6571.21Leukemia-0.895-1.040.302-0.100-0.100.9120.914-0.1510.135-0.1670.1650.165Leukemia-0.895-1.040.302-0.100-0.120.924-1.510.135-0.699-0.1770.656-0.3170.357-0.571-0.57-0.571Leukemia-0.6710.310.524-1.510.135-0.699-0.1270.1650.7270.569-0.507-1.27Leukemia-0.6710.310.5270.1210.1520.156-1.270.1650.7270.569-0.570-1.27Leukemia-0.5710.310.754-1.357-0.810.1910.155-1.2970.016-1.270.596-0.590-0.590-0.590-0.590Brain Tumor-0.5710.310.754-1.357-0.810.4190.1570.109-1.270.296-1.270.296-1.37Other So	ivor Child Age -0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.528 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047	1.33	0.886	1.62	0.109	0.886	1.62	0.109	0.792	1.35	0.180	0.186	0.55	0.585	0.886	1.62	0.109
	Child Age -0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.012 -0.12 0.012 -0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.012 -0.12 0.072 -0.02 0.002 -0.03 0.980 -0.010 -0.011 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 1.54 0.131 -0.194 0.202 -0.038 -0.204 0.044* 0.165 0.164* 0.165 0.336 1.54 0.131 -0.124 0.206 -0.318 -2.04 0.044* 0.165 1.21 Diagnostic Type 1.42 0.162 0.336 1.54 0.131 -0.129 0.134 0.135 0.135 0.135 0.144* 0.165 0.144* 0.165 0.144* 0.165 0.145* 0.165 0.165 0.166 0.167 0.164* 0.165 1.21 Diagnostic Type -0.438 0.491 0.121 0.124 0.120 0.125 0.126 0.127 0.169 0.127 0.127 0.129 0.127 0.127	Child Age -0.071 -0.64 0.522 -0.012 Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treament (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047																
0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 1.54 0.131 -0.194 -0.86 0.414 0.266 -0.318 -2.04 0.164^* 0.165 1.21 -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 -0.12 0.934 -0.89 -0.77 0.444 -0.12 -0.507 -1.21 -1.21 -0.438 -0.49 0.52 -0.892 -0.812 -0.85 -0.317 -0.57 -0.507 -1.21 -0.438 -0.49 0.524 -1.220 -1.51 0.135 -0.217 -0.51 -0.50 -0.507 -0.51 -1.21 -0.438 -0.49 0.524 -1.57 0.812 -0.51 -0.50 -1.27 -0.51 -0.51 -0.520 -0.510 -0.520 -0.510 -0.51 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510 -0.510	Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 1.54 0.131 -0.194 -0.286 -1.12 0.266 -0.318 -2.04 0.044* 0.165 1.21 Diagnostic Type - <t< td=""><td>Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type 2 2 2 2 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047</td><td>071 -0.64 0.522</td><td>-0.012</td><td>-0.12</td><td>0.906</td><td>-0.072</td><td>-0.76</td><td>0.449</td><td>-0.147</td><td>-1.27</td><td>0.208</td><td>-0.002</td><td>-0.03</td><td>0.980</td><td>-0.010</td><td>-0.17</td><td>0.862</td></t<>	Family Income 0.331 1.42 0.162 0.336 Diagnostic Type 2 2 2 2 Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047	071 -0.64 0.522	-0.012	-0.12	0.906	-0.072	-0.76	0.449	-0.147	-1.27	0.208	-0.002	-0.03	0.980	-0.010	-0.17	0.862
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Diagnostic TypeLeukemia-0.895-1.040.302-0.100-0.120.903-0.384-0.490.622-0.698-0.770.444-0.191-0.350.727-0.507-1.21Luwkemia-0.838-0.8490.6280.010-0.120.903-0.314-0.135-0.317-0.550.580-0.506-1.37Lymphoma-0.438-0.490.6280.0810.100.924-1.220-1.510.135-0.812-0.850.395-0.317-0.550.580-0.506-1.37Brain Tumor-0.571-0.310.754-1.357-0.810.419-1.750-1.597-0.860.391-1.399-1.270.208-0.567Other Solid Tumor0.0000.0000.0000.0000.000Vareatment (CNS vs0.364-0.520.6630.4940.070.4940.710.4420.8671.180.5850.515-0.200Treatment (CNS)-0.364-0.520.6030.0470.9430.4940.710.4420.8671.180.2850.5150.203-0.2030.000Freatment (CNS)-0.364-0.520.6630.9430.9430.4940.710.4420.8671.180.2850.5150.203-0.203-0.2030.000	Diagnostic Type Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047	1.42	0.336	1.54	0.131	-0.194	-0.82		-0.286	-1.12	0.266	-0.318	-2.04	0.044		1.21	0.232
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 -0.12 0.903 -0.34 0.629 -0.649 0.629 -0.6191 -0.35 0.77 -0.37 -0.57 -0.507 -1.21 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 0.10 0.924 -1.220 -1.51 0.135 -0.817 -0.55 0.317 -0.55 0.580 -0.596 -1.37 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.72 -1.377 -0.81 0.10 0.924 -1.750 -1.597 -0.86 0.391 -1.29 -1.270 -1.37 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.72 -1.377 -0.81 0.419 -1.750 -1.597 -0.86 -0.317 -0.56 -1.37 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 $ 0.000$ $ 0.000$ $ 0.000$ $ 0.000$ $ 0.000$ $ 0.000$ $ -$ <td>Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047</td> <td></td>	Leukemia -0.895 -1.04 0.302 -0.100 Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047																
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 0.10 0.924 -1.220 -1.51 0.135 -0.317 -0.55 0.580 -0.596 -1.37 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 -0.81 0.419 -1.750 -1.57 -0.86 0.391 -1.239 -1.20 -2.67 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 -0.81 0.419 -1.750 -1.69 -1.397 -0.20 -2.200 -2.67 -2.67 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - - 0.000 - -	Lymphoma -0.438 -0.49 0.628 0.081 Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047	-1.04	-0.100	-0.12	0.903	-0.384	-0.49	0.622	-0.698	-0.77	0.444	-0.191	-0.35	0.727	-0.507	-1.21	0.231
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 -0.81 0.419 -1.750 -1.057 -0.86 0.391 -1.399 -1.27 0.208 -2.200 -2.67 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 <td< td=""><td>Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047</td><td>-0.49</td><td>0.081</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.924</td><td>-1.220</td><td>-1.51</td><td>0.135</td><td>-0.812</td><td>-0.85</td><td>0.395</td><td>-0.317</td><td>-0.55</td><td>0.580</td><td>-0.596</td><td>-1.37</td><td>0.177</td></td<>	Brain Tumor -0.571 -0.31 0.754 -1.357 Other Solid Tumor 0.000 - - 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. -0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047	-0.49	0.081	0.10	0.924	-1.220	-1.51	0.135	-0.812	-0.85	0.395	-0.317	-0.55	0.580	-0.596	-1.37	0.177
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Other Solid Tumor 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 - Treatment (CNS vs0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047 0.07 0.943 0.494 0.77 0.442 0.867 1.18 0.240 0.285 0.65 0.515 -0.203 -0.57 non-CNS)	Other Solid Tumor 0.000 0.000 Treatment (CNS vs. –0.364 –0.52 0.603 0.047 non-CNS)	-0.31	-1.357	-0.81	0.419	-1.750	-1.05	0.297	-1.597	-0.86	0.391	-1.399	-1.27	0.208	-2.200		0.001^{***}
-0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047 0.07 0.943 0.494 0.77 0.442 0.867 1.18 0.240 0.285 0.65 0.515 -0.203 -0.57	Treatment (CNS vs0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047 0.07 0.943 0.494 0.77 0.442 0.867 1.18 0.240 0.285 0.65 0.515 -0.203 -0.57 non-CNS)	Treatment (CNS vs0.364 -0.52 0.603 0.047 non-CNS)	ı	0.000	ı	·	0.000	ı	ı	0.000	ı	ı	0.000	·	ı	0.000	·	ı
	ote.	ote.	-0.52		0.07	0.943	0.494	0.77	0.442	0.867	1.18	0.240	0.285	0.65	0.515	-0.203	-0.57	0.570

 $\begin{array}{ccc} p & 0.05 \\ ** & p & 0.01 \\ *** & p & 0.001 \end{array}$