

# Consensus sequence design as a general strategy to create hyperstable, biologically active proteins

Matt Sternke<sup>a</sup>, Katherine W. Tripp<sup>a</sup>, and Doug Barrick<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The T.C. Jenkins Department of Biophysics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218

Edited by Brian W. Matthews, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, and approved April 26, 2019 (received for review October 6, 2018)

Consensus sequence design offers a promising strategy for designing proteins of high stability while retaining biological activity since it draws upon an evolutionary history in which residues important for both stability and function are likely to be conserved. Although there have been several reports of successful consensus design of individual targets, it is unclear from these anecdotal studies how often this approach succeeds and how often it fails. Here, we attempt to assess generality by designing consensus sequences for a set of six protein families with a range of chain lengths, structures, and activities. We characterize the resulting consensus proteins for stability, structure, and biological activities in an unbiased way. We find that all six consensus proteins adopt cooperatively folded structures in solution. Strikingly, four of six of these consensus proteins show increased thermodynamic stability over naturally occurring homologs. Each consensus protein tested for function maintained at least partial biological activity. Although peptide binding affinity by a consensus-designed SH3 is rather low,  $K<sub>m</sub>$  values for consensus enzymes are similar to values from extant homologs. Although consensus enzymes are slower than extant homologs at low temperature, they are faster than some thermophilic enzymes at high temperature. An analysis of sequence properties shows consensus proteins to be enriched in charged residues, and rarified in uncharged polar residues. Sequence differences between consensus and extant homologs are predominantly located at weakly conserved surface residues, highlighting the importance of these residues in the success of the consensus strategy.

protein stability | protein design | consensus sequence

Exploiting the fundamental roles of proteins in biological sig-naling, catalysis, and mechanics, protein design offers a promising route to create and optimize biomolecules for medical, industrial, and biotechnological purposes (1–3). Many different strategies have been applied to designing proteins, including physics-based (4), structure-based (5, 6), and directed evolution-based approaches (7). While these strategies have generated proteins with high stability, implementation of the design strategies is often complex and success rates can be low (8–11). Although directed evolution can be functionally directed, de novo design strategies typically focus primarily on structure. Introducing specific activity into de novo-designed proteins is a significant challenge (8, 10).

Another strategy that has shown success in increasing thermodynamic stability of natural protein folds is consensus sequence design (12). For this design strategy, "consensus" residues are identified as the residues with the highest frequency at individual positions in a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of extant sequences from a given protein family. The consensus strategy draws upon the hundreds of millions of years of sequence evolution by random mutation and natural selection that is encoded within the extant sequence distribution, with the idea that the relative frequencies of residues at a given position reflect the "relative importance" of each residue at that position for some biological attribute. As long as the importance at each position is largely independent of residues at other positions, a consensus residue at a given position should optimize stability, activity, and/or other properties that allow the protein to function in its biological context and ultimately contribute to organismal fitness.\* By averaging over many sequences that share similar structure and function, the consensus design approach has the potential to produce proteins with high levels of thermodynamic stability and biological activity, since both attributes are likely to lead to residue conservation.

There are two experimental approaches that have been used to examine the effectiveness of consensus information in protein design: point-substitution and "wholesale" substitution. In the first approach, single residues in a well-behaved protein that differ from the consensus are substituted with the consensus residue (13–17). In these studies, about one-half of the consensus point substitutions examined are stabilizing, but the other half are destabilizing. Although this frequency of stabilizing mutations is significantly higher than an estimated frequency around 1 in  $10<sup>3</sup>$  for random mutations (13, 18, 19), it suggests that combining individual consensus mutations may give minimal net increase in stability since stabilizing substitutions would be offset by destabilizing substitutions.

The "wholesale" approach does just this, combining all substitutions toward consensus into a single consensus polypeptide composed of the most frequent amino acid at each position in sequence. By making a large number of substitutions at once, wholesale consensus substitution may collectively combine the incremental effects from the individual substitutions as well as nonadditive effects arising from the substitution of each residue into the novel background of the consensus protein (20, 21). The stabilities of several globular proteins and several repeat proteins

### **Significance**

A major goal of protein design is to create proteins that have high stability and biological activity. Drawing on evolutionary information encoded within extant protein sequences, consensus sequence design has produced several successes in achieving this goal. Here, we explore the generality with which consensus design can be used to enhance protein stability and maintain biological activity. By designing and characterizing consensus sequences for six unrelated protein families, we find that consensus design shows high success rates in creating well-folded, hyperstable proteins that retain biological activities. Remarkably, many of these consensus proteins show higher stabilities than naturally occurring sequences of their respective protein families. Our study highlights the utility of consensus sequence design and informs the mechanisms by which it works.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Author contributions: M.S., K.W.T., and D.B. designed research; M.S. and K.W.T. performed research; M.S. and K.W.T. analyzed data; and M.S. and D.B. wrote the paper. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Published under the [PNAS license.](https://www.pnas.org/site/aboutpnas/licenses.xhtml)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: [barrick@jhu.edu](mailto:barrick@jhu.edu).

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental).

Published online May 20, 2019.

<sup>\*</sup>These properties include rates of folding, unfolding, degradation, compartmentalization, oligomerization, and solubility.

have been increased using this approach (22–31). An increase in thermodynamic stability is seen in most (but not all) cases, but effects on biological activity are variable. In a recent study, we characterized a consensus-designed homeodomain sequence that showed a large increase in both thermodynamic stability and DNA-binding affinity (32). Unlike the point-substitution approach, where both stabilizing and destabilizing substitutions are reported, the success rate of the wholesale approach is not easy to determine from the literature, where publications present single cases of success, whereas failures are not likely to be published. One study of TIM barrels reported a few poorly behaved consensus designs that were then optimized to generate a folded, active protein (24). Although this study highlighted some limitations, it would not likely have been published if it did not end with success.

Here, we address these issues by applying the consensus sequence design strategy to a set of six taxonomically diverse protein families with different folds and functions (Fig. 1). We chose the three single domain families including the N-terminal domain of ribosomal protein L9 (NTL9), the SH3 domain, and the SH2 domain, and the three multidomain protein families including dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), adenylate kinase (AK), and phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK). We characterized these six consensus proteins in terms of structure, stability, and function. We find that consensus sequences for all six protein families are quite soluble and adopt the native folds of their respective families. Strikingly, four of the six consensus proteins show increased thermodynamic stability compared with naturally occurring homologs; the other two consensus proteins show stabilities comparable to natural homologs. All consensus proteins assayed for biological activity retain their expected activities, including molecular recognition and enzymatic catalysis. An advantage of this multitarget comparison is that it allows us to examine sequence features of consensus-designed proteins and relate them to one another and to naturally occurring homologs. This sequence analysis shows that consensus proteins are enriched in charged residues and are depleted in polar uncharged residues, and highlights the importance of weakly conserved surface residues in enhancing stability through the consensus design strategy.



Fig. 1. Targets for consensus design. A representative structure of an extant sequence is shown for each target family (NTL9, 2HBB; SH3, 1LKK; SH2, 4U1P; DHFR, 5DFR; AK, 1ANK; PGK, 1PHP). Length of consensus sequence, biological function, and number of sequences used in final MSA are noted.

# Results

Consensus Sequence Generation. The six families targeted for consensus design are shown in Fig. 1. To generate and refine MSAs from these families, we surveyed the Pfam (33), SMART (34), and InterPro (35) databases to obtain sets of aligned sequences for each family. For each family, we used the sequence set that maximized both the number of sequences and quality of the MSA (SI Appendix[, Table S1\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). For five of the six protein families, we did not limit sequences using any structural or phylogenetic information. For AK, we selected sequences that contained a "long-type" LID domain containing a 27-residue insertion found primarily in bacterial sequences (36). The number of sequences in these initial sequence sets ranged from around 2,000 up to 55,000 (SI Appendix[, Table S1\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental).

To improve alignments and limit bias from copies of identical (or nearly identical) sequences, we removed sequences that deviated significantly  $(\pm 30\%)$  in length from the median length value, and removed sequences that shared greater than 90% sequence identity with another sequence. This curation reduced the size of sequence sets by an average of 65% (ranging from 30 to 80%; SI Appendix[, Table S1](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)). Final sequence sets ranged from 1,355 (NTL9) to 14,474 (SH3) sequences, and showed broad ranges of sequence variability (quantified by average pairwise identities among sequences in the set) and phylogenetic distribution (SI Appendix[, Table S1](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)).

MSAs showed varying levels of conservation across positions in sequence (*[SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. S1). Curated sequence sets were aligned, and consensus sequences were determined from the most probable residue at each position *(SI Appendix[, Table S2\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)*. Consensus sequences differ substantially from aligned sequences from which they are derived. The maximum identity between consensus sequences and the most similar extant sequence in the corresponding alignment ranges from 63% for SH2 to 80% for NTL9; the average pairwise identity between consensus sequences and each sequence in the corresponding alignment ranges from 58% for NTL9 and PGK to 40% for SH3 and SH2 ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S2).

Structural Characterization of Consensus Proteins. To determine whether the six consensus sequence proteins adopt their target folds, we expressed and purified consensus proteins (hereafter denoted with a "c," e.g., cNTL9) for each of the six protein families and characterized them using circular dichroism (CD) and NMR spectroscopies. Far-UV CD spectra for cNTL9, cAK, and cPGK show minima at 222 and/or 208 nm (Fig. 2A), consistent with  $\alpha$ -helical secondary structure. Spectra for cSH2 and cDHFR show single minima at 219 and 214 nm, respectively, consistent with predominantly β-sheet secondary structure. Consensus SH3 shows a far-UV CD spectrum with minima at 228 and 204 nm and a maximum at 219 nm, similar to published spectra for a naturally occurring SH3 domain (37).

With the exception of cDHFR,  $\mathrm{^{1}H-^{15}N}$  heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) and transverse relaxation optimized spectroscopy (TROSY) (for cPGK) NMR spectra for each consensus protein show sharp resonances that are well dispersed in the  ${}^{1}H$  dimension (Fig. 2 B–G), indicating that these consensus proteins adopt well-folded tertiary structures. These six consensus proteins show between 88 and 99% of expected crosspeaks in the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N HSQC and TROSY (cPGK) spectra, suggesting that the consensus proteins maintain rigid tertiary structure over most of their sequence. The high signal-to-noise and sharp cross-peaks indicate that these consensus proteins have high solubility at NMR concentrations (ranging from 400 to  $800$  μM).

For cDHFR, the  ${}^{1}H-{}^{15}N$  HSQC spectrum shows rather broad resonances and only around 60% of expected cross-peaks ([SI](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental) Appendix[, Fig. S3\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). However, addition of the substrate analog methotrexate both sharpens resonances and increases the number of resolved cross-peaks (Fig. 2E), suggesting that cDHFR may undergo a large-scale conformational change and rigidification



Fig. 2. Structural features of consensus proteins. (A) Far-UV CD spectra for all consensus proteins. (B-F) <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N HSQC spectra for (B) cNTL9, (C) cSH3, (D) cSH2, and (E) cDHFR with addition of 1:1 molar equivalents of methotrexate, and (F) cAK at 600 MHz. (G) <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N TROSY spectrum for consensus cPGK at 800 MHz. (H-J) Secondary chemical shift-based secondary structure probabilities using backbone resonances calculated by TALOS-N for (H) cNTL9, (I) cSH3, and (J) cSH2. Schematics above plots represent sequence positions of secondary structures in homology models of consensus sequences.

upon binding. This behavior has been observed in a study of two naturally occurring DHFR proteins (38).

To determine the location of α-helices and β-strands, we assigned backbone resonances for cNTL9, cSH3, and cSH2. Using standard triple-resonance experiments, we were able to assign backbone  ${}^{1}\text{H}$  and  ${}^{15}\text{N}$  resonances, as well as  ${}^{13}\text{C}$  C $\alpha$ , C $\beta$ , and C′ chemical shifts, for 96%, 96%, and 89% of residues for cNTL9, cSH3, and cSH2, respectively (SI Appendix[, Figs. S4](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)–[S6\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). Secondary chemical shift-based secondary structure predictions using these resonances show  $α$ -helix and  $β$ -strand boundaries that largely match secondary structure locations in consensus homology models, suggesting that the consensus proteins adopt their archetypal folds (Fig.  $2$  H–J).

Equilibrium Stability of Consensus Proteins. To determine equilibrium folding free energies, we measured guanidine hydrochloride (GdnHCl)- and temperature-induced unfolding transitions for all consensus proteins using a combination of CD and fluorescence spectroscopies. All consensus proteins show sigmoidal GdnHCl-induced unfolding transitions (Fig. 3A and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), [Fig. S8\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), indicating that the consensus proteins unfold in a cooperative manner similar to naturally occurring proteins. Four of the six consensus proteins showed sigmoidal thermal unfolding transitions, with  $T_m$  values ranging from 62 to 87 °C. For cNTL9 and cSH2, unfolding transitions were not observed up to the maximal temperature of 93  $^{\circ}$ C; addition of 4 and 2 M GdnHCl revealed thermal unfolding transitions, demonstrating that these proteins are hyperstable, with thermal unfolding transitions significantly above 93 °C in the absence of denaturant.

Of the four proteins that showed thermal unfolding transitions in the absence of GdnHCl, two (cSH3 and cAK) were not fully reversible. In contrast, by chemical denaturation, all consensus proteins were found to fold and unfold reversibly, allowing us to determine equilibrium folding free energies and  $m$  values by fitting a two-state linear extrapolation model to all consensus protein GdnHCl denaturations. Folding free energies ranged from −3.2 kcal/mol for cSH3 to −13.6 kcal/mol for cAK (Table 1). Measured m values for cNTL9 and cSH2 match values predicted from an empirical relationship based on chain length (39, 40) within 5%, suggestive of two-state folding. The measured  $m$ value for cSH3 is 30% larger than the predicted value. Measured m values for cDHFR, cAK, and cPGK are 20%, 50%, and 70% smaller than predicted values, suggesting the population of partly

folded states in the folding transition region. As a result, estimated folding free energies for these constructs are likely to underestimate the free energy difference between the native and denatured states.

To compare the measured folding free energies of the consensus proteins with extant sequences, we gathered folding free energy values from the literature for naturally occurring sequences for each protein family. We limited our search to folding free energy values determined by chemical denaturation experiments and to proteins that appear to be monomeric† . For comparison, we have included the consensus homeodomain  $(cH\hat{D})$  that we recently characterized with this approach  $(32)$ .

The stabilities of six of the seven consensus proteins are greater than the mean stabilities of the extant homologs (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix[, Table S3\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), with stability increases ranging from 8.3 kcal/mol for cAK to 1.4 kcal/mol for cPGK (although, for cPGK, fitted  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  parameters are likely to underestimate the free energy difference between native and denatured ensembles since the unfolding transition is almost certainly multistate). The sole exception to this observation is cSH3, which shows a stability that is 0.3 kcal/mol less than the mean value for the extant homologs. Furthermore, stabilities of five of the seven consensus proteins (cNTL9, cHD, cSH2, cDHFR, and cAK) are greater than that of the most stable extant homolog, ranging from 0.6 kcal/mol for cSH2 to 3.8 kcal/mol for cAK (Fig.  $3B$  and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), [Table S3](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)).

Characterization of cSH3 Peptide Binding. To measure binding of cSH3 to a target peptide, we acquired a synthetic peptide (Ac-PLPPLPRRALSVW-NH2), which contains a proline-rich motif used in previous binding studies of the human Fyn SH3 domain, a well-studied extant sequence with peptide contact residues that match those in consensus SH3  $(41, 42)^{11}$ H $^{-15}$ N HSQC spectra of  $^{15}$ N-labeled cSH3 at increasing concentrations of unlabeled peptide show shifts in some (but not all) peaks  $(SI$  *Appendix*, Fig.  $S\overline{9}$ ). This behavior is consistent with formation of a complex that is in fast exchange on the chemical shift timescale. When plotted on a homology model of cSH3, the largest chemical shift perturbations

<sup>†</sup> Although for most families this search resulted in five or more free energy values, providing a good representation of the average stability, all of these stabilities may be biased by experimental constraints (expression, solubility, and baseline-resolved folding transitions).



Fig. 3. Equilibrium folding of consensus proteins. (A) Representative guanidine hydrochloride-induced folding/unfolding curves for consensus proteins. Data were collected using CD (cDHFR, cAK, and cPGK) or fluorescence (cNTL9, cSH3, and cSH2) spectroscopies. The solid lines are obtained from fitting a two-state model to the data. (B) Comparison of measured folding free energies of consensus proteins to those of extant sequences. The open circles represent folding free energies of extant eukaryotic or mesophilic (blue) and thermophilic (red) sequences. The black dashed lines represent measured folding free energies of consensus sequences. Folding free energy values and sources are reported in [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Table S3.

(CSPs) cluster on the canonical peptide-binding site (Fig. 4A). A global fit of a single-site binding model to the 10 residues displaying the largest CSPs gives a  $K_d$  of 795  $\pm$  13  $\mu$ M (Fig. 4*B*; the reported uncertainty is at a 67% confidence level derived from 5,000 bootstrap iterations in which residuals are randomly resampled with replacement). This measured binding affinity for cSH3 is ∼5,000-fold weaker than a binding affinity reported for human Fyn SH3 domain binding the same peptide sequence (41).

Steady-State Kinetics of Consensus Enzymes. To investigate how the consensus design strategy affects enzymatic activity, we characterized steady-state kinetics of catalysis for the three consensus enzymes in our set. DHFR, AK, and PGK catalyze various chemical reactions important for cellular metabolism:

Dihydrofolate + NADPH  $\Rightarrow$  Tetrahydrofolate + NADP<sup>+</sup>

$$
ATP + AMP \rightleftharpoons 2ADP
$$

3-phosphoglycerate + ATP  $\rightleftharpoons$  1, 3-bisphosphoglycerate + ADP.

For each enzyme, we measured reaction velocities at 20 °C as a function of substrate concentration, monitoring the oxidation of NAD(P)H spectrophotometrically either as a direct readout of activity (DHFR) or in an enzyme-coupled reaction (Materials and Methods). Strikingly, all three consensus enzymes show substantial activity (Fig. 5). Michaelis constants for the consensus

enzymes are similar to those of extant enzymes, ranging from 10 fold higher to 3-fold lower than values determined under similar conditions (Table 2). Steady-state  $k_{\text{cat}}$  values determined at 20 °C for the consensus enzymes are all smaller than those for mesophilic homologs (Table 2) but range from comparable to (1.3 fold lower) to slightly lower (roughly 6-fold lower)  $k_{cat}$  values for thermophilic homologs.

The high thermal stability of the consensus enzymes allows us to measure activity at high temperatures where reaction rates likely increase considerably, providing a better comparison with thermophilic homologs. To determine the effects of temperature on enzyme activity, we measured  $k_{cat}$  values for the consensus enzymes over a range of temperatures. For cDHFR, where absorbance provides a direct readout of catalysis, we were able to access temperatures up to 50 °C. However, for cPGK, we were restricted to 40 °C due to the limited stability of the coupling enzymes used in the absorbance assay. For cAK, we were able to expand this temperature range to 70 °C using a <sup>31</sup>P NMR-based assay (AK; see *[SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)* and ref. 43), which directly monitors conversion of reactants to products. Over the accessible temperature range, all consensus enzymes show exponential increases in catalytic rates with increased temperature, consistent with Arrhenius kinetics (*SI Appendix*[, Fig. S10\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), allowing us to extrapolate  $k_{cat}$  values to other temperatures. On the whole, the consensus enzymes show levels of activity comparable to ther-mophilic homologs (SI Appendix[, Table S4](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)), although there are variations depending on the consensus enzyme and the thermophile being compared. Consensus DHFR has a larger turnover number than two thermophilic homologs (by 5- and 31 fold). Consensus PGK shows both larger (1.8-fold increase) and smaller turnover numbers (1.2-, 4-, 5-, and 13-fold decreases). In contrast, cAK has a smaller turnover number (by 21-fold).

#### Sequence Properties of Consensus Proteins and Consensus Mismatches.

The studies above show that, for all six families examined here, consensus design is successful. That is, proteins adopt well-folded structures, these structures match the fold of the families from which they derived, they retain biological activity, and importantly, their stabilities equal or (more often) exceed median stabilities of extant sequences. With this broad collection of consensusdesigned proteins, we are in the position to ask whether there are any sequence features that set these consensus proteins apart from extant sequences, and if so, which features are most important for increased stability. Such properties include general positionindependent features such as sequence composition, charge, polarity, and hydrophobicity, as well as position-specific properties within each family such as degree of conservation and surface accessibility.

General sequence features. We compared various sequence properties of our six consensus sequences to those of the naturally occurring sequences within our curated MSAs. As with the stability comparisons above, we have included the cHD that we have described previously (32). For every sequence (consensus and every sequence in each MSA), we calculated the proportion of sequence (averaging over all sites) made up of charged residues (D, E, K, and R), polar uncharged residues (C, H, N, Q, S, and T), total polar residues (the sum of charged and polar uncharged), and nonpolar residues. Similarly, we calculated the net charge of each sequence (the difference between the number of positively and negatively charged residues, assuming full positive charges for R and K, and full negative charges for D and E).

For each metric, the naturally occurring sequences appeared approximately normally distributed (SI Appendix[, Fig. S11\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). Consensus sequences show strong biases within the distributions of natural sequences for some of these sequence and structural features. Most notably, consensus sequences all lie toward the upper-tail end of the distributions for proportion of charged residues, averaging 2.1 SDs above the mean (Fig. 6A). Conversely, consensus sequences lie toward the lower-tail end of the distributions for proportion of polar uncharged residues, averaging 2.1 SDs below the mean. These two biases offset in





 $\Delta G^{\circ}$ <sub>H2O</sub> and m values represent the folding free energy extrapolated to water and the denaturant sensitivity of the folding free energy determined from a two-state fit. Reported uncertainties are SEMs from three independent experiments. ΔΔG°<sub>H2O</sub> values represent the difference of the measured folding free energy value of the consensus protein and the mean folding free energy value of the naturally occurring proteins from the literature ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Table S3).

terms of total polar (charged and uncharged) residues: Consensus sequences lie near the middle of the distribution for the proportion of total polar residues, averaging 0.1 SDs below the mean. Likewise, consensus sequences lie near the middle of the distribution for the proportion of nonpolar residues, as would be expected given the proportion of total polar residues. Thus, it appears that the consensus design strategy implicitly creates sequences that are preferentially enhanced in charged residues at the expense of polar uncharged residues, without a large perturbation of the number of total polar versus nonpolar residues. Two protein families, SH3 domain and homeodomain, deviate from this trend, both showing an increase in total polar residue content and a decrease in nonpolar residue content. The net charge of six of the seven consensus protein sequences are also close to mean values from the MSA, with DHFR showing the only significant deviation.

The observed increase in the proportion of charged residues in consensus sequences relative to extant sequences must arise from differences in the proportions of each of the charged residues individually. However, an increase in the charged residue content does not require that the proportion of each charged residue increases. To determine the specific residues responsible for this overall increase, we compared the proportions of individual residues over the extant sequences in each MSA (again averaging over all sites) to those in the consensus sequence. Many residues show consistent enrichment or depletion in consensus sequences relative to the extant sequences. For example, consensus sequences are enriched in D, E, and K, and depleted in C, N, Q, S, and T in all or six of the seven protein families (SI Appendix[, Fig. S12\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). These trends are highlighted when the relative differences between the consensus sequence and extant sequences for each residue are averaged over the seven protein families. Consensus sequences show the largest enrichments in E, K, G, L, and D, the largest depletion in Q, S, and I, and marginal effects on all other residues (Fig. 6B). Thus, the increase in charged residues for the consensus proteins results from increased proportions of D, E, and K, but not R, while the decrease in polar uncharged residues results from decreased proportions (albeit modest proportions for some residues) of all polar uncharged residues.

Position-specific sequence features. In addition to the general sequence features described above, which average over each sequence, we also examined the features of sequence substitution in a position-specific way. At each position in the MSA, we compared the residue of each extant sequence to the consensus residue at that position. We separated these residue-specific comparisons into "consensus matches" and "consensus mismatches" for the positions at which extant residue matched or differed from the consensus residue, respectively. Clearly, all changes in structure, stability, and function in consensus constructs compared with extant homologs result from the residues that differ from the consensus. Thus, the properties of these "consensus mismatches" are important for understanding, for example, the increase in stability we have observed for most of our consensus constructs.

On average, there will be more consensus mismatches at positions with low sequence conservation, although there will also be some number of mismatches at positions with high conservation. One simple question that can be asked about consensus mismatches is "how biased are consensus mismatches towards positions of low conservation?" To quantify the extent to which consensus mismatches are made at sites with high conservation, we calculated the sequence entropy  $(44)$  at each position i in each MSA using the following formula:

$$
S_{seq,i} = -\sum_{j \in \{204A\}} p_j l n p_j,\tag{1}
$$

where  $p_i$  is the frequency of residue j occurring at position i, and plotted this distribution for each family (purple distributions in Fig. 6C and *SI Appendix*[, Fig. S13\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). We then weighted this distribution by the fraction of sequences in the MSA that differ from the consensus at each position:

$$
S_{mismatch, i} = p_{mismatch, i} \times S_{seq, i}
$$
 [2]

(red distributions in Fig. 6C and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S13), and the fraction that match the consensus at each position:

$$
S_{match,i} = p_{match,i} \times S_{seq,i}
$$
 [3]

(blue distributions in Fig. 6C and SI Appendix[, Fig. S13](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)).



Fig. 4. Peptide binding of cSH3 by NMR spectroscopy. (A) Chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) of backbone <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N HSQC cross-peaks resulting from addition of a 20-fold excess unlabeled peptide to 15N-labeled consensus SH3. The red and blue lines indicate 1 and 2 SDs, respectively. Inset shows a homology model of cSH3 aligned to a peptide-bound structure of human Fyn SH3 (PDB 1A0N; peptide shown in green). Residues showing CSPs greater than 1 and 2 SDs are shown with α-carbons as spheres and side-chain atoms as lines, and colored red and blue, respectively. (B) Binding isotherms for the 10 consensus SH3 residues showing largest CSPs. The solid lines are obtained from a global fit to a singlesite binding model using a common dissociation constant for all residues.



Fig. 5. Steady-state kinetics of consensus enzymes. Representative Michaelis– Menten curves generated for each substrate for cDHFR (A, varying DHF with 150 μM NADPH; B, varying NADPH with 100 μM DHF), cAK (C, varying AMP with 5 mM ATP), and cPGK (D, varying 3-PG with 20 mM ATP; E, varying ATP with 10 mM 3-PG). The solid lines are obtained from fitting a Michaelis– Menten model to the data. In A, full activity was obtained at the lowest DHF concentration we could measure; as a result, the  $K<sub>m</sub>$  value for DHF could not be accurately determined.

As expected, we see a bias of consensus matches toward low sequence entropy positions and a bias of consensus substitutions toward high entropy positions. For example, at positions that have entropies below 0.5, nearly all sequences in the MSA match the consensus. Likewise, at positions that have entropies above value of 2, most sequences in the MSA differ from consensus. However, at positions with intermediate entropies (ranging from 1 to 1.5), there are significant numbers of sequences in each MSA that differ from consensus. It is possible that these substitutions disproportionately contribute to the observed stability increase of consensus proteins.

Finally, we examined the extent to which these sequence differences occur at surface positions. Since surface residues tend to contribute less to overall stability than buried residues (45, 46), we might expect the stability increases of consensus proteins to have their origins in substitutions at buried positions. However, because surface positions tend to be more highly variable, we expect most consensus substitutions to occur at surface sites; as a result, surface residues may contribute to stability enhancement through the collective effect from many substitutions. Consistent with this, Makhatadze and coworkers (47, 48) have shown that stability can be increased through careful placement of surface charged residues. To identify surface positions within each MSA, we generated a homology model from the corresponding consensus sequence, calculated the side chain solvent-accessible surface area at each position, and referenced to the average side chain solvent-accessible surface area of the same residue in an extended peptide (SI Appendix, [Materials and Methods](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)).

A comparison of the distribution of consensus mismatches occurring at surface, intermediate, and buried positions shows that, for six of the seven proteins, consensus mismatches occur most frequently at surface positions (SI Appendix[, Fig. S14](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental); darker bars). Overall, mismatches occur at surface, intermediate, and buried positions with median proportion of 0.49, 0.27, and 0.22, respectively. However, these proportions are dependent on the overall proportions of surface, intermediate, and buried residues that make up each protein. The four smaller domain families of NTL9, SH3, HD, and SH2 all have more surface residues than intermediate or buried residues ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. [S14](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental); lighter bars). However, the larger proteins DHFR, AK, and PGK have more buried residues than surface or intermediate residues (consistent with a decreased surface-to-volume ratio for larger proteins).

To account for this underlying difference in surface accessibility, we divided the conditional probabilities that consensus mismatches are located at surface, intermediate, and buried positions [i.e.,  $p(X \mid \text{mismatch})$ , where X represents the three structural classes; dark bars in SI Appendix[, Fig. S14\]](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental) by the overall marginal probabilities of the three structural classes (surface, intermediate, buried; light bars in [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. [S14](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)). By Bayes' theorem, this ratio represents the true enrichment (or suppression) of consensus mismatches at surface, intermediate, and exposed sites. This ratio shows an enrichment of consensus mismatches at surface positions for all seven protein families (Fig. 6D), with an average factor of 1.21. In contrast, there is a suppression of consensus mismatches at core positions in all seven protein families, with an average factor of 0.71. At intermediate positions, mismatches are neither enhanced nor suppressed (Fig. 6D; with an average factor of 1.03).

#### Discussion

There are a number of reports in the literature in which consensus design has successfully been used to produce proteins that adopt their archetypical fold. This approach has found recent success for linear repeat-protein targets (49–52) but has also shown some success for globular protein targets (13, 14, 23, 26, 27). In most reports, globular consensus proteins have enhanced equilibrium stability and sometimes retain biological activity. However, point-substitution studies suggest stability gains from wholesale consensus substitution may be marginal, and protein engineering studies with a WW domain suggest that consensus proteins may lack the coupling energies needed to fold (53). Because publications of successful design of globular proteins have so far been "one target at a time," and since unsuccessful designs are unlikely to be published, it is difficult to estimate how likely the consensus approach is to yield folded proteins, and to determine how to improve on the approach when it performs poorly. The studies presented here, where we generate and characterize consensus sequences for six protein families, provide an unbiased look at rates of success and failure. Comparisons for different targets are aided by the use of a common design protocol for all targets, a common purification pipeline, and a uniform set of protocols for measuring stability, structure, and function. This multitarget approach also allows us to evaluate sequence features that are likely to contribute consensus stabilization in general way, and correlate these features with measured biochemical properties.

Our results show that consensus sequence design is both a general and successful strategy for small and large globular proteins with diverse folds. For all six protein families targeted, the resulting consensus proteins expressed to high levels, remained soluble in solution, and adopted a well-folded tertiary structure. Structural information obtained from CD and NMR spectroscopies suggest that all of the consensus proteins adopt their archetypal fold. Support for folding is provided by the observation that all consensus proteins tested show their expected biological activities (Figs. 2,  $4$ , and 5).

Our findings indicate that thermodynamic stabilization of consensus proteins is the norm, rather than the exception. Four





Michaelis–Menten parameters for consensus enzymes (top), a mesophilic enzyme (middle), and a thermophilic enzyme (bottom). Uncertainties reported for consensus enzymes represent SEMs from two independent experiments. Experiments for consensus enzymes were performed at 20 °C. NR denotes values that are not reported in the study.

\*The  $K_m$  value for DHF was found to be too low to accurately measure under experimental conditions. Consensus DHFR showed full activity at lowest DHF concentration tested of 5  $\mu$ M.

Data are from ref. 76. Experiments were performed at 25 °C.

‡ Data are from ref. 77. Experiments were performed at 20 °C.

§ Data are from ref. 78. Experiments were performed at 25 °C.

¶ Data are from ref. 59. Experiments were performed at 20 °C.

# Data are from ref. 75. Experiments were performed at 25 °C. Errors were reported to as <5%.

 $\parallel$ Data are from ref. 79. Experiments were performed at 20 °C.

of the six [and five of the seven including the previously reported homeodomain (32)] consensus proteins showed stabilities greater than the most stable naturally occurring sequences identified in our literature search, and the other two showed stabilities near and above average (Fig. 3 and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Table [S3\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). In short, consensus design can be expected to provide stability enhancements about three-quarters of the time. This result is particularly surprising, given that proteins are not under direct evolutionary selection for maximal stability (54, 55), but must simply retain sufficient stability to remain folded. It appears that by taking the most probable residue at each position, a large number of small stability enhancements sum to a large net increase in stability. Although naturally occurring extant proteins are of lower stability (perhaps because high stabilities are not required for function), this information gets encoded in alignments of large numbers of sequences. Taken at face value, these results highlight the importance of information encoded at the level of single residues. Though single-residue information does not explicitly include pairwise sequence correlations, favorable pairs may be retained in our consensus designs as long as each residue in the pair is highly conserved. Thus, the extent to which consensus sequences capture such "accidental" correlations and their contributions to the observed effects on stability require further studies.

The source of the relative instability of cSH3 is unclear. The consensus SH3 and the extant sequences from which it was generated have some exceptional features, although none of these is unique to SH3. The SH3 sequences are among the shortest sequence families examined (54 residues), although not the shortest (NTL9 is 46 residues). The consensus SH3 sequences has a high fraction of polar residues and the lowest fraction of uncharged residues (Fig. 6A), although the cHD is a close second. The SH3 sequence is also the only protein in our set that has an all-β structure.

Taxonomically, sequences in the SH3 MSA show the lowest pairwise identity (26%; SI Appendix[, Table S1\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), although the SH2 family is a close second (28%). It may be noteworthy that the increase in stability of cSH2 compared with the available extant values is the smallest stability increment observed for those proteins likely to conform to a two-state mechanism. It may also be noteworthy that, along with SH2, the SH3 multiple sequence is uniquely dominated by eukaryotic sequences. In a study of consensus superoxide dismutase sequences, Goyal and Magliery (56) found successful consensus design to be highly dependent on the phylogeny represented in the MSA.

A particular advantage of consensus sequence design is that it draws upon the natural evolutionary history of a protein family. As a result, residues important for function are likely to be conserved (57). However, it cannot be assumed a priori that the resulting consensus proteins will show biological function, since consensus sequences are novel sequences that have experienced no evolutionary selection for function. Importantly, all consensus proteins we assayed for function maintained some level of expected biological activities of both molecular recognition and enzymatic catalysis (Figs. 4 and 5). This result, combined with previously reported studies showing consensus protein function (22–31), indicates that information necessary for protein function is retained in averaging over many sequences that each individually contain functionally important information.

In both this study and our previous investigations of a cHD (32), consensus substitution showed varying effects on molecular recognition. Consensus HD and cSH3 each showed two to three orders of magnitude differences in their binding affinities to cognate substrates relative to naturally occurring sequences, with cHD showing higher affinity and cSH3 showing lower affinity. The origins of these differences in substrate binding affinities remain unclear. It is possible that the sequences used to obtain the cHD sequence bind similar sequences (indeed, many of these sequences are from the engrailed superfamily), resulting in an "optimized" homeodomain, whereas sequences used to obtain the consensus SH3 possess different specificities, resulting in a sequence whose binding affinity has been "averaged out." Testing this explanation will require an investigation of the binding specificities of the consensus proteins as well as those of the sequences used to generate them.



Fig. 6. Sequence properties of consensus sequences. (A) Z scores (the number of SDs that separate the consensus sequence from the mean value of sequences in the MSAs; [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Eq. 3) for various sequence proper-ties. Distributions for all protein families are shown in [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. 511. (B) Differences between residue frequencies in the consensus sequences and the MSAs averaged over all seven protein families. Residues are colored as follows: polar charged (red), polar uncharged (blue), and nonpolar (black). The vertical offset is used for clarity. (C) Distributions of sequence entropy values for all positions in the PGK MSA (purple), positions at which residues in extant sequences differ from the consensus sequence (consensus mismatches; red), and positions at which residues in extant sequences match the consensus sequence (consensus matches; blue) for PGK. Sequence entropy distributions for all protein families are shown in *[SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. S13. (D) Ratios of conditional probabilities of different structural environments (surface, intermediate, and buried; "X" in the y label) for consensus mismatches relative to overall probabilities of surface, intermediate, and buried residues at all positions. Conditional and overall probabilities for all protein families are shown in *[SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. S14. The legend is as in A.

For the three enzymes examined here, consensus substitution shows variable effects on catalysis. At low temperatures (20 °C), steady-state turnover numbers for all consensus enzymes were smaller than those for naturally occurring mesophilic sequences, but on par with those of thermophilic homologs (Table 2). This is consistent with the observation that thermophilic proteins show lower catalytic activities at low temperatures than their less stable mesophilic counterparts (58, 59). At higher temperatures, cPGK has a  $k_{cat}$  value comparable to thermophilic homologs, whereas cDHFR and cAK have higher and lower  $k_{cat}$  values, respectively. On the whole, this observation demonstrates that consensus enzymes can (but sometimes do not) achieve the same level of activities as their naturally occurring counterparts. The observed inverse correlations between enzyme stability and catalytic rates have widely been interpreted as resulting from a trade-off between dynamics and catalysis (60). As this issue is still debated (61, 62), consensus sequence design may offer a promising avenue to gain insights into the relationships among protein stability, dynamics, activity, and evolution.

The consensus design strategy used here appears to impart a strong bias on sequence: Taking the most probable residue at each position does not result in average composition. This bias may have significant effects on stability and function. The consensus sequences all have a high content of charged residues and low content of polar uncharged residues (Fig. 6). Consensus substitutions from uncharged to charged residues show a stronger bias toward positions of higher sequence entropy than substitutions among uncharged residues *(SI Appendix[, Fig. S15](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental))*. Thus, the overall enrichment of charged residues in consensus sequences results from charged residues (E, D, and K) "winning" over uncharged residues at positions with low conservation.

Similar (but not identical) compositional biases have also been observed in thermophilic sequences, consistent with the high stabilities observed for the consensus proteins. Like our consensus sequences, thermophilic proteins have been shown to be enriched in E and K, and depleted in A, C, H, Q, S, and T (63, 64). However, thermophilic proteins have also been shown to be enriched in Y, R, and I, which are at or below average composition in our consensus sequences. Although it might be expected that the inclusion of sequences from thermophilic organisms in our MSAs contributes both to the composition bias and to high stabilities, most of the sequences in our MSAs are from mesophilic organisms. The sequences in the SH3 and SH2 MSAs are predominantly eukaryotic (as were our previous cHD sequences); aside from a small number of moderately thermophilic fungi, these sequences all derive from mesophiles. For the other four protein families (NTL9, DHFR, AK, and PGK), MSAs are composed of at most 5% of sequences from thermophilic or hyperthermophilic bacteria or archaea (SI Appendix[, Table S5\)](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). If the identified thermophilic sequences are removed from the MSA before consensus sequence generation, the resulting consensus sequences have identities of 98.6% or greater to the consensus sequence derived from the full MSAs ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), [Table S5](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)).‡

Makhatadze et al. (47, 48) have been able to increase stability by introducing charged residues at surface positions of several proteins and optimizing electrostatic interactions. It is unclear to what extent the locations of consensus charged residues optimize electrostatic interactions, or whether additional stability increases can be obtained by charge shuffling. Increases in stability and solubility have also been reported for "supercharged" proteins, which have similar numbers of charged residues (65); however, the consensus proteins studied here are generally close electroneutrality, whereas supercharged proteins have highly imbalanced positive or negative charge.

It should be noted, however, that some of the consensus sequences deviate from the general trends observed in sequence biases. For instance, consensus SH3 and HD have a greater percentage of polar residues and a lower percentage of nonpolar residues, and cDHFR has a much lower net charge, compared with the average for each MSA. Thus, consensus sequence statistics appear to abide by general trends but not absolute rules.

Similarly, analysis of the positions at which the consensus sequences differ from extant sequences highlights important aspects about the consensus design strategy. These consensus mismatches occur mainly at positions with relatively low conservation and positions on the protein surface (Fig. 6), consistent with the well-known correlation between residue conservation and solvent-accessible surface area (66). This may highlight an implicit advantage of consensus sequence design, since substitutions at core positions are often destabilizing (67). However, the large observed effects of consensus substitution on both stability and activity indicate that these weakly conserved and surface positions play a sizable role in both stability and function, and considerable gains can be made by optimizing these positions. This observation is consistent with the observation of the functional impacts of nonconserved "rheostat" substitutions on the surface of lac repressor (68). Furthermore, the importance of these weakly conserved positions suggests that using a large number of sequences may be a key component of successful consensus design, since weakly conserved positions are most sensitive to phylogenetic noise and misalignment (69).

Our work here demonstrates that the consensus sequence design method is both a general and successful strategy to design proteins of high stability that retain biological activity. Compared with other rational, structure-based, or directed evolution methods, consensus sequence design provides a simple route to

<sup>‡</sup> Though the 5% estimate of thermophilic sequences is likely to undercount the number of thermophilic sequences in our MSA, since not all sequences could be unambiguously assigned to a source organism, the total number of thermophiles in our MSAs is not likely to exceed 16% (the ratio of identified thermophiles to thermophiles plus mesophiles).

accomplish longstanding goals of protein design. Furthermore, its foundation in phylogenetics provides a promising avenue to address questions regarding the relationships of protein sequence, biophysics, and evolution.

## Materials and Methods

Design of Consensus Sequences. Sequences for each family were gathered from Pfam (33), SMART (34), or InterPro (35) databases. Resulting sequence sets for each domain were filtered by sequence length, removing sequences 30% longer or shorter than the median sequence length of the set. To avoid bias from sequence groups with high identity, we used CD-HIT (70) to cluster sequences at 90% identity and selected a single representative sequence from each cluster. This curated sequence set was used to generate an MSA using MAFFT (71).

At each position of the MSA, frequencies were determined for the 20 amino acids along with a gap frequency using an in-house script. Positions occupied by residues (as opposed to a gap) in at least half of the sequences were included as positions in the consensus sequence. The most frequent residue at each of these "consensus positions" was gathered to create the consensus sequence for that protein family.

**NMR Spectroscopy.**  $^{15}N$ - and  $^{13}C$ ,  $^{15}N$ -isotopically labeled proteins were expressed and purified as described in [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental). NMR samples, data ac-quisition, and data analysis are also described in [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental).

Peptide binding to cSH3 was monitored by heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy. A putative SH3-binding peptide (Ac-PLPPLPRRALSVW-NH<sub>2</sub>) was synthesized by GenScript. Samples containing 200  $\mu$ M <sup>15</sup>N-labeled cSH3 were prepared at 0-, 0.05-, 0.125-, 0.5-, 1.25-, 2.5-, 5-, 10-, and 20-fold molar equivalents of unlabeled peptide. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N HSQC spectra were collected on a Bruker Avance 600-MHz spectrometer in 150 mM NaCl, and 5% D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 mM NaPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7.0) at 25 °C. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N chemical shifts varied monotonically with peptide concentrations such that assignments from the apo-protein could be transferred to the bound state. CSPs were calculated and globally fit using a single-site binding equation as described in [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental).

CD and Fluorescence Spectroscopies. CD measurements were collected on an Aviv Model 435 CD spectropolarimeter. Far-UV CD spectra were collected using a 1-mm cuvette with protein concentrations ranging from 2 to 31 μM at 20 °C, averaging for 5 s with a 1-nm step size. Consensus NTL9, cSH3, cSH2, and cDHFR were collected in 150 mM NaCl and 25 mM NaPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7.0). Consensus AK and cPGK were collected in 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, and 25 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.0).

GdnHCl- and temperature-induced folding/unfolding transitions were monitored using either CD or fluorescence spectroscopy. All unfolding transitions were collected with protein concentrations ranging from 1 to 6 μM. GdnHCl melts were collected at 20 °C. UltraPure GdnHCl was purchased from Invitrogen. Concentrations of GdnHCl were verified using refractometry (72).

Temperature-induced unfolding transitions were generated by measuring CD or fluorescence in 2 °C increments. Samples were allowed to equilibrate for 2 min at each temperature before signal measurement. The signal at each temperature was then averaged for 30 s. Reversibility was assessed by cooling samples to 25 °C after thermal denaturation and comparing CD or fluorescence emission spectra to those collected immediately before thermal unfolding.

GdnHCl-induced unfolding of cDHFR was monitored by CD at 222 nm, signal averaging for 30 s at each GdnHCl concentration. Unfolding of cNTL9,

- 1. J. E. Gagner, W. Kim, E. L. Chaikof, Designing protein-based biomaterials for medical applications. Acta Biomater. 10, 1542–1557 (2014).
- 2. S. Jemli, D. Ayadi-Zouari, H. B. Hlima, S. Bejar, Biocatalysts: Application and engineering for industrial purposes. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 36, 246–258 (2016).
- 3. G. A. Khoury, J. Smadbeck, C. A. Kieslich, C. A. Floudas, Protein folding and de novo protein design for biotechnological applications. Trends Biotechnol. 32, 99–109 (2014).
- 4. D. Röthlisberger et al., Kemp elimination catalysts by computational enzyme design. Nature 453, 190–195 (2008).
- 5. S. Kulshreshtha, V. Chaudhary, G. K. Goswami, N. Mathur, Computational approaches for predicting mutant protein stability. J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 30, 401–412 (2016).
- 6. C.-Y. Chen, I. Georgiev, A. C. Anderson, B. R. Donald, Computational structure-based redesign of enzyme activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 3764-3769 (2009).
- 7. C. Jäckel, P. Kast, D. Hilvert, Protein design by directed evolution. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 37, 153–173 (2008).
- 8. H. Kries, R. Blomberg, D. Hilvert, De novo enzymes by computational design. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 17, 221–228 (2013).

cSH3, and cSH2 was monitored by tryptophan fluorescence on an Aviv Model 107 ATF. Fluorescence was measured using a 280-nm excitation and either a 332-nm (cSH2 and cSH3) or 348-nm (cNTL9) emission, signal averaging for 30 s at each GdnHCl concentration. For these four proteins, unfolding was found to equilibrate rapidly, so that titrations could be generated using a Hamilton automated titrator, with a 5-min equilibration period.

Gdn-induced unfolding of cAK and cPGK was found to equilibrate on a slower timescale than the other consensus proteins, prohibiting the use of an automated titrator. Therefore, samples at each denaturant concentration were made individually and equilibrated at room temperature for 24 h (cAK) or 5 h (cPGK). For each sample, the CD signal at 225 nm (cAK) or 222 nm (cPGK) was averaged for 30 s. Melts for both proteins were collected in buffer containing 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, and 25 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.0).

Titrations were carried out in triplicate for each protein. Thermodynamic folding/unfolding parameters were determined by fitting a two-state linear extrapolation model to the folding/unfolding curves (73).

Steady-State Enzyme Kinetics. Steady-state enzyme kinetic parameters at 20 °C were determined for cDHFR (in the direction of tetrahydrofolate formation), cAK (in the direction of ADP formation), and cPGK (in the direction of 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate formation) using absorbance spectroscopy to monitor the oxidation of NAD(P)H catalyzed either directly by the consensus enzyme (cDHFR) (74), or by the activity of an enzyme that is directly coupled to the products produced by the rate-limiting activity of the consensus enzyme (cAK and cPGK) (59, 75). The absorbance at 340 nm was monitored over time after rapid addition of consensus enzyme. Steady-state velocities were determined as the initial linear slope of the time course. Because these enzymes catalyze bisubstrate reactions, we were able to obtain Michaelis– Menten kinetic parameters for each substrate by varying the concentration of one substrate at a constant, saturating concentration of the other substrate.

For cDHFR assays, a concentration of 175 nM cDHFR was used in reaction buffer containing 25 mM Hepes (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl. For cAK assays, a concentration of 53 nM cAK was used in reaction buffer containing 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.1 mM NADH, 10 units of pyruvate kinase, and 10 units of lactate dehydrogenase. For cPGK assays, a concentration of 53 nM consensus PGK was used in reaction mixture containing 100 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.0), 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 mM NADH, and 5 units of glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase.

For cDHFR and cPGK,  $k_{cat}$  values were measured at high temperatures using the absorbance spectroscopic assays described above at saturating concentrations of both substrates. Samples were allowed to equilibrate at the desired temperature for 5 min before initiation of the reaction. Consensus DHFR activity was measured up to 50 °C. Consensus PGK activity was measured up to 40 °C, the temperature of onset of denaturation of the coupling enzyme (glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase). For cAK, enzyme activity at various temperatures was measured using a direct <sup>31</sup>P NMR assay previously used for an AK from Aquifex aeolicus ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental), Sup[plementary Methods](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1816707116/-/DCSupplemental)) (43). Consensus AK activity was measured up to 70 °C.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Ananya Majumdar for assistance in collecting and discussions of the NMR experiments. We thank the Johns Hopkins University Biomolecular NMR Center, Center for Molecular Biophysics, and Chemistry NMR Core Facility for providing facilities and resources. We thank Michael Harms for suggesting the BacDive database as a resource to classify bacterial growth temperatures. This work was supported by NIH Grant GM068462 to D.B. M.S. was supported by NIH Grants T32GM008403 and F31GM128295.

- 9. Z. Li, Y. Yang, J. Zhan, L. Dai, Y. Zhou, Energy functions in de novo protein design: Current challenges and future prospects. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 42, 315–335 (2013).
- 10. P. Gainza, H. M. Nisonoff, B. R. Donald, Algorithms for protein design. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 39, 16–26 (2016).
- 11. M. D. Lane, B. Seelig, Advances in the directed evolution of proteins. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 22, 129–136 (2014).
- 12. B. T. Porebski, A. M. Buckle, Consensus protein design. Protein Eng. Des. Sel. 29, 245– 251 (2016).
- 13. B. Steipe, B. Schiller, A. Plückthun, S. Steinbacher, Sequence statistics reliably predict stabilizing mutations in a protein domain. J. Mol. Biol. 240, 188–192 (1994).
- 14. B. J. Sullivan et al., Stabilizing proteins from sequence statistics: The interplay of conservation and correlation in triosephosphate isomerase stability. J. Mol. Biol. 420, 384–399 (2012).
- 15. K. M. Polizzi, J. F. Chaparro-Riggers, E. Vazquez-Figueroa, A. S. Bommarius, Structureguided consensus approach to create a more thermostable penicillin G acylase. Biotechnol. J. 1, 531–536 (2006).
- 16. A. Rath, A. R. Davidson, The design of a hyperstable mutant of the Abp1p SH3 domain by sequence alignment analysis. Protein Sci. 9, 2457–2469 (2000).
- 17. P. V. Nikolova, J. Henckel, D. P. Lane, A. R. Fersht, Semirational design of active tumor suppressor p53 DNA binding domain with enhanced stability. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 14675–14680 (1998).
- 18. L. Giver, A. Gershenson, P. O. Freskgard, F. H. Arnold, Directed evolution of a thermostable esterase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 12809–12813 (1998).
- 19. H. Zhao, F. H. Arnold, Directed evolution converts subtilisin E into a functional equivalent of thermitase. Protein Eng. 12, 47-53 (1999).
- 20. L. Serrano, A. Horovitz, B. Avron, M. Bycroft, A. R. Fersht, Estimating the contribution of engineered surface electrostatic interactions to protein stability by using doublemutant cycles. Biochemistry 29, 9343–9352 (1990).
- 21. Z. R. Sailer, M. J. Harms, Molecular ensembles make evolution unpredictable. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 11938–11943 (2017).
- 22. J. R. Desjarlais, J. M. Berg, Use of a zinc-finger consensus sequence framework and specificity rules to design specific DNA binding proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 90, 2256–2260 (1993).
- 23. M. Lehmann et al., The consensus concept for thermostability engineering of proteins: Further proof of concept. Protein Eng. 15, 403–411 (2002).
- 24. B. J. Sullivan, V. Durani, T. J. Magliery, Triosephosphate isomerase by consensus design: Dramatic differences in physical properties and activity of related variants. J. Mol. Biol. 413, 195–208 (2011).
- 25. B. T. Porebski et al., Smoothing a rugged protein folding landscape by sequencebased redesign. Sci. Rep. 6, 33958 (2016).
- 26. B. T. Porebski et al., Circumventing the Stability-Function Trade-Off in an Engineered FN3 Domain (Protein Eng Des Sel PEDS, 2016).
- 27. A. J. Stevens et al., Design of a split intein with exceptional protein splicing activity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 2162–2165 (2016).
- 28. D. Aerts et al., Consensus engineering of sucrose phosphorylase: The outcome reflects the sequence input. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 110, 2563–2572 (2013).
- 29. L. M. Blatt, J. M. Davis, S. B. Klein, M. W. Taylor, The biologic activity and molecular characterization of a novel synthetic interferon-alpha species, consensus interferon. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. 16, 489–499 (1996).
- 30. F. García-Guevara, I. Bravo, C. Martínez-Anaya, L. Segovia, Cofactor specificity switch in Shikimate dehydrogenase by rational design and consensus engineering. Protein Eng. Des. Sel. 30, 533–541 (2017).
- 31. L. Huang et al., The design and recombinant protein expression of a consensus porcine interferon: CoPoIFN-α. Cytokine 57, 37–45 (2012).
- 32. K. W. Tripp, M. Sternke, A. Majumdar, D. Barrick, Creating a homeodomain with high stability and DNA binding affinity by sequence averaging. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 5051–5060 (2017).
- 33. A. Bateman et al., The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, D138-D141 (2004).
- 34. I. Letunic, T. Doerks, P. Bork, SMART: Recent updates, new developments and status in 2015. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, D257–D260 (2015).
- 35. R. D. Finn et al., InterPro in 2017-beyond protein family and domain annotations. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D190–D199 (2017).
- 36. K. Fukami-Kobayashi, M. Nosaka, A. Nakazawa, M. Go, Ancient divergence of long and short isoforms of adenylate kinase: Molecular evolution of the nucleoside monophosphate kinase family. FEBS Lett. 385, 214-220 (1996).
- 37. A. R. Viguera, J. C. Martínez, V. V. Filimonov, P. L. Mateo, L. Serrano, Thermodynamic and kinetic analysis of the SH3 domain of spectrin shows a two-state folding transition. Biochemistry 33, 2142-2150 (1994).
- 38. E. J. Loveridge et al., The role of large-scale motions in catalysis by dihydrofolate reductase. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 20561–20570 (2011).
- 39. J. K. Myers, C. N. Pace, J. M. Scholtz, Denaturant m values and heat capacity changes: Relation to changes in accessible surface areas of protein unfolding. Protein Sci. 4, 2138–2148 (1995).
- 40. C. D. Geierhaas, A. A. Nickson, K. Lindorff-Larsen, J. Clarke, M. Vendruscolo, BPPred: A Web-based computational tool for predicting biophysical parameters of proteins. Protein Sci. 16, 125–134 (2007).
- 41. J.-P. Demers, A. Mittermaier, Binding mechanism of an SH3 domain studied by NMR and ITC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 4355-4367 (2009).
- 42. K. Saksela, P. Permi, SH3 domain ligand binding: What's the consensus and where's the specificity? FEBS Lett. 586, 2609–2614 (2012).
- 43. P. Rogne, T. Sparrman, I. Anugwom, J.-P. Mikkola, M. Wolf-Watz, Realtime <sup>31</sup>P NMR investigation on the catalytic behavior of the enzyme adenylate kinase in the matrix of a switchable ionic liquid. ChemSusChem 8, 3764-3768 (2015).
- 44. P. S. Shenkin, B. Erman, L. D. Mastrandrea, Information-theoretical entropy as a measure of sequence variability. Proteins 11, 297–313 (1991).
- 45. J. F. Reidhaar-Olson, R. T. Sauer, Combinatorial cassette mutagenesis as a probe of the informational content of protein sequences. Science 241, 53-57 (1988).
- 46. D. Rennell, S. E. Bouvier, L. W. Hardy, A. R. Poteete, Systematic mutation of bacteriophage T4 lysozyme. J. Mol. Biol. 222, 67–88 (1991).
- 47. S. S. Strickler et al., Protein stability and surface electrostatics: A charged relationship. Biochemistry 45, 2761–2766 (2006).
- 48. A. V. Gribenko et al., Rational stabilization of enzymes by computational redesign of surface charge-charge interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 2601–2606 (2009).
- 49. L. K. Mosavi, D. L. J. Minor, Jr, Z.-Y. Peng, Consensus-derived structural determinants of the ankyrin repeat motif. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 99, 16029–16034 (2002).
- 50. T. Aksel, A. Majumdar, D. Barrick, The contribution of entropy, enthalpy, and hydrophobic desolvation to cooperativity in repeat-protein folding. Structure 19, 349– 360 (2011).
- 51. E. R. G. Main, Y. Xiong, M. J. Cocco, L. D'Andrea, L. Regan, Design of stable alphahelical arrays from an idealized TPR motif. Structure 11, 497–508 (2003).
- 52. F. Parmeggiani et al., Designed armadillo repeat proteins as general peptide-binding scaffolds: Consensus design and computational optimization of the hydrophobic core. J. Mol. Biol. 376, 1282–1304 (2008).
- 53. M. Socolich et al., Evolutionary information for specifying a protein fold. Nature 437, 512–518 (2005).
- 54. D. M. Taverna, R. A. Goldstein, Why are proteins marginally stable? Proteins 46, 105– 109 (2002).
- 55. J. D. Bloom, A. Raval, C. O. Wilke, Thermodynamics of neutral protein evolution. Genetics 175, 255–266 (2007).
- 56. V. D. Goyal, T. J. Magliery, Phylogenetic spread of sequence data affects fitness of SOD1 consensus enzymes: Insights from sequence statistics and structural analyses. Proteins 86, 609–620 (2018).
- 57. J. A. Capra, M. Singh, Predicting functionally important residues from sequence conservation. Bioinformatics 23, 1875–1882 (2007).
- 58. L. Z. Holland, M. McFall-Ngai, G. N. Somero, Evolution of lactate dehydrogenase-A homologs of barracuda fishes (genus Sphyraena) from different thermal environments: Differences in kinetic properties and thermal stability are due to amino acid substitutions outside the active site. Biochemistry 36, 3207–3215 (1997).
- 59. M. Wolf-Watz et al., Linkage between dynamics and catalysis in a thermophilicmesophilic enzyme pair. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 11, 945–949 (2004).
- 60. P. G. Varley, R. H. Pain, Relation between stability, dynamics and enzyme activity in 3 phosphoglycerate kinases from yeast and Thermus thermophilus. J. Mol. Biol. 220, 531–538 (1991).
- 61. R. A. Studer, P.-A. Christin, M. A. Williams, C. A. Orengo, Stability-activity tradeoffs constrain the adaptive evolution of RubisCO. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, 2223– 2228 (2014).
- 62. J. Tian, J. C. Woodard, A. Whitney, E. I. Shakhnovich, Thermal stabilization of dihydrofolate reductase using monte carlo unfolding simulations and its functional consequences. PLoS Comput. Biol. 11, e1004207 (2015).
- 63. S. Kumar, C. J. Tsai, R. Nussinov, Factors enhancing protein thermostability. Protein Eng. 13, 179–191 (2000).
- 64. Y. Li, C. R. Middaugh, J. Fang, A novel scoring function for discriminating hyperthermophilic and mesophilic proteins with application to predicting relative thermostability of protein mutants. BMC Bioinformatics 11, 62 (2010).
- 65. M. S. Lawrence, K. J. Phillips, D. R. Liu, Supercharging proteins can impart unusual resilience. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 10110–10112 (2007).
- 66. J. M. Koshi, R. A. Goldstein, Mutation matrices and physical-chemical properties: Correlations and implications. Proteins 27, 336–344 (1997).
- 67. N. Tokuriki, F. Stricher, J. Schymkowitz, L. Serrano, D. S. Tawfik, The stability effects of protein mutations appear to be universally distributed. J. Mol. Biol. 369, 1318–1332 (2007).
- 68. S. Meinhardt, M. W. J. Manley, Jr, D. J. Parente, L. Swint-Kruse, Rheostats and toggle switches for modulating protein function. PLoS One 8, e83502 (2013).
- 69. G. Talavera, J. Castresana, Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 56, 564–577 (2007).
- 70. W. Li, L. Jaroszewski, A. Godzik, Clustering of highly homologous sequences to reduce the size of large protein databases. Bioinformatics 17, 282-283 (2001).
- 71. K. Katoh, K. Misawa, K. Kuma, T. Miyata, MAFFT: A novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 3059–3066 (2002).
- 72. Y. Nozaki, The preparation of guanidine hydrochloride. Methods Enzymol. 26, 43–50 (1972).
- 73. C. N. Pace, Determination and analysis of urea and guanidine hydrochloride denaturation curves. Methods Enzymol. 131, 266–280 (1986).
- 74. G. Bhabha et al., Divergent evolution of protein conformational dynamics in dihydrofolate reductase. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 20, 1243–1249 (2013).
- 75. M. Bentahir et al., Structural, kinetic, and calorimetric characterization of the coldactive phosphoglycerate kinase from the antarctic Pseudomonas sp. TACII18. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 11147–11153 (2000).
- 76. C. A. Fierke, K. A. Johnson, S. J. Benkovic, Construction and evaluation of the kinetic scheme associated with dihydrofolate reductase from Escherichia coli. Biochemistry 26, 4085–4092 (1987).
- 77. H. S. Kim, S. M. Damo, S.-Y. Lee, D. Wemmer, J. P. Klinman, Structure and hydride transfer mechanism of a moderate thermophilic dihydrofolate reductase from Bacillus stearothermophilus and comparison to its mesophilic and hyperthermophilic homologues. Biochemistry 44, 11428-11439 (2005).
- 78. H. Y. Aviram et al., Direct observation of ultrafast large-scale dynamics of an enzyme under turnover conditions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115, 3243–3248 (2018).
- 79. H. Schurig et al., Phosphoglycerate kinase and triosephosphate isomerase from the hyperthermophilic bacterium Thermotoga maritima form a covalent bifunctional enzyme complex. EMBO J. 14, 442–451 (1995).