

Article **E**ff**ects of Gas Adsorption on the Mechanical Properties of Amorphous Polymer**

Shin Won Kim[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5499-0543) Joo Seong Sohn<s[u](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3942-2254)p>®</sup>, Hyun Keun Kim, Youngjae Ryu® and Sung Woon Cha *

School of Mechanical Engineering, Yonsei University, 50, Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea; 0shinmy0@yonsei.ac.kr (S.W.K.); ssamjjang87@yonsei.ac.kr (J.S.S.); sagegny@yonsei.ac.kr (H.K.K.); yjryu1027@yonsei.ac.kr (Y.R.)

***** Correspondence: swcha@yonsei.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-2-2123-7224

Received: 21 March 2019; Accepted: 5 May 2019; Published: 7 May 2019

Abstract: This study investigates the properties of a polymer–gas mixture formed through diffusion, based on the changes in the partial pressure and observed changes in the impact and tensile strengths owing to the gas dissolution. The high-pressure gas dissolves into a solid-state polymer through diffusion based on the difference in the partial pressure. This dissolved gas is present in the amorphous region within the polymeric material temporarily, which results in the polymer exhibiting different mechanical properties, while the gas remains dissolved in the polymer. In this study, the mechanical properties of amorphous polyethylene terephthalate (APET) specimens prepared by dissolving CO₂ using a high-pressure vessel were investigated, and the resulting impact and tensile strengths were measured. These experiments showed that the increase in sorption rate of $CO₂$ caused an increase in the impact strength. At 2.9% CO₂ absorption, the impact strength of APET increased 956% compared to that of the reference specimen. Furthermore, the tensile strength decreased by up to 71.7% at 5.48% $CO₂$ sorption; the stress–strain curves varied with the gas sorption rate. This phenomenon can be associated with the change in the volume caused by $CO₂$ dissolution. When the APET absorbed more than 2.0% $CO₂$ gas, sample volume increased. A decrease in the network density can occur when the volume is increased while maintaining constant mass. The $CO₂$ gas in the polymer acted as a cushion in impact tests which have sorption rates above 2%. In addition to the reduction in the network density in the polymer chain, Van Der Waals forces are decreased causing a decrease in tensile strength only while $CO₂$ is present in the APET. These observations only occur prior to $CO₂$ desorption from the polymer.

Keywords: polymer; amorphous region; carbon dioxide; polymer-gas mixture; impact strength; tensile strength; gas adsorption; network density

1. Introduction

In recent years, the use of polymers has been rapidly increasing due to their superior moldability, low cost, and beneficial physical properties. In particular, polymeric materials are extensively used in the production of general household goods and machinery parts, and have found applications in the medical fields. Processing techniques for producing such polymer products have progressed over the years; foaming technology has been developed as a manufacturing method for producing lightweight products. One example, the microcellular foaming process, initially developed in the 1980s at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA, is a polymer processing technology that can lead to a material reduction, weight reduction, change in heat characteristic, change in sound absorption, and a sound insulation capability [\[1](#page-10-0)[,2\]](#page-10-1). There is a difference between this process and conventional foaming using a chemical blowing agent in that an inert gas $(CO_2, N_2, \text{or Ar})$ in a supercritical fluid state is used as the blowing agent. The influx of such gases changes the behavior of the liquid-state polymer. Yoon and Cha confirmed experimentally that the dissolution of gas causes changes in the glass transition temperature and viscosity [\[3\]](#page-10-2). Pasricha and Kumar studied the changes in a polymer material by observing the creep behavior of polycarbonate (PC) owing to the gas dissolution [\[4\]](#page-10-3). that the dissolution of gas causes changes in the glass transition temperature and viscosity [3]. Pasricha and Kumar studied the changes in a polymer material by observing the creep behavior of

As the demand for such polymers continues to increase, studies on their processing and material into the solidproperties are ongoing. A gas under high pressure is dissolved into the solid-state polymeric material pressure through diffusion based on the difference in partial pressure. By using this phenomenon, nanoparticles the impregnated to a particle state of the into a state of or powder-type pigments can be impregnated together with gas into a polymeric material. These can be used in th
Provider type in the case of industry, and in the intervals of industry of intervals of industry and in the in used in many fields of industry, such as polymer dying, and in the production of new smart material by interval
Production of new smart material by intervals in the contract of the contract of the still limited with the pr impregnating additives [\[5\]](#page-10-4). However, usage is still limited because of insufficient research concerning polymer-polymer-gas mixtures. Figure [1](#page-1-0) illustrates the high-pressure vessel for the batch foaming process.
There is a chamber of the chamber for diffusing gas into the theory in the diffusion of the diffusion of the d There is a chamber for diffusing gas into the polymer sample. To maintain high pressure above bombe pressure, a pressure pump is connected between the bombe and chamber. between the bombe and chamber.

Figure 1. High-pressure vessel for batch foaming process. **Figure 1.** High-pressure vessel for batch foaming process.

In this study, a solid-state polymer–gas mixture is formed through a batch foaming process, In this study, a solid-state polymer–gas mixture is formed through a batch foaming process, and and the impact and tensile strengths, typical mechanical properties of polymeric materials, are considered. For this process, gases such as CO_2 , N₂, Ar, and He are used. When a high-pressure condition no longer exists, a dissolved gas diffuses and disappears owing to the new equilibrium. That is, the polymer–gas is the new equilibrium. mixture formed by exposing the polymeric material to high-pressure conditions is temporary and
high-pressure conditions is temporary and exhibits characteristics different from those of a conventional polymeric material in the presence of the gas. According to previous research, polymer–gas mixtures show a decrease in viscosity and a lower
expansion of the contract of th glass transition temperature when compared with those of conventional polymeric materials [\[6\]](#page-10-5). We investigated the mechanisms through which gas affects the polymer–gas mixture by identifying the intervals of the mechanisms through which gas affects the polymer–gas mixture by identifying the influence of the gases present in the polymeric material by measuring the mechanical properties. As previously mentioned, the sorption of the gas takes place through diffusion based on the difference
in the contract of the sorption of the gas takes place through diffusion based on the difference in partial pressure. Assuming that the dissolved gas is located in the non-crystalline regions of the polymeric material, and that the polymeric material does not undergo chemical changes during the
https://www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.com/www.co dissolution of the gas, the sorption of the gas as determined through the following model can be deduced (Equation (1)). N_{Z1} deduced (Equation (1)). the impact and tensile strengths, typical mechanical properties of polymeric materials, are considered.

$$
n = \frac{Nv}{V} = \left(\frac{P}{zRT}\right)[\alpha \cdot v \cdot f_v (1 - Cr)] \tag{1}
$$

 $n = \frac{1}{V} = \left(\frac{1}{ZRT}\right)^{[\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} \cdot f]_{\mathcal{U}} (1 - C \cdot r)]}$ (1)
Here, *N* is the number of gas molecules in a particular volume (*V*), and *n* is the number of gas molecules present in that volume (*v*) of the polymer sample. That is, the number of dissolved gas molecules is estimated based on the proportional formula, $N : V = n : v$, where P is the pressure of the dissolved gas, R is the gas constant, and T is the temperature. Because the pressure at which the gas dissolves is high, the compressibility (*z*) of the gas is considered in the ideal gas equation. In addition, because the gas flows into the free volume portion of the non-crystalline region, the term corresponding to the square bracket is included to estimate the free volume. This is represented by the product of the ratio of the amorphous region $(1 - Cr)$ to the free volume (f_v) and by the material constant (a) in the amorphous region of the total polymeric material. That is, the sorption rate of the gas varies depending on the type of the polymeric material, the degree of crystallization, and the free volume. On the extrinsic side of the material, it is affected by the temperature and pressure of the $\frac{1}{2}$ dissolved gas, which in turn changes the gas concentration $[7-11]$ $[7-11]$.

The state of the polymer differs from a previously reported study. The plasticizing effect of CO_2 is a well-known phenomenon [\[12\]](#page-10-8). However, the previous study concentrated on the liquid state because their research initialized from extrusion and injection molding [\[12,](#page-10-8)[13\]](#page-10-9). In this study, a solid-state polymer is the target for developing a new polymer forming process. There are several methods to create a polymer from the solid-state and in those cases, a mechanical property, such as tensile strength, is an important consideration. This study explores the use of gas sorption in the development of a polymer forming process. **2. Materials and Methods**

2. Materials and Methods 2. Materials and Methods *2.1. Material*

2.1. Material 2.1. Material T used in this study was amorphous polyethylene term in this study was among thalate (R

The material used in this study was amorphous polyethylene terephthalate (APET, Taekwang Newtec, Inc. Seoul, Korea, Grade No. Plastar-100 sheet by extrusion). Impact strength specimens were prepared from an APET sheet with a thickness of 3 mm. A notch was used for measuring during the Izod imp[act](#page-10-10) test [14]. The shape and dimensions of the impact-strength specimen are shown in Figure 2. The tensile-strength specimens were sheets with a thickness of 0.8 mm, made [in](#page-2-0) accordance with ASTM D638 Type-I[V sp](#page-10-11)ecifications [15]. When the prepared specimens were measured, the tensile strength of the APET was 63.48 MPa and the elastic modulus was 2.66 GPa. The shape and dimensions of the processed spe[ci](#page-2-1)men are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 2. Dimensions and actual appearance of impact-strength specimen. **Figure 2.** Dimensions and actual appearance of impact-strength specimen.

Figure 3. Dimensions and actual appearance of tensile-strength specimen. **Figure 3.** Dimensions and actual appearance of tensile-strength specimen.

2.2. Method

2.2.1. Experiment on Change in Impact Strength

sorption rate by regulating the temperature and pressure during the batch foaming process and changing the exposure time (adsorption time) to a high-pressure condition (at least five samples per To investigate the change in impact strength due to the gas dissolution, we controlled the gas

sorption condition). After high-pressure $CO₂$ was dissolved in the APET specimen, the sorption rate ever passes condition). After tager pressure CO2 was dissolved in the CO2 was checked by measuring the mass of the specimen. Further, the change in volume owing to the dissolution of CO₂ was determined by measuring the width, height, and length of the sample; the change pattern from the $CO₂$ dissolution was then confirmed. The measurement of the impact strength of the sample; the change pattern from the CO₂ allegended was then community the measurement of the impact tester (Salt Co., Ltd., $(ASTM D256) [14]$ $(ASTM D256) [14]$ was conducted using a notched specimen with a digital impact tester (Salt Co., Ltd., Incheon, Korea, Model No. ST-120) (Figure [4\)](#page-3-0). strength (ASTM D256) [14] was conducted using a notched specimen with a digital impact tester (Salt Co., Ltd., Incheon, Korea, Model No. ST-120) (Figure 4). sorphion condition). After high-pressure \mathcal{O}_2 was dissorbed in the ALET specimen, the sorphion rate was diecked by measuring the mass of the specifient funtilet, the change in volume owing to the charge part of C_2 was determined by measuring the width, height, and length of the sample, the $\frac{1}{3}$ change patient from the $\frac{1}{2}$ ussolution was then confirmed. The measurement of the impact suengular impact test

Figure 4. Experimental process for studying changes in impact strength through CO2 and impact strength through CO2 adsorpti Figure 4. Experimental process for studying changes in impact strength through CO₂ adsorption.

2.2.2. Experiment on Change in Tensile Strength 2.2.2. Experiment on Change in Tensile Strength

The pressure and temperature of the gas were regulated by a high-pressure vessel to control the amount of CO₂ dissolved. After the saturating process was conducted to dissolve the gas under the high-pressure condition of the high-pressure vessel, the tensile properties of the polymer-gas mixture were observed, as shown in Figure 5. Once the saturation process had concluded, the wei[gh](#page-3-1)t was measured within 5 min to calculate the sorption rate of the gas. The sorption rate equation is as shown in Equation (2).

Sorption Rate(%) =
$$
\frac{weight \ of \ dissolved \ CO_2}{weight \ of \ polymer \ sample} \times 100 = \frac{m_s - m_0}{m_0} \times 100
$$
 (2)

test machine (QMESYS Co., Ltd., Gunpo, Korea, Model No. QM-100T). The cross-head speed was 5 mm/min for the measurement of the tensile strength (ASTM D638) [[15\]](#page-10-11), and the maximum tensile strength, elastic modulus, and elongation percentage of the material were confirmed based on the measured values. The material were confirmed based on the material were co After establishing the sorption rate, the tensile properties were confirmed using a universal

Figure 5. Experimental process for studying changes in the tensile strength through CO₂ adsorption.

Friedering **Figure 5. Conditions Conditions** *Conditions 2.3. Experimental Conditions 2.3. Experimental Conditions*

2.3.1. Experiment on Change in Impact Strength $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$

In this experiment, factors affecting the dissolution of $CO₂$ were the temperature, pressure, polymeric material. Therefore, the experiment was conducted at 23 °C. To control the sorption rate of the gas, the pressure was fixed at 5 MPa and the adsorption time was manipulated. The conditions of $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ and the experiment are shown in Table 1 and time. The temperature can directly affect the mechanical properties of APET as an amorphous of the experiment are shown in Table 1. the experiment are shown in Table [1.](#page-4-0)

| Material | APET | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Adsorption Condition | Gas Pressure (MPa) | 5 |
| | Vessel Temperature (°C) | 23 |
| | Adsorption Time (h) | $1 - 120$ |
| Desorption Condition | Desorption Pressure (MPa) | 0.1 |
| | Desorption Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$ | 23 |
| | Desorption Time (min) | |

Table 1. Experimental conditions for studying changes in impact strength.

2.3.2. Experiment on Change in Tensile Strength

In polymer–gas mixtures, the most important parameter is the sorption rate. Methods for controlling the sorption rate include manipulating the $CO₂$ pressure, time of exposure of the polymer specimens to high-pressure conditions, temperature at exposure, and the desorption time. Trials were carried out by fixing the other conditions while varying the exposure time to the high-pressure carbon dioxide. After the pressure is released, $CO₂$ in the polymer is under a desorption condition (even while measuring mechanical property). Table [2](#page-4-1) shows the experimental conditions.

Table 2. Experimental conditions used for studying changes in tensile strength.

| Material | APET | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Adsorption Condition | Saturation Pressure (MPa) | 6 |
| | Saturation Temperature (°C) | 23 |
| | Saturation Time (h) | 4/8/12/16 |
| Desorption Condition | Desorption Pressure (MPa) | 0.1 |
| | Desorption Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$ | 23 |
| | Desorption Time (min) | |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Changes in Impact Strength by CO² Adsorption

Figures [6](#page-4-2) and [7](#page-5-0) shows the changes in impact strength based on the $CO₂$ adsorption time and sorption rate. While no significant trend is apparent, a critical point can be observed. The effect of the increase in impact strength is insufficient until an adsorption time of 2 h, at which a sorption rate of 1.13% occurs. However, the adsorption time of 3 h, which has a sorption rate of 2%, shows a rapid increase in strength. In addition, the maximum value of 68.8 J/m appears at an adsorption time of 12 h with a sorption rate of approximately 2.85%. This is an increase of approximately 956% as compared to that of the reference specimen. In addition, the sorption rate decreases again after the maximum value is reached. The impact strength profile according to the sorption rate is shown in Figure [7.](#page-5-0)

Figure 6. Impact strength of CO₂ dissolved APET. **Figure 6.** Impact strength of CO₂ dissolved APET.
 Figure 6. Impact strength of CO₂ dissolved APET.

Figure 7. Relationship between impact strength and sorption rate. **Figure 7.** Relationship between impact strength and sorption rate.

The sorption rate and volume expansion ratio of APET owing to $CO₂$ dissolution are shown in Figures [8](#page-5-1) and [9,](#page-5-2) respectively. A change in sorption rate was monitored until 9.46% was achieved. It is clear that a dissolution occurs more rapidly during the early stage of adsorption.

Figure 8. Adsorption rate according to adsorption time.

Figure 9. Volume expansion ratio according to adsorption time. **Figure 9.** Volume expansion ratio according to adsorption time.

A change in volume is not observed in the section with an adsorption rate of 2% or less (adsorption time of 3 h), but a change does occur after that. Figure [10](#page-6-0) shows a weak correlation between the adsorption rate and volume change. From this, a linear relationship is shown between the adsorption rate and volume expansion rate after the section with approximately 2% adsorption rate.

Figure 10. Relationship between adsorption rate and volume expansion ratio. **Figure 10.** Relationship between adsorption rate and volume expansion ratio.

Based on the observed changes in the impact strength and volume expansion ratio, the point at Based on the observed changes in the impact strength and volume expansion ratio, the point at which the impact strength increases and the point at which a change in volume starts to occur which the impact strength increases and the point at which a change in volume starts to occur coincide with the region where the sorption rate is approximately 2%. That is, the abrupt change in intensity is closely related to the volumetric change owing to the CO₂ dissolution [\[16\]](#page-10-12). First, when analyzed based on the results of volumetric changes according to sorption rate, there is no volume change in regions with less than 2% sorption rate. This is closely related to the fact that the conventional gas dissolution enters the free volume of the amorphous region of the polymeric material, and a 2% sorption rate can be an indirect indicator of the free volume. According to previous research, free volume only can be previous research, free volume only can be estimated, not measured directly $\frac{1}{2}$. Dissolving gas $\frac{1}{2}$. Diss estimated, not measured directly [\[17\]](#page-10-13). Dissolving gas into a polymer sample provides a method to extend to the several interval and to the several intervals in the several intervals in the several intervals in the several measure free volume. However, there are several factors, which influence volume expansion (including swelling of the polymer by sorption of CO₂); more research is needed to support using this method for measuring free volume. Furthermore, it is deduced that the increase in impact strength is caused by the cushioning effect of the dissolved gas while expanding the volume [\[18\]](#page-10-14). Until 2% sorption rate, the polymer chains remained in their original position because no volume change occurred. However, after 2% sorption rate, the polymer chains are shifted by the dissolved gas which causes volume expansion. These results are similar to those observed with the plasticizing effect of $CO₂$ on a polymer [\[19\]](#page-10-15). Due to this outcome and the tensile strength reduction by dissolved gas, the plasticizing effect of $CO₂$ is still effective even in the solid-state polymer. Also, from a point above a certain sorption rate (2.85%), dissolved CO² becomes a form of void (cell nucleation) that promotes crazing, and the strength begins to decrease again.

3.2. Changes in Tensile Strength by CO² Adsorption

Based on the experimental conditions used in this study, the sorption rate and tensile strength over time are: Conditions 1, 2, 3, and 4 correspond to 4, 8, 12, and 16 h of sorption time, respectively. The sorption rate increases with an increase in the sorption time (Figure [11A](#page-7-0)). At the same time, the larger the amount of gas dissolved, the greater the decrease in tensile strength that occurs. Figure [11B](#page-7-0) shows that Condition 1 (in which the gas is dissolved at 4.01%) reduces the tensile strength by 48.4%; Condition 2 (in which the gas is dissolved at 5.20%) decreases the tensile strength by 61.7%; and Condition 3 (in which the gas is dissolved at 5.48%) decreases the tensile strength by 71.7%.

Figure 11. (**A**) Sorption rate and (**B**) tensile strength based on sorption rate. **Figure 11.** (**A**) Sorption rate and (**B**) tensile strength based on sorption rate.

In addition to the tensile strength, the stress–strain curve for each condition is shown in Figure In addition to the tensile strength, the stress–strain curve for each condition is shown in Figure [12.](#page-8-0) 12. As indicated in Figure 13, the strength corresponding to maximum tensile strength decreases As indicated in Figure [13,](#page-8-1) the strength corresponding to maximum tensile strength decreases with an increase in the gas sorption rate, as shown through the change in tensile strength. The largest difference is the change in strain softening in the stress–strain curve (strain softening is the term right after the peak, where the tensile stress is decreased). As the amount of gas dissolved increases, the slope of the strain softening section decreases after necking. Necking does not occur above the certain sorption rate $(6.67%)$, and the strain owing to the tensile stress is converted from an inhomogeneous deformation to a homogenous deformation. In addition to the specified conditions, the change in tensile strength according to the sorption rate shows the pattern indicated in Figure [13.](#page-8-1) Figure [13](#page-8-1) shows the tensile strength according to sorption rate for more than 30 specimens. From this figure, a change in tensile strength according to the sorption rate appears in a linear fashion, and the tensile strength decreases as the sorption rate of the gas increases. The formula related to this is shown in Equation (3).

Tensile strength (MPa) =
$$
-7.508 \times
$$
 (sorption rate (%)) + 59.002 (3)

the polymer chain network) for the gas dissolution. In fact, the minimum change in a cross-sectional area of the tensile specimen owing to the gas dissolution is similar to the sorption rate (Figure [14\)](#page-8-2). Specifically, the reduction in the network density affects the tensile strength and strain hardening modulus [\[12\]](#page-10-8). Because the region where the gas is absorbed is an amorphous region of the polymer, the change in the volume of the specimen is directly related to the network density of the polymer chain. Although a deviation occurs, the sorption rate and the area expansion rate of the gas show a similar variation pattern within the range of 0.9%–1.3%: as the amount of gas dissolved increases, the volume increases. When left at atmospheric pressure, the gas escapes through diffusion, and the The cause of this property change can be deduced from the change in network density (density of volume decreases again. This increase in volume results in a decrease in the network density of the polymeric material, which can explain the decrease in strength shown in Figures [11](#page-7-0)[–13.](#page-8-1)

Figure 12. Stress-strain curve change of APET based on saturation time.

Figure 13. Tensile strength of APET based on CO₂ sorption rate.

Figure 14. Sorption rate and area expansion based on the desorption time. Figure 14. Sorption rate and area expansion based on the desorption time.
 Figure 14. Sorption rate and area expansion based on the desorption time.

4. Conclusions

This study focused on the mechanical properties of a solid-state polymer–gas mixture, an intermediate product of the batch foaming process. A mixture of APET and $CO₂$ was formed through diffusion based on the difference in partial pressure using a high-pressure vessel. The behavior of the characteristics was confirmed by measuring the impact and tensile strengths of the polymer–gas mixture. For the impact strength, high-pressure $CO₂$ was adsorbed into the APET specimen through diffusion owing to the difference in partial pressure, forming a polymer–gas mixture, which caused an increase in the volume and impact strength. The tensile strength changed as a result of the dissolved $CO₂$, and the extent of changes in the mechanical properties decreased as the amount of gas dissolved decreased. Detailed conclusions regarding the mechanical properties of the impact and tensile strengths are as follows:

4.1. Impact Strength Change through CO² Adsorption

- 1. Based on an observation of the sorption rate and volume expansion, the free volume can be confirmed through the part with sorption rate that does not cause a change in volume, and the volume expansion is linearly related to the sorption rate in the part having a sorption rate of 2% or higher.
- 2. It was also confirmed that the $CO₂$ improves the impact strength of the APET by volume increase, by acting as a cushion. Also, the plasticizing effect of $CO₂$ works on the sample, even in a solid-state polymer sample. The rapid increase in the impact strength is equal to a sorption rate of 2%, which is the starting point for the volumetric expansion. A maximum value 956% higher than that of the reference specimen at a sorption rate of 2.85% was observed.
- 3. It was confirmed that CO₂ dissolved in the polymer has the effect of increasing the impact strength in a specific sorption rate.

4.2. Tensile Strength Change through CO² Adsorption

- 1. As the amount of dissolved $CO₂$ increases, the tensile strength decreases. In this experiment, the sorption rate was changed by varying the exposure time (sorption time) to high-pressure $CO₂$, and the tensile strength was decreased with this change.
- 2. The amount of $CO₂$ dissolution affects not only the tensile strength but also the deformed stress-strain curve formation of APET. In particular, when $CO₂$ was dissolved over a certain degree of sorption rate (6.67%), a homogenous deformation occurred without the occurrence of necking on the stress–strain curve.
- 3. The amount of dissolved $CO₂$ and the tensile strength have a linear correlation (decrease in tensile strength ∝ sorption rate), and the extent of reduction in the tensile strength increases as the amount of dissolved $CO₂$ increases.
- 4. Changes in the mechanical properties from $CO₂$ dissolution are related to the network density of the amorphous region of the polymeric materials. As the amount of dissolved gas increases, the increase in area and volume increases. In addition, the sorption rate and volume of the gas decrease again as the retention time (desorption time) increases after sample removal from the high-pressure condition, and thus the tensile strength is also close to the value of the reference specimen.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.W.K.; investigation, S.W.K. and H.K.K.; data curation, S.W.K., J.S.S. and Y.R.; writing—original draft preparation, J.S.S.; writing—review and editing, S.W.K. and J.S.S.; supervision, S.W.C.; funding acquisition, S.W.C.

Funding: This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program via the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), which is funded by the Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2018R1D1A1B07049405).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Cha, S.W. A Microcellular Foaming/Forming Process Performed at Ambient Temperature and a Supermicrocellular Foaming Process. Ph.D. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1994.
- 2. Baldwin, D.F. Microcellular Polymer Processing and the Design of a Continuous Sheet Processing System. Ph.D. Thesis, Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1994.
- 3. Cha, S.W.; Yun, J.D. Change of Glass Transition Temperature of PETG Containing Gas. *Korean Soc. Mech. Eng.* **2000**, *24*, 824–829.
- 4. Arun, P.; Gregory, W.; Vipin, K.; Mark, T.; Karl, S. Effect of CO₂ Sorption and Desorption on the Creep Response of Polycarbonate. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **2005**, *45*, 1639–1644.
- 5. Fraunhofer UMSICHT studies customization of plastics via CO² impregnation. *Addit. Polym.* **2011**, *2011*, 9.
- 6. Kim, H.B. *The E*ff*ect of Gas Absorption Induced a Change of Solubility in Microcellular Foamed Process*; Yonsei University: Seoul, Korea, 2005.
- 7. Vipin, K.; Michael, V.; John, W.; Karl, S. Experimental Characterization of the Tensile Behavior of Microcellular Polycarbonate Foams. *J. Eng. Mater. Technol.* **1994**, *116*, 439–445.
- 8. Sauer, J.A. Deformation, Yield and Fracture of Polymers at High Pressure. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **1977**, *17*, 150–164. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pen.760170304)
- 9. Guoming, L.; Liuchun, Z.; Xiuqin, Z.; Chuncheng, L.; Dujin, W. Stress induced lamellar thickening in poly(ethylene succinate). *Polymer* **2013**, *54*, 6860–6866.
- 10. Lee, J.G.; Park, S.H.; Kim, S.H. Investigation of Properties of the PET Film Dependent on the Biaxial Stretching. *Polymer* **2010**, *34*, 579–587.
- 11. Park, C.B. The Role of Polymer/Gas Solution in Continuous Processing of Microcellular Polymers. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1993.
- 12. Mashmood, S.H. Dimethyl ether's plasticizing effect on carbon dioxide solubility in polystyrene. *Polymer* **2016**, *97*, 95–103. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2016.05.018)
- 13. Mashmood, S.H. Study of volume swelling and interfacial tension of the polystyrene–carbon dioxide–dimethyl ether system. *Polymer* **2015**, *456*, 174–181.
- 14. *Standard Test Methods for Determining the Izod Pendulum Impact Resistance of Plastics*; ASTM Standard D256; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2016.
- 15. *Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics*; ASTM Standard D638; ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2016.
- 16. Da, L.; Tao, L.; Ling, Z.; Wei, Y. Solubility and Diffusivity of Carbon Dioxide in Solid-State Isotactic Polypropylene by the Pressure-Decay Method. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *48*, 7117–7124.
- 17. Van Melick, H.G.H.; Govaert, L.E.; Meijer, H.E.H. On the origin of strain hardening in glassy polymers. *Polymer* **2003**, *44*, 2493–2502. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(03)00112-5)
- 18. Ronald, P. White Polymer Free Volume and Its Connection to the Glass Transition. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49*, 3987–4007.
- 19. Li, X.K.; Lu, H.; Cao, G.P.; Qian, Y.H.; Chen, L.H.; Zhang, R.H.; Liu, H.L.; Shi, Y.H. Experimental Study of the Synergistic Plasticizing Effect of Carbon Dioxide and Ibuprofen on the Glass Transition Temperature of Poly(methyl methacrylate). *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *53*, 5873–5885. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie404270g)

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.)/licenses/by/4.0/).