

Research Article

Bacterial Isolates and Drug Susceptibility Pattern of Sterile Body Fluids from Tertiary Hospital, Northern Ethiopia: A Four-Year Retrospective Study

Ephrem Tsegay,¹ Aregawi Hailesilassie,² Haftamu Hailekiros ,¹ Selam Niguse,¹ Muthupandian Saravanan ,¹ and Mahmud Abdulkader¹

¹Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, College of Health Science, Mekelle University, Mekelle, P.O. Box 1871, Ethiopia

²Ayder Comprehensive Specialized Hospital laboratory, Mekelle University, Mekelle, P.O. Box 1871, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Muthupandian Saravanan; saravanan.muthupandian@mu.edu.et

Received 12 February 2019; Accepted 13 May 2019; Published 21 May 2019

Academic Editor: Nongnuch Vanittanakom

Copyright © 2019 Ephrem Tsegay et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This study was conducted in Ayder comprehensive specialized Hospital, Mekelle, Northern Ethiopia, to determine the bacterial profiles and drug susceptibility pattern from body fluids. A total of 218 patients were investigated, of which 146 (67%) were males. The age of the study subjects ranged from 2 days to 80 years with 96(44%) in the age group of 15 years and above. The overall bacterial infection was 44 (20.2 %) of which gram positive bacteria were prevalent, 23 (52.3%) than gram negative bacteria 21 (47.7%). The predominantly isolated bacteria were *S. pneumoniae*, followed by *K.pneumoniae*, *S. aureus*, and *E. coli*. Multidrug resistance was observed in 12 (100%) of the isolated gram positive bacteria and in 6 (75%) of the isolated gram negative bacteria.

1. Introduction

Sterile body sites are those in which no bacteria or microbes exist as commensals when in a healthy state. This can be either pathological agents or contaminants from the skin in intensive care units [1]. Body fluids like ascitic, pleural, synovial fluids, cerebrospinal, and hydrocele are frequently received samples in the microbiology laboratory for culture in suspected infections [2]. These infections are associated with considerable morbidity and mortality [3]. Positive cultures are expected to be low because of less number of pathogens as well as prior administration of empirical antibiotics especially in intensive care units [4]. Recently World Health Organization and European Commission have recognized the importance of studying the emergence and the determinants of antibiotic resistance and the need for strategies for its control [5]. Reports from Centre for Disease Control Report suggested that strains are developing resistance to many antibiotics; risk practices are exposing patients for multidrug resistance putting peoples at increased risk for

severe morbidity and there is a need for strong surveillance drug resistance [6].

Therefore, prevention of the emergence and dissemination of resistant organisms and their efficient management are critical for control of hospital infections. In addition, surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility is necessary to combat the emergence of resistance [7, 8]. Due to the practice of empirical treatment in resource-constrained settings, the prevalence could be very high. Creating awareness of local antimicrobial susceptibility pattern and identifying the common bacterial pathogen is essential. Moreover for better management of patients and framing the antibiotic policy, understanding the knowledge of likely prevalent strains along with their antimicrobial resistance is essential [9–11].

In Ethiopia, few studies were conducted to review the bacterial profile from body fluids. However, these studies were targeting single bacteria from body fluids. Studies focusing on reviewing the profile of different bacteria from different body fluids are required [12, 13]. Hence, this study was aimed at identifying the bacterial isolates and determining the

antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of the isolated bacteria from body fluids of patients attended Ayder Comprehensive Specialized Hospital.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design, Area, and Period. A retrospective study was conducted on the records of consecutive diagnoses on bacterial infection of sterile body fluids in the period from November 2013 to May 2016 at Ayder comprehensive specialized Hospital (ACSH), Mekelle, Northern Ethiopia. This study was evaluated and approved by the Research Ethics Review Committee (RERC) of College of Health Sciences, Mekelle University. Moreover, the letter of cooperation and permission were obtained from ACSH. The permission involves the permission to disseminate the findings of the study through the scientific workshop and publish in reputable journals.

2.2. Sampling and Study Population. A data abstraction format was prepared and both sociodemographic (like age and sex) and clinical data (a type of body fluid, isolated bacteria, antibiotic discs tested, and antibiotic sensitivity test result) were collected from the microbiology laboratory registration book. Data were abstracted from records of both inpatients and outpatients. Culture and sensitivity tests were done for all sterile body fluids (ascetic, synovial, cerebrospinal fluid, and pleural fluids). The positive cultures and their antibiotic susceptibility testing were performed under the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). The susceptibility to the following antimicrobial agents (OXOID, UK) was assessed: ampicillin, penicillin G, tetracycline, ceftriaxone, cotrimoxazole, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, gentamycin, norfloxacin, doxycycline, and nitrofurantoin.

2.3. Quality Control. Reference strains of *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923), *E. coli* (ATCC 25922), and *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) were used as quality control for culture and susceptibility testing.

2.4. Data Analysis. Data were checked for completeness and accuracy and analyzed using SPSS version 21. The presence of association of independent variables bacterial isolation in the body fluids was determined using Chi-square. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant in all the analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Subjects. During the study period, a total of 218 patients were investigated. Majority of the study participants, 146 (67%), were males, whereas 72 (33%) were females. The age of the study subjects ranged from 2 days to 80 years with majority 96 (44%) in the age group of 15 years and above.

3.2. Prevalence of Bacterial Infection. Out of 218 cultured sterile body fluid specimens, the overall bacterial isolates were 44 (20.2%). From the patients investigated for bacterial

infection 21 (47.7%) were from CSF, 12 (27.3%) from pleural, and 11 (25%) from other sterile body fluids (synovial fluid and ascetic fluid) being infected. Of the total 44 isolates, gram positive bacteria were prevalent, 23 (52.3%) than gram negative bacteria, 21 (47.7%). The predominantly isolated bacteria were *S. pneumoniae* with 11(25%) followed by *K.pneumoniae* 5(11.4%), *S. aureus* 4(9.1%), *E. coli* 4(9.1%), and *P.aeruginosa* 4(9.1%) (Table 1). We found a statistically significant association of specimen type with the prevalence (F-Test=9.073 and p-value=0.01). However, there was no significant association of bacterial isolation with sex (F-Test=0.277 and p-value=0.595) and age (F-test=1.702 and p-value=0.656).

3.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Among the gram positive bacteria (n=23), 66.7%, 66.7%, and 75% of the isolates were sensitive to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole, and ceftriaxone, respectively. The resistance pattern of these isolates ranges from 16.7% for ceftriaxone to 78.6% for ampicillin. *S. pneumoniae*, which were the predominant isolates among gram positive bacteria 11 (47.8%), show susceptibility pattern of 4 (100%), 3 (100%), and 6 (75%) to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ciprofloxacin, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, respectively (Table 2). In gram negative bacterial isolates (n=21) showed a resistance rate of 86.8% to ampicillin. Resistance against gentamycin, norfloxacin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, chloramphenicol, nitrofurantoin, and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid was observed in the range of 45-75%. However, all gram negative bacterial isolates showed low-level resistance against ciprofloxacin (Table 3). Multidrug resistance was observed in 12 (100%) of the isolated gram positive bacteria and in 6 (75%) of the isolated gram negative bacteria.

4. Discussion

Sterile body fluids infection is one of the highly prevalent diseases in developing countries including Ethiopia. Specifically, the highest burden of meningococcal meningitis occurs in sub-Saharan Africa. [14, 15]. In this study that comprising 218 (CSF, pleural, ascitic and synovial body fluid) samples received in the microbiology laboratory, the percentage of positive cultures was 20.2% which has higher finding than studies done in India, 14.79% [16] and 14.78% [17]. The reason for this wide disparity in positivity rates of sterile fluids was attributed to differences in techniques, antibiotic use, or the prevalence of effusions caused by infective processes. Some of the variations are likely explained by the differences in the study population [14]. In our present study, 52.3% of infections were caused by gram positive bacteria and 47.7% by gram negative bacteria. The similar predominance of gram positive bacteria has been observed in previous studies conducted in the USA and India [17, 18]. The present study has shown *S.pneumoniae* as a predominant bacterial isolate which is in line with previous studies done in Northern parts of Yemen [19]. In contrast to the above observation, some studies in India [17] reported *S.aureus* to be the most common cause of sterile body infections.

TABLE 1: Distribution of bacterial etiologic agents from body fluids.

Isolated Bacteria	CSF	Pleural fluid	OBFG	Total (n=218)
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
<i>Gram positive</i>	11(48)	6(26)	6(26)	23(52.3)
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	7	4	0	11
<i>S.aureus</i>	1	1	2	4
CoNS*	2	1	0	3
<i>Enterococcus Spp</i>	1	0	1	2
<i>Streptococcus spp</i>	0	0	1	1
<i>Micrococcus lutes</i>	0	0	1	1
<i>Kocuriarosea</i>	0	0	1	1
<i>Gram negative</i>	10(47.6)	6(28.6)	5(23.8)	21(47.7)
<i>K.pneumoniae</i>	2	3	0	5
<i>E. coli</i>	4	0	0	4
<i>P.aeruginosa</i>	1	1	2	4
<i>Klebsiela spp</i>	1	0	2	3
<i>Citrobacterspp</i>	0	1	1	2
<i>Proteus spp</i>	0	1	0	1
<i>Acitinobacterspp</i>	1	0	0	1
<i>H.influenza</i>	1	0	0	1
Total	21(47.7)	12(27.3)	11(25)	44(100.0)

CoNS*:- Coagulase negative Staphylococcus, †OBFG=synovial and ascetic fluid

TABLE 2: Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of gram-positive bacteria (n=23) isolated from body fluids.

Bacterial Isolates	Pattern	CIP	CRO	AMC	C	P	TE	SXT	E	AMP
		N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
<i>S.pneumoniae</i> (n=11)	R	0(0.0)	0(00.0)	0(0.0)	3(50.0)	1(10.0)	1(10.0)	1(12.5)	4(57.1)	5(62.5)
	I	0(0.0)	1(30.0)	0(0.0)	3(50.0)	3(30.0)	2(20.0)	1(12.5)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	3(100)	6(60.0)	4(100)	0(0.0)	6(60.0)	7(70.0)	6(75.0)	3(42.9)	3(37.5)
<i>S.aureus</i> (n=4)	R	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	2(66.7)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	1(25.0)	0(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(25.0)	0(0.0)	1(25.0)	0(0.0)
	S	1(100)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	1(33.3)	3(75.0)	2(66.7)	2(50.0)	0(0.0)
CoNS(n=3)	R	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	3(100)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)
<i>Enterococcus Spp</i> (n=2)	R	2(100)	1(100)	1(100)	0(0.0)	1(100)	2(100)	0(0.0)	2(100)	2(100)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
<i>Streptococcus spp</i> (n=1)	R	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	1(100)	0(0.0)	1(100)	1(100)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
<i>Micrococcus lutes</i> (n=1)	R	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
<i>Kocuriarose</i> (n=1)	R	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Total (n=23)	R	3(42.9)	2(16.7)	2(33.3)	3(33.3)	6(37.5)	5(23.8)	4(26.7)	10(57.8)	11(78.6)
	I	0(0.0)	1(8.3)	0(0.0)	3(33.3)	3(18.8)	3(14.3)	1(6.6)	1(5.8)	0(0.0)
	S	4(57.1)	9(75.0)	4(66.7)	3(33.3)	7(43.7)	13(61.9)	10(66.7)	6(35.3)	3(21.4)

CIP = ciprofloxacin CRO = ceftriaxone AMC = amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, C = Chloramphenicol, P = penicillin TE = tetracycline, SXT= trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. E = erythromycin AMP = ampicillin.

R = Resistant I = Intermediate S = Sensitive

TABLE 3: Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of gram-negative bacteria (n=21) isolated from body fluids.

Bacteria Isolates	Pattern	CIP N (%)	NOR N (%)	CN N (%)	AMC N (%)	C N (%)	F N (%)	AMP N (%)	SXT N (%)	DOX N (%)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n=5)	R	1(0.0)	2(50.0)	2(40.0)	1(50.0)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	3(100)	2(66.7)	4(80.0)
	I	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	3(66.7)	2(50.0)	3(60.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	1(20.0)
<i>E. coli</i> (n=4)	R	2(100)	2(50.0)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	1(50.0)	2(100)	0(0.0)	2(50.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	2(0.0)	2(50.0)	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	2(50.0)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n=4)	R	1(25.0)	2(100)	2(50.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	2(100)	1(50.0)	3(100)
	I	1(25.0)	0(0.0)	2(50.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)
	S	2(50.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
<i>Klebsiellaspp</i> (n=3)	R	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	2(66.7)	2(66.7)	1(100)	1(100)	3(100)	1(50.0)	1(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	2(66.7)	1(100)	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)
<i>Citrobacterspp</i> (n=2)	R	2(100)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	2(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	2(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(50.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)
<i>Proteus spp</i> (n=1)	R	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	1(100)	1(100)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i> (n=1)	R	0(0.0)	1(100)	1(100)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	1(100)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
<i>H. influenzae</i> (n=1)	R	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100)
	I	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	S	1(100)	1(100)	1(100)	0(0.0)	1(100)	1(100)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Total (n=21)	R	5(26.3)	7(50)	9(45.0)	8(66.7)	6(54.6)	5(55.6)	13(86.8)	4(50.0)	11(78.6)
	I	2(10.5)	0(0.0)	4(20.0)	0(0.0)	1(9.0)	0(0.0)	1(6.6)	1(12.5)	0(0.0)
	S	12(63.2)	7(50.0)	7(35.0)	4(33.3)	4(36.4)	4(44.4)	1(6.6)	3(37.5)	3(21.4)

CIP = ciprofloxacin NOR = norfloxacin CN = gentamicin AMC = amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. CRO = ceftriaxone C = chloramphenicol. F = Nitrofurantoin AMP = ampicillin SXT = trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole.
R = Resistant I = Intermediate S = Sensitiv

The reported spectrum of microorganisms responsible for body fluid infection is varied and is modified by introduction of antibiotics, patient-specific factors such as surgical procedures, trauma, or underlying conditions, or by methodological factors, namely, the proper specimen collection, transport, and culture. For these reasons, several studies have found discordant results in the spectrum of pathogens causing these infections [20]. Resistance to antimicrobial agents has been noted since the first use and is an increasing worldwide problem [21]. The present study revealed that gram negative bacterial isolates had shown a higher prevalence rate of resistance to the commonly prescribed antibiotics. *K. pneumoniae*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Citrobacter* spp and *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates were resistant to Ampicillin (100%) and this implies that ampicillin cannot be used as empirical therapy for sterile body infections particularly in the study area. Resistance against gentamycin, norfloxacin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, chloramphenicol, nitrofurantoin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid was observed in the range of 45-66.7%. On the other hand, very low levels of resistance were observed against ciprofloxacin (26.3%). This observation corroborates with other studies reporting antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of Gram negative bacteria isolated from sterile body fluids [17, 18]

Among the Gram positives bacteria, 66.7%, 66.7%, and 75% of the isolates were sensitive to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole, and ceftriaxone, respectively. The resistance pattern of these isolates ranges from 16.7% for ceftriaxone to 78.6% for ampicillin. *S. pneumoniae*, which were the predominant isolates among Gram-positive bacteria 11 (47.8%), show susceptibility pattern of 4 (100%), 3 (100%), 6 (75%) to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ciprofloxacin, and trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole, respectively. This finding is comparable to previous studies [17, 18]. However, this study shows the effectiveness of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ciprofloxacin, and ceftriaxone against Gram positive bacteria is reduced when compared with the previous comparable study [17, 18]. This may be due to the frequent prescription of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid for empiric therapy. Since it is a retrospective study, the study population was not systematically selected, and since a relatively low number of cultures were performed over the study time-period the results may not be truly representative. In addition, only aerobic cultures were performed thus limiting identification to anaerobic pathogens. Nevertheless, the data are of value with respect to antimicrobial susceptibility of sterile body fluid pathogens in Ethiopia.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the yield of body fluids cultures is usually low. CSF and pleural fluid showed the high bacterial prevalence and predominantly isolated bacteria were *S. pneumonia*, followed by *K. pneumoniae*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Citrobacter* spp., and *Acinetobacter* spp. Low culture positivity may be due to the presence of anaerobic or fastidious organisms with lack of enrichment techniques and prior antibiotic administration. Regular monitoring of the prevalent pathogenic organisms and their sensitivities will aid the clinician's appropriate

selection of antibiotic therapy to prevent the development of antimicrobial resistance.

Abbreviations

CLSI: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute
 CoNS: Coagulase negative staphylococcus
 CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid
 SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences
 WHO: World Health Organization.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the first author and corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Ephrem Tsegay conceived and designed the study. Aregawi Hailesilassie and Ephrem Tsegay carried out coordination and data collection of the study. Ephrem Tsegay, Selam Niguse, Haftamu Hailekiros, Muthupandian Saravanan, and Mahmud Abdulkader carried out statistical analysis and participated during manuscript development. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the management bodies of Ayder Comprehensive specialized Hospital and staffs of Microbiology laboratory of the hospital.

References

- [1] P. Badiie, "Evaluation of human body fluids for the diagnosis of fungal infections," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2013, Article ID 698325, 8 pages, 2013.
- [2] R. Wiest, A. Krag, and A. Gerbes, "Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: recent guidelines and beyond," *Gut*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 297–310, 2012.
- [3] N. Proulx, D. Fréchette, B. Toye, J. Chan, and S. Kravcik, "Delays in the administration of antibiotics are associated with mortality from adult acute bacterial meningitis," *QJM: Monthly Journal of the Association of Physicians*, vol. 98, no. 4, pp. 291–298, 2005.
- [4] A. Deb, S. Mudshingkar, V. Dohe, and R. Bharadwaj, "Bacteriology of body fluids with an evaluation of enrichment technique to increase culture – positivity," *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 72, pp. 15230–15238, 2014.
- [5] S. L. Bronzwaer, O. Cars, U. Buchholz et al., "A European study on the relationship between antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 278–282, 2002.
- [6] World Health Organization, "The world is running out of antibiotics, WHO report confirms," Tech. Rep., 2017, <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2017/running-out-antibiotics/en/>.

- [7] W. Stamm, M. L. Grayson, L. Nicolle, and M. Powell, *WHO Global Strategy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance (Document no:WHO/CDS/CSR/DRS/2001.2)*, 2001.
- [8] J. Soltani, B. Poorabbas, N. Miri, and J. Mardaneh, "Health care associated infections, antibiotic resistance and clinical outcome: a surveillance study from Sanandaj, Iran," *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 63–70, 2016.
- [9] D. Gagneja, N. Goel, R. Aggarwal, and U. Chaudhary, "Changing trend of antimicrobial resistance among gram-negative bacilli isolated from lower respiratory tract of ICU patients: a 5-year study," *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 164–167, 2011.
- [10] M. Anvarinejad, G. R. Pouladfar, B. Pourabbas et al., "Detection of salmonella spp. With the BACTEC 9240 automated blood culture system in 2008 - 2014 in Southern Iran (Shiraz): biogrouping, MIC, and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of isolates," *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 9, no. 4, Article ID e26505, 2016.
- [11] Z. Hosseinzadeh, H. Sedigh Ebrahim-Saraie, J. Sarvari et al., "Emergence of bla NDM-1 and bla OXA-48-like harboring carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from hospitalized patients in southwestern Iran," *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 536–540, 2018.
- [12] A. Yilema, F. Moges, S. Tadele et al., "Isolation of enterococci, their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns and associated factors among patients attending at the University of Gondar Teaching Hospital," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 17, no. 1, article no. 276, 2017.
- [13] M. Gizachew, H. Abdella, and M. Tiruneh, "Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of staphylococcus aureus at the university of gondar tertiary hospital, northwest ethiopia: a retrospective cross sectional study," *Journal of Bacteriology & Parasitology*, vol. 6, no. 3, article 228, 2015.
- [14] T. W. Barnes, E. J. Olson, T. I. Morgenthaler, R. S. Edson, P. A. Decker, and J. H. Ryu, "Low yield of microbiologic studies on pleural fluid specimens," *CHEST*, vol. 127, no. 3, pp. 916–921, 2005.
- [15] World Health Organization, "Report on infectious diseases 2000: overcoming antimicrobial resistance," Tech. Rep., 2018, <http://www.who.int/infectious-diseasereport/index.html>.
- [16] R. Sujatha, P. Nidhi, D. Arunagiri, and D. Narendran, "Bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity pattern from various body fluids of patients attending rama medical college hospital, kanpur," *International Journal of Advances in Case Reports*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 119–124, 2015.
- [17] B. Vishalakshi, P. Hanumanthappa, and S. Krishna, "A study on aerobic bacteriological profile of sterile body fluids," *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 120–126, 2016.
- [18] P. Bourbeau, J. Riley, B. J. Heiter, R. Master, C. Young, and C. Pierson, "Use of the BacT/Alert blood culture system for culture of sterile body fluids other than blood," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 3273–3277, 1998.
- [19] A. Al Khorasani and S. Banajeh, "Bacterial profile and clinical outcome of childhood meningitis in rural Yemen: a 2-year hospital-based study," *Infection*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 228–234, 2006.
- [20] J. M. Porcel and M. Vives, "Etiology and pleural fluid characteristics of large and massive effusions," *CHEST*, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 978–983, 2003.
- [21] A. M. Sefton, "The impact of resistance on the management of urinary tract infection," *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 489–491, 2000.