*MOLECULAR PLANT PATHOLOGY* (2011) **12**(5), 413–424 DOI: 10.1111/J.1364-3703.2010.00688.X

# Pathogen profile update

# *Mycosphaerella graminicola:* **from genomics to disease control**

ELIZABETH S. ORTON<sup>1,</sup>\*, SIAN DELLER<sup>2,\*,</sup>† AND JAMES K. M. BROWN<sup>1</sup>

1 *Department of Disease and Stress Biology, John Innes Centre, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK* 2 *Centre for Pest and Disease Management, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire AL5 2JQ, UK*

# **SUMMARY**

This *Mycosphaerella graminicola* pathogen profile covers recent advances in the knowledge of this ascomycete fungus and of the disease it causes, septoria tritici blotch of wheat. Research on this pathogen has accelerated since publication of a previous pathogen profile in this journal in 2002. Septoria tritici blotch continues to have high economic importance and widespread global impact on wheat production.

**Taxonomy:** *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (Fuckel) J. Schröt. In Cohn (anamorph: *Septoria tritici* Roberge in Desmaz.). Kingdom Fungi, Phylum Ascomycota, Class Loculoascomycetes (filamentous ascomycetes), Order Dothideales, Genus *Mycosphaerella,* Species *graminicola*.

**Host range:** Bread and durum wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. and *T. turgidum* ssp. *durum* L.).

**Disease symptoms:** Initially leaves develop a chlorotic flecking, which is followed by the development of necrotic lesions which contain brown–black pycnidia. Necrosis causes a reduction in photosynthetic capacity and therefore affects grain yield.

**Disease control:** The disease is primarily controlled by a combination of resistant cultivars and fungicides. Rapid advances in disease control, especially in resistance breeding, are opening up new opportunities for the management of the disease.

**Useful websites:** http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Mycgr3/Mycgr3. home.html.

# **INTRODUCTION**

*Mycosphaerella graminicola* is the teleomorph of the ascomycete fungus *Septoria tritici*, which causes the most economically devastating foliar disease of wheat in Europe and many other temperate climates today, septoria tritici blotch (STB). New knowledge about this important pathosystem is accelerating, demonstrating how important a disease STB has become on a global scale. This review updates the pathogen profile by Palmer and Skinner (2002), since when there have been significant discoveries concerning the fungus, its mode of pathogenicity and the wheat components with which it interacts. This review updates our knowledge of *M. graminicola* and documents the changes that have propelled this pathosystem into the genomics era.

*M. graminicola* is a well-characterized filamentous fungal pathogen propagated by both sexual ascospores and asexual pycnidiospores, and spread by wind-dispersal and rain splash, respectively (Cohen and Eyal, 1993; Duncan and Howard, 2000; Kema *et al*., 1996). Eriksen and Munk (2003) reported that the primary source of inoculum infecting newly emerging wheat crops in autumn consisted largely of ascospores. Initial entry into the host is via hyphal growth through the stomatal openings and, although there is no clearly defined appressorium, hyphal tip swellings have been described at the point of stomatal entry (Kema *et al*., 1996; Shetty *et al*., 2003, 2007; Siah *et al*., 2010). The fungus grows inside host tissues for up to 4 weeks, but more usually 10–14 days elapse before symptoms are visible. The type of growth during this latent phase has been described as biotrophic, although there is no proof of feeding and no presence of specialized structures associated with other biotrophic pathogens such as haustoria or arbuscules (Keon *et al*., 2007; Shetty *et al*., 2007). Indeed, this pathogen has not been reported to breach any host cell wall or membrane. STB disease symptoms consist of grey–brown necrotic lesions which contain brown–black pycnidia (see Fig. 1). *In vitro* the fungus grows as both a sporulating yeast-like mass and a filamentous mycelium (see Fig. 2) depending on the conditions, but the yeast-like stage is not a documented part of the infection or reproductive cycle.

STB is of global economic importance, but the disease thrives especially in climates with rain during the development

<sup>\*</sup>*Correspondence*: Email: elizabeth.orton@bbsrc.ac.uk; sian.deller@versailles.inra.fr †Present address and address for correspondence: INRA, BIOGER, av Lucien Brétignières, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France.



**Fig. 1** Septoria tritici blotch symptoms on leaves in artificial inoculation conditions (A) and in the field (B). (Photograph in B by L. Chartrain.)



**Fig. 2** Cultures of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* isolates growing as a yeast-like mass (A) and a filamentous mycelium (B).

of wheat until flag leaf emergence. In the UK, for example, 73% of wheat leaf samples surveyed by the UK's Home Grown Cereals Authority (HGCA) in 2009 were infected by STB (http://www.cropmonitor.co.uk). The prevalence of STB in crops is controlled by a combination of host varietal resistance and applications of chemical fungicides throughout the growing season. There has been substantial progress in breeding resistant wheat varieties in the last 15 years, largely relying on partial resistance which is broadly effective against all known fungal genotypes and therefore durable (Angus and Fenwick, 2008). Although fungicides have been successfully used against *M. graminicola*, the effectiveness of the two main groups of chemicals has declined as insensitivity to triazoles and quinine outside inhibitors (QoIs) has evolved in the fungal population (Fraaije *et al*., 2005, 2007). Both the breeding of cultivars with improved genetic resistance, and the development of effective fungicides are slow and demanding processes.

# **METHODOLOGIES**

A well tested toolbox of methods is now in place for studying the *M. graminicola*–wheat interaction. The fungus can be detected and measured in infected leaves and seeds by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Bearchell *et al*., 2005; Consolo *et al*., 2009; Guo *et al*., 2006; Shetty *et al*., 2007), which has been used to great effect in studying historical wheat samples in the Rothamsted Research Broadbank archive from 1844 to 2003 (Bearchell *et al*., 2005). The study of the interaction has been aided by the use of young plants, requiring a short growth time and no vernalization, and by the use of both attached and detached leaf assays (Arraiano *et al*., 2001a; Keon *et al*., 2007). Samples of *M. graminicola* isolates from around the world, representing populations from many different spatial scales over time and in conditions with varying plant husbandry, have been used in research on fungicide sensitivity, virulence and the genetic structure of the global *M. graminicola* population. Data

## **FUNGAL GENES**

#### **The fungal genome**

The *M. graminicola* genome was sequenced by the USA Department of Energy's Joint Genome Institute and has been of enormous importance for research on *M. graminicola* and for phytopathology in general. The ease with which it is now possible to examine the fungus using bioinformatics tools has provided a great deal of new information regarding individual genes, and has revealed relationships with other phyla and pathogens. There has been a great advance in the characterization of the organization of genes in general, including dispensable chromosomes, and of some individual genes.

The *M. graminicola* sequence has revealed that the Dutch field isolate IPO323 has a total genome size of 39.7 Mb, and 21 chromosomes ranging in size from approximately 0.3 Mb to about 6 Mb. Thirteen chromosomes are considered to be core chromosomes, being apparently essential, whereas the other eight are known to be independently dispensable despite containing approximately 12% of the genome (Mehrabi *et al*., 2007; Wittenberg *et al*., 2009). Of approximately 10 900 genes in the genome that have been functionally annotated, approximately 59% of the genes on the core chromosome have annotations (including automatic and manual curation), whereas this is only the case for approximately 10% of genes on the dispensable chromosomes (S. Goodwin, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, personal communication). The genome browser maintained by the Joint Genome Institute provides the genome sequence, organization, automatic and manual annotations, and large amounts of other information on the genes and intervening regions at http:// genome.jgi-psf.org/Mycgr3/Mycgr3.home.html.

In addition to the loss of dispensable chromosomes, there are other features of genomic plasticity in *M. graminicola*. Translocation of chromosome sections, chromosome length polymorphisms and chromosome copy number polymorphisms including disomy, the presence of two copies of a chromosome, have all been detected between progeny and parent isolates (Wittenberg *et al*., 2009). The high genome plasticity could be among the strategies that enable the pathogen population to quickly overcome adverse biotic and abiotic conditions in wheat fields.

There are now many reports of the measurements of *M. graminicola* gene expression during growth in different conditions. The availability of microarray platforms, in which the expression of many genes can be compared between growth

conditions, has added greatly to this area of research. The use of whole-genome expression profiling is a powerful tool for the identification of the genes involved in adaptation to a pathogenic lifestyle and also genes involved in fungicide sensitivity. An *M. graminicola* cDNA microarray was developed by Keon *et al*. (2005b), containing 2500 expressed sequence tags (ESTs), approximately 20% of the genes in the genome. The array has been used to compare gene expression between *M. graminicola* growing in different *in vitro* and *in planta* states (Keon *et al*., 2005a, 2007). It has identified changes in gene expression in response to progression from asymptomatic stages, in which nutrients are limited, to necrotic stages, in which cell contents are available in the apoplast. Transcriptome profiling has also found differences in basal gene expression between epoxiconazole-sensitive and less sensitive isolates, and showed that epoxiconazole treatment induces the expression of sterol biosynthesis pathway genes and electron transport chain genes. The contribution of these genes to epoxiconazole sensitivity in the field remains unclear however (Cools *et al*., 2007). Among a larger collection of 27 000 genes, a set of 932 unigenes expressed specifically *in planta* was identified, including many cell wall-degrading enzymes, ATP-binding cassette (ABC) and major facilitator superfamily transporter genes, which may be involved in protection against antifungal compounds or in the secretion of pathogenicity factors (Kema *et al*., 2008).

#### **Functional characterization of** *M. graminicola* **genes**

The term 'pathogenicity' covers the ability of a pathogen to gain entry into the host, to reproduce and to do so in the face of host resistance. A collection of *M. graminicola* genes has now been identified and functionally characterized (see Table 1), some of which have been identified as being required for full pathogenicity on wheat. Components of the three fungal mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling cascades (reviewed by Rispail *et al*., 2009) have been functionally characterized in *M. graminicola* and found to play a role in pathogenesis and other characteristics of fungal life. The investigation of signalling components is of use in many areas of study thanks to the information they provide on stimulus recognition, transduction and the resulting changes that can be measured as phenotypes.

The MAPK pathways thought to regulate the mating and pheromone responses have been investigated extensively in *M. graminicola.* The deletion of MAPK kinase kinase, MAPK kinase and MAPK individually (*MgSte11*, *MgSte7* and *MgFus3*, respectively) reduced filamentous growth and pathogenicity, the latter in large part as a result of the pre-penetration defect afforded by reduced hyphal growth (Cousin *et al*., 2006; Kramer *et al*., 2009). Signalling through the cyclic AMP pathway is putatively associated with the mating and pheromone response pathway, and





ABC, ATP-binding cassette; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase.

itself plays many roles in phytopathogenic fungal life cycles including filamentous growth, mating and virulence (reviewed by Lee *et al*., 2003).The individual deletion of *M. graminicola* Ga (*MgGpa3*) and Gb (*MgGpb1*) encoding genes resulted in reduced filamentous growth caused by either a greater amount of budding growth or increased areas of hyphal fusion (Mehrabi *et al*., 2009). The genes encoding catalytic and regulatory subunits of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase A were also characterized (*MgBcy1* and *MgTpk2* respectively; Mehrabi and Kema, 2006). The protein kinase A acts downstream of the G proteins and disruption of *MgTpk2* also causes a reduction in filamentous growth. Notably, mutations in four of the genes in this signalling pathway delayed pathogenicity and inhibited the production of pycnidia (Mehrabi and Kema, 2006; Mehrabi *et al*., 2009).

*MgHog1* encodes another MAP kinase, the function of which in *M. graminicola* appears to influence filamentous growth. *MgHog1* was predicted to be homologous to a MAP kinase in the well-described Hog1 osmoregulation pathway of yeast. In *M. graminicola*, it is an absolute requirement for filamentous

growth of the fungus, which is necessary for infection of wheat leaves. Other alterations in the phenotype of the *MgHog1* transformant were a lack of melanization, increased osmosensitivity and resistance to some fungicides, including two phenylpyrroles (fludioxonil and fenpiclonil) and one of two dicarboximides tested (iprodione, but not vinclozolin) (Mehrabi *et al*., 2006b). *MgSlt2* encodes a MAP kinase, a homologue of which is necessary for cell wall integrity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. In *M. graminicola*, disruption of *MgSlt2* caused reduced colonization of the substomatal cavity, but hyphal growth and penetration were not altered (Mehrabi *et al*., 2006a). The present characterization of these three MAPK signalling pathways shows an interesting division between those with a role in the morphological state of growth and the *MgSlt2* gene, which was altered in its interaction with the wheat host at a post-penetration stage.

In addition, six *M. graminicola* genes encoding transport proteins have been functionally characterized. There is a need, however, for more information on chemical transport into and out of fungal cells, particularly in *M. graminicola*, where the nutritional strategy is much debated and potential modes of fungicide insensitivity are of obvious concern. Transport proteins that might contribute to either of these roles, including the major facilitator and ABC classes of transporter, are therefore of great interest. *MgMfs1*, which encodes a major facilitator superfamily protein, has been disrupted.The transporter was not essential for full virulence, but the disruption strains showed increased sensitivity to strobilurin fungicides, implicating the transporter in multi-drug resistance, but not in toxin secretion, as had been suggested by Roohparvar *et al*. (2007). *MgAtr1*, *MgAtr2*, *MgAtr3*, *MgAtr4* and *MgAtr5* encode ABC transporter proteins. Individual disruption of *MgAtr1*, *MgAtr2*, *MgAtr3* and *MgAtr5* did not alter pathogenicity, whilst disruption of *MgAtr4* delayed virulence and reduced lesion size, although pycnidia with viable pycnidiospores were still produced (Stergiopoulos *et al*., 2003). *MgAtr7* encodes an ABC transporter that was found to have no detectable effect on pathogenicity, but to have a role in iron homeostasis (Zwiers *et al*., 2007).

Potential secreted proteins with roles in pathogen–host interaction have been functionally characterized. The necrosis and ethylene-inducing peptide 1 (Nep-1)-like protein, MgNLP, was found to induce cell death in *Arabidopsis*, but not in wheat. MgNLP was not essential for *M. graminicola* infection of wheat, despite its transcriptional increase during presymptomatic colonization of susceptible wheat leaves (Motteram *et al*., 2009). *M. graminicola* therefore contains a gene encoding a protein sufficient to induce cell death on a nonhost, which is particularly interesting as this pathogen is thought to have strict host specificity.

The majority of *M. graminicola*-secreted proteins examined so far have gene expression profiles which show that they are most strongly expressed during the symptomless phase of host colonization (Motteram *et al*., 2009; Rudd *et al*., 2010). The investigation of fungal genes that are potentially in contact with or interact with living wheat cells before and during the appearance of disease symptoms could provide further insight into the mode of fungal pathogenicity, its interaction with the host and the processes of host resistance and susceptibility.

#### **Mode of pathogenesis**

There have been significant advances in our knowledge of how *M. graminicola* interacts with host cells to result in host cell death and completion of the life cycle of the fungus. Firstly, the cell death pathway induced in wheat cells during compatible interactions resembles the apoptosis-like pathway (Keon *et al*., 2007). Secondly, features of the plant–pathogen interface between wheat and *M. graminicola* have been examined, including small sugars, which may act as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), and the interchange of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Shetty *et al*., 2003, 2007).

The nutrition of *M. graminicola* is thought to be greatly influenced by host cell death. It has been stated that nutrients in the apoplast are sufficient to support the growth of intercellular fungi, such as *M. graminicola* (Spencer-Phillips, 1997). Quantitative PCR measurements, however, showed little increase in fungal biomass before host cell death (Keon *et al*., 2007; Shetty *et al*., 2007), and so it is unclear how much *M. graminicola* growth is supported by apoplastic-derived nutrients in the latent or biotrophic phase. Keon *et al*. (2007) examined apoplast metabolite levels using <sup>1</sup>H-nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR) spectroscopy and metabolomic analysis during symptomless and necrotic periods of infection, and found an increase in the quantity of plant-derived compounds available in the apoplast from just before the onset of host cell death and to afterwards. Current evidence therefore suggests that there is little biotrophic feeding, perhaps even none, in the asymptomatic phase which precedes host cell death. The start of fungal growth coincides with nutrient release at the time of host cell death, as reflected in the induction of a number of genes related to energy production at this time.

Keon *et al*. (2007) showed that cellular features consistent with apoptosis were present in wheat cells dying following leaf infection by a compatible strain of *M. graminicola*, including leakage of cytochrome *c* from the mitochondria into the cytoplasm, and the characteristic DNA laddering seen on agarose gels, which indicates internucleosomal cleavage together with the degradation of RNA. It was shown that cell contents leak into the apoplastic spaces during cell death, but only after the initial asymptomatic phase.

The wheat MAPK, TaMPK3, has been implicated in the induction of the cell death pathway that produces STB symptoms (Rudd *et al*., 2008). The orthologue of TaMPK3, AtMPK3/WIPK, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, has been implicated in the response to PAMPs and avirulence-resistance (AVR-R) incompatible interactions (see references in Rudd *et al*., 2008). *TaMPK3* gene expression was induced during asymptomic colonization by a compatible *M. graminicola* isolate, possibly indicating either nonspecific PAMP recognition or highly specific manipulation of the host responses to initiate cell death. The TaMPK3 protein was post-translationally activated during the infection period, coincident with the first appearance of disease symptoms and the initial commitment of wheat cells to programmed cell death (PCD). Finally, the TaMPK3 protein was found in increased concentrations from the time of macroscopic appearance of disease symptoms onwards. This pathway was only activated in a compatible *M. graminicola*– wheat interaction, not in incompatible interactions. This is the opposite of the pattern reported previously in interactions of plants with biotrophic pathogens. It is not yet known how this pathway is activated, but one model proposes stage-specific production of fungal toxins or elicitors which initiate MAP kinase activity and trigger host cell death (Kema *et al*., 1996; Keon *et al.*, 2007).The dothideomycete wheat pathogens *Pyrenophora triticirepentis* and *Stagonospora nodorum* generate necrosis-inducing toxins as components of their virulence arsenal (reviewed by Ciufetti *et al*., 2010; Deller *et al*., 2011). Effectors have not yet been isolated from *M. graminicola* and their activity confirmed, but three homologues of the *Cladosporium fulvum* effector gene *Ecp2* have been identified in the *M. graminicola* genome (Stergiopoulos *et al*., 2010). The results of research on PCD and the MAPK pathway provide further support for the hypothesis that resistance may result from an interaction between, as yet, unidentified AVR-R proteins, whereas the aggressive host response seen in a compatible interaction may be the result of the fungus hijacking disease resistance signalling pathways (Hammond-Kossack and Rudd, 2008).

Recognition of *M. graminicola* by host cells has been reported via the perception of  $\beta$ -1,3-glucans in a manner that resembles a PAMP–pathogen recognition receptor interaction. These small molecules induce the expression of an apoplastically located wheat endo-1,3-ß-glucanase gene, induce callose deposition and their presence inhibits STB symptom development (Shetty *et al.*, 2009). β-Glucans are probably produced by the activity of wheat endo-1,3-B-glucanases in the apoplastic space. This PAMP must be recognized by the plant, presumably by a receptor yet to be discovered.The mechanisms involved in pathogen recognition and disease resistance in the *M. graminicola*–wheat pathosystem are yet to be elucidated.

ROS, in particular hydrogen peroxide,  $H_2O_2$ , are important in the mechanisms regulating STB.  $H_2O_2$  accumulated in a resistant cultivar early in infection. This initial response was expected as a precursor to a hypersensitive response, but no necrotic symptoms were seen in a resistant interaction. In a susceptible cultivar, much larger quantities of  $H_2O_2$  accumulated later in infection than in earlier stages, when the pathogen started to increase its rate of hyphal growth (Shetty *et al*., 2003). It appears that the accumulation of  $H_2O_2$  aids pathogen growth, but  $H_2O_2$  may only be indirectly necessary for pathogenicity. Shetty *et al.* (2007) demonstrated that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> inhibited the growth of *M. graminicola in vitro* and hindered pathogen growth *in planta* at both early and late stages of infection. Genes encoding some ROS-scavenging enzymes are highly induced in *M. graminicola* during symptomatic infection stages (Keon *et al*., 2007). Studies of other necrotroph–plant interactions have suggested that ROS can aid the development of the pathogen (Govrin and Levine, 2000), whilst ROS are required for limiting the growth of an endophyte (Tanaka *et al*., 2006). This indicates a potential regulatory role for ROS in the stealthy growth of *M. graminicola* during the early stages of infection. It remains unclear as to whether the  $H_2O_2$  observed is host or pathogen generated. If it is generated by the pathogen, there may be a role in inducing or regulating cell death, which aids pathogen development.

#### **Resistance genes and breeding**

Resistant cultivars are an effective means of controlling STB, but, until recently, breeders relied on uncharacterized genetic resistance in breeding programmes (Chartrain *et al*., 2005b). Resistance to STB has been broadly divided into two classes; specific and quantitative. Specific resistance is near-complete and oligogenic. Partial or quantitative resistance is incomplete and polygenic (Jlibene *et al*., 1994; Zhang *et al*., 2001).

Thirteen major genes in wheat for resistance to STB have so far been identified and mapped (see Table 2), *Stb1–Stb12* and *Stb15*, but the resistance mechanisms by which these genes confer resistance to specific pathogen genotypes are currently unknown and none of the *Stb* genes have been cloned. A genefor-gene relationship has been demonstrated between wheat *Stb6*, the best understood of these genes, and *M. graminicola* (Brading *et al*., 2002). The gene-for-gene relationship is the most studied and yet is the least durable in the field, because patho-

**Table 2** Major resistance genes and their chromosome locations, and the wheat varieties and *Mycosphaerella graminicola* isolates used to map them.



gen populations can adapt to the selection pressure placed on them by the presence of a major resistance gene.

Partial resistance is generally much more durable than genefor-gene, race-specific resistance, but is harder to select and less well studied than specific resistance. Chartrain *et al*. (2004b) used a double-haploid population between a susceptible and resistant variety, Riband and Arina, respectively, to attempt to locate quantitative trait loci (QTL) and determine the genetics of this partial resistance. No QTL controlled a significant fraction of variation in the resistant parent, Arina, in which partial resistance is therefore most probably controlled by several dispersed genes. Chartrain *et al*. (2004b) also showed that partial resistance is isolate nonspecific and therefore probably durable. The investigation of quantitative resistance is complicated by the fact that resistance at the seedling and adult stages is sometimes controlled by different genes. Chartrain *et al*. (2004b) reported no correlation between disease levels on seedlings and adult plants in the Arina  $\times$  Riband population, while Eriksen *et al*. (2003) detected QTLs for resistance at the adult stage in a population of Senat  $\times$  Savannah which were not present at the seedling stage. This has implications for breeding, because resistance at all growth stages is desirable, although resistance is most important when the weather is most conducive to symptom development and pathogen spread, namely in the later adult stages.

The emergence of resistance of *M. graminicola* to QoI fungicides and, more recently, triazole-based fungicides has increased the need to develop resistant varieties of wheat as a costeffective means of controlling the disease. Much work has been carried out over the last few years to improve breeding efforts for resistance to STB. Knowledge about the distribution of resistance genes in wheat varieties has advanced considerably. The presence of specific resistance genes (Arraiano and Brown, 2006) and partial resistance (Arraiano *et al*., 2009) in 238 European wheat varieties has been ascertained. Chartrain *et al*. (2004a) screened 24 varieties with 12 isolates of *M. graminicola* for isolate-specific resistance, and identified new sources of resistance that could be utilized in breeding. Some varieties, such as TE911, could be used in crossing programmes to provide both partial and specific resistance (Chartrain *et al*., 2005c). It appears that the major resistance gene *Stb6* has entered wheat breeding programmes on numerous occasions and has been used worldwide as a source of STB resistance (Chartrain *et al*., 2005b). *Stb6* explains a significant level of variability in susceptibility to STB in the field (Arraiano *et al*., 2009), which may explain why it is present in many well-known sources of resistance. The mechanism behind this resistance is not known; *Stb6* may confer partial resistance to STB itself, or may be linked to a gene conferring partial resistance. Studies have shown that the pyramiding of genes for resistance may also help with breeding efforts for more durably resistant wheat. The identification of varieties with more

than one resistance gene, such as the breeding lines Kavkaz-K4500 and TE9000, which show good resistance to STB, suggests that the pyramiding of resistance genes might achieve high levels of field resistance (Chartrain *et al*., 2004a). In field trials, several cultivars were identified with especially high levels of partial resistance, some with no known specific resistance genes. These may be useful sources in breeding for STB resistance (Arraiano *et al*., 2009). In summary, this research has shown that breeders, at least in Europe, have sufficient genetic variation in their germplasm, and increasingly have the information available to make more informed choices about specific parents to use in crosses.

# **Molecular basis of wheat resistance to** *M. graminicola*

The goal of identifying specific host responses to *M. graminicola* inoculation is of interest to understand how disease symptoms are caused and how resistance works. For example, there is no means of identifying specific resistances in wheat varieties or avirulences in *M. graminicola* isolates other than a rather complicated statistical analysis of quantitative disease symptoms (see Arraiano and Brown, 2006 for an example). It would be greatly preferable to have a test in which an incompatible interaction could be recognized by its phenotype, as with powdery mildew and rust diseases of cereals (Ma and Singh, 1996; Moseman *et al*., 1965; Stubbs *et al*., 1986). In addition, it would benefit breeding programmes where time and money could be saved through the early identification of resistant lines. The *M. graminicola*–wheat interaction is not closely related to any other model pathosystem and therefore defining the differences between incompatible and compatible interactions is important to assist with the selection of STB-resistant material. As yet, few studies have been carried out that have attempted to identify the host genes involved in the resistance response, but those that have indicate that defence responses in wheat are activated before the fungus has even penetrated the host, 12 h after inoculation (Adhikari *et al*., 2007; Ray *et al*., 2003). Most of the genes identified as differentially expressed during infection are pathogenesis-related (PR) genes, although a few others, possibly involved with signalling or regulatory pathways, have been investigated (Adhikari *et al*., 2007).

PR proteins are well characterized because of their association with disease resistance, although their function is still not always clear. Some have enzymatic activity, such as  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanases and chitinases. In plant diseases in general, PR gene transcripts accumulate during both incompatible and compatible interactions, but earlier and more strongly in incompatible responses (Boyd *et al*., 1994; Ray *et al*., 2003). During the interaction with *M. graminicola*, wheat defence-related genes, such as chitinase and PR1, are strongly upregulated at an early stage (Ray *et al*., 2003). Adhikari *et al*. (2007) proposed that the expression level of PR1 12 h after inoculation could distinguish between resistant and susceptible lines in segregating mapping populations, as there was little change in expression of any of the defencerelated genes tested in two susceptible cultivars. In contrast, Shetty *et al.* (2009) showed that, although B-1,3-glucanase and chitinase are slightly but significantly upregulated early in an incompatible interaction, they are strongly upregulated in a compatible interaction from 9 days after inoculation. The results of these studies have evidently been variable and, although the number of such studies is still limited, they indicate that resistance to *M. graminicola* may be complex.

There may be two stages of defence at early and later stages of infection (Adhikari *et al*., 2007), once during initial recognition and again later, when the fungus starts to grow within the leaf. Some studies have suggested that genes other than known PR genes are upregulated during the wheat–*M. graminicola* interaction (Adhikari *et al*., 2007; Ray *et al*., 2003), but the mechanism leading to resistance or susceptibility is as yet unknown. Rudd *et al*. (2008) proposed that, in compatible interactions, there appears to be an active response to *M. graminicola* involving the wheat TaMPK3, as described previously. The molecular basis of resistance shows an absence of MPK3 activation seen in other incompatible fungal pathogen–host interactions, e.g. *Cladosporium fulvum* on *Cf-9* tomato, where the MPK3 homologue is activated (Romeis *et al*., 1999).

In some experiments, the pattern of gene expression in wheat in response to *M. graminicola* has contrasted sharply with that expected from previous studies of plant infection by biotrophic pathogens, and the lack of consistency between experiments points to significant genotype-by-environment interaction in defence mechanisms. Further studies of gene expression in both incompatible and compatible interactions using different variety and isolate combinations may elucidate what appears to be a complicated pattern. The decrease in the cost of global gene expression studies may enable this useful tool to assist in the identification of quantifiable markers and perhaps novel genes specific to compatible or incompatible interactions.

#### **Population biology**

Recent research on the population biology of *M. graminicola* has offered insights into gene flow in fungal populations, over both large geographical areas and within crops. Molecular phylogenetic analysis has proved to be a powerful tool in understanding the origins and routes of dispersal of agricultural pathogens and of alleles which have enabled pathogens to adapt to crops (Stukenbrock and McDonald, 2008). The origin of *M. graminicola* is thought to have followed closely the origin and development of wheat as a crop. DNA sequence data indicate that *M. graminicola* specialized to cultivated wheat may have originated by sympatric

speciation from a pathogen of wild grasses in Iran at approximately 8000–9000 BC (Stukenbrock *et al*., 2007). This hypothesis is supported by the discovery that, unlike populations elsewhere in the world, in Iran there is strong genetic differentiation between wheat-growing provinces (Abrinbana *et al*., 2010), as expected of an organism at its centre of origin.The increase in the cultivation of wheat coincided with a great diversification in the pathogen at 3000–2000 BC (Stukenbrock *et al*., 2007).

Research on population structure has shown that, in most of the world, *M. graminicola* has four significant features: a high degree of sexual reproduction, together with much asexual reproduction, a large effective population size and evidence of recent gene flow (Zhan *et al*., 2003). These features distinguish this species from other fungal pathogens of global agricultural importance (e.g. yellow rust; Hovmøller *et al*., 2002).The great majority, perhaps even all, of the fungal population undergoes a sexual cycle each year.Within each leaf layer and in the crop as a whole, there is a shift in the type of fruiting body produced over time from pycnidia to perithecia (Eriksen and Munk, 2003). The large effective population size (*N*e) of *M. graminicola*, indicated by high levels of genotypic diversity in markers such as DNA fingerprints (Zhan *et al*., 2003), is a consequence of the high frequency of sexual reproduction (Cowger *et al*., 2008). This combination of sexual and asexual recombination provides a potent evolutionary advantage to the fungus (McDonald and Linde, 2002). Asexual reproduction of plant pathogens allows rapid propagation of successful genotypes in a location in which an individual genotype has been successful (by definition, because it has reproduced), which is advantageous for a pathogen of crops grown as fields of pure varieties. Sexual reproduction, by contrast, generates new genotypes by recombination, accelerating adaptation to new selective forces, such as resistant varieties or fungicides (Brown and Hovmøller,2002).The analysis of population structure revealed by molecular markers has shown that, although *M. graminicola* global populations contain some subdivision because of geographical isolation, gene flow has largely acted to remove such barriers (Zhan *et al*., 2003).This may be the result of some regional, wind-borne dispersal of ascospores combined with trans-continental movement of the pathogen, possibly on straw. Following its spread to domesticated wheat in the Neolithic period, the global spread of *M. graminicola* appears to have paralleled that of wheat, following the crop across countries and continents.It is striking that there appears to have been gene flow in *M. graminicola* from North America to Europe in the last 100 years, possibly resulting from food imports during the First and Second World Wars (Banke and McDonald, 2005).

## **Fungicides**

Fungicides are widely used to control STB, despite their cost, loss of efficacy owing to pathogen insensitivity and the growing governmental and public concern over their environmental impact (Haynes *et al*., 2010). One of the major groups of fungicides, QoI, also known as strobilurin, acts against mitochondrial protein cytochrome *b*, but is no longer effective against STB. A mutation from glycine to alanine at base 143 (G143A) in the cytochrome *b* protein sequence, causing apparently total loss of

widespread field isolates (Fraaije *et al*., 2005). Sterol demethylation inhibitors, including triazole fungicides, act on CYP51, the cytochrome P450 eburicol 14-demethylase enzyme of the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway (Stergiopoulos *et al*., 2003). Many different amino acid substitutions have been reported in CYP51, some associated with the reduced sensitivity of triazoles (Cools and Fraaije, 2008; Fraaije *et al*., 2007; Leroux *et al*., 2007).

efficacy of QoI fungicides (Fraaije *et al*., 2003), was found in

An analysis of the evolution of CYP51 in Europe showed that triazole-resistant alleles of the protein were generated by a combination of mutation and intragenic recombination, and subsequently dispersed throughout Northern Europe (Brunner *et al*., 2008). Mutations in CYP51 have been demonstrated to affect responses to triazoles in experiments involving heterologous transformation of *S. cerevisiae* (Cools *et al*., 2010). They also modify the biosynthetic function of the CYP51 enzyme, altering the cellular concentration of sterol precursor molecules and preventing the *M. graminicola* gene from complementing the yeast orthologue (Bean *et al*., 2009; Cools *et al*., 2010). Transcription profiling of the *M. graminicola* response to epoxiconazole detected increased expression of many components of the sterol biosynthesis pathway, and also many genes encoding mitochondrial electron transport chain proteins (Cools *et al*., 2007). The reproductive and dispersive power of *M. graminicola* has allowed the spread of novel mutations and alleles within large regional populations. Mutations to the CYP51 protein have allowed the study of how mutations occur, evolve and subsequently spread within geographical regions (Brunner *et al*., 2008; Cools *et al*., 2010).

A different mode of fungicide insensitivity involves the alteration in transport of fungicides across the fungal plasma membrane. This is exemplified by drug efflux protein overexpression (Zwiers *et al*., 2002). This is a multi-drug effective strategy, and although first demonstrated in laboratory strains, the ABC transporter gene *MgAtr1* was more highly expressed in strains with greater insensitivity to cyproconazole. The multi-drug transporter MgMfs1 is also thought to alter sensitivity to storbilurins, as its overexpression reduced strobilurin sensitivity in yeast and disruption of the gene in *M. graminicola* increased sensitivity (Roohparvar *et al*., 2007). Both the G143A mutation and overexpression of *MgMfs1* have been found together in field isolates, although in the case of the double appearance, the influence of the altered expression of *MgMfs1* on fungicide sensitivity has not been proven (Roohparvar *et al*., 2008).

# **DISCUSSION**

As with every plant disease, research and development are working towards control through durably resistant crop varieties and effective chemical control. New knowledge about this pathosystem is increasing rapidly. Since the previous pathogen profile on *M. gramnicola* (Palmer and Skinner, 2002), over 400 peer-reviewed papers have been published relating to this fungus. These document the mapping of resistance genes, a gene-for-gene relationship between pathogen and host, and the identification of *M. graminicola* genes that play a role in pathogenic virulence. Large datasets have been produced and made publicly available, including the complete fungal genome sequence and large gene expression collections.

Many key challenges lie ahead; some of the biggest steps we should look forward to include the cloning of *Stb* genes and their interacting fungal genes, sequencing of the wheat genome and multiple *M. graminicola* sequences. These steps should lead to the identification of key pathogenicity/virulence genes which might be fungicide or wheat breeding targets. Undoubtedly, there will also be many unexpected discoveries along the way.

Although *M. graminicola* is now the most well-understood species among the dothideomycetes, it has unique features that set it apart from other phytopathogenic fungi. It is debatable whether or not it has a truly biotrophic growth phase following infection, and may be more properly considered as a necrotroph with an exceptionally long latent or endophytic period. From the point of view of the host, there are strong indications that resistance operates, at least in part, by suppression of metabolic and signalling pathways that would confer resistance to many pathogens, but susceptibility to *M. graminicola*. The question of the extent to which these findings apply to other fungi currently described as hemibiotrophs in general, and to other dothideomycetes and indeed other *Mycosphaerella* spp. in particular, is an intriguing subject for future research.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank Dr Jason Rudd and Dr Graham McGrann for their input into the manuscript. Mrs Elizabeth Orton is funded by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) Targeted Priority Studentship. Dr Sian Deller was supported by a BBSRC Industrial CASE PhD studentship awarded to Syngenta and is currently funded by the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA). The John Innes Centre and Rothamsted Research Station receive grant aided support from the BBSRC.

# **REFERENCES**

**Abrinbana, M., Mozafari, J., Shams-bakhsh, M. and Mehrabi, R.** (2010) Genetic structure of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* populations in Iran. *Plant Pathol.* **59**, 829–838.

- **Adachi, K., Nelson, G.H., Peoples, K.A., DeZwaan, T.M., Skalchunes, A.R., Heiniger, R.W., Shuster, J.R., Hamer, L. and Tanzer, M.M.** (2003) Sequence analysis and functional characterization of the dialkylglycine decarboxylase gene DGD1 from *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Curr. Genet.* **43**, 358–363.
- **Adhikari, T.B., Anderson, J.M. and Goodwin, S.B.** (2003) Identification and molecular mapping of a gene in wheat conferring resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Phytopathology*, **93**, 1158–1164.
- **Adhikari, T.B., Cavaletto, J.R., Dubcovsky, J., Gieco, J.O., Schlatter, A.R. and Goodwin, S.B.** (2004a) Molecular mapping of the *Stb4* gene for resistance to septoria tritici blotch in wheat. *Phytopathology*, **94**, 1198– 1206.
- **Adhikari, T.B., Wallwork, H. and Goodwin, S.B.** (2004b) Microsatellite markers linked to the *Stb2* and *Stb3* genes for resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in wheat. *Crop Sci.* **44**, 1403–1411.
- **Adhikari, T.B., Yang, X., Cavaletto, J.R., Hu, X., Buechley, G., Ohm, H.W., Shaner, G. and Goodwin, S.B.** (2004c) Molecular mapping of *Stb1*, a potentially durable gene for resistance to septoria tritici blotch in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **109**, 944–953.
- **Adhikari, T.B., Balaji, B., Breeden, J. and Goodwin, S.B.** (2007) Resistance to wheat *Mycosphaerella graminicola* involves early and late peaks of gene expression. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* **70**, 55–68.
- **Angus, W.J. and Fenwick, P.M.** (2008) Using genetic resistance to combat pest and disease threats. In: *Arable Cropping in a Changing Climate, Home Grown Cereals Authority (HGCA) Conference, 23 and 24 January 2008*, pp. 21–27. London: HGCA.
- **Arraiano, L.S. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2006) Identification of isolate-specific and partial resistance to septoria tritici blotch in 238 European wheat cultivars and breeding lines. *Plant Pathol.* **55**, 726–738.
- **Arraiano, L.S., Brading, P.A. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2001a) A detached seedling leaf technique to study resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (anamorph *Septoria tritici*) in wheat. *Plant Pathol.* **50**, 339–346.
- **Arraiano, L.S., Worland, A.J., Ellerbrook, C. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2001b) Chromosomal location of a gene for resistance to septoria tritici blotch (*Mycosphaerella graminicola*) in the hexaploid wheat 'Synthetic 6x'. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **103**, 758–764.
- **Arraiano, L.S., Chartrain, L., Bossolini, E., Slatter, H.N., Keller, B. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2007) A gene in European wheat cultivars for resistance to an African isolate of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Plant Pathol.* **56**, 73– 78.
- **Arraiano, L.S., Balaam, N., Fenwick, P.M., Chapman, C., Feuerhelm, D., Howell, P., Smith, S.J., Widdowson, J.P. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2009) Contributions of disease resistance and escape to the control of septoria tritici blotch of wheat. *Plant Pathol.* **58**, 910–922.
- **Banke, S. and McDonald, B.A.** (2005) Migration patterns among global populations of the pathogenic fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Mol. Ecol.* **14**, 1881–1896.
- **Bean, T.P., Cools, H.J., Lucas, J.A., Hawkins, N.D., Ward, J.L., Shaw, M.W. and Fraaije, B.A.** (2009) Sterol content analysis suggests altered eburicol 14 alpha-demethylase (CYP51) activity in isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* adapted to azole fungicides. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **296**, 266–273.
- **Bearchell, S.J., Fraaije, B.A., Shaw, M.W. and Fitt, B.D.L.** (2005) Wheat archive links long-term fungal pathogen population dynamics to air pollution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **102**, 5438–5442.
- **te Beest, D.E., Shaw, M.W., Paveley, N.D. and van den Bosch, F.** (2009) Evaluation of a predictive model for *Mycosphaerella graminicola* for economic and environmental benefits. *Plant Pathol.* **58**, 1001–1009.
- **Boyd, L.A., Smith, P.H., Green, R.M. and Brown, J.K.M.** (1994) The relationship between the expression of defense-related genes and mildew development in barley. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact.* **7**, 401–410.
- **Brading, P.A., Verstappen, E.C.P., Kema, G.H.J. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2002) A gene-for-gene relationship between wheat and *Mycosphaerella graminicola*, the septoria tritici blotch pathogen. *Phytopathology*, **92**, 439–445.
- **Brown, J.K.M. and Hovmøller, M.S.** (2002) Epidemiology—aerial dispersal of pathogens on the global and continental scales and its impact on plant disease. *Science*, **297**, 537–541.
- **Brunner, P.C., Stefanato, F.L. and McDonald, B.A.** (2008) Evolution of the CYP51 gene in *Mycosphaerella graminicola*: evidence for intragenic recombination and selective replacement. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **9**, 305–316.
- **Chartrain, L., Brading, P.A., Makepeace, J.C. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2004a) Sources of resistance to septoria tritici blotch and implications for wheat breeding. *Plant Pathol.* **53**, 454–460.
- **Chartrain, L., Brading, P.A., Widdowson, J.P. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2004b) Partial resistance to septoria tritici blotch (*Mycosphaerella graminicola*) in wheat cultivars Arina and Riband. *Phytopathology*, **94**, 497–504.
- **Chartrain, L., Berry, S.T. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2005a) Resistance of wheat line Kavkaz-K4500 L.6.A.4 to septoria tritici blotch controlled by isolate-specific resistance genes. *Phytopathology*, **95**, 664–671.
- **Chartrain, L., Brading, P.A. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2005b) Presence of the *Stb6* gene for resistance to septoria tritici blotch (*Mycosphaerella graminicola*) in cultivars used in wheat-breeding programmes worldwide. *Plant Pathol.* **54**, 134–143.
- **Chartrain, L., Joaquim, P., Berry, S.T., Arraiano, L.S., Azanza, F. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2005c) Genetics of resistance to septoria tritici blotch in the Portugese wheat breeding line TE9111. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **110**, 1138– 1144.
- **Chartrain, L., Sourdille, P., Bernard, M. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2009) Identification and location of *Stb9*, a gene for resistance to septoria tritici blotch in wheat cultivars Courtot and Tonic. *Plant Pathol.* **58**, 547–555.
- **Ciufetti, L.M., Manning, V.A., Pandelova, I., Betts, M.F. and Martinez, J.P.** (2010) Host-selective toxins, Ptr ToxA and PtrToxB, as necrotrophic effectors in the *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis*–wheat interaction. *New Phytol.* **187**, 911– 919.
- **Cohen, L. and Eyal, Z.** (1993) The histology of processes associated with the infection of resistant and susceptible wheat cultivars with *Septoria tritici*. *Plant Pathol.* **42**, 737–743.
- **Consolo, V.F., Albani, C.M., Beron, C.M., Salerno, G.L. and Cordo, C.A.** (2009) A conventional PCR technique to detect *Septoria tritici* in wheat seeds. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* **38**, 222–227.
- **Cools, H.J. and Fraaije, B.A.** (2008) Are azole fungicides losing ground against *Septoria* wheat disease? Resistance mechanisms in *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **64**, 681–684.
- **Cools, H.J., Fraaije, B.A., Bean, T.P., Antoniw, J. and Lucas, J.A.** (2007) Transcriptome profiling of the response of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* isolates to an azole fungicide using cDNA microarrays. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **8**, 639–651.
- **Cools, H.J., Parker, J.E., Kelly, D.E., Lucas, J.A., Fraaije, B.A. and Kelly, S.L.** (2010) Heterologous expression of mutated eburicol 14 alpha-demethylase (CYP51) proteins of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to assess effects on azole fungicide sensitivity and intrinsic protein function. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**, 2866–2872.
- **Cousin, A., Mehrabi, R., Guilleroux, M., Dufresne, M., Van der Lee, T., Waalwijk, C., Langin, T. and Kema, G.H.J.** (2006) The MAP kinaseencoding gene *MgFus3* of the non-appressorium phytopathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* is required for penetration and *in vitro* pycnidia formation. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **7**, 269–278.
- **Cowger, C., Brunner, P.C. and Mundt, C.C.** (2008) Frequency of sexual recombination by *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in mild and severe epidemics. *Phytopathology*, **98**, 752–759.
- **Deller, S., Hammond-Kosack, K. and Rudd, J.J.** (2011) The complex interactions between host-immunity and non-biotrophic fungal pathogens of wheat leaves. *J. Plant Physiol.* **168**, 63–71.
- **Duncan, K.E. and Howard, R.J.** (2000) Cytological analysis of wheat infection by the leaf blotch pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Mycol. Res.* **104**, 1074–1082.
- **Eriksen, L. and Munk, L.** (2003) The occurrence of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* and its anamorph *Septoria tritici* in winter wheat during the growing season. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **109**, 253–259.
- **Eriksen, L., Borum, F. and Jahoor, A.** (2003) Inheritance and localisation of resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* causing septoria tritici blotch and plant height in the wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genome with DNA markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**, 515–527.
- **Fraaije, B.A., Lucas, J.A., Clark, W.S. and Burnett, F.J.** (2003) *QoI Resistance Development in Populations of Cereal Pathogens in the UK*. Farnham, Surrey: British Crop Protection Council.
- **Fraaije, B.A., Cools, H.J., Fountaine, J., Lovell, D.J., Motteram, J., West, J.S. and Lucas, J.A.** (2005) Role of ascospores in further spread of QoI-resistant cytochrome *b* alleles (G143A) in field populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Phytopathology*, **95**, 933–941.
- **Fraaije, B.A., Cools, H.J., Kim, S.H., Motteram, J., Clark, W.S. and Lucas, J.A.** (2007) A novel substitution I381V in the sterol 14 alpha-demethylase (CYP51) of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* is differentially selected by azole fungicides. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **8**, 245–254.
- **Govrin, E.M. and Levine, A.** (2000) The hypersensitive response facilitates plant infection by the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*. *Curr. Biol.* **10**, 751–757.
- **Guo, J.R., Schnieder, F., Beyer, M. and Verreet, J.A.** (2006) Rapid detection of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in wheat using reverse transcription-PCR assay. *J. Phytopathol.* **154**, 674–679.
- **Hammond-Kossack, K.E. and Rudd, J.J.** (2008) Plant resistance signalling hijacked by a necrotrophic fungal pathogen. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **3**, 1– 3.
- **Haynes, I., Paratte, R., Lamine, C. and Buurma, J.** (2010) Rising concerns about the impact of pesticides: an analysis of the public controversies. *Social Science Insights on Crop Protection No. 3*. Sophia-antipolis: Endure Network. http://www.endure-network.eu/content/download/5322/42414/file/Social Science Insights Number 3-Public controversies about pesticides.pdf Retrieved 16/9/10 from http:// www.endure-network.eu
- **Hovmøller, M.S., Justesen, A.F. and Brown, J.K.M.** (2002) Clonality and long-distance migration of *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp *tritici* in north-west Europe. *Plant Pathol.* **51**, 24–32.
- **Jlibene, M., Gustafson, J.P. and Rajaram, S.** (1994) Inheritance of resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in hexaploid wheat. *Plant Breed.* **112**, 301– 310.
- **Kema, G.H.J., Yu, D.Z., Rijkenberg, F.H.J., Shaw, M.W. and Baayen, R.P.** (1996) Histology of the pathogenesis of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in wheat. *Phytopathology*, **86**, 777–786.
- **Kema, G.H.J., van der Lee, T.A.J., Mendes, O., Verstappen, E.C.P., Lankhorst, R.K., Sandbrink, H., van der Burgt, A., Zwiers, L.H., Csukai, M. and Waalwijk, C.** (2008) Large-scale gene discovery in the septoria tritici blotch fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola* with a focus on *in planta* expression. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact.* **21**, 1249–1260.
- **Keon, J., Antoniw, J., Rudd, J., Skinner, W., Hargreaves, J. and Hammond-Kosack, K.** (2005a) Analysis of expressed sequence tags from the wheat leaf blotch pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (anamorph *Septoria tritici*). *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **42**, 376–389.
- **Keon, J., Rudd, J.J., Antoniw, J., Skinner, W., Hargreaves, J. and Hammond-Kosack, K.** (2005b) Metabolic and stress adaptation by *Mycosphaerella graminicola* during sporulation in its host revealed through microarray transcription profiling. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **6**, 527–540.
- **Keon, J., Antoniw, J., Carzaniga, R., Deller, S., Ward, J.L., Baker, J.M., Beale, M.H., Hammond-Kossack, K.E. and Rudd, J.J.** (2007) Transcriptional adaptation of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to programmed cell death (PCD) of its susceptible wheat host. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact.* **20**, 178– 193.
- **Kramer, B., Thines, E. and Foster, A.J.** (2009) MAP kinase signalling pathway components and targets conserved between the distantly related plant pathogenic fungi *Mycosphaerella graminicola* and *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **46**, 667–681.
- **Lee, N., D'Souza, C.A. and Kronstad, J.W.** (2003) Of smuts, blasts, mildews, and blights: cAMP signaling in phytopathogenic fungi. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **41**, 399–427.
- **Leroux, P., Albertini, C., Gautier, A., Gredt, M. and Walker, A.S.** (2007) Mutations in the CYP51 gene correlated with changes in sensitivity to sterol 14 alpha-demethylation inhibitors in field isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **63**, 688–698.
- **Ma, H. and Singh, R.** (1996) Expression of adult resistance to yellow rust at different growth stages of wheat. *Plant Dis.* **80**, 375–379.
- **McCartney, C.A., Brule-Babel, A.L., Lamari, L. and Somers, D.J.** (2003) Chromosomal location of a race-specific resistance gene to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in the spring wheat ST6. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **107**, 1181– 1186.
- **McDonald, B.A. and Linde, C.** (2002) Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **40**, 349– 379.
- **Mehrabi, R. and Kema, G.H.J.** (2006) Protein kinase A subunits of the ascomycete pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* regulate asexual fructification, filamentation, melanization and osmosensing. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **7**, 565–577.
- **Mehrabi, R., van der Lee, T., Waalwijk, C. and Kema, G.H.J.** (2006a) *MgSlt2*, a cellular integrity MAP kinase gene of the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*, is dispensable for penetration but essential for invasive growth. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact.* **19**, 389–398.
- **Mehrabi, R., Zwiers, L.H., de Waard, M.A. and Kema, G.H.J.** (2006b) MgHog1 regulates dimorphism and pathogenicity in the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact.* **19**, 1262– 1269.
- **Mehrabi, R., Taga, M. and Kema, G.H.J.** (2007) Electrophoretic and cytological karyotyping of the foliar wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* reveals many chromosomes with a large size range. *Mycologia*, **99**, 868–876.
- **Mehrabi, R., Ben M'Barek, S., van der Lee, T.A.J., Waalwijk, C., de Wit, P. and Kema, G.H.J.** (2009) G alpha and G beta proteins regulate the cyclic AMP pathway that is required for development and pathogenicity of the phytopathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Eukaryot. Cell*, **8**, 1001–1013.
- **Moseman, J.G., Macer, R.C.F. and Greeley, L.W.** (1965) Genetic studies of cultures of *Erysiphe graminis* f.sp. *hordei* virulent on *Hordeum spontaneum*. *Br. Mycol. Soc. Trans.* **48**, 479–489.
- **Motteram, J., Kufner, I., Deller, S., Brunner, F., Hammond-Kossack, K.E., Nurnberger, T. and Rudd, J.J.** (2009) Molecular characterisation and functional analysis of the *MgNLP*, the sole NPP1 domain-containing protein from the fungal wheat leaf pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Mol. Plant– Microbe Interact.* **22**, 790–799.
- **Palmer, C.L. and Skinner, W.** (2002) *Mycosphaerella graminicola*: latent infection, crop devastation and genomics. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **3**, 63–70.
- **Pietravalle, S., Shaw, M.W., Parker, S.R. and Bosch, F.** (2003) Modeling of relationships between weather and *Septoria tritici* epidemics on winter wheat: a critical approach. *Phytopathology*, **93**, 1329–1339.
- **Ray, S., Anderson, J.M., Urmeev, F.I. and Goodwin, S.B.** (2003) Rapid induction of a protein disulfide isomerase and defense-related genes in wheat in response to the hemibiotrophic fungal pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **53**, 741–754.
- **Rispail, N., Soanes, D.M., Ant, C., Czajkowski, R., Grunler, A., Huguet, R., Perez-Nadales, E., Poli, A., Sartorel, E., Valiante, V., Yang, M., Beffa, R., Brakhage, A.A., Gow, N.A.R., Kahmann, R., Lebrun, M.H., Lenasi, H., Perez-Martin, J., Talbot, N.J., Wendland, J. and Di Pietro, A.** (2009) Comparative genomics of MAP kinase and calcium–calcineurin signalling components in plant and human pathogenic fungi. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **46**, 287–298.
- **Romeis, T., Piedras, P., Zhang, S., Klessig, D.F., Hirt, H. and Jones, J.D.G.** (1999) Rapid *Avr-9*- and *Cf-9*-dependent activation of MAP kinases in tobacco cell cultures and leaves: convergence of resistance gene, elicitor, wound and salicylate responses. *Plant Cell*, **11**, 273–287.
- **Roohparvar, R., De Waard, M.A., Kema, G.H.J. and Zwiers, L.H.** (2007) MgMfs1, a major facilitator superfamily transporter from the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*, is a strong protectant against natural toxic compounds and fungicides. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **44**, 378–388.
- **Roohparvar, R., Mehrabi, R., Van Nistelrooy, J.G.M., Zwiers, L.H. and De Waard, M.A.** (2008) The drug transporter MgMfs1 can modulate sensitivity of field strains of the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to the strobilurin fungicide trifloxystrobin. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **64**, 685–693.
- **Rudd, J.J., Keon, J. and Hammond-Kosack, K.E.** (2008) The wheat mitogenactivated protein kinases TaMPK3 and TaMPK6 are differentially regulated at multiple levels during compatible disease interactions with *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Plant Physiol.* **147**, 802–815.
- **Rudd, J.J., Antoniw, J., Marshall, R., Motteram, J., Fraaije, B. and Hammond-Kossack, K.E.** (2010) Identification and characterisation of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* secreted on surface-associated proteins with variable intragenic coding repeats. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **47**, 19–32.
- **Shetty, N.P., Kristensen, B.K., Newman, M.-A., Moller, K., Gregersen, P.L. and Jorgensen, H.J.L.** (2003) Association of hydrogen peroxide with restriction of *Septoria tritici* in resistant wheat. *Physiol. Mol Plant Pathol.* **62**, 333–346.
- **Shetty, N.P., Mehrabi, R., Lutken, H., Haldrup, A., Kema, G.H.J., Collinge, D.B. and Jorgensen, H.J.L.** (2007) Role of hydrogen peroxide during the interaction between the hemibiotrophic fungal pathogen *Septoria tritici* and wheat. *New Phytol.* **174**, 637–647.
- **Shetty, N.P., Jensen, J.D., Knudsen, A., Finnies, C., Geshi, N., Blennow, A., Collinge, D.B. and Jorgensen, H.J.L.** (2009) Effects of beta-1,3-glucan from *Septoria tritici* on structural defence responses in wheat. *J. Exp. Bot.* **60**, 4287–4300.
- **Siah, A., Deweer, C., Duyme, F., Sanssene, J., Durand, R., Halama, P. and Reignault, P.** (2010) Correlation of in planta endo-beta-1,4-xylanase activity with the necrotrophic phase of the hemibiotrophic fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Plant Pathol.* **59**, 661–670.
- **Spencer-Phillips, P.T.N.** (1997) Function of haustoria in epiphytic and endophytic infections. *Adv. Bot. Res.* **124**, 309–333.
- **Stergiopoulos, I., Zwiers, L.H. and De Waard, M.A.** (2003) The ABC transporter MgAtr4 is a virulence factor of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* that affects colonization of substomatal cavities in wheat leaves. *Mol. Plant– Microbe Interact.* **16**, 689–698.
- **Stergiopoulos, I., Burg, H.A., Okmen, B., Beenen, H.G., van Liere, S., Kema, G.H.J. and de Wit, P.** (2010) Tomato *Cf* resistance proteins mediate recognition of cognate homologous effectors from fungi pathogenic on dicots and monocots. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **107**, 7610–7615.
- **Stubbs, R.W., Prescott, J.M., Saari, E.E. and Dublin, H.J.** (1986) *Cereal Disease Methodology Manual*. Mexico City: International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT).
- **Stukenbrock, E.H. and McDonald, B.A.** (2008) The origins of plant pathogens in agro-ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **46**, 75–100.
- **Stukenbrock, E.H., Banke, S., Javan-Nikkhah, M. and McDonald, B.A.** (2007) Origin and domestication of the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* via sympatric speciation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 398–411.
- **Tanaka, A., Christensen, M.J., Takemoto, D., Park, P. and Scott, B.** (2006) Reactive oxygen species play a role in regulating a fungus–perennial ryegrass mutualistic interaction. *Plant Cell*, **18**, 1052–1066.
- **Wittenberg, A.H.J., van der Lee, T.A.J., Ben M'Barek, S., Ware, S.B., Goodwin, S.B., Kilian, A., Visser, R.G.F., Kema, G.H.J. and Schouten, H.J.** (2009) Meiosis drives extraordinary genome plasticity in the haploid fungal plant pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *PLoS ONE*, **4**, 1–10.
- **Zhan, J., Pettway, R.E. and McDonald, B.A.** (2003) The global genetic structure of the wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* is characterized by high nuclear diversity, low mitochondrial diversity, regular recombination, and gene flow. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **38**, 286–297.
- **Zhang, X., Haley, S.D. and Jin, Y.** (2001) Inheritance of septoria tritici blotch resistance in winter wheat. *Crop Sci.* **41**, 323–326.
- **Zwiers, L.H., Stergiopoulos, L., Van Nistelrooy, J.G.M. and De Waard, M.A.** (2002) ABC transporters and azole susceptibility in laboratory strains of the wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Antimicrob. Agents Ch.* **46**, 3900–3906.
- **Zwiers, L.H., Roohparvar, R. and de Waard, M.A.** (2007) MgAtr7, a new type of ABC transporter from *Mycosphaerella graminicola* involved in iron homeostasis. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **44**, 853–863.