

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Psychol Med.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 17.

Published in final edited form as:

Psychol Med. 2012 March ; 42(3): 447–459. doi:10.1017/S0033291711001528.

Clarifying domains of internalizing psychopathology using neurophysiology

U. Vaidyanathan^{*}, **L. D. Nelson**, and **C. J. Patrick** Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

Abstract

Current initiatives such as the National Institute of Mental Health's Research Domain Criteria project aim to reorganize classification of mental disorders along neurobiological lines. Here, we describe how consideration of findings from psychiatric research employing two physiological measures with distinct neural substrates – the startle blink reflex and the error-related negativity (ERN) – can help to clarify relations among disorders entailing salient anxiety or depressive symptomatology. Specifically, findings across various studies and reviews reveal distinct patterns of association for both the startle blink reflex and the ERN with three key domains of psychopathology: (1) Fear (or phobic) disorders (distinguished by increased startle to unpleasant stimuli, but normal-range ERN). (2) Non-phobic anxiety disorders and negative affect (associated with increased ERN, increased startle across all types of emotional stimuli and increased baseline startle) and, more tentatively (3) Major depression (for which patterns of response for both startle and ERN appear to vary, as a function of severity and distinct symptomatology). Findings from this review point to distinct neurobiological indicators of key psychopathology domains that have been previously demarcated using personality and diagnostic data. Notably, these indicators exhibit more specificity in their relations with these three domains than has been seen in quantitative-dimensional models. Implications of these findings are discussed.

Keywords

Anxiety; error-related negativity; fear; startle blink reflex; individual differences; internalizing

Introduction

The question of how to conceptualize and define mental disorders is at the forefront of the field, as evidenced by ongoing revisions to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR) and the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10), as well as recent efforts by the National Institute of Mental Health to launch the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) initiative (Insel & Cuthbert, 2009). While the DSM and ICD generally emphasize self-reported or observable behaviors and emotional features as criteria for individual disorders, the goal of the RDoC is to characterize psychiatric disorders in terms of

^{*}Address for correspondence: Dr U. Vaidyanathan, Department of Psychology, Florida State University, 1107 W. Call Street, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA. (uma@psy.fsu.edu). Declaration of Interest None.

their neurobiological underpinnings (Insel & Cuthbert, 2009). Some recent efforts in this direction have taken an experimental psychopathology approach, which aims to delineate the neural circuits relevant to specific psychiatric disorders via neuroimaging methods. A prominent example of this approach is provided by the Cognitive Neuroscience Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia Initiative (Carter & Barch, 2007), whose goals are to develop and refine experimental tasks for indexing cognitive systems and processes implicated in schizophrenia, particularly with an eye toward treatment applications.

A related strategy has been to identify, through evaluation of existing research, neurophysiological indicators that relate to psychiatric disorders or disorder dimensions (Gilmore et al. 2010; Patrick & Bernat, 2010; Nelson et al. 2011). The aims of this 'converging biomarker' approach are consistent with those of the RDoC initiative in that it seeks to clarify sources of homogeneity and heterogeneity within and across specific disorders or interrelated sets of disorders. However, in contrast with the traditional experimental approach, which typically focuses on one disorder of interest (e.g. schizophrenia or depression), the converging biomarker approach considers differing biological indicators across multiple disorders that could serve as referents for neurobiological-based diagnostic phenotypes. The current review illustrates this strategy, synthesizing findings from neurobiological and quantitative modeling studies of psychopathology to identify promising avenues along which to pursue a neurobiologicalinformed nosology of mental disorders.

More specifically, we review and discuss results from psychopathology studies employing two distinct neurophysiological measures - the startle blink reflex and error-related negativity (ERN) – in relation to quantitative-structural models of anxiety/depressive (i.e. internalizing) disorders and affiliated traits, with the aim of illustrating how available neurobiological research can help to clarify neural substrates of psychiatric disorders involving fear, anxiety and depression. These physiological indices were chosen because they have been extensively utilized in studies of internalizing disorders and their neural bases are well understood. While previous reviews have examined patterns of associations of the blink reflex (Grillon & Baas, 2003; Davis et al. 2009; Lang & McTeague, 2009; Vaidyanathan et al. 2009b) and ERN response (Taylor et al. 2007; Olvet & Hajcak, 2008) in relation to specific disorders and psychopathology dimensions, the goal of the current review is somewhat different. Rather than attempting to use psychiatric disorders/dimensions or personality traits as anchoring constructs, our strategy is to treat them as open concepts (Meehl, 1986) (i.e. having fuzzy boundaries, lacking explicit definitional criteria and based more on implicit or contextual definitions) and to use information from all sources, including startle and ERN studies, to help define domains of psychopathology.

The rationale for adopting this approach is as follows. While it is widely acknowledged that neurobiological foundations of psychopathology are not in line with the categories espoused by the DSM, it is not clear whether there is a direct mapping between dimensions of psychopathology obtained from factor analytic models and neurobiological data (Brown et al. 1998; Wittchen et al. 1999). More fundamentally, researchers have cautioned against attributing 'surplus meaning' to factors (Cronbach & Meehl, 1955) by positing the existence

of dimensions or latent constructs based solely on results obtained from factor analytic models (Duncan, 1984; Borsboom et al. 2003; Grove & Vrieze, 2010). Relatedly, it is interesting to note that while constructs such as neuroticism, negative affect and internalizing have been shown to be linked to several psychiatric disorders, others have questioned the explanatory value of such associations due to their non-specificity (Ormel et al. 2004; Lahey, 2009). Thus, the purpose of the current review is to integrate information from both neurobiology and quantitative models of personality and psychopathology, with the idea that delineating points of convergence between various domains will increase our knowledge of internalizing disorders beyond what can be done by simply referencing neurobiology to statistical models of personality or psychopathology.

We open with a brief overview of dimensional models of internalizing psychopathology and affiliated personality traits. To avoid redundancy with prior reviews of the startle blink reflex and ERN, and to focus the current review on conceptual more than methodological issues, we provide a brief recapitulation of findings from these domains. We follow this by highlighting parallels in relations of the ERN and startle blink reflex with internalizing disorders and traits. Finally, we re-reference these findings to current conceptions of internalizing psychopathology in order to identify avenues for further research.

Understanding interrelations among anxiety and depressive disorders: the internalizing domain of psychopathology

Co-morbidity or co-occurrence of psychiatric disorders is a pervasive phenomenon, though of unclear origins. While diagnostic nomenclatures such as the DSM deal with co-morbidity by imposing hierarchical rules among various disorders, the approach in the experimental psychopathology literature has been to target single disorders of interest and exclude individuals with additional disorders to establish 'pure' samples. More recently, however, researchers in quantitative modeling have posited that co-morbidity constitutes an important signal and have conceptualized it in terms of a set of latent (underlying) variables. The most popular of these are dimensional models of psychopathology, which conceptualize co-morbidity as a set of correlated continua (Krueger, 1999; Vollebergh et al. 2001; Cox et al. 2002; Slade & Watson, 2006). In such models, social and specific phobia, agoraphobia and panic disorder cohere together to form a 'fear' factor, whereas depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) and dysthymia form a 'distress' factor, with fear and distress subsumed by a broader internalizing factor.

The overlapping but distinctive nature of disorders marked by depression and anxiety, highlighted by such models, directly parallels findings from the literature on personality structure. For example, Clark & Watson's (1991) tripartite model of anxiety and depression suggests that high negative affect (encompassing traits such as anxiety, irritability and stress reactivity) plays a key role in both anxiety and mood disorders. Whereas anxiety disorders are marked by salient physiological hyperarousal, however, depression is distinguished by low positive affectivity. Brown and colleagues (Brown et al. 1998; Brown, 2007; Brown & McNiff, 2009) have espoused a similar model of internalizing disorders, with negative affect being related to all disorders, positive affect associated more specifically with depression

and social phobia, and autonomic arousal being specifically related to panic disorder and PTSD. Similarly, Tellegen (1985) has suggested that although anxiety and depression share high negative emotionality, the latter is characterized additionally and uniquely by low positive emotionality.

Although notable congruencies of this kind are evident between the diagnostic and personality literatures on internalizing disorders, clear discrepancies are evident as well. For example, the 'fear' disorders identified by statistical models of psychopathology do not have a clear counterpart in Clark & Watson's tripartite model, Brown and colleagues' model or Tellegen's personality model. Similarly, it is unclear how depression should be conceptualized in relation to the anxiety disorders. Should it be regarded simply as a distinctive expression (facet) of the broader internalizing factor (Krueger et al. 2001) or should it instead be accorded a more distinct status of its own, given the specific component of low positive affect associated with it (Mineka et al. 1998; Naragon-Gainey et al. 2009) ? This review addresses questions of this nature by illustrating how neurophysiological measures can help inform our understanding of psychopathology constructs such as fear, anxiety and depression.

The startle blink reflex and its relations with internalizing disorders

The startle blink reflex is a widely used psychophysiological index of attention and emotion. Briefly, this reflex entails contraction of the orbicularis oculi muscle in response to a sudden, unexpected stimulus and is part of a larger array of somatic and visceral changes that comprise the startle reaction (Graham, 1979). What makes the blink reflex particularly attractive for research on internalizing psychopathology is that its neural circuitry has been extensively researched and well mapped out, with different pathways associated with differing processes such as fear and anxiety. When an acoustic startle probe is delivered, an obligatory blink response is activated via input to the cochlear root neurons, which is transmitted to the nucleus reticularis pontis caudalis. Influential work by Davis and colleagues (Davis et al. 1997, 2009; Davis, 1998) has demonstrated that this obligatory response can be modified in negative emotional states by auxiliary input from two additional pathways associated with the amygdala: one more attuned to discrete cues signaling danger or punishment, identified with the central nucleus of the amygdala, and another implicated in states of anxiety prompted by more ambiguous or more extended emotional stressors and associated with the extended amygdala (in particular, the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis). While the two circuits are interrelated, they appear to function independently; each response can be selectively affected without changing the other (Davis et al. 2009). Although more poorly understood than the fear and anxiety systems, a third subsystem of the startle reflex has been identified as a response to pleasant foreground stimuli involving the nucleus accumbens (Koch et al. 1996).

The three parameters of the startle reflex that have been most frequently studied are emotion-modulated startle, baseline or general startle reactivity and context-potentiated startle. Emotion-modulated startle refers to startle in the context of discrete affective stimuli, such as pictures from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS) and emotional sounds. For example, in IAPS picture paradigms, subjects in the general population show an

increased startle response when viewing unpleasant *versus* neutral scenes (i.e. fearpotentiated startle) and diminished response when viewing pleasant stimuli (i.e. pleasureinhibited startle) (Vrana et al. 1988). Baseline startle refers to noise-probe reactivity assessed during inter-trial intervals or average startle response across all probe trials in a study (regardless of foreground), reflecting individual variations in the general magnitude of the probe-startle response. Finally, context-potentiated startle refers to probe-blink reactivity in contexts where an aversive stimulus (e.g. shock or loud noise) is anticipated relative to contexts in which there is no such anticipation.

The following patterns have been documented for differing parameters of the startle blink response in relation to internalizing disorders (Grillon & Baas, 2003; Davis et al. 2009; Lang & McTeague, 2009; Vaidyanathan et al. 2009b) (see Table 1).

Fear (phobic) disorders

Subjects diagnosed with phobias, with high levels of phobic symptoms and those scoring high in trait fearfulness demonstrate greater fear-potentiated startle for aversive stimuli (e.g. startle during aversive pictureviewing or imagery) while showing relatively normal baseline or general startle (Jong et al. 1991; Vrana et al. 1992; Globisch et al. 1999; Cuthbert et al. 2003; Lang et al. 2007; McTeague et al. 2009; Vaidyanathan et al. 2009a). Panic disorder does not dovetail with this picture as clearly as the other phobias, as the weight of available evidence suggests that startle reactivity in this disorder is more similar to that observed in nonphobic anxiety disorders, as discussed in the following section (for a detailed review, see Vaidyanathan et al. 2009b). However, a recent study (McTeague et al. 2011) on panic disorder reported that the presence of agoraphobia appeared to moderate this effect, such that subjects with panic disorder and agoraphobia were more similar to controls. Similarly, those with generalized social phobia appear to show more pervasive activation across unpleasant imagery similar to that observed in non-phobic anxiety disorders (see next section) relative to those with circumscribed social phobia (McTeague et al. 2009).

Non-phobic anxiety disorders and negative affect

Broadly speaking, anxiety disorders such as GAD, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), panic disorder and affiliated traits in the domain of negative affectivity are associated with greater baseline or general startle reactivity and greater context-potentiated startle (i.e. increased startle during periods when an unpredictable aversive stimulus is expected ; Kumari et al. 2001; Cuthbert et al. 2003; Grillon et al. 2008; Ray et al. 2009). Results regarding fear-potentiated startle have been more mixed, with some studies showing no effect (Kumari et al. 2001; Kaviani et al. 2004) and others showing a progressive decrease in fear-potentiated startle as a function of increasing negative affect (Cuthbert et al. 2003; Lang et al. 2007). This discrepancy could be attributable to the differing task parameters used across these sets of studies ; blink reactivity has been assessed in response to picture viewing in the former *versus* imagined scenes in the latter. Prior work on imagined scenes has consistently found increased startle reactivity in the context of both pleasant and unpleasant relative to neutral imagery (Witvliet & Vrana, 1995; Miller et al. 2002), whereas startle reactivity during picture-viewing follows a linear pattern across valence conditions [i.e.

pleasant <neutral <unpleasant (Vrana et al. 1988)]. But, importantly, even in this latter group of studies there appears to be a distinction between fear and anxiety, such that fear reactions are presumed to elicit fear-potentiated startle, with concomitant levels of negative affect decreasing such responses.

It is worth noting that results for startle in PTSD have been especially mixed compared with findings for other anxiety disorders (Grillon & Baas, 2003; Pole, 2007; Vaidyanathan et al. 2009b), suggesting perhaps a degree of heterogeneity in PTSD, unlike other forms of internalizing psychopathology. In fact, researchers (Miller et al. 2003, 2004) have proposed that there might be various subtypes of PTSD rather than it being a single homogeneous disorder.

Major depression

Depression appears to be associated with decreased emotional modulation of the startle blink reflex, with subjects showing a flattened affect-startle pattern (i.e. limited differentiation of probe reactions for either pleasant or unpleasant scenes relative to neutral). It remains unclear, however, whether this anomalous modulatory effect occurs in all depressed subjects (Lang et al. 2007), as some studies have demonstrated this effect primarily in individuals with severe depression (Allen et al. 1999), marked anhedonia (Kaviani et al. 2004) or those with multiple recurrent episodes of depression (Forbes et al. 2005) and other studies not finding this effect at all (Cuthbert et al. 2003). A recent study (McTeague et al. 2011) suggested that this flattening of emotion-modulated startle was most evident among subjects with panic disorder and agoraphobia, along with co-morbid recurrent depression (single episodes of depression were not related as strongly to decreased fear-potentiated startle). Likewise, even among those with social phobia (McTeague et al. 2009), only those with generalized social phobia and co-morbid depression (versus circumscribed social phobia alone) showed decreased fear-potentiated startle - a finding related to results in the statistical modeling literature that have shown low positive affect to be implicated in social phobia as well as depression (Brown et al. 1998).

In summary, phobic disorders and related traits are associated with increased fearpotentiated startle, whereas increased general or baseline startle reactivity characterizes disorders involving pervasive distress such as GAD. Depression, on the other hand, appears to be associated with both decreased fear-potentiated startle and diminished pleasureinhibited startle (i.e. a generally flattened affect-startle pattern), though this effect might be related to severity or recurrence of the disorder. Interestingly, studies have also suggested that these various parameters of the startle response are unrelated at the neurobiological level. For example, Cuthbert et al. (2003) found that fear-potentiated startle was uncorrelated with baseline startle in a large sample of patients. Likewise, Lang et al. (2007) reported that diagnoses of anxiety and depression are associated with unique and cumulative attenuation of fear-potentiated startle. In summary, the constructs of fear, anxiety and depression appear to be associated with divergent and relatively independent patterns of startle blink reactivity, suggesting that the various circuits associated with the startle blink reflex index different processes related to internalizing disorders.

ERN and its relations with internalizing disorders

The ERN is another psychophysiological measure that exhibits distinctive relations with differing forms of internalizing psychopathology. As an event-related potential (ERP) response linked to performance errors on speeded tasks, the ERN is thought to reflect the brain's detection of behavioral errors or competition among differing response options (Falkenstein et al. 1991; Gehring et al. 1993; Carter et al. 1998). In contrast to more diffuse ERP measures such as the P300, the ERN has a relatively clear neural source in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; Dehaene et al. 1994; Holroyd et al. 1998; van Veen & Carter, 2002), part of a network of brain structures (including the prefrontal cortex) that governs self-monitoring and behavioral regulation (Miller & Cohen, 2001). Motivated by the intuitive idea that individuals with anxiety and depressive disorders appear overly sensitive to errors, research linking ERN amplitude and internalizing disorders has proliferated in recent years, with the following patterns of findings (see Table 2).

Fear (phobic) disorders

Phobias do not appear to be associated with deviations in ERN amplitude. Individuals reporting high levels of snake- and spider-phobia symptoms exhibit ERN amplitudes comparable to those of non-anxious controls (Hajcak et al. 2003). Interestingly, even salient fear induction (involving close exposure to a live tarantula) does not alter early error-processing as indexed by ERN amplitude in spider-phobic individuals (Moser et al. 2005), though concurrent affective distress and attentional/evaluative deficits were apparent, as reflected in other ERP indices. Thus, these studies provide compelling evidence that fear states do not alter the ERN. However, replication of these findings in clinical populations and extension to other fear disorders will be necessary to definitively conclude that the ERN is unaffected in these disorders as a group.

Non-phobic anxiety disorders and negative affect

Supporting the intuitive link between heightened error sensitivity and anxiety, enhanced ERN amplitude has consistently been demonstrated in relation to OCD (Gehring et al. 2000; Johannes et al. 2001; Hajcak & Simons, 2002; Ruchsow et al. 2005; Stern et al. 2010), GAD (Weinberg et al. 2010) and self-reported worry or negative affectivity (Luu et al. 2000; Hajcak et al. 2003, 2004). Consistent with this, neuroimaging studies have also reported increased ACC activation during performance tasks in obsessive-compulsive (Ursu et al. 2003; Fitzgerald et al. 2005; Maltby et al. 2005) and high trait anxious (Paulus et al. 2004) individuals relative to non-anxious controls. Together, these findings suggest that phobic and non-phobic anxiety disorders are associated with distinct neurobiological correlates, at least in the context of ACC function.¹†

Major depression

Although findings linking ERN and depression have been more mixed, there is evidence that major depressive disorder is associated with enhanced ERN amplitude (Chiu & Deldin,

 $^{^1\}text{To}$ our knowledge, no published studies have examined the ERN in panic disorder or PTSD. $^\dagger\text{Th}$ notes appear after the main text.

Psychol Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 17.

Page 8

2007; Holmes & Pizzagalli, 2008, 2010). However, some studies have reported no difference (Ruchsow et al. 2004, 2006; Compton et al. 2008) or even marginally smaller (Schrijvers et al. 2008, 2009) ERN response amplitude in depressed *versus* non-depressed individuals. Although numerous differences between studies could account for these inconsistent findings, considering subcomponents of depression could shed light on how depression and error-monitoring are related. For example, although negative affect (common to anxiety and depression) is associated with enhanced ERN, severe depression (Schrijvers et al. 2008, 2009), anhedonia (Olvet et al. 2010) and psychomotor retardation (Schrijvers et al. 2008) may contribute to attenuated ERN.

In sum, findings of enhanced ERN in non-phobic anxiety disorders, in conjunction with the lack of association between phobic disorders and ERN, supports the idea that these two types of anxiety disorders are distinct at the level of neurobiology and, in turn, mirrors the distinction between fear and distress anxiety disorders seen in the startle literature and proposed by dimensional-structural models based on diagnostic/symptom co-morbidity. Regarding major depressive disorder, it appears that differing components of depression (e.g. negative affect *versus* anhedonia or low positive affect) and distinct subtypes of depression (e.g. severe *versus* mild-moderate) show differing relations with error-monitoring as indexed by ERN amplitude. Hence, future research aimed at understanding the neurobiological correlates of depression should consider these factors more systematically.

Discussion

The current review provides perspective on findings for two psychophysiological measures – startle blink reflex and ERN – and three subcategories of internalizing psychopathology (identified by prior work applying quantitative models to diagnostic/personality data): fear (phobic disorders, trait fearfulness); anxiety (e.g. GAD, OCD, trait negative affectivity); depression (see Tables 1 and 2 for a summary). Although our review of the literature reveals similarities in the associations between these physiological variables and diagnostic/ personality measures, notable discrepancies were also evident. Below, we derive conclusions from these findings and discuss more broadly how systematic consideration of neurobiological data could help define models of internalizing disorders.

Neurobiological differentiation between phobic fear and non-phobic anxiety

In conjunction with statistical models of psychopathology that differentiate between fear and distress components of internalizing psychopathology, both the startle reflex and ERN literatures provide support for the distinction between domains of phobic fear and non-phobic anxiety. Interestingly, however, these neurobiological findings suggest a greater degree of independence between these psychopathology constructs than is evident in statistical models of symptom data. Specifically, ERN appears to be selectively associated with non-phobic anxiety disorders such as OCD and GAD, but unrelated to fear/phobic disorders. Even when extreme manipulations for fear induction are involved (Moser et al. 2005), the ERN in phobic subjects remains intact. The blink reflex is also associated differentially with these two types of disorders. Individuals with fear disorders exhibit

The heterogeneity of depression and PTSD and the placement of panic disorder in dimensional-structural models of psychopathology

Findings for both the ERN and the blink reflex suggest that there may be subsets of depressed individuals who, despite a common diagnosis, show differing patterns of neurobiological reactivity. For example, although depression has been associated with enhanced ERN amplitude, severe depression or symptoms associated with it (e.g. anhedonia, psychomotor retardation) are instead associated with diminished ERN. Similarly, severe or recurrent depression appears to be associated with deficient affect-modulated startle. In this context, it is interesting to note other research that has shown that recurrent depression is associated particularly strongly with familial aggregation of the disorder (Sullivan et al. 2000). Similarly, Klein et al. (2011) pointed out that though both major depression and dysthymic disorder were associated with high negative affect, only the latter was specifically linked to low positive affect and that first-degree relatives of patients with chronic forms of major depression had greater levels of depressive personality traits. Likewise, recent metaanalyses have indicated that antidepressants are most effective for patients who are severely depressed (Fournier et al. 2010; Barbui et al. 2011). These varied data suggest that subsets of depressed individuals (e.g. those with severe or recurrent depression) appear to demonstrate a unique neurobiological profile in contrast with milder forms of depression. What remains particularly unclear is whether these results reflect a non-linear impact of depression on neurobiology (with severity or recurrence related to distinct patterns of neurobiology) or, rather, if the underlying etiology of the disorder is different in severely depressed individuals (similar to the notion of endogenous depression).

Another disorder that has proven difficult to characterize neurobiologically is PTSD (Rosen & Lilienfeld, 2008). Because the DSM-IV-TR lists exaggerated startle reactivity as a diagnostic criterion for PTSD, the blink reflex has been used to study this disorder quite extensively (for reviews, see Pole, 2007; Vaidyanathan et al. 2009b) but with highly inconsistent results. Recent work has suggested that factors such as co-morbidity and trauma recurrence might be related to these discrepancies (McTeague et al. 2010). While dimensional models characterize PTSD as a 'distress' disorder along with depression, dysthymia and GAD (Slade & Watson, 2006), other research has suggested that there are distinct internalizing and externalizing variants of the disorder (Miller et al. 2003, 2004). Thus, a lack of consensus is evident in the literature on PTSD with regard to both its neurobiological correlates and its location in quantitative-dimensional models.

A novel perspective on these unresolved issues comes from recent work using a personcentered approach, latent class analysis (LCA), to characterize patterns of co-morbidity among common internalizing and externalizing disorders, including major depression and PTSD, in two separate nationally representative epidemiological samples (Vaidyanathan et al. 2010). Rather than yielding continuous dimensions that account for covariance among disorders, LCA identifies distinct subgroups of individuals exhibiting similar patterns of disorder co-morbidity.² This approach is similar to that of the experimental psychopathology

literature, which tends to compare and contrast groups of individuals (e.g. OCD *versus* controls). Results from this study indicated that depression occurred in all groups or classes identified in the model, suggesting that there may be multiple pathways to it. Interestingly, one group of individuals appeared to have a specific liability to depression and related disorders (dysthymia, GAD) alone; while, in other groups, major depression alone appeared to cooccur with other forms of psychopathology (i.e. individuals prone to phobic disorders or externalizing disorders who also showed elevated levels of depression, but not dysthymia or GAD). Like depression, PTSD also occurred at elevated levels across all classes obtained in this study, encouraging a similar interpretation as to the complex etiology of this disorder.

Finally, while factor analytic models of psychopathology place panic disorder with fear disorders, as discussed earlier, startle studies do not support this categorization as cleanly. One explanation for this discrepancy might be that subjects recruited for startle studies tend to be from treatment-seeking samples, displaying perhaps greater negative affect. In contrast, statistical models tend to use epidemiological samples comprising participants from the general population. Alternatively, quantitative models utilizing clinical samples (Brown et al. 1998) have indicated the presence of an 'autonomic arousal' factor that is specific to panic disorder and PTSD (Brown & McNiff, 2009) – a trait that may help shed light on this issue. A recent study by McTeague et al. (2011) suggests that presence of agoraphobia and comorbid depression may also impact results.

In summary, while extant research cannot provide definitive insights into the basis for discrepancies in observed relations for depression, PTSD or panic disorder, available evidence suggests heterogeneity within these disorders, indicating that factors such as comorbidity, recurrence, severity and autonomic arousal should be taken into account and examined in greater detail when studies of these disorders are conducted.

Integrating results from neurophysiology and quantitative models of psychopathology

Collectively, available studies examining the startle blink reflex and the ERN in relation to internalizing disorders suggest the presence of three distinguishable constructs – fear, anxiety and depression – underlying common internalizing disorders. Statistical models of self-report and diagnostic data also support this perspective, with epidemiological samples clearly indicating 'fear' and 'distress' factors and a common internalizing factor (Krueger, 1999; Vollebergh et al. 2001), all entailing heritable components (Kendler et al. 2003). Likewise, longitudinal statistical models of psychopathology have shown that while scores on the broad internalizing factor show continuity across time, disorders marked by major depression and phobic fear appear to reflect additional specific factors that exhibit homotypic continuity across time (Fergusson et al. 2006). Thus, findings from a variety of research methodologies support the existence of these three constructs. What does appear to differ as a function of methodological approach, however, is the degree of observed interrelationship among these facets of internalizing psychopathology.

²Note that fitting a factor analysis or latent class model to any dataset cannot, in and of itself, conclusively establish whether the data in question are dimensional or categorical. Any dataset generated by a statistical model with *n* factors will also fit an (n+1) class model (Bartholomew, 1987; Borsboom et al. 2003).

Psychol Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 17.

There are various possible reasons for these differences, such as methodological factors, the heterogeneous nature of the samples (e.g. in-patient, outpatient, college students, etc.) in various studies and perhaps overlap in diagnostic criteria between disorders in epidemiological studies (possibly leading to stronger correlations among dimensions). Furthermore, the current review examined only two of many possible biomarkers. However, it is notable that the relationships evinced by these two neurophysiological markers with these psychopathology constructs parallel each other. That the markers' underlying neural circuitries are theorized to be associated with considerably different functions (i.e. processing of emotional stimuli versus performance monitoring) makes the stability in these results all the more enticing. Nevertheless, this topic will indeed require further research in both the startle and ERN fields. For example, as discussed earlier, startle blink patterns observed in panic disorder appear to be more similar to the 'distress' disorders rather than the 'fear' disorders. Similarly, results regarding PTSD are also unclear. Thus, future work could examine ERN activity in relation to these disorders and potentially help shed light on such issues. Consideration of other biomarkers (e.g. amygdala reactivity to fearful faces, skin conductance reactivity) will further establish whether the distinctions among these constructs extend to measures reflective of other neural circuits.

Recent work in the statistical modeling literature (Wittchen et al. 2009; Lahey et al. 2011), has revealed equivocal evidence for the three-factor model of psychopathology (i.e. fear, distress and externalizing) in adolescents. Hence, future studies should focus on understanding the development of psychopathology across the lifespan. Although the current review focused on findings from adult populations, there is a growing literature on neurobiological correlates of psychopathology in developmental samples, suggesting that abnormal startle blink reflex and ERN patterns may potentially be heritable and serve as trait markers or endophenotypes for psychopathology (Grillon et al. 1998, 2005; Hajcak et al. 2008).

Finally, an additional, deeper question is implicit here. To what extent should biology define psychopathology? Is it necessary that the boundaries between mental disorders be delineated by and associated with some sort of underlying biological dysfunction? This is, however, a more fundamental philosophical question that is beyond the scope of the current review.³

Notwithstanding these various limitations, results from the current review suggest that it may indeed be possible to develop a classification system for mental disorders based on neural circuitry (Insel & Cuthbert, 2009) and that efforts along these lines can benefit from consideration of existing statistical models of psychopathology. Such a process is likely to prove mutually beneficial to both realms of research and, more importantly, to individuals suffering from psychiatric disorders.

³For recent interesting discussions on this topic refer to series of articles in the journals *Psychological Medicine* (Broome & Bortolotti, 2010; First & Wakefield, 2010 ; Stein et al. 2010 ; Verhoeff & Glas, 2010) and *Perspectives on Psychological Science* (Beck, 2010; Decety & Cacioppo, 2010; Gonsalves & Cohen, 2010 ; Miller, 2010 ; Poldrack, 2010; Shimamura, 2010).

Psychol Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 17.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants RC1 MH089727, P50 MH072850, and F31 MH088143 from the National Institutes of Health.

References

- Allen NB, Trinder J, Brennan C (1999). Affective startle modulation in clinical depression : preliminary findings. Biological Psychiatry 46, 542–550. [PubMed: 10459405]
- Barbui C, Cipriani A, Patel V, Ayuso-Mateos JL, van Ommeren M (2011). Efficacy of antidepressants and benzodiazepines in minor depression : systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Psychiatry 198, 11–16. [PubMed: 21200071]
- Bartholomew DJ (1987). Latent Variable Models and Factor Analysis. Oxford University Press : New York.
- Beck DM (2010). The appeal of the brain in the popular press. Perspectives on Psychological Science 5, 762–766. [PubMed: 26161889]
- Borsboom D, Mellenbergh GJ, van Heerden J (2003). The theoretical status of latent variables. Psychological Review 110, 203–219. [PubMed: 12747522]
- Broome M, Bortolotti L (2010). What's wrong with mental disorders ? Psychological Medicine 40, 1783–1785. [PubMed: 20102665]
- Brown TA (2007). Temporal course and structural relationships among dimensions of temperament and DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorder constructs. Journal of Abnormal Psychology 116, 313– 328. [PubMed: 17516764]
- Brown TA, Chorpita BF, Barlow DH (1998). Structural relationships among dimensions of the DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorders and dimensions of negative affect, positive affect, and autonomic arousal. Journal of Abnormal Psychology 107, 179–192. [PubMed: 9604548]
- Brown TA, McNiff J (2009). Specificity of autonomic arousal to DSM-IV panic disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder. Behaviour Research and Therapy 47, 487–493. [PubMed: 19321162]
- Carter CS, Barch DM (2007). Cognitive neuroscience-based approaches to measuring and improving treatment effects on cognition in schizophrenia : The CNTRICS initiative. Schizophrenia Bulletin 33, 1131–1137. [PubMed: 17630405]
- Carter CS, Braver TS, Barch DM, Botvinick MM, Noll D, Cohen JD (1998). Anterior cingulate cortex, error detection, and the online monitoring of performance. Science 280, 747–749. [PubMed: 9563953]
- Chiu PH, Deldin PJ (2007). Neural evidence for enhanced error detection in major depressive disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 164, 608–616. [PubMed: 17403974]
- Clark LA, Watson D (1991). Tripartite model of anxiety and depression : psychometric evidence and taxonomic implications. Journal of Abnormal Psychology 100, 316–336. [PubMed: 1918611]
- Compton RJ, Lin M, Vargas G, Carp J, Fineman SL, Quandt LC (2008). Error detection and posterror behavior in depressed undergraduates. Emotion 8, 58–67. [PubMed: 18266516]
- Cox BJ, Clara IP, Enns MW (2002). Posttraumatic stress disorder and the structure of common mental disorders. Depression and Anxiety 15, 168–171. [PubMed: 12112721]
- Cronbach LJ, Meehl PE (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. Psychological Bulletin 52, 281–302. [PubMed: 13245896]
- Cuthbert BN, Lang PJ, Strauss C, Drobes D, Patrick CJ, Bradley MM (2003). The psychophysiology of anxiety disorder : fear memory imagery. Psychophysiology 40, 407–422. [PubMed: 12946114]
- Davis M(1998). Are different parts of the extended amygdala involved in fear versus anxiety ? Biological Psychiatry 44, 1239–1247. [PubMed: 9861467]
- Davis M, Walker DL, Lee Y (1997). Roles of the amygdala and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in fear and anxiety measured with the acoustic startle reflex. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 821, 305–331. [PubMed: 9238214]
- Davis M, Walker DL, Miles L, Grillon C (2009). Phasic vs sustained fear in rats and humans: role of the extended amygdala in fear vs anxiety. Neuropsychopharmacology 35, 105–135.

- Decety J, Cacioppo J (2010). Frontiers in human neuroscience : the golden triangle and beyond. Perspectives on Psychological Science 5, 767–771. [PubMed: 24482667]
- Dehaene S, Posner MI, Tucker DM (1994). Localization of a neural system for error-detection and compensation. Psychological Science 5, 303–305.
- Duncan OD (1984). Notes on Social Measurement: Historical and Critical. Russell Sage Foundation : New York.
- Falkenstein M, Hohnsbein J, Hoormann J, Blanke L (1991). Effects of crossmodal divided attention on late erp components. 2. Error processing in choice reaction tasks. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology 78, 447–455. [PubMed: 1712280]
- Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Boden JM (2006). Structure of internalising symptoms in early adulthood. British Journal of Psychiatry 189, 540–546. [PubMed: 17139039]
- First MB, Wakefield JC (2010). Defining mental disorder in DSM-V. Psychological Medicine 40, 1779–1782. [PubMed: 20102663]
- Fitzgerald KD, Welsh RC, Gehring WJ, Abelson JL, Himle JA, Liberzon I, Taylor SF (2005). Errorrelated hyperactivity of the anterior cingulate cortex in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Biological Psychiatry 57, 287–294. [PubMed: 15691530]
- Forbes EE, Miller A, Cohn JF, Fox NA, Kovacs M (2005). Affect-modulated startle in adults with childhood-onset depression : relations to bipolar course and number of lifetime depressive episodes. Psychiatry Research 134, 11–25. [PubMed: 15808286]
- Fournier J, DeRubeis R, Hollon S, Dimidjian S, Amsterdam J, Shelton R, Fawcett J (2010). Antidepressant drug effects and depression severity : a patient-level meta-analysis. Journal of the American Medical Association 303, 47–53. [PubMed: 20051569]
- Gehring WJ, Goss B, Coles MGH., Meyer DE, Donchin E (1993). A neural system for error-detection and compensation. Psychological Science 4, 385–390.
- Gehring WJ, Himle J, Nisenson LG (2000). Action-monitoring dysfunction in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychological Science 11, 1–6. [PubMed: 11228836]
- Gilmore CS, Malone SM, Iacono WG (2010). Brain electrophysiological endophenotypes for externalizing psychopathology: a multivariate approach. Behavior Genetics 40, 186–200. [PubMed: 20155392]
- Globisch J, Hamm AO, Esteves F, Ohman A (1999). Fear appears fast : temporal course of startle reflex potentiation in animal fearful subjects. Psychophysiology 36, 66–75. [PubMed: 10098381]
- Gonsalves BD, Cohen NJ (2010). Brain imaging, cognitive processes, and brain networks. Perspectives on Psychological Science 5, 744–752. [PubMed: 26161888]
- Graham FK (1979). Distinguishing among orienting, defense, and startle reflexes In The Orienting Reflex in Humans (ed. Kimmel HD EH van Olst and J. E. Orlebeke), pp. 137–167. Erlbaum: Hillsdale, NJ.
- Grillon C, Baas J (2003). A review of the modulation of the startle reflex by affective states and its application in psychiatry. Clinical Neurophysiology 114, 1557–1579. [PubMed: 12948786]
- Grillon C, Dierker L, Merikangas KR (1998). Fear-potentiated startle in adolescent offspring of parents with anxiety disordersf. Biological Psychiatry 44, 990–997. [PubMed: 9821563]
- Grillon C, Lissek S, Rabin S, McDowell D, Dvir S, Pine DS (2008). Increased anxiety during anticipation of unpredictable but not predictable aversive stimuli as a psychophysiologic marker of panic disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 165, 898–904. [PubMed: 18347001]
- Grillon C, Warner V, Hille J, Merikangas KR, Bruder GE, Tenke CE, Nomura Y, Leite P, Weissman MM (2005). Families at high and low risk for depression : a threegeneration startle study. Biological Psychiatry 57, 953–960. [PubMed: 15860334]
- Grove WM, Vrieze SI (2010). On the substantive grounding and clinical utility of categories versus dimensions In Contemporary Directions in Psychopathology : Scientific Foundations of the DSM-V and ICD-11 (ed. Millon T, Krueger RF and Simonsen E), pp. 303–323. Guilford Press : New York.
- Hajcak G, Franklin ME, Foa EB, Simons RF (2008). Increased error-related brain activity in pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder before and after treatment. American Journal of Psychiatry 165, 116–123. [PubMed: 17986681]

- Hajcak G, McDonald N, Simons RF (2003). Anxiety and error-related brain activity. Biological Psychology 64, 77–90. [PubMed: 14602356]
- Hajcak G, McDonald N, Simons RF (2004). Error-related psychophysiology and negative affect. Brain and Cognition 56, 189–197. [PubMed: 15518935]
- Hajcak G, Simons RF (2002). Error-related brain activity in obsessive-compulsive undergraduates. Psychiatry Research 110, 63–72. [PubMed: 12007594]
- Holmes AJ, Pizzagalli DA (2008). Spatiotemporal dynamics of error processing dysfunctions in major depressive disorder. Archives of General Psychiatry 65, 179–188. [PubMed: 18250256]
- Holmes AJ, Pizzagalli DA (2010). Effects of task-relevant incentives on the electrophysiological correlates of error processing in major depressive disorder. Cognitive Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience 10, 119–128.
- Holroyd CB, Dien J, Coles MG (1998). Error-related scalp potentials elicited by hand and foot movements: evidence for an output-independent error-processing system in humans. Neuroscience Letters 242, 65–68. [PubMed: 9533395]
- Insel TR, Cuthbert BN (2009). Endophenotypes: bridging genomic complexity and disorder heterogeneity. Biological Psychiatry 66, 988–989. [PubMed: 19900610]
- Johannes S, Wieringa BM, Nager W, Rada D, Dengler R, Emrich HM, Munte TF, Dietrich DE (2001). Discrepant target detection and action monitoring in obsessive compulsive disorder. Psychiatry Research 108, 101–110. [PubMed: 11738544]
- Jong PJ, Merckelbach H, Arntz A (1991). Eyeblink startle responses in spider phobies before and after treatment : a pilot study. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment 13, 213–223.
- Kaviani H, Gray JA, Checkley SA, Raven PW, Wilson GD, Kumari V (2004). Affective modulation of the startle response in depression : influence of the severity of depression, anhedonia, and anxiety. Journal of Affective Disorders 83, 21–31. [PubMed: 15546642]
- Kendler KS, Prescott CA, Myers J, Neale MC (2003). The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for common psychiatric and substance use disorders in men and women. Archives of General Psychiatry 60, 929–937. [PubMed: 12963675]
- Klein DN, Kotov R, Bufferd SJ (2011). Personality and depression : explanatory models and review of the evidence. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology 7, 269–295.
- Koch M, Schmid A, Schnitzler H-U (1996). Pleasure-attenuation of startle is disrupted by lesions of the nucleus accumbens. NeuroReport 7, 1442–1446. [PubMed: 8856694]
- Krueger RF (1999). The structure of common mental disorders. Archives of General Psychiatry 56, 921–926. [PubMed: 10530634]
- Krueger RF, McGue M, Iacono WG (2001). The higher-order structure of common DSM mental disorders: internalization, externalization, and their connections to personality. Personality and Individual Differences 30, 1245–1259.
- Kumari V, Kaviani H, Raven PW, Gray JA, Checkley SA (2001). Enhanced startle reactions to acoustic stimuli in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 158, 134– 136. [PubMed: 11136648]
- Lahey BB (2009). Public health significance of neuroticism. American Psychologist 64, 241–256. [PubMed: 19449983]
- Lahey BB, van Hulle CA, Singh AL, Waldman ID, Rathouz PJ (2011). Higher-order genetic and environmental structure of prevalent forms of child and adolescent psychopathology. Archives of General Psychiatry 68, 181–189. [PubMed: 21300945]
- Lang PJ, McTeague LM (2009). The anxiety disorder spectrum: fear imagery, physiological reactivity, and differential diagnosis. Anxiety, Stress & Coping : An International Journal 22, 5–25.
- Lang PJ, McTeague LM, Cuthbert BN (2007). Fear, anxiety, depression, and the anxiety disorder spectrum: a psychophysiological analysis In Psychological Clinical Science : Papers in Honor of Richard M. McFall (ed. Treat TM, Bootzin RR and Baker TB), pp. 167–195. Psychology Press : New York.
- Luu P, Collins P, Tucker DM (2000). Mood, personality, and self-monitoring : negative affect and emotionality in relation to frontal lobe mechanisms of error monitoring. Journal of Experimental Psychology General 129, 43–60. [PubMed: 10756486]

- McTeague LM, Lang PJ, Laplante M-C, Bradley MM (2011). Aversive imagery in panic disorder : agoraphobia severity, comorbidity, and defensive physiology. Biological Psychiatry. Published online : 6 May 2011. doi :10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.03.005.
- McTeague LM, Lang PJ, Laplante M-C, Cuthbert BN, Shumen JR, Bradley MM (2010). Aversive imagery in posttraumatic stress sisorder : trauma recurrence, comorbidity, and physiological reactivity. Biological Psychiatry 67, 346–356. [PubMed: 19875104]
- McTeague LM, Lang PJ, Laplante M-C, Cuthbert BN, Strauss CC, Bradley MM (2009). Fearful imagery in social phobia: generalization, comorbidity, and physiological reactivity. Biological Psychiatry 65, 374–382. [PubMed: 18996510]
- Maltby N, Tolin DF, Worhunsky P, O'Keefe TM, Kiehl KA (2005). Dysfunctional action monitoring hyperactivates frontal-striatal circuits in obsessive-compulsive disorder : an event-related fMRI study. Neuroimage 24, 495–503. [PubMed: 15627591]
- Meehl PE (1986). Diagnostic taxa as open concepts : metatheoretical and statistical questions about reliability and construct validity in the grand strategy of nosological revision In Contemporary Directions in Psychopathology : Toward the DSM-IV (ed. Millon T and Klerman GL), pp. 215– 231. Guilford Press : New York.
- Miller EK, Cohen JD (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annual Review of Neuroscience 24, 167–202.
- Miller GA (2010). Mistreating psychology in the decades of the brain. Perspectives on Psychological Science 5, 716–743. [PubMed: 21949539]
- Miller MW, Greif JL, Smith AA (2003). Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire profiles of veterans with traumatic combat exposure: externalizing and internalizing subtypes. Psychological Assessment 15, 205–215. [PubMed: 12847781]
- Miller MW, Kaloupek DG, Dillon AL, Keane TM (2004). Externalizing and internalizing subtypes of combat-related PTSD: a replication and extension using the PSY-5 scales. Journal of Abnormal Psychology 113, 636–645. [PubMed: 15535795]
- Miller MW, Patrick CJ, Levenston GK (2002). Affective imagery and the startle response : probing mechanisms of modulation during pleasant scenes, personal experiences, and discrete negative emotions. Psychophysiology 39, 519–529. [PubMed: 12212644]
- Mineka S, Watson D, Clark LA (1998). Comorbidity of anxiety and unipolar mood disorders. Annual Review of Psychology 49, 377–412.
- Moser JS, Hajcak G, Simons RF (2005). The effects of fear on performance monitoring and attentional allocation. Psychophysiology 42, 261–268. [PubMed: 15943679]
- Naragon-Gainey K, Watson D, Markon KE (2009). Differential relations of depression and social anxiety symptoms to the facets of extraversion/positive emotionality. Journal of Abnormal Psychology 118, 299–310. [PubMed: 19413405]
- Nelson LD, Patrick CJ, Bernat EM (2011). Operationalizing proneness to externalizing psychopathology as a multivariate psychophysiological phenotype. Psychophysiology 48, 64–72. [PubMed: 20573054]
- Olvet DM, Hajcak G (2008). The error-related negativity (ERN) and psychopathology : toward an endophenotype. Clinical Psychology Review 28, 1343–1354. [PubMed: 18694617]
- Olvet DM, Klein DN, Hajcak G (2010). Depression symptom severity and error-related brain activity. Psychiatry Research 179, 30–37. [PubMed: 20630603]
- Ormel J, Rosmalen J, Farmer A (2004). Neuroticism : a noninformative marker of vulnerability to psychopathology. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 39, 906–912. [PubMed: 15549243]
- Patrick CJ, Bernat EM (2010). Neuroscientific foundations of psychopathology In Contemporary Directions in Psychopathology : Scientific Foundations of the DSM-V and ICD-11 (ed. Millon T, Krueger RF and Simonsen E), pp. 419–452. The Guilford Press : New York.
- Paulus MP, Feinstein JS, Simmons A, Stein MB (2004). Anterior cingulate activation in high trait anxious subjects is related to altered error processing during decision making. Biological Psychiatry 55, 1179–1187. [PubMed: 15184037]
- Poldrack RA (2010). Mapping mental function to brain structure : how can cognitive neuroimaging succeed? Perspectives on Psychological Science 5, 753–761. [PubMed: 25076977]

- Pole N (2007). The psychophysiology of posttraumatic stress disorder : a meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin 133, 725–746. [PubMed: 17723027]
- Ray WJ, Molnar C, Aikins D, Yamasaki A, Newman MG, Castonguay L, Borkovec TD (2009). Startle response in generalized anxiety disorder. Depression and Anxiety 26, 147–154. [PubMed: 19105213]
- Rosen GM, Lilienfeld SO (2008). Posttraumatic stress disorder : an empirical evaluation of core assumptions. Clinical Psychology Review 28, 837–868. [PubMed: 18329146]
- Ruchsow M, Gron G, Reuter K, Spitzer M, Hermle L, Kiefer M (2005). Error-related brain activity in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder and in healthy controls. Journal of Psychophysiology 19, 298–304.
- Ruchsow M, Herrnberger B, Beschoner P, Gron G, Spitzer M, Kiefer M (2006). Error processing in major depressive disorder : evidence from event-related potentials. Journal of Psychiatric Research 40, 37–46. [PubMed: 15882872]
- Ruchsow M, Herrnberger B, Wiesend C, Gron G, Spitzer M, Kiefer M (2004). The effect of erroneous responses on response monitoring in patients with major depressive disorder : a study with eventrelated potentials. Psychophysiology 41, 833–840. [PubMed: 15563336]
- Schrijvers D, de Bruijn ER, Maas Y, de Grave C, Sabbe BG, Hulstijn W (2008). Action monitoring in major depressive disorder with psychomotor retardation. Cortex 44, 569–579. [PubMed: 18387589]
- Schrijvers D, de Bruijn ER, Maas YJ, Vancoillie P, Hulstijn W, Sabbe BG (2009). Action monitoring and depressive symptom reduction in major depressive disorder. International Journal of Psychophysiology 71, 218–224. [PubMed: 18926863]
- Shimamura AP (2010). Bridging psychological and biological science : the good, bad, and ugly. Perspectives on Psychological Science 5, 772–775. [PubMed: 26161890]
- Slade T, Watson D (2006). The structure of common DSM-IV and ICD-10 mental disorders in the Australian general population. Psychological Medicine 36, 1593–1600. [PubMed: 16882356]
- Stern ER, Liu Y, Gehring WJ, Lister JJ, Yin G, Zhang J, Fitzgerald KD, Himle JA, Abelson JL, Taylor SF (2010). Chronic medication does not affect hyperactive error responses in obsessivecompulsive disorder. Psychophysiology 47, 913–920. [PubMed: 20230510]
- Stein DJ, Phillips KA, Bolton D, Fulford KWM, Sadler JZ, Kendler KS (2010). What is a mental/ psychiatric disorder ? From DSM-IV to DSM-V. Psychological Medicine 40, 1759–1765. [PubMed: 20624327]
- Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS (2000). Genetic epidemiology of major depression : review and metaanalysis. American Journal of Psychiatry 157, 1552–1562. [PubMed: 11007705]
- Taylor S, Stern E, Gehring W (2007). Neural systems for error monitoring : recent findings and theoretical perspectives. The Neuroscientist 13, 160–172. [PubMed: 17404376]
- Tellegen A (1985). Structures of mood and personality and their relevance to assessing anxiety, with an emphasis on self-report In Anxiety and the Anxiety Disorders (ed. Hussain Tuma A and Maser JD), pp. 681–706. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.: Hillsdale, NJ.
- Ursu S, Stenger VA, Shear MK, Jones MR, Carter CS (2003). Overactive action monitoring in obsessive-compulsive disorder : evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging. Psychological Science 14, 347–353. [PubMed: 12807408]
- Vaidyanathan U, Patrick CJ, Bernat EM (2009a). Startle reflex potentiation during aversive picture viewing as an indicator of trait fear. Psychophysiology 46, 75–85. [PubMed: 19055499]
- Vaidyanathan U, Patrick CJ, Cuthbert BN (2009b). Linking dimensional models of internalizing psychopathology to neurobiological systems : affect-modulated startle as an indicator of fear and distress disorders and affiliated traits. Psychological Bulletin 135, 909–942. [PubMed: 19883142]
- Vaidyanathan U, Patrick CJ, Iacono WG (2010). Patterns of comorbidity among mental disorders : a person-centered approach. Comprehensive Psychiatry. Published online : 24 November 2010. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2010.10.006.
- van Veen V, Carter CS (2002). The timing of action-monitoring processes in the anterior cingulate cortex. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 14, 593–602. [PubMed: 12126500]
- Verhoeff B, Glas G (2010). The search for dysfunctions. Psychological Medicine 40, 1787–1788. [PubMed: 20380785]

- Vollebergh WAM, Iedema J, Bijl RV, de Graaf R, Smit F, Ormel J (2001). The structure and stability of common mental disorders : The NEMESIS study. Archives of General Psychiatry 58, 597–603. [PubMed: 11386990]
- Vrana SR, Constantine JA, Westman JS (1992). Startle reflex modification as an outcome measure in the treatment of phobia: two case studies. Behavioral Assessment 14, 279–291.
- Vrana SR, Spence EL, Lang PJ (1988). The startle probe response : a new measure of emotion? Journal of Abnormal Psychology 97, 487–491. [PubMed: 3204235]
- Weinberg A, Olvet DM, Hajcak G (2010). Increased error-related brain activity in generalized anxiety disorder. Biological Psychology 85, 472–480. [PubMed: 20883743]
- Wittchen H-U, Beesdo-Baum K, Gloster AT, Höfler M, Klotsche J, Lieb R, Beauducel A, Büflner M, Kessler RC (2009). The structure of mental disorders re-examined : is it developmentally stable and robust against additions ? International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research 18, 189– 203. [PubMed: 20033884]
- Wittchen H-U, Höfler M, Merikangas K (1999). Toward the identification of core psychopathological processes ? Archives of General Psychiatry 56, 929–931. [PubMed: 10530635]
- Witvliet CV, Vrana SR (1995). Psychophysiological responses as indices of affective dimensions. Psychophysiology 32, 436–443. [PubMed: 7568637]

Бааг		Key finding
1 Cal	Cuthbert et al. (2003) ^a	FPS evident in SP, SO, and controls, but not in PD; PD trend toward larger baseline startle than SP, SO and controls
	Globisch et al. (1999)	Enhanced FPS for phobic scenes for SP κ controls
	Jong et al. (1991)	Reduced FPS in SP after treatment
	Lang et al. (2007) ^{<i>a</i>}	FPS: SP >SO >PD with AGO
	McTeague et al. (2009) ^a	Enhanced general startle for SO v. controls ; effect more evident for generalized SO than circumscribed SO
	Vaidyanathan et al. (2009a)	Positive correlation between FPS and bipolar trait of fear/fearlessness
	Vrana et al. (1992)	Reduced FPS in SP after treatment
	McTeague et al. (2011) ^a	Greater general startle in PD v. controls ; effect more due to PD alone than PD with AGO
Anxiety	Cuthbert et al. (2003) ^a	Startle potentiation in SP, SO and controls, but not PD
	Grillon et al. (2008)	Greater startle reactivity for PD patients to ITI probes in unpredictable aversive k neutral condition; no such effect in controls
	Kumari et al. (2001)	Increased general startle in OCD κ controls; no differences in FPS
	Ray et al. (2009)	Greater general startle in GAD κ controls; no differences in 5 min baseline period
	Kaviani et al. (2004) ^a	Greater general startle in high anxious-depressed v. low-anxious depressed
	Lang et al. (2007) ^{<i>a</i>}	FPS: SP >SO >PD with AGO >GAD; trait anxiety inversely related to FPS
Depression		Less FPS in those with co-morbid MDD v. no co-morbidity
	Allen et al. (1999)	Lack of emotion-modulated startle in MDD; effect strongest in group with high BDI scores
	Kaviani et al. (2004) ^a	Lack of emotion-modulated startle in high MDD but not low MDD or controls ; effect related to anhedonia scores
	Forbes et al. (2005)	Startle blink inhibition during pleasant <i>v</i> : neutral scenes in MDD, no potentiation for unpleasant <i>v</i> : neutral; lack of startle modulation associated with recurrent depressive episodes
	Cuthbert et al. (2003) ^a	No differences in FPS for subjects with anxiety disorders with and without co-morbid MDD
	McTeague et al. (2009) ^a	Generalized SO and MDD showed FPS only to personal fear and not social threat scenes; generalized SO without MDD, circumscribed SO and controls showed varying degrees of FPS to all threat scenes
	McTeague et al. (2011) ^a	PD with severe AGO showed least FPS and most co-morbidity with recurrent episodes of MDD; PD with moderate AGO and PD without AGO showed greater FPS and more co-morbid with single episodes of MDD

Psychol Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 June 17.

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Author Manuscript	Table 2.
Author Manuscript	Key findings of reviewed studies on the ERN
Author Manuscript	Key findings of revi

Vaidyan	athan et al.

	Study	Key finding
Fear	Hajcak et al. (2003) ^a	No ERN amplitude difference between snake/spider phobic undergraduates and non-anxious controls
	Moser et al. (2005)	No difference in ERN between highly fearful (nearby tarantula) and non-fearful (no tarantula) experimental conditions in spider-fearful undergraduates
Anxiety	Gehring et al. (2000)	Enhanced ERN in OCD v. control groups
	Hajcak & Simons (2002)	Enhanced ERN in undergraduates high- κ low- in obsessive-compulsive characteristics
	Johannes et al. (2001)	Enhanced ERN in OCD v. control groups
	Ruchsow et al. (2005)	Enhanced ERN in OCD v. control groups
	Stern et al. (2010)	Enhanced ERN in OCD κ control groups irrespective of medication status
	Weinberg et al. (2010)	Enhanced ERN in GAD v. control groups
	Hajcak et al. (2003) ^a	Enhanced ERN in undergraduates high in general anxiety/worry κ phobic and non-anxious controls
	Hajcak et al. (2004)	Enhanced ERN in undergraduates high κ low in NA
	Luu et al. (2000)	Enhanced ERN in undergraduates high κ low in NA and NEM
Depression	Chiu & Deldin (2007)	Enhanced ERN in community MDD k control groups
	Holmes & Pizzagalli (2008)	Enhanced ERN in community MDD κ control groups
	Holmes & Pizzagalli (2010)	Enhanced ERN in community MDD κ control groups in task w/trial-level feedback and varying incentive conditions
	Compton et al. (2008)	No ERN difference between undergraduates scoring high (>20) and low (<12) on the BDI
	Ruschow et al. (2004)	No ERN difference between patient (MDD or BD, most recently depressed) and control groups
	Ruschow et al. (2006)	No ERN difference between MDD and control groups
	Schrijvers et al. (2008)	No ERN difference between in- and out-patient MDD k non-patient control groups; Attenuated ERN in MDD patients with k without psychomotor slowing
	Schrijvers et al. (2009)	No ERN difference between inpatient MDD <i>v</i> : non-patient control groups; MDD patients with substantial (>50%) symptom improvement between time 1 and 2 showed ERN increase
	Olvet et al. (2010)	No ERN difference between community MDD κ control groups; patients with higher scores on anhedonia and other symptom severity scales had abnormal ERN (more negative ERN to correct trials and reduced correct-error differentiation)
ERN, Error-re BDI, Beck Dej	ERN, Error-related negativity (amplitude); OCD, obses BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BD, bipolar disorder.	ERN, Error-related negativity (amplitude); OCD, obsessive-compulsive disorder; GAD, generalized anxiety disorder; NA, negative affect; NEM, negative emotionality; MDD, major depressive disorder; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BD, bipolar disorder.
To our knowle	To our knowledge there have been no published studies on	d studies on the relationship between ERN amplitude and panic or post-traumatic stress disorder.

Page 19

 a^{2} same study depicted more than once in the table to illustrate differing findings with regard to fear and anxiety correlates of ERN.

Author Manuscript