Dendrigraft of Poly‑L‑lysine as a Promising Candidate To Reverse Heparin-based Anticoagulants in Clinical Settings

Benjamin Ourri,[†] Jean-Patrick Francoia,[‡] Gerald Monard,*^{,¶} Jean-Christophe Gris,*^{,§,∥} Julien Leclaire,[†] \bullet and Laurent Vial^{*,†,‡}

† Univ. Lyon, Univ. [Cl](#page-4-0)aude Bernard Lyon 1, [IC](#page-4-0)B[MS](#page-4-0) UMR CNRS 5246, [43](#page-4-0) Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1[918](#page-4-0), 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

 ‡ Univ. Montpellier, IBMM UMR 5247 CNRS, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34296 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

¶ Univ. Lorraine, LPCT UMR 7019 CNRS, Boulevard des Aiguillettes B.P. 70239, 54506 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France

[§]Department of Hematology, Nîmes University Hospital, University of Montpellier, 30029 Nîmes Cedex 9, France

∥ The First I.M. Sechenov Moscow State Medical University, Moscow 119146, Russian Federation

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-4-0) By using a combination of experimental and computational experiments, we demonstrated that a second-generation dendrigraft of poly-Llysine neutralizes the anticoagulant activity of unfractionated heparin, lowmolecular-weight heparin, and fondaparinux more efficiently than protamine does in human plasma, making this synthetic polymer a promising surrogate of this problematic protein in clinical settings.

KEYWORDS: Anticoagulant, antidote, heparin, dendrigraft of poly-L-lysine, protamine

Heparins are acidic polyanionic glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) that are broadly used as intravenous anticoagulants (Figure 1).1−⁵ Heparins include unfractionated heparin (UFH), low-molecular-weight heparins (LMWHs), and the [synthetic](#page-1-0) [p](#page-4-0)e[n](#page-4-0)tasaccharide fondaparinux. Their anticoagulant property originates from their binding to antithrombin III (AT III) and the subsequent potentiation of AT III toward the inactivation of factor Xa and other serine proteases.⁶ UFH are used to treat acute thrombotic events and to maintain blood fluidity throughout the circuits during procedur[es](#page-4-0) requiring extracorporeal circulation such as cardiopulmonary bypass and hemodialysis.^{7,8} LMWHs and fondaparinux are prescribed for the treatment and/or prevention of deep vein thrombosis and pulmo[nar](#page-4-0)y embolism.^{9,10} At the opposite of the electrostatic potential spectrum, protamine is a mixture of small $(i.e., 5 kDa)$ and alkaline polycatio[nic](#page-4-0) proteins (Figure 1), 11 which neutralize heparins by forming—with varying degrees of success-inert complexes. In particular, the [protein is](#page-1-0) [sy](#page-4-0)stematically administrated for complete UFH neutralization after cardiac bypass surgery to more than 2,000,000 patients yearly.¹² Regarding LMWHs, accidental overdosage or massive bleeding that may follow administration of enoxaparin is treated b[y in](#page-4-0)travenous infusion of protamine as a partial reversal agent (i.e., it neutralizes 60% at most of

enoxaparin's antifactor Xa activity).¹³ Finally, protamine is not an antidote for fondaparinux, where the management of hemorrhagic complications is prim[ari](#page-4-0)ly supportive.¹

Four major concerns about the therapeutic use of protamine exist. (1) Protamine has no approved medicinal su[bst](#page-4-0)itute and is therefore included in the list of essential medicines by the World Health Organization.¹⁷ (2) As protamine is extracted from fished salmon milt, its production is limited by the wild and bred livestock availabil[ity](#page-4-0). For instance, the radioactive pollution in Fukushima resulted in a worldwide supply shortage of protamine in 2012. In addition, active ingredients extracted from animal source carry the risk of contamination.¹⁸ (3) As mentioned above, protamine has a limited efficacy spectrum: whereas it potently neutralizes UFH, it is o[nly](#page-4-0) partially active against LMWHs and nonactive against fondaparinux, which both dominate the heparin product market.¹⁹ (4) The therapeutic use of protamine involves medical risks, related to immune responses and to pharm[aco](#page-4-0)dynamics issues of this exogenous protein. The incidence of adverse, sometime fatal reactions to protamine has

```
Received: March 6, 2019
Accepted: May 8, 2019
Published: May 8, 2019
```


Figure 1. Top left: major heparin disaccharide repeat unit. Top right: sequences of four major protamine components P1−P4 extracted from salmon sperm with basic amino acids underlined, see ref 15. Bottom: schematic representation of first- to fifth-generation DGLs G1−G5, where each dot represents an L-lysine residue. For a comprehensive study of DGLs' three-dimensional structural features from experimental and computational experiments, see ref 16.

been reported as varying fro[m](#page-4-0) 0.06% to 10.7%.²⁰ Noncomplexed protamine has also a weak anticoagulant effect at high doses, requiring careful monitoring.

Thus, the search for alternatives to protamine h[as](#page-4-0) a long history, 21 which involves small molecules, 22 proteins and peptides, $^{23-25}$ linear and branched polymers, $^{26-30}$ and macrocycles.3[1,3](#page-4-0)2 Still, no potential substitute has re[ac](#page-4-0)hed the market so far, [which](#page-4-0) rapidly grows, driven by de[mog](#page-4-0)[rap](#page-5-0)hics of the aging [popu](#page-5-0)lation and increased incidence of cardiovascular diseases.¹⁹ Less than a decade ago, dendrigrafts of poly-L-lysine (DGLs) joined the family of polycationic dendritic macromolecul[es,](#page-4-0) sharing structural features with both dendrimers and hyperbranched polymers (Figure 1).³³ In a biomedical context, the attractiveness of these macromolecules mainly relies on their straightforward, robust, and [gre](#page-5-0)en synthesis on a multigram scale, 34° as well as their biodegradability, 35 nonimmunogenicity, 36 and low toxicity. 37 On the latter point, arginine-rich pe[pti](#page-5-0)des like protamine interact more [str](#page-5-0)ongly with lipidic bila[yer](#page-5-0)s than lysine-rich [pep](#page-5-0)tides. 38 Consequently, polymers of arginine are toxic to the cells at concentrations from 800 nM to 50 μ M, whereas polymers [of](#page-5-0) lysine are only cytotoxic at higher concentrations $(>50 \mu M)^{39}$ Recently, we demonstrated that DGLs are able to efficiently interact with UFH in a biosensing purpose, allowing-for [th](#page-5-0)e first timethe detection and the quantification of the anticoagulant in human blood at clinically relevant levels,⁴⁰ or its discrimination against other similar $GAGs$.⁴¹ Armed with this success, we decided to explore the ability of DGLs t[o](#page-5-0) replace protamine as a universal antidote for hepa[rin](#page-5-0)s in clinical settings.

First, we used a well-established heparin assay to benchmark synthetic (i.e., DGLs) and natural (i.e., protamine) heparin antidotes in buffered water at pH 7.4. UFH was mixed with Azure A —a cationic dye known as heparin binder whose absorption spectrum changes upon complexation, a phenomenon know as metachromasia—and then the potential heparin antidote was added in order to displace the dye and restore its original color (Table 1). $42,43$ The effective concentration

Table 1. M_w , Net Charge, EC₅₀, and CE₅₀ Data for UFH Antidotes from Azure A-Displacement Assays in PBS 1X (pH 7.4)

antidote	$M_{\rm w}$ $(Da)^d$	net charge ^a	$EC_{50} (\mu M)^b$	CE_{50}
protamine	5197	$+22$	11.7	$0.79 + 0.02$
DGL G1	1950	$+7$	128	$2.79 + 0.01$
DGL $G2$	10081	$+41$	4.63	$0.61 + 0.04$
DGL G3	24480	$+92$	1.70	$0.50 + 0.03$
DGL G4	70945	$+280$	0.54	$0.48 + 0.02$
DGL G5	208994	$+727$	0.23	$0.51 + 0.02$

 a^a Accurate M_w and net charges at pH 7.4 for DGLs G1–G5 were extracted from their previously reported potentiometric titrations, see ref 8. b EC₅₀, effective concentration at which 50% of Azure A is displaced from its complex with UFH. $^{\circ}$ CE₅₀, charge excess of antidote/UFH at which 50% of Azure A is displaced from its complex alo[ng](#page-4-0) with its standard deviation.

required to displace 50% of Azure A (i.e., EC_{50} value) was 11.71 μ M for protamine and dropped from 128 μ M for DGL G1 to 0.23 μ M for DGL G5. More interestingly, the CE₅₀ value that is the number of positive charges required per heparin negative charge to achieve 50% displacement of Azure $A,$ ^{44,45} was higher for DGL G1-a short linear poly-L-lysinethan for protamine, while higher generation DGLs displayed lo[wer](#page-5-0) CE_{50} values. Since the CE_{50} reflects the relative ability of binding per cationic charge, it certainly highlights the importance of the antidote's topology, where more flexible branched architectures in comparison with linear ones possibly allow the polymers to marshal their charges (vide $infra$). It also turned out that, whereas DGL G3 displayed a slightly lower CE_{50} value than DGL G2, the use of higher generation DGLs G4 and G5 did not lead to a significant improvement of these

Figure 2. Neutralization by various antidotes of three different clinically used heparins at therapeutic concentrations (UFH, 0.3 U/mL; enoxaparin, 0.4 U/mL; fondaparinux, 1.1 U/mL) in human plasma from anti-Xa assays.

 CE_{50} values. It can be related to our previous theoretical structural studies on DGLs, where we showed that all DGLs independently of their generation-displayed adjacent charges separated by a similar average distance of 8.0 \AA^{16} Such a uniformity in charges' density could explain similar binding behavior from branched DGLs G2 to G5. The[ref](#page-4-0)ore, we selected the synthetically more accessible and cheaper DGLs G2 and G3 as the most promising heparin antidotes for subsequent biological studies.

We next investigated the performances of DGL G2, DGL G3, and protamine (as reference) as antidotes for clinically used heparins in human plasma: UFH, the LMWH enoxaparin, and fondaparinux. The reversal activity of the antidotes was assessed in human plasma with the reliable anti-Xa assay (Figure 2), which is based on the AT III-mediated inhibition of activated Xa in the presence of heparins as measured by a chromogenic Xa substrate (STA-Rotachrom assay). $46,47$ Regarding UFH (Figure 2, A), both DGLs G2 and G3 were more efficient than protamine in neutralizing the anticoag[ulant](#page-5-0) activity, which agrees well with their lower CE_{50} values.⁴⁸ Contrary to DGL G2, a sharp paradoxical effect (i.e., the restoration of the anti-Xa activity) was observed for DGL [G3](#page-5-0) after full neutralization of UFH when the antidote was used in excess. Coagulation is a complex process involving parallel positive and inhibitory feedback loops initiated by interactions between the endothelium, plasma proteins, and platelets. According to the literature, one may hypothesize that-as for large cationic dendritic structures such as PAMAMs-DGL

G3 may disrupt key platelet functions.⁴⁹ Whatever the mechanism(s) involved in this observed paradoxical effect with either UFH or enoxaparin, DGL [G3](#page-5-0) was therefore disqualified as a clinically relevant antidote for heparin-based anticoagulants. Regarding the LMWH enoxaparin, we confirmed that protamine neutralized ca. 50−60% of its anti-Xa activity as reported previously (Figure 2B).¹³ This contrasts with DGLs G2 that allows to reach ca. 90% of neutralization. Finally, we tested our lead candidate on f[on](#page-4-0)daparinux, for which protamine is not an antidote (Figure $2C$).¹⁴ Remarkably, DGL G2 was able to neutralize ca. 60% of its anti-Xa activity, therefore qualifying the dendritic polymer [as](#page-4-0) universal reversal agent for heparin-based anticoagulants.

In order to obtain structural and energetic information that could explain the superior neutralization activity of DGL G2 in comparison to protamine, we performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on their stoichiometric complexes with UFH (44 saccharide units, Mw = 14.6 kDa), enoxaparin (14 saccharide units, $Mw = 4.6$ kDa), and fondaparinux (5 saccharide units, $Mw = 1.7$ kDa). Using the Amber 18 software package, $50 \text{ long MD trajectories of 2 } \mu\text{s in explicit}$ TIP3P water were collected for the complexes involving the four known seq[ue](#page-5-0)nces of protamine and eight possible regioisomers of DGL G2 previously generated (Figure 3, see the Supporting Information for full computational details).⁵¹ From the postprocessing analysis of each si[mulation,](#page-3-0) we extr[acted the corresponding](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090/suppl_file/ml9b00090_si_001.pdf) charge-normalized free energy [of](#page-5-0) binding value $\Delta G_{\text{bind,eff}} = \Delta G_{\text{bind}} / N_{\text{eff}}$ of the complex, where

Figure 3. Representative snapshots taken at the end of the MD trajectories for fondaparinux (A), enoxaparin (B), UFH (C), and the stoichiometric complexes DGL G2:fondaparinux (D), DGL G2:enoxaparin (E), DGL G2:UFH (F), protamine:fondaparinux (G), protamine:enoxaparin (H), and protamine:UFH (I). Heparins are represented as balls, and antidotes as sticks. For the full set of computed complexes, see the Supporting Information.

 N_{eff} is the average number of electrostatic interactions between [the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090/suppl_file/ml9b00090_si_001.pdf) [partner](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090/suppl_file/ml9b00090_si_001.pdf)s along the trajectory. As testified by their relative $\Delta G_{\rm bind,eff}$ values, DGL G2 interacts with each anticoagulant in a more effective way in comparison with protamine (Figure 4). In the case of UFH, it was possible to verify that the computed $\Delta G_{\rm bind,eff}$ ratio of 1.3 between DGL G2 and protami[ne \(Figur](#page-4-0)e 4) perfectly fits its related experimental CE_{50} ratio (Table 1), therefore validating the MD simulations a posteriori. P[revious](#page-4-0) [m](#page-4-0)icrosecond MD simulations revealed that DGL G2 alone is unfolded into a myriad of interconverting conformations.¹⁶ This conformational plasticity, which allows to optimize ion pairing at low enthalpic cost, may explain why DGL G2 is [a](#page-4-0) superior binder for heparins. In addition, the experimental decreasing efficacy of both protamine and DGL G2 as the size of the GAGs decreases (i.e., UFH to enoxaparin to fondaparinux) could be correlated with the computed decreasing affinities and average number of electrostatic interactions N_{eff} between the partners (Figure 3), the latter being also observable from the snapshots of the complexes (Figure 4). One could also note that the dendrigraft led to a much larger deformation of the anticoagulants upo[n](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090/suppl_file/ml9b00090_si_001.pdf) [complex](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090/suppl_file/ml9b00090_si_001.pdf)ation compared to protamine. Such a behavior was coined as adaptive multivalency by Smith et al., 52 where the individual charges of both partners are not so well locally optimized for binding, but the overall flexibility all[ows](#page-5-0) them to adapt their global shape more easily to maximize the total number and efficiency of contacts between the partners.

In conclusion, we identified a second-generation dendrigraft of poly-L-lysine to be a broad spectrum antidote for both unfractioned and low-molecular-weight heparins, as well as fondaparinux in human plasma. The superior efficacy of the dendritic polymer in comparison to protamine was demonstrated to be the result of its ability to more effectively use its charges. In addition, its abiotic origin, large-scale availability, nonimmunogenicity, and superior efficacy made this dendrigraft a very promising candidate for the replacement of the prevalent protein in clinical settings. Preclinical studies, including animal testing, are now necessary in order to assess the product's pharmacodynamic and safety profile. We are currently working in this direction.

Figure 4. Charge-normalized free energy of binding values $\Delta G_{\rm bind,eff}$ and average number of electrostatic interactions per frame $N_{\rm eff}$ for the 36 complexes studied by MD simulations. For each antidote:anticoagulant pair, circles refer to the individual $\Delta G_{\text{bind,eff}}$ values, and the black line to the corresponding mean value.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090.

[Full experimental and](http://pubs.acs.org) computati[onal details \(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090)

[■](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00090) AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: gerald.monard@univ-lorraine.fr.

*E-mail: jean.christophe.gris@chu-nimes.fr.

*E-mail: [laurent.vial@univ-lyon1.fr.](mailto:gerald.monard@univ-lorraine.fr)

ORCID[®]

Gerald Monard: [0000-0002-3378-34](mailto:laurent.vial@univ-lyon1.fr)[81](mailto:jean.christophe.gris@chu-nimes.fr) Julien Leclaire: 0000-0001-7984-9055 Laurent Vial: 000[0-0002-0357-0573](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3378-3481)

Notes

The authors d[eclare no competing](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0357-0573) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the CHU de Nîmes, the Université de Lorraine, the Université de Lyon, and the Université de Montpellier. GPU resources were allocated by the mésocentre EXPLOR of the Université de Lorraine. DGLs were supplied by the COLCOM company (Montpellier, France).

■ REFERENCES

(1) Mackman, N. Triggers, targets and treatments for thrombosis. Nature 2008, 451, 914−918.

(2) Francis, C. W. Prophylaxis for thromboembolism in hospitalized medical patients. N. Engl. J. Med. 2007, 356, 1438−1444.

(3) De Caterina, R.; Husted, S.; Wallentin, L.; Agnelli, G.; Bachmann, F.; Baigent, C.; Jespersen, J.; Kristensen, S. D.; Montalescot, G.; Siegbahn, A.; Verheugt, F. W.; Weitz, J. Anticoagulants in heart disease: current status and perspectives. Eur. Heart. J. 2007, 28, 880−913.

(4) Bussey, H.; Francis, J. L. Heparin overview and issues. Pharmacotherapy 2004, 24, 103S−107S.

(5) Weitz, J. I. Low-molecular-weight heparins. N. Engl. J. Med. 1997, 337, 688−699.

(6) Hirsh, J.; Warkentin, T. E.; Raschke, R.; Granger, C.; Ohman, E. M.; Dalen, J. E. Heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin. Chest 1998, 114, 489S−510S.

(7) Suranyi, M.; Chow, J. S. Review: anticoagulation for haemodialysis. Nephrology 2010, 15, 386−392.

(8) Vincentelli, A.; Jude, B.; Belisle, S. Antithrombotic therapy in ́ cardiac surgery. Can. J. Anaesth. 2006, 53, S89−S102.

(9) Schiele, F.; Meneveau, N.; Seronde, M. F.; Descotes-Genon, V.; Dutheil, J.; Chopard, R.; Ecarnot, F.; Bassand, J.-P. Routine use of fondaparinux in acute coronary syndromes: a 2-year multicenter experience. Am. Heart J. 2010, 159, 190−198.

(10) Yusuf, S.; Mehta, S. R.; Chrolavicius, S.; Afzal, C. B. G.; Pogue, J.; Budaj, A.; Peters, R. J.; Bassand, J. P.; Wallentin, L.; Fox, K. A. Comparison of fondaparinux and enoxaparin in acute coronary syndromes. N. Engl. J. Med. 2006, 354, 1464−1476.

(11) Sokolowska, E.; Kalaska, B.; Miklosz, J.; Mogielnicki, A. The toxicology of heparin reversal with protamine: past, present and future. Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol. 2016, 12, 897−909.

(12) Kitchens, C. S.; Konkle, B. A.; Kessler, C. M. Consultative Hemostasis and Thrombosis, 3rd ed.; Elsevier, 2013; pp 493−525.

(13) Makris, M.; Veen, J. J. V.; Tait, C. R.; Mumford, A. D.; Laffan, M. Guideline on the management of bleeding in patients on antithrombotic agents. Br. J. Hamaetol. 2013, 160, 35−46.

(14) Giangrande, P. Fondaparinux (Arixtra): a new anticoagulant. Int. J. Clin. Pract. Suppl. 2002, 56, 615−617.

(15) Hoffmann, J. A.; Chance, R. E.; Johnson, M. G. Purification and analysis of the major components of chum salmon protamine contained in insulin formulations using highperformance liquid chromatography. Protein Expression Purif. 1990, 1, 127−133.

(16) Francoia, J.-P.; Rossi, J.-C.; Monard, G.; Vial, L. Digitizing polyl-lysine dendrigrafts: from experimental data to molecular dynamics simulations. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2017, 57, 2173−2180.

(17) WHO Model List of Essential Medicines (March 2017): https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/273826.

(18) European Medicines Agency completes review of protaminecontaining medicines (November 2012): https://www.ema.europa. [eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-c](https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/273826)ompletes-reviewprotamine-containing-medicines.

[\(19\) Melnikova, I. The anticoagulants market.](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines) [Nat. Rev. Drug](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines) Discovery 2009, 8, 353−353.

[\(20\)](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines) [Porsche,](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines) [R.;](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines) [Brenner,](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines) [Z.](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines) [R](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/european-medicines-agency-completes-review-protamine-containing-medicines). Allergy to protamine sulfate. Heart Lung 1999, 28, 418−428.

(21) Bromfield, S. M.; Wilde, E.; Smith, D. K. Heparin sensing and binding − taking supramolecular chemistry towards clinical applications. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 9184.

(22) Schuksz, M.; Fuster, M. M.; Brown, J. R.; Crawford, B. E.; Ditto, D. P.; Lawrence, R.; Glass, C. A.; Wang, L.; Tor, Y.; Esko, J. D. Surfen, a small molecule antagonist of heparan sulfate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2008, 105, 13075−13080.

(23) Godavarti, R.; Sasisekharan, R. Heparinase I fromFlavobacterium heparinum. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 248−255.

(24) Ammar, T.; Fisher, C. F. The effects of heparinase 1 and protamine on platelet reactivity. Anesthesiology 1997, 86, 1382−1386.

(25) Wu, H.; Lundblad, R.; Church, F. Neutralization of heparin activity by neutrophil lactoferrin. Blood 1995, 85, 421−428.

(26) Kalathottukaren, M. T.; Abbina, S.; Yu, K.; Shenoi, R. A.; Creagh, A. L.; Haynes, C.; Kizhakkedathu, J. N. A polymer therapeutic having universal heparin reversal activity: molecular design and functional mechanism. Biomacromolecules 2017, 18, 3343− 3358.

(27) Wen, J.; Weinhart, M.; Lai, B.; Kizhakkedathu, J.; Brooks, D. E. Reversible hemostatic properties of sulfabetaine/quaternary ammonium modified hyperbranched polyglycerol. Biomaterials 2016, 86, 42−55.

(28) Välimäki, S.; Khakalo, A.; Ora, A.; Johansson, L.-S.; Rojas, O. J.; Kostiainen, M. A. Effect of PEG−PDMAEMA block copolymer architecture on polyelectrolyte complex formation with heparin. Biomacromolecules 2016, 17, 2891−2900.

(29) Kalaska, B.; Kaminski, K.; Sokolowska, E.; Czaplicki, D.; Kujdowicz, M.; Stalinska, K.; Bereta, J.; Szczubialka, K.; Pawlak, D.; Nowakowska, M.; Mogielnicki, A. Nonclinical evaluation of novel cationically modified polysaccharide antidotes for unfractionated heparin. PLoS One 2015, 10, No. e0119486.

(30) Shenoi, R. A.; Kalathottukaren, M. T.; Travers, R. J.; Lai, B. F. L.; Creagh, A. L.; Lange, D.; Yu, K.; Weinhart, M.; Chew, B. H.; Du, C.; Brooks, D. E.; Carter, C. J.; Morrissey, J. H.; Haynes, C. A.; Kizhakkedathu, J. N. Affinity-based design of a synthetic universal reversal agent for heparin anticoagulants. Sci. Transl. Med. 2014, 6, 260ra150−260ra150.

(31) Mecca, T.; Consoli, G. M. L.; Geraci, C.; Spina, R. L.; Cunsolo, F. Polycationic calix[8]arenes able to recognize and neutralize heparin. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 3763.

(32) Välimäki, S.; Beyeh, N. K.; Linko, V.; Ras, R. H.; Kostiainen, M. A. A supramolecular host-guest complex for heparin binding and sensing. Nanoscale 2018, 10, 14022−14030.

(33) Francoia, J.-P.; Vial, L. Everything you always wanted to know about poly-l -lysine dendrigrafts (but were afraid to ask). Chem. - Eur. J. 2018, 24, 2806−2814.

(34) Collet, H.; Souaid, E.; Cottet, H.; Deratani, A.; Boiteau, L.; Dessalces, G.; Rossi, J.-C.; Commeyras, A.; Pascal, R. An expeditious multigram-scale synthesis of lysine dendrigraft (DGL) polymers by aqueous N-carboxyanhydride polycondensation. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2309−2316.

(35) Chamieh, J.; Biron, J. P.; Cipelletti, L.; Cottet, H. Monitoring Biopolymer Degradation by Taylor Dispersion Analysis. Biomacromolecules 2015, 16, 3945−3951.

(36) Only the third-generation DGL was reported to be nonimmunogenic, see: Romestand, B.; Rolland, J.-L.; Commeyras, A.; Coussot, G.; Desvignes, I.; Pascal, R.; Vandenabeele-Trambouze, O. Dendrigraft Poly-L-Lysine: A Non-Immunogenic Synthetic Carrier for Antibody Production. Biomacromolecules 2010, 11, 1169−1173. As a general trend, unmodified amino dendrimers lack of immunogenicity, but when present, seems to only increase with increasing generation, see: Boas, U.; Christensen, J. B. Dendrimers in Medicine and Biotechnology; Royal Chemical Society Publishing: Cambridge, U.K., 2006.

(37) Hofman, J.; Buncek, M.; Haluza, R.; Streinz, L.; Ledvina, M.; Cigler, P. In vitro transfection mediated by dendrigraft poly(Llysines): the effect of structure and molecule size. Macromol. Biosci. 2013, 13, 167−176.

(38) Robison, A. D.; Sun, S.; Poyton, M. F.; Johnson, G. A.; Pellois, J. P.; Jungwirth, P.; Vazdar, M.; Cremer, P. S. Polyarginine Interacts More Strongly and Cooperatively than Polylysine with Phospholipid Bilayers. J. Phys. Chem. B 2016, 120, 9287−9296.

(39) Mitchell, D. J.; Steinman, L.; Kim, D. T.; Fathman, C. G.; Rothbard, J. B. Polyarginine enters cells more efficiently than other polycationic homopolymers. J. Pept. Res. 2000, 56, 318−325.

(40) Francoia, J.-P.; Pascal, R.; Vial, L. Monitoring clinical levels of heparin in human blood samples with an indicator-displacement assay. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1953−1956.

(41) Francoia, J.-P.; Vial, L. A KISS (keep it simple, sensor) array for glycosaminoglycans. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 17544−17547.

(42) The Azure A:UFH complex is purple, whereas the free dye is blue.

(43) Gundry, S. R.; Klein, M. D.; Drongowski, R. A.; Kirsh, M. M. Clinical evaluation of a new rapid heparin assay using the dye azure A. Am. J. Surg. 1984, 148, 191−194.

(44) Bromfield, S. M.; Posocco, P.; Fermeglia, M.; Pricl, S.; Rodríguez-López, J.; Smith, D. K. A simple new competition assay for heparin binding in serum applied to multivalent PAMAM dendrimers. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4830.

(45) CE_{50} values were calculated using the following equation: CE_{50} = $[EC_{50} \times$ number of antidote's positive charges]/[concentration of anticoagulant's negative charges].

(46) Vandiver, J. W.; Vondracek, T. G. Antifactor Xa levels versus activated partial thromboplastin time for monitoring unfractionated heparin. Pharmacotherapy 2012, 32, 546−558.

(47) Gehrie, E.; Laposata, M. Test of the month: the chromogenic antifactor Xa assay. Am. J. Hematol. 2012, 87, 194−196.

(48) Within the same concentration range, DGL G1 did not fully neutralize UFH's anti-Xa activity (data not shown), which was also in agreement with the data from Azure A-displacement assays.

(49) Jones, C. F.; Campbell, R. A.; Franks, Z.; Gibson, C. C.; Thiagarajan, G.; de Abreu, A. V.; Sukavaneshvar, S.; Mohammad, S. F.; Li, D. Y.; Ghandehari, H.; Weyrich, A. S.; Brooks, B. D.; Grainger, D. W. Cationic PAMAM dendrimers disrupt key platelet functions. Mol. Pharmaceutics 2012, 9, 1599−1611.

(50) Case, D.; Ben-Shalom, I.; Brozell, S.; Cerutti, D.; Cheatham, T., III; Cruzeiro, V.; Darden, T.; Duke, R.; Ghoreishi, D.; Gilson, M.; Gohlke, H.; Goetz, A.; Greene, D.; Harris, R.; Homeyer, N.; Izadi, S.; Kovalenko, A.; Kurtzman, T.; Lee, T.; LeGrand, S.; Li, P.; Lin, C.; Liu, J.; Luchko, T.; Luo, R.; Mermelstein, D.; Merz, K.; Miao, Y.; Monard, G.; Nguyen, C.; Nguyen, H.; Omelyan, I.; Onufriev, A.; Pan, F.; Qi, R.; Roe, D.; Roitberg, A.; Sagui, C.; Schott-Verdugo, S.; Shen, J.; Simmerling, C.; Smith, J.; Salomon-Ferrer, R.; Swails, J.; Walker, R.; Wang, J.; Wei, H.; Wolf, R.; Wu, X.; Xiao, L.; York, D.; Kollman, P. AMBER 18; University of California, San Francisco, 2018.

(51) For each generation of dendrigraft, a large number of possible regioisomers exists. Using a homemade encoding algorithm, we previously generated eight three-dimensional structures for each generation of DGL that respect their experimental characterizations (i.e., composition, degrees of polymerization, branching ratios, charges). For more details, see ref 16.

(52) Smith, D. K. From fundamental supramolecular chemistry to self-assembled nanomaterials and medicines and back again-how Sam inspired SAMul. Chem. Commun. [201](#page-4-0)8, 54, 4743−4760.