

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Int J Eat Disord. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Int J Eat Disord. 2019 May ; 52(5): 591–596. doi:10.1002/eat.23048.

Comparisons of Bone Density and Body Composition among Adolescents with Anorexia Nervosa and Atypical Anorexia Nervosa

Jason M. Nagata, M.D., M.Sc.¹, Jennifer L. Carlson, M.D.², Neville H. Golden, M.D.², Jin Long, Ph.D.², Stuart B. Murray, Ph.D.³, and Rebecka Peebles, M.D.⁴

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

²Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA

³Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

⁴Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Abstract

Objective: To compare bone mineral density (BMD) and body composition among adolescents: 1) with atypical anorexia nervosa (AAN) versus anorexia nervosa (AN) and 2) those with and without a prior history of overweight.

Method: Electronic medical records of patients 9–20 years with AN or AAN who underwent dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans were retrospectively reviewed and analyzed.

Results: A total of 286 adolescents with AN or AAN were included. In linear regression models, AAN was associated with greater Z-scores in whole body bone mineral content (BMC, B=0.88, p<0.001), lumbar spine BMD (B=0.79, p=0.002), femoral neck BMD (B=0.670, p=0.009); fat mass index (FMI, B=1.33, p=0.003), and lean body mass index (LBMI, B=1.10, p<0.001) compared to AN, adjusting for age, sex, and duration of illness. A prior overweight history was associated with greater Z-scores in whole body BMC; lumbar spine BMD, total hip BMD, femoral neck BMD, and LBMI.

Discussion: Adolescents with AAN had higher BMD Z-scores than adolescents with AN; adolescents with a prior overweight history had greater BMD Z-scores than adolescents without a prior overweight history. These findings may inform clinical guidelines for the medical management of AAN.

Keywords

anorexia nervosa; atypical anorexia nervosa; obesity; overweight; eating disorders; dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; DXA; bone density; bone health; body composition

Corresponding author and person to whom reprint requests should be addressed: Jason M. Nagata, M.D., M.Sc., 3333 California Street, Suite 245, Box 0503, San Francisco, CA 94118, jasonmnagata@gmail.com, Phone: +1 (626) 551-1932.

Conflict of Interest: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is not conflict of interest.

Introduction

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, fifth Edition (DSM-5) introduced atypical anorexia nervosa (AAN) within the Other Specified Feeding or Eating Disorders (OSFED) diagnosis to describe individuals who meet psychological criteria for anorexia nervosa (AN), but whose weight is within or above the normal range (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Much less is known about clinical features of AAN compared to AN; however, it is estimated that 70% of adolescents with AAN were previously overweight (Sawyer, Whitelaw, Le Grange, Yeo, & Hughes, 2016), and one large inpatient eating disorder (ED) unit has reported a fivefold increase in admissions of adolescents with AAN over a six-year period, representing nearly half of all inpatient admissions (Whitelaw, Gilbertson, Lee, & Sawyer, 2014). The severity of ED symptoms in AAN has been shown to be equal or greater to symptom severity in AN (Sawyer et al., 2016). Nearly a third of US adolescents considered overweight engage in ED behaviors (Nagata, Garber, Tabler, Murray, & Bibbins-Domingo, 2018; Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2007).

AN is associated with bone mineral density (BMD) deficits and increased fracture risk (Faje et al., 2014; Misra et al., 2004; Nagata, Golden, Leonard, Copelovitch, & Denburg, 2017; Solmi et al., 2016). Furthermore, adolescents with AN have striking reductions in fat mass and significant but less severe reductions in lean mass (Faje et al., 2013; Misra et al., 2004).

There is a paucity of data on bone health and body composition among adolescents with AAN. One recent study found higher mean BMD Z-scores at the spine, total hip, and total radius in AAN versus AN, but dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) Z-scores were still lower than those of healthy controls; however, this study was limited to adult women (18–45 years) and did not include adolescents or males (Schorr et al., 2017). Little is known about the effect of prior overweight status on BMD in adolescents. Studying skeletal consequences of AAN and prior overweight history is important given the rising incidence of these disorders (Whitelaw et al., 2014).

The objectives of this study were to compare BMD, fat mass index, and lean body mass index among a sample of adolescents: 1) with AAN versus AN and 2) those with and without a prior history of overweight. We hypothesized that adolescents with AAN would have higher BMD, fat mass index, and lean body mass index Z-scores than adolescents with AN, and that those with a prior history of overweight would have higher BMD, fat mass index Z-scores than those with a prior history.

Methods

Study Population

This cross-sectional study retrospectively reviewed the electronic medical record (EMR) of all patients, 9 to 20 years of age, presenting for an initial evaluation to the Eating Disorders Program at Lucile Packard Children's Hospital, Stanford between March 1997 and February 2011. Inclusion criteria included DSM-5 diagnosis of AN or AAN, and availability of DXA results obtained using a Hologic 4500 bone densitometer within three months of presentation.

Measures

This retrospective cross-sectional study included demographics, anthropometry, DXA measures, and psychological and medical disease characteristics documented in the EMR. Assessments were completed by clinic and hospital staff for the purposes of medical care. These clinical assessments in the EMR were then retrospectively reviewed and entered into a database.

Clinical, psychological, and anthropometric characteristics of participants were reviewed and re-classified using DSM-5 criteria for this study as reported previously, given that participants were initially diagnosed using DSM-IV criteria (Nagata, Golden, Peebles, Long, Murray et al., 2017; Nagata, Golden, Peebles, Long, Leonard et al., 2017). Height and weight were measured at initial presentation. Highest premorbid weight was based on selfreport. Body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) was calculated, and mBMI defined as the 50th percentile BMI for age using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) growth curves (Centers for Disease Control, CDC, 2000). Percentage mBMI (%mBMI) on admission was (BMI/mBMI)*100 (Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine et al., 2015). Prior overweight denoted a BMI >85th percentile at self-reported highest weight using height at presentation (Centers for Disease Control, C D C, 2000). Duration of illness was time from self-reported onset of symptoms. Menstrual status was based on self-report.

All BMD and body composition assessments were obtained by DXA (Hologic 4500, Hologic, Waltham, MA). Whole body bone mineral content (BMC), and lumbar spine, total hip and femoral neck BMD measurements were converted to sex-, race-, and age-specific Z-scores using reference curves from healthy adolescents in the Bone Mineral Density in Childhood Study (Zemel et al., 2011). Z-scores were further adjusted for height Z-score per methods developed by BMDCS investigators (Zemel et al., 2010). DXA body composition height-normalized fat mass index (FMI, kg/m²) and lean body mass index (LBMI, kg/m²) were converted to sex-, race-, and age-specific Z-scores using reference values from healthy adolescents in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) (Weber, Moore, Leonard, & Zemel, 2013).

Ethics

The study was approved by the Research Compliance Office (Institutional Review Board) at Stanford University.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using STATA 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). Unadjusted differences were calculated using independent samples t-tests, Pearson's chi square tests, or Fisher's exact tests. Because duration of illness was skewed, median and interquartile range were reported, the rank sum test was used for bivariate analyses, and log-transformation was performed for the regression analysis. Linear regression analyses were used with AAN or prior overweight history as the independent variable, DXA Z-scores as the dependent variable, adjusting for sex, age, and duration of illness. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was used to adjust for a false discovery rate given multiple statistical tests (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995).

Results

Clinical Characteristics

Eligibility criteria narrowed the final sample to 286 participants (263 AN and 23 AAN, Appendix A). Clinical and DXA characteristics of the sample are shown in Table 1. Mean age was 15.3 years and 93% of participants were female. Forty-six participants reported a prior overweight history, of whom 19 also met criteria for AAN.

Adolescents with AN had greater deficits in Z-scores for whole body BMC, lumbar spine BMD, total hip BMD, femoral neck BMD, FMI, and LBMI than adolescents with AAN in unadjusted analyses (Table 1). Adolescents with no prior overweight history had greater deficits in Z-scores for whole body BMC, lumbar spine BMD, total hip BMD, femoral neck BMD, FMI, and LBMI than adolescents with a prior overweight history in unadjusted analyses (Table 1). Adolescents with AN and AAN, and those with or without a prior overweight history, all had significant deficits in FMI Z-score.

In linear regression models, AAN was associated with greater whole body BMC (B=0.88, p<0.001), lumbar spine BMD (B=0.79, p=0.002), femoral neck BMD (B=0.670, p=0.009), FMI (B=1.33, p=0.003), and LBMI (B=1.10, p<0.001), but not total hip (B=0.52, p=0.054) BMD Z-score compared to AN, adjusting for age, sex, and duration of illness (Table 2). In linear regression models, prior overweight history was significantly associated with greater whole body BMC (B=0.83, p<0.001), lumbar spine BMD (B=0.70, p=0.001), total hip BMD (B=0.53, p=0.009), femoral neck BMD (B=0.70, p<0.001), and LBMI (B=0.80, p<0.001), but not FMI (B=0.66, p=0.069) Z-score compared to no prior overweight history, adjusting for age, sex, and duration of illness.

Discussion

We found that adolescents with AAN had greater whole body BMC and BMD at the lumbar spine and femoral neck compared to those with AN. We also found that a prior history of overweight in these adolescents was associated with greater whole body BMC and BMD at all sites compared to no prior overweight history. FMI Z-scores were lower than expected in the AAN subgroup relative to their %mBMI. Given the dearth of knowledge on medical complications of AAN and the rising incidence of this clinical diagnosis, research on this topic is important to inform clinical guidelines.

Adolescents with AAN have been shown to have more severe ED symptoms including restraint, eating concerns, shape concerns, and weight concerns compared to adolescents with AN (Sawyer et al., 2016). Adolescents with AAN were also found to have equally severe binge-eating, vomiting, laxative misuse, and compulsive exercise as adolescents with AN (Sawyer et al., 2016). Despite significant ED symptoms at presentation in AAN, skeletal consequences to their BMD and lean mass may be relatively spared. One previous study in adult women found higher mean BMD Z-scores at the spine, total hip, and total radius in AAN versus AN (Schorr et al., 2017). Our study confirms these differences in an adolescent sample that includes males and females. However, adolescents with AAN had whole body, lumbar spine, and femoral neck Z-scores in the normal range (based on growth curves from

Nagata et al.

healthy controls (Zemel et al., 2011)), in contrast to the adults with AAN who demonstrated significant bone deficits (Schorr et al., 2017). Higher BMI is associated with greater BMC and BMD (Nagata et al., 2017), which may explain the BMC and BMD Z-score differences in AAN versus AN. In addition, we find that adolescents with a prior history of overweight may be protected from low BMD compared to those without a history of overweight.

It is well known that adolescents with AN have low fat mass (Faje et al., 2013; Misra et al., 2004). While we find that FMI Z-scores are lower in AN than AAN, adolescents with AAN nonetheless have FMI Z-scores lower than expected for age, race, and sex. These findings are consistent with the severe ED symptoms in AAN and the findings of fat mass deficits in adult women with AAN (Schorr et al., 2017). Adolescents with and without a history of prior overweight both had similarly severe deficits in FMI Z-scores in the context of AN or AAN. However, adolescents with AN had lower LBMI Z-score than adolescents with AAN, and adolescents without a prior history of overweight had lower LBMI Z-score than adolescents with a prior history of overweight. Deficits in lean mass may contribute to low BMD (Ko ar, 2016). Adolescents with AAN and a prior history of overweight did not demonstrate significant deficits in LBMI Z-score. This contrasts the results in adult women with AAN which found significant deficits in lean body mass in AAN compared to healthy controls (Schorr et al., 2017). It is notable that a current higher weight does not fully protect against low FMI Z-score in the setting of AAN or a prior overweight history. These body composition findings are relevant to clinical practice because they demonstrate that despite less strict weight criteria, DSM-5 AAN still captures individuals with significantly low body fat.

Limitations of this study include its retrospective nature; the cross-sectional design precludes causal inferences. Selection bias is a possible limitation since we only included participants with DXA scans; however, there were no significant differences in demographic or anthropometric data between those who were included versus excluded (Appendix B) except than males were likely to be excluded, likely due to the lack of guidelines for obtaining DXA in males with EDs. Our AAN sample was small. We did not include healthy controls. We did not have individual BMI trajectories which could serve as guidance for target weight status. In addition, there are limitations to using DXA in assessing BMD, particularly in populations with abnormal body composition and fat distribution, such as in adolescents with AN (Wren, Liu, Pitukcheewanont, & Gilsanz, 2005).

Strengths of this study include evaluation by a specialized clinical ED team with systematic data collection. Bone and body composition Z-scores were calculated using robust reference curves (Weber et al., 2013; Zemel et al., 2011). This study used DSM-5 criteria for the diagnosis of AN and AAN.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess bone health and body composition among adolescents with AAN versus AN. We found higher whole body BMC, lumbar spine BMD, femoral neck BMD, and LBMI Z-score in AAN compared to AN. We found significant though less severe deficits in FMI Z-score in AAN compared to AN. Future research should

evaluate BMD trajectories longitudinally and evaluate fracture risk among adolescents with AAN.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding: Supported by the National Institutes of Health (K23DK100558 to RP, K23 MH115184 to SM, and 5R01HD08216602 to NG); the Pediatric Scientist Development Program (K12 HD000850) supported by the American Academy of Pediatrics and American Pediatric Society to JN; and the Hilda and Preston Davis Foundation to RP.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th Ed. ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Benjamini Y, & Hochberg Y (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 57, 289–300.
- Centers for Disease Control, CDC. (2000). Growth charts Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/ growthcharts/
- Faje AT, Fazeli PK, Miller KK, Katzman DK, Ebrahimi S, Lee H, ... Klibanski A (2014). Fracture risk and areal bone mineral density in adolescent females with anorexia nervosa. The International Journal of Eating Disorders, 47(5), 458–466. doi:10.1002/eat.22248 [doi] [PubMed: 24430890]
- Faje AT, Karim L, Taylor A, Lee H, Miller KK, Mendes N, ... Klibanski A (2013). Adolescent girls with anorexia nervosa have impaired cortical and trabecular microarchitecture and lower estimated bone strength at the distal radius. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 98(5), 1923–1929. doi:10.1210/jc.2012-4153 [doi] [PubMed: 23509107]
- Ko ar N (2016). Associations of lean and fat mass measures with whole body bone mineral content and bone mineral density in female adolescent weightlifters and swimmers. The Turkish Journal of Pediatrics, 58(1), 79–85. [PubMed: 27922240]
- Misra M, Aggarwal A, Miller KK, Almazan C, Worley M, Soyka LA, ... Klibanski A (2004). Effects of anorexia nervosa on clinical, hematologic, biochemical, and bone density parameters in community-dwelling adolescent girls. Pediatrics, 114(6), 1574–1583. doi:114/6/1574 [pii] [PubMed: 15574617]
- Nagata JM, Garber AK, Tabler J, Murray SB, & Bibbins-Domingo K (2018). Prevalence and correlates of disordered eating behaviors among young adults with overweight or obesity. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 33(8), 1337–1343. [PubMed: 29948810]
- Nagata JM, Golden NH, Leonard MB, Copelovitch L, & Denburg MR (2017). Assessment of sex differences in fracture risk among patients with anorexia nervosa: A population-based cohort study using the health improvement network. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research : The Official Journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research, 32(5), 1082–1089. doi:10.1002/jbmr.3068 [doi]
- Nagata JM, Golden NH, Peebles R, Long J, Leonard MB, & Carlson JL (2017). Assessment of sex differences in bone deficits among adolescents with anorexia nervosa. Int J Eat Disord, 50(4), 352– 58. [PubMed: 27611361]
- Nagata JM, Golden NH, Peebles R, Long J, Murray SB, Leonard MB, & Carlson JL (2017). Assessment of sex differences in body composition among adolescents with anorexia nervosa. The Journal of Adolescent Health : Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine, 60(4), 455–459. doi:S1054-139X(16)30857-6 [pii] [PubMed: 28087266]
- Neumark-Sztainer DR, Wall MM, Haines JI, Story MT, Sherwood NE, & van den Berg P A. (2007). Shared risk and protective factors for overweight and disordered eating in adolescents. American

Journal of Preventive Medicine, 33(5), 359–369. doi:S0749-3797(07)00498-9 [pii] [PubMed: 17950400]

- Sawyer SM, Whitelaw M, Le Grange D, Yeo M, & Hughes EK (2016). Physical and psychological morbidity in adolescents with atypical anorexia nervosa. Pediatrics, 137(4), 4080 Epub 2016 Mar 29. doi:10.1542/peds.2015-4080 [doi]
- Schorr M, Thomas JJ, Eddy KT, Dichtel LE, Lawson EA, Meenaghan E, ... Miller KK (2017). Bone density, body composition, and psychopathology of anorexia nervosa spectrum disorders in DSM-IV vs DSM-5. The International Journal of Eating Disorders, 50(4), 343–351. doi:10.1002/eat. 22603 [PubMed: 27527115]
- Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine, Golden NH, Katzman DK, Sawyer SM, Ornstein RM, Rome ES, ... Kreipe RE (2015). Position paper of the society for adolescent health and medicine: Medical management of restrictive eating disorders in adolescents and young adults. The Journal of Adolescent Health : Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine, 56(1), 121– 125. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.10.259 [doi] [PubMed: 25530605]
- Solmi M, Veronese N, Correll CU, Favaro A, Santonastaso P, Caregaro L, ... Stubbs B (2016). Bone mineral density, osteoporosis, and fractures among people with eating disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 133(5), 341–351. doi:10.1111/acps. 12556 [doi] [PubMed: 26763350]
- Weber DR, Moore RH, Leonard MB, & Zemel BS (2013). Fat and lean BMI reference curves in children and adolescents and their utility in identifying excess adiposity compared with BMI and percentage body fat. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 98(1), 49–56. doi:10.3945/ajcn. 112.053611 [doi] [PubMed: 23697708]
- Whitelaw M, Gilbertson H, Lee KJ, & Sawyer SM (2014). Restrictive eating disorders among adolescent inpatients. Pediatrics, 134(3), 758. doi:10.1542/peds.2014-0070 [doi]
- Wren TA, Liu X, Pitukcheewanont P, & Gilsanz V (2005). Bone densitometry in pediatric populations: Discrepancies in the diagnosis of osteoporosis by DXA and CT. The Journal of Pediatrics, 146(6), 776–779. doi:S0022347605000715 [pii] [PubMed: 15973317]
- Zemel BS, Kalkwarf HJ, Gilsanz V, Lappe JM, Oberfield S, Shepherd JA, ... Winer KK (2011). Revised reference curves for bone mineral content and areal bone mineral density according to age and sex for black and non-black children: Results of the bone mineral density in childhood study. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 96(10), 3160–3169. doi:10.1210/jc. 2011-1111 [doi] [PubMed: 21917867]
- Zemel BS, Leonard MB, Kelly A, Lappe JM, Gilsanz V, Oberfield S, ... Kalkwarf HJ (2010). Height adjustment in assessing dual energy x-ray absorptiometry measurements of bone mass and density in children. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 95(3), 1265–1273. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-2057 [doi] [PubMed: 20103654]

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Demographic, anthropometric, bone, and body composition characteristics of adolescents with anorexia nervosa and atypical anorexia nervosa, by DSM-5 diagnosis and prior weight status

n 286 263 Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), median (IQR), or $(\%)^d$ Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), (IQR), or $(\%)^d$ Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), (IQR), (IQR), or $(\%)^d$ 0.1 $(\%)^d$ 2.43 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 Age, years 286 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 2.47 (93.9%) Age, years 286 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 2.47 (93.9%) Age, years 286 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 2.47 (93.9%) Age, years 286 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 2.47 (93.9%) Nemale 2.86 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 2.47 (93.9%) Male 2.86 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 2.47 (93.9%) Male 2.86 2.66 (93.0%) 2.47 (93.9%) 2.47 (93.9%) Male 2.84 8.7 (5.0, 12.5) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0) 2.47 (93.9%) Menstrual status 163 2.66 (93.0%) 2.66 (93.0%) 2.66 (18.1%) Menstrual status 163 8.7 (5.0, 12.5) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0) 2.66 (18.12%) Menstrual periods 163	263 fean \pm SD, median (IQR), or $n (%)^{a}$ 15.4 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 16 (6.1%) 16 (6.1%) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0)	23 Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or n (%) ^d 15.1 \pm 1.8				
Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or $n (\%)^d$ Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or $n (\%)^d$ Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or $n (\%)^d$ Age, years 286 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 Sex 286 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 Male 286 $20.7.0\%$ $16.6.1\%$ Male 206 (93.0\%) $247 (93.9\%)$ Male 20 (7.0\%) $16 (6.1\%)$ Male 232 (19.6\%) $247 (93.9\%)$ Momation illness (months) 284 $8.7 (5.0, 12.5)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Momatural status b 163 $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.\%)$ Momatuperiods $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.\%)$ Mult at presentation, kg/m ² 280.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5 % mBMI at presentation c	fean ± SD, median (TQR), or n (%) ^d 15.4 ± 2.0 247 (93.9%) 16 (6.1%) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0)	Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or n (%) ^{d} 15.1 \pm 1.8		179	46	
Age, years286 15.3 ± 2.0 15.4 ± 2.0 Sex28693.0%) 15.4 ± 2.0 Female286247 (93.9%)Female20 (7.0%) $16 (6.1\%)$ Male20 (7.0%) $16 (6.1\%)$ Duration illness (months)284 $8.7 (5.0, 12.5)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Menstrual status b163 163 163 Menstrual status b163 $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.8\%)$ Normal periods $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.2\%)$ BMI at presentation, kg/m ² 286 16.1 ± 1.9 153 ± 1.5 %mBMI at presentation ^c 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5	15.4 ± 2.0 247 (93.9%) 16 (6.1%) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0)	15.1 ± 1.8		Mean ± SD, median (IQR), or n (%) ^d	Mean \pm SD, median (IQR), or n (%) ^d	
Sex286Female266 (93.0%)247 (93.9%)Male $20 (7.0\%)$ 16 (6.1%)Duration illness (months) 284 $8.7 (5.0, 12.5)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Menstrual status 163 163 $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.8\%)$ Menorthea 163 $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.8\%)$ Menorthea or oligomenorthea $131 (80.4\%)$ $28 (18.2\%)$ BMI at presentation, kg/m ² 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5	247 (93.9%) 16 (6.1%) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0)		0.475	15.3 ± 2.0	15.3 ± 1.9	0.875
Female $266 (93.0\%)$ $247 (93.9\%)$ Male $20 (7.0\%)$ $16 (6.1\%)$ Duration illness (months) 284 $8.7 (5.0, 12.5)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Menstrual status 163 163 $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Menstrual status 163 133 103 103 Menstrual status 163 133 10.0% $28 (18.8\%)$ Menorthea or oligomenorrhea $131 (80.4\%)$ $121 (81.2\%)$ BMI at presentation, kg/m^2 286 16.1 ± 1.9 15.8 ± 1.5 %mBMI at presentation ^c 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5	247 (93.9%) 16 (6.1%) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0)		0.065			0.008
Male $20 (7.0\%)$ $16 (6.1\%)$ Duration illness (months) 284 $8.7 (5.0, 12.5)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Menstrual status 163 $8.7 (5.0, 12.5)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Monstrual status 163 $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.8\%)$ Normal periods $32 (19.6\%)$ $28 (18.8\%)$ Amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea $131 (80.4\%)$ $121 (81.2\%)$ BMI at presentation, kg/m ² 286 16.1 ± 1.9 15.8 ± 1.5 %mBMI at presentation ^c 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5	16 (6.1%) 8.0 (5.0, 12.0)	19 (82.6%)		169 (94.4%)	38 (82.6%)	
Duration illness (months) 284 $8.7 (5.0, 12.5)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Menstrual status b 163 $32 (19.6\%)$ $8.0 (5.0, 12.0)$ Normal periods 32 (19.6\%) $28 (18.8\%)$ Amenorthea or oligomenorthea 131 (80.4\%) $121 (81.2\%)$ BMI at presentation, kg/m ² 286 16.1 ± 1.9 15.8 ± 1.5 %mBMI at presentation ^c 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5	8.0 (5.0, 12.0)	4 (17.4%)		10 (5.6%)	8 (17.4%)	
Menstrual status b 163 Normal status b 32 (19.6%) Normal periods 32 (19.6%) Amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea 131 (80.4%) BMI at presentation, kg/m ² 286 16.1 ± 1.9 %mBMI at presentation ^c 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5		11.0 (4.0, 24.4)	0.174	7.0 (5.0, 12.0)	11.5 (8, 18)	<0.001
Normal periods 32 (19.6%) 28 (18.8%) Amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea 131 (80.4%) 121 (81.2%) BMI at presentation, kg/m ² 286 16.1 ± 1.9 15.8 ± 1.5 %mBMI at presentation ^c 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5			0.479			0.625
Amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea131 (80.4%)121 (81.2%)BMI at presentation, kg/m²28616.1 \pm 1.915.8 \pm 1.5% mBMI at presentation28680.7 \pm 8.779.0 \pm 6.5	28 (18.8%)	4 (28.6%)		27 (20.8%)	5 (15.2%)	
BMI at presentation, kg/m ² 286 16.1 ± 1.9 15.8 ± 1.5 % mBMI at presentation 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5	121 (81.2%)	10 (71.4%)		103 (79.2%)	28 (84.9%)	
% mBMI at presentation c 286 80.7 ± 8.7 79.0 ± 6.5	15.8 ± 1.5	19.8 ± 1.8	<0.001	15.7 ± 1.5	17.9 ± 2.4	<0.001
	79.0 ± 6.5	99.7 ± 7.9	<0.001	78.6 ± 6.5	89.5 ± 11.8	<0.001
BMI at highest premorbid weight, kg/m ² 174 21.1 ± 4.6 20.3 ± 4.1	20.3 ± 4.1	27.9 ± 3.4	<0.001	19.3 ±2.3	27.4 ± 5.1	<0.001
Whole body BMC Z-score $273 -0.36 \pm 0.95 -0.43 \pm 0.93$	-0.43 ± 0.93	0.41 ± 0.89	<0.001	-0.49 ± 0.88	0.29 ± 0.91	<0.001
Lumbar spine BMD Z-score 137 -0.40 ± 0.99 -0.49 ± 0.96	-0.49 ± 0.96	0.34 ± 0.93	0.002	-0.54 ± 0.95	0.12 ± 0.89	0.002
Total hip BMD Z-score 190 -0.88 ± 1.08 -0.93 ± 1.07	-0.93 ± 1.07	-0.39 ± 1.00	0.043	-0.97 ± 1.07	-0.48 ± 1.05	0.013
Femoral neck BMD Z-score 190 -0.71 ± 1.04 -0.78 ± 1.03	-0.78 ± 1.03	-0.08 ± 0.90	0.007	-0.83 ± 1.00	-0.19 ± 0.93	<0.001
Fat mass index Z-score $131 -3.02 \pm 1.51 -3.17 \pm 1.45$	-3.17 ± 1.45	-1.71 ± 1.50	<0.001	-3.22 ± 1.53	-2.44 ± 1.59	0.028
Lean body mass index Z-score 131 -0.18 ± 0.70 -0.29 ± 0.64	-0.29 ± 0.64	0.78 ± 0.13	<0.001	-0.29 ± 0.63	0.43 ± 0.64	<0.001

Int J Eat Disord. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 August 01.

Nagata et al.

b among subset of sample who were postmenarchal females not taking hormonal contraceptives; secondary amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea was defined as absence of menses for >1 month

 a SD = standard deviation, IQR = interquartile range

 $c_{\%}$ mBMI = percentage median body mass index

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

Multivariate linear regression analyses of atypical anorexia nervosa or prior overweight as the independent variables and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) Z-scores as the dependent variables, adjusting for covariates

Nagata et al.

	Atypical anorexia nervosa (vs anorexia nervosa)		Prior overweight history (vs no prior overweight history)	
	B (95% CI)	d	B (95% CI)	d
Whole body BMC Z-score ^a	0.88 (0.48 to 1.29)	<0.001	0.83 (0.52 to 1.14)	<0.001
Lumbar spine BMD Z-score ^a	0.79 (0.29 to 1.29)	0.002	0.70 (0.32 to 1.08)	<0.001
Total hip BMD Z-score ^a	0.52 (-0.01 to 1.04)	0.054	0.53 (0.13 to 0.93)	0.009
Femoral neck BMD Z-score ^a	0.67 (0.17 to 1.18)	0.009	0.70 (0.33 to 1.07)	<0.001
Fat mass index Z-score ^a	1.33 (0.47 to 2.19)	0.003	0.66 (-0.05 to 1.37)	0.069
Lean body mass index Z-score ^a	1.10 (0.73 to 1.47)	<0.001	0.80 (0.51 to 1.09)	<0.001

 $\overset{a}{\operatorname{adjusted}}$ for age, sex, and duration of illness (log transformed)

B = beta coefficient; CI = confidence interval