**Systems/Circuits**

# **Synaptic Excitation in Spinal Motoneurons Alternates with Synaptic Inhibition and Is Balanced by Outward Rectification during Rhythmic Motor Network Activity**

# **Robertas Guzulaitis and XJorn Hounsgaard**

Department of Neuroscience, University of Copenhagen, Panum Institute, Copenhagen, DK 2200, Denmark

**Regular firing in spinal motoneurons of red-eared turtles (***Trachemys scripta elegans***, either sex) evoked by steady depolarization at rest is replaced by irregular firing during functional network activity. The transition caused by increased input conductance and synaptic fluctuations in membrane potential was suggested to originate from intense concurrent inhibition and excitation. We show that the conductance increase in motoneurons duringfunctional network activity is mainly caused by intrinsic outward rectification nearthresh**old for action potentials by activation of voltage and Ca<sup>2+</sup> gated K channels. Intrinsic outward rectification facilitates spiking by focusing **synaptic depolarization nearthreshold for action potentials. By direct recording of synaptic currents, we also showthat motoneurons are activated by out-of-phase peaks in excitation and inhibition during network activity, whereas continuous low-level concurrent inhibition and excitation may contribute to irregular firing.**

*Key words:* **central pattern generator; high-conductance states; motoneuron; outward rectification; reciprocal inhibition and excitation**

#### **Significance Statement**

**Neurons embedded in active neural networks can enter a high-conductance state. High-conductance states were observed in spinal motoneurons during rhythmic motor behavior. Assuming no change in intrinsic conductance, it was suggested that the high-conductance state in motoneurons originated from balanced inhibition and excitation. In this study, we demonstrate that intrinsic outward rectification significantly contributes to the high-conductance state. Outward rectification balances synaptic excitation and maintains membrane potential near spike threshold. In addition, direct synaptic current recordings show out-ofphase excitation and inhibition in motoneurons during rhythmic network activity.**

#### **Introduction**

Neurons in large-scale networks tend to fire with irregular interspike intervals. Theoretically, irregular firing most readily occurs in response to concurrent inhibition and excitation produced by uncorrelated intense activity in large numbers of afferents forming weak synapses [\(Gerstein and Mandelbrot, 1964\)](#page-9-0). Experimental evidence suggests that background synaptic activity is high in cortical pyramidal cells *in vivo* (Paré et al., 1998) and leads to increased variability of interspike intervals [\(Holt et al., 1996;](#page-9-2) [Destexhe et al., 2003\)](#page-9-3). Functional roles for concurrent inhibition and excitation have been proposed for sensory cortices [\(Shadlen](#page-9-4) [and Newsome, 1994,](#page-9-4) [1998;](#page-9-5) Mariño et al., 2005; [Monier et al.,](#page-9-7)

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Copyright © 2017 the authors 0270-6474/17/379239-10\$15.00/0

[2008;](#page-9-7) [Dehghani et al., 2016;](#page-9-8) [Kremkow et al., 2016\)](#page-9-9). Theoretically, the balanced state in networks favors fast response times [\(van](#page-9-10) [Vreeswijk and Sompolinsky, 1996\)](#page-9-10) and is efficient for coding (Denève and Machens, 2016), and the associated variable interspike intervals reduce accumulation of correlated activity [\(Aver](#page-8-0)[beck et al., 2006;](#page-8-0) [Renart et al., 2010\)](#page-9-12). Concurrent inhibition and excitation also provides for sensitive regulation of postsynaptic gain [\(Chance et al., 2002\)](#page-9-13). In cortical circuits, concurrent inhibition and excitation is associated with the occurrence of up states [\(Shu et al., 2003\)](#page-9-14) and orientation selectivity in local microcircuits [\(Marino et al., 2005\)](#page-9-6). The dynamic interplay between inhibition and excitation plays a fundamental role in processing sensory stimuli in local sensory cortical microcircuits [\(Wehr and Zador,](#page-9-15) [2003;](#page-9-15) [Wilent and Contreras, 2005;](#page-9-16) [Monier et al., 2008;](#page-9-7) [Kremkow](#page-9-9) [et al., 2016\)](#page-9-9).

In contrast, the presence and role of concurrent inhibition and excitation in spinal motor function is not well established but could be the course of the high-conductance states in motoneurons during network activity [\(Alaburda et al., 2005\)](#page-8-1). It is widely accepted that spinal motoneurons are activated by out-of-phase reciprocal inhibition and excitation during rhythmic behaviors

Received March 24, 2017; revised Aug. 10, 2017; accepted Aug. 17, 2017.

Author contributions: R.G. and J.H. designed research; R.G. performed research; R.G. analyzed data; R.G. and J.H. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by The Lundbeck Foundation and the Danish Research Council. Histology experiments were performed at the Core Facility of Integrative Microscopy, University of Copenhagen.

Correspondence should be addressed to Robertas Guzulaitis, Department of Neuroscience, University of Copenhagen, Panum Institute, Blegdamsvej 3B, Copenhagen, DK 2200, Denmark. E-mail: r.guzulaitis@gmail.com. DOI:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0800-17.2017



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Figure 1. Experimental paradigm.*A*, Schematic representation of four hypothetical patterns of excitatory (red) and inhibitory (blue) synaptic input for motoneurons (gray) during rhythmic motor activity. Premotor excitatory and inhibitory interneurons may be active in-phase (reciprocal) or out-of-phase (balanced). Alternatively, only excitatory or inhibitory interneurons could provide oscillatory synaptic input to motoneurons. *B*, Left, Alternative activation patterns were examined with whole-cell recordings from motoneurons in an *ex vivo* spinal cord– carapace preparation from the adult turtle. Right, In the *ex vivo* preparation, mechanical stimulation of the carapace (loudspeaker) was used to induce rhythmic scratch network activity. *C*, A subset of the presumed motoneuronsrecordedfromwasfilledwith biocytinfor histological verification. These neurons,recorded inthe lateral part ofthe ventral horn,turned outto be ChAT-positive cells, i.e.,motoneurons. ChAT, Choline acetyl transferase.

[\(Brown, 1914;](#page-8-2) [Jankowska et al., 1965\)](#page-9-17). Nevertheless, the same rhythmic depolarization in membrane potential of motoneurons can be achieved by other combinations of inhibition and excitation [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)*A*). The reciprocal activation is supported experimentally by direct recordings of synaptic currents in small-scale spinal networks [\(Russell and Wallen, 1983;](#page-9-18) [Dale, 1985;](#page-9-19) [Kotaleski et al.,](#page-9-20) [1999;](#page-9-20) [Gabriel et al., 2009\)](#page-9-21). The same type of recordings from motoneurons in the neonatal spinal cord in rats found either rhythmic excitation or rhythmic inhibition [\(Hochman and Schmidt,](#page-9-22) [1998\)](#page-9-22). Furthermore, irregular firing, increased conductance, and synaptic fluctuations in membrane potential in turtle motoneurons during network activity are indicative of concurrent inhibition and excitation [\(Alaburda et al., 2005;](#page-8-1) [Berg et al., 2008;](#page-8-3) [Guzulaitis et al., 2016\)](#page-9-23). However, because of technical limitations, concurrent activation was deduced indirectly from estimates of the total conductance rather than by direct recordings of synaptic currents [\(Berg et al., 2007;](#page-8-4) [Petersen et al., 2014\)](#page-9-24). As in cortical neurons [\(Anderson et al., 2000;](#page-8-5) Mariño et al., 2005; [Priebe and Ferster, 2005\)](#page-9-25), the ratio between inhibition and excitation in motoneurons was obtained from current-clamp (CC) recordings assuming ignorable changes in nonsynaptic conductance. Quite clearly, however, and unlike estimates from voltage clamp (VC; [Borg-Graham et al., 1998;](#page-8-6) [Shu et al., 2003;](#page-9-14) [Wehr and](#page-9-15) [Zador, 2003;](#page-9-15) [Monier et al., 2008\)](#page-9-7), uncontrolled voltage changes in current clamp pose serious limitations in identifying the origin and dynamics of conductance changes during rhythmic network activity. In the present study, we implement whole-cell recordings from hip flexor motoneurons in an *ex vivo* preparation from the adult turtle [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)*B*). With current-clamp and voltage-clamp recordings, we show that intrinsic conductances contribute significantly to the high-conductance state during sensory-induced

fictive scratching. We also show that synaptic inhibition and excitation peaks out of phase during scratching.

# **Materials and Methods**

*Ethical approval.* The surgical procedures complied with Danish legislation and were approved by the controlling body under The Ministry of Justice.

*An* ex vivo *carapace–spinal cord preparation.* Surgical procedures were described previously [\(Alaburda and Hounsgaard, 2003;](#page-8-7) [Guzulaitis et al.,](#page-9-26) [2013\)](#page-9-26). Briefly, turtles were placed on crushed ice 2 h before surgery to induce drowsiness and reduce stress and pain by hypothermia. In this way, the head and neck could be protracted using minimal force. Brain functions were terminated immediately after decapitation by crushing the head. The blood was substituted by perfusion through the heart with a Ringer's solution containing (mM) 100 NaCl, 5 KCl, 30 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 2 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 3 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 10 glucose, saturated with 95%  $O_2$  and 5%  $CO_2$  to obtain pH 7.6. Transverse cuts were made at D9 –D10 and D3 spinal cord segments [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)*B*). The hip flexor (HF) nerve innervating the *puboischiofemoralis internus, pars anteroventralis* muscle was exposed and cut.

Scratching was initiated by mechanically stimulating turtle carapace with a fire-polished glass rod mounted to the membrane of a loudspeaker controlled with a function generator (loudspeaker in [Fig. 1](#page-1-0)*B*). Only responses with three or more bursts in the HF nerve were used for later analysis.

*Slice preparation.* Adult turtles were anesthetized by intravenous injection of propofol (30 mg/kg, B. Braun). The head was crushed immediately after decapitation. After intracardiac perfusion of Ringer's solution, the lumbar enlargement (D8 –S2 segments) of the spinal cord was removed. The lumbar enlargement was cut into slices (600  $\mu$ m thick) with a microtome (MicroM slicer HM 650V) equipped with cooling unit  $(CU65)$  set at 2°C.

*Recordings.* Whole-cell recordings from motoneurons were performed with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). Patch pipettes were filled with a solution containing (mM) 122 K-gluconate, 2.5 MgCl<sub>2</sub>,

5.6 Mg-gluconate, 5 K-HEPES, 5 H-HEPES, 5 Na<sub>2</sub>ATP, 1 EGTA, 0.3  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$ , and 2.5 biocytin. The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with KOH. The electrode resistance was  $4-6$  M $\Omega$ . Recordings were accepted if neurons had a stable membrane potential more negative than  $-50$  mV. VC experiments were performed on motoneurons when access resistance was low ( $R_a < 20 \text{ M}\Omega$ ) and possible to compensate by 60–80%. Recordings were sampled at 20 kHz with a 16-bit analog-to-digital converter (Digidata 1322A, Molecular Devices), displayed by means of Axoscope and Clampex software (Molecular Devices), and stored on a hard disk for later analysis.

The electroneurogram (ENG) of the HF nerve was recorded with a differential amplifier (Iso-DAM8, World Precision Instruments) using a suction electrode. The bandwidth was 100 Hz to 1 kHz.

*Identification of motoneurons.* Motoneurons were identified by their location in the ventral horn, size (via  $R<sub>m</sub>$ ), height of action potentials (APs), and/or spiking relationship with nerve activity. A subset of neurons in the *ex vivo* preparation and spinal cord slices were filled with biocytin for histological processing [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)*C*). Lumbar segments were removed en bloc from the *ex vivo* preparation, kept in PBS with 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 – 48 h at 4°C, and subsequently rinsed with and stored in PBS. Fixed slices or spinal segments were mounted in an agar mount and sectioned transversely into  $100$ - $\mu$ m-thick slices using a microtome (VT1000 S, Leica). The slices were incubated in a blocking solution (PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 5% donkey serum) for 4 h at 4°C and later for 24 – 48 h at 4°C with a goat polyclonal antibody directed against ChAT (choline acetyl transferase; 1:250, Millipore). The slices were washed three times with PBS-T (PBS and 0.1% Triton X-100) and incubated for 12–24 h at 4°C with secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor 647 (Alexa647) conjugated with donkey anti-goat antibodies (1:500, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and cyanine-3 (Cy3) conjugated with streptavidin (1:500, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). After three washes with PBS, the slices were mounted and coverslipped using Pro-LongGold antifade reagent (Invitrogen) and cured overnight at 4°C before microscopy.

Micrographs of neurons were produced using a confocal microscope (LSM 700 with diode lasers, Zeiss) on a Axiolmager M2 using a  $20\times$ /0.8 Plan-Apochromat objective (Zeiss). The fluorophores were excited/detected at the following wavelengths: Cy3 at 555/560 – 800 nm, Alexa647 at 643/560 – 800 nm. Images were handled with ZEN 2011 software (Zeiss) in the LSM and 8-bit TIFF format.

*Data analysis.* Conductance of motoneurons was calculated from Ohm's law:

$$
G = \frac{\Delta I}{\Delta V}.
$$
 (1)

The mean change in conductance was determined as follows. Hyperpolarizing current pulses at 5 Hz were injected into motoneurons before and during scratching [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*A*,*E*). Responses of motoneurons were aligned (Fig.  $2B$ , F, light color traces), and the mean  $V_m$  was calculated [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*B*,*F*, dark color traces). Conductance was calculated from the mean  $V_m$  before (50–10 ms before pulse onset) and at the end (50–90 ms from pulse onset) of pulse injection. Conductance of motoneurons was estimated also in VC mode [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)C) where holding  $V<sub>m</sub>$  was switched between  $-70$  and  $-60$  mV at 5 or 10 Hz. Conductance was calculated from the  $I<sub>m</sub>$  needed to hold the neuron at a certain membrane potential. Episodes where the holding potential was changed were aligned [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*D*, light yellow), and the mean was calculated [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*D*, dark yellow). Conductance was calculated from two *I*<sup>m</sup> values just before a change in the holding potential (25–40 ms before changing holding potential).

The method proposed by [Borg-Graham et al. \(1998\)](#page-8-6) was used to find temporal changes in conductance. Different DCs were injected into a motoneuron during several successive scratch episodes [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)A).  $V<sub>m</sub>$  of motoneurons was low-pass filtered (Gaussian, 5 Hz), and cycles of scratching were normalized in relation to HF nerve activity. To determine the onset and offset of HF bursts, the ENG activity was rectified and low-pass filtered (Gaussian, 5 Hz). The onset of HF activity was defined as 0 (or 1) and the offset as 0.5.  $V_m$  during the active and the quiescence HF phases were divided into 100 equal segments each, and the mean of at

least three successive scratch cycles was calculated [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*B*). Conductance at each time point was calculated from the *I*/*V* relationship by using Ohm's law. The mean inhibitory and excitatory conductances were estimated as described previously [\(Berg et al., 2007\)](#page-8-4) from the following:

$$
G_{\rm i}(t) = \frac{G_{\rm leak} * (E_{\rm leak} - E_{\rm e}) + G_{\rm total}(t) * (E_{\rm e} - V_{\rm m}(t)) - I_{\rm inj}}{E_{\rm e} - E_{\rm i}},\qquad(2)
$$

$$
G_{\rm e}(t) = G_{\rm total}(t) - G_{\rm i}(t) - G_{\rm leak},\tag{3}
$$

$$
C_{\rm m} \frac{dV_{\rm m}}{dt} = G_{\rm leak} * (E_{\rm leak} - V_{\rm m}(t)) + G_{\rm i}(t) * (E_{\rm i} - V_{\rm m}(t))
$$

+ 
$$
G_e(t) * (E_e - V_m(t)) - I_{inj} = 0
$$
, (4)

ignoring rapid changes in membrane potential. In addition, it was assumed that  $G_{\text{leak}}$  does not change and all changes in conductance are of synaptic origin. Since minimal synaptic activity is present before each scratch episode, G<sub>leak</sub> was set as resting conductance for each motoneuron individually and  $E_{\text{leak}}$  was taken as resting  $V_{\text{m}}$  ( $-59.56 \pm 4.3 \text{ mV}$ ). Resting conductance was calculated as slope of *I*/*V* when subthreshold currents were injected into motoneurons.  $E_e$  is the reversal potential for excitatory synaptic input and was set to  $E_e = 0$  mV.  $E_i$  is the reversal potential for inhibitory synaptic input and was set to  $E_i = -65$  mV.

*V*<sup>m</sup> oscillations were induced in motoneurons by injecting a sine-wave current through the recording electrode [\(Fig. 4](#page-4-1)*A*). Conductance was calculated from low-pass filtered (Gaussian, 5 Hz)  $V<sub>m</sub>$  traces by Ohm's law in subthreshold [\(Fig. 4,](#page-4-1) gray) and suprathreshold [\(Fig. 4,](#page-4-1) black) voltage ranges.

The resting *I*/*V*was obtained either in CC mode when different current steps were injected [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*A*) or in VC mode when cells were depolarized slowly ( $\sim$ 10 mV/s) from  $-70$  to  $-10$  mV [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*D*). To obtain *I/V* relationships from CC recordings,  $V<sub>m</sub>$  was taken as the mean over the last  $200\,\mathrm{ms}$  of 500 ms current pulse injections. In VC recordings,  $I_\mathrm{m}$  was averaged over 1 mV depolarization. Rectification was quantified as the increase in conductance at depolarized levels compared with hyperpolarized levels. Hyperpolarized levels were subthreshold in CC mode [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*A*) and between 70 and 50 mV in VC mode [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*D*). Depolarized levels were suprathreshold in CC mode and between  $-20$  and  $-10$  mV in VC mode.

To find the relationship between  $V_{\rm m}$  and the motor output, the HF nerve activity was rectified and both  $V_{\rm m}$  and the rectified HF nerve activity was low-pass filtered (Gaussian, 5 Hz; see Fig. 8*A*). Correlation (Pearson) was calculated between the filtered signals (see Fig. 8*D*). Only motoneurons with a high correlation coefficient  $(r > 0.8)$  were considered to be HF motoneurons and presented in this study. The same procedure was performed for VC recordings when  $V_{\rm m}$  of motoneurons was clamped at  $-65$  mV and at 0 mV (see Fig. 8*B*,*C*). Currents recorded at  $-65$  mV were inverted. Inward currents recorded at  $-65$  mV were considered as excitatory synaptic inputs, and outward currents recorded at 0 mV were considered as inhibitory synaptic inputs.

To find the relationship between excitation and inhibition,  $V<sub>m</sub>$  but not *I*<sup>m</sup> was low-pass filtered (Gaussian, 5 Hz) and normalized as described above (see Fig. 9). Currents recorded at  $-65$  mV were inverted. The correlation (Pearson) between currents recorded at  $-65$  mV and at 0 mV was calculated.

Synaptic intensity, i.e., synaptic conductance, was estimated by Ohm's law from two VC recordings when the motoneuron was recorded at 65 mV and at 0 mV. To pool data for all recorded motoneurons, synaptic intensity was normalized.

*Experimental design and statistical analysis.* Red-eared turtles (*Trachemys scripta elegans*;  $n = 42$ ) of either sex were used in this study. Animals of  $10-15$ cm carapace length were obtained from Nasco (Fort Atkinson, WI).

Data were analyzed statistically using the two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test (OriginLab). The level of significance is indicated as n.s.  $p > 0.05$ ;  $* p < 0.05$ ;  $* p < 0.01$ ;  $* * * p < 0.001$ . Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

*Drugs.* The following drugs were bath applied when indicated: tetrodotoxin (TTX; 2  $\mu$ M; Tocris), tetraethylammonium chloride (TEA; 1 mm; Sigma-Aldrich), apamin (100 nm; Tocris), nimodipine (20  $\mu$ m; Sigma-Aldrich), and Bay-K8644 (2  $\mu$ м; Tocris).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure 2. Voltage-dependent conductance increase during scratching. A, A train of short (100 ms) hyperpolarizing current pulses were injected into a motoneuron just before and during scratching in CC mode. *B*, *V<sub>m</sub> during current pulses was aligned (light gray) and averaged (dark gray). The <i>V<sub>m</sub>* deflection caused by current injection is larger at rest than during scratching, indicating conductance increase during scratching (*n* = 14, *p* = 1.2  $\times$  10  $^{-4}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). *C*, The conductance of the same motoneuron was evaluated during scratching when *V*<sub>m</sub> was hyperpolarized in VC recordings. Holding *V<sub>m</sub>* was changed between  $-70$  and  $-60$  mV at 10 Hz. *D,* Episodes of /<sub>m</sub> at the two /<sub>m</sub> levels were aligned (light yellow) and averaged (dark yellow). The difference in /<sub>m</sub> at the two V<sub>m</sub> levels is larger during scratching than at rest, indicating conductance increase during scratching (*n* = 14, *p* = 1.2  $\times$  10 <sup>-4</sup>, Wilcoxon signed rank test). *E, F*, Conductance also increased during scratching when *V*<sub>m</sub> was hyperpolarized by a steady hyperpolarizing holding current in CC recordings (*n* = 7, *p* = 0.016, Wilcoxon signed rank test). **G**, Smaller conductance increase ( $\Delta g$ ) was observed during scratching when motoneurons were hyperpolarized in VC recordings ( $n = 14$ ,  $p = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). *H*, In line, smaller  $\Delta q$  during scratching was also found with the hyperpolarizing holding current in CC recordings ( $n = 7$ ,  $p = 0.016$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). Red open circles in B, D, and F-H represent mean.

#### **Results**

## **Conductance increase during network activity is voltage dependent**

With whole-cell recordings, we first compared the average increase in membrane conductance in motoneurons during scratch network activity in CC and in VC. In CC recordings, motoneuron conductance was estimated from the voltage deflection in response to current pulses injected through the recording electrode. As reported before [\(Alaburda et al., 2005;](#page-8-1) [Guzulaitis et al., 2016\)](#page-9-23) and illustrated in [Figure 2](#page-3-0)A, the amplitude of  $V<sub>m</sub>$  deflections measured at rest was clearly reduced during scratching. To quantify the conductance increase during scratching,  $V_m$  episodes during current injections were aligned [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*B*, light gray traces) and averaged [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*B*, dark gray traces). In all motoneurons tested, the conductance during scratching (92.6  $\pm$  49.7 nS,  $n = 14$ ) was higher than the conductance at rest  $(59.1 \pm 33.5 \text{ nS}, n = 14, p = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test; [Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*B*, right).

To test whether the increase in conductance was voltage dependent, the same motoneurons were recorded in VC mode. The  $V<sub>m</sub>$  was switched between  $-60$  and  $-70$  mV at 10 Hz [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*C*). Episodes of *I*<sup>m</sup> were aligned [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*D*, light yellow) and averaged [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*D*, dark yellow). In all motoneurons tested, the conductance during scratching (72.5  $\pm$  41.3 nS,  $n = 14$ ) was higher than the conductance at rest (55.9  $\pm$  31.5 nS,  $n = 14$ ,  $p = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test; [Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*D*, right). However, the conductance increase  $(\Delta g)$  in CC mode (33.5  $\pm$  19.1 nS, *n* = 14) was higher than in VC mode (16.6  $\pm$  12.3 nS, *n* = 14, *p* = 1.2  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup>, Wilcoxon signed rank test; [Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*G*). These experiments demonstrated that the conductance increase during scratching was reduced when  $V_m$  of motoneurons was maintained at a hyperpolarized level and that the additional conductance increase depended on depolarization of  $V<sub>m</sub>$ . This was substantiated by the finding that the conductance increase during scratching in CC recordings was reduced by a steady hyperpolarizing current that shifted the resting membrane potential from  $-50$  mV [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)A) to 60 mV [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*E*). With a hyperpolarizing holding current, motoneuron conductance during scratching  $(89.4 \pm 66.2 \text{ nS}, n = 7)$ was higher than the conductance at rest (68.5  $\pm$  47.7, *n* = 7, *p* =



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 3.** *V*<sub>m</sub>-dependent conductance associated with depolarization. A, Five successive scratch episodes in a motoneuron recorded with holding currents of 2, 1, 0,  $-1$ , and  $-2$  nA. From the top:  $V_m$  of motoneuron during scratching at different DC injections (gray, raw recordings; black, low-pass filtered); HF nerve activity during scratching. *B*, The middle graph shows aligned and normalized depolarizing  $V_m$  waves obtained from the low-pass filtered sweeps of the records in *A*. The top graph shows conductance during depolarizing waves obtained from the three most depolarized  $V_m$  records (left) and from the three most hyperpolarized records (right). From the top: conductance of the motoneuron; normalized and averaged  $V_m$ ; HF nerve activity. C, The conductance at rest is unaffected by holding current ( $n = 9$ ,  $p = 1$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). *D*, In contrast, the estimated conductance in the HF ON phase, i.e., during depolarization, is higher with the depolarizing holding current than with the hyperpolarizing holding current ( $n=9$ ,  $p=0.004$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). **E**, The conductance in the HF OFF phase is unaffected by the holding current ( $n = 9$ ,  $p = 0.13$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). Red open circles in *C–E*represent mean.

0.016, Wilcoxon signed rank test; right of [Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*F*, right). However, the conductance increase  $(\Delta g)$  during scratching was substantially lower with hyperpolarizing holding current (20.9  $\pm$ 21.8 nS,  $n = 7$ ) than without a holding current (35  $\pm$  26.4 nS,  $n = 7$ ,  $p = 0.016$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test; [Fig. 2](#page-3-0)*H*).

#### **Voltage-dependent conductance is present during depolarization**

The finding that part of the conductance increase during scratching was related to depolarization prompted further experimental analysis. A continuous measure of conductance was adopted to relate conductance change to the scratch cycle.  $V_m$  of motoneurons was recorded during successive scratch episodes at different levels of steady polarizing holding currents [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*A*). To reduce the influence of trial-to-trial variability on conductance estimates, the recordings were normalized to the HF nerve activity cycle [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*B*). Motoneuron conductance shown at the top in [Figure 3](#page-4-0)*B* was calculated either from the three most depolarized *V*<sup>m</sup> levels [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*B*, left) or from the three most hyperpolarized *V*<sup>m</sup> levels [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*B*, right). It is evident that conductance is higher during the HF ON phase with depolarizing holding currents



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Figure 4.** Intrinsic conductance in motoneurons during current-induced, scratch-like depolarizing waves. **A**, Ten successive sweeps of scratch-like depolarizing waves in a motoneuron (middle) was obtained by injecting sine-wave currents with a different holding current (bottom). Conductance was calculated from subthreshold sweeps (gray traces) and from superthreshold sweeps (black traces). From the top: motoneuron conductance in the suprathreshold range (black) and the subthreshold range (gray);  $V_m$  of motoneuron; current injected into the motoneuron. *B*, The resting conductance does not depend on the holding current ( $n=11$ ,  $p=1$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). *C*, Conductance during depolarization is higher in the suprathreshold range than in the subthreshold voltage range  $(n = 11, p = 9.8 \times 10^{-4})$ . *D*, The conductance in the hyperpolarized phase does not depend on holding current ( $n = 11$ ,  $p = 0.64$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). Red open circles in **B-D** represent mean.

 $(210.9 \pm 133.1 \text{ nS}, n = 9)$  than with hyperpolarizing holding currents (135.5  $\pm$  78.2 nS,  $n = 9$ ,  $p = 0.004$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test; [Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*D*). In contrast, conductance at rest [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*C*) and conductance during the HF OFF phase [\(Fig. 3](#page-4-0)*E*) was similar when calculated from recordings obtained with a hyperpolarizing or a depolarizing holding current. This finding demonstrates that the voltage-sensitive conductance is present during the depolarizing wave of the scratch cycle.

To find out whether the voltage-sensitive conductance during scratching is related to the synaptic input or to intrinsic properties of motoneurons, we simulated scratch-like voltage trajectories by injecting current with a sinusoidal waveform into motoneurons at different levels of steady holding currents [\(Fig.](#page-4-1) [4](#page-4-1)*A*). Conductance was calculated in the subthreshold range [\(Fig.](#page-4-1) [4](#page-4-1)*A*, gray) and when the motoneuron generated APs during the depolarizing waves [\(Fig. 4](#page-4-1)*A*, black). No detectable change in conductance was observed at rest [\(Fig. 4](#page-4-1)*B*) or during the hyperpolarizing phase [\(Fig. 4](#page-4-1)*D*) with different levels of holding currents. However, a clear conductance increase was observed during the depolarizing phase when motoneurons generated APs [\(Fig. 4](#page-4-1)*C*). The conductance in the subthreshold range during depolarizing waves (91.5  $\pm$  55.7 nS,  $n = 11$ ) increased about three times  $(273.2 \pm 148.3 \text{ nS}, n = 11, p = 9.8 \times 10^{-4}, \text{Wilcoxon signed rank})$ test) in the suprathreshold voltage range. These experiments show that a depolarizing wave, traversing the same voltage range in the cell body as during scratching, recruits a substantial conductance even in the absence of increased synaptic activity.

#### **Outward rectification in spinal motoneurons**

To characterize the nature of the intrinsic conductance increase recruited during scratching, we investigated the rectifying properties in the voltage range near threshold for APs. First, current pulses of different amplitude were injected into motoneurons in the *ex vivo* preparation [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*A*). The *I*/*V* relationship was established from the mean of  $V<sub>m</sub>$  at the end of each injected current pulse. Two fairly linear ranges of *I*/*V* were obtained [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*B*). The slope of the *I*/*V* curve in the suprathreshold range [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*B*, black circles) was much steeper than the slope in the subthreshold range [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*B*, gray circles). Consequently, the conductance of motoneurons in the subthreshold range  $(41.7 \pm 16.9 \text{ nS}, n = 22)$  was much smaller than the conductance in the suprathreshold range  $(266 \pm 188 \text{ nS}, n = 22,$  $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-7}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test; [Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*C*).

The conductance responsible for the outward rectification near threshold for APs was pursued in motoneurons in thin slices from the spinal cord. Likely contributors are conductances activated by APs. This was tested from *I*/*V* curves obtained before and after block of sodium channels by application of TTX  $(2 \ \mu\text{m})$ . TTX application removes APs during depolarizing current pulses and linearizes the *I*/*V* relationship. The conductance increase in the suprathreshold range  $(237.4 \pm 149.5 \text{ nS})$ ,  $n = 7$ ) was reduced (110.5  $\pm$  73.9 nS,  $n =$ 7,  $p = 0.03$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test) when APs were blocked. However, a conductance increase remained at depolarized  $V<sub>m</sub>$  levels after eliminating APs. This shows that additional non-AP-related conductances contribute to the rectifying conductance increase.

Outward rectification in the suprathreshold voltage range, independent of APs, was also supported by VC experiments. Motoneurons in the *ex vivo* preparation were slowly depolarized from  $-70$ to  $-10$  mV [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*D*) to inactivate sodium channels and avoid APs. The *I*/*V* plot obtained from VC ramps [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*E*) shows that outward rectification is present even when APs are not generated. The conductance at hyperpolarized  $V<sub>m</sub>$  levels was much smaller  $(49.2 \pm 13.4 \text{ nS}, n = 20)$ 

than the conductance at depolarized  $V_{\text{m}}$  levels (313.7  $\pm$  158.1 nS,  $n = 20, p = 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test; [Fig. 5](#page-5-0)*F*).

#### **Potassium conductances contributing to outward rectification**

Slow ramps in VC mode were used in motoneurons in thin spinal cord slices to identify conductances contributing to outward rectifi-



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 5.** Outward rectification in spinal motoneurons.  $A$ , Superimposed sweeps of responses to 0.5 s current pulses from  $-0.5$ to  $+1.5$  nA injected into a motoneuron.  $\bm{B}$ , The //V plot obtained from the sweeps in  $\bm{A}$  shows piecewise linear segments with shallow slope in the subthreshold range (gray dots) and a steep slope in the suprathreshold range (black dots).*C*, Conductance in the subthreshold //*V* range is significantly lower than in the suprathreshold //V range ( $n=$  22,  $p=$  4.8  $\times$  10  $^{-7}$  , Wilcoxon signed rank test). *D*, *I/V* plots were obtained also in VC mode when motoneurons were slowly depolarized ( $\approx$  10 mV/s). *E*, The *I/V* curve obtained in VCmode alsoshowstwo piecewise linearsegments.*F*, Conductance at depolarized *V*mlevels increaseswhen compared with hyperpolarized V<sub>m</sub> levels ( $n=20$ ,  $\rho=1.9\times10^{-6}$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). Red open circles in *C* and *F* represent mean.



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Figure 6.** Potassium conductances contribute to outward rectification *A*, *I*/*V* curves from motoneurons were obtained inspinal cord slices during slowly depolarizing VC ramps. Application of TEA, a blocker of K<sub>V</sub> channels, does not change the //*V* slope at hyperpolarized levels but reduces theslope at depolarized levels (left). Rectification, i.e., the conductance increase at depolarized levels, is smaller after blocking K<sub>V</sub> channels (right;  $n = 9$ ,  $p = 0.004$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). **B**, **C**, Rectification was also reduced after blocking *K*<sub>ca</sub> (SK) channels with apamin (*B;*  $n=13$ *,*  $p=2.4\times10^{-4}$ *, Wilcoxon signed rank test) and after blocking* L-type Ca channels with nimodipine ( $C_i$ ,  $n = 10$ ,  $p = 0.002$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test).  $D$ , Facilitation of L-type Ca channels with Bay-K8644 increased rectification ( $n = 8$ ,  $p = 0.016$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). Red open circles represent mean.

cation during depolarization. The role of  $K_V$  channels was investigated by application of the antagonist TEA. To avoid spiking and increase in transmitter release, the experiments were performed in the presence of TTX. The slope of the *I*/*V* curve at depolarized levels and outward rectification was reduced by TEA (1 mM; [Fig. 6](#page-5-1)*A*).

An additional fraction of the outward rectification was mediated by SK potassium channels. Application of the specific



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 7.** Correlation of estimated synaptic conductance for inhibition and excitation depends on the holding current *A*, Low-pass filtered *V<sub>m</sub>* during successive scratch episodes recorded in CC mode at five levels of the holding current (data from Fig. 3). Synaptic conductance for inhibition (blue, top) and excitation (red, top) estimated from the total conductance (gray, top) were obtained from the three most depolarized *V*<sub>m</sub> traces assuming constant leak conductance. *B*, Synaptic conductance for inhibition (blue) and excitation (red) were estimated from total conductance (gray) obtained from the three most hyperpolarized *V*<sup>m</sup> traces assuming constant leak conductance. In *A* and *B*, from the top: total conductance (gray) and excitatory (red) and inhibitory (blue) conductance; *V*<sup>m</sup> of motoneuron at different holding current injections (black traces were used for conductance estimation, and gray traces were omitted); HF nerve activity.*C*, The correlation between the estimated synaptic excitatory and inhibitory conductance is significantly reduced by the hyperpolarizing holding current ( $n = 9$ ,  $p = 0.004$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test). Red open circles represent mean.



Figure 8. *V<sub>m</sub>*, excitation, and inhibition are tightly related to motor output *A*, A motoneuron recorded in CC mode during scratching (gray traces). Low-pass-filtered traces of  $V_m$  and HF nerve activity are shown in black. **B**, The same motoneuron recorded in VC mode at 65 mV (light red traces, currents inverted). Low-pass-filtered*I* <sup>m</sup> and HF nerve activity are shown in dark red.*C*, Finally, the motoneuron recorded in VC mode at 0 mV (light blue traces). Low-pass-filtered*I* <sup>m</sup> and HF nerve activity are shown in dark blue. *D*, Motoneurons with high correlation between  $V_m$  and HF nerve activity were selected in the study ( $n = 20$ ). *E*, Cumulative histogram of correlation coefficients between excitation, i.e., / $_{\rm m}$  recorded at  $-$  65 mV, and HF nerve activity (*n*  $=$  20). *F*, Cumulative histogram of correlation coefficients between inhibition, i.e., *I* <sup>m</sup> recorded at 0 mV, and HF nerve activity (*n* 20). From the top:  $V_{\rm m}$  (**A**) or / $_{\rm m}$  recorded at  $-$  65 mV (inverted; *B*) and at 0 mV (**C**); HF nerve activity.

SK channel blocker apamin (100 nM) significantly reduced outward rectification [\(Fig. 6](#page-5-1)*B*). A similar reduction in outward rectification was observed by application of nimodipine (20  $\mu$ M), an antagonist of L-type Ca channels [\(Fig.](#page-5-1) [6](#page-5-1)*C*). This could imply that SK channels are activated by intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> accumulation attributable to activation of L-type Ca channels during depolarization. The increased rectification induced by the agonist of L-type Ca channels, Bay-K8644  $(2 \mu M)$ , supports this possibility [\(Fig. 6](#page-5-1)*D*).

To summarize, our findings suggest that  $K_V$  and SK channels contribute to voltage-dependent outward rectification in motoneurons. In addition, we demonstrated that SK channels are activated by  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  influx though L-type Ca channels.

# **Inhibition and excitation calculated**

**from total conductance depends on** *V***<sup>m</sup>** So far, we demonstrated that highconductance states in motoneurons have a voltage-dependent intrinsic component. Although often ignored [\(Anderson](#page-8-5) [et al., 2000;](#page-8-5) Mariño et al., 2005; [Priebe and](#page-9-25) [Ferster, 2005;](#page-9-25) [Berg et al., 2007\)](#page-8-4), intrinsic conductance can lead to overestimation of the level of concurrent synaptic inhibition and excitation [\(Guillamon et al.,](#page-9-27) [2006\)](#page-9-27). Here we explore how this aspect may influence the validity of estimates of the ratio between inhibitory and excitatory synaptic conductance from CC recordings in motoneurons during scratch episodes. As in [Figure 3,](#page-4-0) total conductance was obtained from scratch episodes recorded either at depolarizing holding currents [\(Fig. 7](#page-6-0)*A*) or hyperpolarizing holding currents [\(Fig. 7](#page-6-0)*B*). Assuming no change in intrinsic conductance, we calculated inhibitory and excitatory conductances from the total conductance. High correlation  $(0.78 \pm 0.18, n = 9)$  between inhibition and excitation was found when conductance was measured at depolarized *V*<sup>m</sup> levels. However, the correlation decreased significantly to  $0.01 \pm 0.57$  ( $n = 9$ ,  $p = 0.004$ , Wilcoxon signed rank test) when inhibition and excitation were extracted from episodes recorded with hyperpolarizing holding currents [\(Fig. 7](#page-6-0)*C*).

## **Synaptic currents in spinal motoneurons during rhythmic motor network activity**

Rather than extracting the pattern of synaptic inhibition and excitation indirectly from conductance measurements, wholecell patch-clamp permits inhibitory and excitatory synaptic currents to be recorded directly. First, spinal motoneurons were recorded in CC mode to obtain the *V*<sup>m</sup> pattern during scratching (Fig. 8*A*). HF nerve activity and  $V<sub>m</sub>$  of motoneurons were low-pass filtered (Fig. 8*A*, black traces), and the correlation between the two filtered signals was calculated. To obtain recordings from a homogeneous pool of motoneurons, we only considered motoneurons with high correlation  $(r > 0.8)$ between  $V_{\text{m}}$  and HF nerve activity (Fig.  $8D; r = 0.9 \pm 0.04, n = 20$ .

Next, motoneurons were recorded in VC mode at  $-65$  mV (Fig. 8*B*) and at 0 mV (Fig. 8*C*) during successive scratch episodes. Inward current recorded at  $-65$ mV was inverted and taken to be the excitatory synaptic input transmitted to the cell body. Likewise, the outward current recorded at 0 mV was taken to be the inhibitory synaptic current transmitted to the cell body. Again, the correlation between inhibitory or excitatory synaptic currents and the HF nerve signal was obtained from the low-pass filtered records. Inward currents recorded at  $-65$  mV were positively correlated with HF nerve activity (Fig. 8*E*;  $r = 0.89 \pm 0.08$ ,  $n = 20$ ). Outward currents recorded at 0 mV were negatively correlated with HF nerve activity (Fig. 8*F*;  $r = -0.59 \pm 0.59$  $0.27, n = 20$ ).

These experiments show that HF motoneurons, i.e., motoneurons in which  $V<sub>m</sub>$ is highly correlated with HF motor nerve activity, receive in-phase excitatory synaptic input and out-of-phase inhibitory synaptic input during scratching. Note, however, that both excitatory and inhibitory synaptic activity is continuously present throughout the scratch cycle (see noise in raw traces in Fig. 8*B*,*C*).

#### **Alternating inhibitory and excitatory inputs in HF motoneurons**

To link the pattern of inhibition and excitation to the scratch cycle, we normalized all intracellular recordings to the HF nerve activity (Fig. 9*A*). As expected, we found that the excitatory synaptic input (red traces, inverted) correlates well with  $V<sub>m</sub>$  (gray traces), whereas the inhibitory synaptic input (blue traces) peaks out of phase with  $V<sub>m</sub>$  and excitation (Fig. 9*A*). In addition, inhibitory and excitatory currents are negatively

correlated ( $r = -0.59 \pm 0.32$ ,  $n = 20$ ; Fig. 9*B*). The relationship between  $V_m$  (black), excitation (red), and inhibition (blue) during the scratch cycle is summarized in the circular plot in Figure 9*C*.

The balanced model proposed previously [\(Berg et al., 2007\)](#page-8-4) implies that  $V_m$  of motoneuron is tightly correlated with the con-



**Figure 9.** Inhibition and excitation alternate in HF motoneurons during scratching *A*, *V<sub>m</sub>* of motoneurons recorded in CC mode during scratching was normalized with respect to HF nerve activity (gray traces). The same normalization was applied for currents recorded in VC mode at  $-65$  mV (red traces, signals are inverted) and at 0 mV (blue traces;  $n=20$ , light color traces depict records of each motoneuron, and dark color traces depict mean). From the top: normalized*I* <sup>m</sup> recorded at 0 mV; inverted and normalized *I*  $I_m$  recorded at  $-65$  mV; normalized  $V_m$  of motoneurons recorded in CC mode; HF nerve activity. *B*, Excitatory input is negatively correlated with inhibitory input in the majority of recorded HF motoneurons.*C*, The circular plotshows that excitatory currents are closely related to V<sub>m</sub>, whereas inhibitory currents are out of phase with both excitation and V<sub>m</sub>.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Figure 10.** Synaptic intensity does not correlate with  $V_m$ . **A**, The synaptic conductance during  $V_m$  oscillations predicted by the balanced and the reciprocal inhibition and excitation models. *B*, Synaptic conductance calculated from VC recordings peaks twice during the scratch cycle ( $n=20$ ; light color traces depict records of each motoneuron, and dark color lines depict mean). From the top: synaptic conductance calculated from VC recordings at  $-65$  mV and at 0 mV;  $V_m$  of motoneuron; HF nerve activity.  $C$ , Correlation between synaptic conductance and  $V<sub>m</sub>$  varies from positive to negative.

ductance change [\(Fig. 10](#page-7-0)*A*). In contrast, the reciprocal model suggests that synaptic conductance is not well correlated with *V*<sup>m</sup> but rather depends on the relative intensity of inhibition and excitation. Here we calculated conductance increase in motoneurons from VC recordings at  $-65$  mV and at 0 mV during successive scratch episodes. This conductance is taken to represent the intensity of synaptic input during scratching. In our experiments, synaptic conductance in HF motoneurons peaks twice during the scratch cycle, i.e., in phase and out of phase with  $V<sub>m</sub>$  depolarization [\(Fig. 10](#page-7-0)*B*). In addition, the correlation between conductance and  $V<sub>m</sub>$  varies from negative to positive in different HF motoneurons ( $0 \pm 0.52$ ,  $n = 20$ ; [Fig. 10](#page-7-0)*C*). This finding supports reciprocal rather than balanced synaptic inhibition and excitation in motoneurons during scratching.

# **Discussion**

The high-conductance state in motoneurons in an *ex vivo* carapace–spinal cord preparation from the turtle was investigated with whole-cell recordings. We show that voltage-dependent outward rectification detected in somatic recordings contributes to the high-conductance state during scratch network activity. In thin spinal cord slices, TEA-sensitive, voltage-activated  $K^+$  conductance and apamin-sensitive, Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent K<sup>+</sup> conductance contribute significantly to the depolarization-induced outward rectification. During functional network activity, the outward rectification opposes depolarization beyond the threshold for APs and may serve to balance excitatory synaptic currents near threshold for APs. In doing so, the intrinsic, high-conductance state curtails regular repetitive spiking and promotes irregular firing of APs activated by synaptic transients. In addition, by performing whole-cell voltage clamp, we found that inhibition and excitation peaks out of phase in spinal motoneurons during scratching.

The high-conductance state in motoneurons during motor network activity is readily observed in CC recordings with highresistance sharp electrodes [\(Alaburda et al., 2005;](#page-8-1) [Berg et al.,](#page-8-4) [2007;](#page-8-4) [Guzulaitis et al., 2016\)](#page-9-23). As in other neurons [\(Anderson](#page-8-5) [et al., 2000,](#page-8-5) [2001;](#page-8-8) Mariño et al., 2005; [Priebe and Ferster, 2005\)](#page-9-25), arguments for the proposal that the conductance increase is caused exclusively by synaptic activity are indirect and assume unchanged intrinsic conductance [\(Berg et al., 2007;](#page-8-4) [Petersen et](#page-9-24) [al., 2014\)](#page-9-24). With the improved voltage control and current passing capabilities provided by whole-cell recording, the origin of conductance changes during network activity can be analyzed more directly [\(Borg-Graham et al., 1998;](#page-8-6) [Monier et al., 2008\)](#page-9-7). As observed in cortical neurons [\(Waters and Helmchen, 2006;](#page-9-28) [Monier](#page-9-7) [et al., 2008\)](#page-9-7), we find a dramatic increase in motoneuron input conductance in a suprathreshold range of  $V<sub>m</sub>$  both in thin slices and during network activity *ex vivo*. In current clamp, this conductance increase is a potential for error in synaptic conductance estimates. Theoretically, spike-related conductance increase leads to overestimation of the balance between inhibition and excitation [\(Guillamon et al., 2006\)](#page-9-27). This is confirmed experimentally in the present study, i.e., the estimated correlation between inhibitory and excitatory synaptic conductance during the scratch cycle shifted from in-phase to out-of-phase by application of a hyperpolarizing holding current [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-6-0). Interestingly, estimates of inhibition and excitation with this method showed out-of-phase synaptic input to motoneurons during locomotor-like network activity in neonatal rodents [\(Endo and Kiehn, 2008\)](#page-9-29). This is probably because less depolarized drive potentials, as indicated by the paucity of spiking, do not reach the activation level for outward rectification.

Previous work on cat spinal motoneurons demonstrated that APs and repetitive firing is accompanied by increased input conductance [\(Schwindt and Calvin, 1973\)](#page-9-30). Part of this increase was subsequently shown to include two spike-independent intrinsic components activated by depolarization, i.e., a voltage-gated persistent inward current balanced by outward rectification [\(Schwindt and Crill, 1977;](#page-9-31) [Li and Bennett, 2007\)](#page-9-32). As we confirm

here, part of the outward rectification is Ca $^{2+}$  dependent [\(Li and](#page-9-32) [Bennett, 2007\)](#page-9-32). Therefore, the high-conductance state during network activity may be further deepened by enhanced SK conductance by direct Ca<sup>2+</sup> entry and facilitation of L-type Ca channels [\(Alaburda and Hounsgaard, 2003\)](#page-8-7). We suggest that outward rectification stabilizes membrane potential of spinal motoneurons near threshold for APs by balancing intrinsic inward currents and excitatory synaptic input.

Direct recordings of synaptic current during scratching have shown that excitation is highly correlated with hip flexor nerve activity, whereas inhibition is negatively correlated with synaptic excitation and nerve activity. This demonstrates out-of-phase rather than balanced activation of motoneurons. The half-center model and reciprocal inhibition between half-centers was inferred a century ago [\(Brown, 1914\)](#page-8-2), but direct recordings of out-of-phase inhibition and excitation during behavior were obtained only in small-scale spinal networks [\(Russell and Wallen,](#page-9-18) [1983;](#page-9-18) [Dale, 1985;](#page-9-19) [Gabriel et al., 2009;](#page-9-21) [Kishore et al., 2014\)](#page-9-33). Recordings from motoneurons embedded in a larger-scale network reveal either rhythmical inhibitory or rhythmical excitatory inputs in different motoneurons [\(Hochman and Schmidt, 1998\)](#page-9-22). In the present study, we show that the same motoneurons receive both rhythmical excitatory and rhythmical inhibitory synaptic input during scratching and that synaptic excitation and synaptic inhibition peak out-of-phase.

Regular firing in spinal motoneurons is quenched during network activity [\(Berg et al., 2008;](#page-8-3) [Guzulaitis et al., 2016\)](#page-9-23). Several factors may contribute to irregular firing. First, the long-lasting spike AHP, essential for regular firing in motoneurons [\(Hounsgaard,](#page-9-34) [2017\)](#page-9-34), is attenuated during network activity [\(Brownstone et al.,](#page-9-35) [1992;](#page-9-35) [Berg et al., 2008\)](#page-8-3). Second, intense synaptic bombardment increases fluctuations in membrane potential [\(Calvin and Ste](#page-9-36)[vens, 1967;](#page-9-36) [Alaburda et al., 2005;](#page-8-1) [Guzulaitis et al., 2016\)](#page-9-23). Finally, we find that inhibitory synaptic input is present throughout the scratch cycle (noise in raw recording in Fig. 8*C*) with considerable overlap between inhibition and excitation (Fig. 9*C*). Therefore, residual inhibitory input may increase synaptic fluctuations in membrane potential and irregular firing during scratching.

#### <span id="page-8-7"></span>**References**

- Alaburda A, Hounsgaard J (2003) Metabotropic modulation of motoneurons by scratch-like spinal network activity. J Neurosci 23:8625–8629. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14507961)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Alaburda A, Russo R, MacAulay N, Hounsgaard J (2005) Periodic highconductance states in spinal neurons during scratch-like network activity in adult turtles. J Neurosci 25:6316 –6321. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0843-05.2005) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16000621)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Anderson JS, Carandini M, Ferster D (2000) Orientation tuning of input conductance, excitation, and inhibition in cat primary visual cortex. J Neurophysiol 84:909 –926. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10938316)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Anderson JS, Lampl I, Gillespie DC, Ferster D (2001) Membrane potential and conductance changes underlying length tuning of cells in cat primary visual cortex. J Neurosci 21:2104 –2112. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11245694)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Averbeck BB, Latham PE, Pouget A (2006) Neural correlations, population coding and computation. Nat Rev Neurosci 7:358 –366. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn1888) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16760916)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Berg RW, Alaburda A, Hounsgaard J (2007) Balanced inhibition and excitation drive spike activity in spinal half-centers. Science 315:390 –393. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1134960) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17234950)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Berg RW, Ditlevsen S, Hounsgaard J (2008) Intense synaptic activity enhances temporal resolution in spinal motoneurons. PLoS One 3:e3218. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003218)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Borg-Graham LJ, Monier C, Frégnac Y (1998) Visual input evokes transient and strong shunting inhibition in visual cortical neurons. Nature 393: 369 –373. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/30735) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9620800)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Brown TG (1914) On the nature of the fundamental activity of the nervous centres; together with an analysis of the conditioning of rhythmic activity in progression, and a theory of the evolution of function in the nervous system. J Physiol 48:18 –46. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1914.sp001646) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16993247)
- <span id="page-9-35"></span>Brownstone RM, Jordan LM, Kriellaars DJ, Noga BR, Shefchyk SJ (1992) On the regulation of repetitive firing in lumbar motoneurones during fictive locomotion in the cat. Exp Brain Res 90:441–455. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1426105)
- <span id="page-9-36"></span>Calvin WH, Stevens CF (1967) Synaptic noise as a source of variability in the interval between action potentials. Science 155:842–844. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.155.3764.842) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6018196)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Chance FS, Abbott LF, Reyes AD (2002) Gain modulation from background synaptic input. Neuron 35:773–782. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00820-6) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12194875)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>Dale N (1985) Reciprocal inhibitory interneurones in the Xenopus embryo spinal cord. J Physiol 363:61–70. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1985.sp015695) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4020706)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Dehghani N, Peyrache A, Telenczuk B, Le Van Quyen M, Halgren E, Cash SS, Hatsopoulos NG, Destexhe A (2016) Dynamic balance of excitation and inhibition in human and monkey neocortex. Sci Rep 6:23176. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep23176) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26980663)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Denève S, Machens CK (2016) Efficient codes and balanced networks. Nat Neurosci 19:375–382. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.4243) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26906504)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Destexhe A, Rudolph M, Paré D (2003) The high-conductance state of neocortical neurons in vivo. Nat Rev Neurosci 4:739 –751. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn1198) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12951566) Endo T, Kiehn O (2008) Asymmetric operation of the locomotor central
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>pattern generator in the neonatal mouse spinal cord. J Neurophysiol 100: 3043–3054. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jn.90729.2008) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18829847)
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>Gabriel JP, Mahmood R, Kyriakatos A, Söll I, Hauptmann G, Calabrese RL, El Manira A (2009) Serotonergic modulation of locomotion in zebrafish: endogenous release and synaptic mechanisms. J Neurosci 29:10387– 10395. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1978-09.2009) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19692613)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Gerstein GL, Mandelbrot B (1964) Random walk models for the spike activity of a single neuron. Biophys J 4:41–68. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(64)86768-0) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14104072)
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>Guillamon A, McLaughlin DW, Rinzel J (2006) Estimation of synaptic conductances. J Physiol Paris 100:31–42. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphysparis.2006.09.010) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17084599)
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>Guzulaitis R, Alaburda A, Hounsgaard J (2013) Increased activity of premotor network does not change the excitability of motoneurons during protracted scratch initiation. J Physiol 591:1851–1858. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2012.246025) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23339173)
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>Guzulaitis R, Hounsgaard J, Alaburda A (2016) Irregular firing and highconductance states in spinal motoneurons during scratching and swimming. J Neurosci 36:5799 –5807. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0320-16.2016) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27225769)
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>Hochman S, Schmidt BJ (1998) Whole cell recordings of lumbar motoneurons during locomotor-like activity in the in vitro neonatal rat spinal cord. J Neurophysiol 79:743–752. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9463437)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Holt GR, Softky WR, Koch C, Douglas RJ (1996) Comparison of discharge variability *in vitro* and *in vivo* in cat visual cortex neurons. J Neurophysiol 75:1806 –1814. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8734581)
- <span id="page-9-34"></span>Hounsgaard J (2017) Motor neurons. Compr Physiol 7:463–484. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c160025) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28333379)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>Jankowska E, Jukes MG, Lund S, Lundberg A (1965) Reciprocal innervation through interneuronal inhibition. Nature 206:198 –199. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/206198a0) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5830959)
- <span id="page-9-33"></span>Kishore S, Bagnall MW, McLean DL (2014) Systematic shifts in the balance of excitation and inhibition coordinate the activity of axial motor pools at different speeds of locomotion. J Neurosci 34:14046 –14054. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0514-14.2014) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25319701)
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>Kotaleski JH, Grillner S, Lansner A (1999) Neural mechanisms potentially contributing to the intersegmental phase lag in lamprey. I. Segmental oscillations dependent on reciprocal inhibition. Biol Cybern 81:317–330. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004220050565) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10541935)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Kremkow J, Perrinet LU, Monier C, Alonso JM, Aertsen A, Frégnac Y, Masson GS (2016) Push-pull receptive field organization and synaptic depression: mechanisms for reliably encoding naturalistic stimuli in V1. Front Neural Circuits 10:37. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2016.00037) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242445)
- <span id="page-9-32"></span>Li X, Bennett DJ (2007) Apamin-sensitive calcium-activated potassium currents (SK) are activated by persistent calcium currents in rat motoneurons. J Neurophysiol 97:3314 –3330. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jn.01068.2006) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17360829)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Mariño J, Schummers J, Lyon DC, Schwabe L, Beck O, Wiesing P, Obermayer K, Sur M (2005) Invariant computations in local cortical networks with balanced excitation and inhibition. Nat Neurosci 8:194 –201. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1391) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15665876)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Monier C, Fournier J, Frégnac Y (2008) In vitro and in vivo measures of evoked excitatory and inhibitory conductance dynamics in sensory cortices. J Neurosci Methods 169:323–365. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.11.008) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18215425)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Paré D, Shink E, Gaudreau H, Destexhe A, Lang EJ (1998) Impact of spontaneous synaptic activity on the resting properties of cat neocortical pyramidal neurons in vivo. J Neurophysiol 79:1450 –1460. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9497424)
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>Petersen PC, Vestergaard M, Jensen KH, Berg RW (2014) Premotor spinal network with balanced excitation and inhibition during motor patterns has high resilience to structural division. J Neurosci 34:2774 –2784. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3349-13.2014) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24553920)
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>Priebe NJ, Ferster D (2005) Direction selectivity of excitation and inhibition in simple cells of the cat primary visual cortex. Neuron 45:133–145. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.024) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15629708)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Renart A, de la Rocha J, Bartho P, Hollender L, Parga N, Reyes A, Harris KD (2010) The asynchronous state in cortical circuits. Science 327:587–590. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1179850) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20110507)
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>Russell DF, Wallén P (1983) On the control of myotomal motoneurones during "fictive swimming" in the lamprey spinal cord in vitro. Acta Physiol Scand 117:161–170. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-1716.1983.tb07193.x) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6869028)
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>Schwindt P, Crill WE (1977) A persistent negative resistance in cat lumbar motoneurons. Brain Res 120:173–178. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(77)90510-8) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/832116)
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>Schwindt PC, Calvin WH (1973) Nature of conductances underlying rhythmic firing in cat spinal motoneurons. J Neurophysiol 36:955–973. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4761725)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Shadlen MN, Newsome WT (1994) Noise, neural codes and cortical organization. Curr Opin Neurobiol 4:569 –579. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0959-4388(94)90059-0) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7812147)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Shadlen MN, Newsome WT (1998) The variable discharge of cortical neurons: implications for connectivity, computation, and information coding. J Neurosci 18:3870 –3896. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9570816)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>Shu Y, Hasenstaub A, McCormick DA (2003) Turning on and off recurrent balanced cortical activity. Nature 423:288 –293. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01616) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12748642)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>van Vreeswijk C, Sompolinsky H (1996) Chaos in neuronal networks with balanced excitatory and inhibitory activity. Science 274:1724 –1726.[CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5293.1724) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8939866)
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>Waters J, Helmchen F (2006) Background synaptic activity is sparse in neocortex. J Neurosci 26:8267–8277. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2152-06.2006) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16899721)
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Wehr M, Zador AM (2003) Balanced inhibition underlies tuning and sharpens spike timing in auditory cortex. Nature 426:442–446. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02116) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14647382)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Wilent WB, Contreras D (2005) Dynamics of excitation and inhibition underlying stimulus selectivity in rat somatosensory cortex. Nat Neurosci 8:1364 –1370. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1545) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16158064)