

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Curr Geriatr Rep.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Geriatr Rep. 2018 December; 7(4): 272-277. doi:10.1007/s13670-018-0266-9.

# Academic Geriatric Orthopaedics: A New paradigm for Inpatient Care

Carmen E. Quatman, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Jessica Wiseman, BA, BS<sup>1</sup>, and Laura Phieffer, MD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center

# Abstract

**Purpose of review:** Healthcare systems are embarking on innovative, technologically savvy approaches to caring for our most rapidly growing population worldwide- the elderly. As healthcare systems respond, adapt and strategically plan for this rapidly growing population, it is paramount that we develop new paradigms of care for older patients. This review highlights some of the approaches academic medical centers are taking to improve the musculoskeletal and orthopaedic health of older adults.

**Recent Findings:** Academic health centers are posed to set and lead the standard of care for the next generation of orthopaedic care for older adults by leveraging innovative informatics platforms, quality improvement methodologies, game-changing research initiatives, education to the next generation of providers and helping change policies to help patients receive the best quality of life possible across the aging spectrum.

**Summary:** Academic geriatric orthopaedics can help society embrace a new paradigm in care for older adults by leveraging rapidly developing technologically and innovative approaches to care, advanced research, and education.

#### Keywords

academic health centers; standard of care; technology; quality improvement

# Background

The number of people 60 years of age or older worldwide is expected to grow from 960 million (in 2017) to nearly two billion by 2050.<sup>1</sup> As healthcare systems respond and strategically plan for this dramatic growth in older patients, it is imperative that a new paradigm in care for older orthopaedic patients be developed in order to ensure the most efficient, effective, safe, and supportive approach to musculoskeletal health care for patients across the aging spectrum. Because every person deserves the best quality of life, at all stages in life, it is time to create transdisciplinary collaborative care approaches to provide

**Corresponding Author:** Carmen Quatman, MD, PhD, 376 W 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Columbus, Ohio, 43210, (614) 293-9785, Carmen.Quatman@osumc.edu. **Alternate Corresponding Author:** Laura Phieffer, MD.

Conflict of Interest

Carmen Quatman, Jessica Wiseman, and Laura Phieffer declare no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

the right care, in the right place, at the right time across the entire continuum of care for older patients.

Compared to orthopaedic patients in younger generations, geriatric patients have unique needs that necessitate a different approach of care (Table 1). For example, older adults are susceptible to osteoporotic related fractures which can lead to differences in fracture fixation, healing properties and timeline of healing, and overall ability to recover from fracture and return back to baseline health status compared to younger patients.<sup>2-5</sup> Both chronological and physiological differences along the aging spectrum makes the geriatric orthopedic trauma patient particularly unique compared to younger patients. In general, geriatric patients often take longer to heal fractures, longer to recover medically overall and often need more assistance than younger adults in the post fracture time period.<sup>2</sup> These concepts are critical components to think about in terms of treating geriatric patients and in order to optimize care strategies. Specially trained orthopedic surgeons who have dedicated training and a focused lens of care to account for the unique needs of geriatric patients provide unique and more comprehensive approaches for the special needs of older patients. Orthopaedic surgeons often approach patients with the mindset of treating the immediate problem. Instead, for geriatric populations, orthopaedic surgeons who focus on geriatrics could help change the current paradigm of care to also include prevention strategies particularly related to osteoporotic fractures. Prevention in younger ages or thoughtful workup for geriatric related problems such as osteoporosis may help periprosthetic fractures and other orthopaedic pathologies that occur later in life.

The biopsychosocial factors that may lead to musculoskeletal injury and that impact outcomes are difficult to characterize and are often dependent on the chronological and physiologic age of the person. As humans go through the aging spectrum, there is a time sequential deterioration in strength, mobility, and agility. In addition, older adults have an increased susceptibility to disease and injury coincident with a decreased ability to adapt and respond to physiologic stressors.<sup>3</sup> As a result of the physiological, psychological, and social changes that change over the lifespan, medical management of elderly adults often necessitates a focus on care that is wanted, purposeful, tolerable and effective, rather than a curative approach to disease.<sup>3</sup>

In the surgical specialty of orthopaedics, greater than 50% of orthopaedic surgery is performed on patients 65 years of age or older.<sup>6</sup> Musculoskeletal diseases that progress with aging, such as osteoarthritis and osteoporosis, may significantly impact an older adult's mobility, disability, quality of life and risk for traumatic injury.<sup>3-5; 7-9</sup> Age related degenerative changes to joints, decreased balance and strength, and many other geriatric conditions that may reduce mobility, physiologic recovery after injury, and place older adults at risk for falls are becoming increasingly pertinent health burdens for patients and society. In fact, fragility fractures (low-trauma fractures) have become a worldwide epidemic, with nearly nine million fragility fractures occurring annually across the globe.<sup>10</sup> Fragility fractures can lead to significant morbidity, mortality, disability and a decrease in overall quality of life.<sup>8; 11; 12</sup> In response to these growing concerns and the need for improved models of care, a new "geriatric" orthopaedic specialty has emerged, with fellowship training in best care practices for geriatric patients to address the unique needs for older

adults with emphasis on the understanding of palliative care, multi-morbidity, frailty and general geriatric competencies.<sup>5</sup> As this new subspecialty of geriatric orthopaedics grows and further defines and sets the standards of care for musculoskeletal concerns for older adults, it is important to develop healthcare infrastructures to empower patients, clinicians, researchers, and business managers to initiate critical system changes to leverage technology and innovative clinical models to drive new models of care, particularly for the most vulnerable patient populations such as the elderly.

Academic health centers can create thriving centers of growth, innovation, cutting-edge research, and advanced care approaches while also serving as an educational springboard to elevate care around the world as medical trainees graduate and move on to provide health care globally.<sup>13</sup> This report will outline the motivation for creating an academic geriatric orthopaedic practice to continue to promote and evolve the field of geriatric orthopaedics and highlight how leveraging clinical care, advanced research, education and health care policy can optimize and elevate best care practices for older patients.

# **Clinical Care**

Annual costs in the United States related to fragility fractures are estimated to rise to \$25.3 billion dollars for the > 3 million fragility fractures by 2025.<sup>14</sup> Medicare covers approximately 80% of the fractures, 72% of which are hip fractures.<sup>15</sup> Academic medical centers frequently see high risk orthopaedic patients and have high acceptance of transfer patients. Transfer patients consume more hospital resources and have longer lengths of stay. <sup>16</sup> Orthopaedic surgeons at academic centers must be equipped with the right teams and infrastructure to address the unique biopsychosocial needs of older adult patients. As tertiary referral centers, Academic Medical Centers (AMCs) care for a wide spectrum of geriatric fracture patients. AMCs often treat patients from the local community who are healthy or present with relatively few medical co-morbidities in addition to high-risk, multiple comorbidities patients transferred from surrounding hospitals. The AMCs that have providers with specialized interest in Geriatric Orthopaedic care benefit from the development of multi-disciplinary care teams that can be engaged to develop streamlined pathways to provide timely care, decrease delays to surgery and minimize complications in the perioperative time period for all patients despite their associated medical co-morbidities. With a research focus and evidenced-based approach these teams can focus on key quality indicators such as time to surgery, readmission rates, mortality and osteoporosis education for the entire spectrum of geriatric fracture patients.

The International Geriatric Fracture Society (IGFS) is the first to develop a program that independently verifies and certifies achievements of fracture care programs for older adults. The program, known as the CORE Certification Program, collects and benchmarks data from internationally located fracture care programs with the objective of increasing value and outcomes for patients and improving resource allocation and reducing spending. The benchmarking capability and establishment of high standards of care is helping set the tone for policy and reimbursement. AMCs should strive for these clinical care benchmarks to ensure high quality clinical care.

The added intricacies of caring for an older patient necessitate coordination, cooperation, and communication among a large multidisciplinary team. Geriatric comanagement in orthopaedics improves post-operative functional status, reduces perioperative complications, and reduces hospital length of stay.<sup>17</sup> Representatives from orthopaedics, geriatrics, anesthesiology, internal medicine, nursing, and therapy are needed to establish a well-functioning multidisciplinary team.<sup>18</sup> The multidisciplinary team should establish standard definitions to facilitate accurate and complete communication and be trained on specific elements of geriatric-oriented care. A Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) improves key outcomes for older adult patients, decreasing rates of institutionalization and mortality.<sup>19</sup> Traditionally the CGA, considered the core technology of geriatric medicine, is administered by a multidisciplinary team, usually on a dedicated floor. Since population ageing is progressing, any older adult patient in the hospital may benefit from this additional component of assessment.<sup>20</sup> In addition, nurse and hospital staff education is necessary to improve the hospital course of an older patient through critical steps like ensuring adequate nutrition and post-operative mobility.<sup>21</sup>

As a consequence of improved health in old age and demographic changes, the principal consumers of inpatient hospital services are older adults. Musculoskeletal changes and ageassociated sensory losses that characterize older adults yield a patient that requires a unique orthopaedic approach. Osteoporosis is a particular concern for surgical care due to healing potential and implant fixation complexities associated with the microarchitecture and decreased bone mass. Until a fracture occurs, osteoporosis and osteopenia are often undiagnosed in adults 65 and older.<sup>22</sup> A fragility fracture is a strong predictor for recidivism, yet patients are not provided sufficient guidance and effective pharmacological treatment to prevent future fractures.<sup>23; 24</sup> Fragility fractures should have providers who specialize in bone health wellness and prevention who can be consulted at the time of fracture to help create a new opportunity for future fracture prevention as well as bone health optimization for fracture healing. Family members are especially positioned to provide enhanced patient support and may contribute to improved patient health outcomes, whether the patient is returning to home or is going to a short-term or long-term nursing facility. Family members may be involved in filling and picking up prescriptions, reminding the patient to take medications, shopping for groceries or preparing food, encouraging the patient to remain mobile, and transportation to community resources. Social support is associated with patient mental health and mortality.<sup>25-28</sup> At the same time, some older adult patients may be affected by limited social support systems, necessitating sufficient education and communication for short-and long-term nursing facilities. Excellence in clinical care for geriatric orthopaedic patients necessitates exceptional communication of care needs and biopsychosocial components that may impact outcomes across the entire care continuum. Involving multidisciplinary care teams, patient and caregiver communication and education at AMCs may be one of the most important aspects to providing the best evidenced based care to geriatric orthopaedic patients. A great example of this is the type of care coordination it takes to transition geriatric patients quickly to the operating room for urgent treatment of hip fractures, which is essential for moderating medical costs and length of stay.<sup>29</sup> We should continue to strive for excellent communication and collaboration for geriatric orthopaedic patients to ultimately improve outcomes.

#### Education, Community Engagement and Policy

AMCs are often the epicenter for training of surgeons and other specialties as well as excellent centers for community engagement and development of healthcare policy. Education about core geriatric principles for orthopaedic residents as well as established surgeons is integral to maintaining high standards of care for older patients. Surgeons need to be aware of the factors predictive of increased mortality in older patients, including age, male gender, low mental status, frailty, co-morbidities, immobility, delirium, and living in an institution pre-fracture.<sup>30-37</sup> Older adults also have unique post-operative risk factors that put them at risk for complications, loss of independence and increased disability. Residents, fellows, advance practice providers and attending surgeons should have an understanding of the impact of malnutrition, frailty, sarcopenia, polypharmacy, early mobilization, post-operative delirium, social support, depression, and barriers in care transitions on older adult patients.<sup>5</sup> Establishing geriatric fellowships at AMCs would allow for focused study of the entire course of care for older patients in the pre-hospital, hospital, and post-hospital environments.

The American Orthopaedic Association has created a national fragility fracture prevention initiative, Own the Bone, to reduce future fractures, increase awareness about poor bone health, and improve osteoporosis treatment.<sup>38</sup> Educational programs such as Own the Bone improve awareness across the spectrum for providers, caregivers and patients. Hospital systems that participate in Own the Bone are encouraged to provide patient education about fall prevention strategies, dietary supplementations, and the benefits of weight-bearing exercises. Own the Bone is available to centers across the country and offers the resources and guidance to develop a comprehensive program for improving prevention and care of osteoporotic fractures.

Older adult readmissions or reoperations are associated with higher 1-year mortality and most readmissions are within three months of treatment of the initial injury.<sup>39-43</sup> Educating patients and their families regarding their hospital course and providing direction to community resources for post-hospital success may optimize the management of their injury and prevent re-injury. Patient education decreases pre- and post-operative anxiety, postoperative pain, cost, and readmissions while improving coping, length of stay, surgical outcomes, and patient satisfaction.<sup>44-51</sup> Patients often have some level of control over modifiable risk factors such as motivation and resiliency to overcome adversity, setting expectations for older adult patients early, often, and consistently across in-hospital care teams for mobility and nutrition may improve patient outcomes. Whenever possible, education should be provided as it moderates patient expectations for pain relief and functional outcomes and may lead to improved patient compliance and participation in rehabilitation by setting clear expectations for recovery. Patient education materials should be designed with optimal comprehension as the objective, providing information that is understandable the first time that it is presented, about the sixth-grade reading level, with pictures.<sup>52; 53</sup> The majority (81%) of materials provided by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) are written above the eighth grade reading level.<sup>54</sup> In addition, older patients may have physical impairments such as dementia, vision or hearing loss that limits their ability to read, comprehend or hear education tools and

recommendations. Geriatric Orthopaedic specialists should recognize these barriers to comprehension of the recovery process and find unique ways to facilitate education to patients and caregivers that overcomes these obstacles. As patients prepare to leave the hospital, communication is essential to facilitate the transition of care.

AMCs and academic geriatric orthopaedic providers have the opportunity to help set the standard of care and guide health care policy and reimbursement. Health policy in the United States is an evolving guideline that significantly impacts health care systems and patient care. Bundled-payment models, mandatory in some areas of the United States, hope to incentivize surgeons and hospitals by administering a financial penalty if acceptable outcomes are not achieved. Benefits from this system have been observed, including decreased length of stay and increased discharge to home.<sup>55</sup> However, current bundledpayment models do not have sufficient patient risk stratification to account for the complexity of patient care needs and co-morbidities, particularly for those patients that may be treated at AMCs and tertiary referral centers. Older adults are more likely than younger and healthier patients to have worse outcomes and require reoperation and readmission. In the absence of risk stratification, it is possible that hospital systems that care for patients with complex injuries or diseases will lose money under the bundled payment model or that the model could result in restricted care based on patient age and co-morbidities. AMCs must provide essential feedback and information regarding elder-oriented orthopaedic care that uses the most effective musculoskeletal healthcare techniques while addressing the best way to minimize costs to the healthcare system.

### Research

A cornerstone of an academic medicine program is scholarship. For a geriatric orthopaedic academic medicine program this includes an emphasis on the generation, dissemination, interpretation, and implementation of geriatric orthopaedic research that sets standards for health, safety, and quality of care for older patients and creates healthcare policies that can impact the older adult population worldwide. One of the benefits of a large academic health center is the access to "people power" with more opportunities for social interactions with multiple disciplines involved in cutting-edge research and increased chances for transdisciplinary collaborations. Particularly if an academic geriatric orthopaedic program is located in a university setting, this may provide a social network breeding ground for access to unique resources, technologies, innovative methods, and funding opportunities that may not be readily available to small community hospitals and rural health care facilities.

A major tenet of performing cutting edge research and clinical trials for patients is large scale research funds to carry-out research, disseminate results and implement strategies on a wide scope of practices. While high level funding opportunities such as National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Defense (DOD), Patient Centered Outcomes Research Initiatives (PCORI), and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) may be available for application by many clinicians and scientists, one of the major criteria for funding by these sources is often based on an institution's resources for successfully carrying out the proposed project. Larger health care systems such as AMCs may have a better infrastructure in place to demonstrate feasibility of healthcare studies and provide

guidance and collaborations to more junior level investigators through clinical and translational science centers, core research centers and access to epidemiologists, bioinformatics and biostatisticians for carrying out projects. In addition, patient registries are becoming increasingly more common for all medical specialties. However, creation, maintenance and data extraction may require significant funds and staffing that may not be readily available at non-academic facilities. While most hospital systems have Institutional Review Boards (IRB programs) in place, in order to support human research, AMCs may have increased access and processes in place to facilitate writing IRBs efficiently and may potentially host review boards on a more frequent basis that allows for more timely approvals for research protocols. As a result, an academic geriatric orthopaedic program may have a higher emphasis on research, participate in more multi-center trials, and provide access to clinical trials that may not be readily available at non-academic medical set.

# Conclusion

Population demographics show an increasing proportion of older adults coincident with a decreasing birth rate, leading to a necessary evolution of health provisions. Academic medical centers have the resource availability for research in addition to physicians experienced with high acuity orthopaedic cases. The older adult patient population is often excluded from multicenter trials that inform academic research due to failing to meet inclusion criteria, so orthopaedic surgeons treating older adults must maintain a flexibility of mindset taking into account understanding of the complex and unique factors that characterize this population. As a result, there is a need for the academic orthopaedic surgeon to be a leader in maintaining high standards of care for geriatric orthopaedic patients. Societies and programs, such as IGFS and Own the Bone, may be instrumental in providing a framework and incentive to achieve high standards of care. In addition, residents, physicians, transdisciplinary team members, and hospital staff should receive geriatric-oriented training to improve patient experience, patient outcomes, and reduce costs. Academic geriatric orthopaedic teams should have excellent coordination, cooperation, and communication to maximize the quality and coherence of care for older patients and minimize expense or delay in medical treatment. Academic medical centers could be uniquely positioned to introduce and establish a novel framework for orthopaedic care for older adults by leveraging innovative informatics platforms, quality improvement methodologies, game-changing research initiatives, education to the next generation of providers, and helping change policies so patients receive the best quality of life across the aging spectrum.

#### References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- ••Of major importance
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. 2017 World population prospects the 2017 revision: Key findings and advance tables, [accessed 02/01/18]https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/ publications/Files/WPP2017\_KeyFindings.pdf.

- 2. Court-Brown CM, McQueen M, Swiontkowski MF, Ring D, Friedman SM, Duckworth AD. 2016 Musculoskeletal trauma in the elderly. CRC Press. This book features musculoskeletal trauma management in the geriatric population
- 3. Kane RLO JG, Abrass IB. 1994 Essentials of clinical geriatrics. McGraw-Hill.
- 4. Koval KJ, Zuckerman JD. 1997 Orthopaedic challenges in the aging population: Trauma treatment and related clinical issues. Instructional course lectures. 46:423–430. [PubMed: 9143984]
- ••5. Quatman CE, Switzer JA. 2017 Geriatric orthopaedics: A new paradigm for management of older patients. Current Geriatrics Reports. 6(1):15–19. This paper highlights the need for geriatric fellowship trained orthopaedic surgeons
- Etzioni DA, Liu JH, Maggard MA, Ko CY. 2003 The aging population and its impact on the surgery workforce. Annals of surgery. 238(2): 170–177. [PubMed: 12894008]
- Boden SD, Einhorn TA, Morgan TS, Tosi LL, Weinstein JN. 2005 An aoa critical issue. The future of the orthopaedic surgeon-proceduralist or keeper of the musculoskeletal system? The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume. 87(12):2812–2821. [PubMed: 16322633]
- Cooper C 1997 The crippling consequences of fractures and their impact on quality of life. The American journal of medicine. 103(2a):12S–17S; discussion 17S-19S.
- Schmidt AH. 2008 The changing face of orthopaedic trauma: Fragility and periprosthetic fractures. Instructional course lectures. 57:11–16. [PubMed: 18399566]
- Johnell O, Kanis JA. 2006 An estimate of the worldwide prevalence and disability associated with osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 17(12):1726–1733.
- Englander F, Hodson TJ, Terregrossa RA. 1996 Economic dimensions of slip and fall injuries. J Forensic Sci. 41(5):733–746. [PubMed: 8789837]
- Papadimitriou N, Tsilidis KK, Orfanos P, Benetou V, Ntzani EE, Soerjomataram I, Kunn-Nelen A, Pettersson-Kymmer U, Eriksson S, Brenner H et al. 2017 Burden of hip fracture using disabilityadjusted life-years: A pooled analysis of prospective cohorts in the chances consortium. The Lancet Public health. 2(5):e239–e246. [PubMed: 29253489]
- 13. Dimario FJ Jr. 2012 The ebb and flow model: A philosophy of organizational learning in the academic health center. Connecticut medicine. 76(2):99–106. [PubMed: 22670360]
- 14. Burge R, Dawson-Hughes B, Solomon DH, Wong JB, King A, Tosteson A. 2007 Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis-related fractures in the united states, 2005-2025. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 22(3):465–475.
- 15. Cosman F, de Beur SJ, LeBoff MS, Lewiecki EM, Tanner B, Randall S, Lindsay R. 2014 Clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 25(10):2359–2381.
- Bernard AM, Hayward RA, Rosevear J, Chun H, McMahon LF. 1996 Comparing the hospitalizations of transfer and non-transfer patients in an academic medical center. Academic medicine : journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges. 71(3):262–266. [PubMed: 8607926]
- Adogwa O, Elsamadicy AA, Vuong VD, Moreno J, Cheng J, Karikari IO, Bagley CA. 2017 Geriatric comanagement reduces perioperative complications and shortens duration of hospital stay after lumbar spine surgery: A prospective single-institution experience. Journal of neurosurgery Spine. 27(6):670–675. [PubMed: 28960161]
- Friedman SM, Mendelson DA, Bingham KW, Kates SL. 2009 Impact of a comanaged geriatric fracture center on short-term hip fracture outcomes. Archives of internal medicine. 169(18):1712– 1717. [PubMed: 19822829]
- Ellis G, Whitehead MA, Robinson D, O'Neill D, Langhorne P. 2011 Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital: Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Bmj. 343:d6553. [PubMed: 22034146]

- Parker SG, McLeod A, McCue P, Phelps K, Bardsley M, Roberts HC, Conroy SP. 2017 New horizons in comprehensive geriatric assessment. Age and ageing. 46(5):713–721. [PubMed: 28874007]
- Peiris CL, Taylor NF, Shields N. 2011 Extra physical therapy reduces patient length of stay and improves functional outcomes and quality of life in people with acute or subacute conditions: A systematic review. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 92(9):1490–1500. [PubMed: 21878220]
- 22. Office of the Surgeon G. 2004 Reports of the surgeon general Bone health and osteoporosis: A report of the surgeon general. Rockville (MD): Office of the Surgeon General (US).
- 23. Magaziner J, Simonsick EM, Kashner TM, Hebel JR, Kenzora JE. 1989 Survival experience of aged hip fracture patients. American journal of public health. 79(3):274–278. [PubMed: 2916711]
- Balasubramanian A, Tosi LL, Lane JM, Dirschl DR, Ho PR, O'Malley CD. 2014 Declining rates of osteoporosis management following fragility fractures in the u.S., 2000 through 2009. The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume. 96(7):e52. [PubMed: 24695929]
- Berkman LF, Syme SL. 1979 Social networks, host resistance, and mortality: A nine-year followup study of alameda county residents. American journal of epidemiology. 109(2):186–204. [PubMed: 425958]
- George LK, Blazer DG, Hughes DC, Fowler N. 1989 Social support and the outcome of major depression. The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science. 154:478–485. [PubMed: 2590779]
- Golden J, Conroy RM, Bruce I, Denihan A, Greene E, Kirby M, Lawlor BA. 2009 Loneliness, social support networks, mood and wellbeing in community-dwelling elderly. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 24(7):694–700. [PubMed: 19274642]
- Tomaka J, Thompson S, Palacios R. 2006 The relation of social isolation, loneliness, and social support to disease outcomes among the elderly. Journal of aging and health. 18(3):359–384.
  [PubMed: 16648391]
- 29. Pincus D, Wasserstein D, Ravi B, Huang A, Paterson JM, Jenkinson RJ, Kreder HJ, Nathens AB, Wodchis WP. 2018 Medical costs of delayed hip fracture surgery. JBJS. 100(16):1387–1396. This study demonstrates increased medical costs and length of stay are associated with hip fracture surgery outside of 24 hour window
- Aharonoff GB, Koval KJ, Skovron ML, Zuckerman JD. 1997 Hip fractures in the elderly: Predictors of one year mortality. Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 11(3):162–165. [PubMed: 9181497]
- Cree M, Soskolne CL, Belseck E, Homig J, McElhaney JE, Brant R, Suarez-Almazor M. 2000 Mortality and institutionalization following hip fracture. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 48(3):283–288. [PubMed: 10733054]
- 32. Ensrud KE, Ewing SK, Taylor BC, Fink HA, Stone KL, Cauley JA, Tracy JK, Hochberg MC, Rodondi N, Cawthon PM. 2007 Frailty and risk of falls, fracture, and mortality in older women: The study of osteoporotic fractures. The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences. 62(7):744–751.
- Graham JE, Snih SA, Berges IM, Ray LA, Markides KS, Ottenbacher KJ. 2009 Frailty and 10-year mortality in community-living mexican american older adults. Gerontology. 55(6):644–651. [PubMed: 19690395]
- Holmberg S, Conradi P, Kalen R, Thorngren KG. 1986 Mortality after cervical hip fracture. 3002 patients followed for 6 years. Acta orthopaedica Scandinavica. 57(1):8–11. [PubMed: 3962639]
- Lin JC, Liang WM. 2017 Mortality, readmission, and reoperation after hip fracture in nonagenarians. BMC musculoskeletal disorders. 18(1):144. [PubMed: 28376876]
- 36. Meyer HE, Tverdal A, Falch JA, Pedersen JI. 2000 Factors associated with mortality after hip fracture. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 11(3):228–232.
- Silva TJ, Jerussalmy CS, Farfel JM, Curiati JA, Jacob-Filho W. 2009 Predictors of in-hospital mortality among older patients. Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil). 64(7):613–618.

- 38. What is own the bone?; [accessed 02/01/2018]. http://www.ownthebone.org/OTB/About/ What\_Is\_Own\_the\_Bone/OTB/About/What\_Is\_Own\_the\_Bone.
- Boockvar KS, Halm EA, Litke A, Silberzweig SB, McLaughlin M, Penrod JD, Magaziner J, Koval K, Strauss E, Siu AL. 2003 Hospital readmissions after hospital discharge for hip fracture: Surgical and nonsurgical causes and effect on outcomes. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 51(3):399–403. [PubMed: 12588585]
- French DD, Bass E, Bradham DD, Campbell RR, Rubenstein LZ. 2008 Rehospitalization after hip fracture: Predictors and prognosis from a national veterans study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 56(4):705–710. [PubMed: 18005354]
- Giusti A, Barone A, Razzano M, Pizzonia M, Oliveri M, Pioli G. 2008 Predictors of hospital readmission in a cohort of 236 elderly discharged after surgical repair of hip fracture: One-year follow-up. Aging clinical and experimental research. 20(3):253–259. [PubMed: 18594193]
- Hahnel J, Burdekin H, Anand S. 2009 Re-admissions following hip fracture surgery. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England. 91(7):591–595. [PubMed: 19558764]
- 43. Teixeira A, Trinquart L, Raphael M, Bastianic T, Chatellier G, Holstein J. 2009 Outcomes in older patients after surgical treatment for hip fracture: A new approach to characterise the link between readmissions and the surgical stay. Age and ageing. 38(5):584–589. [PubMed: 19596738]
- Bondy LR, Sims N, Schroeder DR, Offord KP, Narr BJ. 1999 The effect of anesthetic patient education on preoperative patient anxiety. Regional anesthesia and pain medicine. 24(2):158–164. [PubMed: 10204903]
- Butler GS, Hurley CA, Buchanan KL, Smith-VanHorne J. 1996 Prehospital education: Effectiveness with total hip replacement surgery patients. Patient education and counseling. 29(2): 189–197. [PubMed: 9006235]
- 46. Edwards PK, Mears SC, Lowry Barnes C. 2017 Preoperative education for hip and knee replacement: Never stop learning. Current reviews in musculoskeletal medicine. 10(3):356–364. [PubMed: 28647838]
- Gammon J, Mulholland CW. 1996 Effect of preparatory information prior to elective total hip replacement on post-operative physical coping outcomes. International journal of nursing studies. 33(6):589–604. [PubMed: 8970857]
- 48. Giraudet-Le Quintrec JS, Coste J, Vastel L, Pacault V, Jeanne L, Lamas JP, Kerboull L, Fougeray M, Conseiller C, Kahan A et al. 2003 Positive effect of patient education for hip surgery: A randomized trial. Clinical orthopaedics and related research. (414):112–120.
- 49. Jones S, Alnaib M, Kokkinakis M, Wilkinson M, St Clair Gibson A, Kader D. 2011 Pre-operative patient education reduces length of stay after knee joint arthroplasty. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England. 93(1):71–75. [PubMed: 21418755]
- Moulton LS, Evans PA, Starks I, Smith T. 2015 Pre-operative education prior to elective hip arthroplasty surgery improves postoperative outcome. International orthopaedics. 39(8):1483– 1486. [PubMed: 25862634]
- Sjoling M, Nordahl G, Olofsson N, Asplund K. 2003 The impact of preoperative information on state anxiety, postoperative pain and satisfaction with pain management. Patient education and counseling. 51(2):169–176. [PubMed: 14572947]
- 52. What is plain language?; [accessed 02/01/2018]. https://www.plainlanguage.gov/about/definitions/.
- 53. Safeer RS, Keenan J. 2005 Health literacy: The gap between physicians and patients. American family physician. 72(3):463–468. [PubMed: 16100861]
- Eltorai AE, Sharma P, Wang J, Daniels AH. 2015 Most american academy of orthopaedic surgeons' online patient education material exceeds average patient reading level. Clinical orthopaedics and related research. 473(4):1181–1186. [PubMed: 25475715]
- 55. Iorio R 2015 Strategies and tactics for successful implementation of bundled payments: Bundled payment for care improvement at a large, urban, academic medical center. The Journal of arthroplasty. 30(3):349–350. [PubMed: 25680447]

#### Table 1:

Fracture Characteristics across the Aging Spectrum

	Pediatric	Adult	Older Adult
Injury Mechanism & Factors	High	High	Low
Recovery	Fast	Moderate	Slow
Fracture Healing	4-8 weeks	6-10 weeks	Delayed: up to 4 months
Co-morbidities	Low	Low - moderate	High
Social Support	High	Moderate	Low
Mobility Prior to Injury	High	Moderate - high	Moderate - low