



Multi-Etiological Perspective on Child Obesity Prevention

Tom Baranowski, PhD¹, Kathleen J. Motil, MD¹, and Jennette P. Moreno, PhD¹

¹USDA/ARS Children's Nutrition Research Center, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

Abstract

Purpose of review: The simple energy balance model of obesity is inconsistent with the available findings on obesity etiology, prevention and treatment. Yet, the most commonly stated causes of pediatric obesity are predicated on this model. A more comprehensive biological model is needed upon which to base behavioral interventions aimed at obesity prevention. In this light, alternative etiologies are little investigated and thereby poorly understood.

Recent findings: Three candidate alternate etiologies are briefly presented: infectobesity, the gut microbiome, and circadian rhythms.

Summary: Behavioral child obesity preventive investigators need to collaborate with biological colleagues to more intensively analyze the behavioral aspects of these etiologies and to generate innovative procedures for preventing a multi-etiological problem, e.g. group risk analysis, triaging for likely causes of obesity.

Keywords

Adenovirus-36; microbiome; circadian rhythm; children; obesity; prevention

Introduction

Obesity is currently the most common nutrition related disease in the United States and a growing problem worldwide [1]. Given the high prevalence of obesity among adults (36%) [2], the general inability of obese individuals to achieve sustained weight loss [3], and the fact that obesity often starts in childhood [4], obesity prevention needs to target children. Overweight and obesity prevalence has steadily increased across childhood (2–19 years) in the US [5], although recently the prevalence has been erratic among 2–5 year olds; appears to have stabilized among 6–11 year olds; while continuing to steadily increase among 12–19 year olds [5]. Unfortunately, most of the existing child obesity prevention programs have had very small effects, with high heterogeneity; across studies somewhat small effects have

Corresponding Author: Tom Baranowski, PhD, Professor of Pediatrics (Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity), Children's Nutrition Research Center, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, 1100 Bates Street, Houston, TX 77030 (713) 798-6762 tbaranow@bcm.edu.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines

Conflict of Interest

Tom Baranowski, Kathleen J. Motil, and Jennette P. Moreno declare they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

been observed among the 0–5 year olds; but no effect among 13–18 year olds [6]. Many of the shortcomings of the child obesity prevention trials have been delineated, including limits on our understanding of behavioral and environmental influences [7]. Consistent with this, the dose of behavioral intervention was not related to outcome across 133 studies included [8]. No or small effects have also been detected across multiple child obesity treatment trials [9]. Diet [10] and physical activity [11] behaviors, core behaviors which contribute to childhood weight status, have also proven remarkably intractable.

The resistance of populations to current obesity interventions has encouraged professionals to design ever more comprehensive and complex behavioral and environmental interventions, the latest employing systems modeling [12, 13]. While the jury remains to be convened on these latest efforts, the primary shortcoming may be understanding the underlying biological mechanism(s) causing obesity. Delineating the biological etiology of obesity should lead to more effective child obesity prevention. This paper admonishes those designing, implementing, evaluating and/or otherwise researching behavioral and environmental based child obesity prevention efforts to consider little studied etiologies, engage in research exploring those etiologies, and investigate the changes in practice they may imply.

Simple energy balance or multi-etiological?

The biological model currently underpinning childhood obesity prevention interventions has been a simple energy balance model. Ludwig and Ebbeling [14] clearly specified this model indicating the difference in energy intake and expenditure influenced circulating fuels which led to fat storage (or fat depletion). Within this conceptualization, excessive caloric intake is a result of “ubiquitous tasty foods” overwhelming eating self-regulation, and the attractions of physical inactivity minimizing energy expenditure. Consistent with this model, virtually all childhood obesity prevention programs have attempted to influence children simply to consume fewer calories and increase activity to expend more calories, in the expectation that that difference will directly linearly affect adiposity. As indicated above, interventions based on this model have generally had little or no effect [6].

Ludwig and Ebbeling [14] immediately dismissed their first model for reasons beyond just poor self-control. They recognized physiological adaptations, e.g. hormonal responses, in response to weight loss which work to return the body to higher weight. In their second, preferred, model, the direction of influence reverses: dietary carbohydrates increase insulin secretion which directly leads to increased fat storage, which decreases circulating fuels, which leads to hunger and further increased energy intake and decreased energy expenditure [14]. If this were the accepted model, child obesity prevention would focus on reducing carbohydrate intake and/or otherwise mitigating the influence of insulin.

In a companion article, however, Hall, Guyenet & Leibel [15] dismissed the insulin-based model as not being consistent with the literature on the biology of obesity. For example, the insulin model ignores both “neuroendocrine mechanisms that regulate energy homeostasis, genetic and epigenetic and other influences on obesity.” They reported a lack of evidence that low carbohydrate diets resulted in more weight loss than other diets [15]. Hall and

colleagues concluded “We believe that obesity is an etiologically more heterogeneous disorder that includes combinations of genetic, metabolic, hormonal, psychological, behavioral, environmental, economic, and societal factors” [15]. From this perspective, there is not one, or even a primary, but many causes of obesity.

While individual or family-focused energy balance related changes in diet and physical activity are the current tools of first choice for obesity treatment [16], obesity prevention requires identifying the major influencing factors, and changing them. While some human adiposity may involve the simple difference between energy in and energy out, many factors (other than self control or self regulation) influence energy in, out, and metabolic processes occurring within the individual. For example, multiple complex hormones (e.g. insulin, ghrelin, leptin) are generated by different organs in response to different aspects of food intake, interact with other circulating hormones, which are interpreted in the brain, and in turn influence food intake [17]. Adipose tissue is one source of these circulating hormones, e.g. leptin, and some environmental pollutants disrupt energy balance which may minimize the ability to prevent obesity [18]. Inadequate self control in the face of attractive environmental options may account for some, but not all, and perhaps not even most, cases of obesity. Many possible etiologic models of obesity have been identified [19, 20]. Others have identified a likely multi-etiological situation for obesity [21]. A few alternatives to the simple energy balance model are briefly considered.

Genes

The heritability of BMI in childhood varies, with heritability estimates ranging from a moderate ~42% (at 4 years of age in both genders) up to ~85% (at 10 years of age in boys and 16 years of age in girls). [22] Genetic variants likely influence obesity risk by affecting both behavioral and metabolic processes, but identifying the specific genetic variants has proven challenging. Ninety-seven BMI associated genetic variants from the largest meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) combined explained less than 3% of the variation in BMI [23]. 75% of the newly-identified BMI-raising alleles in this study were expressed in the brain, with expression enrichment in the hypothalamus and pituitary gland, sites of appetite control further supporting that these help regulate eating or physical activity behaviors [24]. However, the influence of these 97 gene variants on BMI and waist circumference were only partially mediated by disinhibition and susceptibility to hunger [25]. Although expression enrichment was seen in centers related to appetite control, stronger enrichment was seen for genes expressed in the hippocampus and limbic system – tissues that play key roles in learning and memory. Several appetitive behaviors, e.g. eating in the absence of hunger and the reinforcing value of food (how hard one is willing to work for food), are heritable [26]. However, with the exception of rs9939609 in the Fat Mass and Associated (*FTO*) gene, which is consistently associated with child appetitive traits, and has been associated with increased energy intake from fat [27–33] studies have yet to identify variants that robustly associate with appetitive behaviors. [26, 34] Since influences on obesity and the associated energy balance behaviors are genetically multifactorial [35], and our current understanding of genetic etiology is very complex [36, 37], genetics probably do not provide a clear foundation for obesity prevention in the near future.

Other biological models provide mechanisms which could lead to child obesity prevention interventions in the near term. While each may not have been definitively identified as a cause of some cases of obesity, each has shown extensive promising results and thereby provides a possible model deserving further research to clearly delineate the implications and test child obesity prevention procedures.

Infectobesity

One of the most unexpected likely causes of obesity is infection from select viruses, generally called infectobesity [38]. While having obesity appears to make individuals more susceptible to infection [39], specific viruses have been identified that likely cause some cases of obesity [38]. Meta-analysis of 16 studies revealed statistically significant odds ratio of 2.0 for obesity and a standardized mean difference of 0.28 for BMI when people with a demonstrated infection from Adenovirus-36 were compared to those who did not [40]. Among several diverse effects, infection by the virus appears to increase obesity by signaling stem cells to become adipocytes, thereby increasing adipose cell number [38]. In addition, Adenovirus-36 alters fat and carbohydrate metabolism by decreasing fatty acid oxidation, increasing fat synthesis, increasing cellular uptake of glucose and its conversion to fatty acids, the net result being increased adipose cell size [41, 42]. Finally, Adenovirus-36 reduces leptin expression and secretion which may, in turn, have an obesogenic effect through increased food intake or decreased energy expenditure via altered fat metabolism [41]. Other viral infections have also been implicated in obesity [38, 43], and the effects of viral agents may be stronger among children than adults [44]. If and when viral infections are demonstrated to cause sufficiently severe adiposity in substantially large numbers of people, obesity prevention programs would likely focus on enhancing avoidance (e.g. hand washing, sneezing into the back side of the elbow) [45] at times of outbreaks and promoting resistance to infection (e.g. moderate exercise [46]). When (or if) a vaccine appears, programs to encourage adenovirus vaccination would be important. Behavioral child obesity prevention experts could usefully collaborate with “infectobesity” experts, to better understand how exposure to the virus could be prevented, and whether this leads to lower incidence of child obesity.

Microbiome

The human body is host to a very large number and variety of micro-organisms called the microbiome. Bacteria represent the major constituents of the microbiome with large numbers of organisms found in the colon and smaller numbers residing in the small intestine [47, 48]. Current research suggests that phyla-specific changes in the enteric microbiome might be significant indicators for childhood obesity.

In this regard, children with more Firmicutes, i.e. micro-organisms that efficiently convert eaten polysaccharides (i.e. complex carbohydrates and dietary fiber) into digestible energy, were more likely to be obese, while those with more Bacteroidetes bacteria, which are less efficient at this conversion, were more likely to be lean [49]. Participants with more bacteria in their gut that efficiently converted carbohydrates to short chain fatty acids lost less than 5% of their body weight in a weight loss trial [50]. Children receiving multiple antibiotics

before age 24 months (which disrupts healthy microbial growth) were more likely to be obese later in life [51]. Children receiving more types of antibiotics and acid suppression medications during the first two years of life were more likely to have increased obesity risk in childhood [52]. A strong case implicating the microbiome in the etiology of obesity has been made from rodent studies showing that permutations of transporting sections of the microbiome from obese animals to germ-free animals induced obesity, while transporting sections of the microbiome from lean animals did not [53]. Within an ecological perspective, use of household disinfectants early in life influenced BMI z-score at age 3, which was mediated by changes in the profile of gut microbiota [54]. The pattern of types of gut microbiota at two years of age explained over 50% of the variation in obesity at 12 years of age in Norway [55].

The biological pathways from the microbiome to obesity are not clearly known [56], but likely include increased energy harvesting from absorption of metabolites of the gut microbe action on foods eaten, and numerous nervous and endocrine system mechanisms influencing appetite, food intake, and energy balance [56, 57]. Manipulations of gut microbiota influence emotional responses and lead to neurochemical brain changes, altered taste receptors, and hyperphagia in animal models [58]. All these and related influences (e.g. inflammation, gut permeability, genes, immune system, diet) are complexly interrelated, but the microbiome appears to play a central role [58]. A healthy microbiome would appear to include greater diversity in the component bacteria, greater abundance of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus, and more short chain fatty acid production [57]. Although microbiome research faces many threats to internal and external validity [59], obesity prevention researchers will be expert in facing many of these challenges with human populations and thereby can assist biological colleagues in understanding and manipulating these obesity-influencing factors.

To definitively relate the microbiome to cases of obesity, behavioral obesity prevention investigators will likely encourage dietary changes related to a healthier microbiome. Extensive literature demonstrates many, diverse and complex dietary influences (type, amount and timing) on the microbiome and moderating microbiome effects on health outcomes [60]. At the beginning of life, breastfeeding appeared to be protective of being overweight by encouraging healthier microbiome bacteria; formula feeding was associated with increased risk of overweight and encouragement of less healthy bacteria; and the introduction of complementary foods without formula produced a pattern similar to those exclusively breastfed for three months [61]. Meta-analyses revealed that RCTs with higher (vs. lower) fiber diets had detectable desirable effects on the abundance of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli [62]; and that supplementation with probiotics resulted in weighted mean differences of -0.60 in body weight, -0.27 in BMI and -0.60 in body fat percentage after only 3–12 weeks of exposure [63]. At least one fruit prevented weight gain by changing the proportions of microbiota in the guts of mice and increasing energy expenditure [64]. Some baseline types of microbiota impaired the effectiveness of a calorie restricted (i.e. weight loss) diet in mice [65], and may do so among humans, but requires more intensive investigation. Exercise has also been demonstrated to influence the microbiome [66], which also deserves more human research. An obvious behavioral dimension was disclosed when

most parents minimized concern for an increased risk of obesity from taking an antibiotic when their child was faced with a symptomatic infectious illness [67].

Circadian rhythms

In contrast to common belief, some elementary school children gained weight in the summer and others lost it during the school year, despite little evidence that diet or physical activity patterns seasonally vary in the same directions [68]. A subset of elementary school children started to gain weight in the summer after kindergarten, and went on to become obese, while another subset did so starting in the summer after second grade [69]. Circadian rhythms [70–74], sleep duration and quality [75–83] also vary by season.

Circadian rhythms have a cycle of about 24 hours which are synchronized with environmental cues (e.g. light/dark) allowing humans to adapt to changes (e.g., travel between time zones and seasonal changes) [70]. The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) located in the brain is the primary synchronizer of the body's biological rhythms. The SCN receives light input via photoreceptors in the eyes that provide information about the time of day [84]. The SCN sends signals to the clocks in other tissues throughout the brain and body (i.e., peripheral clocks), helping to synchronize the body's biological rhythms to ensure processes (e.g. metabolism (i.e. the chemical processes which maintain life), adipogenesis (i.e. the process of all differentiation by which pre-fat cell become fat cells), and lipolysis (i.e. the breakdown of fats to release fatty acids)) and behaviors (e.g. sleeping, wake, and eating) occur at biologically advantageous times. While food related circadian rhythms are controlled by the peripheral clocks and partially entrained (i.e. regulated) by food intake [85–89], the central body clock coordinates optimal timing of food intake with other bodily functions [90].

Melatonin, released by the pineal gland, signals the sleep related part of the daily cycle in humans and the wake phase in nocturnal mammals [90]. The release of melatonin is signaled by the SCN in a circadian manner, and is highly responsive to light exposure [91, 92]. Melatonin receptors, found in the central nervous and cardiovascular systems, liver, skin, pancreas, skeletal muscle, and adipocyte cells [90, 93], are one way the SCN synchronizes the body's rhythms.

In regard to seasonal weight gain, melatonin synchronizes metabolic function of the adipocytes (i.e. fat cells) for high lipogenesis (i.e. the formation of fat) during the melatonin phase and high lipolysis during the absence of melatonin [94]. This synchronization also occurs through sympathetic activation of white adipose tissue [95]. Among hamsters, short winter-like days led to longer nocturnal melatonin release, with greater stimulation of melatonin receptors in the forebrain, which is part of the sympathetic innervation of white adipose tissue. This increase in the sympathetic activation of white adipose tissue resulted in lipolysis and a decrease in seasonal adiposity [95]. Melatonin induced browning of white adipose tissue in rodents [96] increased their thermogenic activity [97], which may explain seasonal weight changes in response to seasonal changes in day length [98]. While high levels of leptin and low levels of adiponectin have been related to obesity, shortened release of melatonin, resulting from shortened sleep duration and exposure to artificial light at night,

counteract these obesogenic aspects of leptin and adiponectin, and influence body weight [90]. Children's melatonin rhythms during summer may also be shortened due to the absence of school year demands, i.e. bedtimes are later [99, 100], and parents are likely to be more lenient in limits on screen media use, contributing to increased exposure to artificial light at night. In humans, melatonin supplementation moderated long-term weight gain and augmented weight loss for individuals on a low calorie diet [101].

Summer shifts in sleep, eating patterns, and screen media use may result in circadian misalignment which has been associated with increased adiposity, mediated by the mistiming of behavioral rhythms with endogenous rhythms [71, 84, 102]. Misalignment of behavioral rhythms with endogenous rhythms has been associated with changes in metabolism and development of obesity [71–73]. Proper timing within the adipocyte is important for adipogenesis and lipolysis [103]. Because food intake is the primary source of energy for adipose tissue, changes in the timing of food relative to adipose tissue phase may lead to changes in the extent of adipogenesis resulting from food intake, and so either weight gain or weight loss [72]. Eating later in the day results in acute exposure to higher postprandial blood glucose levels, compared to eating earlier on, with higher blood glucose levels persisting through the following morning [104, 105]. Long-term dysregulation of glucose levels may lead to alterations in caloric intake and storage which have also been attributed to shortened sleep duration [106], suggesting that the mistiming of eating and sleep/wake patterns with endogenous rhythms may increase risk for obesity [107].

The gut microbiome also exhibits circadian rhythmicity controlled by food intake patterns [108]. The microbiome shifts rapidly based on the typical feeding/fasting pattern, as well as the type of food eaten [109–112]. Altered feeding patterns disrupt the rhythmicity of the gut microbiome [108]. For example, mice transplanted with the gut microbiome of jetlagged humans did not increase their food intake, but demonstrated increased weight gain and lower glucose tolerance [108]. Thus, changes in the timing of food intake may lead to weight gain through alterations in the circadian timing of the gut microbiome. However, disruption of the microbiome itself may alter host metabolic function by modulating circadian clock gene expression through variations in microbe-derived metabolites from dietary manipulation [110, 113]. Thus, perturbations in the gut microbiome may adversely affect circadian clock networks that lead to metabolic disturbances including diet-induced obesity. Future research should also examine how disturbed rhythmicity of the microbiome affects eating patterns.

Circadian misalignment through changes in the timing of light exposure, sleep/wake and feeding patterns are likely influential in unhealthy weight gain. Behavioral obesity prevention interventions may focus on promoting consistent sleep timing on both scheduled (e.g. school) and free days, optimal duration of sleep, limiting exposure to artificial light in the evenings [114], encouraging light exposure in the morning [115], encouraging physical activity (to enhance evening fatigue) [116–119], limiting caffeine intake in the afternoon and evening [120], promoting an overnight fast by limiting food intake in the evening [121], and maintaining consistent meal patterns even on non-school days [121].

Steps forward

A multi-etiological approach to child obesity complicates prevention. No single biological model likely accounts for all cases, and thus, no single intervention appears likely to prevent all cases. Thus, obesity prevention interventions that manipulate energy balance as the single etiological pathway for all children appear misguided. Instead, the most common and/or the strongest influences on childhood obesity must be identified; next generation interventions developed and tested that mitigate those influences; and triage mechanisms developed to attribute cases to likely causes, or to identify those at highest risk for the different causes, for which to provide the most likely to be effective preventive intervention. Large data statisticians may analyze large clinical care data sets of children to identify subsets of children who transition from lean to the obese status to identify patterns and correlates of patterns for more intensive analysis.

While some interventions (e.g. moderate physical activity) may be preventive across a number of etiologies, most next generation interventions will likely be cause specific. This shifts the efforts of prevention and behavioral scientists from delineating the causal behavioral and environmental energy balance pathways, including excessive dietary intake and inadequate energy expenditure, to working with biological colleagues to better understand which are the most common or strongest influences and help design and test next generation interventions appropriate to these influences. For example, microbiome related obesity prevention research could test the effects of different types of diet (e.g. probiotics) and physical activity on the development of obesity mediated by their influence on the microbiome, while identifying child characteristics that appear to predispose to or mitigate effectiveness [122]. While linking etiological sources and obesity treatments would appear to be reasonably straightforward, child obesity prevention will likely require generating risk profiles for groups (e.g. children in categories of day care centers) and applying multiple preventive procedures for the highest probability causes.

With all of these (and more) possible etiologies, it is likely that any one individual is subject to more than one at any time, i.e. etiologies working in parallel. It appears likely that some may work in combination, i.e. an interaction effect, wherein each etiology has an enhanced effect in the presence of select other etiologies. A hypothetical example would be infectobesity having the biggest impact in the presence of a high fat diet. Significant interactions have been reported among influences on obesity, including the home food or physical activity environment moderating heritability of obesity among young children [123] and variations in geographic location in a province moderated the effect of the microbiome on indicators of metabolic disease [124].

Research has attempted to isolate the effect(s) of one etiology at a time. While this may be possible in controlled laboratory research with animals, among humans multiple etiologies are likely operative. While biological systems modeling may be able to account for these combinations of influences in the future, we probably don't know enough to effectively model them now. As the etiologies become causally implicated in the onset of obesity, research on any one etiology will need to account for the other known causal etiologies. An implication for prevention would be that no single procedure designed to prevent obesity for

a specific etiology will be sufficient. Instead, prevention efforts will likely need to implement procedures to mitigate the effects of more than one etiology for which a target group is estimated to be at high risk.

New biological models not involving lack of self control should minimize the problems of fat shaming [125] and bullying [126] since obesity becomes a medical problem/chronic disease, and not due to one's inability to self regulate behavior. To the extent that weight stigma leads to eating disorders [127], the shift to new biological models may have positive externalities in this area, as well.

Switching to new biological models will change the control behavioral scientists have exercised over child obesity prevention research. When the biological model was assumed to be simple, easily understood, and amenable to volitional control (diet, physical activity, self regulation, etc.), behavioral scientists controlled the research agenda to find ways to manipulate the targeted behaviors and thereby influence adiposity/obesity. With the biology becoming more complex and perhaps minimally influenced by behaviors, behavioral prevention scientists must share control of the research agenda. At a minimum, behavioral scientists have to partner with biological scientists, who will be the lead scientists until the behaviors needing change are clearly delineated. Behavior scientists will likely resist losing control from accepting these new biological models upon which to predicate obesity prevention interventions. However, not getting involved in these new exciting lines of research could sideline behavioral scientists from future child obesity prevention.

As we can identify different obesity etiologies, and separate them from remaining cases, it should become easier to identify that subset of people for whom lack of dietary self control and the attractions of inactivity do overwhelm our behavioral practices. At that time we can retest our current forms of child obesity prevention interventions with an expectation of greater likelihood of success.

Conclusion

Child obesity prevention programs that utilize new biological insights to target and tailor behavior change procedures offer promise of more effective obesity prevention, thereby minimizing the toll of the currently escalating epidemic.

Acknowledgements:

This work is a publication of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA/ARS) Children's Nutrition Research Center, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, and had been funded in part with federal funds from the USDA/ARS under Cooperative Agreement No. 58-3092-5-001. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the USDA, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement from the U.S. government. The authors express their appreciation to the Section Editor, Alexis C. Wood, PhD, for her many important contributions in revising this manuscript.

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development of the National Institutes of Health under award number K99HD091396. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. This work is also a publication of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA/ARS) Children's Nutrition Research Center, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, and had been funded in part with federal funds from the USDA/ARS under Cooperative Agreement

No. 58-092-5-001. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the USDA, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement from the U.S. government.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

• Of importance

•• Of major importance

1. World Health Organization. Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative (COSI) Factsheet. Highlights 2015–17. <http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/diseaseprevention/nutrition/publications/2018/childhood-obesity-surveillance-initiative-cosi-factsheet-highlights-2015-17-2018>. Accessed August 27 2018.
2. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity among adults and youth: United States, 2011–2014. NCHS Data Brief. 2015;219:1–8.
3. Dombrowski SU, Knittle K, Avenell A, Araujo-Soares V, Sniehotta FF. Long term maintenance of weight loss with non-surgical interventions in obese adults: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g2646. [PubMed: 25134100]
4. Cheung PC, Cunningham SA, Narayan KM, Kramer MR. Childhood obesity incidence in the United States: A systematic review. *Child Obes*. 2016;12(1):1–11. [PubMed: 26618249]
5. Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Ogden CL. Prevalence of overweight, obesity, and severe obesity among adults aged 20 and over: United States, 1960–1962 through 2015–2016 In: Health E-Stats. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 2018 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hestat/obesity_adult_15_16/obesity_adult_15_16.htm. Accessed September 24 2018.
6. Waters E, de Silva-Sanigorski A, Hall BJ, Brown T, Campbell KJ, Gao Y et al. Interventions for preventing obesity in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011;12:CD001871.
- 7•. Baranowski T, Lytle L. Should the IDEFICS outcomes have been expected? *Obes Rev*. 2015;16 Suppl 2:162–72. [PubMed: 26707025] Provides a comprehensive critique of childhood obesity prevention interventions.
8. Heerman WJ, JaKa MM, Berge JM, Trapl ES, Sommer EC, Samuels LR et al. The dose of behavioral interventions to prevent and treat childhood obesity: A systematic review and meta-regression. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017;14(1):157. [PubMed: 29141651]
9. Ells LJ, Rees K, Brown T, Mead E, Al-Khudairy L, Azevedo L et al. Interventions for treating children and adolescents with overweight and obesity: An overview of Cochrane reviews. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2018;42(11):1823–33. [PubMed: 30301964]
10. Bourke M, Whittaker PJ, Verma A. Are dietary interventions effective at increasing fruit and vegetable consumption among overweight children? A systematic review. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2014;68(5):485–90. [PubMed: 24436339]
11. Metcalf B, Henley W, Wilkin T. Effectiveness of intervention on physical activity of children: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials with objectively measured outcomes (EarlyBird 54). *BMJ*. 2012;345:e5888. [PubMed: 23044984]
12. Lee BY, Bartsch SM, Mui Y, Haidari LA, Spiker ML, Gittelsohn J. A systems approach to obesity. *Nutr Rev*. 2017;75(suppl 1):94–106. [PubMed: 28049754]
13. Xue H, Slivka L, Igusa T, Huang TT, Wang Y. Applications of systems modelling in obesity research. *Obes Rev*. 2018;19(9):1293–308. [PubMed: 29943509]
- 14••. Ludwig DS, Ebbeling CB. The carbohydrate-insulin model of obesity: Beyond “calories in, calories out”. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2018;178(8):1098–103. [PubMed: 29971406] Clear graphical elucidation of simple energy balance model of obesity.
- 15••. Hall KD, Guyenet SJ, Leibel RL. The carbohydrate-insulin model of obesity is difficult to reconcile with current evidence. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2018;178(8):1103–5. [PubMed: 29971320] Clear statement of a multi-etiological perspective on obesity.
16. Kumar S, Kelly AS. Review of childhood obesity: From epidemiology, etiology, and comorbidities to clinical assessment and treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2017;92(2):251–65. [PubMed: 28065514]

17. Anderson GH, Hunschede S, Akilen R, Kubant R. Physiology of food intake control in children. *Adv Nutr*. 2016;7(1):232s–40s. [PubMed: 26773031]
18. Tremblay A Obesity management: What should we do if fat gain is necessary to maintain body homeostasis in a modern world? *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2018;9:285. [PubMed: 29915559]
19. McAllister EJ, Dhurandhar NV, Keith SW, Aronne LJ, Barger J, Baskin M et al. Ten putative contributors to the obesity epidemic. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2009;49(10):868–913. [PubMed: 19960394]
20. Karatsoreos IN, Thaler JP, Borgland SL, Champagne FA, Hurd YL, Hill MN. Food for thought: Hormonal, experiential, and neural influences on feeding and obesity. *J Neurosci*. 2013;33(45):17610–6. [PubMed: 24198352]
21. Stenvinkel P Obesity--a disease with many aetiologies disguised in the same oversized phenotype: Has the overeating theory failed? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2015;30(10):1656–64. [PubMed: 25361999]
22. Silventoinen K, Jelenkovic A, Sund R, Hur YM, Yokoyama Y, Honda C et al. Genetic and environmental effects on body mass index from infancy to the onset of adulthood: An individual-based pooled analysis of 45 twin cohorts participating in the COLlaborative project of Development of Anthropometrical measures in Twins (CODATwins) study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2016;104(2):371–9. [PubMed: 27413137]
23. Locke AE, Kahali B, Berndt SI, Justice AE, Pers TH, Day FR et al. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. *Nature*. 2015;518(7538):197–206. [PubMed: 25673413]
24. Willer CJ, Speliotes EK, Loos RJ, Li S, Lindgren CM, Heid IM et al. Six new loci associated with body mass index highlight a neuronal influence on body weight regulation. *Nat Genet*. 2009;41(1):25–34. [PubMed: 19079261]
25. Jacob R, Drapeau V, Tremblay A, Provencher V, Bouchard C, Perusse L. The role of eating behavior traits in mediating genetic susceptibility to obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2018;108(3):445–52. [PubMed: 29982344]
26. Wood AC, Momin S, Senn M, Hughes SO. Pediatric eating behaviors as the intersection of biology and parenting: Lessons from the birds and the bees. *Curr Nutr Rep*. 2018;7(1):1–9. [PubMed: 29892784]
27. Timpson NJ, Emmett PM, Frayling TM, Rogers I, Hattersley AT, McCarthy MI et al. The fat mass- and obesity-associated locus and dietary intake in children. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;88(4):971–8. [PubMed: 18842783]
28. Cecil JE, Tavendale R, Watt P, Hetherington MM, Palmer CN. An obesity-associated FTO gene variant and increased energy intake in children. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(24):2558–66. [PubMed: 19073975]
29. Wardle J, Llewellyn C, Sanderson S, Plomin R. The FTO gene and measured food intake in children. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2009;33(1):42–5. [PubMed: 18838977]
30. Tanofsky-Kraff M, Han JC, Anandalingam K, Shomaker LB, Columbo KM, Wolkoff LE et al. The FTO gene rs9939609 obesity-risk allele and loss of control over eating. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;90(6):1483–8. [PubMed: 19828706]
31. Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S, Rapoport L. Development of the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2001;42(7):963–70. [PubMed: 11693591]
32. Velders FP, De Wit JE, Jansen PW, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, Verhulst FC et al. FTO at rs9939609, food responsiveness, emotional control and symptoms of ADHD in preschool children. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(11):e49131. [PubMed: 23155456]
33. Wardle J, Carnell S, Haworth CM, Farooqi IS, O'Rahilly S, Plomin R. Obesity associated genetic variation in FTO is associated with diminished satiety. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;93(9):3640–3. [PubMed: 18583465]
34. Wood AC. Appetitive traits: Genetic contributions to pediatric eating behaviors In: Lumeng JC, Fisher JO, editors. *Pediatric Food Preferences and Eating Behaviors*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2018 p. 127–46.

35. Speakman JR, Loos RJF, O'Rahilly S, Hirschhorn JN, Allison DB. GWAS for BMI: A treasure trove of fundamental insights into the genetic basis of obesity. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2018;42(8):1524–31. [PubMed: 29980761]
36. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. *Nutrigenomics and the Future of Nutrition: Proceedings of a Workshop—In Brief*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2018.
37. Marginean CO, Marginean C, Melit LE. New insights regarding genetic aspects of childhood obesity: A minireview. *Front Pediatr*. 2018;6:271. [PubMed: 30338250]
- 38••. Voss JD, Dhurandhar NV. Viral infections and obesity. *Curr Obes Rep*. 2017;6(1):28–37. [PubMed: 28290153] Review of the infectobesity literature.
39. Huttunen R, Syrjanen J. Obesity and the risk and outcome of infection. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2013;37(3):333–40. [PubMed: 22546772]
40. Xu MY, Cao B, Wang DF, Guo JH, Chen KL, Shi M et al. Human adenovirus 36 infection increased the risk of obesity: A meta-analysis update. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015;94(51):e2357. [PubMed: 26705235]
41. Vangipuram SD, Yu M, Tian J, Stanhope KL, Pasarica M, Havel PJ et al. Adipogenic human adenovirus-36 reduces leptin expression and secretion and increases glucose uptake by fat cells. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2007;31(1):87–96. [PubMed: 16703005]
42. Wang ZQ, Yu Y, Zhang XH, Floyd EZ, Cefalu WT. Human adenovirus 36 decreases fatty acid oxidation and increases de novo lipogenesis in primary cultured human skeletal muscle cells by promoting Cidec/FSP27 expression. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2010;34(9):1355–64. [PubMed: 20440297]
43. Karachaliou M, de Sanjose S, Waterboer T, Roumeliotaki T, Vassilaki M, Sarri K et al. Is early life exposure to polyomaviruses and herpesviruses associated with obesity indices and metabolic traits in childhood? *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2018;42(9):1590–601. [PubMed: 29445241]
44. Shang Q, Wang H, Song Y, Wei L, Lavebratt C, Zhang F et al. Serological data analyses show that adenovirus 36 infection is associated with obesity: A meta-analysis involving 5739 subjects. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2014;22(3):895–900. [PubMed: 23804409]
45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About Adenoviruses - Prevention & Treatment. <https://www.cdc.gov/adenovirus/about/prevention-treatment.html>. Accessed August 8 2018.
46. Simpson RJ, Kunz H, Agha N, Graff R. Exercise and the regulation of immune functions. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci*. 2015;135:355–80. [PubMed: 26477922]
47. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Revised estimates for the number of human and bacteria cells in the body. *PLoS Biol*. 2016;14(8):e1002533. [PubMed: 27541692]
48. Indiani CMDSP, Rizzardi KF, Castelo PM, Ferraz LFC, Darrieux M, Parisotto TM. Childhood obesity and Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio in the gut microbiota: A systematic review. *Child Obes*. 2018;14(8):501–9. [PubMed: 30183336]
49. Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature*. 2006;444(7122):1027–31. [PubMed: 17183312]
50. Muniz Pedrego DA, Jensen MD, Van Dyke CT, Murray JA, Woods JA, Chen J et al. Gut microbial carbohydrate metabolism hinders weight loss in overweight adults undergoing lifestyle intervention with a volumetric diet. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2018;93(8):1104–10. [PubMed: 30077203]
- 51••. Miller SA, Wu RKS, Oremus M. The association between antibiotic use in infancy and childhood overweight or obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*. 2018;19(11):1463–75. [PubMed: 30035851] Review of the microbiome and obesity literature.
52. Stark CM, Susi A, Emerick J, Nylund CM. Antibiotic and acid-suppression medications during early childhood are associated with obesity. *Gut*. 2018;doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314971 [epub ahead of print].
- 53••. Komaroff AL. The microbiome and risk for obesity and diabetes. *JAMA*. 2017;317(4):355–6. [PubMed: 28006047] Clear statement of support of the microbiome-obesity hypothesis.
54. Tun MH, Tun HM, Mahoney JJ, Konya TB, Guttman DS, Becker AB et al. Postnatal exposure to household disinfectants, infant gut microbiota and subsequent risk of overweight in children. *CMAJ*. 2018;190(37):E1097–107. [PubMed: 30224442]

55. Stanislowski MA, Dabelea D, Wagner BD, Iszatt N, Dahl C, Sontag MK et al. Gut microbiota in the first 2 years of life and the association with body mass index at age 12 in a Norwegian birth cohort. *MBio*. 2018;9(5):e01751–18. [PubMed: 30352933]
56. Sun L, Ma L, Ma Y, Zhang F, Zhao C, Nie Y. Insights into the role of gut microbiota in obesity: pathogenesis, mechanisms, and therapeutic perspectives. *Protein Cell*. 2018;doi: 10.1007/s13238-018-0546-3 [epub ahead of print].
57. Rastelli M, Knauf C, Cani PD. Gut microbes and health: A focus on the mechanisms linking microbes, obesity, and related disorders. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2018;26(5):792–800. [PubMed: 29687645]
58. Sanmiguel C, Gupta A, Mayer EA. Gut microbiome and obesity: A plausible explanation for obesity. *Curr Obes Rep*. 2015;4(2):250–61. [PubMed: 26029487]
- 59•. Zeilstra D, Younes JA, Brummer RJ, Kleerebezem M. Perspective: Fundamental limitations of the randomized controlled trial method in nutritional research: The example of probiotics. *Adv Nutr*. 2018;9(5):561–71. [PubMed: 30124741] Clear delineation of threats to internal and external validity from randomized clinical trials for nutrition research.
60. Zmora N, Suez J, Elinav E. You are what you eat: Diet, health and the gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0061-2 [epub ahead of print].
61. Forbes JD, Azad MB, Vehling L, Tun HM, Konya TB, Guttman DS et al. Association of exposure to formula in the hospital and subsequent infant feeding practices with gut microbiota and risk of overweight in the first year of life. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2018;172(7):e181161. [PubMed: 29868719]
62. So D, Whelan K, Rossi M, Morrison M, Holtmann G, Kelly JT et al. Dietary fiber intervention on gut microbiota composition in healthy adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2018;107(6):965–83. [PubMed: 29757343]
63. Borgeraas H, Johnson LK, Skattebu J, Hertel JK, Hjelmessaeth J. Effects of probiotics on body weight, body mass index, fat mass and fat percentage in subjects with overweight or obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obes Rev*. 2018;19(2):219–32. [PubMed: 29047207]
64. Anhe FF, Nachbar RT, Varin TV, Trottier J, Dudoone S, Le Barz M et al. Treatment with camu camu (*Myrciaria dubia*) prevents obesity by altering the gut microbiota and increasing energy expenditure in diet-induced obese mice. *Gut*. 2018;doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-315565 [Epub ahead of print].
65. Griffin NW, Ahern PP, Cheng J, Heath AC, Ilkayeva O, Newgard CB et al. Prior dietary practices and connections to a human gut microbial meta-community alter responses to diet interventions. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2017;21(1):84–96. [PubMed: 28041931]
66. O’Sullivan O, Cronin O, Clarke SF, Murphy EF, Molloy MG, Shanahan F et al. Exercise and the microbiota. *Gut Microbes*. 2015;6(2):131–6. [PubMed: 25800089]
67. Lipstein EA, Block JP, Dodds C, Forrest CB, Heerman WJ, Law JK et al. Early antibiotics and childhood obesity: Do future risks matter to parents and physicians? *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2018;doi: 10.1177/0009922818809534 [epub ahead of print].
68. Baranowski T, O’Connor T, Johnston C, Hughes S, Moreno J, Chen TA et al. School year versus summer differences in child weight gain: A narrative review. *Child Obes*. 2014;10(1):1824.
- 69•. Chen TABaranowski T, Moreno JP, O’Connor TM, Hughes SO, Baranowski J et al. Obesity status trajectory groups among elementary school children. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:526. [PubMed: 27387030] Identifies age related subgroups in the time trajectory of childhood obesity.
- 70••. Laermans J, Depoortere I. Chronobesity: Role of the circadian system in the obesity epidemic. *Obes Rev*. 2016;17(2):108–25. A review of circadian biological causes of obesity.
71. Bray MS, Young ME. Circadian rhythms in the development of obesity: Potential role for the circadian clock within the adipocyte. *Obes Rev*. 2007;8(2):169–81. [PubMed: 17300281]
- 72••. Garaulet M, Gomez-Abellan P. Timing of food intake and obesity: A novel association. *Physiol Behav*. 2014;134:44–50. [PubMed: 24467926] A review of the literature regarding the timing of food intake and obesity.
73. Johnston JD, Ordovas JM, Scheer FA, Turek FW. Circadian rhythms, metabolism, and chrononutrition in rodents and humans. *Adv Nutr*. 2016;7(2):399–406. [PubMed: 26980824]

74. Stothard ER, McHill AW, Depner CM, Birks BR, Moehlman TM, Ritchie HK et al. Circadian entrainment to the natural light-dark cycle across seasons and the weekend. *Curr Biol*. 2017;27(4):508–13. [PubMed: 28162893]
75. Wu Y, Zhai L, Zhang D. Sleep duration and obesity among adults: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Sleep Med*. 2014;15(12):1456–62. [PubMed: 25450058]
76. Itani O, Jike M, Watanabe N, Kaneita Y. Short sleep duration and health outcomes: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. *Sleep Med*. 2017;32:246–56. [PubMed: 27743803]
77. Cappuccio FP, Taggart FM, Kandala NB, Currie A, Peile E, Stranges S et al. Meta-analysis of short sleep duration and obesity in children and adults. *Sleep*. 2008;31(5):619–26. [PubMed: 18517032]
78. Fatima Y, Doi SA, Mamun AA. Longitudinal impact of sleep on overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: A systematic review and bias-adjusted meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*. 2015;16(2):137–49. [PubMed: 25589359]
79. Wu Y, Gong Q, Zou Z, Li H, Zhang X. Short sleep duration and obesity among children: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Obes Res Clin Pract*. 2017;11(2):140–50. [PubMed: 27269366]
80. Ruan H, Xun P, Cai W, He K, Tang Q. Habitual sleep duration and risk of childhood obesity: Systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Sci Rep*. 2015;5:16160. [PubMed: 26537869]
81. Patel SR, Hu FB. Short sleep duration and weight gain: A systematic review. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16(3):643–53. [PubMed: 18239586]
82. Sha J, Zheng J, Meng C, Zhu D, Li L, Cui N. Survey on the clinical characteristics of paediatric allergic rhinitis. *Allergy*. 2017;72(Suppl 103):411–2.
83. Capers PL, Fobian AD, Kaiser KA, Borah R, Allison DB. A systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials of the impact of sleep duration on adiposity and components of energy balance. *Obes Rev*. 2015;16(9):771–82. [PubMed: 26098388]
- 84••. Bray MS, Young ME. Chronobiological effects on obesity. *Curr Obes Rep*. 2012;1(1):915. A review of chronobiological causes of obesity with particular attention to the role of adipose tissue.
- 85•. Arble DM, Bass J, Laposky AD, Vitaterna MH, Turek FW. Circadian timing of food intake contributes to weight gain. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2009;17(11):2100–2. [PubMed: 19730426] Study identified eating during the biological night led to accelerated weight gain. This was not accounted for by changes in diet or physical activity.
86. McHill AW, Phillips AJ, Czeisler CA, Keating L, Yee K, Barger LK et al. Later circadian timing of food intake is associated with increased body fat. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2017;106(5):1213–9. [PubMed: 28877894]
87. Pendergast JS, Branecky KL, Yang W, Ellacott KL, Niswender KD, Yamazaki S. High-fat diet acutely affects circadian organisation and eating behavior. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2013;37(8):1350–6. [PubMed: 23331763]
88. Kohsaka A, Laposky AD, Ramsey KM, Estrada C, Joshu C, Kobayashi Y et al. High-fat diet disrupts behavioral and molecular circadian rhythms in mice. *Cell Metab*. 2007;6(5):414–21. [PubMed: 17983587]
89. Yasumoto Y, Hashimoto C, Nakao R, Yamazaki H, Hiroyama H, Nemoto T et al. Short-term feeding at the wrong time is sufficient to desynchronize peripheral clocks and induce obesity with hyperphagia, physical inactivity and metabolic disorders in mice. *Metabolism*. 2016;65(5):714–27. [PubMed: 27085778]
- 90••. Szewczyk-Golec K, Wozniak A, Reiter RJ. Inter-relationships of the chronobiotic, melatonin, with leptin and adiponectin: Implications for obesity. *J Pineal Res*. 2015;59(3):277–91. [PubMed: 26103557] Review of the literature on the role of melatonin in weight gain.
91. Crowley SJ, Cain SW, Burns AC, Acebo C, Carskadon MA. Increased sensitivity of the circadian system to light in early/mid-puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2015;100(11):4067–73. [PubMed: 26301944]
92. Higuchi S, Nagafuchi Y, Lee SI, Harada T. Influence of light at night on melatonin suppression in children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2014;99(9):3298–303. [PubMed: 24840814]

93. Cipolla-Neto J, Amaral FG, Afeche SC, Tan DX, Reiter RJ. Melatonin, energy metabolism, and obesity: A review. *J Pineal Res.* 2014;56(4):371–81. [PubMed: 24654916]
94. Alonso-Vale MI, Andreotti S, Mukai PY, Borges-Silva C, Peres SB, Cipolla-Neto J et al. Melatonin and the circadian entrainment of metabolic and hormonal activities in primary isolated adipocytes. *J Pineal Res.* 2008;45(4):422–9. [PubMed: 18662218]
95. Song CK, Bartness TJ. CNS sympathetic outflow neurons to white fat that express MEL receptors may mediate seasonal adiposity. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2001;281(2):R666–72. [PubMed: 11448873]
96. Jimenez-Aranda A, Fernandez-Vazquez G, Campos D, Tassi M, Velasco-Perez L, Tan DX et al. Melatonin induces browning of inguinal white adipose tissue in Zucker diabetic fatty rats. *J Pineal Res.* 2013;55(4):416–23. [PubMed: 24007241]
97. Fernandez Vazquez G, Reiter RJ, Agil A. Melatonin increases brown adipose tissue mass and function in Zucker diabetic fatty rats: implications for obesity control. *J Pineal Res.* 2018;64(4):e12472. [PubMed: 29405372]
- 98••. Tan DX, Manchester LC, Fuentes-Broto L, Paredes SD, Reiter RJ Significance and application of melatonin in the regulation of brown adipose tissue metabolism: Relation to human obesity. *Obes Rev.* 2011;12(3):167–88. [PubMed: 20557470] A review of the literature regarding the role of melatonin in obesity.
99. Nixon GM, Thompson JM, Han DY, Becroft DM, Clark PM, Robinson E et al. Short sleep duration in middle childhood: Risk factors and consequences. *Sleep.* 2008;31(1):71–8. [PubMed: 18220080]
100. Touchette E, Mongrain V, Petit D, Tremblay RE, Montplaisir JY. Development of sleepwake schedules during childhood and relationship with sleep duration. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2008;162(4):343–9. [PubMed: 18391143]
- 101•. Szewczyk-Golec K, Rajewski P, Gackowski M, Mila-Kierzenkowska C, Wesolowski R, Sutkowy P et al. Melatonin supplementation lowers oxidative stress and regulates adipokines in obese patients on a calorie-restricted diet. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2017;2017:8494107. [PubMed: 29142618] Melatonin may be used as a therapeutic agent for obesity prevention.
102. Coomans CP, Lucassen EA, Kooijman S, Fifel K, Deboer T, Rensen PC et al. Plasticity of circadian clocks and consequences for metabolism. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015;17 Suppl 1:65–75. [PubMed: 26332970]
103. Ptitsyn AA, Zvonic S, Conrad SA, Scott LK, Mynatt RL, Gimble JM. Circadian clocks are resounding in peripheral tissues. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2006;2(3):e16. [PubMed: 16532060]
104. Leung GKW, Huggins CE, Bonham MP. Effect of meal timing on postprandial glucose responses to a low glycemic index meal: A crossover trial in healthy volunteers. *Clin Nutr.* 2017.
105. Tsuchida Y, Hata S, Sone Y. Effects of a late supper on digestion and the absorption of dietary carbohydrates in the following morning. *J Physiol Anthropol.* 2013;32(1):9. [PubMed: 23705984]
106. Eckel RH, Depner CM, Perreault L, Markwald RR, Smith MR, McHill AW et al. Morning circadian misalignment during short sleep duration impacts insulin sensitivity. *Curr Biol.* 2015;25(22):3004–10. [PubMed: 26549253]
107. Plano SA, Casiraghi LP, Garcia Moro P, Paladino N, Golombek DA, Chiesa JJ. Circadian and metabolic effects of light: Implications in weight homeostasis and health. *Front Neurol.* 2017;8:558. [PubMed: 29097992]
- 108•. Thaiss CA, Zeevi D, Levy M, Zilberman-Schapira G, Suez J, Tengeler AC et al. Transkingdom control of microbiota diurnal oscillations promotes metabolic homeostasis. *Cell.* 2014;159(3):514–29. [PubMed: 25417104] Identified circadian rhythmicity of the microbiome and the possible role of disrupted circadian rhythmicity in weight gain.
109. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature.* 2014;505(7484):559–63. [PubMed: 24336217]
110. Leone V, Gibbons SM, Martinez K, Hutchison AL, Huang EY, Cham CM et al. Effects of diurnal variation of gut microbes and high-fat feeding on host circadian clock function and metabolism. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2015;17(5):681–9. [PubMed: 25891358]

111. Zarrinpar A, Chaix A, Yooseph S, Panda S. Diet and feeding pattern affect the diurnal dynamics of the gut microbiome. *Cell Metab.* 2014;20(6):1006–17. [PubMed: 25470548]
112. Asher G, Sassone-Corsi P. Time for food: The intimate interplay between nutrition, metabolism, and the circadian clock. *Cell.* 2015;161(1):84–92. [PubMed: 25815987]
113. Mukherji A, Kobiita A, Ye T, Chambon P. Homeostasis in intestinal epithelium is orchestrated by the circadian clock and microbiota cues transduced by TLRs. *Cell.* 2013;153(4):812–27. [PubMed: 23663780]
114. Skeldon AC, Phillips AJ, Dijk DJ. The effects of self-selected light-dark cycles and social constraints on human sleep and circadian timing: A modeling approach. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:45158. [PubMed: 28345624]
115. Crowley SJ, Eastman CI. Phase advancing human circadian rhythms with morning bright light, afternoon melatonin, and gradually shifted sleep: Can we reduce morning bright-light duration? *Sleep Med.* 2015;16(2):288–97. [PubMed: 25620199]
116. Buxton OM, Lee CW, L’Hermite-Baleriaux M, Turek FW, Van Cauter E. Exercise elicits phase shifts and acute alterations of melatonin that vary with circadian phase. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2003;284(3):R714–24. [PubMed: 12571075]
117. Antle MC, Mistlberger RE. Circadian clock resetting by sleep deprivation without exercise in the Syrian hamster. *J Neurosci.* 2000;20(24):9326–32. [PubMed: 11125012]
118. Dworak M, Wiater A, Alfer D, Stephan E, Hollmann W, Struder HK. Increased slow wave sleep and reduced stage 2 sleep in children depending on exercise intensity. *Sleep Med.* 2008;9(3):266–72. [PubMed: 17644426]
119. Dworak M, Diel P, Voss S, Hollmann W, Struder HK. Intense exercise increases adenosine concentrations in rat brain: implications for a homeostatic sleep drive. *Neuroscience.* 2007;150(4):789–95. [PubMed: 18031936]
120. Watson EJ, Banks S, Coates AM, Kohler MJ. The relationship between caffeine, sleep, and behavior in children. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2017;13(4):533–43. [PubMed: 28162144]
121. St-Onge MP, Ard J, Baskin ML, Chiuve SE, Johnson HM, Kris-Etherton P et al. Meal timing and frequency: Implications for cardiovascular disease prevention: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2017;135(9):e96–e121. [PubMed: 28137935]
122. Burgermaster M, Contento I, Koch P, Mamykina L. Behavior change is not one size fits all: Psychosocial phenotypes of childhood obesity prevention intervention participants. *Transl Behav Med.* 2018;8(5):799–807. [PubMed: 29351660] Delineates subgroups in responsiveness to an obesity prevention trial.
123. Schrepft S, van Jaarsveld CHM, Fisher A, Herle M, Smith AD, Fildes A et al. Variation in the heritability of child body mass index by obesogenic home environment. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2018;doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.1508 [epub ahead of print].
124. He Y, Wu W, Zheng HM, Li P, McDonald D, Sheng HF et al. Regional variation limits applications of healthy gut microbiome reference ranges and disease models. *Nat Med.* 2018;24(10):1532–5. [PubMed: 30150716]
125. Tomiyama AJ, Mann T. If shaming reduced obesity, there would be no fat people. *Hastings Cent Rep.* 2013;43(3):4–5; discussion 9–10.
126. Puhl RM, King KM. Weight discrimination and bullying. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;27(2):117–27. [PubMed: 23731874]
127. Pearl RL, Puhl RM. Weight bias internalization and health: A systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2018;19(8):1141–63. [PubMed: 29788533]