Letter

Discovery of Imidazoisoindole Derivatives as Highly Potent and Orally Active Indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase Inhibitors

Wangyang Tu, $^{\dagger,\parallel}$ Fanglong Yang, † Guoji Xu, † Jiangtao Chi, † Zhiwei Liu, † Wei Peng, † Bing Hu, $^{\dagger}_\bot$ Lei Zhang,† Hong Wan,† Nan Yu,*^{,†} Fangfang Jin,† Qiyue Hu,† Lianshan Zhang,‡ Feng He,^{†,§} and Weikang T[ao](#page-3-0)†,§

† Shanghai Hengrui Pharmaceutical Co., Lt[d.,](#page-3-0) 279 Wenjing Road, Shanghai 200245, China

‡ Jiangsu Hengrui Medicine Co., Ltd., Lianyungang, Jiangsu 222047, China

§ Chengdu Suncadia Medicine Co., Ltd., 88 South Keyuan Road, Chengdu, Sichuan 610000, China

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-3-0) A novel series of imidazoisoindoles were identified as potent indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) inhibitors. Lead optimization toward improving potency and eliminating CYP inhibition resulted in the discovery of lead compound 25, a highly potent IDO inhibitor with favorable pharmacokinetic properties. In the MC38 xenograft model in hPD-1 transgenic mice, 25 in combination with the anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody (SHR-1210) achieved a synergistic antitumor effect superior to each single agent.

25

IDO IC₅₀: 9.7 nM TDO IC_{50} : 47 nM Hela IC₅₀: 76 nM Good bioavailability in preclinical species Efficacious in MC38 xenograft model Synergistic antitumor effect in combination with PD-1 monoclonal antibody

KEYWORDS: IDO, immuno-oncology, SAR, lead optimization

The immune system plays a vital role in the regulation of tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis. Immune checkpoint inhibitors that restore the capability of the immune system to recognize and eliminate malignant cells have produced impressive clinical benefit, but many patients across a wide range of malignancies still do not respond.¹ These findings imply that there are additional immunoregulators that maintain productive immunosurveillance in cancer. [I](#page-4-0)ndeed, tumor cells escape immune elimination through evolving various tactics to evade, subvert, and manipulate innate and adaptive immunity. As a result, combinatory regimens with different targeted therapeutic agents are necessary to produce sustained a therapeutic effect. 2.3° One of these agents is a family of tryptophan catabolizing enzymes including indoleamine-2,3 dioxygenase (IDO) and try[pto](#page-4-0)phan 2,3-dioxygenase (TDO), which convert tryptophan first to N-formylkynurenine and further to kynurenine and additional metabolites. Both the depletion of tryptophan and the signals generated by its metabolites are important contributors to immunosuppre $sion.^{4−6}$

Expression of IDO is widespread in human body, being most abu[ndan](#page-4-0)t in antigen-presenting cells such as macrophages and dendritic cells. IDO activity is increased in several tumor types and is correlated with a poor prognosis.^{7,8} TDO is exclusively produced in the liver to maintain the systemic tryptophan levels in response to food uptake. Alth[oug](#page-4-0)h the major role of IDO in immune regulation has been validated, there is recent evidence that suggest TDO might regulate immunosuppression similar to that of $IDO.9$ $IDO.9$ selective and IDO/TDO dual inhibitors have been the focus of research,^{10−13} whereas TDO selective inhibitors re[ma](#page-4-0)in elusive.^{9,14}

Tumor cells hijack the immunosuppressive [proces](#page-4-0)s by upregulating IDO activity in the tumor mic[roen](#page-4-0)vironment, which leads to accelerated differentiation of CD4+ T cells into regulatory T cells, as well as suppression of $CD8⁺$ effector T cells and impaired dendritic cell functions. Moreover, tumor cells evade immune-mediated eradication via PD-L1 expression because the interaction of PD-L1 with PD-1 inhibits the secretion of cytotoxic mediators by CD8⁺ T cells. In addition, IDO was further up-regulated upon blocking PD-1/PD-L1 interaction in mice due to compensatory mechanism.¹⁵ Therefore, the simultaneous blockade of both pathways may represent an opportunity to accomplish greater antitum[or](#page-4-0) effects by the complementary regulation of the cytotoxic T cells.

NLG-919 (Figure 1) is one of the IDO/TDO dual inhibitors that have been evaluated in clinical trials alone or in combination [with an](#page-1-0)ti-PD-L1 antibody for various solid tumors.16−¹⁸ Herein, we report the synthesis and SAR study of a novel series of imidazoisoindoles as potent IDO inhibitors and th[e iden](#page-4-0)tification of lead compound that synergized with PD-1 blockade in a murine tumor model.

Received: March 17, 2019 Accepted: June 3, 2019 Published: June 3, 2019

Figure 1. Imidazoleisoindole derivative as IDO inhibitor in clinical trial.

NLG-919 interacts with IDO via imidazoleisoindole core coordinating to the iron center of heme. The hydroxyl group on the side chain engages in an extensive hydrogen bond network and contributes to the biological activity.¹⁹ However, three consecutive chiral centers exert tremendous structural complexities and synthetic challenges. We hypo[the](#page-4-0)sized that modification of the side chain of NLG-919 with the imidazoleisoindole core kept intact could offer the best opportunity to fine-tune potency and physicochemical properties. Compounds 1−8 were synthesized via the route shown in Scheme $1.^{20}$ The regioselective lithiation of *m*-bromofluor-

Scheme 1[. S](#page-4-0)ynthesis of 5-Substituted Imidazoleisoindoles a

 a^a Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) LDA, THF, -78 °C, 1 h; (2) RCHO, -78 °C, 1 h; (b) NaH, THF, MsCl, reflux, 48 h; (c) imidazole, NaH, DMF, 100 °C, 12 h; (d) Pd(OAc)₂, PPh₃, Cy₂NMe, DMF, 100 °C, 5 h.

obenzene with LDA followed by nucleophilic addition to a variety of substituted aldehydes gave rise to corresponding alcohols. The resulting alcohols were mesylated and subsequently substituted by imidazole. The final intramolecular Pd-mediated cyclization furnished the tricyclic imidazoleisoindole core decorated with various appendages.

The screening assays include enzymatic assays with purified recombinant human IDO/TDO proteins and cellular IDO inhibition assay in the Hela cell line. Cyclohexyl 1 exhibited comparable potency to NLG-919, as shown in Table 1. However, smaller cyclopentyl 2 was less potent in IDO assay. Tetrahydropyranyl 3 was a much weaker inhibitor compared to the all-carbon counterpart 1. Piperidinyl 4 completely lost potency in all the assays because the hydrogen bond donor at this position may not be tolerated. Blocking NH with amide (5) did not improve potency. To our delight, phenylpiperidinyl 6 restored the potency similar to NLG-919. The replacement of cyclohexyl (1) with phenyl (7) led to a 20-fold drop of potency in the enzymatic assays. Benzyl 8 showed similar potency to phenyl 7.

Compound 6 was selected as the start for the next round SAR study. A series of substituted piperidinyls were synthesized and assessed (Table 2). To lower lipophilicity of compound 6, replacement of the phenyl group with heteroaryls was investigated. Heteroa[ryls such](#page-2-0) as thiadiazole (9), indole

a Values are expressed as the mean of three independent determinations. ^bND: not determined.

(10), and benzothiazole (11) demonstrated enhanced potency toward IDO enzymatic assay but not cellular assay. Simple substituents such as fluorine (12) and cyano (13) did not improve potency. The morpholine 14 showed 3-fold lower potency than 6. Replacement of morpholine with pyridine (15) resulted in a 10-fold boost toward IDO activity. Among the aromatic substitutions tested, methylpyrazole 18 was the most potent in all three assays (IDO $IC_{50} = 26$ nM, TDO IC_{50} = 132 nM, and Hela IC₅₀ = 101 nM, respectively). The msubstituted methylpyrazole 17 was 2-fold less potent than 18 due to unfavorable orientation of the aromatic substitution. Fluorine (19 and 20) and methyl (21 and 22) were tolerated on the central phenyl ring. Fluorine 20 was 2-fold more potent than 18. Fluorine 19 and methyl 21 showed comparable potency to 18. In compound 23, central phenyl ring was replaced by pyrimidine and the potency decreased 4-fold compared to 18.

NLG-919 was reported as a potent inhibitor of CYP3A4 $(IC₅₀ = 2.8 \text{ uM}).^{21}$ Consequently, CYP inhibition assay was included at this stage of lead optimization. As shown in Table 3, substitutions o[n](#page-4-0) the central phenyl ring inevitably led to inhibition of different CYP isoforms. The most [potent](#page-2-0) [co](#page-2-0)mpound 20 significantly inhibited CYP3A4 (IC_{50} = 2.6 uM). Compound 18 had a clean CYP profile with only moderate inhibition of CYP3A4.

Lead compound 18 was synthesized by the route shown in Scheme 2. Chiral separation of the racemate 18 gave rise to the

Table 2. SAR of 5-Piperidinyl-Substituted Imidazoleisoindoles

 a Values are expressed as the mean of three independent determinations.

desired enantiomer 25, while another enantiomer 26 was totally inactive in all IDO assays (IC₅₀ > 10 μ M).

Compound 25 was fully profiled in vitro and in vivo, as shown in Table 4. It was a highly potent dual IDO/TDO

Table 3. CYP Inhibition of Compounds 18−21

compound	1A2 IC_{50} $(uM)^a$	$2C9IC_{50}$ $(uM)^a$	$2C19IC_{50}$ $(uM)^a$	$2D6$ IC ₅₀ $(uM)^a$	3A4 IC_{50} $(uM)^a$ m/t ^b
18	>10	>10	>10	>10	8.4/6.08
19	8.95	0.94	1.15	9.61	0.35/0.95
20	>10	7.4	6.81	>10	4.57/2.6
21	>10	0.3	1.57	4.51	0.21/0.44

a Values are expressed as the mean of three independent determinations. ^b Midazolam as substrate/testosterone as substrate.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Lead Compound 25^a

^aReagents and conditions: (a) 1,4-dibromobenzene, $Pd_2(dba)_{3}$, BINAP, tBuONa, toluene, 80 °C, 12 h; (b) 1-methyl-4-(4,4,5,5 tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1H-pyrazole, $Pd(dppf)Cl_2$, Na_2CO_3 , DME/H_2O , microwave, 120 °C, 40 min; (c) chiral separation.

inhibitor in both enzymatic assays and cellular assays. It was clean in in vitro toxicity studies, including CYP and hERG. It showed high plasma protein bonding in mouse, dog, and human samples and high liver microsome stability in rat and human samples. Pharmacokinetic profiling of 25 in mouse, rat, and dog samples demonstrated good oral exposure and bioavailability ($F = 59.6\%$, 60.3%, and 27.3%, respectively) in all species.

To gain insight into the superior potency of 25, computational study was performed. As shown in Figure 2, 25 coordinated to the iron center of heme in a similar manner to NLG-919, while the side chain of 25 stuck dee[per into po](#page-3-0)cket B. The methylpyrazol ring engaged in a cation- π interaction with Arg231 with a distance of 3.38 Å. Cation– π interactions are ubiquitously found in proteins, protein-ligand, and protein−DNA complexes and impose influence on biological structures, molecular recognition, and catalysis.²² Due to the additional cation- π interaction, 25 served as a more potent inhibitor despite the lack of the hydroxyl group, [w](#page-4-0)hich formed a hydrogen bonding with Ser235 as in NLG-919.

Based on the promising in vitro activity and pharmacokinetic data, 25 was further evaluated in the in vivo pharmacodynamic study. A single dose of 100 mg/kg of 25 was administrated orally to C57 mice. The maximum kynurenine reduction by 57% was observed at 2 h after dosing (see Figure S1).

The hPD-1 transgenic mice implanted with MC38 tumors were treated with 25 to evaluate the anti[tumor e](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00114/suppl_file/ml9b00114_si_001.pdf)fficacy. As shown in Figure 3, oral treatment with 25 (bid \times 14) demonstrated dose-dependent tumor growth inhibition (20 mg/kg, TG[I = 39%;](#page-3-0) 50 mg/kg, TGI = 78%). The anti-PD-1

Table 4. Profiling of Compound 25

 a Values are expressed as the mean of three independent determinations.

Figure 2. Molecular docking of 25 (cyan) binding to the IDO active site (PDB code: 2D0T). NLG-919 (salmon) and 25 are superimposed for comparison. The key cation $-\pi$ interaction is indicated by the brown dashed line.

monoclonal antibody SHR-1210 was only modestly efficacious in this tumor model (5 mg/kg, ip, qod $\times 8$, TGI = 65%).²³ Compound 25 was dosed in combination with SHR-1210 using the following schedule: SHR-1210, 5 mg/kg, ip, qod $\times 8$; 25, 20 or 50 mg/kg, po, bid ×14. The combination regimen at both dose levels achieved significantly enhanced antitumor activity (TGI > 90%) compared to either treatment alone. It

MC38 Efficacy Study

Figure 3. Efficacy study of 25 alone and in combination with SHR-1210 in the MC38 xenograft model in hPD-1 transgenic mice.

was noted that no body weight loss was observed for all of the treatment groups.

In summary, a highly potent IDO inhibitor 25 with favorable preclinical toxicity and pharmacokinetic properties was discovered through several rounds of SAR studies with the aim of improving potency and eliminating CYP inhibition liabilities. Compound 25 proved to be orally efficacious in the MC38 xenograft model and its combination with anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody showed a synergistic antitumor effect.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

9 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00114.

[Biological assays, ph](http://pubs.acs.org)armacokine[tic assays, an in vivo](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00114) [pharm](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00114)acodynamic study, an in vivo efficacy study, computational methods, experimental procedures, and analytical data for key compounds (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yunan@shhrp.com.

ORCID[®]

Nan Yu: [0000-0002-9183-4363](mailto:yunan@shhrp.com)

Present Address

∥ Janssen [R&D Center, Jinchu](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9183-4363)ang Mansion, 4560 Jinke Road, Shanghai 201210, China

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank analytical group, DMPK group of the company for their contributions.

■ ABBREVIATIONS

PD-1, programmed death 1; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; LDA, lithium diisopropylamide; THF, tetrahydrofuran;

DME, 1,2-dimethoxyethane; TEA, triethylamine; MsCl, methanesulfonyl chloride; DMF, dimethylformamide; BINAP, 2,2′-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1′-binaphthyl; dba, dibenzylideneacetone; SAR, structure and activity relationship; CYP, cytochrome p450 enzyme; hERG, human ether-a-go-gorelated gene; PPB, plasma protein bonding; PK, pharmacokinetic; po, orally; ip, intraperitoneally; bid, twice daily; qod, every other day; TGI, tumor growth inhibition.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Hoos, A. Development of immuno-oncology drugs - from CTLA4 to PD1 to the next generations. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2016, 15, 235−247.

(2) Adams, J. L.; Smothers, J.; Srinivasan, R.; Hoos, A. Big opportunities for small molecules in immuno-oncology. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2015, 14, 603−622.

(3) Toogood, P. L. Small molecule immuno-oncology therapeutic agents. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 28, 319−329.

(4) Platten, M.; Wick, W.; Van den Eynde, B. J. Tryptophan catabolism in cancer: beyond IDO and tryptophan depletion. Cancer Res. 2012, 72, 5435−5440.

(5) Platten, M.; von Knebel Doeberitz, N.; Oezen, I.; Wick, W.; Ochs, K. Cancer immunotherapy by targeting IDO1/TDO and their downstream effectors. Front. Immunol. 2015, 5, 673.

(6) Van Baren, N.; Van den Eynde, B. J. Tryptophan-degrading enzymes in tumoral immune resistance. Front. Immunol. 2015, 6, 34.

(7) Godin-Ethier, J.; Hanafi, L.-A.; Piccirillo, C. A.; Lapointe, R. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase expression in human cancers: clinical and immunologic perspectives. Clin. Cancer Res. 2011, 17, 6985− 6991.

(8) Opitz, C. A.; Litzenburger, U. M.; Sahm, F.; Ott, M.; Tritschler, I.; Trump, S.; Schumacher, T.; Jestaedt, L.; Schrenk, D.; Weller, M.; Jugold, M.; Guillemin, G. J.; Miller, C. L.; Lutz, C.; Radlwimmer, B.; Lehmann, I.; von Deimling, A.; Wick, W.; Platten, M. An endogenous tumour-promoting ligand of the human aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Nature 2011, 478, 197−203.

(9) Pilotte, L.; Larrieu, P.; Stroobant, V.; Colau, D.; Dolusic, E.; Frédérick, R.; De Plaen, E.; Uyttenhove, C.; Wouters, J.; Masereel, B.; Van den Eynde, B. J. Reversal of tumoral immune resistance by inhibition of tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2012, 109, 2497−2502.

(10) Prendergast, G. C.; Malachowski, W. P.; DuHadaway, J. B.; Muller, A. J. Discovery of IDO1 inhibitors: from bench to bedside. Cancer Res. 2017, 77, 6795−6811.

(11) Röhrig, U. F.; Majjigapu, S. R.; Vogel, P.; Zoete, V.; Michielin, O. Challenges in the Discovery of Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) Inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 9421−9437.

(12) Yue, E. W.; Sparks, R.; Polam, P.; Modi, D.; Douty, B.; Wayland, B.; Glass, B.; Takvorian, A.; Glenn, J.; Zhu, W.; Bower, M.; Liu, X.; Leffet, L.; Wang, Q.; Bowman, K. J.; Hansbury, M. J.; Wei, M.; Li, Y.; Wynn, R.; Burn, T. C.; Koblish, H. K.; Fridman, J. S.; Emm, T.; Scherle, P. A.; Metcalf, B.; Combs, A. P. INCB24360 (epacadostat), a highly potent and selective indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) inhibitor for immuno-oncology. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2017, 8, 486−491.

(13) Crosignani, S.; Bingham, P.; Bottemanne, P.; Cannelle, H.; Cauwenberghs, S.; Cordonnier, M.; Dalvie, D.; Deroose, F.; Feng, J. L.; Gomes, B.; Greasley, S.; Kaiser, P. E.; Kraus, M.; Négrerie, M.; Maegley, K.; Miller, N.; Murray, B. W.; Schneider, M.; Soloweij, J.; Stewart, A. E.; Tumang, J.; Torti, V. R.; Van den Eynde, B.; Wythes, M. Discovery of a novel and selective indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase- (IDO-1) inhibitor 3-(5-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (EOS200271/PF-06840003) and its characterization as a potential clinical candidate. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 9617−9629.

(14) Pei, Z.; Mendonca, R.; Gazzard, L.; Pastor, R.; Goon, L.; Gustafson, A.; VanderPorten, E.; Hatzivassiliou, G.; Dement, K.; Cass, R.; Yuen, P.-w.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, G.; Lin, X.; Liu, Y.; Sellers, B. D.

Aminoisoxazoles as potent inhibitors of tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase 2 (TDO2). ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9, 417−421.

(15) Spranger, S.; Koblish, H. K.; Horton, B.; Scherle, P. A.; Newton, R.; Gajewski, T. F. Mechanism of tumor rejection with doublets of CTLA-4, PD-1/PD-L1, or IDO blockade involves restored IL-2 production and proliferation of CD8⁺ T cells directly within the tumor microenvironment. J. Immunother. Cancer 2014, 2, 3.

(16) Nayak-Kapoor, A.; Hao, Z.; Sadek, R.; Dobbins, R.; Marshall, L.; Vahanian, N. N.; Ramsey, W. J.; Kennedy, E.; Mautino, M. R.; Link, C. J.; Lin, R. S.; Royer-Joo, S.; Liang, X.; Salphati, L.; Morrissey, K. M.; Mahrus, S.; McCall, B.; Pirzkall, A.; Munn, D. H.; Janik, J. E.; Khleif, S. N. Phase Ia study of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) inhibitor navoximod (GDC-0919) in patients with recurrent advanced solid tumors. J. Immunother. Cancer 2018, 6, 61.

(17) NCT02471846: A study of GDC-0919 and atezolizumab combination treatment in participants with locally advanced or metastatic solid tumors. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT02471846?term=NCT02471846&rank=1 (accessed May 20, 2019).

(18) Spahn, J.; Peng, J.; L[orenzana, E.; Kan, D.; Hunsaker, T.; Segal,](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02471846?term=NCT02471846&rank=1) [E.; Mautino, M.; Brincks, E.; Pirzkall, A.; Kelle](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02471846?term=NCT02471846&rank=1)y, S.; Mahrus, S.; Liu, L.; et al. Improved anti-tumor immunity and efficacy upon combination of the IDO1 inhibitor GDC-0919 with anti-PD-l1 blockade versus anti-PD-l1 alone in preclinical tumor models. J. Immunother. Cancer 2015, 3, 303.

(19) Peng, Y.-H.; Ueng, S.-H.; Tseng, C.-T.; Hung, M.-S.; Song, J.- S.; Wu, J.-S.; Liao, F.-Y.; Fan, Y.-S.; Wu, M.-H.; Hsiao, W.-C.; Hsueh, C.-C.; Lin, S.-Y.; Cheng, C.-Y.; Tu, C.-H.; Lee, L.-C.; Cheng, M.-F.; Shia, K.-S.; Shih, C.; Wu, S.-Y. Important hydrogen bond networks in indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) inhibitor design revealed by crystal structures of imidazoleisoindole derivatives with IDO1. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 282−93.

(20) Mautino, M.; Kumar, S.; Waldo, J.; Jaipuri, F.; Kesharwani, T. Fused imidazole derivatives useful as IDO inhibitors. PCT Patent WO 2012142237, Oct 18, 2012.

(21) Mautino, M. R.; Jaipuri, F. A.; Waldo, J.; Kumar, S.; Adams, J.; Van Allen, C.; Marcinowicz-Flick, A.; Munn, D. H.; Vahanian, N. N.; Link, C. J. NLG919, a novel indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) pathway inhibitor drug candidate for cancer therapy. Cancer Res. 2013, 73, 491.

(22) Kumar, K.; Woo, S. M.; Siu, T.; Cortopassi, W. A.; Duarte, F.; Paton, R. S. Cation−π interactions in protein−ligand binding: theory and data-mining reveal different roles for lysine and arginine. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 2655−2665.

(23) Zhang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Tebben, A. J.; Sheriff, S.; Ruzanov, M.; Fereshteh, M. P.; Fan, Y.; Lippy, J.; Swanson, J.; Ho, C.-P.; Wautlet, B. S.; Rose, A.; Parrish, K.; Yang, Z.; Donnell, A. F.; Zhang, L.; Fink, B. E.; Vite, G. D.; Augustine-Rauch, K.; Fargnoli, J.; Borzilleri, R. M. Discovery of 4-azaindole inhibitors of TGFβRI as immuno-oncology agents. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9, 1117−1122.