Research Article

Molecular Neuropsychiatry

Mol Neuropsychiatry 2019;5:162–175 DOI: 10.1159/000499105 Received: December 28, 2018 Accepted: February 21, 2019 Published online: April 30, 2019

Sex-Specific Effects of Stress on Mood-Related Gene Expression

Kelly Barko^{a, b} William Paden^{a, b} Kelly M. Cahill^c Marianne L. Seney^{a, b} Ryan W. Logan^{a, b, d}

^aDepartment of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh Medical School, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ^bTranslational Neuroscience Program, University of Pittsburgh Medical School, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ^cDepartment of Biostatistics, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ^dCenter for Systems Neurogenetics of Addiction, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA

Keywords

Dopamine · GABA · Glutamate · Sex difference · Stress

Abstract

Women are twice as likely as men to be diagnosed with major depressive disorder (MDD). Recent studies report distinct molecular changes in depressed men and women across mesocorticolimbic brain regions. However, it is unclear which sex-related factors drive distinct MDD-associated pathology. The goal of this study was to use mouse experimental systems to investigate sex-specific mechanisms underlying the distinct molecular profiles of MDD in men and women. We used unpredictable chronic mild stress to induce an elevated anxiety-/depressive-like state and "four core genotypes" (FCG) mice to probe for sex-specific mechanisms. As predicted, based on previous implications in mood, stress impacted the expression of several dopamine-, GABA-, and glutamate-related genes. Some of these effects, specifically in the prefrontal cortex, were genetic sex-specific, with effects in XX mice but not in XY mice. Stress also impacted gene expression differently across the mesocorticolimbic circuit, with increased expression of mood-related genes in the prefrontal cortex and nucleus accumbens, but decreased

KARGER

© 2019 S. Karger AG, Basel

E-Mail karger@karger.com www.karger.com/mnp expression in basolateral amygdala. Our results suggest that females are sensitive to the effects of chronic stress, partly due to their genetic sex, independent of gonadal hormones. Furthermore, these results point to the prefrontal cortex as the node in the mesocorticolimbic circuitry with the strongest female-specific effects. © 2019 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Every year, 350 million people suffer from major depressive disorder (MDD) globally [1]. MDD is a leading cause of disease burden. In 2010, it had an estimated economic burden of \$210.5 billion, significantly higher than the \$173.2 billion estimate in 2005 [2]. MDD symptoms are characterized by overall emotion dysregulation, low mood, anhedonia, and poor affect, among others [3]. Current treatments are only effective at inducing remission in a portion of the population [4], and men

Marianne L. Seney and Ryan W. Logan contributed equally to this work.

Marianne L. Seney, PhD, or Ryan W. Logan, PhD Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh 450 Technology Drive Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (USA) E-Mail seneyml@upmc.edu or loganrw@upmc.edu and women respond differently to pharmacological treatment [5]. Cross-cultural epidemiological studies that control for reporting biases have found that depression affects women at twice the rate that it affects men. When diagnosed, women report a higher number of symptoms, and rate their symptoms more severely than men [6–10].

Traditional studies looking at the molecular mechanisms of depression have often investigated only a single brain region. However, this provides an incomplete picture, and looking at how pathways are affected across regions may be more informative in the understanding of the disease at the network and circuit level [11, 12]. By investigating stress-induced transcriptional changes across major nodes of a circuit, it may be possible to gain a greater insight into how these molecular pathways are affected in disease.

We therefore selected three regions from the mesocorticolimbic circuit implicated in depression neuropathology, i.e., the prefrontal cortex (PFC), basolateral amygdala (BLA), and nucleus accumbens (NAc) [13], with the intention of comparing gene pathway changes across regions. Prior studies suggest that these regions are affected in depression, with possible region-specific effects. For example, blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) imaging studies report activity differences in these regions, with an increase in BLA activity at baseline, and a decrease in NAc and PFC activity during emotion tasks (e.g., [14–22]). At the molecular level, our lab and others have reported large transcriptional changes in MDD across the circuit. Furthermore, we recently reported vastly different molecular signatures between men and women in the PFC and BLA of postmortem brains from MDD subjects [23].

Within these regions, we looked at three candidate gene pathways that have been previously implicated in mood regulation: (1) γ aminobutyric acid (GABA), (2) glutamate, and (3) dopamine. We selected GABA, the major inhibitory neurotransmitter, as GABA dysfunction in MDD has been heavily implicated in both animal and human studies across the circuit [24–27]. We previously reported a sex-specific reduction in SST, a GABA interneuron marker, in the BLA of women with MDD, but not in men [28]. We also selected glutamate, the major excitatory neurotransmitter, as dysfunction in this pathway has also been implicated in MDD [27]. There appear to be sex differences within this pathway at the molecular level in the dorsolateral (DL)PFC. For instance, depressed women exhibit increased expression of glutamate-related genes compared to healthy women, but these genes are

not affected in depressed men [29]. Furthermore, surmounting evidence suggests sex-dependent effects of ketamine, an antidepressant believed to modulate glutamate signaling in the brain, thus potentially indicating a different underlying pathology in men and women [30, 31]. Lastly, we looked at dopamine-related genes due to the clear ties to reward and motivation circuitry [32, 33]. Anhedonia and amotivation are classical depressive symptoms in humans that may be linked specifically to dopamine pathway dysfunction within the NAc [34] but can also involve other regions such as the PFC [35]. Previous labs have linked dopamine neurons specifically to depressive behaviors in animals [36], further warranting an indepth investigation.

The overall goal of this study was to use mouse experimental systems to investigate the potential sex-specific mechanisms underlying the distinct molecular profiles of depression that we revealed in men and women. We used unpredictable chronic mild stress (UCMS) to induce an elevated anxiety-/depressive-like state. Furthermore, to probe for sex-specific mechanisms, we used "four core genotypes" (FCG) mice, in which we could independently examine the effects of adult circulating hormones, the developmental organizational effects of hormones, and X/Y genetic sex [37]. We examined if males and females exhibited similar or distinct molecular changes in response to stress exposure, with a focus on whether the same molecular pathways were influenced similarly across the relevant brain regions.

Materials and Methods

Mice

FCG mice were used in all experiments. In humans and animals, the *Sry* gene on the Y-chromosome encodes for testes. Thus, genetic sex and gonadal sex are linked. However, in FCG mice, the *Sry* gene is on an autosome, making it possible to independently investigate developmental organizational effects of hormones (testes vs. ovaries) and genetic sex (XY vs. XX). In addition, it is possible to gonadectomize adult FCG mice to separate the effects of circulating hormones from organizational hormonal effects. The three sex differences can thus be examined independently of one another. The four possible genotypes are: XX females (XXF), XX-*Sry* males (XXM), XY*Sry* males (XYM), and XY females (XYF). Throughout our experiments, mice were group-housed (3–5 mice/ cage) and maintained under standard conditions (12 h:12 h lightdark cycle; 22 ± 1 °C, food and water ad libitum).

Hormone Manipulation

At approximately 12 weeks of age, all mice underwent GDX surgeries to remove the gonads, ensuring the elimination of circulating gonadal hormones. At the time of surgery, mice were given

a silastic capsule (Dow Corning Corp., Midland, MI, USA) that contained either 5 mm of crystalline testosterone, or a size-matched blank capsule. Within each genotype, half of the mice were randomly assigned to be implanted with testosterone-filled capsules and half were implanted with blank capsules. A testosterone capsule of this size produces circulating testosterone levels that mimic those of a normal adult male [38]. After surgery, the mice were allotted 3 weeks of recovery before starting the experimentation process.

Unpredictable Chronic Mild Stress

We used the extensively validated model known as UCMS to induce an elevated depressive-/anxiety-like state in the mice [28, 38, 39]. UCMS elicits a state similar to that of MDD in humans. We exposed mice to a random schedule of psychosocial stressors over 8 weeks. Example stressors were altered light cycles, mild restraint, social stress, wet or no bedding, predator odor, reduced space, a forced bath, and a tilted cage. Weight and the state of the fur were monitored and recorded weekly to track the progression of UCMS syndrome. Nonstressed mice were not exposed to stressors, but their weight and the state of their fur were monitored weekly with mild handling.

Gene Selection and Primer Design

Genes were selected from three major mood-related pathways: GABA, glutamate, and dopamine. For GABA, we examined genes coding for three receptor subunits (GABA-A receptor subunit a2 [Gabra2], GABA-A receptor subunit a5 [Gabra5], and GABA-B receptor subunit 2 [Gabbr2]), GABA receptor-anchoring protein gephyrin (Gphn), GABA transporter (Gat1), GABA type A receptor-associated protein (Gabarap), and GABA type A receptor-associated protein-like 1 (Gabarapl1). For glutamate, we examined genes coding for two glutamate ionotropic a amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionate (AMPA) receptors (glutamate receptors 1 [Gria1] and 3 [Gria3]), metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (Grm1), ionotropic kainate 3 glutamate receptor (Grik3), Nmethyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subunit 3A (Grin3a), mitochondrial glutamate carrier 1 (Slc25a22), and glutamate receptorinteracting protein 1 (Grip1). For dopamine, we examined genes coding for three dopamine receptors (Drd1, Drd2, and Drd5), catechol-O-methyltransferase (Comt), monoamine oxidases A (Maoa) and B (Maob), cAMP-responsive element-binding proteins 1 (Creb1) and 3 (Creb3), CREB-binding protein (Crebbp), and DOPA decarboxylase (Ddc).

Quantitative PCR

Upon sacrifice, brains were flash-frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 °C. Rostrocaudal sections (160-µm-thick) were obtained using a cryostat, and tissue punches were used to isolate the PFC (bregma 2.34–0.50 mm; includes prelimbic and cingulate cortices), NAc (bregma 0.74–0.38 mm), and BLA (bregma –0.94 to –1.82 mm) [40]. The locations of tissue punches are shown in online supplementary Figure 1 (for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000499105). RNA was isolated and extracted using RNeasy Plus Micro kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) with Qiashredder columns (Qiagen). RNA concentration was determined using a Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen), and RNA integrity was measured with a bioanalyzer (Agilent). RNA from mice (n = 3) was pooled into a single sample to reduce variability across replicates. We used 3 replicates per group, giving a total of

144 samples (3 replicates × 4 genotypes × 2 hormone treatments × 3 brain regions × 2 stress conditions). RNA (15 μ L/sample) was converted into cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), and quantities of cDNA were normalized across samples. Quantitative (q)PCR was run on a CFX 96 real-time PCR (BioRad) using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad). We ran each gene and sample combination in triplicate and derived the mean of the replicates. Results were then calculated as the geometric mean of the relative intensities compared to 2 housekeeping genes, cyclophilin and GAPDH. Importantly, these housekeeping genes do not differ by sex or stress. We then transformed this value to the arbitrary signal using the formula: 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT × 10,000. Primer sequences are included in online supplementary Table 1.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed in SPSS. We first examined whether expression values for each gene were normally distributed, using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality. If a gene's expression was not normally distributed, we transformed the data using a rankbased inverse normal transformation [41]. We then performed a 4-way ANOVA (stress \times genetic sex \times gonadal sex \times hormone). If an interaction of stress and a sex-related factor was identified, we performed a 2-way ANOVA (stress × sex-related factor), followed by Tukey's post hoc test. We report interaction statistics (e.g., interaction of stress and genetic sex) from the 4-way ANOVA and post hoc statistics from the 2-way ANOVA. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant and data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). To test for potential type I errors for main effects, we controlled the false discovery rate (set at 5%) using the Benjamini-Hochberg method [42]; the number of genes in each category was used as the total number of tests (7 for GABArelated genes, 7 for glutamate-related genes, and 10 for dopaminerelated genes).

Heatmaps

We also report total patterns of gene expression changes using heatmap visualizations (of the effects of stress for each sex-related

Fig. 1. Effects of chronic stress exposure on expression of dopamine-related genes. a In the PFC, stress induced an increase in expression of *Drd5* (p < 0.01), *Comt* ($p < 10^{-5}$), *Maoa* (p < 0.006), *Creb1* (*p* < 0.002), and *Ddc* (*p* < 0.04) (*n* = 12/group). **b** In the PFC, there were significant interactions between stress and genetic sex on expression of Creb3 (p < 0.02), Crebbp (p < 0.04), Drd1 (p < 0.04) 0.03), and Maob (p < 0.05); stress increased expression of these genes in XX mice but not XY mice (n = 6/group). **c** In the PFC, there was a significant interaction of stress and testosterone exposure on expression of Maob (p < 0.025) (n = 6/group). **d** In the NAc, stress increased expression of Drd1 (p < 0.02), Drd2 (p < 0.0025), *Comt* ($p < 10^{-5}$), *Maoa* ($p < 10^{-6}$), *Maob* ($p < 10^{-6}$), *Creb1* ($p < 10^{-6}$), *Creb3* ($p < 10^{-4}$), *Crebbp* ($p < 10^{-7}$), and *Ddc* ($p < 10^{-5}$) (n = 12/group). e In the NAc, there was a significant interaction of stress and hormone exposure on expression of Drd5 (p < 0.03). Main effects of stress: * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; **** $p < 10^{-4}$; ***** $p < 10^{-5}$. Post hoc 2-group tests performed after significant interaction: p < 0.01; p < 0.001

(For figure see next page.)



Sex Differences in Mood

group). Heatmaps representing our expression data were created using the free browser program Matrix2png [43]. Data tables for each brain region were constructed by using the ratio of stress-tononstress of the means for all experimental groups (ovaries, testes, XX, XY, blank capsule, and testosterone capsule). Data are represented as positive and negative values based on the relative expression of the nonstress and stress groups.

Gene Networks

Gene networks were generated for XX nonstress, XX stress, XY nonstress, and XY stress conditions using the PFC gene expression data (n = 12/group). Two genes are considered coexpressed if their expression patterns are correlated across samples, which may reflect a shared function or shared upstream regulatory pathway [44, 45]. The correlation strength was measured using the Pearson correlation coefficient raised by power 3 (i.e., $|r|^3$). Networks were generated using coexpression values in which $|r|^3 > 0.3$; some genes are excluded from networks if none of the coexpression values hits this threshold. The node size is proportional to the degree for that gene, which is calculated as the number of edges linked to that gene. Edges between nodes are proportional to the correlation $(|r|^3)$ between the two nodes. Within Cytoscape (3.5.0), edgeweighted spring embedded layout function using $|r|^3$ determined the network layout. Within each network, we calculated the density and global-clustering coefficient as global network parameters. The density of a network indicates how many edges are present in a network compared to the maximum possible number of edges, with a maximum value of 1. The global-clustering coefficient measures the extent to which nodes in a network tend to cluster together, with a maximum value of 1. To test whether global network parameters (density and clustering coefficient) differed between the nonstress and stress conditions, we generated a null distribution of clustering coefficients and density separately, through permutation, and then created confidence intervals (CIs). To compare 2 groups, we then determined if the CIs overlapped. If they did not overlap, we rejected the null hypothesis that the two groups would have the same clustering coefficient or density.

Results

The Effects of Stress on Dopamine-Related Genes

In the PFC, we found that stress affected expression of several dopamine-related genes. There was a main effect of stress on expression of several genes (Fig. 1a). Specifically, stress exposure caused an increase in expression of Drd5 (p < 0.01), Comt ($p < 10^{-5}$), Maoa (p < 0.006), Creb1 (p < 0.002), and Ddc (p < 0.04). There were also several genes with interactions of stress and a sex-related factor. There was a significant interaction of genetic sex and stress on expression of Creb3 (p < 0.02), Crebbp (p < 0.04), Drd1 (p < 0.03), and Maob (p < 0.05); stress increased expression in XX mice but not in XY mice (Fig. 1b). There was also a significant interaction of hormone exposure and stress on expression of Maob (p < 0.025; Fig. 1c), with stress increasing expression of Maob

in blank-treated mice but not in testosterone-treated mice (online suppl. Table 2: summary of statistical results).

In the BLA, we found that stress had less of an effect on dopamine-related genes than in the PFC. There were no main effects of stress and no effect of an interaction of stress and any sex-related factor on expression of dopamine-related genes in the BLA (online suppl. Table 2: summary of statistical results).

We found robust main effects of stress on expression of dopamine-related genes in the NAc (Fig. 1d). Specifically, we found that stress caused an increase in expression of *Drd1* (p < 0.02), *Drd2* (p < 0.0025), *Comt* ($p < 10^{-5}$), *Maoa* ($p < 10^{-6}$), *Maob* ($p < 10^{-6}$), *Creb1* ($p < 10^{-6}$), *Creb3* ($p < 10^{-4}$), *Crebbp* ($p < 10^{-7}$), and *Ddc* ($p < 10^{-5}$). There was a significant interaction of stress and hormone exposure on expression of *Drd5* (p < 0.03; Fig. 1e); stress increased expression in blank-treated mice but not in testosterone-treated mice (online suppl. Table 2: summary of statistical results).

The Effects of Stress on GABA-Related Genes

In the PFC, we found that stress affected expression of many GABA-related genes. First, we found main effects of stress on GABA-related genes (Fig. 2a). Specifically, stress exposure increased expression of *Gabra2* (p < 0.003), *Gabarapl1* (p < 0.02), and *Gabarap* ($p < 10^{-8}$); the only gene to be significantly decreased by stress was *Gabra5* (p < 0.002). We also observed a significant interaction of stress and genetic sex on expression of *Gabbr2* (p < 0.02; Fig. 2b), where stress increased expression in XX mice but not in XY mice (online suppl. Table 2: summary of statistical results).

We then looked at the BLA in the same mice to see how stress impacted expression of GABA-related genes. However, there were no main effects of stress or interactions of stress and any sex-related factor on these GABA-related genes in the BLA (online supplementary Table 2: summary of statistical results).

Within the NAc, we found that stress generally increased expression of GABA-related genes, with similar effects across sex-specific groups (Fig. 2c). There were main effects of stress increasing expression of *Gabra2* ($p < 10^{-5}$), *Gphn* ($p < 10^{-7}$), *Gabarap* (p < 0.004), *Gabarapl1* ($p < 10^{-4}$), *Gabbr2* ($p < 10^{-8}$), and *Gat1* ($p < 10^{-6}$). *Gabra5* was the only gene in the NAc with reduced expression upon stress exposure (p < 0.01). There was no significant interaction of a sex-related factor and stress on expression of GABA-related genes in the NAc (online suppl. Table 2: summary of statistical results).



Fig. 2. Effects of chronic stress exposure on expression of GABA-related genes. a In the PFC, stress induced an increase in expression of *Gabra2* (p < 0.003), *Gabarapl1* (p <0.02), and Gabarap ($p < 10^{-8}$), and a decrease in expression of *Gabra5* (p < 0.002) (n = 12/group). **b** In the PFC, there was a significant interaction of stress and genetic sex on expression of *Gabbr2* (p < 0.02), with stress increasing expression only in XX mice (n = 6/group). **c** In the NAc, stress increased expression of Gabra2 ($p < 10^{-5}$), *Gphn* (*p* < 10⁻⁷), *Gabarap* (*p* < 0.004), *Gabarapl1* ($p < 10^{-4}$), *Gabbr2* ($p < 10^{-8}$), and *Gat1* ($p < 10^{-6}$). In the NAc, stress also decreased expression of Gabra5 (p < 0.01) (n = 12/group). Main effects of stress: * p <0.05; ** p < 0.01; **** $p < 10^{-4}$; **** $p < 10^{-4}$; **** 10⁻⁵. Post hoc 2-group tests performed after significant interaction: $^{\$} p < 0.01$.

The Effects of Stress on Glutamate-Related Genes

In the PFC, glutamate-related genes were generally upregulated by stress, with several of these effects being sex-specific. There were main effects of stress on expression of *Gria1* ($p < 10^{-5}$), *Slc25a22* (p < 0.02), and *Grip1* (p < 0.003) (Fig. 3a); stress increased expression of *Gria1* and *Grip1* and decreased expression of *Slc25a22*. We observed

a significant interaction of stress and genetic sex on expression of *Gria3* (p < 0.03) and *Grin3a* (p < 0.005); specifically, stress increased expression in XX mice but not in XY mice (Fig. 3b). We also observed a significant interaction of stress and hormone treatment on expression of *Gria3* (p < 0.04), where stress increased expression in blank-treated mice but with no effects in testosterone-



Fig. 3. Effects of chronic stress exposure on expression of glutamate-related genes. **a** In the PFC, stress increased expression of *Gria1* ($p < 10^{-5}$) and *Grip1* (p < 0.003) and decreased expression of *Slc25a22* (p < 0.02) (n = 12/group). **b** In the PFC, there was a significant interaction of stress and genetic sex on expression of *Gria3* (p < 0.03) and *Grin3a* (p < 0.005), with stress increasing expression only in XX mice (n = 6/group). **c** In the PFC, there was a significant interaction of stress and testosterone (T) exposure on expression

of *Gria3* (p < 0.04), with stress increasing expression in blank (B)-treated mice but not in T-treated mice (n = 6/group). **d** In the NAc, stress increased expression of *Gria1* ($p < 10^{-7}$), *Gria3* ($p < 10^{-4}$), *Grm1* ($p < 10^{-7}$), *Grik3* ($p < 10^{-7}$), *Grin3a* ($p < 10^{-7}$), and *Slc25a22* ($p < 10^{-4}$) (n = 12/group). Main effects of stress: [#] p < 0.1; ^{*} p < 0.05; ^{**} p < 0.01; ^{****} $p < 10^{-4}$; ^{*****} $p < 10^{-5}$. Post hoc 2-group tests performed after significant interaction: [§] p < 0.05; ^{§§} p < 0.01.

treated mice (Fig. 3c) (online supplementary Table 2: summary of statistical results).

In the BLA, we did not identify any main effects of stress or interaction of stress and any sex-related factor on expression of glutamate-related genes in the BLA (online suppl. Table 2: summary of statistical results).

In the NAc, stress increased expression of glutamaterelated genes regardless of sex (Fig. 3d). Specifically, there were main effects of stress increasing expression of *Gria1* $(p < 10^{-7})$, *Gria3* $(p < 10^{-4})$, *Grm1* $(p < 10^{-7})$, *Grik3* $(p < 10^{-7})$, *Grin3a* $(p < 10^{-7})$, and *Slc25a22* $(p < 10^{-4})$. There were no interactions of stress and any sex-related factor on expression of glutamate-related genes in the NAc (online suppl. Table 2: summary of statistical results).

Heatmap Representation of Gene Expression Changes

To summarize the gene expression data and extract the patterns of stress effects, we generated a heatmap in-

version available online PFC BLA NAc Gonadal Hormone Gonadal Gonadal Genetic Genetic Hormone Genetic Hormone F Μ В ΧХ Μ В ΧХ F Μ В XX XY т XY F Т XY Т Gria1 Gria1 Gria3 Gria 3 Grm1 Grm1 Color Grik3 Grik3 Glutamate Glutamate Grin3a Grin3a Slc25a22 Slc25a22 Grip1 Grip1 Gphn Gphn Gabra2 Gabra2 Gabra5 Gabra 5 GABA Gabbr2 GABA Gabbr2 Gat1 Gat1 Gabarapl1 Gabarapl1 Gabarap Gabarap Drd1 Drd1 Drd2 Drd2 Drd5 Drd5 Comt Comt Maoa Dopamine Maoa Dopamine Maob Maob Creb1 Creb1 Creb3 Creb3 Crebbp Crebbp Ddc Ddc -15 1.5

Fig. 4. Heatmap representation of gene expression results. The effect of chronic stress on gene expression in the BLA, PFC, and NAc. Yellow indicates that chronic stress increased gene expres-

sion, while blue indicates that chronic stress decreased gene expression. B, blank-treated; F, gonadal female; M, gonadal male; T, testosterone-treated.

dicating these effects within each sex-related factor (Fig. 4). We also plotted results for each brain region, in order to extract general patterns that are consistent across brain regions or may be region-specific. The most striking feature of the heatmap is that stress influenced gene expression differentially across brain regions. Overall, stress increased expression of the investigated glutamate-/GABA-/dopamine-related genes in the PFC and NAc but decreased their expression in the BLA. There are, however, some exceptions to this pattern. For instance, Gabra5 exhibited the opposite stress effect in each brain region, with stress decreasing expression in the PFC and NAc but increasing expression in the BLA. The other notable feature of the heatmap is that the effects of stress were often more pronounced in the female (XX, gonadal female, and/or blank-treated) than in the male (XY, gonadal male, and/or testosterone-treated) phenotypes. The strongest female-specific effects were in the PFC, followed by the BLA. Interestingly, however, stress impacted female and male phenotypes similarly in the NAc. There were also some genes for which stress affected male and female phenotypes in opposite directions within the same brain region; this pattern was present for *Drd2* in the PFC, *Drd5* in the BLA, and *Grip1* in the NAc.

Stress Disrupted the Gene Coexpression Networks

We next generated weighted gene coexpression networks to investigate the effect of stress on coordinated gene expression patterns. Gene coexpression networks can be useful when considering a disease state in which multiple genes are affected; in other words, several small changes in gene expression might converge to produce the disease state [12]. Given that there were several interactions of genetic sex and stress in the PFC (stress affected gene expression in XX mice but not in XY mice), we examined how stress influenced gene coexpression in the PFC for XX and XY mice. Nonstressed XX mice exhibited a highly coordinated gene expression network (Fig. 5a), indicated by the high clustering coefficient (0.978) and high global density (0.921). Interestingly, these network measures were significantly reduced in stressed XX mice (clustering coefficient = 0.677; density = 0.380; p < 0.05for both measures; Fig. 5b), together indicating a much less coordinated gene network in stressed XX mice. In XY mice, the effect of stress on network statistics was not sig-

Mol Neuropsychiatry 2019;5:162–175 DOI: 10.1159/000499105



(For legend see next page.)

5

nificant (Fig. 5c, d). Specifically, stress elicited a nonsignificant reduction in the clustering coefficient for XY mice, from 0.833 to 0.726 (p > 0.05), and in density, from 0.593 to 0.424 (p > 0.05).

Discussion

Recent studies which examined the molecular pathology of MDD report distinct molecular changes in men and women across several mesocorticolimbic brain regions [23, 46]. However, it is unclear which sex-related factors might drive this distinct MDD-associated pathology in the brain. Here, we examined the effects of chronic stress on expression of sets of mood-related genes across the mesocorticolimbic circuit. Furthermore, we used the FCG mouse strain to determine whether the effects of stress were influenced by any sex-related factors. The overall goal was to identify sex-related factors that might drive the sex-specific MDD molecular pathology observed in humans. As predicted, based on previous evidence for these genes being implicated in mood, we found that stress impacted expression of several dopamine-, GABA-, and glutamate-related genes. Furthermore, we found that some of these effects, mostly in the PFC, were genetic sex-specific, with stress affecting gene expression in XX mice but not in XY mice. We also found that stress impacted gene expression differently across the mesocorticolimbic circuit; stress generally increased expression of mood-related genes in the PFC and NAc but decreased expression in the BLA.

Dopamine-Related Dysfunction in Mood Disorders

The brain's mesolimbic dopamine system plays an integral role in stress-induced physiological changes and depressive-like behaviors in animals and in human MDD

Fig. 5. Genetic sex-specific disruptions of gene networks in the PFC. Weighted gene coexpression networks for all examined dopamine-, GABA-, and glutamate-related genes. Networks were generated for XX nonstress (**a**), XX stress (**b**), XY nonstress (**c**), and XY stress (**d**) groups. Networks are split based on genetic sex and stress exposure. Lines connecting genes indicate coexpression of the 2 genes, with the weight of the line proportional to the level of coexpression. Only coexpression edges with $|r^3 > 0.3|$ are shown; some examined genes are absent from certain networks if none of their coexpression values hit this threshold. The size of each node indicates the degree (sum of the edge weights of all connections) for that gene. Dopamine-related genes are shown in red, GABA-related genes in green, and glutamate-related genes in blue.

[47-53]. Dopamine neurons of the midbrain send projections to the amygdala, PFC, and NAc, and stress-induced changes in dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) are linked to anhedonia and anxiety-like behavior in rodents [36, 49, 50, 54, 55]. In addition, anhedonia, a hallmark of MDD, is attributed, in part, to dysfunctional dopamine neurotransmission [56-61]. An overall reduction of dopamine neurotransmission within the mesocorticolimbic circuit has been described in patients with MDD and in multiple chronic stress paradigms in rodents. For example, rats undergoing 8-12 weeks of chronic mild stress, a paradigm similar to the one we used, led to depressive-like behaviors which could be reversed via phasic optogenetic activation of VTA dopamine neurons and specific to dopamine neurotransmission in the NAc [36]. In mice, chronic social defeat stress has been shown to lead to hyperexcitability of VTA dopamine neurons, and to depressive-like behaviors which could also be normalized by optogenetic activation of these neurons and the restoration of ionic currents [50]. Moreover, sex-dependent effects of stress on these circuits may be linked to sex differences in depressive-like behaviors [51]. In an effort to investigate whether there were sex-dependent effects on dopamine signaling pathways, we examined expression of dopamine-related genes in the PFC, BLA, and NAc. In the PFC and NAc, chronic stress led to an increase of Ddc, Maoa, and Comt, each of which are involved in dopamine metabolism. DDC catalyzes the conversion of DOPA to dopamine presynaptically, while MAOA and COMT metabolize dopamine postsynaptically, which, in the context of our findings, suggests an enhanced dopamine release into these brain regions. This would be consistent with an upregulation of *Drd1* and *Drd2* in the NAc. Sex-dependent effects were found for these dopaminerelated genes in the PFC, with increased Creb3, Crebbp, Drd1, and Maob expression in XX mice but not in XY mice. Activation of CREB-dependent transcriptional pathways is important for the neural plasticity associated with stress, drug abuse, and learning [62-82]. Intriguingly, CREB3 is an endoplasmic reticulum-bound transcription factor activated via cAMP-dependent signaling and was recently found to modulate expression and activity of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) [83]. CREB3 can act as a coactivator of GR and a direct transcriptional activator of the gene [83]. Given the known role of GR in stress-related pathologies and the female specificity of the effect of stress on Creb3 expression in the PFC, investigating whether CREB3 is a key driver of stress sensitivity at the cellular level may reveal novel roles for this protein related to sex differences in anxiety and depression.

GABA-Related Dysfunction in Mood Disorders

Our results for the impact of chronic stress on GABArelated gene expression are largely consistent with what has previously been reported in humans. We found that Gabarapl1 expression was increased by chronic stress in the PFC and NAc, consistent with results in human MDD in which expression is increased in Brodmann area 9 (BA9), BA10, BA20, and BA46 [84, 85]. Consistent with what we observed for the effects of stress on Gabra2 expression in the PFC and NAc, subjects with MDD have increased Gabra2 expression in the cerebellum [86]. While single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the Gabbr2 gene are associated with MDD, human postmortem studies have not found altered expression of this gene in the brain (e.g., [85, 87]). Since we found increased expression of Gabbr2 in the PFC of XX mice but not XY mice, it is tempting to speculate that human postmortem studies might find an effect on Gabbr2 expression if analyses were stratified by sex. The Gabra5 gene has SNPs with an association for suicide, but human postmortem brain studies report mixed results for changes in expression in subjects committing suicide and/or with MDD (increased expression in BA20 and BA46 [85], but no change in the amygdala [88]). Interestingly, we previously found that treating mice with a positive allosteric modulator for the α 5 subunit of the GABA receptor (the subunit coded for by the Gabra5 gene) decreased measures of anxiety-/depressive-like behaviors in female mice but not in male mice [89]. Here, we find that chronic stress decreased Gabra5 expression in the PFC and NAc of both males and females. Together, this suggests that chronic stress impacts Gabra5 in both sexes, but that boosting GABA signaling at receptors containing the α 5 subunit is only effective in females.

Glutamate-Related Dysfunction in Mood Disorders

Here, we found effects of chronic stress on expression of many glutamate-related genes, with notable femalespecific effects in the PFC. This female specificity for the effect of chronic stress is especially interesting, given the recent finding of female-specific increases in expression of several glutamate-related genes in the DLPFC of subjects with MDD. For instance, Gray et al. [29] reported a female-specific increase in DLPFC *GRIA3* expression, and we found that chronic stress increased PFC *Gria3* expression in XX and blank-treated mice, but not in XY or testosterone-treated mice. This suggests that the sexspecific human findings are driven by genetic and circulating-hormone differences. Alternatively, XY genetic sex and/or circulating testosterone might be protective

172

factors in males. There are also SNPs in the *GRIA3* gene which are associated with suicidal ideation [90, 91]. Gray et al. [29] also reported a female-specific increase in *GRM1* in the DLPFC of subjects with MDD. However, we found that chronic stress increased expression of *Grm1* in the PFC of both males and females, suggesting potential species differences. Our results for *Gria1* are consistent with reports in the literature. For instance, *GRIA1* expression is increased in BA9 [84, 87] and BA21 [85] in MDD subjects. We also saw increased *Gria1* expression in the PFC and NAc of chronically stressed mice.

Brain Region Specificity

A striking observation in our study is that chronic stress produces patterns of gene expression changes in the BLA opposite to those in the PFC and NAc; this is especially apparent when examining the heatmap in Figure 4. In the BLA, chronic stress almost universally led to decreased gene expression across these pathways, while in the PFC and NAc, it mostly caused increased gene expression. Interestingly, we previously reported a similar phenomenon in human MDD, where subsets of genes changed in opposite directions in the PFC and BLA [23]. BOLD imaging studies also suggest opposing activation patterns in these regions in MDD, with an increase in BLA activity at baseline, and a decrease in NAc and PFC activity during emotion-related tasks (e.g., [14-22]). These opposing changes between brain regions likely reflect stress-induced alterations of neurotransmission.

Conclusion

We report the effects of chronic stress on expression of several dopamine-, GABA-, and glutamate-related genes. Importantly, many of the effects of stress on gene expression are consistent with what has been reported in human MDD, suggesting that the UCMS paradigm recreates the relevant features of human MDD. Notably, we found that chronic stress affected gene expression similarly in males and females within the BLA and NAc, but that this was female-specific in the PFC; this suggests that future studies on sex-specificity of MDD could focus on the PFC. Finally, one of the most striking results of this study is that chronic stress produces differential effects across mesocorticolimbic brain regions, with the changes in the BLA being opposite in direction to those in the PFC and NAc. Together, our findings suggest that stress-induced alterations in molecular signaling pathways of neurotransmission within these mood-related circuits are sex-dependent and region-specific.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Rachel Puralewski for help in processing tissues and samples.

Statement of Ethics

Mouse experiments conform to internationally accepted standards and have been approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Smith K. Mental health: a world of depression. Nature. 2014 Nov;515(7526):181.
- ² Greenberg PE, Fournier AA, Sisitsky T, Pike CT, Kessler RC. The economic burden of adults with major depressive disorder in the United States (2005 and 2010). J Clin Psychiatry. 2015 Feb;76(2):155–62.
- 3 Belmaker RH, Agam G. Major depressive disorder. N Engl J Med. 2008 Jan;358(1):55–68.
- 4 Penn E, Tracy DK. The drugs don't work? Antidepressants and the current and future pharmacological management of depression. Ther Adv Psychopharmacol. 2012 Oct;2(5): 179–88.
- 5 Khan A, Brodhead AE, Schwartz KA, Kolts RL, Brown WA. Sex differences in antidepressant response in recent antidepressant clinical trials. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2005 Aug; 25(4):318–24.
- 6 Kornstein SG, Schatzberg AF, Thase ME, Yonkers KA, McCullough JP, Keitner GI, et al. Gender differences in chronic major and double depression. J Affect Disord. 2000 Oct; 60(1):1–11.
- 7 Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005 Jun;62(6):593–602.
- 8 Angst J, Dobler-Mikola A. Do the diagnostic criteria determine the sex ratio in depression? J Affect Disord. 1984 Dec;7(3-4):189–98.

- 9 Frank E, Carpenter LL, Kupfer DJ. Sex differences in recurrent depression: are there any that are significant? Am J Psychiatry. 1988 Jan;145(1):41–5.
- 10 Young MA, Fogg LF, Scheftner WA, Keller MB, Fawcett JA. Sex differences in the lifetime prevalence of depression: does varying the diagnostic criteria reduce the female/male ratio? J Affect Disord. 1990 Mar;18(3):187–92.
- 11 Bagot RC, Cates HM, Purushothaman I, Lorsch ZS, Walker DM, Wang J, et al. Circuitwide Transcriptional Profiling Reveals Brain Region-Specific Gene Networks Regulating Depression Susceptibility. Neuron. 2016 Jun; 90(5):969–83.
- 12 Gaiteri C, Ding Y, French B, Tseng GC, Sibille E. Beyond modules and hubs: the potential of gene coexpression networks for investigating molecular mechanisms of complex brain disorders. Genes Brain Behav. 2014 Jan;13(1): 13–24.
- 13 Seminowicz DA, Mayberg HS, McIntosh AR, Goldapple K, Kennedy S, Segal Z, et al. Limbic-frontal circuitry in major depression: a path modeling metanalysis. Neuroimage. 2004 May;22(1):409–18.
- 14 Drevets WC, Price JL, Simpson JR Jr, Todd RD, Reich T, Vannier M, et al. Subgenual prefrontal cortex abnormalities in mood disorders. Nature. 1997 Apr;386(6627):824–7.
- 15 Hirayasu Y, Shenton ME, Salisbury DF, Kwon JS, Wible CG, Fischer IA, et al. Subgenual cingulate cortex volume in first-episode psychosis. Am J Psychiatry. 1999 Jul;156(7):1091–3.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) MH103473 (M.L.S.) and by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) DA038654 (R.W.L.). Drs. Seney and Logan were also supported by NARSAD Young Investigator Awards from the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation. The funding agencies had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, and preparation of the manuscript. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIMH or the NIH.

Author Contributions

M.L.S. and R.W.L. designed the experiments; K.B. and W.P. conducted the experiments; K.B., W.P., K.C., and M.L.S. analyzed the data; M.L.S., R.W.L., K.B., and W.P. wrote the paper.

- 16 Sheline YI, Gado MH, Price JL. Amygdala core nuclei volumes are decreased in recurrent major depression. Neuroreport. 1998 Jun;9(9):2023–8.
- 17 Hastings RS, Parsey RV, Oquendo MA, Arango V, Mann JJ. Volumetric analysis of the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and hippocampus in major depression. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2004;29:952–9.
- 18 Baxter LR Jr, Schwartz JM, Phelps ME, Mazziotta JC, Guze BH, Selin CE, et al. Reduction of prefrontal cortex glucose metabolism common to three types of depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1989 Mar;46(3):243–50.
- 19 Bench CJ, Friston KJ, Brown RG, Frackowiak RS, Dolan RJ. Regional cerebral blood flow in depression measured by positron emission tomography: the relationship with clinical dimensions. Psychol Med. 1993 Aug;23(3): 579–90.
- 20 Drevets WC, Videen TO, Price JL, Preskorn SH, Carmichael ST, Raichle ME. A functional anatomical study of unipolar depression. J Neurosci. 1992 Sep;12(9):3628–41.
- 21 Drevets WC. Prefrontal cortical-amygdalar metabolism in major depression. Ann NY Acad Sci. 1999 Jun;877:614–37.
- 22 Drevets WC. Functional anatomical abnormalities in limbic and prefrontal cortical structures in major depression. Prog Brain Res. 2000;126:413–31.
- 23 Seney ML, Huo Z, Cahill K, French L, Puralewski R, Zhang J, et al. Opposite Molecular Signatures of Depression in Men and Women. Biol Psychiatry. 2018 Jul;84(1):18–27.

- 24 Levinson AJ, Fitzgerald PB, Favalli G, Blumberger DM, Daigle M, Daskalakis ZJ. Evidence of cortical inhibitory deficits in major depressive disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2010 Mar;67(5):458–64.
- 25 Dubin MJ, Mao X, Banerjee S, Goodman Z, Lapidus KA, Kang G, et al. Elevated prefrontal cortex GABA in patients with major depressive disorder after TMS treatment measured with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2016 Apr;41(3): E37–45.
- 26 Rajkowska G, O'Dwyer G, Teleki Z, Stockmeier CA, Miguel-Hidalgo JJ. GABAergic neurons immunoreactive for calcium binding proteins are reduced in the prefrontal cortex in major depression. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2007 Feb;32(2):471–82.
- 27 Hasler G, van der Veen JW, Tumonis T, Meyers N, Shen J, Drevets WC. Reduced prefrontal glutamate/glutamine and gamma-aminobutyric acid levels in major depression determined using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007 Feb; 64(2):193–200.
- 28 Seney ML, Chang LC, Oh H, Wang X, Tseng GC, Lewis DA, et al. The Role of Genetic Sex in Affect Regulation and Expression of GA-BA-Related Genes Across Species. Front Psychiatry. 2013 Sep;4:104.
- 29 Gray AL, Hyde TM, Deep-Soboslay A, Kleinman JE, Sodhi MS. Sex differences in glutamate receptor gene expression in major depression and suicide. Mol Psychiatry. 2015 Sep;20(9):1139.
- 30 Franceschelli A, Sens J, Herchick S, Thelen C, Pitychoutis PM. Sex differences in the rapid and the sustained antidepressant-like effects of ketamine in stress-naïve and "depressed" mice exposed to chronic mild stress. Neuroscience. 2015 Apr;290:49–60.
- 31 Carrier N, Kabbaj M. Sex differences in the antidepressant-like effects of ketamine. Neuropharmacology. 2013 Jul;70:27–34.
- 32 Eshel N, Tian J, Bukwich M, Uchida N. Dopamine neurons share common response function for reward prediction error. Nat Neurosci. 2016 Mar;19(3):479–86.
- 33 Pandit R, Omrani A, Luijendijk MC, de Vrind VA, Van Rozen AJ, Ophuis RJ, et al. Melanocortin 3 Receptor Signaling in Midbrain Dopamine Neurons Increases the Motivation for Food Reward. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2016 Aug;41(9):2241–51.
- 34 Lim BK, Huang KW, Grueter BA, Rothwell PE, Malenka RC. Anhedonia requires MC4Rmediated synaptic adaptations in nucleus accumbens. Nature. 2012 Jul;487(7406):183–9.
- 35 Downar J, Geraci J, Salomons TV, Dunlop K, Wheeler S, McAndrews MP, et al. Anhedonia and reward-circuit connectivity distinguish nonresponders from responders to dorsomedial prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in major depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2014 Aug;76(3):176–85.

- 36 Tye KM, Mirzabekov JJ, Warden MR, Ferenczi EA, Tsai HC, Finkelstein J, et al. Dopamine neurons modulate neural encoding and expression of depression-related behaviour. Nature. 2013 Jan;493(7433):537–41.
- 37 Arnold AP, Chen X. What does the "four core genotypes" mouse model tell us about sex differences in the brain and other tissues? Front Neuroendocrinol. 2009 Jan;30(1):1–9.
- 38 Seney ML, Ekong KI, Ding Y, Tseng GC, Sibille E. Sex chromosome complement regulates expression of mood-related genes. Biol Sex Differ. 2013 Nov;4(1):20.
- 39 Puralewski R, Vasilakis G, Seney ML. Sex-related factors influence expression of moodrelated genes in the basolateral amygdala differentially depending on age and stress exposure. Biol Sex Differ. 2016 Sep;7(1):50.
- 40 Franklin KB, Paxinos G. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. 3rd ed. New York: Elsevier; 2007.
- 41 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate - a Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J Roy Stat Soc B Met. 1995;57(1):289–300.
- 42 Templeton GF. A two-step approach for transforming continuous variables to normal: implications and recommendations for IS research. CAIS. 2011;28:41–58.
- 43 Pavlidis P, Noble WS. Matrix2png: a utility for visualizing matrix data. Bioinformatics. 2003 Jan;19(2):295–6.
- 44 Gaiteri C, Guilloux JP, Lewis DA, Sibille E. Altered gene synchrony suggests a combined hormone-mediated dysregulated state in major depression. PLoS One. 2010 Apr;5(4): e9970.
- 45 Lee HK, Hsu AK, Sajdak J, Qin J, Pavlidis P. Coexpression analysis of human genes across many microarray data sets. Genome Res. 2004 Jun;14(6):1085–94.
- 46 Labonté B, Engmann O, Purushothaman I, Menard C, Wang J, Tan C, et al. Sex-specific transcriptional signatures in human depression. Nat Med. 2017 Sep;23(9):1102–11.
- 47 Krishnan V, Han MH, Graham DL, Berton O, Renthal W, Russo SJ, et al. Molecular adaptations underlying susceptibility and resistance to social defeat in brain reward regions. Cell. 2007 Oct;131(2):391–404.
- 48 Dunlop BW, Nemeroff CB. The role of dopamine in the pathophysiology of depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007 Mar;64(3):327– 37.
- 49 Chaudhury D, Walsh JJ, Friedman AK, Juarez B, Ku SM, Koo JW, et al. Rapid regulation of depression-related behaviours by control of midbrain dopamine neurons. Nature. 2013 Jan;493(7433):532–6.
- 50 Friedman AK, Walsh JJ, Juarez B, Ku SM, Chaudhury D, Wang J, et al. Enhancing depression mechanisms in midbrain dopamine neurons achieves homeostatic resilience. Science. 2014 Apr;344(6181):313–9.

- 51 Zhang S, Zhang H, Ku SM, Juarez B, Morel C, Tzavaras N, et al. Sex Differences in the Neuroadaptations of Reward-related Circuits in Response to Subchronic Variable Stress. Neuroscience. 2018 Apr;376:108–16.
- 52 Belujon P, Grace AA. Dopamine System Dysregulation in Major Depressive Disorders. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2017 Dec;20(12): 1036–46.
- 53 Russo SJ, Nestler EJ. The brain reward circuitry in mood disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2013 Sep;14(9):609–25.
- 54 Berton O, McClung CA, Dileone RJ, Krishnan V, Renthal W, Russo SJ, et al. Essential role of BDNF in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway in social defeat stress. <u>Science</u>. 2006 Feb;311(5762):864–8.
- 55 Cao JL, Covington HE 3rd, Friedman AK, Wilkinson MB, Walsh JJ, Cooper DC, et al. Mesolimbic dopamine neurons in the brain reward circuit mediate susceptibility to social defeat and antidepressant action. J Neurosci. 2010 Dec;30(49):16453–8.
- 56 Der-Avakian A, Markou A. The neurobiology of anhedonia and other reward-related deficits. Trends Neurosci. 2012 Jan;35(1):68–77.
- 57 Sherdell L, Waugh CE, Gotlib IH. Anticipatory pleasure predicts motivation for reward in major depression. J Abnorm Psychol. 2012 Feb;121(1):51–60.
- 58 Yadid G, Friedman A. Dynamics of the dopaminergic system as a key component to the understanding of depression. Prog Brain Res. 2008;172:265–86.
- 59 Forbes EE. Where's the fun in that? Broadening the focus on reward function in depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2009 Aug;66(3):199– 200.
- 60 Meyer JH, Krüger S, Wilson AA, Christensen BK, Goulding VS, Schaffer A, et al. Lower dopamine transporter binding potential in striatum during depression. Neuroreport. 2001 Dec;12(18):4121–5.
- 61 Sarchiapone M, Carli V, Camardese G, Cuomo C, Di Giuda D, Calcagni ML, et al. Dopamine transporter binding in depressed patients with anhedonia. Psychiatry Res. 2006 Oct;147(2-3):243–8.
- 62 Barrot M, Olivier JD, Perrotti LI, DiLeone RJ, Berton O, Eisch AJ, et al. CREB activity in the nucleus accumbens shell controls gating of behavioral responses to emotional stimuli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002 Aug;99(17): 11435–40.
- 63 Barrot M, Wallace DL, Bolaños CA, Graham DL, Perrotti LI, Neve RL, et al. Regulation of anxiety and initiation of sexual behavior by CREB in the nucleus accumbens. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005 Jun;102(23):8357–62.
- 64 Carlezon WA Jr, Duman RS, Nestler EJ. The many faces of CREB. Trends Neurosci. 2005 Aug;28(8):436–45.
- 65 Carlezon WA Jr, Thome J, Olson VG, Lane-Ladd SB, Brodkin ES, Hiroi N, et al. Regulation of cocaine reward by CREB. Science. 1998 Dec;282(5397):2272–5.

- 66 Dong Y, Green T, Saal D, Marie H, Neve R, Nestler EJ, et al. CREB modulates excitability of nucleus accumbens neurons. Nat Neurosci. 2006 Apr;9(4):475–7.
- 67 Green TA, Alibhai IN, Roybal CN, Winstanley CA, Theobald DE, Birnbaum SG, et al. Environmental enrichment produces a behavioral phenotype mediated by low cyclic adenosine monophosphate response element binding (CREB) activity in the nucleus accumbens. Biol Psychiatry. 2010 Jan;67(1):28–35.
- 68 Guitart X, Thompson MA, Mirante CK, Greenberg ME, Nestler EJ. Regulation of cyclic AMP response element-binding protein (CREB) phosphorylation by acute and chronic morphine in the rat locus coeruleus. J Neurochem. 1992 Mar;58(3):1168–71.
- 69 Huang YH, Lin Y, Brown TE, Han MH, Saal DB, Neve RL, et al. CREB modulates the functional output of nucleus accumbens neurons: a critical role of N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptor (NMDAR) receptors. J Biol Chem. 2008 Feb;283(5):2751–60.
- 70 Lane-Ladd SB, Pineda J, Boundy VA, Pfeuffer T, Krupinski J, Aghajanian GK, et al. CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein) in the locus coeruleus: biochemical, physiological, and behavioral evidence for a role in opiate dependence. J Neurosci. 1997 Oct;17(20): 7890–901.
- 71 Larson EB, Graham DL, Arzaga RR, Buzin N, Webb J, Green TA, et al. Overexpression of CREB in the nucleus accumbens shell increases cocaine reinforcement in self-administering rats. J Neurosci. 2011 Nov;31(45):16447– 57.
- 72 McClung CA, Nestler EJ. Regulation of gene expression and cocaine reward by CREB and DeltaFosB. Nat Neurosci. 2003 Nov;6(11): 1208–15.
- 73 Nestler EJ. Cellular basis of memory for addiction. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2013 Dec; 15(4):431-43.
- 74 Nestler EJ, Carlezon WA Jr. The mesolimbic dopamine reward circuit in depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2006 Jun;59(12):1151–9.

- 75 Nibuya M, Nestler EJ, Duman RS. Chronic antidepressant administration increases the expression of cAMP response element binding protein (CREB) in rat hippocampus. J Neurosci. 1996 Apr;16(7):2365–72.
- 76 Olson VG, Green TA, Neve RL, Nestler EJ. Regulation of morphine reward and feeding by CREB in the lateral hypothalamus. Synapse. 2007 Feb;61(2):110–3.
- 77 Rogge GA, Jones DC, Green T, Nestler E, Kuhar MJ. Regulation of CART peptide expression by CREB in the rat nucleus accumbens in vivo. Brain Res. 2009 Jan;1251:42–52.
- 78 Sakai N, Thome J, Newton SS, Chen J, Kelz MB, Steffen C, et al. Inducible and brain region-specific CREB transgenic mice. Mol Pharmacol. 2002 Jun;61(6):1453–64.
- 79 Tronson NC, Wiseman SL, Neve RL, Nestler EJ, Olausson P, Taylor JR. Distinctive roles for amygdalar CREB in reconsolidation and extinction of fear memory. Learn Mem. 2012 Apr;19(5):178–81.
- 80 Wallace DL, Han MH, Graham DL, Green TA, Vialou V, Iñiguez SD, et al. CREB regulation of nucleus accumbens excitability mediates social isolation-induced behavioral deficits. Nat Neurosci. 2009 Feb;12(2):200–9.
- 81 Widnell KL, Chen JS, Iredale PA, Walker WH, Duman RS, Habener JF, et al. Transcriptional regulation of CREB (cyclic AMP response element-binding protein) expression in CATH.a cells. J Neurochem. 1996 Apr; 66(4):1770–3.
- 82 Widnell KL, Self DW, Lane SB, Russell DS, Vaidya VA, Miserendino MJ, et al. Regulation of CREB expression: in vivo evidence for a functional role in morphine action in the nucleus accumbens. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1996 Jan;276(1):306–15.
- 83 Penney J, Taylor T, MacLusky N, Lu R. LU-MAN/CREB3 Plays a Dual Role in Stress Responses as a Cofactor of the Glucocorticoid Receptor and a Regulator of Secretion. Front Mol Neurosci. 2018 Sep;11:352.

- 84 Yin H, Pantazatos SP, Galfalvy H, Huang YY, Rosoklija GB, Dwork AJ, et al. A pilot integrative genomics study of GABA and glutamate neurotransmitter systems in suicide, suicidal behavior, and major depressive disorder. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2016 Apr;171B(3):414–26.
- 85 Sequeira A, Mamdani F, Ernst C, Vawter MP, Bunney WE, Lebel V, et al. Global brain gene expression analysis links glutamatergic and GABAergic alterations to suicide and major depression. PLoS One. 2009 Aug;4(8):e6585.
- 86 Fatemi SH, Folsom TD, Rooney RJ, Thuras PD. Expression of GABAA α2-, β1- and ε-receptors are altered significantly in the lateral cerebellum of subjects with schizophrenia, major depression and bipolar disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2013 Sep;3(9):e303.
- 87 Ding Y, Chang LC, Wang X, Guilloux JP, Parrish J, Oh H, et al. Molecular and Genetic Characterization of Depression: Overlap with other Psychiatric Disorders and Aging. Mol Neuropsychiatry. 2015 May;1(1):1–12.
- 88 Guilloux JP, Douillard-Guilloux G, Kota R, Wang X, Gardier AM, Martinowich K, et al. Molecular evidence for BDNF- and GABArelated dysfunctions in the amygdala of female subjects with major depression. Mol Psychiatry. 2012 Nov;17(11):1130–42.
- 89 Piantadosi SC, French BJ, Poe MM, Timić T, Marković BD, Pabba M, et al. Sex-Dependent Anti-Stress Effect of an α5 Subunit Containing GABAA Receptor Positive Allosteric Modulator. Front Pharmacol. 2016 Nov;7: 446.
- 90 Laje G, Paddock S, Manji H, Rush AJ, Wilson AF, Charney D, et al. Genetic markers of suicidal ideation emerging during citalopram treatment of major depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2007 Oct;164(10):1530–8.
- 91 Menke A, Lucae S, Kloiber S, Horstmann S, Bettecken T, Uhr M, et al. Genetic markers within glutamate receptors associated with antidepressant treatment-emergent suicidal ideation. Am J Psychiatry. 2008 Jul;165(7): 917–8.