ACS OMEGA

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Thermally Crosslinked Functionalized Polydicyclopentadiene with a High T_{g} and Tunable Surface Energy

Jun Chen, Fraser P. Burns, Matthew G. Moffitt, and Jeremy E. Wulff*

Department of Chemistry, University of Victoria, PO Box 3065 STN CSC, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8W 3V6

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Polydicyclopentadiene (PDCPD) is a tough, heavily crosslinked thermoset polymer that has high heat, chemical, and impact resistance coupled with a low density. Current limitations to the broader industrial application of PDCPD include its low surface energy and lack of chemical tunability. Here, we report the first example of a polymer derived from a carboxyl-functionalized dicyclopentadiene monomer and its subsequent thermal crosslinking. The resulting material has the highest glass-transition temperature reported for a polydicyclopentadiene and allows for the facile manipulation of the surface chemistry through alteration of the embedded functional group. We also report the first



observation by differential scanning calorimetry of the crosslinking step as a discreet thermal event.

INTRODUCTION

Polydicyclopentadiene (PDCPD, Scheme 1A) is an industrially important material that is produced via ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) from an abundant dicyclopentadiene (DCPD) monomer feedstock.^{1,2} The resulting polymer is extensively crosslinked when made under typical manufacturing conditions, which results in a very high impact resistance, coupled with a good resistance to chemical corrosion and a high heat deflection temperature. These properties make PDCPD attractive for use in the automotive industry. Initially used to make cowlings for snowmobiles (due to its high impact resistance at low temperatures), PDCPD is now used to make body panels, bumpers, and other components for trucks, buses, tractors, and construction equipment.^{3,4} Other prospective applications include the creation of porous materials for tissue engineering or gas storage applications⁵ as well as microencapsulated dicyclopentadiene for use in self-healing polymers.6 The polymerization of DCPD can be accomplished using a number of different transition metal catalysts (e.g., Ru, Mo, W, Ti)^{1,2} and has recently been reported under metal-free conditions via photoredox catalysis.⁷ The exact structure of the resulting material depends to some extent on the precise reaction conditions used for the polymerization. Whereas the crosslinked polymer is typically suggested to have arisen from metathesis of both alkenes in the parent monomer (to give the structure shown in gray in Scheme 1A),^{2,8} Wagener showed that this is often not representative of the true structure of the crosslink. Instead, for many polymerization conditions at least, it is more likely that only the strained norbornene ring in the monomer undergoes olefin metathesis under the conditions of the reaction. Subsequent crosslinking steps result from thermal (probably radical) condensation of the remaining olefins in the linear polymer.9,1b

Despite the numerous advantages outlined above, polydicyclopentadiene has several disadvantages that have limited its broader application as an industrial material. Many of these originate from the lack of chemical functionality present on the polymer. For example, PDCPD has a low surface energy when freshly prepared; this can make it difficult to paint PDCPD parts or to attach them to other objects without a time- and space-intensive ageing step to oxidize the surface.¹⁰ Also, the polymer is not chemically tunable since the parent monomer (itself a homodimer of cyclopentadiene) cannot be easily functionalized without disrupting its ability to participate in the metathesis reaction. Thus, whereas polymers of functionalized ethylene (e.g., propylene, styrene, acrylic acid, methyl acrylate, acrylonitrile, methyl methacrylate, etc.) exhibit a broad range of very distinct and very useful material properties, no such variability can be readily obtained for DCPD-based homopolymers. Finally, PDCPD often inherits an acrid, camphor-like odor from its monomer DCPD, limiting its indoor utilization.

Each of these issues could presumably be solved by bringing functionality to PDCPD. The resulting *functionalized* polydicyclopentadiene (*f*PDCPD) will naturally have a higher surface energy (owing to the presence of a functional group), tunable properties (since the functional group could be modified), and a reduced odor (owing to a higher molecular weight for the monomer). Indeed, many groups have developed *postpolymerization* strategies to functionalize the residual double bonds in PDCPD by bromination,¹¹ epoxidation,¹² inverse-demand Diels–Alder,¹³ radical-initiated thiol–ene addition,¹⁴ etc. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are only two publications describing the polymerization of a *prefunctional*-

Received:August 15, 2016Accepted:September 19, 2016Published:October 6, 2016

Scheme 1. (A) Conventional Synthesis of PDCPD, (B) 1-Hydroxydicyclopentadiene-Based fPDCPD, (C) Proposed fPDCPD Formed via Controllable Thermal Crosslinking



ized DCPD monomer (Scheme 1B).^{15,16} Both reports (by Xu in 2015¹⁵ and Lemcoff in 2016¹⁶) took advantage of a known SeO₂-mediated allylic oxidation on DCPD,¹⁷ followed by further esterification or etherification and Ru-catalyzed ROMP to achieve a new family of odorless fPDCPD polymers. These novel fPDCPDs mostly possessed glass-transition temperatures (T_g) ranging from 80 to 143 °C,^{16,18} which is between those of linear PDCPD ($T_g \sim 53 \text{ °C}$)¹⁹ and crosslinked PDCPD ($T_g \sim 155-165 \text{ °C}$).^{3a,20} The glass-transition temperature is closely related to the maximum service temperature for PDCPD.²¹ It has a significant correlation with both the thermal properties of the polymer and the physicochemical and mechanical properties (such as molecular weight, degree of crosslinking and crystallinity, and shear modulus).²² The relatively low T_g values for the fPDCPDs summarized in Scheme 1B (most of which were <100 °C) may suggest a low degree of crosslinking relative to the parent material; indeed, the 1-hydroxydicylopentadiene-derived polymers were originally designed to *disfavor* crosslinking.¹⁵ In addition, both reports described substantial mass losses occurring at temperatures above ~220 $^{\circ}C_{1}^{15,16}$ presumably owing to loss of the allylic acetate or ether leaving groups. Thus, while existing fPDCPDs have interesting properties that may be useful in certain applications, the low T_g values and poor thermal stability may undercut their industrial utility.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rather than functionalizing DCPD through allylic oxidation (which seems to lower the T_g of the resulting polymer while also reducing its thermal stability), we envisioned that it would

be more beneficial to install a C-linked ester on the unstrained olefin of dicyclopentadiene (Scheme 1C). We hypothesized that this strategic change to the chemical structure of the monomer would engender several desirable properties in the resulting polymeric material:

- (1) Esters typically have pleasant fruity odors,²³ which should limit any concern about residual monomer in the final material.
- (2) Unlike the allylically disposed acetates and ethers used previously (Scheme 1B), the C-linked ester cannot function as a leaving group. As a result, our polymers should have a thermal stability closer to that of the parent PDCPD.
- (3) Addition of an extra functional group (particularly an electron-withdrawing group) to the unstrained olefin in DCPD should completely block olefin metathesis from happening at this site (at least for modest reaction temperatures).^{28b} This should limit any confusion about the mechanism of the crosslinking step since thermal curing will now be fully decoupled from metathesis processes.
- (4) Indeed, the positioning of an ester at C2 of DCPD effectively embeds a methyl methacrylate motif into the chemical framework of the postmetathesis linear polymer. The thermal polymerization of methyl methacrylate (via self-initiated radical processes) is well known to afford predominantly a head-to-tail linkage in the resulting polymer.²⁴ We would expect our material to behave similarly, generating regiochemically predictable chemical crosslinks, as shown in Scheme 1C. This is in

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the Ester-Containing Monomer



Figure 1. Selective polymerization of monomer 4. (A) Initial monomer mixture. (B) Crude mixture of polymer product and unreacted monomer following selective polymerization. (C) Polymer product isolated by precipitation from ether. (D) Recovered unreacted monomer from the supernatant.

stark contrast to PDCPD itself, where presumably all of the residual olefins can react in the thermal crosslinking step, with little to no regiochemical control.

- (5) The result of our predicted chemical crosslinking step would position the ester group at a quaternary center. This increased steric hindrance to bond rotation should result in an increased $T_{g}^{2.5}$
- (6) The identity of the ester group could be changed (either before or after polymerization), providing for the facile tuning of properties in the final polymer material.

With these advantages in mind, we targeted compound 4 (Scheme 2) as our monomer of choice. The heterodimerization of carboxylated cyclopentadiene and unmodified cyclopentadiene to give a mixture of regioisomers 3 and 4 is well known.²⁶ Building upon these earlier reports and taking advantage of our recently reported cyclopentadienylide salt-based route to ester-containing derivatives of dicyclopentadiene,²⁷ we were able to achieve the synthesis of 3 and 4 (in a ~1.5:1 ratio) in 60% yield. The reaction behaved consistently upon scale-up and was easily increased to 45 g scale. In contrast to unmodified DCPD, the mixture of 3 and 4 has an agreeable fruity smell.

At first glance, the formation of a mixture of regioisomers (which are difficult to separate on preparative scale) might seem to be problematic. But we hypothesized that of the four olefins in 3 and 4, only one alkene, the strained norbornenetype olefin in 4, would be a good substrate for olefin metathesis using a ruthenium-based catalyst. The other three alkenes are either unstrained or else bear an additional electron-withdrawing group, making them less likely to react with the catalyst.²⁸ This was quickly proven by treating a mixture of **3** and **4** with the Grubbs second-generation catalyst at room temperature (Figure 1). As expected, compound **4** underwent selective polymerization to afford the desired linear polymer **5**. Polymer formation was indicated by broadening of the NMR signals (particularly for the downfield signal corresponding to the electron-deficient alkene C–H) and an upfield shift for the protons on the unfunctionalized olefin (corresponding to the formation of a less-strained alkene). Compound **3** was completely unreactive under the conditions employed. Indeed, even when the reaction was repeated at higher temperatures (refluxing benzene or toluene), we observed no polymer arising from monomer **3**.

After quenching the catalyst with ethyl vinyl ether, the two products were separated by the addition of diethyl ether to precipitate the polymer. Centrifugation provided pure polymer 5 (Figure 1C) and left behind a supernatant that contained only unreacted 3 (Figure 1D). The cracking of esterfunctionalized dicyclopentadienes like 3 has already been reported by Franklin, which means that the unwanted monomer can be recycled back to the original mixture of 3 and 4 with heating.²⁹

The molecular weight of **5** was estimated at ~45 000 g/mol by comparing the ¹H NMR integration of the phenyl end-group and the internal vinyl protons. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, together with the high solubility of the freshly prepared polymer, indicated the absence of any appreciable degree of crosslinking. However, like all polydicyclopentadienes^{14,50} compound **5** was

somewhat air-sensitive and underwent slow oxidation upon exposure to atmospheric conditions. This resulted in a growth of molecular weight (presumably owing to chemical crosslinking initiated by molecular oxygen) that we were able to monitor by dynamic light scattering (DLS; Figure 2). Similar



Figure 2. Oxidative crosslinking leads to a slow growth in effective hydrodynamic radii.

trends were observed for three separate preparations of polymer. Oxidative crosslinking was further supported by the determination from gel permeation chromatography (GPC) of increasing molecular weights following sample storage (up to \sim 30 000 000 Da for a 2-day-old sample; see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information for details) as well as by the observation that after 2–3 days the samples became distinctly less soluble (see Figure S2).

Compound 5 was thermally cured at $180 \degree$ C to afford a hard, completely insoluble material (6), for which we tentatively assign the structure shown in Figure 3.

The glass-transition temperature for crosslinked polymer 6 was reproducibly measured to be 200 °C (inset to Figure 3). To the best of our knowledge, this is the highest T_g ever reported for an unaged²⁰ polydicyclopentadiene and is consistent with our hypothesis that the increased steric hindrance (and therefore reduced rotational freedom) that arises from our strategic positioning of the carboxyl substituent

on the alkene that participates in the crosslinking step will serve to increase the $T_{\rm g}.$

Since the crosslinking reaction evidently occurred at a temperature that was below the T_g , we wondered whether we might be able to observe the crosslinking event directly by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). To this end, freshly prepared linear polymer (5) was dried under vacuum and transferred directly to the DSC under a nitrogen atmosphere. Upon heating, we observed a distinct endothermic event at ~140 °C, prior to the usual glass transition at 200 °C (Figure 4A). After reaching a final temperature of 300 °C, the sample



Figure 4. TGA/DSC analysis from linear polymer 5, showing in situ crosslinking to 6 and good thermal stability. (A) DSC data used to observe crosslinking and glass-transition temperature. (B) TGA used to evaluate thermal stability.

was cooled to room temperature and then immediately rerun. In the second analysis (of the now-crosslinked polymer, **6**), the 140 °C endothermic event was not observed, but a sharp glass transition occurred at the same temperature as before. These data allowed us to assign the 140 °C transition as the crosslinking step and also demonstrate that the crosslinks in **6** are not thermally reversible.

At the same time that we were monitoring the DSC data, we also measured the percent mass loss by thermogravimetric



Figure 3. Thermal Curing of fPDCPD. The red asterisk indicates the measured glass-transition temperature for the crosslinked polymer.



Figure 5. Hydrolysis of fPDCPD 6 and representative water contact angle measurements. (A) Saponification/acidification protocol used to alter surface energy. (B) Representative contact angle measurements for each sample. (C) Surface roughnesses determined for each sample, as well as a control.

analysis (TGA). As shown in Figure 4B, we saw only a small mass loss during our first run (mostly below 100 °C), which we attribute to removal of residual solvent under the experimental conditions. Other than this, the polymer appears to be extremely stable up to 300 °C. This observation is consistent with our earlier hypothesis that positioning the functional group at the C2 position of the dicyclopentadiene monomer (rather than at C1, as other groups have done) limits the chemistry available to the system, thereby improving the thermal stability.

Having thus addressed the thermal properties for **5** and **6**, we next focused on the controllable modification of the surface chemistry. To accomplish this, we spin-coated the linear polymer **5** onto a series of glass slides and then incubated each slide in a 180 $^{\circ}$ C oven to facilitate crosslinking. The slides were then suspended in a solution of methanolic sodium hydroxide, followed by a solution of aqueous HCl.

For each slide, we first measured the mean surface roughness (R_a) by atomic force microscopy (AFM) to establish that our hydrolysis protocol had not caused substantial pitting or otherwise introduced surface defects that would invalidate our subsequent contact angle measurements. These data (Figure 5C) confirmed that each of our samples was smoother than the unmodified glass slide used as a control. With the sample quality thereby established, we proceeded to measure surface energy through a two-solvent contact angle protocol.³¹

As shown in Figure 5B, we found a marked difference in the water contact angle of the original methyl-ester-containing polymer (6) compared to that of the (partially) hydrolyzed carboxylate, 7, and free acid, 8. Water contact angles ranged from nearly 90° for the unmodified polymer (compared with 120° for an authentic sample of the parent PDCPD³²) down to ~30° for the carboxylic acid. The fact that the contact angle continued to change with additional operations suggests that only partial hydrolysis was effected at each stage of the protocol. This is unsurprising given that many of the ester groups in the polymer will not be surface-accessible.

Together with an equivalent set of contact angles measured with diiodomethane (see Table S1 in the Supplementary Information for a summary of all individual contact angle measurements), these data allowed us to calculate the dispersion surface tension (γ_{sv}^{d}), polar surface tension (γ_{sv}^{p}), and overall surface tension using the Owens, Wendt, Rabel, and Kaelble (OWRK) equations³¹ (Table 1).

Гał	ble	1.	Summary	7 of	Surface	Т	ension	Measurements
-----	-----	----	---------	------	---------	---	--------	--------------

polymer	contact angles (deg)	$\gamma^{\rm d}_{\rm sv} \ ({\rm mN/m})$	$\gamma_{sv}^p \left(mN/m\right)$	$\gamma_{sv} (mN/m)$
6	$H_2O: 87.2 \pm 0.9$	36.6 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.1	38.5 ± 0.6
	$CH_2I_2: 45.8 \pm 0.9$			
7	$H_2O: 63.6 \pm 2.5$	36.2 ± 0.8	11.8 ± 1.1	48.0 ± 1.9
	$CH_2I_2: 46.4 \pm 1.5$			
8	$H_2O: 29.0 \pm 1.1$	33.0 ± 0.5	33.6 ± 0.1	66.6 ± 0.7
	$CH_2I_2: 52.2 \pm 1.4$			

Gratifyingly, we observed a clear increase of γ_{sv}^{e} and decrease of γ_{sv}^{d} with increasing ester hydrolysis. More importantly, the overall γ_{sv} values were enhanced from 38.5 mN/m (for the methyl ester) to 66.6 mN/m (for the carboxylic acid). This is a much greater range of surface energies than is available to unfunctionalized PDCPD, which has a γ_{sv} value of 36–38 mN/m when freshly made,¹⁰ increasing to 48–52 mN/m following oxidation.^{10,33} Indeed, to the best of our knowledge, polymers **6–8** represent the largest (and therefore most tunable) range of surface energies known for any polydicyclopentadiene-based homopolymer.³⁴

To illustrate the dramatic change in hydrophobicity between 6 and 7/8 on a macroscopic scale, we used a Pasteur pipette to add droplets of water (containing a colored dye to aid visibility) to the surface of a glass slide that had been coated with polymer 6 by the spin-coating/crosslinking method described above and then half-immersed in a solution of methanolic NaOH (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information for a picture of the experimental setup).

We observed the water droplets to rapidly shift from the hydrophobic side of the sample (coated with unmodified 6) toward the hydrolyzed (and therefore hydrophilic) side of the slide (refer to the Supporting Information for a video of this process). Eventually, all of the water accumulated on the portion of the sample that had been exposed to saponification conditions, as shown in Figure 6. This exceptional distinction between hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions of a single spin-coated sample may open the door toward the use of *f*PDCPD in microfluidic applications.



Figure 6. Macroscopic observation of changes in surface hydrophobicity following ester hydrolysis.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have achieved the first high- $T_{\rm g}$ functionalized polydicyclopentadiene polymer with good thermal stability, controllable surface energy, and no unpleasant odor. The thermal crosslinking process was observed directly by DSC and shown to be irreversible under the conditions employed. In future work, we plan to study the mechanical properties of our novel *f*PDCPDs in greater detail and to exploit their inherent functionality to create a chemically recyclable thermoset.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Methods. Liquid reagents were transferred via glass microsyringe. Solvents were transferred via syringe with a stainless steel needle. Organic solutions were concentrated at 40 °C by rotary evaporation under vacuum. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using aluminum plates precoated with silica gel (0.20 mm, 60 Å pore size, 230–400 mesh, Macherey-Nagel) impregnated with a fluorescent indicator (254 nm). TLC plates were visualized by exposure to ultraviolet light. Flash-column chromatography was performed over SiliaFlash F60 (40–63 μ m). Centrifugation was performed by Beckman Coulter Allegra X-12R benchtop centrifuge.

Commercial solvents and reagents were used as received with the following exceptions. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried by distillation over sodium and benzophenone. Dichloromethane was dried by passage through alumina in a commercial solvent purification system.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (¹H NMR) were recorded at 300 or 500 MHz at ambient temperature. Proton chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm, δ scale) downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to residual protium in the NMR solvent (CDCl₃, δ 7.26; CD₂Cl₂, δ 5.32). Carbon NMR spectra (¹³C NMR) were recorded at 75 or 125 MHz at ambient temperature. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the solvent (CDCl₃, δ 77.16; CD₂Cl₂, δ 53.84). Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Fourier transform IR spectrometer referenced to a polystyrene standard. Accurate masses were obtained using an orbitrap mass spectrometer. Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) data was collected using a Clarus 680 GC coupled to an AxIon iQT MS with cold-EI ionization.

Contact angles were obtained with a Holmarc contact angle meter (HO-IAD-CAM-01). The polar liquid was deionized water. The dispersive liquid was diiodomethane. DSC and TGA measurements were conducted on a TA Instruments Q600 SDT simultaneous thermal analyzer with samples being placed in an aluminum oxide crucible, referenced against an empty aluminum oxide crucible. Data was collected with a ramp rate of 5 °C/min following temperature equalization at 50 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere flowing at 100 mL/min. For spin casting of polymer films, a Best Tools, LLC model SC110-B Spin Coater was used. AFM measurements of the glass coverslips were performed on an Agilent Technologies 5500 Scanning Probe Microscope equipped with a Ted Pella TAP190-G AFM probe operating in tapping mode.

GPC measurements were performed using a Viscotek Model 302 liquid chromatography system (Viscotek GPCmax + TDA 302 triple detector array) equipped with refractive index (RI), low-angle light scattering ($\theta = 7^{\circ}$), and right-angle light scattering ($\theta = 90^{\circ}$) detection. THF was used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, and the column temperature was set at 35 °C. All polymer solutions were filtered through membrane filters with a nominal pore size of 0.45 μ m prior to injection into the GPC columns. The data was collected and analyzed using appropriate GPC software from Viscotek. The system was installed with a Tosoh Biosciences, LLC TSKgel HHR series guard and two separation columns in series; specifically, HHR-H guard column and G3000HHR and GMHHR-M columns, respectively. Molecular weights were calculated from GPC data using an algorithm from Viscotek.

DLS measurements were collected on a Brookhaven Instruments BI-200SM goniometer equipped with a BI-9000AT digital autocorrelator and a Brookhaven Instruments Mini-L30 compact diode laser (637 nm) with a 30 mW output. Samples were diluted with filtered THF into thoroughly washed glass cells. Measurements were carried out in cylindrical glass cells, thereby simplifying corrections of variations in RI. Cells were immersed in a vat of decalin to minimize light refraction. Triplicate measurements collected at 90° were analyzed through the use of a second order cumulant expansion, which provides the hydrodynamic radius (R_g) calculated from the translational diffusion coefficient.³⁵

Synthesis of Monomer Mixture (i.e., 3 and 4). A flamedried round-bottom flask fitted with an oven-dried condenser was charged with 7 mL sodium cyclopentadienylide solution (2 M in THF, 14 mmol). To this solution was added 5.9 mL dimethylcarbonate (70 mmol) at room temperature with stirring. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 6 h and then cooled to room temperature. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo. To the resulting solid was added iPrOH (to 0.33 M), 0.41 mL sulfuric acid (0.55 equiv, 7.7 mmol), and 2.35 mL of freshly cracked cyclopentadiene (28 mmol) at room temperature with stirring. Acidification was marked by a brown to orange color change. The solution was heated to 50 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting oil was dissolved in toluene and loaded onto a silica gel column. Elution with hexanes-ethyl acetate provided 1.60 g of a mixture of 3 and 4 (60%). Major: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.84 (d, J = 3.5 Hz ,1H), 5.45–5.50 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.35-3.40 (m, 1H), 3.28-3.31 (m, 1H), 3.01-3.04 (m, 1H), 2.23 (ddq, J = 18.3, 10.3, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.70-1.77 (m, 1H), 1.64 (dt, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (d, J = 8.2 Hz,

1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.9, 148.5, 133.1, 133.1, 130.8, 54.2, 51.4, 50.6, 47.1, 46.4, 40.8, 34.2. *Minor*: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.54 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.92–2.96 (m, 1H), 2.89–2.92 (m, 1H), 2.80–2.88 (m, 2H), 2.42 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (dtd, J = 17.3, 4.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.49 (dt, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7, 144.5, 137.1, 135.7, 133.0, 55.0, 51.3, 50.3, 46.3, 45.6, 41.3, 33.6; IR (cm⁻¹, film) 2955, 1732, 1717, 1634, 1439, 1268, 1096, 735. GC–MS 190, 125, 93, 66 *m*/*z* observed for four isomeric species in a ratio of 1:0.6:0.17:0.015. HRMS (ESI): [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O₂Na, 213.08861; found, 213.08864.

Synthesis of Polymer 5. To a mixture of 3 and 4 (190 mg, 1 mmol) in 3 mL of DCM was added 8 mg Grubbs secondgeneration catalyst (monomer/catalyst = 40:1). The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 40 min. To this solution was added 1 mL ethyl vinyl ether. The reaction was stirred for an additional 1 h, after which 10 mL of diethyl ether was added. White precipitate indicated formation of the polymer. The resulting mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm and 4 °C for 5 min. Polymer 5 was isolated as a precipitate (64 mg, 90% based upon the amount of 4 in the starting mixture), and unreacted 3 was obtained in the ether supernatant. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.52–6.65 (br, 1H), 5.22–5.55 (br, 2H), 3.69-3.75 (br, 3H), 3.33-3.42 (br, 1H), 2.85-3.02 (br, 2H), 2.47–2.73 (br, 2H), 1.59–1.76 (br, 1H), 1.20–1.34 (br, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.3, 143.9, 136.6, 131.5, 130.7, 56.0, 51.4 (the remaining carbon resonances appeared as overlapping signals from 47.3 to 34.2 ppm).

Thermal Crosslinking. An oven-dried vial was charged with polymer 5 under an argon atmosphere. The reaction was heated to 180 °C overnight. A tough, insoluble material 6 was obtained. Refer to Figure 3 for DSC characterization data.

Spin Casting and Functionalization of Polymers. Spin casting was performed on freshly cleaned, $18 \times 18 \text{ mm}^2$ glass coverslips. Cleaning was performed as follows: 10 min of sonication in chloroform and 10 min in methanol followed by overnight drying under vacuum. Polymer samples were dissolved to 4 wt % in CH₂Cl₂ and a 50 μ L droplet was dropped onto a coverslip spinning at 2000 rpm. Following deposition, the film was allowed to spin for 60 s to ensure that the majority of solvent had been removed.

Linear polymer 5 was spin-coated on precleaned glass slides. These coated glass slides were kept in a 180 °C oven under vacuum overnight to give crosslinked polymer 6. These polymer-6-coated slides were immersed in a solution of methanolic NaOH (1:1 MeOH/10% aqueous NaOH) under vacuum. After 8 h, the slides were washed with water and MeOH and then dried in a 70 °C oven under vacuum overnight. The resulting polymer-7-coated slides were acidified with 10% HCl solution under vacuum for 30 min. All the slides were then washed with water and MeOH and dried in a 70 °C oven under slides were then washed with water and MeOH and dried in a 70 °C oven under slides were then washed with water and MeOH and dried in a 70 °C oven under vacuum overnight to give polymer-8-coated slides.

Slides half-coated with polymer 6 and half with polymer 7 were prepared by suspending half of each polymer-6-coated slide in a solution of methanolic NaOH (1:1 MeOH/10% aqueous NaOH) for 8 h under argon (see Figure S3). The resulting slides were washed with water and MeOH and then dried at 70 $^{\circ}$ C oven under vacuum overnight.

AFM Measurements. AFM measurements of the coated glass coverslips were performed on an Agilent Technologies 5500 Scanning Probe Microscope equipped with a Ted Pella

TAP190-G AFM probe operating in tapping mode. To minimize vibrations, the microscope was covered in a vibration-resistant case on a vibration isolation platform maintained at 80 psi. Each sample was imaged at three separate locations on the slide, surface roughness measurements being collected over a 10 μ m × 10 μ m area. Data was analyzed with the use of the Gwyddion data analysis software package. All images underwent slight modification to remove experimental artifacts such as sloped background, contrast alteration for ease of viewing (this was performed after measurements), and in some cases performing Fourier filtering of an unknown 10 Hz noise.

Contact Angle Measurements. A drop of liquid (2 μ L) was deposited on the freshly prepared substrate using a Hamilton microsyringe with a mechanical dispenser. Side-view images of the drop on the substrate were taken by a high-performance aberration-corrected imaging lens with precise manual focus adjustment (CMOS sensor). Advancing contact angles were measured on these images. Two glass chips were prepared for each substrate. Three drops of liquid were deposited at three different regions of each film. A mean contact angle and standard deviation were thus determined from the resulting measurements. A sample of conventional PDCPD was obtained from Product Rescue BVBA, Waarschoot, Belgium. Prior to analysis, the sample was polished with 400 grit sandpaper, then washed with water and MeOH, and dried at 70 °C in a vacuum oven overnight.

Surface tensions were calculated by the combination of the OWRK equations (eqs 1 and 2).

$$0.5\gamma_{\rm l\nu}(1+\cos\theta) = \sqrt{\gamma_{\rm sv}^{\rm d}\gamma_{\rm lv}^{\rm d}} + \sqrt{\gamma_{\rm sv}^{\rm p}\gamma_{\rm lv}^{\rm p}}$$
(1)

$$\gamma_{\rm sv} = \gamma_{\rm sv}^{\rm d} + \gamma_{\rm sv}^{\rm p} \tag{2}$$

H₂O γ_{lv} = 72.8 mN/m, H₂O γ_{lv}^d = 21.8 mN/m, H₂O γ_{lv}^p = 50.0 mN/m. CH₂I₂ γ_{lv} = 50.8 mN/m, CH₂I₂ γ_{lv}^d = 50.8 mN/m, CH₂I₂ γ_{lv}^d = 50.8 mN/m, CH₂I₂ γ_{lv}^d = 00.0 mN/m. θ = contact angle

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.6b00193.

GPC traces for polymer **5** (Figure S1); visual comparison between freshly prepared polymer **5** solution and 3-dayold suspension (Figure S2); apparatus used for the preparation of coated slides (Figure S3); measured contact angles for *f*PDCPD and PDCPD (Table S1); collected NMR spectra (Figures S4–S12) (PDF) Video showing water on a modified *f*PDCPD surface (GIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: wulff@uvic.ca.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dirk Vervacke (Product Rescue BVBA) for helpful discussions on the utility and current limitations for PDCPD. We also thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for operating funds, and the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research and the Canada Research Chairs program for salary support to J.W. as well as incentive funds used to support this research. Finally, we thank Prof. Martin Jun for the use of his contact angle measurement apparatus, and Dr. Ori Granot for analytical support and GC–MS measurements.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Autenrieth, B.; Jeong, H.; Forrest, W. P.; Axtell, J. C.; Ota, A.; Lehr, T.; Buchmeiser, M. R.; Schrock, R. R. Stereospecific Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization (ROMP) of *endo*-Dicyclopentadiene by Molybdenum and Tungsten Catalysis. *Macromolecules* 2015, 48, 2480–2492. (b) Davidson, T. A.; Wagener, K. B. The Polymerization of Dicyclopentadiene: an Investigation of Mechanism. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1998, 133, 67–74. (c) Grubbs, R. H.; Gilliom, L. R., Siove, A. U.S. Patent 4,607,112, August 19, 1986; (d) Woodson, C. S.; Grubbs, R. H. U.S. Patent 6,020,443, February 1, 2000; (e) Pacreau, A.; Fontanille, M. Linear Polymerization of *endo*-Dicyclopentadiene Initiated by Metathesis Catalysts. *Makromol. Chem.* 1987, 188, 2585–2595. (f) Rule, J. D.; Moore, J. S. ROMP Reactivity of *endo*-and *exo*-Dicyclopentadiene. *Macromolecules* 2002, 35, 7878–7882.

(2) Ivin, K. J.; Mol, J. C. Olefin Metathesis and Metathesis Polymerization; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1997.

(3) (a) Vervacke, D. An Introduction to PDCPD; Product Rescue: Waarschoot, Belgium, 2008; (b) Della Martina, A.; Garamszegi, L.; Hilborn, J. G. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. **2003**, 41, 2036.

(4) Mol, J. C. Industrial Applications of Olefin Metathesis. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2004, 213, 39.

(5) Kovačič, S.; Jeřábek, K.; Krajnc, P.; Slugovc, C. Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerisation of Emulsion Templated Dicyclopentadiene Giving Open Porous Materials with Excellent Mechanical Properties. *Polym. Chem.* **2012**, *3*, 325–328.

(6) White, S. R.; Sottos, N. R.; Geubelle, P. H.; Moore, J. S.; Kessler, M. R.; Sriram, S. R.; Brown, E. N.; Viswanathan, S. Autonomic Healing of Polymer Composites. *Nature* **2001**, *409*, 794–797.

(7) Goetz, A. E.; Boydston, A. J. Metal-Free Preparation of Linear and Cross-Linked Polydicyclopentadiene. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7572–7575.

(8) Fisher, R. A.; Grubbs, R. H. Ring-opening Metathesis Polymerization of *exo*-Dicyclopentadiene: Reversible Crosslinking by a Metathesis Catalyst. *Makromol. Chem., Macromol. Symp.* **1992**, *63*, 271–277.

(9) Davidson, T. A.; Wagener, K. B.; Priddy, D. B. Polymerization of Dicyclopentadiene: A Tale of Two Mechanisms. *Macromolecules* **1996**, 29, 786–788.

(10) Vervacke, D. Product Rescue BVBA, Waarschoot, Belgium. Personal communication, 2016.

(11) Perring, M.; Bowden, N. B. Assembly of Organic Monolayers on Polydicyclopentadiene. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 10480–10487.

(12) Perring, M.; Long, T. R.; Bowden, N. B. Epoxidation of the Surface of Polydicyclopentadiene for the Self-Assembly of Organic Monolayers. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2010**, *20*, 8679–8685.

(13) Knall, A.-C.; Kovačič, S.; Hollauf, M.; Reishofer, D.; Saf, R.; Slugovc, C. Inverse Electron Demand Diels–Alder (iEDDA) Functionalisation of Macroporous Poly(dicyclopentadiene) Foams. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 7325–7327.

(14) Kovačič, S.; Krajnc, P.; Slugovc, C. Inherently Reactive PolyHIPE Material from Dicyclopentadiene. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 7504–7506.

(15) Gong, L.; Liu, K.; Ou, E.; Xu, F.; Lu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Gao, T.; Yang, Z.; Xu, W. ROMP of Acetoxy-Substituted Dicyclopentadiene to a Linear Polymer with a High T_{e} . RSC Adv. **2015**, *5*, 26185–26188.

(16) Saha, S.; Ginzburg, Y.; Rozenberg, I.; Iliashevsky, O.; Ben-Asuly, A.; Lemcoff, N. G. Cross-linked ROMP Polymers Based on Odourless Dicyclopentadiene Derivatives. *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 3071–3075.

(17) (a) Rosenblum, M. Preparation and Thermal Rearrangement of Several Dicyclopentadiene Derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 3179–3181. (b) Woodward, R. B.; Katz, T. J. The Mechanism of the Diels-Alder Reaction. *Tetrahedron* **1959**, *5*, 70–89. (c) Mironov, V. A.; Fadeeva, T. M.; Stepanyants, A. U.; Akhrem, A. A. Thermal Isomerization of 1-Methylcyclopentadiene. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **1967**, *16*, 418–420.

(18) Xu reported a relatively high T_g (136–159 °C) for *linear* acetoxy-functionalized PDCPD (see ref 15); this is higher than most of the T_g values that Lemcoff reported for the crosslinked materials (see ref 16) and may reflect a difference in the measurement protocol or possibly even crosslinking under the conditions of the T_g measurement. In any event, Xu's polymer experienced a substantial loss of mass at temperatures above 221 °C, which brings up similar questions regarding its thermal stability.

(19) Abadie, M. J.; Dimonie, M.; Couve, C.; Dragutan, V. New Catalysts for Linear Polydicyclopentadiene Synthesis. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2000**, *36*, 1213–1219.

(20) Vidavsky, Y.; Navon, Y.; Ginzburg, Y.; Gottlieb, M.; Lemcoff, N. G. Thermal Properties of Ruthenium Alkylidene-Polymerized Dicyclopentadiene. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *11*, 1469–1474.

(21) Le Gac, P. Y.; Choqueuse, D.; Paris, M.; Recher, G.; Zimmer, C.; Melot, D. Durability of Polydicyclopentadiene Under High Temperature, High Pressure and Seawater (Offshore Oil Production Conditions). *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2013**, *98*, 809–817.

(22) Ebewele, R. O. Polymer Science and Technology; CRC Press, 2000.

(23) Surburg, H.; Panten, J. Common Fragrance and Flavor Materials; Wiley-VCH, 2006.

(24) (a) Srinivasan, S.; Lee, M. W.; Grady, M. C.; Soroush, M.; Rappe, A. M. Computational Evidence for Self-Initiation in Spontaneous High-Temperature Polymerization of Methyl Methacrylate. J. Phys. Chem. A 2011, 115, 1125–1132. (b) Walling, C.; Briggs, E. R. The Thermal Polymerization of Methyl Methacrylate. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 1141–1145. (c) Stickler, M.; Meyerhoff, G. Die Thermische Polymerisation von Methylmethacrylat, 1. Polymerisation in Substanz. Makromol. Chem. 1978, 179, 2729–2745.

(25) Mathias, L. J.; Lewis, C. M.; Wiegel, K. N. Poly(ether ether ketone)s and Poly(ether sulfones) with Pendent Adamantyl Groups. *Macromolecules* **1997**, *30*, 5970–5975.

(26) (a) Peters, D. Simple Derivatives of Cyclopentadiene. Part III. The Adducts of Methyl Cyclopentadienecarboxylate and Cyclopentadiene. J. Chem. Soc. **1961**, 1037–1042. (b) Alder, K.; Flock, F. H.; Hausweiler, A.; Reeber, R. Über die Konstitution der Cyclopentadien-carbonsäure aus Cyclopentadien-kalium. Chem. Ber. **1954**, 87, 1752–1759.

(27) Chen, J.; Kilpatrick, B.; Oliver, A. G.; Wulff, J. E. Expansion of Thiele's Acid Chemistry in Pursuit of a Suite of Conformationally Constrained Scaffolds. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 8979–8989.

(28) (a) Hejl, A.; Scherman, O. A.; Grubbs, R. H. Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization of Functionalized Low-Strain Monomers with Ruthenium-Based Catalysts. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 7214– 7218. (b) Chatterjee, A. K.; Choi, T.-L.; Sanders, D. P.; Grubbs, R. H. A General Model for Selectivity in Olefin Cross Metathesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11360–11370.

(29) Franklin, W. E. Kinetics of the Reverse Diels-Alder Dissociation of Substituted Dicyclopentadienes. J. Org. Chem. **1970**, 35, 1794–1796.

(30) Yang, Y.-S.; Lafontaine, E.; Mortaigne, B. NMR Characterisation of Dicyclopentadiene Resins and Polydicyclopentadienes. *J. Appl. Polymer Sci.* **1996**, *60*, 2419–2435.

(31) Kwok, D. Y.; Neumann, A. W. Contact Angle Measurement and Contact Angle Interpretation. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1999**, *81*, 167–249.

(32) A sample of PDCPD was obtained from Product Rescue BVBA.

(33) Perwuelz, A.; Campagne, C.; Lam, T. M. Caractérisation de la Surface du Polydicyclopentadiène (Poly-DCPD): Influence du Vieillissement sur le Mouillage et l'Adhésion de Peinture. *J. Chim. Phys.* **1999**, *96*, 904–922.

(34) Lemcoff and co-workers measured contact angles for three allylically functionalized PDCPDs (refer to the Supplementary

Information associated with ref 16), but surface energies were not explicitly determined.

(35) Marcelo, G.; Prazeres, T. J. V.; Charreyre, M.-T.; Martinho, J. M. G.; Farinha, J. P. S. Thermoresponsive Micelles of Phenanthrene- α -end-labeled Poly(*N*-decylacrylamide-*b*-*N*,*N*-diethylacrylamide) in Water. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 501–510.