



## Review

# Otitis media with effusion in children: Pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. A review

Pauline Vanneste, Cyril Page\*

Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery, Amiens University Hospital, Amiens, France

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 20 December 2018

Received in revised form

17 January 2019

Accepted 25 January 2019

## Keywords:

Otitis media with effusion

Tympanostomy tube

Ventilation tube

Grommet

Child

## ABSTRACT

Otitis media with effusion (OME) is a frequent paediatric disorder. The condition is often asymptomatic, and so can easily be missed. However, OME can lead to hearing loss that impairs the child's language and behavioural development. The diagnosis is essentially clinical, and is based on otoscopy and (in some cases) tympanometry. Nasal endoscopy is only indicated in cases of unilateral OME or when obstructive adenoid hypertrophy is suspected. Otitis media with effusion is defined as the observation of middle-ear effusion at consultations three months apart. Hearing must be evaluated (using an age-appropriate audiometry technique) before and after treatment, so as not to miss another underlying cause of deafness (e.g. perception deafness). Craniofacial dysmorphism, respiratory allergy and gastro-oesophageal reflux all favour the development of OME. Although a certain number of medications (antibiotics, corticoids, antihistamines, mucokinetic agents, and nasal decongestants) can be used to treat OME, they are not reliably effective and rarely provide long-term relief. The benchmark treatment for OME is placement of tympanostomy tubes (TTs) and (in some cases) adjunct adenoidectomy. The TTs rapidly normalize hearing and effectively prevent the development of cholesteatoma in the middle ear. In contrast, TTs do not prevent progression towards tympanic atrophy or a retraction pocket. Adenoidectomy enhances the effectiveness of TTs. In children with adenoid hypertrophy, adenoidectomy is indicated before the age of 4 but can be performed later when OME is identified by nasal endoscopy. Children must be followed up until OME has disappeared completely, so that any complications are not missed.

© 2019 PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. Production and hosting by Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	34
2. The physiopathology of OME .....	34
2.1. The inflammatory hypothesis .....	34
2.2. Biofilms .....	34
2.3. Gastro-oesophageal reflux and allergy .....	34
3. Diagnosis .....	35
3.1. Clinical aspects .....	35
3.2. Evaluation of the hearing threshold .....	35
4. Treatments .....	35
4.1. Drug treatments .....	35
4.2. Tympanostomy tubes and adenoidectomy .....	36
4.3. Children at risk of OME .....	37
5. Conclusion .....	37

\* Corresponding author. Service d'ORL et de chirurgie de la face et du cou, Centre Hospitalier Sud, Salouël, rue Laënnec, F-80090, Amiens, France.

E-mail address: [page.cyril@chu-amiens.fr](mailto:page.cyril@chu-amiens.fr) (C. Page).

Peer review under responsibility of PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joto.2019.01.005>

1672-2930/© 2019 PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. Production and hosting by Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Conflicts of interest .....	37
Supplementary data .....	37
References .....	37

## 1. Introduction

In 1976, Mawson defined otitis media with effusion (OME, also referred to as sero-mucous otitis media) as the presence of liquid in the cavities of the middle ear, and the absence of signs of acute infection (Mawson, 1976). This is a chronic form of otitis media in which the tympanic membrane is not perforated. Local inflammation leads to epithelial metaplasia and the collection of liquid in the cavities of the middle ear. The middle ear effusion is mucous or sero-mucous in nature but not purulent. The condition lasts for at least three months; this sets it apart from persistent effusion after acute media otitis, which disappears after two months in 90% of cases (Blanc et al., 2018).

The very youngest children can be affected by OME: 50% of cases occur in infants under the age of 1, and 60% occur in infants under the age of 2 (Casselbrant and Mandel, 2003). The prevalence is especially high (between 60 and 85%) in children with craniofacial malformations (particularly trisomy 21 and cleft palate) (Flynn et al., 2009; Maris et al., 2014). Persistent OME leads to complications such as hearing loss and damage to the tympanic membrane (atrophy, retraction pockets, and cholesteatoma) (Maw et al., 1999). It can also delay language acquisition and lead to behavioural disorders (Aarhus et al., 2015).

The present review is based on a PubMed and Science Direct search for articles published between 1976 and 2018. The following keywords were used: “serous otitis”, “otitis media with effusion”, “tympanostomy tube”, “grommet”, “ventilation tube” and “child”. Articles dealing with OME in adults or with acute otitis media were excluded.

## 2. The physiopathology of OME

### 2.1. The inflammatory hypothesis

This pathology is thought to be initiated by inflammatory and immune reactions against rhinopharyngeal infections. The inflammation leads to cytokine production and the secretion of an exudate rich in protein and inflammatory mediators. The associated vasodilatation is responsible for increased gaseous exchanges in the middle ear, which induces an endotympanic pressure drop (Lij et al., 2013). This pressure drop affects a cavity whose walls are fixed, with the exception of the tympanic membrane. Since the pars flaccida is the most fragile area (given its lack of a fibrous layer), retraction most frequently starts at this site. If the pressure drop is not corrected, tympanic atelectasis progresses at the expense of the pars tensa, and may lead to complete atelectasis of the tympanic membrane.

Prolonged inflammation of the middle ear's mucosae leads to cell differentiation and an increase in the number of mucus cells. An exudate fills the middle ear cavity. Mucus trapped in the Eustachian tube induces an upstream pressure drop in the middle ear, which in turn prevents the mucus from being evacuated (Hilding, 1944).

The inflammatory hypothesis is based on the presence of infectious agents in the cavities of the middle ear. In the past, OME was considered to be a sterile infection because effusion fluid samples gave negative bacterial cultures. In the 1990s, however, PCR assays showed that DNA and RNA of the main pathogens in

acute media otitis were also present in OME samples (Fergie et al., 2004; Rayner et al., 1998). In 2006, Stoodley et al. used confocal microscopy to show that 92% of a population of children presenting OME had live bacteria (*Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Moraxella catarrhalis*) in their mucosal biopsies (Hall-Stoodley et al., 2006). These metabolically active bacteria might be present in at least half of all cases of OME with sterile bacterial cultures, and are thought to participate in biofilm formation (Van Hoecke et al., 2016).

### 2.2. Biofilms

Some researchers have estimated that 65% of chronic infections involve biofilms. Biofilm formation on the mucosae has been evidenced in OME (Potera, 1999). A biofilm results from cells trapped within an adherent matrix on an inert or living surface. The film can contain bacterial or fungal cells that are in contact with one another. The matrix contains polysaccharides, nucleic acids, and proteins (Palmer, 2005). The biofilm is created from a bacterial “anchor” that grows into a microcolony and then a mass. The extracellular matrix protects the bacteria against antibodies, phagocytosis, and antibiotics. These bacteria also require less oxygen and nutrients. They can transfer their DNA via plasmids or diversify via adaptive mutations that confer them with antibiotic resistance (Suh et al., 2010). In fact, recent studies have shown that systemic antibiotic treatment is not effective in the eradication of biofilms (Belfield et al., 2015).

### 2.3. Gastro-oesophageal reflux and allergy

Several other factors are thought to have a role in OME; they include gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR), pollution, respiratory allergies, and genetic factors (Rovers et al., 2004).

The link between GOR and OME has been suspected ever since pepsins and *Helicobacter pylori* were found in samples of middle ear effusion (Formanek et al., 2015; Dogru et al., 2015). However, a direct causal relationship between GOR and OME has not been demonstrated (Miura et al., 2012; Morinaka et al., 2005).

Likewise, a number of studies have highlighted an association between respiratory (poly)allergy and OME (Luong and Roland, 2008; Alles et al., 2001; Kwon et al., 2013; Kreiner-Moller et al., 2012; Pau and Ng, 2016). Again, a causal relationship has not been proven, and allergy treatment does not modify the progression of OME (Simpson et al., 2011). However, children with chronic rhinitis, turbinate hypertrophy, asthma or allergy should be screened for OME (Mold et al., 2014). Conversely, screening for allergies is only justified when OME is combined with asthma or chronic rhinitis (Seidman et al., 2015).

Otitis media with effusion might be initiated by the activation of mucin genes (Kubba et al., 2000), of which 12 have been identified to date (Gendler and Spicer, 1995; Lapensee et al., 1997; Gum, 1992). MUC1, MUC3 and MUC4 are membrane-bound proteins, and might have a role in microorganism adhesion. Furthermore, MUC5AC and MUC5B might be involved in the accumulation of mucus in the cavities of the middle ear (Suboi et al., 2001).

The high prevalence of OME in children (relative to adults) is explained by the immaturity of the Eustachian tube; the latter is

unable to adequately protect the middle ear from the variations in nasopharyngeal pressure associated with contamination of the middle ear by rhinopharyngeal germs. This dysfunction is due to three age-related factors: the Eustachian tube's angle, length, and ability to close (Bluestone and Klein, 2001).

### 3. Diagnosis

#### 3.1. Clinical aspects

The physician should consider a diagnosis of OME in children with a hearing disorder, delayed acquisition (particularly language acquisition), difficulties at school, and behavioural and/or sleep disorders. The latter are often reported by the child's parents (Luotonen et al., 1998).

Most cases of OME are diagnosed clinically following an otoscopic examination. The use of a pneumatic otoscope enables the physician to detect middle ear effusion and check the aspect of the tympanic membrane. The use of binocular microscope or telescopic video-otoscopy might improve otoscopy, particularly in children. A liquid film, bubbles, opacity, an ochre or bluish coloration, and central retraction of the tympanic membrane may be apparent. A diagnosis of OME is confirmed if the same signs are present three months later (Legent, 1998).

The tympanogram provides an assessment of tympanic compliance. A type B tympanogram (i.e. a flattened curve) is suggestive of OME (Rosenfeld and Kay, 2003; Shekelle et al., 2002).

The use of nasal endoscopy should be restricted to cases of nasal obstruction or very persistent OME, with a view to confirming the presence or absence of adenoid hypertrophy. Nasal endoscopy also enables the differential diagnosis of a rhinopharyngeal tumour (Quaranta et al., 2013; Elicora et al., 2015).

It is important to screen for an associated palatal disorder (bifid uvula or a submucous cleft palate) because the latter can complicate the treatment of OME. Similarly, craniofacial dysmorphism and polymalformative syndrome are risk factors for the onset, persistence and recurrence of OME (Yaneza et al., 2016).

#### 3.2. Evaluation of the hearing threshold

It is essential to evaluate the impact of OME on the child's hearing, given the disorder's frequent occurrence during the language acquisition period. At frequencies of 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 Hz, around 50% of children with OME have a loss of more than 20 dB, 20% have a loss of more than 35 dB, and 5–10% lose more than 50 dB. A hearing loss greater than 50 dB should prompt the physician to consider a possible association with inner ear damage (Roberts et al., 2004). Ideally, the hearing assessment should include tonal audiometry with air and bone conduction, and age-appropriate vocal audiometry.

The hearing loss associated with OME is greater in children with a cleft lip and palate. In a study published in 2009, Flynn et al. observed mean hearing losses of 35.71 dB in children with a cleft lip or palate and 26.41 dB in children without clefts (Flynn et al., 2009).

If an audiometric examination is impossible, recording auditory evoked potentials or the auditory steady state response is recommended (Nagashima et al., 2013).

### 4. Treatments

#### 4.1. Drug treatments

Given the detection (using PCRs) of bacterial genomes (notably those of *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Moraxella catarrhalis*) in samples of effusion fluid, the treatment of

OME with antibiotics has been suggested (Gok et al., 2001). Although many antibiotic drugs have been tested, none has proved long-term efficacy on the symptoms of OME. In fact, a recent Cochrane Collaboration study of 23 studies (with courses of antibiotic treatment ranging from 10 days to 6 months) did not find a positive effect on hearing or a reduction in the frequency of tympanostomy tube (TT) placement. Likewise, the effusion diminished in only 13% of cases (Venekamp et al., 2016).

Although antibiotic treatment is not recommended in the current guidelines, studies have shown that a diagnosis of OME is often followed by the prescription of a course of oral antibiotics – especially in the emergency room, more than in ENT departments (Roditi et al., 2016). For example, some centres prescribe treatment with macrolide antibiotics if OME is combined with rhinosinusitis, since the latter is a trigger for OME. The results of a recent study demonstrated the anti-inflammatory efficacy of macrolides such as erythromycin, clarithromycin, azithromycin and roxithromycin on middle ear effusion in guinea pigs. The researchers suggested that macrolide antibiotics could be used as an antibacterial, anti-inflammatory treatment of OME (Ersoy et al., 2018). However, the international guidelines do not recommend the use of macrolides in the treatment of OME (Simon et al., 2018).

Systemic and intranasal corticoids have also been used to reduce the local inflammation that causes Eustachian tube dysfunction in OME. These drugs might inhibit the synthesis of arachidonic acid and inflammatory mediators in the Eustachian tube and the middle ear. They might also reduce the lymphoid tissue around the Eustachian tube, improve surfactant secretion, and reduce the viscosity of the effusion from the middle ear (Rosenfeld, 1992). In 2011, a literature review of 12 studies found that corticoids had short-term (but not long-term) benefits in the treatment of OME symptoms (Kwon et al., 2013; Kreiner-Moller et al., 2012). A recent prospective, double-blind, randomized clinical trial of oral corticoids in 389 children aged 2 to 8 confirmed the absence of a significant hearing gain upon treatment (Francis et al., 2018). Furthermore, systemic corticoids are associated with a range of adverse reactions, such as diarrhoea, nausea, hyperactivity, and epistaxis. Topical treatments do not have proven long-term efficacy (Williamson et al., 2009). Hence, the risk-benefit ratio rather argues against the use of these medications (Hussein et al., 2017).

Carbocysteine is the only currently recommended mucokinetic agent (in the Japanese guidelines only). It is used optionally with a view to reducing the production of mucus, promoting its excretion, and reducing the inflammation of adjacent organs while waiting for the surgical treatment (Ito et al., 2015). Mucokinetic agents might relieve the symptoms of OME but do not have proven long-term efficacy. A literature review found that 1–3 months of treatment with a mucokinetic agent could avoid the need for TT placement in 20% of affected children (Moore et al., 2001). However, this treatment is not recommended in international guidelines (Simon et al., 2018).

An analysis of 16 studies (totalling 1880 patients) of the efficacy of antihistamines and nasal decongestants (used alone or in combination) did not highlight clinical value in the treatment of OME. A pooled analysis of data from the six studies that evaluated medication-related side effects found a frequency of 17% in the treated group and 6% in the placebo group (Griffin and Flynn, 2011).

The Politzer manoeuvre is a means of relieving dysfunction of the Eustachian tube. By blowing air up the nostril (using a balloon or other device), the child can partially re-open the Eustachian tube. However, the studies performed to date are inhomogeneous. In particular, no double-blind trials have been performed. It has nevertheless been demonstrated that in children over the age of 2, four weeks of Politzerization was associated with improvements in middle ear pressure and in the auditory threshold (Bidarian-Moniri

et al., 2014). A recent literature review of eight controlled, randomized trials (featuring a total of 702 patients) found a trend towards rapid improvement of the symptoms. However, the audiometry and tympanometry results were not statistically significant (Perera et al., 2013). Lastly, this treatment has two potential advantages: its low cost, and the absence of side effects.

Aerosol treatment has also been evaluated in the treatment of OME. Both conventional aerosols and manosonic aerosols have been applied. The advantage of aerosol treatment is the increased diffusion of the active compounds to the nasal and sinus mucosae. In the manosonic aerosol technique, a compressor provides an additional mechanical action and helps to open the Eustachian tube. On average, 12.5 sessions of aerosol therapy with a combination of a corticoid, an antibiotic and a mucokinetic agent are required to normalize the audiometry results in more than 75% of patients (Saga et al., 2009). Again, these treatments have not been evaluated in prospective, randomized trials.

#### 4.2. Tympanostomy tubes and adenoidectomy

The placement of TTs (also referred to as grommets and ventilation tubes) is the benchmark treatment for persistent OME with a functional impact on hearing or with damage to the tympanic membrane (Simon et al., 2018). The indication for TT placement is an audiometric hearing loss of between 25 and 40 dB (Ito et al., 2015; Pediatric Group ENT society of CMA, 2008). It is also necessary to take account of individual difficulties related to this hearing loss, which vary from one child to another and are not always correlated with the hearing threshold.

Tympanostomy tubes help to ventilate the cavities of the middle ear and balance the pressures on each side of the tympanic membrane. Different types of TT can be used in the treatment of OME. Shepard tubes (primarily used in Europe, China, and South Africa) usually fall out about 6 months after placement. Armstrong tubes are preferred in North America, and have an intermediate lifetime - up to 14 months (Rosenfeld et al., 2013). Lastly, T-tubes have a longer lifetime and generally do not fall out spontaneously (Soderman et al., 2016).

Hellström et al.'s literature review of 63 publications found that hearing and quality of life had improved for at least nine months after TT placement. In contrast, their longer-term efficacy could not be demonstrated (Hellström et al., 2011).

Another literature review of 10 randomized studies found that the hearing threshold had improved after TT placement, with a gain [95%CI] of 12 dB (Fergie et al., 2004; Rayner et al., 1998; Hall-Stoodley et al., 2006; Van Hoecke et al., 2016; Potera, 1999) in the first three months and 4 dB (Blanc et al., 2018; Casselbrant and Mandel, 2003; Flynn et al., 2009; Maris et al., 2014; Maw et al., 1999) from 6 to 9 months. However, the review did not find any evidence of a long-term effect of TTs on comprehensive and expressive language development (Browning et al., 2010).

Short-term TTs are used for first-line treatment, and fall out after between 6 and 18 months. Thus, the risk of complications is corresponding lower. Long-term TTs stay in place for two years or more. Even though the complication rate increases with the TTs' duration of use, these devices are still indicated when OME recurs in a child who has already had short-term TTs. They are also recommended in children with chronic Eustachian tube dysfunction (Lindstrom et al., 2004).

Tympanostomy tubes do not prevent the progression of OME towards tympanic atrophy, and their impact on progression towards a retraction pocket has not been determined (Johnston et al., 2004). In contrast, they do prevent the appearance of cholesteatomatous chronic otitis media (Djurhuus et al., 2015). The prevalence of tympanic anomalies increases with TT placement;

however, it is not possible to determine the respective responsibilities of OME and the TTs (De Beer et al., 2005).

Wallace et al. have shown that TTs prevent the recurrence of OME after the tubes fall out or are removed. The researchers found that the risk of OME at 2 years was 13% lower than for a wait-and-see approach or for paracentesis (Wallace et al., 2014). However, there are no studies of efficacy beyond 2 years.

In children at risk of language or learning disorders, rapid treatment is recommended in order to limit the impact of additional deafness. This concerns children with autistic spectrum disorders, perception deafness unrelated to OME, a speech delay, a craniofacial syndrome or malformation, blindness (or other visual disorders), a cleft palate or a global development delay (Rosenfeld et al., 2016).

Tympanostomy tubes are inserted under general anaesthesia, and so are associated with an iatrogenic risk. Otorrhoea is the most frequent complication, and occurs in 3–50% of cases immediately after surgery. In most cases, the otorrhoea is usually isolated and does not lead to sequelae (Vlastarakos et al., 2007).

The expulsion, obstruction or removal of the TT is linked to the growth and the progressive migration of the tympanic epithelium. The incidence of obstruction varies from 0% to 37.3%, depending on the study (median: 6.7%), and the incidence of early expulsion is about 3.9%. In 0.5% of cases, the TT migrates into the cavity of the middle ear. The likelihood of these complications depend on the type of TT, the operator's level of experience, and the size of the stoma. For TTs as whole, the frequency of residual perforation varies from 1 to 10% (Kay et al., 2001). The known risk factors are the presence of a large internal flange, previous TT placement, and the TT's lifetime. Myringosclerosis is very frequent; this phenomenon corresponds to proliferation of the fibroblasts in the fibrous layer of the tympanic membrane, with the concomitant deposition of calcium phosphate crystals. In most cases, myringosclerosis does not have clinical consequences; however, very severe cases can lead to transmission deafness by blocking the ossicles (tympanosclerosis) (Kay et al., 2001). Iatrogenic cholesteatoma is very rare, and is thought to result from trapping of the epidermis by the TT (Kay et al., 2001).

The North American (Rosenfeld et al., 2013), (Rosenfeld et al., 2016), (Rosenfeld et al., 2004) and French (Blanc et al., 2018) guidelines recommend a 3-month wait-and-see period prior to TT placement.

Adenoidectomy may enhance the clinical effectiveness of TTs in OME (relative to treatment with medications or TTs alone) for at least two years (Boonacker et al., 2014). The risk of OME recurrence may be lower, and the time to subsequent TT placement may be significantly longer. The likelihood of subsequent TT placement is thought to be 40% lower in this context (Wang et al., 2014).

According to Mikals and Brigger, the combination of adenoidectomy with TT placement decreases the proportion of children requiring subsequent TT placement from 36% to 17%, although this is only the case in children over the age of 4 (Mikals and Brigger, 2014).

The American guidelines recommend adenoidectomy for the treatment of OME as a function of the child's age. For children under 4, adenoidectomy must only be performed in cases of nasal obstruction or recurrent infections. In children over 4 years, it can be combined with TT placement (Rosenfeld et al., 2016).

Adenoidectomy removes the physical obstruction of the Eustachian tube, restores the drainage of mucus, and equilibrates the pressure in the middle ear. However, a retrospective study of 423 children found that adenoid volume was not correlated with the efficacy of adenoidectomy. In contrast, adenoidectomy was significantly more effective in the treatment of OME when large adenoids were in contact with the torus tubarius than when small adenoids

were not. The viscosity of the middle ear effusion does not influence the effectiveness of adenoidectomy (Skoloudik et al., 2018). Hence, physical blockage may not be the main risk factor for OME.

In animal experiments, Abi Hachem et al. found that biofilm formation was reduced by washing the middle ear with saline solution or children's shampoo. A hydrodebrider can be used to effectively remove biofilms (Abi Hachem et al., 2018).

#### 4.3. Children at risk of OME

In children with cleft lip or palate, OME is more frequent and is more likely to recur (in 26.8%–59.5% of cases, regardless of the type of TT (Iwaki et al., 1998; Valtonen et al., 2005a)) than in children without clefts (35%) (Triglia et al., 2004). These episodes of otitis tend to disappear around the age of 5–6 in the general population, and at around 10–12 for children with cleft lip/palate (Sheahan et al., 2004).

Chin-Lung Kuo et al.'s meta-analysis confirmed the efficacy of the TTs with regard to hearing and language (Kuo et al., 2014). In some studies, hearing improved significantly (Li et al., 2007). In others, the improvement was not statistically significant, although the mean audiometric gain was significantly greater in the TT group than in the non-treated group. With regard to language, Hubbard et al. (1985) found that children treated with TTs at a young age articulated better and required a shorter course of speech therapy.

Several researchers have suggested the use of prophylactic TT placement at the same time as surgery to close the cleft (Paradise et al., 1969; Paradise, 1980; Valtonen et al., 2005b; Tunçbilek et al., 2003). Despite the absence of symptoms, early ventilation of the cavity may enable normal development and a normal pneumatization of the mastoid and thus the avoidance of complications. Other researchers (such as Tunçbilek et al. (2003)) recommended TT placement only when the OME was symptomatic (recurrent otitis, hearing loss of more than 30 dB, and tympanic retractions).

## 5. Conclusion

Otitis media with effusion is a frequent pathology in children; if the condition is not monitored carefully, it can progress into cholesteatomatous chronic otitis. The diagnosis can be performed relatively easily (using otoscopy) during a consultation. Hearing loss must be evaluated before and after treatment. Although pharmacological treatments may have short-term symptomatic effectiveness, the absence of long-term effectiveness (particularly with regard to the auditory threshold), the associated adverse events and the cost mean that they cannot be recommended in the treatment of OME. Tympanostomy tube placement is the only treatment to have been validated by the international scientific community. These devices have proven efficacy with regard to improving the auditory threshold, preventing the recurrence of OME, and protecting against progression to cholesteatoma of the middle ear. Tympanostomy tubes are indicated in cases of OME complicated by transmission deafness or anatomical modifications of the tympanic membrane (i.e. retractions). Adenoidectomy can be combined with TT placement in children over the age of 4 if hypertrophy is detected with nasal endoscopy or under the age of 4 years in the event of nasal obstruction or recurrent rhinopharyngeal infections. The children must be followed up for several years, so that any complications are not missed. Children at risk of language or learning disorders must be monitored closely.

## Conflicts of interest

None.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joto.2019.01.005>.

## References

- Aarhus, L., Tambs, K., Kvestad, E., Engdahl, B., 2015. Childhood otitis media: a cohort study with 30-year follow-up of hearing. *The HUNT Study* 36, 302–308.
- Abi Hachem, R., Goncalves, S., Walker, T., Angeli, S., 2018. Middle ear irrigation using a hydrodebrider decreases biofilm surface area in an animal model of otitis media. *Laryngoscope Investig Otolaryngol* 3, 231–237.
- Alles, R., Parikh, A., Hawk, L., Darby, Y., Romero, J.N., Scadding, G., 2001. The prevalence of atopic disorders in children with chronic otitis media with effusion. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* 12, 102–106.
- Belfield, K., Bayston, R., Birchall, J.P., Daniel, M., 2015. Do orally administered antibiotics reach concentrations in the middle ear sufficient to eradicate planktonic and biofilm bacteria? A review. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 79, 296–300.
- Bidarian-Moniri, A., Ramos, M.J., Ejnell, H., 2014. Autoinflation for treatment of persistent otitis media with effusion in children: a cross-over study with a 12-month follow-up. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 78, 1298–1305.
- Blanc, F., Ayache, D., Calmels, M.N., et al., 2018. Management of otitis media with effusion in children. Société française d'ORL et de chirurgie cervico-faciale clinical practice guidelines. *Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis* 135, 269–273.
- Bluestone, C., Klein, J., 2001. *Otitis Media in Infants and Children*, third ed. Saunders, Philadelphia, pp. 58–77.
- Boonacker, C.W., Rovers, M.M., Browning, G.G., Hoes, A.W., Schilder, A.G., Burton, M.J., 2014. Adenoidectomy with or without grommets for children with otitis media: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Health Technol. Assess.* 18, 1–118.
- Browning, G.G., Rovers, M.M., Williamson, I., Lous, J., Burton, M.J., 2010. Grommet (ventilation tubes) for hearing loss associated with otitis media with effusion in children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 10, CD001801.
- Casselbrant, M.L., Mandel, E.M., 2003. *Epidemiology*. In: Rosenfeld RM, Bluestone CD. Evidence-Based Otitis Media, second ed. BC Decker Inc, Hamilton, pp. 147–162.
- De Beer, B.A., Schilder, A.G., Zielhuis, G.A., Graamans, K., 2005. Natural course of tympanic membrane pathology related to otitis media and ventilation tubes between ages 8 and 18 years. *Otol. Neurotol.* 26, 1016–1021.
- Djurhuus, B.D., Christensen, K., Skytthe, A., Faber, C.E., 2015. The impact of ventilation tube in otitis media on the risk of cholesteatoma on a national level. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 79, 605–609.
- Dogru, M., Kuran, G., Haytuglu, S., Dengiz, R., Arikan, O.K., 2015. Role of laryngopharyngeal reflux in the pathogenesis of otitis media with effusion. *J Int Adv Otol* 11, 66–71.
- Editorial Board of Chinese Journal of Otorhinology Head and Neck Surgery, Pediatric Division, Chinese Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery Society of Chinese Medical Association, 2008. Draft of guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of pediatric otitis media. *Chinese J. Otorhinolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 12, 884–885.
- Elicora, S.S., Ozturk, M., Sevinc, R., Derin, S., Dinc, A.E., Erdem, D., 2015. Risk factors for otitis media effusion in children who have adenoid hypertrophy. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 79, 374–377.
- Ersoy, B., Aktan, B., Kilic, K., Sakat, M.S., Sipal, S., 2018. The anti-inflammatory effects of erythromycin, clarithromycin, azithromycin and roxithromycin on histamine-induced otitis media with effusion in Guinea pigs. *J. Laryngol. Otol.* 132 (2018), 579–583.
- Fergie, N., Bayston, R., Pearson, J.P., Birchall, J.P., 2004. Is otitis media with effusion a biofilm infection? *Clin. Otolaryngol. Allied Sci.* 29, 38–46.
- Flynn, T., Moller, C., Jonsson, R., Lohmander, A., 2009. The high prevalence of otitis media with effusion in children with cleft lip and palate as compared to children without clefts. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 73, 1441–1446.
- Formanek, M., Zelenik, K., Kominek, P., Matousek, P., 2015. Diagnosis of extra-esophageal reflux in children with chronic otitis media with effusion using PEPTEST. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 79, 677–679.
- Francis, N.A., Cannings-John, R., Waldron, C.A., et al., 2018. Oral steroids for resolution of otitis media with effusion in children (OSTRICH): a double-blinded, placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Lancet* 392, 557–568.
- Gendler, S.J., Spicer, A.P., 1995. Epithelial mucin genes. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 57, 607–634.
- Gok, U., Bulut, Y., Keles, E., Yalcin, S., Doymaz, M.Z., 2001. Bacteriological and PCR analysis of clinical material aspirated from otitis media with effusions. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 60, 49–54.
- Griffin, G., Flynn, C.A., 2011. Antihistamines and/or decongestants for otitis media with effusion (OME) in children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 9, CD003423.
- Gum Jr., J.R., 1992. Mucin genes and the proteins they encode: structure, diversity, and regulation. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 7, 557–564.
- Hall-Stoodley, L., Hu, F.Z., Gieseke, A., Nistico, L., Nguyen, D., Hayes, J., et al., 2006. Direct detection of bacterial biofilms on the middle-ear mucosa of children with chronic otitis media. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 296, 202–211.
- Hellström, S., Groth, A., Jörgensen, F., et al., 2011. Ventilation tube treatment: a systematic review of the literature. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 145, 383–395.

- Hilding, A., 1944. Role of ciliary action in production of pneumonary atelectasis. *Trans Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol* 7–12.
- Hubbard, T.W., Paradise, J.L., McWilliams, B.J., Elster, B.A., Taylor, F.H., 1985. Consequences of unremitting middle-ear disease in early life. Otolitic, audiologic, and developmental findings in children with cleft palate. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 312, 1529–1534.
- Hussein, A., Fathy, H., Amin, S.M., Elsisy, N., 2017. Oral steroids alone or followed by intranasal steroids versus watchful waiting in the management of otitis media with effusion. *J. Laryngol. Otol.* 131, 907–913.
- Ito, M.I., Takahashi, H., Iino, Y., et al., 2015. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of otitis media with effusion (OME) in children in Japan. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 44 (2017), 501–508.
- Iwaki, E., Saito, T., Tsuda, G., et al., 1998. Timing for removal of tympanic ventilation tube in children. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 25, 361–368.
- Johnston, L.C., Feldman, H.M., Paradise, J.L., et al., 2004. Tympanic membrane abnormalities and hearing levels at the ages of 5 and 6 years in relation to persistent otitis media and tympanostomy tube insertion in the first 3 years of life: a prospective study incorporating a randomized clinical trial. *Pediatrics* 114, e58–e67.
- Kay, D.J., Nelson, M., Rosenfeld, R.M., 2001. Meta-analysis of tympanostomy tube sequelae. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 124, 374–380.
- Kreiner-Moller, E., Chawes, B.L., Caye-Thomasen, P., Bonnelykke, K., Bisgaard, H., 2012. Allergic rhinitis is associated with otitis media with effusion: a birth cohort study. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 42, 1615–1620.
- Kubba, H., Pearson, J.P., Birchall, J.P., 2000. The aetiology of otitis media with effusion: a review. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* 25, 181–194.
- Kuo, C.L., Tsao, Y.H., Cheng, H.M., et al., 2014. Grommets for otitis media with effusion in children with cleft palate: a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 134, 983–994.
- Kwon, C., Lee, H.Y., Kim, M.G., Boo, S.H., Yeo, S.G., 2013. Allergic diseases in children with otitis media with effusion. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 77, 158–161.
- Lapensee, L., Paquette, Y., Bleau, G., 1997. Allelic polymorphism and chromosomal localization of the human oviductin gene (MUC9). *Fertil. Steril.* 68, 702–708.
- Legent, F., 1998. Définition et nosologie des otites [Definition and nosology of otitis, French]. *Rev. Prat.* 48, 829–832.
- Li, W., Shang, W., Yu, A., et al., 2007. Early treatment of middle ear disease in cleft palate infants. *Hua xi kou qiang yi xue za zhi* 25, 458–462.
- Lij, -D., Hermansson, A., Ryan, A.F., Bakaletz, L.O., brown, S.D., Cheeseman, M.T., et al., 2013. Panel 4: recent advances in otitis media in molecular biology, biochemistry, genetics, and animal models. *Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg Off J Am Acad Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg* 148, E52–E63.
- Lindstrom, D.R., Reuben, B., Jacobson, K., Flanary, V.A., Kerschner, J.E., 2004. Long-term results of armstrong beveled grommet tympanostomy tubes in children. *Laryngoscope* 114, 490–494.
- Luong, A., Roland, P.S., 2008. The link between allergic rhinitis and chronic otitis media with effusion in atopic patients. *Otolaryngol. Clin.* 41, 311–323.
- Luotonen, M., Uhari, M., Aitola, L., Lukkaroinen, A.M., Luotonen, J., Uhari, M., 1998. A nation-wide, population-based survey of otitis media and school achievement. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 43, 41–45.
- Maris, M., Wojciechowski, M., Van de Heyning, P., Boudewyns, A., 2014. A cross-sectional analysis of otitis media with effusion in children with Down syndrome. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 173, 1319–1325.
- Maw, R., Wilks, J., Harvey, I., Peters, T.J., Golding, J., 1999. Early surgery compared with watchful waiting for glue ear and effect on language development in preschool children: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 353, 960–963.
- Mawson, S.R., 1976. Middle ear effusions: definitions. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.* 85, 12–14.
- Mikals, S.J., Brigger, M.T., 2014. Adenoidectomy as an adjuvant to primary tympanostomy tube placement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 140, 95–101.
- Miura, M.S., Mascaro, M., Rosenfeld, R.M., 2012. Association between otitis media and gastroesophageal reflux: a systematic review. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 146, 345–352.
- Mold, J.W., Fox, C., Wisniewski, A., et al., 2014. Implementing asthma guidelines using practice facilitation and local learning collaboratives: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann. Fam. Med.* 12, 233–240.
- Moore, R.A., Commins, D., Bates, G., Phillips, C.J., 2001. S-carboxymethylcysteine in the treatment of glue ear: quantitative systematic review. *BMC Fam. Pract.* 2, 3.
- Morinaka, S., Tominaga, M., Nakamura, H., 2005. Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* in the middle ear fluid of patients with otitis media with effusion. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 133, 791–794.
- Nagashima, H., Udaka, J., Chida, I., Shimada, A., Kondo, E., Takeda, N., 2013. Air-bone gap estimated with multiple auditory steady-state response in young children with otitis media with effusion. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 40, 534–538.
- Palmer, J.N., 2005. Bacterial biofilms: do they play a role in chronic sinusitis? *Otolaryngol. Clin.* 38, 1193–2001.
- Paradise, J.L., 1980. Otitis media in infants and children. *Pediatrics* 65, 917–943.
- Paradise, J.L., Bluestone, C.D., Felder, H., 1969. The universality of otitis media in 50 infants with cleft palate. *Pediatrics* 44, 35–42.
- Pau, B.C., Ng, D.K., 2016. Prevalence of otitis media with effusion in children with allergic rhinitis, a cross sectional study. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 84, 156–160.
- Perera, R., Glasziou, P.P., Heneghan, C.J., McLellan, J., Williamson, I., 2013. Autoinflation for hearing loss associated with otitis media with effusion. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* (5), CD006285.
- Potera, C., 1999. Forging a link between biofilms and disease. *Science* 283, 1837–1839.
- Quaranta, N., Milella, C., Iannuzzi, L., Gelardi, M., 2013. A study of the role of different forms of chronic rhinitis in the development of otitis media with effusion in children affected by adenoid hypertrophy. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 77, 1980–1983.
- Rayner, M.G., Zhang, Y., Gorry, M.C., Chen, Y., Post, J.C., Ehrlich, G.D., 1998. Evidence of bacterial metabolic activity in culture-negative otitis media with effusion. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 279, 296–299.
- Roberts, J., Hunter, L., Gravel, J., et al., 2004. Otitis media, hearing loss, and language learning: controversies and current research. *J. Dev. Behav. Pediatr.* 25, 110–122.
- Roditi, R.E., Liu, C.C., Bellmunt, A.M., Rosenfeld, R.M., Shin, J.J., 2016. Oral antibiotic use for otitis media with effusion: ongoing opportunities for quality improvement. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 154, 797–803.
- Rosenfeld, R.M., 1992. New concepts for steroid use in otitis media with effusion. *Clin. Pediatr.* 31, 615–621.
- Rosenfeld, R.M., Kay, D., 2003. Natural history of untreated otitis media. *Laryngoscope* 113, 1645–1657.
- Rosenfeld, R.M., Culpepper, L., Yawn, B., Mahoney, M.C., 2004. AAP, AAFP, AAO-HNS subcommittee on otitis media with effusion. *Am. Fam. Physician* 69 (2776), 2778–2779.
- Rosenfeld, R.M., Schwartz, S.R., Pynnonen, M.A., et al., 2013. Clinical practice guideline: tympanostomy tubes in children. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 149, S1–S35.
- Rosenfeld, R.M., Shin, J.J., Schwartz, S.R., Coggins, R., Gagnon, L., Hackell, J.M., et al., 2016. Clinical practice guideline: otitis media with effusion (update). *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 154, S1–S41.
- Rovers, M.M., Schilder, A.G., Zielhuis, G.A., Rosenfeld, R.M., 2004. Otitis media. *Lancet* 363, 465–473.
- Saga, C., Altuna, X., Algaba, J., 2009. Aerosol therapy in treatment of childhood otitis media with effusion. *Acta Otorrinolaryngol. Esp.* 60, 217–226.
- Seidman, M.D., Gurgel, R.K., Lin, S.Y., et al., 2015. Clinical practice guideline: allergic rhinitis. *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 152, S1–S43.
- Sheahan, P., Miller, I., Earley, M.J., Sheahan, J.N., Blayney, A.W., 2004. Middle ear disease in children with congenital velopharyngeal insufficiency. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 41, 364–367.
- Shekelle, P., Takata, G., Chan, L.S., et al., 2002. Diagnosis, natural history, and late effects of otitis media with effusion. *Evid. Rep. Technol. Assess.* (55), 1–5.
- Simon, F., Haggard, M., Rosenfeld, R.M., et al., 2018. International consensus (ICON) on management of otitis media with effusion in children. *Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis* 135, S33–S39.
- Simpson, S.A., Lewis, R., van der Voort, J., Butler, C.C., 2011. Oral or topical nasal steroids for hearing loss associated with otitis media with effusion in children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* (5), CD001935.
- Skoloudik, L., Kalfert, D., Valenta, T., Chrobok, V., 2018. Relation between adenoid size and otitis media with effusion. *Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis* 135 (2018), 399–402.
- Soderman, A.C., Knutsson, J., Priwin, C., von Unge, M., 2016. A randomized study of four different types of tympanostomy ventilation tubes - one-year follow-up. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 89, 159–163.
- Suboi, Y., Lin, J., Paparella, M., Kawano, H., Komune, S., 2001. Pattern changes of mucin gene expression in the middle ear mucosa of rats with Eustachian obstruction. In: Takasaka, T., Yuasa, R., Hozawa, K. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Conference on Recent Advances in Otitis Media 2001*, Sendai, Japan. Monduzzi Editore, Bologna, pp. 75–78.
- Suh, J.D., Ramakrishnan, V., Palmer, J.N., 2010. Biofilms. *Otolaryngol. Clin.* 43, 521–530.
- Triglia, J.M., Roman, S., Nicollas, R., 2004. Otites séroumqueuses [Serous otitis media, French]. *J. Pédiatrie Pueric.* 17, 83–100.
- Tunçbilek, G., Özgür, F., Belgin, E., 2003. Audiologic and tympanometric findings in children with cleft lip and palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 40, 304–309.
- Valtonen, H., Tuomilehto, H., Qvarnberg, Y., Nuutinen, J., 2005. A 14-year prospective follow-up study of children treated early in life with tympanostomy tubes: Part 1: clinical outcomes. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 131, 293–298.
- Valtonen, H., Dietz, A., Qvarnberg, Y., 2005. Long-term clinical, audiologic, and radiologic outcomes in palate cleft children treated with early tympanostomy for otitis media with effusion: a controlled prospective study. *Laryngoscope* 115, 1512–1516.
- Van Hoeck, H., De Paepe, A.S., Lambert, E., et al., 2016. Haemophilus influenzae biofilm formation in chronic otitis media with effusion. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 273, 3553–3560.
- Venekamp, R.P., Burton, M.J., van Dongen, T.M., van der Heijden, G.J., van Zon, A., Schilder, A.G., 2016. Antibiotics for otitis media with effusion in children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 6, Cd009163.
- Vlastarakos, P.V., Nikolopoulos, T.P., Korres, S., Tavoulari, E., Tzagaroulakis, A., Ferekidis, E., 2007. Grommets in otitis media with effusion: the most frequent operation in children. But is it associated with significant complications? *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 166, 385–391.
- Wallace, I.F., Berkman, N.D., Lohr, K.N., Harrison, M.F., Kimple, A.J., Steiner, M.J., 2014. Surgical treatments for otitis media with effusion: a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 133, 296–311.

- Wang, M.C., Wang, Y.P., Chu, C.H., Tu, T.Y., Shiao, A.S., Chou, P., 2014. The protective effect of adenoidectomy on pediatric tympanostomy tube re-insertions: a population-based birth cohort study. *PLoS One* 9, e101175.
- Williamson, I., Bengt, S., Barton, S., et al., 2009. A double-blind randomised placebo-controlled trial of topical intranasal corticosteroids in 4-to11-year-old children with persistent bilateral otitis media with effusion in primary care. *Health Technol. Assess.* 13, 1–144.
- Yaneza, M.M., Hunter, K., Irwin, S., Kubba, H., 2016. Hearing in school-aged children with trisomy 21-Results of a longitudinal cohort study in children identified at birth. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* 41, 711–717.