Molecular diversity and gene expression of cotton ERF transcription factors reveal that group IXa members are responsive to jasmonate, ethylene and *Xanthomonas*

ANTONY CHAMPION^{1,*}, EUGENIE HEBRARD¹, BENOIT PARRA¹, CAROLINE BOURNAUD¹, PHILIPPE MARMEY¹, CHRISTINE TRANCHANT² AND MICHEL NICOLE¹

1 *IRD, Université Mixte de Recherche RPB 'Résistance des Plantes aux Bioagresseurs' (IRD, CIRAD, Université Montpellier II), BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier cedex 5, France*

2 *IRD, Université Mixte de Recherche DIA-PC, 34394 Montpellier cedex 5, France*

SUMMARY

Several ethylene-response factor (ERF) transcription factors are believed to play a crucial role in the activation of plant defence responses, but little is known about the relationships between the diversity of this family and the functions of groups or individual ERFs in this process. In this study, 200 ERF genes from the unigene cotton database were identified. Conserved amino acid residues and phylogeny reconstruction using the AP2 conserved domain suggest that the classification into 10 major groups used for *Arabidopsis* and rice is applicable to the cotton ERF family. Based on *in silico* studies, we predict that group IX ERF genes in cotton are involved in jasmonate (JA), ethylene (ET) and pathogen responses. To test this hypothesis, we analysed the transcript profiles of the group IXa subfamily in the regulation of specific resistance to *Xanthomonas campestris* pathovar *malvacearum*. The expression of four members of group IXa was induced on challenge with *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum*. Furthermore, the expression of several ERF genes of group IXa was induced synergistically by JA in combination with ET, suggesting that the encoded ERF proteins may play key roles in the integration of both signals to activate JA- and ET-dependent responses.

INTRODUCTION

Plants undergo continuous exposure to various biotic and abiotic stresses in their natural environment. To survive under such conditions, plants have evolved intricate mechanisms to perceive external signals, allowing optimal responses to environmental stresses including attack by herbivores or microbial pathogens (Fujita *et al.*, 2006). The perception of stress signals leads to the

production of secondary signalling molecules, such as jasmonic acid, ethylene (ET) and salicylic acid (SA). Jasmonic acid belongs to a family of signalling molecules collectively known as jasmonates (JAs). In addition to their role in plant growth and development, JAs are major intermediates involved in response to wounding, herbivore attack and pathogen infection (Wasternack, 2007). An essential step in the JA-dependent defence response is the rapid transcription of genes encoding antimicrobial proteins (Penninckx *et al.*, 1998) or enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Memelink *et al.*, 2001). The study of mechanisms in which the expression of these defence-related genes is regulated is of crucial importance to an understanding of signal transduction pathways and plant responses to environmental stress.

Transcription factors belonging to the AP2/ERF domain protein family, known as the ethylene-response factors (ERFs), have emerged as important elements in plant defence responses (Gutterson and Reuber, 2004). These transcription factors are characterized by a single AP2/ERF-type DNA-binding domain with a highly conserved amino acid sequence, which consists of about 60 amino acids. The three-dimensional structure of the AP2 DNA-binding domain of AtERF1 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* complexed with its target DNA fragment has been determined by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (Allen *et al.*, 1998). The domain consists of a three-stranded, anti-parallel β -sheet and an α -helix packed approximately parallel to the β -sheet. The AP2 domain of ERF proteins specifically interacts with a conserved AGCCGCC sequence called the GCC-box (Menke *et al.*, 1999).

The ERF transcription factor encoding genes have been identified exclusively in plants (Gutterson and Reuber, 2004) with a total of 122 and 139 in the *Arabidopsis* and rice genomes, respectively. The ERF family has been classified into 10 major groups according to the type of AP2 domain (Nakano *et al.*, 2006). Several *AP2/ERF* genes have been shown to be regulated **Correspondence*: E-mail: champion@mpl.ird.fr by various stress-related stimuli, such as wounding, JA, ET, SA and pathogens (Chen *et al.*, 2002). McGrath *et al.* (2005) have demonstrated that the ERF gene family is the predominant transcription factor family responsive to both JA and the incompatible necrotrophic pathogen *Alternaria brassicicola*. The importance of ERF factors in regulating the plant defence transcriptome has been further underlined by studies using overexpression and gene-silencing approaches. The transcription factor ERF1 of group IX has been suggested to act as an integrator of JA and ET signalling pathways in *Arabidopsis* (Lorenzo *et al.*, 2003). Constitutive overexpression of *ERF1* activates the expression of several defence-related genes, including *plant defensin1. 2* (*PDF1. 2*) and *basic-chitinase* (*ChiB*; Solano *et al.*, 1998), and has been shown to confer resistance to several fungi (Berrocal-Lobo and Molina, 2004).

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) is an important crop because the fibre is an excellent natural textile material. Cotton has been shown to be a convenient experimental tropical plant for the elucidation of resistance mechanisms to pathogens, including bacterial blight caused by *Xanthomonas campestris* pathovar *malvacearum* (Delannoy *et al.*, 2005). Resistance of cotton to bacterial blight caused by *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* is mediated by gene for gene interactions (De Feyter *et al.*, 1993). The resistance phenotype is characterized by rapid localized tissue collapse, resulting in necrotization (hypersensitive response, HR) and immobilization of the intruding pathogen at the sites of attack. At least 18 major resistance genes, so-called B genes, have been reported to be dominant resistance factors in *G. hirsutum*. So far, defence responses to *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* have been investigated, such as the oxidative burst (Delannoy *et al.*, 2003), accumulation of SA (Martinez *et al.*, 2000), production of antimicrobial molecules (Daï *et al.*, 1996) and triggering of the oxilipin pathway (Jalloul *et al.*, 2002). The identification and expression profiles of a few ERF transcription factors have been reported recently from cotton. Among these, an ERF transcription factor, so-called ERF1, is induced during fibre cell initiation, but repressed in the naked seed mutant (*N1N1*) that is impaired in fibre formation (Samuel Yang *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, *GhERF1* has been found to be responsive to abiotic stresses (Qiao *et al.*, 2008), and *GhERF4* is possibly involved in the regulation of transcription by ET-mediated signalling (Jin and Liu, 2008).

Based on the highly conserved sequences in the AP2 domain of known ERFs (Nakano *et al.*, 2006), a computational analysis

to identify novel ERF genes in cotton appears to be relevant. In this study, we report the identification and characterization of 200 ERF transcription factors based on the current availability of a large public cotton expressed sequence tag (EST) database.The phylogeny reconstruction based on the AP2 domains suggests that the classification into the 10 major groups used for *Arabidopsis* and rice is applicable to the cotton ERF family. From an analysis of the molecular diversity, conserved specific motifs of the AP2 domains of each group have been identified, and allow a rapid and easy classification of any plant ERF transcription factor. Based on our phylogenetic studies, we predict that cotton group IX ERF genes are involved in JA and ET responses. An accurate analysis of the temporal expression pattern of five ERF transcription factors of group IXa, in response to hormone defences, including JA, ET and SA, has been performed. Consistently, the expression of several *ERF* genes was induced by JA and ET, but not by SA, suggesting that the encoded ERF proteins may play roles in the integration of signals to activate both JAand ET-dependent responses. Moreover, it has been observed that the expression of several factors *GhERF* of group IXa is differentially regulated by virulent and avirulent strains of *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum*.

RESULTS

Identification of the ERF family genes in *Gossypium*

To identify the members of the cotton AP2 domain family, BLAST searches of the cotton databases were performed using the AP2/ERF domain (about 59 amino acids) of the 122 ERF proteins from *Arabidopsis* as a query sequence. Because *G. hirsutum* is an allotetraploid formed from progenitors represented by diploid A genome (*G. arboreum*) and D genome (*G. raimondii*) lineages, BLAST searches were performed in databases from these three species. Two hundred and eighteen unique genes were identified as possibly encoding AP2/ERF domain(s) (Table 1). Eleven genes were predicted to encode proteins containing two AP2/ERF domains and were assigned to the AP2 family. Seven genes were predicted to encode one AP2/ERF domain together with one B3 domain and were assigned to the RAV family. Two hundred genes were predicted to encode proteins containing a single AP2/ERF domain and were assigned to the ERF family, as indicated by Sakuma *et al.* (2002). As shown in Table 1, 148 ERF

Table 1 Summary of the diversity of the AP2/ethylene-response factor (ERF) superfamily in cotton and Arabidopsis.

genes were identified from *G. hirsutum*. For *G. raimondii* and *G. arboreum* species, 34 and 18 ERF genes were identified, respectively. The individual genes of the ERF family from the three species are listed in Table S1 (see Supporting Information). The 148 unigenes of the ERF family containing a complete AP2/ ERF domain were analysed in detail.

Phylogeny reconstruction of *Arabidopsis* **and** *Gossypium* **ERF genes based on the sequence similarity of the AP2/ERF domains**

To study the phylogenetic relationships between the genes in the *Gossypium* ERF family, a multiple alignment analysis was performed using amino acid sequences in the AP2/ERF domain.With the identification of 122 ERF genes described previously (Sakuma *et al.*, 2002) in the model species *Arabidopsis*, it was possible to perform a phylogeny reconstruction (Fig. 1). The tree was constructed with the neighbour-joining method using sequences of 58–59 amino acids of the AP2 domain from cotton and *Arabidopsis*. This tree is globally conserved and distinguished the 10 major groups, namely groups I–X, as described by Nakano *et al.* (2006). The phylogram shows low resolution and no bootstrap support for the lower branches. By contrast, there is good bootstrap support for a number of groups in the higher branches of the tree (data not shown). However, several modifications of the groups are observed (Fig. 1). Group III was reported to be divided into five subgroups, IIIa–IIIe. In this study, the phylogenetic tree shows that subgroup IIIab is closer to group II than to subgroup IIIcde. Group II was reported to be composed of three subgroups. Subgroups IIc and IIb are closer to subgroup IIIab than to subgroup IIa. Otherwise, we observed that six sequences of *Arabidopsis* from group VIIIb branched together with group V.

The distributions of ERF genes were compared in *Arabidopsis*, cotton and rice (Table 2). One of the characteristic features of the *G. hirsutum* ERF family is that the number of genes in group VIII is twofold larger than that in *Arabidopsis* and rice.The number of genes in group VII is fourfold larger than that in *Arabidopsis*. Another feature is the absence of candidates for groups VI-L and Xb-L (Table 2). These two groups are characterized in *Arabidopsis* by a very low homology in the C-terminal regions of the AP2/ERF domains. As in *Arabidopsis* and in contrast with rice, no cotton sequences were assigned to groups XI, XII, XIII and XIV. We therefore conclude that the classification into the 10 major groups used for *Arabidopsis* is applicable to the cotton ERF family.

Identification of group-specific amino acids in the AP2/ERF domain

Detailed analysis of alignments of the *Arabidopsis* and cotton AP2 domain sequences allowed the identification of groupspecific amino acids, i.e. conserved in all sequences belonging to the same group and distinct in other groups. These data are summarized in Fig. 2B. The amino acid positions of the AP2 domain are numbered according to the three-dimensional structure of AtERF1 (Allen *et al.*, 1998). For example, group VIII was characterized by both P153 and K168 and at least one of the two residues R156 and Y157. By contrast, group IX was characterized by the presence of one supplementary residue in turn 2. Only five of the 315 sequences appeared as false negatives, no sequence appeared as a false positive and 10 sequences were positive for two groups including the right one (Table 3).

Among the 14 positions identified as markers of groups, only two positions, 156 and 175, are involved in DNA binding (Fig. 2A). Position 156, occupied by K in AtERF1, binds the A4 phosphate with ionic interaction. Position 175, occupied by T, forms hydrogen bonds with the G5 phosphate and A4 sugar of DNA. The group-specific residues were tested on the corpus of rice sequences (Table 3). The presence of the group-specific residues was validated for 103 of the 121 sequences.

The group-specific residues were localized on the crystal structure of AtERF1 (Fig. 3). They were observed to cluster mostly at the vicinity of the loops. Most were oriented at the surface of the AP2 domain and would probably not influence the global structure of the AP2 domain. Only three group-specific residues were buried in the core; however, the similarity of the biochemical properties of the new residues (I149V, F157Y, F176Y) would probably not modify the global AP2 domain structure. Turn 2 and its extremities were the most variable area, with a wide range of substitutions at five of the seven positions and with some indels.

The position of the conserved residues for a group was similar for *Arabidopsis*, cotton and rice, suggesting that this groupspecific AP2 domain structure preceded the divergence of monocots and dicots.

ERF and JA-responsive transcription factors belonging to group IX

Previous reports have indicated that several ERF transcription factors are JA responsive. In Table 4, we list the entire group of previously published early JA-responsive ERF transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*. McGrath *et al.* (2005) performed screening by quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) of the 1534 members of the transcription factors of *Arabidopsis* to identify JA-regulated signalling proteins. A total of 14 *AtERF* genes showed up-regulation 6 h following JA treatment. Recently, Pauwels *et al.* (2008) have analysed transcript profiling of early JA responses in *Arabidopsis* cell suspension culture using microarray experiments. Seven ERF genes were upor down-regulated by elicitation with 50 μ M methyl jasmonate (MeJA). Three of the JA-responsive *ERF* genes (*AtERF1*, *AtERF2*

Fig. 1 Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree of the ethylene-response factor (ERF) protein family of *Arabidopsis* and cotton. The tree was created by the bootstrap option of the CLUSTALX multiple alignment package and the neighbour-joining method using the AP2 domain sequences, except members of group VI-L and Xb-L of *Arabidopsis*. The tree, rooted to APETALA2 protein At4g36920, contains 115 *Arabidopsis* AP2 domains and 200 cotton AP2 domains. The classification by Nakano *et al.* (2006) is indicated by coloured rectangles.

Table 2 Comparison of group size between Gossypium, Arabidopsis and rice ethylene-response factor (ERF) families. Classification by Nakano et al. (2006).			Group	AtERF genes	OsERF genes	GhERF genes	GrERF genes	GaERF genes	Total cottor genes
				10	$\mathsf 9$	$\,9$	$\sqrt{4}$	1	14
			\parallel	15	15	17	1	$\overline{2}$	20
			$\ \ $	23	26	25	3	7	35
			IV	9	$\,$ 6 $\,$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	$\overline{2}$
			\vee	5	8	3	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	3
			V _l	8	6	11	1	$\mathbf 0$	12
			$VI-L$	$\overline{4}$	3	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$
			VII	5	15	22	9	$\sqrt{2}$	33
			VIII	15	13	26	4	3	33
			IX	17	18	26	11	$\overline{2}$	39
			$\mathsf X$	8	13	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf 0$	9
			Xb-L	3	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathsf{O}\xspace$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$
			XI	$\pmb{0}$	$\sqrt{4}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	0
			X	$\mathbf 0$	1	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf{0}$	0
			$\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{III}}$	$\mathbf 0$	1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	0
			XIV	$\mathbf 0$	1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf 0$	$\mathbf 0$	0
			Total	122	139	148	35	17	200
\mathbf{A}	145 149 153 156 *G R R * *	strand1 \implies	strand2 163 E R	strand3 166/9 175 HYRGVRQRPWGKFAAEIRDPAKNGARVWLGTFETAEDAALAYDRAAFRMRGSRALLNFP At4g17500 (AtERF1) R WLG*	α -helix 181 Y	194			
$\, {\bf B}$									
\mathbbm{I} II III IV $\boldsymbol{\mathrm{V}}$	$\mathbb L$ $\rm H$		$\mathbb{N} \operatorname{-} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ $\overline{}$ $L - K$ \overline{H}	$-{\mathbb R}$ ${\rm SY}$ $\mathord{\hspace{1pt}\text{--}\hspace{1pt}}$ G	$\overline{\mathbf{M}}$	Y			
VI VII VIII IX X	\overline{P} I \overline{P}	${\rm RY}$		$-R$ $\mathbf Y$ $-GV$ – K XG $-\Delta$					

Fig. 2 (A) Location of amino acids involved in DNA binding in AP2/ethylene-response factor (ERF) domains. The sequence of AtERF1, used to resolve the AP2 structure, is shown as a reference number from 145 to 203. The arrangement of the secondary structural elements and the numbering of the Protein Data Bank (PDB) structure are shown. Strictly conserved residues are highlighted in grey; variable positions involved in DNA binding are indicated by asterisks. (B) Location of group-specific amino acids in AP2/ERF domains. For each ERF group, specific amino acids are shown with the strictly conserved residues highlighted in grey.

and *AtERF13*) have been identified previously by McGrath *et al.* (2005). Interestingly, 40% of the identified JA-regulated *ERF* genes are located within group IX in *Arabidopsis*. This group includes ORA59, ERF1, AtERF1 and AtERF2, which have been shown to act as positive regulators of defence-related transcription (Brown *et al.*, 2003; Pré *et al.*, 2008; Solano *et al.*, 1998). Furthermore, three more genes within this group, *AtERF1*, *AtERF13* and *AtERF14*, have been reported to be responsive to virulent and avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (Oñate-Sánchez and Singh, 2002).

X -A

A tree was generated using 17 *Arabidopsis*, 18 rice and 39 cotton members of group IX ERF proteins (Fig. 4). As reported by Nakano *et al.* (2006), group IX is subdivided into three subgroups, namely a, b and c. However, the tree generated in this study shows a fourth group (IXd) composed of At3g23230, Os01g54890, TA43265_3635, TA35383_3635 and DT463852. The reliability of the major group clustering was supported by the presence of common motifs outside of the AP2/ERF domain. A Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME) search using amino acid sequences of *Arabidopsis*, rice and cotton ERFs from group IX confirmed the presence of these conserved motifs. As illustrated in Fig. 4, nine cotton members of subgroup IXa possess either the CMIX-2 or CMIX-3 motifs, or both, as in rice and *Arabidopsis* (this study; Nakano *et al.*, 2006). CMIX-2 and

Fig. 3 Three-dimensional location and diversity of group-specific residues of AP2/ethylene-response factor (ERF) domain. The residues are labelled with their position numbers on the structure of the core of AtERF1 (PDB2GCC). The group-specific residues are indicated by green squares. Red squares indicate group-specific residues involved in DNA binding of the GCC-box. N- and C-termini are labelled NH3+ and COO-, respectively. The DNA-binding interface is viewed face on (A), and looking along the double helix axis (B). The figure was drawn using PDBViewer.

Table 3 Validation of the group-specific residues on the collections of ethylene-response factor (ERF) sequences. Bold residues are strictly conserved. The ratios represent, for each group, the number of sequences containing the group-specific residues out of the total number of sequences. The error rates are indicated.

Table 4 Jasmonate (JA)-responsive AP2/ethylene-response factor (ERF) domain transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*.

Q-PCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

*McGrath *et al.* (2005).

†Pauwels *et al.* (2008).

‡Yang *et al.* (2005).

§Pré *et al.* (2008).

CMIX-3 motifs are acidic regions that could act as transcriptional activation domains (Fujimoto *et al.*, 2000).

Identification of JA- and ET-responsive members of the cotton AP2 domain transcription factor gene family

ERF genes of group IX have been shown to play crucial roles in biotic stress responses and have been linked to JA and ET signalling pathways. Accordingly, we first investigated whether JA induces genes of subgroup *GhERF-IXa* (Fig. 5) using quantitative RT-PCR. Gene expression in seedlings treated with SA and the ET-releasing agent ethephon was also analysed to determine the specificity of the gene expression response. The gene *GhLOX1*, which is responsive to JA and SA, was used as a control to verify that the hormone treatments were effective (Marmey *et al.*, 2007).

One ERF gene *GhERF-IXa5* was found to be responsive to JA (Fig. 5), showing a rapid transient expression (Fig. 5E). The expression of this gene was induced by JA with a peak at 1 h of treatment (34-fold), and returned to a low level within 4 h (fivefold) of exposure to JA. A second peak of induction (15-fold) was observed after 8 h of treatment.

Three genes were found to be responsive to ET, *GhERF-IXa1*, *GhERF-IXa2* and *GhERF-IXa5*, characterized by a more prolonged expression after 1 h up to the longest time point of 8 h (Fig. 5A, B, E). One hour after all treatments, strong transient induction of *GhERF-IXa1* was observed (22-fold), presumably caused by hypo-osmotic or wounding stimuli following infiltration. Although *GhERF-IXa1* expression was shut off 2 h after solvent treatment, its expression continued in ET-treated leaves up to 8 h after treatment, confirming that *GhERF-IXa1* expression is induced by ET treatment. Interestingly, mock induction of *GhERF-IXa1* was repressed by SA or JA treatment, but not by ET. Except for the repressive effect of SA on *GhERF-IXa1* gene expression, SA alone did not have rapid or strong effects on the other genes tested.

Weak induction (two- to threefold) of the expression of *GhERF-IXa3* and *GhERF-IXa4* was detected during the 8 h of JA, ET, SA or control treatment (Fig. 5C, D). Expression analysis of the *GhERF-IXa6* and *GhERF-IXa7* genes was not carried out, as no PCR products were amplified using the three sets of primer pairs attempted. *GhERF-IXa6* is an EST singleton and may be a less reliable representation of the actual sequence. The mRNA level of *GhLOX1* was induced after 8 h of JA treatment (Fig. 5F). *GhLOX1* was induced after 4 h in response to ethephon, up to 8 h, and after 8 h following SA infiltration (Fig. 5F).

Cotton seedlings were also treated with a combination of JA and ET in order to test the effect on *GhERF-IXa* gene expression. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that the combined JA/ET treatment led to a super-induction of *GhERF-IXa1*, *GhERF-IXa2*

and *GhERF-IXa5* gene expression (Fig. 5A, B, E). The combined treatment triggered this gene expression at the same early time point as JA treatment, but the maximum expression level was higher and the expression was more prolonged. The *GhERF-IXa3* and *GhERF-IXa4* genes were rapidly induced by combined JA/ET treatment with a peak at 2 h of treatment, and returned to control levels within 4 and 8 h of exposure to JA and ET, respectively (Fig. 5C, D). We therefore conclude that JA enhances the stress-induced expression of specific members of group IXa ERF transcription factors and not the group as a whole, by contrast with the combined treatment with ET.

Identification of cotton *ERF* **genes induced by** *X. campestris* **pv.** *malvacearum* **infection**

As members of subgroup *GhERF-IXa* were induced by ET or JA, it was tested whether *GhERF-IXa* gene expression could also be induced by *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* attack. During the cotton HR to *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18, both JA and SA induced cotton *GhLOX1* gene expression and activity (Marmey *et al.*, 2007). During the incompatible interaction, quantitative RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 6D) revealed that *GhERF-IXa4* mRNA did not accumulate at any of the time points analysed relative to the control treatment. In contrast, mRNA levels of *GhLOX1* started to accumulate 8 h after inoculation, and continued to increase at the 10 h time point (Fig. 6F). *GhERF-IXa1* was induced by the avirulent race 8 h after infection, and by the virulent pathogen (*X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 20) and water earlier (2 h, Fig. 6A). Three of the *ERF* genes were specifically induced by avirulent strain *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18 infection. *GhERF-IXa3* showed a rapid response at 1 h and returned to basal levels within 4 h (Fig. 6C). *GhERF-IXa2* and *GhERF-IXa5* showed quite similar induction patterns, with a large increase in mRNA levels within 8 h after infection by *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18 (Fig. 6B, E).

DISCUSSION

JA-responsive gene expression is mediated via transcription factors, such as ORCA2, ORCA3 and ERF1 (van der Fits and Memelink, 2000; Lorenzo *et al.*, 2003), which belong to the class of AP2 domain transcription factors. The expression of the *ORCA* and *ERF1* genes themselves is JA responsive. Based on these observations, we hypothesized that JA-responsive gene expression in cotton could also be mediated by members of the AP2 domain transcription factor family, and that the corresponding genes would also be expressed in a JA-responsive manner. The objectives of this study were (i) to perform an annotation of the ERF family genes in cotton, (ii) to provide a new tool (i.e. identification of group-specific amino acids in the AP2 domain) to predict the group-based classification in plants, and (iii)to iden-

Fig. 4 Domain localization and phylogenetic tree showing the predicted relationships between group IX ethylene-response factor (ERF) genes from rice, *Arabidopsis* and cotton. The tree was created by the bootstrap option of the CLUSTALX multiple alignment package and the neighbour-joining method using the AP2 domain sequences. The tree, rooted to APETALA2 protein At4g36920, contains 17 *Arabidopsis* AP2 domains (green), 18 rice AP2 domains (blue) and 39 cotton AP2 domains (black). Bootstrap values from 100 replicates were used to assess the robustness of the tree. Bootstrap values over 50 are shown. The classification by Nakano *et al.* (2006) is indicated by coloured rectangles. On the right, comparisons of the diagrams with conserved domains of cotton group IXa proteins with the homologous *Arabidopsis* and rice proteins are shown. Coloured boxes represent the AP2/ERF domain and the two conserved motifs CMIX-2 and CMIX-3.

tify JA-, ET- and *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum*-responsive members of the group IXa genes in cotton.

The ERF family of transcription factors experienced significant expansion during the evolution of plants, revealing that many groups and subgroups have evolved, resulting in a high level of functional divergence. This family comprises 122 and 139 genes in *Arabidopsis* and rice, divided into 12 and 15 groups, respectively (Nakano *et al.*, 2006). Based on the fact that 11 of these groups are present in both *Arabidopsis* and rice, the authors concluded that functional diversification within the ERF family predated the monocot/dicot divergence. The actual number of ERF genes in our cotton dataset is 148 unigenes in *G. hirsutum*. *Gossypium hirsutum* is an allotetraploid (2*n* = 4*x* = 52) resulting from interspecific hybridization between an A2 genome-like ancestral African species and a D genome-like American species (Chen *et al.*, 2007). As a consequence of its polyploid nature and the fact that we excluded the ERF sequences that contain partial AP2 domains, it is presently difficult to predict the total number of *G. hirsutum* ERF genes, but this number is likely to be higher. Furthermore, 34 ERF genes from the D genome species *G. raimondii* and 18 ERF genes from the A2 genome species *G. arboreum* have been annotated. *Gossypium hirsutum* was found to have more genes than the combined total of the two progenitor diploid species. This difference could be associated with the number of ESTs available for *G. hirsutum*, which is higher than those for the two diploids. These data allowed the first analysis of ERF genes from three species of the Malvales using a large dataset, and may be useful to analyse specific gene expression among paralogous, orthologous and homologous sequences in diploid and allotetraploid species of cotton.

In our study, we observed that the 10 major groups from *Arabidopsis* also occurred in the genus *Gossypium*. These data are in accordance with the fact that the Malvales (including *G. hirsutum*) are the closest relatives of *Arabidopsis* outside of the Brassicales (Bowers *et al.*, 2003). We identified conserved group-specific residues which allowed a rapid and easy classification of plant ERF transcription factors based on inter- and intragroup comparisons of AP2 domains. The role of these amino acids is still unknown. Most were not directly involved in the interaction with the GCC-box. Part of such residues would participate in intramolecular interactions to stabilize the ERF protein. Several identified conserved motifs correlate with the major groups defined on the basis of the relationships among

AP2 domains (Nakano *et al.*, 2006). Such a correlation suggests the existence of coordinated amino acids inside and outside the AP2 domain. The group-specific residues of the AP2 domain defined in this study are good candidates for future investigation to further understand the structure–function relationships of ERF transcription factors.

Molecular phylogenetics of environmental responses has been suggested to provide insights into the mechanism of the defence response (Gutterson and Reuber, 2004). Taking advantage of the fact that many *ERF* genes are inducible, our study focused on a transcriptional response to defence-related stimuli. As a first step in a molecular phylogenetic analysis of plant defence regulation by ERF transcription factors, we mapped published data concerning JA responses of these genes onto the *Arabidopsis* ERF phylogeny (Table 3). This set of observations is surely incomplete, as (i) JA-responsive AP2-like genes were expressed only at earlier or later time points, or expressed only in specific tissues present at low abundance, and (ii) AP2 domain transcription factor genes that are responsive only to a combination of JA and another signalling molecule would not be characterized. Despite these limitations, patterns were observed, in particular within group IX, which contains 40% of the characterized JA-responsive ERF genes in *Arabidopsis*. Overexpression of certain ERF transcription factors from group IX results in resistance to multiple pathogens. For example, constitutive overexpression of *AtERF2* of subgroup IXa has been shown to induce *PDF1.*2 and *ChiB* gene expression (Brown *et al.*, 2003; Pré *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, overexpression of the *Arabidopsis AtERF1* gene, a close homologue of *AtERF2*, confers resistance to *Botrytis cinerea*, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Erysiphe orontii* in *Arabidopsis* (Gutterson and Reuber, 2004). Recently, Rushton *et al.* (2008) have identified 13 tobacco genes of the group IX ERF genes that show increased mRNA levels following JA treatment. Together, these observations prompted us to focus our study on the cotton members of group IX.

In cotton, we demonstrated that JA induces the expression of the *GhERF-IXa5* gene. By contrast, ET, but not JA alone, induces *GhERF-IXa1* and *GhERF-IXa2* expression. The expression of *GHERF-IXa5*, as well as *GhERF-IXa1* and *GhERF-IXa2* genes, was super-induced by combined treatment with JA and ET. Superinduction of gene expression by JA and ET has also been shown for some defence-related genes, such as *CHIB*, *PDF1.2* and *ERF1* (Penninckx *et al.*, 1998). During the time course experiments on

Fig. 5 Relative expression profiles of five *GhERF-IXa* genes in response to jasmonate (JA), ethephon, salicylic acid (SA) and a combined treatment with JA and ethephon. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of *GhERF-IXa* gene expression was performed in 10-day-old cotton plants treated with 50 µM JA, 1 mM ethephon (ethylene, ET), 50 µM SA, both JA/ET or solvents (C) for the indicated times. (A) *GhERF-IXa1* gene; (B) *GhERF-IXa2* gene; (C) *GhERF-IXa3* gene; (D) *GhERF-IXa4* gene; (E) *GhERF-IXa5* gene; and (F) *GhLOX1* gene. The data presented correspond to one representative of three experiments with similar results (12 cotyledons per treatment). The data are mean values and standard errors (bar) of three independent real-time quantitative PCR experiments. The transcript level is represented as the ratio of the *C*t value of the studied gene calibrated to the T₀ time point and normalized to the *Ct* value of the *GhACT2* gene.

Fig. 6 Analysis of *GhERF-IXa* gene expression in water-, *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 20- and *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18-infiltrated Reba B50 cotyledons. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of *GhERF-IXa* gene expression was investigated during the incompatible (*X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18) and compatible (*X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 20) interaction and in water-infiltrated cotyledons (C). Cotyledons were harvested at the indicated times (0–10 h). The transcript level is represented as the ratio of the *C*t value of the studied gene calibrated to the T₀ time point and normalized to the *Ct* value of the *GhACT2* gene. The data presented correspond to one representative of three experiments with similar results (10 cotyledons per treatment).

cotton, we observed that the expression of each gene of subgroup *GhERF-IXa* was altered more than fivefold by either JA, ET or combined treatment (JA/ET) for at least one of the time points analysed. Together, these results suggest that crosstalk between the JA and ET signalling pathways may occur at the level of multiple ERF transcription factors.

Resistance of cotton cv. Reba B50 to the bacterial pathogen *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18 is characterized by an HR, during which a strong increase in the production of JA and SA signalling molecules was observed (Delannoy *et al.*, 2005). To our knowledge, ET production has not been reported as yet during *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* and cotton interactions. To relate our findings on responses to defence hormones to responses to pathogens, we investigated *GhERF-IXa* gene expression in response to *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18. In cotyledon cells, induction of the JA- and ET-responsive *GhERF-IXa* transcription factors was correlated with resistance (Figs 5 and 6), with variation in the kinetics of gene expression, as the *GhERF-IXa3* gene showed a faster induction than *GhERF-IXa2* and *GhERF-IXa5*. A transcriptome study by Patil *et al.* (2005) on the incompatible cotton–*X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* interaction showed that 121 transcripts in leaves over a period of 8–60 h after inoculation were up-regulated. In particular, the EST CF93196 with high similarity to *GhERF-IXa2* was differentially expressed in *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum*inoculated tissues compared with non-inoculated control tissues. Only a few studies have reported the role of ERF transcription factors in triggering or limiting HR. In *Arabidopsis*, the *AtEBP* gene from group VII was identified as a suppressor of Baxinduced cell death by functional screening in yeast (Pan *et al.*, 2001). Overexpression of *AtEBP* confers resistance to Baxinduced cell death and H_2O_2 -induced cell death in plant cells (Ogawa *et al.*, 2005). In contrast, in *N. benthamiana*, NbCD1 from group VIII positively regulates cell death and contributes to non-host resistance (Nasir *et al.*, 2005).

Taken together, these results increase our knowledge about the involvement of the ERF transcription factors in plant resistance and indicate that one given member of the ERF gene family is involved in JA or ET perception. Further experiments are needed to understand the role of the cotton ERF transcription factor in the *X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum*-induced HR.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Database search

Database searches were performed to collect all members of the cotton ERF family using the 122 conserved AP2 domains of *A. thaliana*. *Arabidopsis* and rice AP2 domain protein sequences were retrieved from the *Arabidopsis* Transcription Database (ArabTFDB) (version 1.0, [http://arabtfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de/](http://arabtfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de)

v1.0) and the rice TIGR website [\(http://www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k](http://www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/osa1/LocusNameSearch.shtml)1/ [osa1/LocusNameSearch.shtm](http://www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/osa1/LocusNameSearch.shtml)l), respectively. We surveyed the database of coding sequences from genes in the current version (July 2007) of TIGR cotton [\(http://plantta.tigr.org/search.shtml\)](http://plantta.tigr.org/search.shtml) using a TBLASTN search. These libraries were derived from allopolyploid cotton (*G. hirsutum*; AT and DT genomes; 70667 unique sequences) as well as its two diploid progenitors: *G. arboreum* (A2 genome; 28642 unique sequences) and *G. raimondii* (D genome, 26884 unique sequences).

Many sequences initially collected had incomplete AP2 domains or appeared to contain incorrect open reading frames (ORFs). These sequences were excluded from further analysis. As some sequence differences in ESTs are a result of sequencing errors or DNA polymorphism in cotton cultivars, nucleotide sequences with 98% or greater identities over their length were considered as the same gene (many homeologous genes in *G. hirsutum* are also likely to fall into this category), and a representative was chosen.

Tree building

The phylogenetic tree was constructed with AP2-domains of around 60 amino acids derived from AP2-domain proteins with a single DNA-binding domain using ClustalX program with the default settings, including 100 bootstraps.The tree was displayed using Treeview (Page, 1996; [http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/](http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk) rod/treeview.html), with APETALA2 AP domain repeat 1 defined as an outgroup.

Identification and three-dimensional localization of group-specific amino acids

The phylogenetic tree allowed assignments of cotton sequences to groups. Comparison of the sequence alignments with the group assignments allowed the identification of group-specific amino acids, i.e. conservation in all sequences belonging to the same group and distinct in other groups. The presence of the group-specific amino acids was searched in the rice sequences and the predicted assignments were compared with those published.

The group-specific residues were located on the threedimensional structure of the AP2 domain of AtERF1 GBD (PDB2GCC) corresponding to the sequence At4g17500 (Allen *et al.*, 1998).

Determination of conserved motifs of group IX outside of the AP2/ERF domain

The identification of conserved motifs outside of the highly conserved AP2 domain was performed on 74 protein sequences of group IX with multiple sequence alignments and MEME version

3.5.3 [\(http://meme.sdsc.edu\)](http://meme.sdsc.edu) (Bailey and Elkan, 1994). Options for MEME were adjusted to find 3–25 amino acid motifs. Input sequence data were modified to exclude the conserved AP2 domain for each.

Bacterial strains

Xanthomonas campestris pv. *malvacearum* races 18 and 20 were maintained at 30 °C on YPG agar (0.5% w/v yeast extract, 0.5% w/v bacteriological peptone, 0.5% w/v glucose as a carbon source, solidified with 1.5% w/v agar; Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) in distilled water. Bacteria for inoculation were grown in 150 mL YPG medium at 150 r.p.m., 30 °C. After 18 h of growth, cultures were washed once with sterile water by centrifugation at 10 000 *g* for 10 min to remove nutrients and exopolysaccharides, and then the bacterial pellet was resuspended in sterile water and adjusted to 10⁸ colony-forming units (cfu)/mL.

Plant growth, inoculation and treatments

The cultivar Reba B-50 (Allen \times stoneville 2B) from *G. hirsutum*, similar to the 101-102B line, contains the B_2B_3 genes for resistance to race 18 of *X. campestris* pv.*malvacearum*, but not to race 20. Plants were grown in a glasshouse under natural light and a 28/24 °C light/dark cycle with a relative humidity averaging 80%. These conditions were shown to favour bacterial development. Bacterial suspension (10⁸ cfu/mL), or sterile water as a control, was injected into intercellular areas of 10-day-old cotyledons using a needle-less syringe. Two interactions were tested: the incompatible Reba B50/*X. campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18 andthe compatible Reba B50/*X. campestris* pv.*malvacearum* race 20. Plantlets were treated for different time periods with 50 μ M JA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 50 µM of SA (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM of the ET-releasing compound ethephon (Sigma-Aldrich), or a combination of JA and ethephon. As controls, seedlings were treated with the combination of solvents dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; 0.1%) and sodium phosphate, pH 7 (0.5 mM).

Preparation of total RNA and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated from the cotyledons using Plant RNA Reagent from Qiagen, France, following the manufacturer's directions. RNA was treated with the RNAse-Free DNAse (Qiagen) and purified using the RNeasy Plant Mini-kit column (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using an oligo(dT25) primer from purified total RNA using Omniscript Reverse Transcriptase (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Transcript levels for the *GhERF-IXa* group were quantified by real-time PCR with MX3005P (Stratagene, France) using MESA Green qPCR Master Mix Plus (Eurogentec, France), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The gene-specific primer pairs used are listed in Table S2 (see Supporting Information). All reactions contained 12.5 μ L of 2 \times MESA Green qPCR Master Mix Plus (Eurogentec), 2.0 ng cDNA and 300 nM of each genespecific primer in a final volume of 25 µL. Thermal cycling was as follows: 50 °C for 2 min; 95 °C for 10 min; 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 58 °C for 20 s, 72 °C for 40 s. Relative expression levels of reporter and target genes were determined based on the 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct} method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) using cotton *Actin2* (AY305724) as internal control (Li *et al.*, 2005).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank J. Aribi for technical assistance in the glasshouse work. For critical reading of the manuscript, we would like to thank Johan Memelink and Raoul Ranjeva.

REFERENCES

- **Allen, M.D., Yamasaki, K., Ohme-Takagi, M., Tateno, M. and Suzuki, M.** (1998) A novel mode of DNA recognition by a β -sheet revealed by the solution structure of the GCC-box binding domain in complex with DNA. *EMBO J*. **17**, 5484–5496.
- **Bailey, T.L. and Elkan, C.** (1994) Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. In: *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology* (Altman, R., Brutlog, D., Karp, P., Lathrop, R. and Searls, D., eds), pp. 28–36. Menlo Park, CA: American Association for Artificial Intelligence Press.
- **Berrocal-Lobo, M. and Molina, A.** (2004) Ethylene Response Factor1 mediates *Arabidopsis* resistance to the soilborne fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact*. **17**, 763–770.
- **Bowers, J.E., Chapman, B.A., Rong, J. and Paterson, A.H.** (2003) Unraveling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events. *Nature*, **422**, 433–438.
- **Brown, R.L., Kazan, K., McGrath, K.C., Maclean, D.J. and Manners, J.M.** (2003) A role for the GCC-box in jasmonate-mediated activation of the *PDF1.2* gene of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol*. **132**, 1020–1032.
- **Chen, W., Provart, N.J., Glazebrook, J., Katagiri, F., Chang, H.S., Eulgem, T., Mauch, F., Luan, S., Zou, G., Whitham, S.A., Budworth, P.R., Tao, Y., Xie, Z., Chen, X., Lam, S., Kreps, J.A., Harper, J.F., Si-Ammour, A., Mauch-Mani, B., Heinlein, M., Kabayashi, K., Hohn, T., Dangl, J.L., Wang, X. and Zhu, T.** (2002) Expression profile matrix of *Arabidopsis* transcription factor genes suggests their putative functions in response to environmental stresses. *Plant Cell*, **14**, 559– 574.
- **Chen, Z.J., Scheffler, B.E., Dennis, E., Triplett, B.A., Zhang, T., Guo, W., Chen, X., Stelly, D.M., Rabinowicz, P.D., Town, C.D., Arioli, T., Brubaker, C., Cantrell, R.G., Lacape, J.M., Ulloa, M., Chee, P., Gingle, A.R., Haigler, C.H., Percy, R., Saha, S., Wilkins, T., Wright, R.J., Van Deynze, A., Zhu, Y., Yu, S., Abdurakhmonov, I., Katageri, I., Kumar, P.A., Rahman, M., Zafar, Y., Yu, J.Z., Kohel, R.J., Wendel, J.F. and Paterson, A.H.** (2007) Toward sequencing cotton (*Gossypium*) genomes. *Plant Physiol.* **145**, 1303–1310.
- **Daï, G.H., Nicole, M., Martinez, C., Bresson, E., Daniel, J.F., Andary, C. and Geiger, J.P.** (1996) Flavonoids accumulate in cell walls, middle lamellae and callose-rich papillae during an incompatible interaction between *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum* (Race 18) and cotton. *Physiol. Mol. Plant. Pathol*. **49**, 285–306.
- **De Feyter, R., Yang, Y. and Gabriel, D.W.** (1993) Gene-for-genes interactions between cotton R genes and *Xanthomonas campestris pv. malvacearum avr* genes. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact*. **6**, 225–237.
- **Delannoy, E., Jalloul, A., Assigbetsé, K., Marmey, P., Geiger, J.P., Lherminier, J., Daniel, J.F., Martinez, C. and Nicole, M.** (2003) Activity of class III peroxidases during defense of cotton to bacterial blight. *Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact*. **16**, 1030–1038.
- **Delannoy, E., Lyon, B., Marmey, P., Jalloul, A., Montillet, J.L., Daniel, J.F., Essenberg, M. and Nicole, M.** (2005) Resistance of cotton to *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum*. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol*. **43**, 63–82.
- **van der Fits, L. and Memelink, J.** (2000) ORCA3, a jasmonate-responsive transcriptional regulator of plant primary and secondary metabolism. *Science*, **289**, 295–297.
- **Fujimoto, S.Y., Ohta, M., Usui, A., Shinshi, H. and Ohme-Takagi, M.** (2000) *Arabidopsis* ethylene-responsive element binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene expression. *Plant Cell*, **12**, 393–404.
- **Fujita, M., Fujita, Y., Noutoshi, Y., Takahashi, F., Narusaka, Y., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and Shinozaki, K.** (2006) Crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses: a current view from the points of convergence in the stress signaling networks. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol*. **9**, 436–442.
- **Gutterson, N. and Reuber, T.L.** (2004) Regulation of disease resistance pathways by AP2/ERF transcription factors. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol*. **7**, 465–471.
- **Jalloul, A., Montillet, J.L., Assigbetsé, K., Agnel, J.P., Delannoy, E., Daniel, J.F., Marmey, P., Geiger, J.P. and Nicole, M.** (2002) Lipid peroxidation in cotton–*Xanthomonas* interactions. Role of lipoxygenases during the hypersensitive reaction and leaf blight. *Plant J*. **32**, 1–12.
- **Jin, L.G. and Liu, J.Y.** (2008) Molecular cloning, expression profile and promoter analysis of a novel ethylene responsive transcription factor gene *GhERF4* from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Plant Physiol. Biochem*. **46**, 46–53.
- **Li, X.B., Fan, X.P., Wang, X.L., Cai, L. and Yang, W.C.** (2005) The cotton *ACTIN1* gene is functionally expressed in fibers and participates in fiber elongation. *Plant Cell*, **17**, 859–875.
- **Livak, K.J. and Schmittgen, T.D.** (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(–Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods*, **25**, 402–408.
- **Lorenzo, O., Piqueras, R., Sánchez-Serrano, J.J. and Solano, R.** (2003) ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR1 integrates signals from ethylene and jasmonate pathways in plant defense. *Plant Cell*, **15**, 165–178.
- **Marmey, P., Jalloul, A., Alhamdia, M., Assigbetse, K., Cacas, J.L., Voloudakis, A.E., Champion, A., Clerivet, A., Montillet, J.L. and Nicole, M.** (2007) The 9-lipoxygenase *GhLOX1* gene is associated with the hypersensitive reaction of cotton *Gossypium hirsutum* to *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem*. **45**, 596–606.
- **Martinez, M., Baccou, J.C., Bresson, E., Baissac, Y., Daniel, J., Jalloul, A., Montillet, J.L., Geiger, J.P., Assigbetse, K. and Nicole, M.** (2000) Salicylic acid mediated by the oxidative burst is a key molecule in the local and systemic resistance of cotton challenged by an avirulent race

of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum* race 18. *Plant Physiol*. **122**, 757–766.

- **McGrath, K.C., Dombrecht, B., Manners, J.M., Schenk, P.M., Edgar, C.I., Maclean, D.J., Scheible, W.R., Udvardi, M.K. and Kazan, K.** (2005) Repressor- and activator-type ethylene response factors functioning in jasmonate signaling and disease resistance identified via a genome-wide screen of *Arabidopsis* transcription factor gene expression. *Plant Physiol*. **139**, 949–959.
- **Memelink, J., Verpoorte, R. and Kijne, J.W.** (2001) ORCAnization of jasmonate-responsive gene expression in alkaloid metabolism. *Trends. Plant Sci*. **6**, 212–219.
- **Menke, F.L.H., Champion, A., Kijne, J.W. and Memelink, J.** (1999) A novel jasmonate- and elicitor-responsive element in the periwinkle secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene *Str* interacts with a jasmonate- and elicitor-inducible AP2-domain transcription factor, ORCA2. *EMBO J*. **18**, 4455–4463.
- **Nakano, T., Suzuki, K., Fujimura, T. and Shinshi, H.** (2006) Genomewide analysis of the *ERF* gene family in *Arabidopsis* and rice. *Plant Physiol*. **140**, 411–432.
- **Nasir, K.H., Takahashi, Y., Ito, A., Saitoh, H., Matsumura, H., Kanzaki, H., Shimizu, T., Ito, M., Fujisawa, S., Sharma, P.C., Ohme-Takagi, M., Kamoun, S. and Terauchi, R.** (2005) High-throughput in planta expression screening identifies a class II ethylene-responsive element binding factor-like protein that regulates plant cell death and non-host resistance. *Plant J*. **43**, 491–505.
- **Ogawa, T., Pan, L., Kawai-Yamada, M., Yu, L.H., Yamamura, S., Koyama, T., Kitajima, S., Ohme-Takagi, M., Sato, F. and Uchimiya, H.** (2005) Functional analysis of *Arabidopsis* ethyleneresponsive element binding protein conferring resistance to Bax and abiotic stress-induced plant cell death. *Plant Physiol*. **138**, 1436– 1445.
- **Oñate-Sánchez, L. and Singh, K.B.** (2002) Identification of *Arabidopsis* ethylene-responsive element binding factors with distinct induction kinetics after pathogen infection. *Plant Physiol*. **128**, 1313–1322.
- **Page, R.D.** (1996) TreeView: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* **12**, 357–358.
- **Pan, L., Kawai, M., Yu, L.H., Kim, K.M., Hirata, A., Umeda, M. and Uchimiya, H.** (2001) The *Arabidopsis thaliana* ethylene-responsive element binding protein (AtEBP) can function as a dominant suppressor of Bax-induced cell death of yeast. *FEBS Lett*. **508**, 375–378.
- **Patil, M.A., Pierce, M.L., Phillips, A.L., Venters, B.J. and Essenberg, M.** (2005) Identification of genes up-regulated in bacterial-blight-resistant upland cotton in response to inoculation with *Xanthomonas campestris* pv *malvacearum*. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol*. **67**, 319–335.
- **Pauwels, L., Morreel, K., De Witte, E., Lammertyn, F., Van Montagu, M., Boerjan, W., Inzé, D. and Goossens, A.** (2008) Mapping methyl jasmonate-mediated transcriptional reprogramming of metabolism and cell cycle progression in cultured *Arabidopsis* cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **105**, 1380–1385.
- **Penninckx, I.A.M.A., Thomma, B.P.H.J., Buchala, A., Metraux, J.P. and Broekaert, W.F.** (1998) Concomitant activation of jasmonate and ethylene response pathways is required for induction of a plant defensin gene in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, **10**, 2103–2113.
- **Pré, M., Atallah, M., Champion, A., De Vos, M., Pieterse, C.J. and Memelink, J.** (2008) The AP2/ERF-domain transcription factor ORA59 integrates jasmonic acid and ethylene signals in plant defense. *Plant Physiol*. **147**, 1347–1357.
- **Qiao, Z.X., Huang, B. and Liu, J.Y.** (2008) Molecular cloning and functional analysis of an *ERF* gene from cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1779**, 122–127.
- **Rushton, P.J., Bokowiec, M.T., Han, S., Zhang, H., Brannock, J.F., Chen, X., Laudeman, T.W. and Timko, M.P.** (2008) Tobacco transcription factors: novel insights into transcriptional regulation in the *Solanaceae*. *Plant Physiol*. **147**, 280–295.
- **Sakuma, Y., Liu, Q., Dubouzet, J.G., Abe, H., Shinozaki, K. and Yamaguchi- Shinozaki, K.** (2002) DNA-binding specificity of the ERF/ AP2 domain of *Arabidopsis* DREBs, transcription factors involved in dehydration and cold-inducible gene expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun*. **290**, 998–1009.
- **Samuel Yang, S., Cheung, F., Lee, J.J., Ha, M., Wei, N.E., Sze, S.H., Stelly, D.M., Thaxton, P., Triplett, B., Town, C.D. and Jeffrey Chen, Z.** (2006) Accumulation of genome-specific transcripts, transcription factors and phytohormonal regulators during early stages of fiber cell development in allotetraploid cotton. *Plant J*. **47**, 761–775.
- **Solano, R., Stepanova, A., Chao, Q. and Ecker, J.R.** (1998) Nuclear events in ethylene signaling: a transcriptional cascade mediated by ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE3 and ETHYLENE-RESPONSE-FACTOR1. *Genes Dev*. **12**, 3703–3714.
- **Wasternack, C.** (2007) Jasmonates: an update on biosynthesis, signal transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development. *Ann. Bot*. **100**, 681–697.
- **Yang, Z., Tian, L., Latoszek-Green, M., Brown, D. and Wu, K.** (2005) *Arabidopsis* ERF4 is a transcriptional repressor capable of modulating ethylene and abscisic acid responses. *Plant Mol. Biol*. **58**, 585–596.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1 Ethylene-response factor (ERF) family genes in cotton.

Table S2 Gene-specific primer pairs used in real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) experiments.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.