MOLECULAR PLANT PATHOLOGY (2017) 18(1), 3–15 DOI: 10.1111/mpp.12394

Pathogen profile Meloidogyne graminicola: a major threat to rice agriculture

SOPHIE MANTELIN¹, STÉPHANE BELLAFIORE^{2,3} AND TINA KYNDT^{4,}*

¹The James Hutton Institute, Dundee Effector Consortium, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, Uk

²IRD-CIRAD-Université Montpellier II, UMR Interactions Plantes Microorganismes Environnement (IPME), 34394 Montpellier, France ³LMI-RICE, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁴ Department of Molecular Biotechnology, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

SUMMARY

Taxonomy: Superkingdom Eukaryota; Kingdom Metazoa; Phylum Nematoda; Class Chromadorea; Order Tylenchida; Suborder Tylenchina; Infraorder Tylenchomorpha; Superfamily Tylenchoidea; Family Meloidogynidae; Subfamily Meloidogyninae; Genus Meloidogyne.

Biology: Microscopic non-segmented roundworm. Plant pathogen; obligate sedentary endoparasitic root-knot nematode. Reproduction: facultative meiotic parthenogenetic species in which amphimixis can occur at a low frequency (c. 0.5%); relatively fast life cycle completed in 19–27 days on rice depending on the temperature range.

Host range: Reported to infect over 100 plant species, including cereals and grass plants, as well as dicotyledonous plants. Main host: rice (Oryza sativa).

Symptoms: Characteristic hook-shaped galls (root swellings), mainly formed at the root tips of infected plants. Alteration of the root vascular system causes disruption of water and nutrient transport, stunting, chlorosis and loss of vigour, resulting in poor growth and reproduction of the plants with substantial yield losses in crops.

Disease control: Nematicides, chemical priming, constant immersion of rice in irrigated fields, crop rotation with resistant or non-host plants, use of nematode-free planting material. Some sources of resistance to Meloidogyne graminicola have been identified in African rice species (O. glaberrima and O. longistaminata), as well as in a few Asian rice cultivars.

Agronomic importance: Major threat to rice agriculture, particularly in Asia. Adapted to flooded conditions, Meloidogyne graminicola causes problems in all types of rice agrosystems.

Keywords: effectors, nematode control, Oryza sativa, rootknot nematode.

INTRODUCTION TO THE RICE ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE AND DAMAGE TO CROP PRODUCTION

Nematodes are among the most widespread organisms on Earth. They are capable of colonizing any ecosystem, including extreme environments, such as deserts, hot spring waters, arctic lands and polar seas (Yeates, 2004). Many species of nematode are free-living, but some nematodes have developed the ability to parasitize other organisms. The evolution of plant parasitism in nematodes has occurred independently on several occasions (van Megen et al., 2009), giving rise to at least four different groups of plant-feeding nematodes, which include over 4100 species (Decraemer and Hunt, 2013). Plant-parasitic nematodes (PPNs) are responsible for more than \$US80 billion losses in worldwide agriculture annually (Nicol et al., 2011). The most economically important species are the sedentary endoparasitic nematodes, including the root-knot nematodes (RKNs) and the cyst nematodes of the genera Meloidogyne and Heterodera/Globodera, respectively (Jones et al., 2013; Fig. 1). At least 20% of the total estimated economic losses inflicted by nematodes derive from rice alone (Sasser and Freckman, 1987). In rice, the environmental conditions and type of agrosystem determine the community of nematodes present and, consequently, the species profile of parasitic nematodes can differ from region to region (Prot and Rahman, 1994). Many nematode species have been described in association with rice, but only a few of these have significant detrimental effects (Kyndt et al., 2014; Fig. 1). Meloidogyne graminicola (Mq), commonly named as the rice RKN, is one of the most prevalent PPNs in rice agrosystems. It is considered to be a major threat to rice agriculture, particularly in Asia, where changes in agricultural practices in response to environmental (climate change) and socioeconomic conditions have led to a dramatic increase in Mg populations (De Waele and Elsen, 2007). Asia is the main rice-growing region of the world, responsible for about 90% of global rice production, which is estimated at around 740 million tons of paddy rice annually (FAOSTAT, 2013). In addition, rice is the staple food for more than 50% of the population in Asia, a figure that can reach up to 70% for southern regions of this continent (Muthayya et al., 2014). Rice production in Asia is *Correspondence: Email: tina.kyndt@ugent.be therefore of essential importance for both local and global food

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic position of *Meloidogyne graminicola* based on the small subunit ribosomal DNA (18S). The tree represents a Bayesian phylogeny of 26 plant-parasitic nematodes, including the rice root-knot nematode M. graminicola, nine other rice-pathogenic nematodes (R) and some related species. Several important rice-pathogenic cyst nematodes are absent from the phylogeny (e.g. Heterodera oryzae, H. oryzicola and H. sacchari) as no 18S rDNA sequence information is currently available for these species. Nematode species life strategies are colour coded: green, sedentary endoparasite; black, sedentary semi-endoparasite; red, migratory endoparasite; blue, migratory ectoparasite. One representative 18S sequence for each species was used (GenBank accession number indicated in the phylogenetic tree). The 18S sequences were aligned and refined using MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar, 2004). The selection of the best fitted model of DNA evolution and Bayesian phylogenetic construction (MrBayes with model selection SYM $+$ Gamma) were carried out in TOPALi v2 (Milne et al., 2009). The resulting phylogeny was re-routed by known outgroup (*Longidorus elongatus* and *Paratrichodorus* minor) and formatted in FigTree v1.4 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree>/). Bootstrap values for one million iterations are indicated for each node. Sequence alignment (.ALN) and phylogenetic distance (.TRE) files are provided as Files S1 and S2 in Supporting Information.

security and its production is threatened by the increasing prevalence of Mg.

Meloidogyne graminicola was first described by Golden and Birchfield (1965) and was isolated from the roots of a barnyard grass in the American state of Louisiana. Since then, Mg has been identified in many countries, revealing a distribution in ricegrowing areas throughout South and South-East Asia as well as in the USA and Latin America (Mg distribution map: [http://www.](http://www.cabi.org/isc/datashe/33243) [cabi.org/isc/datashe/33243](http://www.cabi.org/isc/datashe/33243)). This nematode may be absent from Africa as only one technical report exists that mentions the presence of Mg in South Africa (Kleynhans, 1991). Similarly, to our knowledge, Mg has not yet been identified in Australia or Europe. Mq is a devastating plant pathogen, and is therefore classified as a quarantine pest in many countries. Rice is the most important host for *Mq*, but the nematode has a wide range of alternative hosts (Bridge et al., 2005). This nematode is frequently found associated with other cereals, as well as dicotyledonous and grass plants, including many weeds commonly found in rice fields that may constitute a major reservoir of nematodes (Rich et al., 2009).

Meloidogyne graminicola is an obligate sedentary endoparasite adapted to flooded conditions. It is found in both upland (rainfed) and lowland (irrigated) rice, as well as in deepwater ecosystems, where Mq is one of the three predominant pathogenic nematode species (Prot and Rahman, 1994). Infection by Mg in rice induces the formation of galls, mainly at the root tips with a characteristic hook shape (see inset in Fig. 2), that strongly impair root development and physiology. The disruption of water and nutrient transport by the alteration of the root vascular system leads to above-ground symptoms, such as stunting, chlorosis and loss of vigour, which ultimately result in poor growth of the crop and substantial yield losses that can represent up to 87% of production (Netscher and Erlan, 1993; Fig. 2). Losses in flooded rice fields occur by drowning when infected seedlings fail to elongate above the rising flood water, leaving patches of open water in flooded fields (Bridge and Page, 1982; Fig. 2). Under simulated upland or intermittently flooded conditions, yield losses caused by Mg range from 20% to 80% and 11% to 73%, respectively (Plowright and Bridge, 1990; Soriano et al., 2000). In the field, these losses may be exacerbated in combination with other biotic or abiotic stresses, such as drought. Mq is thus a severe constraint to productivity in rice-growing countries and is likely to be an underestimated pathogen because of the lack of specific above-ground symptoms that can lead growers to wrongly attribute the damage to nutritional and water-associated disorders or to secondary diseases.

LIFE CYCLE, BIOLOGY AND DIVERSITY OF MELOIDOGYNE GRAMINICOLA

Like other RKNs, Mg infective juvenile stage 2 (J2) nematodes can be found in soil samples as small filiform roundworms (length, $350-510$ μ m), where they are part of the mesofauna. Preparasitic J2s cannot feed until they enter a host and become parasitic. As free-living worms, their entire metabolism is dependent on lipid reserves laid down during embryonic development. Spatio-temporal studies have shown that densities of Mg J2s in the soil fluctuate throughout the year. Factors such as soil

Fig. 2 Rice field and nursery in Asia severely infested with Meloidogyne graminicola. (A) A typical patch of open water in M. graminicola-infected lowland rice flooded field in Vietnam. (B) Infected plants in a rice nursery in Laos showing stunted growth with an apparent chlorosis (Picture courtesy of Phetsamone Songvilay, MOAF Laos). The middle inset illustrates M. graminicola-infected root systems showing gall formations with typical hook-shaped root tips.

structure, temperature, pH, redox state and moisture, as well as the host plant growth stage and crop cycle duration, can affect the capacity of the nematode to survive in the ecosystem and, consequently, its ability to infect plants (Soriano et al., 2000; Win et al., 2011, 2013).

Compared with other Meloidogyne species, Mg has a relatively fast life cycle on rice; this is completed in 19–27 days depending on the soil temperature, which usually ranges from 22 to 29 $^{\circ}$ C in the areas in which Mg is found (Bridge and Page, 1982; Yik and Birchfield, 1979). Infection studies using freshly hatched J2s show that female nematodes will develop within 14 days of infection and start to lay eggs in the root cortex (Fig. 3A–F). Then, 18–20 days post-infection (dpi), abundant juvenile stage 1 (J1) nematodes can be observed within the eggs (Bellafiore et al., 2015; Fig. 3F). Like other Meloidogyne species, Mg J1s undergo their first moult in the egg to become pre-parasitic J2s. After hatching, if the nematodes are released in soil, they locate roots by chemotaxis (Reynolds et al., 2011) and invade the root at the elongation zone (Fig. 3A). The newly parasitic J2s migrate intercellularly in the rice root cortex towards the root tip, where they invade the vascular cylinder. Unlike other Meloidogyne species, which will subsequently move up the vascular cylinder for a long distance, Mq establishes its feeding site in the stele close to the root meristem, forming five to eight giant cells (Cabasan et al., 2014; Jena and Rao, 1977; Fig. 3B).

Once established in the root, the nematode becomes sedentary, feeds from the giant cells and, after three moults, reaches the adult stage, taking a pyriform or filiform shape for the female (Fig. 3C) or male, respectively. In other Meloidogyne species, female development can result in tearing of the root and the subsequent release of egg masses through this aperture into the soil. By contrast, the Mq adult female remains inside the root, where it is protected from the external environment by several layers of plant cells, and releases eggs inside the cortex (Fig. 3D,E). This unusual method of egg-laying is an advantage when the host is in

flooded conditions. The next generation of nematodes, developing inside these egg masses (Fig. 3F), are consequently more likely to make new feeding sites within the same root. It is also worth noting that plant sedentary endoparasitic nematodes, such as Mq , remain within their host for most of their life cycle, and are thus protected from predators and potential pathogens by the immune systems of the host. One consequence of this parasitic lifestyle is the reduced immune gene complement found in the genome of these nematodes compared with free-living nematodes (e.g. Abad et al., 2008; Cotton et al., 2014; Ghedin et al., 2007).

Meloidogyne graminicola is a facultative meiotic parthenogenetic species in which amphimixis can occur at a low frequency (approximately 0.5%; Triantaphyllou, 1969). Oogenesis and spermatogenesis studies have revealed that the haploid chromosome number ($n = 18$) is determined during the first and second maturation divisions without any variation in number (Triantaphyllou, 1969). It is assumed that, when males are present and in contact with females, classical amphimixis happens, whereas, in their absence, parthenogenesis takes over. For this asexual reproduction mode, the egg nucleus undergoes classical meiosis, in which the first polar body degenerates and fusion of the second polar body with the egg pronucleus restores the somatic chromosome number.

Several Mg populations have been isolated and examined for differences in morphology, DNA sequence, virulence and aggressiveness. Quantitative characters, such as the length of the body and stylet, were used to reveal intraspecific diversity between populations isolated from Vietnam (Bellafiore et al., 2015), Nepal, India, Bangladesh, Thailand and the USA (Jepson, 1983; Pokharel et al., 2010). Interestingly, the aggressiveness (defined here as the quantification of parasite fitness on a susceptible host), evaluated under controlled conditions, revealed significant differences between populations, again suggesting intraspecific diversity (Bellafiore et al., 2015; Pokharel et al., 2007). In addition to 'quantitative' differences, a 'qualitative' difference was observed between

Fig. 3 Meloidogyne graminicola (Mg) development in rice root of susceptible Oryza sativa. (A) Mg juvenile stage 2 (J2) invading the root at the elongation zone 18 h after infection. (B) J2 feeding inside a gall at 3 days post-infection (dpi). (C) J3/J4 in roots at 10 dpi. (D) Female of Mg with eggs at 15 dpi. (E) Typical mature gall, including many developing Mg nematodes. (F) Eggs containing developing Mg J1, extracted by puncturing a gall at 17 dpi. Nematodes were stained inside the rice roots using acid fuchsin (Nahar et al., 2011). Scale bar in (A)-(E), 1 mm. Scale bar in (F), 100 μ m.

populations isolated from North America and Asia. Despite a similar host range, the American population of Mg failed to propagate on several rice cultivars compared with the Asian populations (Pokharel et al., 2010). Other independent pathogenicity studies on a variety of hosts suggest that Mg consists of more than one race. For example, Mg populations show variable ability to parasitize tomato and maize (Bellafiore et al., 2015; Pokharel et al., 2010; Yik and Birchfield, 1979). The compatibility observed between *Ma* and its hosts is cultivar dependent. These data are consistent with both the existence of different races of Ma and with the concept that some cultivars in a plant species are resistant to some Mg populations. Another explanation for the apparent difference in virulence of some Ma populations on the same plant species could be the misidentification of the nematode species. For example, Ma and M. oryzae are closely related (Fig. 1). are morphologically very much alike, have a similar life cycle and cause very similar symptoms on plants. However, M. oryzae has a slightly different host range (Maas et al., 1978). This demonstrates the importance of the development of reliable methods of identification for the rice RKNs.

Nematode species identification based on enzymatic phenotypes may be misleading unless several enzymes are used, as the enzyme profiles can be almost identical between species such as Mg and M. oryzae, with only malate dehydrogenase and esterase that can distinguish between them (Carneiro et al., 2000). In order to facilitate the identification of Mg, several mitochondrial and nuclear DNA markers have been designed (Bellafiore et al., 2015; Besnard et al., 2014). Nucleotide polymorphisms between populations have been evaluated using the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (Bellafiore et al., 2015; Pokharel et al., 2007). Different haplotypes were observed, but without a clear pattern of geographical distribution, suggesting a recent expansion of the species. The whole mitochondrial genomes of two Mq populations isolated from the Philippines and China have been sequenced recently (Besnard et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2014), providing molecular information potentially useful for population genetic studies and life history reconstruction. Mitochondrial DNA evolves more rapidly in Nematoda than in other taxa, and gene duplication, gene order rearrangement and DNA recombination in the variable number of tandem repeat (VNTR) regions are reminiscent of this rapid evolution in the *Meloidogyne* genus (Humphreys-Pereira and Elling, 2015; Lunt and Hyman, 1997). Therefore, the mitochondrial genome and, more specifically, the VNTR regions (Lunt et al., 1998) have been successfully used to explore the population dynamics of other Meloidogyne species (Whipple et al., 1998). Mg mitochondrial DNA analysis revealed unexpected transfer RNA duplications and the presence of two VNTR regions (111R and 94R), one of which (the 111R VNTR region) contained polymorphisms that are potentially useful for further population genetic studies (Besnard et al., 2014; Humphreys-Pereira and Elling, 2015; Sun et al., 2014). One potential drawback of evolutionary studies on mitochondrial DNA comes from the fact that a nematode is made up of approximately 1000 cells and each could contain up to 1000 mitochondria (Rokas et al., 2003). Each nematode could therefore contain approximately one million mitochondria with a potential heteroplasmic status that would make the analysis more

complex (Lunt et al., 1998; Okimoto et al., 1991; Whipple et al., 1998). The use of mitochondrial VNTR markers to address the genetic structure can therefore be challenging. The potential use of nuclear genetic markers [i.e. microsatellites, indels and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)] is currently being tested (G. Besnard, personal communication). Such tools may allow the exploration of the diversity of the species and the reconstruction of its evolutionary history.

MELOIDOGYNE GRAMINICOLA: A MANIPULATOR OF PLANT CELL METABOLISM AND IMMUNITY

The RKNs penetrate their host at the root elongation zone, after which they migrate through the cortex to the root tip. In contrast with most migratory and cyst nematodes, the movement of RKNs inside the roots does not cause extensive damage to the cells, as the juveniles migrate between cells. However, the cells do respond to the nematodes, either by sensing this movement or by responding to minor plant damage and recognition mediated by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). For example, susceptible tomato roots have been shown to respond to RKN attack by producing reactive oxygen species (ROS) 12 h after inoculation (Melillo et al., 2006). Excessive production of ROS, such as $H₂O₂$, can ultimately lead to cell death—the hypersensitive response (HR)—but, when present in minute amounts, ROS act as signalling molecules that trigger the induction of defence genes in infected and neighbouring cells (Mellersh et al., 2002). The typical feeding sites established by RKNs are multinucleate, hypertrophied cells—formed by repeated rounds of nuclear division and cell growth in the absence of cytokinesis—called giant cells (Kyndt et al., 2013; Fig. 4A). Importantly, 48 h after RKN inoculation, concomitant with giant cell induction, ROS levels are lower in infected than in uninfected tomato roots and become cytologically undetectable in infected cells (Melillo et al., 2006). Similar observations have been made in the rice– Mq interaction: although $H₂O₂$ accumulates at 1 dpi in *Mg*-infected rice roots, its level is slightly lower or equal to the basal levels at 3 and 5 dpi (Ji et al., 2015b). As a burst of ROS occurs during the initial migratory phase of Mq in the rice root, an active suppression mechanism is most probably used by the nematode to prevent defence activation by ROS once the nematode has started to establish its feeding site.

In order to sustain the intimate relationship with their host, biotrophic parasites such as Mg must suppress the plant defence system, which is responsible for the production of many defence metabolites and cell death-related proteins. This is observed in young Mg-induced feeding sites (Ji et al., 2013; Kyndt et al., 2012), with the repression of jasmonate (JA)-related genes in giant cells (Ji et al., 2013) and genes of the PR13/thionin gene family in gall tissues (Ji et al., 2015a). The JA pathway indeed

Fig. 4 Longitudinal section of *Meloidogyne graminicola*-infected roots. (A) Susceptible Oryza sativa 'Nipponbare' rice root at 4 days post-infection (dpi). Longitudinal root sections (4 μ m) stained with 0.05% toluidine blue. (B) Invading nematode in resistant Oryza glaberrima at 6 dpi observed under UV light (UV filter set A2, Carl Zeiss AXIO Imager Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany), showing the accumulation of phenolic compounds around the nematode. Scale bar, 100 μ m. The white arrow points to the nematode. *Giant cell.

plays a determinant role in rice basal immunity against Mg (Nahar et al., 2011). Genes involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway, such as OsPAL, OsC4H, OsCOMT and OsCAD, are also strongly repressed in established giant cells and slightly repressed in whole galls induced by Mg (Ji et al., 2013, 2015b; Kyndt et al., 2012), a phenomenon which has also been observed in giant cells induced by *M. javanica* in tomato and *Arabidopsis* plants (Portillo et al., 2013). In addition, callose deposition, which is a typical hallmark of enhanced plant defence (Jacobs et al., 2003; Luna et al., 2011), is barely detectable in Mq -induced gall tissue at 4 dpi. Although the regulation of callose biosynthesis genes was not affected, Mg feeding led to a significant increase in the levels of transcripts encoding OsGNS5, a callose-degrading enzyme (Ji et al., 2015b).

Recent research highlights the importance of hormone balances at the frontline in the battle between Mg and rice (reviewed in Kyndt et al., 2014). For example, brassinosteroid biosynthesis and signalling genes are generally activated in gall tissue (Nahar et al., 2013), where the brassinosteroid pathway seems to negatively cross-talk with the JA pathway, which is a key determinant of rice root immunity against Mg (Nahar et al., 2011). Similarly, the abscisic acid (ABA) signalling pathway may be involved, as in planta induction of the ABA pathway has been shown to antagonize the salicylic acid/JA/ethylene-dependent core rice root defence system, leading to increased susceptibility to the migratory rice root nematode Hirschmanniella oryzae (Nahar et al., 2012).

In contrast with the suppression of secondary metabolism, transcriptomics of galls and isolated giant cells from Mg-infected rice roots has revealed a general induction of primary metabolism (Ji et al., 2013; Kyndt et al., 2012). For example, genes involved in nucleotide synthesis, starch production, phospholipid production, protein and sucrose biosynthesis, transporters, photosynthesis and glycolysis are generally activated in galls and giant cells, indicating that the heterotrophic RKN stimulates the cells within the gall to be more active and to produce energy and nutrients to the benefit of the nematode (reviewed in Fernandez et al., 2015).

This transcriptional reprogramming observed in RKN-infected plant tissues could potentially be caused by epigenetic mechanisms, such as DNA methylation, histone modifications or RNA interference (RNAi)-based gene silencing. Indeed, genes involved in chromatin remodelling, DNA methylation, small RNA formation and histone modifications are all highly expressed inside giant cells induced by Mq in rice (Ji et al., 2013), as well as in M. incoqnita-induced giant cells in tomato (Portillo et al., 2013). How these epigenetic mechanisms are activated and which genes/pathways they are targeting remains unknown.

GENOMICS AND TRANSCRIPTOMICS: A DEVELOPING RESOURCE FOR MELOIDOGYNE GRAMINICOLA

The Mq sequence information currently present in public databases is very limited. The genome of Mg has not been published to date. Although this nematode species is not yet listed as proposed under the 959 nematode genomes initiative project (Kumar et al., 2012;<http://www.nematodes.org/nematodegenomes>), sequencing of several genomes from different Mg populations is underway (S. Bellafiore, unpublished data). The size of the Mg genome has been estimated at around 30 Mb by Feulgen densitometry (56% \pm 7.6% relative DNA content of hypodermal nuclei of J2 using M. incognita as reference, equivalent to a C-value of 0.03 pg gametic nuclear DNA content; Lapp and Triantaphyllou, 1972), an estimate that seems to hold based on the current draft genome (S. Bellafiore, unpublished data). If true, the genome of Ma would be much more compact than those of its closest sequenced relatives *M. incognita* (c. 86 Mb; Abad et al., 2008) and *M. hapla* (c. 54 Mb; Opperman *et al.*, 2008). *Mg* is also poorly represented in other DNA sequence databases. Entries for Ma are absent from the two main nematode databases, NEMBASE4 and the nematode.net portal (Elsworth et al., 2011; [http://www.nem](http://www.nematodes.org/nembase4)[atodes.org/nembase4](http://www.nematodes.org/nembase4); Wylie et al., 2004;<http://nematode.net>), and only 124 nucleotide sequences are present in GenBank (Benson et al., 2013;<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank>), mostly ribosomal RNA genes used in phylogenetic studies, as well as cell wall-modifying enzymes and the complete mitochondrial genome.

Recently, two studies have explored the transcriptome of Mg across several life stages of the nematode, from pre-parasitic J2s to established females in roots of rice (Haegeman et al., 2013; Petitot et al., 2015). Almost 52 000 gene loci represented by over 66 000 transcripts were predicted that could encode putative proteins, about 50% of which contain known functional domains. Relatively little investigation has been performed into the overall changes in gene expression profile that occur between life stages in relation to the general biology of the nematode life cycle and development. Instead, the focus for these studies has been the mining of the transcriptome, emphasizing the importance of the putative secretome of Mg and the identification of candidate parasitism genes.

In order to successfully establish parasitism, PPNs secrete a cocktail of effectors, thought to be mainly proteins, into their host plant (Haegeman et al., 2012; Hewezi and Baum, 2013). These effectors facilitate the migration of the nematode inside the plant roots and are required to initiate and maintain their feeding site (Fig. 4A). These proteins can be secreted into the apoplast through the cuticle or the stylet, as well as from the amphids and the phasmids of the nematode. The majority of the best characterized effectors are produced in the nematode oesophageal gland cells, from where they are thought to be directly injected into the plant cells through the stylet. These proteins can be identified on the basis of the presence of a predicted signal peptide for secretion and the absence of a transmembrane domain, as well as being preferentially expressed in invasive and early parasitic stages. The transcriptome sets generated from Mg were screened for putative effectors (Haegeman et al., 2013; Petitot et al., 2015). Unsurprisingly, these studies revealed the presence of many peptidases and plant cell wall-modifying enzymes, including a pectate lyase and a poly- α -p-galacturonosidase for pectin degradation, β -1,4-endoglucanases and a xylanase for cellulose and hemicellulose degradation, as well as cellulose-binding proteins and expansin-like proteins, which are not enzymes per se, but which disrupt non-covalent bonds between cell wall components. These proteins are commonly secreted by PPNs to soften and degrade the plant cell wall, thus facilitating the invasion of the root tissues by nematodes and the movement of the worms within the roots.

Most of these genes are strongly expressed in Ma infective juveniles but are down-regulated at later stages of parasitism, correlating with the transition to the sedentary phase for the nematode. However, some of these enzymes may be expressed in later stages, when they may have a role in processes such as egg laying or migration of the adult male from the roots (Danchin et al., 2010; Thorpe et al., 2014).

As the nematode progresses through the root, its migration, as well as the by-products of the peptidases and cell wall-modifying enzyme activity, are likely to cause the production of damageassociated molecular patterns (DAMPs). In addition, nematode secretions and surface factors may be recognized as PAMPs by plant cell receptors (Manosalva et al., 2015). Both DAMPs and PAMPs may thus elicit plant defence responses, such as the production of ROS, which must be suppressed by the invader to succeed in parasitism. Consistent with this hypothesis, transcriptome analyses from Mq identified antioxidant proteins that may be involved in the detoxification of host-derived ROS, including several glutathione peroxidases, glutathione-S-transferases, thioredoxins and peroxiredoxins. A metallothionein is also highly expressed in early parasitic stages that could have a protective role in cells exposed to ROS (Petitot et al., 2015). In addition, a series of transcripts similar to nematode venom allergen-like proteins (VAP) has been identified in Mg (Haegeman et al., 2013). It has been proposed recently that the VAPs may suppress the activation of defences triggered by DAMPs that would be generated in the plant during nematode infection (Lozano-Torres et al., 2014).

Other candidate effectors of Mg, which are similar to described and/or characterized genes from other PPNs, may be involved in the recognition between plant and nematode or in host manipulation and suppression of plant defences. At least 17 contigs containing *map-1* homologues (corresponding to the Meloidogyne avirulence protein MAP-1) have been identified in Mg (Haegeman et al., 2013). In Meloidogyne species, map-1 is only expressed in the infective juveniles of avirulent lines of the RKNs controlled by Mi-1 in tomato, and therefore it was speculated that MAP-1 may be involved in the early recognition steps between the resistant host and avirulent nematodes (Semblat et al., 2001). Furthermore, map-1 belongs to a small gene family and variation in the number/arrangement of internal repeats in the genes correlates with the (a)virulence profile of M. incognita lines, suggesting a role in the specificity of the plant–nematode interaction (Castagnone-Sereno et al., 2009). The possibility of Mq MAP-1 homologues being recognized by Mi-1 and/or Mi homologues to explain the failure of the nematode to establish in tomato has not yet been investigated.

Effectors have been identified which may play a role in the suppression of plant defences by interfering with hormonal pathways involved in plant immunity. Consistent with JA-related genes being suppressed in giant cells induced by Ma in rice (Ji et al., 2013), several transcripts encoding fatty acid and retinol-binding proteins (FAR), proteins which can bind JA precursors (Prior et al., 2001), have been identified in the Mq transcriptome (Haegeman et al., 2013; Petitot et al., 2015). Collectively, functional analysis of FAR-1 homologues in cyst nematodes and RKNs indeed supports a role for these effectors in suppressing the JA pathway (Mantelin et al., 2015).

The secretion of effectors that mimic plant proteins or signalling peptides is a common strategy observed in PPNs to interfere with plant signalling pathways to either block, modulate or hijack host cellular processes (Haegeman et al., 2012). Many putatively secreted 14-3-3 proteins have been identified in Mq (Haegeman et al., 2013). 14-3-3 proteins are major regulatory proteins highly conserved in eukaryotes. In plants, they regulate the activities of a plethora of proteins involved in a wide range of cellular and physiological processes, such as the regulation of transcription, coordination of mitosis, modulation of phosphatase- and kinasedependent signalling pathways (including pathogen-elicited and hormone signalling pathways), primary metabolism, global stress responses and organelle trafficking (Denison et al., 2011; Roberts, 2003); many of these processes constitute key host targets to be hijacked by the nematode. In addition, several annexin-like proteins are expressed in the infective juveniles that are involved in protection against environmental stresses. As observed for Heterodera schachtii Hs4F01, Mq annexin-like effectors may mimic plant annexin function during the parasitic interaction in order to promote host susceptibility (Patel *et al.*, 2010), possibly by suppressing plant defence, as described for the cereal cyst nematode Ha-ANNEXIN (Chen et al., 2015).

Three sequences of predicted secreted C-type lectins have also been identified in the Mg transcripts (Haegeman et al., 2013). Many of these proteins produced in nematodes have a role in innate immune defences against bacterial infection and in the regulation of interactions with other organisms (De Schutter and Van Damme, 2015). In animal-parasitic nematodes, C-type lectins homologous to some key receptors of the mammalian immune system are released into the host by infective juveniles, possibly to neutralize host defence mechanisms (Harcus et al., 2009). Similarly, the C-type lectins from Mq may interfere with plant defence. Indeed, lectins are involved in plant immunity (De Schutter and Van Damme, 2015) and, to some extent, may confer protection against nematodes (Burrows et al., 1998; Ripoll et al., 2003). Moreover, a small number of lectin domain-containing calreticulins similar to *M. incognita* Mi-CRT are also present in *Mq* (Haegeman et al., 2013). Functional analysis of secreted Mi-CRT established that it suppresses plant defence in Arabidopsis (Jaouannet et al., 2013).

Finally, one CLE-like peptide gene is expressed early in Mg during infection (Petitot et al., 2015). The transcript identified is

incomplete, but the analysis of the deduced protein sequence indicates that it contains at least nine CLE-like motifs. This structure of CLE motifs in tandem repeats has been found in wheat, rice and Medicago truncatula (Oelkers et al., 2008), as well as in cyst nematodes (Lu et al., 2009) and, more recently, was reported in Meloidogyne species, where it was identified by bio-informatics in the sequence of the *map* avirulence gene family (Rutter et al., 2014). Plant CLE ligands are involved in a multitude of growth and developmental processes which may be targeted by the nematode for the development of its feeding site (Miyawaki et al., 2013).

A large proportion of Mg candidate effectors represent pioneer genes, encoding proteins of unknown function and with no similarity to other proteins in databases other than homologues in other nematodes. Surprisingly, only four of 35 M. incognita pioneers (Huang et al., 2003) originally found a match in the Mg transcriptome (Haegeman et al., 2013). Screening the draft genome of Mg for homologues of these pioneers indicated that most are present (S. Mantelin and S. Bellafiore, unpublished data). Only 10 did not hit any genomic contig (AF531163, AF531164, AF531169, AY134435, AY134441, AY134443, AY134444, AY142117, AY142118, AY142120). However, most of these sequences also did not find a match when BLASTed against M. incognita and/or M. hapla genomes. Any genome assembly is, however, only a hypothesis and it is not unusual to find expressed sequence tags (ESTs) that cannot be mapped onto a genome. Collectively, this suggests that some pioneer effector genes may be conserved among Meloidogyne species, but their expression in time or place might vary between different nematodes.

In conclusion, a significant number of candidate effectors have been identified that may be deployed by Mq in order to promote its biotic interaction with the host. Many of the putative effectors identified from Mq transcriptomes were tested for their expression in the nematode by *in situ* hybridization (Haegeman et al., 2013; Petitot et al., 2015). Most of the predicted effectors were expressed in the nematode dorsal or subventral gland cells, or in the amphids, indicating that the corresponding proteins would probably be secreted into the plant tissue, thus supporting a role in parasitism. However, functional studies of effectors in rice, and in rice roots, remain more difficult than studies on model plant systems, such as Arabidopsis, meaning that these areas are not as fully developed to date.

CURRENT METHODS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS FOR THE CONTROL OF MELOIDOGYNE GRAMINICOLA IN RICE

The efficient control of a pest such as Mg requires a combination of different methods. Here, we describe some of the current or prospective approaches that could be used in infested fields to reduce yield losses. The use of nematicides has been the most efficient way to control PPNs in the field for many years, but at a

substantial cost to both farmers and the environment. Most of the chemicals used to control nematodes are extremely toxic. Therefore, many effective nematicides, including methyl bromide, have already been banned from most countries as a result of United Nations protocols (MBTOC, 2010). Local legislative pressures from transnational (e.g. European Union) and national authorities are also removing active ingredients from permitted lists, and the uptake of more restrictive methods of assessment of potential toxicity means that active ingredients will continue to be withdrawn in the future. These legislative pressures are thus prompting the development of alternative strategies to control PPNs. Constant immersion of rice in irrigated fields allows better control of infection by RKNs than is possible in any other rice agrosystem. Under flooding conditions, Mq can still propagate, but the damage induced by the nematode is not as severe as in shallow intermittently flooded lands. The Asia Pacific region currently produces about 90% of the rice consumed worldwide. When including rice grown in upland conditions under the rainfall system, the irrigated rice area in Asia encompasses up to 50% of the total growing area and contributes to 75% of the total production (GRiSP, 2013). However, rice-producing countries are being urged to change their water management practices in an effort to limit their impact on global warming caused by methane production in rice fields. In addition, as a result of socio-economic and environmental changes, these regions are starting to suffer from water shortages. Responding to the need to reduce water demand and chemical inputs simultaneously, whilst increasing crop yield, is becoming a serious challenge in rice production. Although further development of aerobic field conditions in Asia has been proposed as a solution to water shortages, the adverse effects on the sustainability of rice production also need to be considered (Belder et al., 2004), as emerging studies have suggested a considerable negative impact of Mq on both growth and yield in aerobic rice. Rotating cropping systems, in which farmers introduce non-host plants, such as mustard, sesame, millet (Rahman, 1990) or mung bean (Ventura et al., 1981), can reduce the occurrence of Mg and therefore yield losses in rice. Soriano and Reversat (2003) demonstrated that rice yield could be improved by up to 85% in upland rice when grown under crop rotation with cowpea, which minimizes nematode populations. However, in addition to the socioeconomic impacts related to changes in farmers' crop production habits, the efficiency of crop rotation could be compromised by the relatively wide host range of Mq , which is able to propagate in reservoir weeds commonly found in tropical fields, such as several Cyperus and Echinochloa species. Moreover, although the Mg population declines rapidly after 4 months of crop rotation, some eggs can remain viable for up to 14 months in water-logged soils (Bridge and Page, 1982; Roy, 1982), indicating that crop rotations must include a long sequence without rice for greater efficiency. This may be unacceptable for growers who depend on rice.

Studies from other PPN–plant systems suggest that screening of germplasm for genotypes that are resistant or tolerant to Meloidogyne species could offer a promising genetic alternative for the control of Mg. For example, several resistance genes, such as Mi1.2 found in the wild relative of tomato (Solanum peruvianum) and the Ma gene from Myrobalan plum (Prunus cerasifera), provide specific resistance against some Meloidogyne species, and have been cloned (Claverie et al., 2011; Milligan et al., 1998). Mi1.2 was introgressed in tomato (S. lycopersicum) and is now present in many cultivars. This genetic strategy offers an effective and economic alternative to other nematode controlling practices. Screening for Mg resistance in Oryza germplasm has already been successful. Lines of African rice from O. glaberrima, O. longistaminata and O. rufipogon (Plowright et al., 1999; Soriano et al., 1999), as well as several Oryza landraces, have been described as fully or partially resistant to Mg (Gergon and Prot, 1993; Plowright et al., 1999; Soriano et al., 1999) and have been used as resistance donors for interspecific crosses with the Asian cultivated rice O. sativa. Interestingly, several cultivars from O. glaberrima are highly resistant to Mq, and studies on the kinetics of infection using histological analysis of infected CG14 cultivar suggest that HR-like reactions may occur (Cabasan et al., 2014; Fig. 4B). Unfortunately, several genes causing an interspecific O . sativa \times O. glaberrima sterility barrier were also identified and, among them, the S1 locus had the strongest effect (Garavito et al., 2010). The hybrid non-viability and sterility compromised the full exploitation of the genetic diversity found within *O. glaberrima*, despite the presence of remarkable traits that would have been useful to overcome biotic and abiotic stresses in rice. However, successive backcrosses, followed by selfing, have allowed the development of fertile plants derived from *O. sativa* \times *O. glaberrima* crosses and the screening for nematode resistance of backcross lines is possible (Ghesquière et al., 1997). Resistance in Asian rice has been actively sought for several decades (Bridge et al., 2005) and, very recently, three cultivars of *O. sativa* resistant to *Mq* have been identified (S. Bellafiore, unpublished data; Dimkpa et al., 2015): two fully resistant *O. sativa* accessions, Khao Pahk Maw and LD24, as well as one accession from China which shows HRlike responses on Mq infection. This Chinese resistant accession has been crossed with the susceptible *O. sativa* IR64 line and the progeny will be tested for the segregation of resistance(s). Currently, all F1 plants are resistant to the nematode at a similar level to the resistant donor, suggesting dominant resistance gene(s). These three accessions will be extremely valuable for future breeding programmes aimed at producing resistance against Mg in Asian rice cultivars.

Induced resistance and chemical priming represent complementary approaches to rice genetics in the control of Mg. Some chemical and biological compounds are able to trigger the plant defence machinery, leading to induced resistance. For example, compounds such as b-aminobutyric acid (BABA) or benzo-(1,2,3) thiadiazole-7-carbothioic acid S-methylester (BTH) are capable of inducing rapid and effective defence responses to ward off various invading pathogens in different plant species (Ton and Mauch-Mani, 2004). Induced resistance to Ma in rice has been shown to be feasible, where foliar sprays with 250 μ M BTH, 500 μ M ethephon or 100 um methyl-JA provide systemic defence activation. reducing gall formation by 24% (BTH) to 64% (methyl-JA) (Nahar et al., 2011). A 3.5 mm BABA soil drench efficiently protects the roots from infection by enhancing the basal plant defence system, as exemplified by potentiated H_2O_2 generation, lignin formation and callose deposition in the galls of BABA-treated plants (Ji et al., 2015b). Nevertheless, defence activators also tend to have negative effects on plant growth, because of the trade-off between growth and defence. Priming is a more efficient type of induced resistance, where defence responses are not directly activated, but only induced when plants are subsequently challenged by a pathogen or pest. Consequently, the metabolic investment of the plant is reduced compared with constitutive defence activation. Recently, priming against Mq has been tested using thiamine treatment (Huang et al., 2015b). After thiamine root drenching, the number of nematodes in rice roots was slightly but significantly reduced, and the development of the nematodes was delayed, whereas no negative effects of thiamine on nematode viability and infectivity or on plant growth were observed. Molecular and biochemical studies have shown that thiamine-induced priming against Mq involves H_2O_2 and phenylpropanoid-mediated lignin production, whereas giant cell development and callose deposition are not affected (Huang et al., 2015b).

Another option that may induce resistance in plants is the addition of organic compounds to the substrate. Soil amended with biochar, a solid coproduct of biomass pyrolysis, has previously been shown to enhance the productivity of various crops and to induce systemic plant resistance to fungal pathogens (Elad et al., 2011). Similarly, a 1.2% concentration of biochar added to the potting medium of rice enhances plant tolerance to Mq infection and slightly reduces nematode development in the roots, whereas direct toxic effects of biochar exudates on nematode viability, infectivity or development were not observed (Huang et al., 2015a). The increased plant resistance was associated with primed H_2O_2 accumulation in the infected root system, as well as the transcriptional enhancement of genes involved in the ethylene signalling pathway.

Altogether, these data show that priming against rice RKNs is feasible, although the current effects with thiamine and biochar amendments are rather minor. Further investigations into more potent defence elicitors are needed. Biological control of Mg by antagonistic activities of endophytic and rhizosphere fungi may also be worth investigating, as inoculation a rice field with Fusarium isolates and Trichoderma species can reduce root gall severity in rice by 29%–42% and 38%, respectively, in controlled conditions (Le et al., 2009).

Finally, transgenic approaches using genetically modified (GM) plants may be considered to protect crops against Mg . The inhibition of nematode infestation has been successful using the ectopic expression of cystatin protease inhibitors (reviewed by Lilley et al., 2011). Transgenic plants of four African rice varieties with Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S-driven expression of a modified rice cystatin OcI \triangle D86 displayed 55% resistance to *M. incognita* (Vain et al., 1998). This work was extended by introducing the same gene in rice variety Nipponbare under the control of a feeding site-specific root promoter from Arabidopsis (TUB-1) (Green et al., 2002). The best transgenic lines displayed $91\% \pm 7\%$ resistance to *M. incognita* (Lilley et al., 2011). Whether these plants are also resistant to other PPNs, such as Mg, is not known.

In another GM-based approach, a rice thionin gene OsThi7, belonging to the pathogenesis-related PR13 gene family, was overexpressed in rice plants (Ji et al., 2015a). Under normal growth conditions, OsTHI7 shows a root tip-specific expression pattern, and its expression was found to be lower in galls than in non-infected root tips. OsTHI7 promoter activity was not detectable inside 7-dpi giant cells induced by Mg. Whether this low expression is caused by an active suppression of the immune system by the nematode remains to be determined. When overexpressing this gene in the susceptible cultivar Nipponbare, the transgenic lines had a normal growth phenotype and similar gall numbers as in wild-type plants. However, there was a 40% reduction in the number of females and total nematodes per plant in the overexpressing plants, in comparison with control lines. The enhanced resistance of the transgenic lines is probably a result of a direct toxic effect of OsTHI7 on Mg. Interestingly, these plants also showed a significantly lower disease score and reduced Pythium colonization in roots than in control roots. The Pythium hyphae in the overexpressing line were largely restricted to the root epidermis and occasionally occurred in a few cortical and vascular cells, in contrast with dense hyphal networks formed in epidermis, cortical cells and vascular bundles of control plants. As Pythium species and RKNs frequently co-occur in rice fields, where they cause so-called 'soil sickness' syndrome (De Waele and Elsen, 2007; Peng et al., 2006; Van Buyten and Höfte, 2013), this transgenic approach could provide options for future field applications. Nevertheless, thionins have been shown to be toxic to mammalian cells (Stec, 2006), and hence tissue-specific expression needs to be targeted in future research.

Specific knock-down of nematode effectors or other essential genes by host-mediated gene silencing is frequently suggested as another promising GM strategy for nematode control (Danchin et al., 2013). For example, some of the identified transcripts in Haegeman et al. (2013) were targeted for silencing by RNAi in Mg, resulting in high nematode mortality, and hence represent

candidate targets for host-induced gene silencing against nematodes. The emerging possibilities offered by CRISPR-Cas9-based targeted genome editing might provide a fast and specific way of modifying susceptibility genes in host plants to increase crop resistance to nematodes. However, although putative effectors of Mg have been identified (Haegeman et al., 2013; Petitot et al., 2015), we are still awaiting the first publication on the discovery of a rice interactor for any of these candidates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work benefited from interactions funded through COST Actions 872 and FA1208, as well as a Researcher Links grant (ID-127419762), awarded under the Newton-Vietnam Fund partnership (S.M.). The James Hutton Institute receives funding from the Scottish Government. T.K. was supported by an FWO postdoctoral fellowship. S.B. was supported by GRiSP (Menergep) and the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Development (#4764 - BIOASIA). The authors thank Dr S. Evesvan den Akker for assistance with the phylogeny, and Dr V. C. Blok and G. Gheysen for comments on the manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- Abad, P., Gouzy, J., Aury, J.-M., Castagnone-Sereno, P., Danchin, E.G.J., Deleury, E., Perfus-Barbeoch, L., Anthouard, V., Artiguenave, F., Blok, V.C., Caillaud, M.-C., Coutinho, P.M., Dasilva, C., De Luca, F., Deau, F., Esquibet, M., Flutre, T., Goldstone, J.V., Hamamouch, N., Hewezi, T., Jaillon, O., Jubin, C., Leonetti, P., Magliano, M., Maier, T.R., Markov, G.V., McVeigh, P., Pesole, G., Poulain, J., Robinson-Rechavi, M., Sallet, E., Segurens, B., Steinbach, D., Tytgat, T., Ugarte, E., van Ghelder, C., Veronico, P., Baum, T.J., Blaxter, M.L., Bleve-Zacheo, T., Davis, E.L., Ewbank, J.J., Favery, B., Grenier, E., Henrissat, B., Jones, J.T., Laudet, V., Maule, A.G., Quesneville, H., Rosso, M.-N., Schiex, T., Smant, G., Weissenbach, J. and Wincker, P. (2008) Genome sequence of the metazoan plant-parasitic nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Nat. Biotechnol. 26, 909–915.
- Belder, P., Bouman, B.A.M., Cabangon, R., Guoan, L., Quilang, E.J.P., Yuanhua, L., Spiertz, J.H.J. and Tuong, T.P. (2004) Effect of water-saving irrigation on rice yield and water use in typical lowland conditions in Asia. Agric. Water Manage. 65, 193–210.
- Bellafiore, S., Jougla, C., Chapuis, É., Besnard, G., Suong, M., Vu, P.N., De Waele, D., Gantet, P. and Thi, X.N. (2015) Intraspecific variability of the facultative meiotic parthenogenetic root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne graminicola) from rice fields in Vietnam. C. R. Biol. 338, 471–483.
- Benson, D.A., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J. and Sayers, E.W. (2013) GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D36–D42.
- Besnard, G., Jühling, F., Chapuis, É., Zedane, L., Lhuillier, É., Mateille, T. and Bellafiore, S. (2014) Fast assembly of the mitochondrial genome of a plant parasitic nematode (Meloidogyne graminicola) using next generation sequencing. C. R. Biol. 337, 295–301.
- Bridge, J. and Page, S.L. (1982) The rice root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne graminicola, on deep water rice (Oryza sativa subsp. indica). Rev. Nematol. 5, 225–232.
- Bridge, J., Plowright, R.A. and Peng, D. (2005) Nematode parasites of rice. In: Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Subtropical and Tropical Agriculture (Luc, M., Sikora, R.A. and Bridge, J., eds), pp. 87–130. Wallingford, Oxfordshire: CABI Bioscience.
- Burrows, P.R., Barker, A.D.P., Newell, C.A. and Hamilton, W.D.O. (1998) Plantderived enzyme inhibitors and lectins for resistance against plant-parasitic nematodes in transgenic crops. Pestic. Sci. 52, 176–183.
- Cabasan, M.T.N., Kumar, A., Bellafiore, S. and De Waele, D. (2014) Histopathology of the rice root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne graminicola, on Oryza sativa and O. glaberrima. Nematology, 16, 73–81.
- Carneiro, R.M.D.G., Almeida, M.R. and Quénéhervé, P. (2000) Enzyme phenotypes of Meloidogyne spp. populations. Nematology, 2, 645–654.
- Castagnone-Sereno, P., Semblat, J.-P. and Castagnone, C. (2009) Modular architecture and evolution of the map-1 gene family in the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Mol. Genet. Genomics, 282, 547–554.
- Chen, C., Liu, S., Liu, Q., Niu, J., Liu, P., Zhao, J. and Jian, H. (2015) An ANNEXIN-Like protein from the cereal cyst nematode Heterodera avenae suppresses plant defense. PLoS One, 10, e0122256.
- Claverie, M., Dirlewanger, E., Bosselut, N., Van Ghelder, C., Voisin, R., Kleinhentz, M., Lafargue, B., Abad, P., Rosso, M.-N., Chalhoub, B. and Esmenjaud, D. (2011) The Ma gene for complete-spectrum resistance to Meloidogyne species in Prunus is a TNL with a huge repeated C-terminal post-LRR region. Plant Physiol, 156, 779–792.
- Cotton, J., Lilley, C.J., Jones, L., Kikuchi, T., Reid, A., Thorpe, P., Tsai, I., Beasley, H., Blok, V.C., Cock, P., Eves-van den Akker, S., Holroyd, N., Hunt, M., Mantelin, S., Naghra, H., Pain, A., Palomares-Rius, J.E., Zarowiecki, M., Berriman, M., Jones, J.T. and Urwin, P. (2014) The genome and life-stage specific transcriptomes of Globodera pallida elucidate key aspects of plant parasitism by a cyst nematode. Genome Biol. 15, R43.
- Danchin, E.G.J., Rosso, M.-N., Vieira, P., de Almeida-Engler, J., Coutinho, P.M., Henrissat, B. and Abad, P. (2010) Multiple lateral gene transfers and duplications have promoted plant parasitism ability in nematodes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 17 651–17 656.
- Danchin, E.G.J., Arguel, M.-J., Campan-Fournier, A., Perfus-Barbeoch, L., Magliano, M., Rosso, M.-N., Da Rocha, M., Da Silva, C., Nottet, N., Labadie, K., Guy, J., Artiguenave, F. and Abad, P. (2013) Identification of novel target genes for safer and more specific control of root-knot nematodes from a pangenome mining. PLoS Pathog. 9, e1003745.
- Decraemer, W. and Hunt, D.J. (2013) Structure and classification. In: Plant Nematology (Perry, R.N. and Moens, M., eds), pp. 3-39. Wallingford, Oxfordshire: CABI Publishing.
- Denison, F.C., Paul, A.-L., Zupanska, A.K. and Ferl, R.J. (2011) 14-3-3 proteins in plant physiology. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 22, 720–727.
- De Schutter, K. and Van Damme, E. (2015) Protein–carbohydrate interactions as part of plant defense and animal immunity. Molecules, 20, 9029.
- De Waele, D. and Elsen, A. (2007) Challenges in tropical plant nematology. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 45, 457–485.
- Dimkpa, S.O.N., Lahari, Z., Shrestha, R., Douglas, A., Gheysen, G. and Price, A.H. (2015) A genome-wide association study of a global rice panel reveals resistance in Oryza sativa to root-knot nematodes. J. Exp. Bot. 37, 1191–1200.
- Edgar, R.C. (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1792-1797.
- Elad, Y., Cytryn, E., Harel, Y.M., Lew, B. and Graber, E.R. (2011) The biochar effect: plant resistance to biotic stresses. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 50, 335–349.
- Elsworth, B., Wasmuth, J. and Blaxter, M.L. (2011) NEMBASE4: the nematode transcriptome resource. Int. J. Parasitol. 41, 881–894.
- FAOSTAT. (2013) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAO-STAT Database. Available at:<http://faostat3.fao.org>.
- Fernandez, D., Petitot, A.-S., Grossi de Sa, M., Nguyen, V.P., de Almeida-Engler, J. and Kyndt, T. (2015) Recent advances in understanding plant–nematode interactions in monocots. In: Advances in Botanical Research (Escobar, C. and Fenoll, C., eds), pp. 189–219. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Garavito, A., Guyot, R., Lozano, J., Gavory, F., Samain, S., Panaud, O., Tohme, J., Ghesquière, A. and Lorieux, M. (2010) A genetic model for the female sterility barrier between Asian and African cultivated rice species. Genetics, 185, 1425– 1440.
- Gergon, E.B. and Prot, J.-C. (1993) Susceptibility of wild rice species to the nematode Meloidogyne graminicola. Int. Rice Res. Notes, 18, 16.
- Ghedin, E., Wang, S., Spiro, D., Caler, E., Zhao, Q., Crabtree, J., Allen, J.E., Delcher, A.L., Guiliano, D.B., Miranda-Saavedra, D., Angiuoli, S.V., Creasy, T., Amedeo, P., Haas, B., El-Sayed, N.M., Wortman, J.R., Feldblyum, T., Tallon, L., Schatz, M., Shumway, M., Koo, H., Salzberg, S.L., Schobel, S., Pertea, M., Pop, M., White, O., Barton, G.J., Carlow, C.K.S., Crawford, M.J., Daub, J., Dimmic, M.W., Estes, C.F., Foster, J.M., Ganatra, M., Gregory, W.F., Johnson, N.M., Jin, J., Komuniecki, R., Korf, I., Kumar, S., Laney, S., Li, B.-W., Li, W., Lindblom, T.H., Lustigman, S., Ma, D., Maina, C.V., Martin, D.M.A., McCarter, J.P., McReynolds, L., Mitreva, M.D., Nutman, T.B., Parkinson, J., Peregrín-Alvarez, J.M., Poole, C., Ren, Q., Saunders, L., Sluder, A.E., Smith, K., Stanke, M., Unnasch, T.R., Ware, J., Wei, A.D., Weil, G., Williams, D.J., Zhang, Y., Williams, S.A., Fraser-Liggett, C., Slatko, B.,

Blaxter, M.L. and Scott, A.L. (2007) Draft genome of the filarial nematode parasite Brugia malayi. Science, 317, 1756-1760.

- Ghesquière, A., Séquier, J., Second, G. and Lorieux, M. (1997) First steps towards a rational use of African rice, Oryza glaberrima, in rice breeding through a 'contig line' concept. Euphytica, 96, 31–39.
- Golden, A.M. and Birchfield, W. (1965) Meloidogyne graminicola (Heteroderidae), a new species of root-knot nematode from grass. Proc. Helminthol. Soc. Wash. 32, 228–231.
- Green, J., Vain, P., Fearnehough, M.T., Worland, B., Snape, J.W. and Atkinson, H.J. (2002) Analysis of the expression patterns of the Arabidopsis thaliana tubulin-1 and Zea mays ubiquitin-1 promoters in rice plants in association with nematode infection. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 60, 197–205.
- GRiSP (Global Rice Science Partnership) (2013) Rice Almanac Source Book for the Most Important Economic Activity on Earth. Los Baños: International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).
- Haegeman, A., Mantelin, S., Jones, J.T. and Gheysen, G. (2012) Functional roles of effectors of plant-parasitic nematodes. Gene, 492, 19–31.
- Haegeman, A., Bauters, L., Kyndt, T., Rahman, M.M. and Gheysen, G. (2013) Identification of candidate effector genes in the transcriptome of the rice root knot nematode Meloidogyne graminicola. Mol. Plant Pathol. 14, 379–390.
- Harcus, Y., Nicoll, G., Murray, J., Filbey, K., Gomez-Escobar, N. and Maizels, R.M. (2009) C-type lectins from the nematode parasites Heligmosomoides polygyrus and Nippostrongylus brasiliensis. Parasitol. Int. 58, 461–470.
- Hewezi, T. and Baum, T.J. (2013) Manipulation of plant cells by cyst and root-knot nematode effectors. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 26, 9–16.
- Huang, G., Gao, B., Maier, T.R., Allen, R., Davis, E.L., Baum, T.J. and Hussey, R.S. (2003) A profile of putative parasitism genes expressed in the esophageal gland cells of the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 16, 376–381.
- Huang, W.-K., Ji, H.-L., Gheysen, G., Debode, J. and Kyndt, T. (2015a) Biocharamended potting medium reduces the susceptibility of rice to root-knot nematode infections. BMC Plant Biol. 15, 267.
- Huang, W.-K., Ji, H.-L., Gheysen, G. and Kyndt, T. (2015b) Thiamine-induced priming against root-knot nematode infection in rice involves lignification and hydrogen peroxide generation. Mol. Plant Pathol. 17, 614–624.
- Humphreys-Pereira, D.A. and Elling, A.A. (2015) Mitochondrial genome plasticity among species of the nematode genus Meloidogyne (Nematoda: Tylenchina). Gene, 560, 173–183.
- Jacobs, A.K., Lipka, V., Burton, R.A., Panstruga, R., Strizhov, N., Schulze-Lefert, P. and Fincher, G.B. (2003) An Arabidopsis callose synthase, GSL5, is required for wound and papillary callose formation. Plant Cell, 15, 2503–2513.
- Jaouannet, M., Magliano, M., Arguel, M.-J., Gourgues, M., Evangelisti, E., Abad, P. and Rosso, M.-N. (2013) The root-knot nematode calreticulin Mi-CRT is a key effector in plant defense suppression. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 26, 97– 105.
- Jena, R.N. and Rao, Y.S. (1977) Nature of resistance in rice (Oryza sativa L.) to the root knot nematode (Meloidogyne graminicola) II. Histopathology of nematode infection in rice varieties. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. B, 86, 87–91.
- Jepson, S.B. (1983) Identification of Meloidogyne: a general assessment and a comparison of male morphology using light microscopy, with a key to 24 species. Rev. Nematol. 6, 291–309.
- Ji, H., Gheysen, G., Denil, S., Lindsey, K., Topping, J.F., Nahar, K., Haegeman, A., De Vos, W.H., Trooskens, G., Van Criekinge, W., De Meyer, T. and Kyndt, T. (2013) Transcriptional analysis through RNA sequencing of giant cells induced by Meloidogyne graminicola in rice roots. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 3885–3898.
- Ji, H., Gheysen, G., Ullah, C., Verbeek, R., Shang, C., De Vleesschauwer, D., Hofte, M. and Kyndt, T. (2015a) The role of thionins in rice defence against root pathogens. Mol. Plant Pathol. 16, 870-881.
- Ji, H., Kyndt, T., He, W., Vanholme, B. and Gheysen, G. (2015b) ß-Aminobutyric acid-induced resistance against root-knot nematodes in rice is based on increased basal defense. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 28, 519–533.
- Jones, J.T., Haegeman, A., Danchin, E.G.J., Gaur, H.S., Helder, J., Jones, M.G.K., Kikuchi, T., Manzanilla-López, R., Palomares-Rius, J.E., Wesemael, W.M.L. and Perry, R.N. (2013) Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology. Mol. Plant Pathol. 14, 946–961.
- Kleynhans, K.P.N. (1991) The Root-Knot Nematodes of South Africa. Pretoria: Department of Agricultural Development.
- Kumar, S., Schiffer, P.H. and Blaxter, M.L. (2012) 959 Nematode genomes: a semantic wiki for coordinating sequencing projects. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D1295-D1300.
- Kyndt, T., Denil, S., Haegeman, A., Trooskens, G., Bauters, L., Van Criekinge, W., De Meyer, T. and Gheysen, G. (2012) Transcriptional reprogramming by root knot and migratory nematode infection in rice. New Phytol. 196, 887–900.
- Kyndt, T., Vieira, P., Gheysen, G. and Almeida-Engler, J. (2013) Nematode feeding sites: unique organs in plant roots. Planta, 238, 807–818.
- Kyndt, T., Fernandez, D. and Gheysen, G. (2014) Plant-parasitic nematode infections in rice: molecular and cellular insights. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 52, 7.1–7.19.
- Lapp, N.A. and Triantaphyllou, A.C. (1972) Relative DNA content and chromosomal relationships of some Meloidogyne, Heterodera, and Meloidodera spp. (Nematoda: Heteroderidae). J. Nematol. 4, 287–291.
- Le, H.T.T., Padgham, J.L. and Sikora, R.A. (2009) Biological control of the rice root-knot nematode Meloidogyne graminicola on rice, using endophytic and rhizosphere fungi. Int. J. Pest Manage. 55, 31-36.
- Lilley, C.J., Kyndt, T. and Gheysen, G. (2011) Nematode resistant GM crops in industrialised and developing countries. In: Genomics and Molecular Genetics of Plant–Nematode Interactions (Jones, J.T., Gheysen, G. and Fenoll, C., eds), pp. 517–541. London: Springer.
- Lozano-Torres, J.L., Wilbers, R.H.P., Warmerdam, S., Finkers-Tomczak, A., Diaz-Granados, A., van Schaik, C.C., Helder, J., Bakker, J., Goverse, A., Schots, A. and Smant, G. (2014) Apoplastic venom allergen-like proteins of cyst nematodes modulate the activation of basal plant innate immunity by cell surface receptors. PLoS Pathog. 10, e1004569.
- Lu, S.-W., Chen, S., Wang, J., Yu, H., Chronis, D., Mitchum, M.G. and Wang, X. (2009) Structural and functional diversity of CLAVATA3/ESR (CLE)-like genes from the potato cyst nematode Globodera rostochiensis. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 22, 1128–1142.
- Luna, E., Pastor, V., Robert, J., Flors, V., Mauch-Mani, B. and Ton, J. (2011) Callose deposition: a multifaceted plant defense response. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 24, 183–193.
- Lunt, D.H. and Hyman, B.C. (1997) Animal mitochondrial DNA recombination. Nature, 387, 247.
- Lunt, D.H., Whipple, L.E. and Hyman, B.C. (1998) Mitochondrial DNA variable number tandem repeats (VNTRs): utility and problems in molecular ecology. Mol. Ecol. 7, 1441–1455.
- Maas, P.W.T., Sanders, H. and Dede, J. (1978) Meloidogyne oryzae N. Sp. (Nematoda, Meloidogynidae) infesting irrigated rice in Surinam (South America). Nematologica, 24, 305–311.
- Manosalva, P., Manohar, M., von Reuss, S.H., Chen, S., Koch, A., Kaplan, F., Choe, A., Micikas, R.J., Wang, X., Kogel, K.-H., Sternberg, P.W., Williamson, V.M., Schroeder, F.C. and Klessig, D.F. (2015) Conserved nematode signalling molecules elicit plant defenses and pathogen resistance. Nat. Commun. 6, Article number 7795.
- Mantelin, S., Thorpe, P. and Jones, J.T. (2015) Suppression of plant defences by plant-parasitic nematodes. In: Advances in Botanical Research (Escobar, C. and Fenoll, C., eds), pp. 325–337 Oxford: Elsevier.
- MBTOC (2010) Report of the Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee (MBTOC) 2010 Assessment. Montreal protocol on substances that deplete the ozone layer. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).
- van Megen, H., van den Elsen, S., Holterman, M., Karssen, G., Mooyman, P., Bongers, T., Holovachov, O., Bakker, J. and Helder, J. (2009) A phylogenetic tree of nematodes based on about 1200 full-length small subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. Nematology, 11, 927-950.
- Melillo, M.T., Leonetti, P., Bongiovanni, M., Castagnone-Sereno, P. and Bleve-**Zacheo, T.** (2006) Modulation of reactive oxygen species activities and H_2O_2 accumulation during compatible and incompatible tomato–root-knot nematode interactions. New Phytol. 170, 501–512.
- Mellersh, D.G., Foulds, I.V., Higgins, V.J. and Heath, M.C. (2002) H₂O₂ plays different roles in determining penetration failure in three diverse plant–fungal interactions. *Plant 1*, 29, 257-268.
- Milligan, S.B., Bodeau, J., Yaghoobi, J., Kaloshian, I., Zabel, P. and Williamson, V.M. (1998) The root knot nematode resistance gene Mi from tomato is a member of the leucine zipper, nucleotide binding, leucine-rich repeat family of plant genes. Plant Cell, 10, 1307–1320.
- Milne, I., Lindner, D., Bayer, M., Husmeier, D., McGuire, G., Marshall, D.F. and Wright, F. (2009) TOPALi v2: a rich graphical interface for evolutionary analyses of multiple alignments on HPC clusters and multi-core desktops. Bioinformatics, 25, 126–127.
- Miyawaki, K., Tabata, R. and Sawa, S. (2013) Evolutionarily conserved CLE peptide signaling in plant development, symbiosis, and parasitism. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 16, 598–606.
- Muthayya, S., Sugimoto, J.D., Montgomery, S. and Maberly, G.F. (2014) An overview of global rice production, supply, trade, and consumption. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1324, 7–14.
- Nahar, K., Kyndt, T., De Vleesschauwer, D., Höfte, M. and Gheysen, G. (2011) The jasmonate pathway is a key player in systemically induced defense against root knot nematodes in rice. Plant Physiol. 157, 305–316.
- Nahar, K., Kyndt, T., Nzogela, Y.B. and Gheysen, G. (2012) Abscisic acid interacts antagonistically with classical defense pathways in rice–migratory nematode interaction. New Phytol. 196, 901–913.
- Nahar, K., Kyndt, T., Hause, B., Höfte, M. and Gheysen, G. (2013) Brassinosteroids suppress rice defense against root-knot nematodes through antagonism with the jasmonate pathway. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 26, 106-115.
- Netscher, C. and Erlan (1993) A root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne graminicola, parasitic on rice in Indonesia. Afro-Asia J. Nematol. 3, 90–95.
- Nicol, J.M., Turner, S.J., Coyne, D.L., den Nijs, L., Hockland, S. and Tahna Maafi, Z. (2011) Current nematode threats to world agriculture. In: Genomics and Molecular Genetics of Plant–Nematode Interactions (Jones, J.T., Gheysen, G. and Fenoll, C., eds), pp. 21–43. London: Springer.
- Oelkers, K., Goffard, N., Weiller, G., Gresshoff, P., Mathesius, U. and Frickey, T. (2008) Bioinformatic analysis of the CLE signaling peptide family. BMC Plant Biol. 8, 1.
- Okimoto, R., Chamberlin, H.M., Macfarlane, J.L. and Wolstenholme, D.R. (1991) Repeated sequence sets in mitochondrial DNA molecules of root knot nematodes (Meloidogyne): nucleotide sequences, genome location and potential for host-race identification. Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 1619–1626.
- Opperman, C.H., Bird, D.M., Williamson, V.M., Rokhsar, D.S., Burke, M., Cohn, J., Cromer, J., Diener, S., Gajan, J., Graham, S., Houfek, T.D., Liu, Q., Mitros, T., Schaff, J., Schaffer, R., Scholl, E., Sosinski, B.R., Thomas, V.P. and Windham, E. (2008) Sequence and genetic map of Meloidogyne hapla: a compact nematode genome for plant parasitism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 105, 14 802– 14 807.
- Patel, N., Hamamouch, N., Li, C., Hewezi, T., Hussey, R.S., Baum, T.J., Mitchum, M.G. and Davis, E.L. (2010) A nematode effector protein similar to annexins in host plants. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 235–248.
- Peng, S.B., Bouman, B.A.M., Visperas, R.A., Castaneda, A., Nie, L.X. and Park, H.K. (2006) Comparison between aerobic and flooded rice in the tropics: agronomic performance in an eight-season experiment. Field Crop Res. 96, 252–259.
- Petitot, A.-S., Dereeper, A., Agbessi, M., Da Silva, C., Guy, J., Ardisson, M. and Fernandez, D. (2015) Dual RNA-seq reveals Meloidogyne graminicola transcriptome and candidate effectors during the interaction with rice plants. Mol. Plant Pathol. 17, 860–874.
- Plowright, R.A. and Bridge, J. (1990) Effect of Meloidogyne graminicola (Nematoda) on the establishment, growth and yield of rice cv. IR36. Nematologica, 36, 81–89.
- Plowright, R.A., Coyne, D.L., Nash, P. and Jones, M.P. (1999) Resistance to the rice nematodes Heterodera sacchari, Meloidogyne graminicola and M. incognita in Oryza glaberrima and O. glaberrima \times O. sativa interspecific hybrids. Nematology, 1, 745–751.
- Pokharel, R.R., Abawi, G.S., Zhang, N., Duxbury, J.M. and Smart, C.D. (2007) Characterization of isolates of Meloidogyne from rice–wheat production fields in Nepal. J. Nematol. 39, 221–230.
- Pokharel, R.R., Abawi, G.S., Duxbury, J.M., Smat, C.D., Wang, X. and Brito, J.A. (2010) Variability and the recognition of two races in Meloidogyne graminicola. Australas. Plant Pathol. 39, 326–333.
- Portillo, M., Cabrera, J., Lindsey, K., Topping, J.F., Andrés, M.F., Emiliozzi, M., Oliveros, J.C., García-Casado, G., Solano, R., Koltai, H., Resnick, N., Fenoll, C. and Escobar, C. (2013) Distinct and conserved transcriptomic changes during nematode-induced giant cell development in tomato compared with Arabidopsis: a functional role for gene repression. New Phytol. 197, 1276–1290.
- Prior, A., Jones, J.T., Blok, V.C., Beauchamp, J., McDermott, L., Cooper, A. and Kennedy, M.W. (2001) A surface-associated retinol- and fatty acid-binding protein (Gp-FAR-1) from the potato cyst nematode Globodera pallida: lipid binding activities, structural analysis and expression pattern. Biochem. J. 356, 387–394.
- Prot, J.-C. and Rahman, M.L. (1994) Nematode ecology, economic importance, and management in rice ecosystems in South and Southeast Asia. In: Rice Pest Science and Management (Teng, P.S., Heong, K.L. and Moody, K., eds), pp. 129–144. Los Baños: International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).
- Rahman, M.L. (1990) Effect of different cropping sequences on root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne graminicola, and yield of deepwater rice. Nematol. Mediterr. 18, 213–217.
- Reynolds, A.M., Dutta, T.K., Curtis, R.H.C., Powers, S.J., Gaur, H.S. and Kerry, B.R. (2011) Chemotaxis can take plant-parasitic nematodes to the source of a chemo-attractant via the shortest possible routes. J. R. Soc. Interface, 8, 568–577.
- Rich, J.R., Brito, J.A., Kaur, R. and Ferrell, J.A. (2009) Weed species as hosts of Meloidogyne: a review. Nematropica, 39, 157-185.
- Ripoll, C., Favery, B., Lecomte, P., Van Damme, E., Peumans, W., Abad, P. and Jouanin, L. (2003) Evaluation of the ability of lectin from snowdrop (Galanthus nivalis) to protect plants against root-knot nematodes. Plant Sci. 164, 517–523.
- Roberts, M.R. (2003) 14-3-3 Proteins find new partners in plant cell signalling. Trends Plant Sci. 8, 218–223.
- Rokas, A., Ladoukakis, E. and Zouros, E. (2003) Animal mitochondrial DNA recombination revisited. Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 411-417.
- Roy, A.K. (1982) Survival of Meloidogyne graminicola eggs under different moisture conditions in vitro. Nematol. Mediterr. 10, 221–222.
- Rutter, W.B., Hewezi, T., Maier, T.R., Mitchum, M.G., Davis, E.L., Hussey, R.S. and Baum, T.J. (2014) Members of the Meloidogyne avirulence protein family contain multiple plant ligand-like motifs. Phytopathology, 104, 879–885.
- Sasser, J.N. and Freckman, D.W. (1987) A world prospective on nematology: the role of the society. In: Vistas on Nematology (Veech, J.A. and Dickson, D.W., eds), pp. 7–14. Hyattsville, MD: Society of Nematologists.
- Semblat, J.-P., Rosso, M.-N., Hussey, R.S., Abad, P. and Castagnone-Sereno, P. (2001) Molecular cloning of a cDNA encoding an amphid-secreted putative avirulence protein from the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 14, 72–79.
- Soriano, I.R.S. and Reversat, G. (2003) Management of Meloidogyne graminicola and yield of upland rice in South-Luzon, Philippines. Nematology, 5, 879-884.
- Soriano, I.R.S., Schmit, V., Brar, D.S., Prot, J.-C. and Reversat, G. (1999) Resistance to rice root-knot nematode Meloidogyne graminicola identified in Oryza longistaminata and O. glaberrima. Nematology, 1, 395–398.
- Soriano, I.R.S., Prot, J.-C. and Matias, D.M. (2000) Expression of tolerance for Meloidogyne graminicola in rice cultivars as affected by soil type and flooding. J. Nematol. 32, 309–317.
- Stec, B. (2006) Plant thionins the structural perspective. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 63, 1370–1385.
- Sun, L., Zhuo, K., Lin, B., Wang, H. and Liao, J. (2014) The complete mitochondrial genome of Meloidogyne graminicola (Tylenchina): a unique gene arrangement and its phylogenetic implications. PLoS One, 9, e98558.
- Thorpe, P., Mantelin, S., Cock, P., Blok, V.C., Coke, M., Eves-van den Akker, S., Guzeeva, E., Lilley, C.J., Smant, G., Reid, A., Wright, K., Urwin, P. and Jones, J.T. (2014) Genomic characterisation of the effector complement of the potato cyst nematode Globodera pallida. BMC Genomics, 15, 923.
- Ton, J. and Mauch-Mani, B. (2004) beta-Amino-butyric acid-induced resistance against necrotrophic pathogens is based on ABA-dependent priming for callose. Plant J. 38, 119–130.
- Triantaphyllou, A.C. (1969) Gametogenesis and the chromosomes of two root-knot nematodes, Meloidogyne graminicola and M. naasi. J. Nematol. 1, 62–71.
- Vain, P., Worland, B., Clarke, M.C., Richard, G., Beavis, M., Liu, H., Kohli, A., Leech, M., Snape, J.W., Christou, P. and Atkinson, H.J. (1998) Expression of an engineered cysteine proteinase inhibitor (Oryzacystatin-I Delta D86) for nematode resistance in transgenic rice plants. Theor. Appl. Genet. 96, 266–271.
- Van Buyten, E. and Höfte, M. (2013) Pythium species from rice roots differ in virulence, host colonization and nutritional profile. BMC Plant Biol. 13, 203.
- Ventura, W., Watanabe, I., Castillo, M.B. and De La Cruz, A. (1981) Involvement of nematodes in the soil sickness of a dryland rice-based cropping system. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 27, 305–315.
- Whipple, L.E., Lunt, D.H. and Hyman, B.C. (1998) Mitochondrial DNA length variation in *Meloidogyne incognita* isolates of established genetic relationships: utility for nematode population studies. Fund. Appl. Nematol. 21, 265–271.
- Win, P.P., Kyi, P.P. and De Waele, D. (2011) Effect of agro-ecosystem on the occurrence of the rice root-knot nematode Meloidogyne graminicola on rice in Myanmar. Australas. Plant Pathol. 40, 187–196.
- Win, P.P., Kyi, P.P., Maung, Z.T.Z. and De Waele, D. (2013) Population dynamics of Meloidogyne graminicola and Hirschmanniella oryzae in a double rice-cropping sequence in the lowlands of Myanmar. Nematology, 15, 1–13.
- Wylie, T., Martin, J.C., Dante, M., Mitreva, M.D., Clifton, S.W., Chinwalla, A., Waterston, R.H., Wilson, R.K. and McCarter, J.P. (2004) Nematode.net: a tool for navigating sequences from parasitic and free-living nematodes. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, D423–D426.
- Yeates, G.W. (2004) Ecological and behavioural adaptations. In: Nematode Behaviour (Gaugler, R. and Bilgrami, A.L., eds), pp. 1-24. Wallingford, Oxfordshire: CABI Publishing.
- Yik, C.P. and Birchfield, W. (1979) Host studies and reactions of rice cultivars to Meloidogyne graminicola. Phytopathology, 69, 497–499.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's website:

File S1 Multiple sequences alignment file used for the 18S phylogeny (18S Alignment.ALN).

File S2 Phylogenetic distances used to build the 18S tree (18S Distance.TRE).