

Set of Fe(II)-3-Hydroxyflavonolate Enzyme−Substrate Model Complexes of Atypically Coordinated Mononuclear Non-Heme Fe(II)- Dependent Quercetin 2,4-Dioxygenase

Ying-Ji Sun,[*](#page-8-0)¹ Qian-Qian Huang, and Jian-Jun Zhang

School of Chemistry, Dalian University of Technology, 2 Linggong Road, Dalian 116024, China

S [Supporting Information](#page-8-0)

ABSTRACT: With the aim of revealing the catalytic role of atypically coordinated (3His-1Glu) active site mononuclear non-heme Fe(II)-dependent quercetin 2,4-dioxygenase (Fe-2,4-QD) and the electronic effects of the model ligands on the reactivity toward dioxygen, a set of p/m-R-substituted carboxylate-containing ligand-supported Fe(II)-3-hydroxyflavonolate complexes, $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ (L^RH: 2-{[bis(pyridin-2ylmethyl)amino]methyl}-p/m-R-benzoic acid; R: p-OMe (1), p-Me (2) , m-Br (4) , and m-NO₂ (5) ; fla: 3-hydroxyflavonolate), were synthesized and characterized as structural and

functional models for the ES (enzyme−substrate) complexes of Fe-2,4-QD. [FeIILR(fla)] show relatively high enzyme-type reactivity (dioxygenative ring opening of the coordinated substrate fla, single-turnover reaction) at low temperatures (30−65 °C). The reaction shows a linear Hammett plot $(\rho = -1.21)$, and electron donating groups enhance the reaction rates. The notable difference on the reactivity can be rationalized from the electronic nature of the substituent in the ligands, which could tune the reactivity via tuning Lewis acidity of the Fe(II) ion, electron density, and the redox potential of fla. The properties and the reactivity show approximately linear correlations between λ_{max} or $E_{1/2}$ of fla and the reaction rate constant k. This work sheds light not only on understanding of electronic effects of the ligands and the property−reactivity relationship but also on the role of the catalytic reaction by Fe-2,4-QD.

■ INTRODUCTION

Mononuclear non-heme Fe(II)-dependent enzymes (MNHEs) act pivotal roles in the O_2 metabolism, catalyze an amazing variety of oxidative reactions, and have potential medical and pharmaceutical applications.^{[1](#page-9-0)} Generally, the $Fe(II)$ ion in the active sites of MNHEs is coordinated by two histidine imidazoles and a carboxylate group of Glu or Asp in a "facial triad" mode. However, atypical coordination modes, such as the three histidine imidazoles 3His mode [as observed in diketone dioxygenase $(Dke1)^2$ $(Dke1)^2$ and cysteine dioxygenase $(CDO)^3$ $(CDO)^3$], or three histidine imidazoles and a carboxylate 3His-1Glu mode [as observed in acireductone dioxygenase $(ARD)^4$ $(ARD)^4$ and quercetin 2,4-dioxygenase $(2,4$ -QD $)^5$ $)^5$, were also reported recently. The active site structure−function relationship of different active site MNHEs is very interesting and fascinating. Compared with the well-studied typically coordinated MNHEs, biomimetic research of the atypically coordinated MNHEs, especially those bearing the 3His-1Glu mode and the structure−function relationship of different active site MNHEs, remains largely undeveloped.

In the initial step of the quercetin catabolism, 2,4-QD activates dioxygen to catalyze the oxygenative O-heterocycle ring opening reaction of flavonoid quercetin (3′,4′,5,7 tetrahydroxyflavonol, QUE) to the corresponding phenolic carboxylic acid esters and release carbon monoxide (Scheme $(1)^6$ $(1)^6$

Scheme 1. Reaction of QUE with Dioxygen Catalyzed by 2,4- QD

The fungal 2,4-QD^{[7](#page-9-0)−[9](#page-9-0)} is the only known Cu(II)-dependent dioxygenase (Cu-2,4-QD) and has a mononuclear type II $Cu(II)$ reaction center. The active site of 2,4-QD from Aspergillus japonicas comprises two distinct coordination environments. In the first case, the $Cu(II)$ is coordinated by a carboxylate group of Glu73, three histidine imidazoles, and one water molecule to form a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal geometry, whereas another exhibits a distorted tetrahedral geometry without the binding of $Glu73$ $Glu73$ $Glu73$.⁷ In the enzymesubstrate (ES) complex, the Cu(II) active site shows a distorted square pyramidal geometry, in which a deprotonated substrate 3-hydroxyflavonolate (fla) is bound to Cu(II) via the 3-hydroxy group with the displacement of the water molecule.^{[10](#page-9-0)} The

Received: July 5, 2017 Accepted: August 31, 2017 Published: September 18, 2017

Figure 1. (a−d) Structures of the previously reported ES model complexes. (d) Structures of the model complexes (M: Fe) in this work.

mutation of Glu[7](#page-9-0)3 induces a loss of enzyme activity, $\frac{7}{7}$ implying that the Glu73 carboxylate group acts an essential catalytic $role¹⁰$ $role¹⁰$ $role¹⁰$

The bacterial 2,4-QD from Bacillus subtilis^{[5,11](#page-9-0),[12](#page-9-0)} is an Fe^{II} dependent dioxygenase (Fe-2,4-QD). It has an atypically coordinated mononuclear non-heme Fe(II) active site, which also exhibits two distinct structures similar to that of Cu-2,4- QD^S . In the N- or C-terminal cupin motif, the Fe(II) active site shows a distorted trigonal bipyramidal or a square pyramidal geometry involving three histidine imidazoles, a water molecule, and one Glu69 (2.10 Å) or Glu241 (2.44 Å, weakly coordinated).^{[5](#page-9-0)}

So far, a lot of Cu-2,4-QD models have been reported,^{[13](#page-9-0)-[16](#page-9-0)} though other metal-based models, especially those containing iron, are still rare,^{[16e](#page-9-0),[17](#page-9-0)−[24](#page-9-0)} Currently, only five structurally characterized Fe−fla complexes—[Fe^{III}(fla)(L¹)]ClO₄ (L¹: Npropanoate-N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine) (Figure 1a), 17 17 17 $\left[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{(4'-MeOfla)}_{3}\right]$,^{[18](#page-9-0)} $\left[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{(fla)}\text{(salen)}\right]$ (salenH₂: 1,6-bis-(2hydroxyphenyl)-2,5-diaza-hexa-1,5-diene) (Figure 1b),[19](#page-9-0) $[Fe^{III}(fla)_2Cl(MeOH)]$,^{[20](#page-9-0)} and $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]$ ($L^{H}H$: 2-{[bis-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)amino]methyl}benzoic acid) (Figure $1d)^{21a}$ $1d)^{21a}$ $1d)^{21a}$ —have been reported, though their oxidation states are not the same as that in the ES adduct of the Fe^{II}-2,4-QD except [Fe^{II}L^H(fla)]. There are only three examples of Fe(II)-fla complexes to mimic Fe^{II} -2,4-QD: $\left[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{fla})(\text{L}^1)\right]$,^{[17](#page-9-0)} $\left[\text{(6-1)}\right]$ $Ph_2TPA)Fe^{II}(fla)$]ClO₄ (6-Ph₂TPA: N,N-bis((6-phenyl-2pyridyl)methyl)-N- $((2$ -pyridyl)methyl)amine) (Figure 1c),^{[22](#page-9-0)} and $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]^{21a}$ However, $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^{1})]^{17}$ $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^{1})]^{17}$ $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^{1})]^{17}$ and $[(6-A)^{21a}$ $Ph_2TPA)Fe^{II}(fla)\c{)}ClO_4^{22}$ $Ph_2TPA)Fe^{II}(fla)\c{)}ClO_4^{22}$ $Ph_2TPA)Fe^{II}(fla)\c{)}ClO_4^{22}$ are not crystallographically characterized, and the reactivity of ${\rm [Fe^{II}(fla)(L^1)]}^{\rm I7}$ toward dioxygen is lower and requires higher temperatures (65−80 °C) relative to $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]$. Besides, $[(6-Ph_2TPA)Fe^{II}(fla)]ClO_4$ reacts with O_2 to give a diiron(III) μ -oxo complex [(6- $\text{Ph}_2 \text{TPAFe}^{\text{III}}(\text{fla})$)₂(μ -O)](ClO₄)₂.^{[22](#page-9-0)} No enzyme-type dioxygenation reactivity study has been done for this complex. Thus, $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]$ is the only crystallographically characterized Fe^{II} fla complex with a higher enzyme-type dioxygenation reactivity reported previously by our group.²

Most of the reported 2,4-QD model complexes are supported by polyamine ligands. The role of the active site carboxylate group of Glu of the enzyme has not received much attention, and little attempts have been made to design carboxylate-containing ligands. Up to now, there are only three types of carboxylate-containing ligand-supported ES model complexes of 2,4-QD. They are $[M^{II}(fla)(L^{1})]$ (M: Mn, Fe^{II/III}, Co, Ni, Cu),^{[17](#page-9-0)} $[M^{\text{II}}L^{\text{R}}(\text{fla})]$ (M: Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn; L^RH: 2-{[bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)amino]methyl}- p/m -R-benzoic acid; R: $p\text{-}\mathrm{OMe}$, $p\text{-}\mathrm{Me}$, $-\mathrm{H}$, $m\text{-}\mathrm{Br}$, and $m\text{-}\mathrm{NO}_2)$ (Figure 1d), 21,23,24 21,23,24 21,23,24 21,23,24 21,23,24 and $[Cu^{II}Ln(fla)] (L1/2H: 2/3-((bis(pyridin-2-))$

ylmethyl)amino)methyl)benzoic acid; L3/4H: 2/3-((bis(2- (pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)benzoic acid).^{21a,2}

Generally, the active site residues of the enzyme and their substituent groups, with the aid from the second coordination sphere, are important factors to stabilize the intermediates, tune the catalytic activity, and the conformation of the enzyme via influencing the coordination geometry of the metal cofactor. There are some reports about the biomimetic studies that focus on the electronic substituent effects of the substrate fla on the reactivity.^{[13](#page-9-0)–[16,19](#page-9-0),[26,27](#page-9-0)} However, as we know, there are only two reports that focus on the electronic effects of the substituent group of the model ligands of $Co(II)$ and $Ni(II)$ ES model complexes on their reactivities.^{[23](#page-9-0),[24](#page-9-0)} No report focused on the electronic effects of the substituent group in the model ligands of Fe(II) ES model complexes on their reactivities has been published yet.

With an aim to investigate the electronic substituent effects of the model ligands on the chemical functions of Fe-2,4-QD and the active site structure−function relationship of different active site MNHEs, we synthesized and characterized a set of structural and functional Fe^{II}−fla ES model complexes $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ (R: p-OMe (1), p-Me (2), m-Br (4), and m-NO₂ (5)) (Figure 1d) ($[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]$ (3) has already been reported in our previous paper^{21a}) of Fe-2,4-QD using p/m substituted carboxylate-containing ligands. A detailed investigation on their structures, physicochemical properties, electrochemical properties, and dioxygenation reactivity is presented.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Structural Characterization. The ligands L^RH (Figure 1d) were synthesized based on the reported methods.^{[23](#page-9-0)} The reaction of $\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{OAc})_2$ with the neutral ligand L^RH and flavonol (flaH) under N₂ gave the corresponding complexes $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ (R: p-OMe (1), p-Me (2), m-Br (4), and $m-NO₂(5)$. No additional base was added during the reaction, and the acetate ions (AcO[−]) were proposed to act as proton acceptors. All complexes are relatively stable under air in the solid state, but very sensitive to air in solution (see below). Thus, their single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis cannot be obtained successfully. Only a single crystal of one of the oxidized dioxygenation products, salicylate (sal) complex $[Fe^{III}L^{OMe}(sal)]\cdot H_2O (1')$, was obtained during recrystallization of $[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fla)]$ (1) by slow diffusion of ether into the dichloromethane solution of 1 without N_2 protection at room temperature. The structure is shown in [Figure 2.](#page-2-0) The crystallographic data and the selected bond lengths and angles are summarized in [Tables S1 and S2,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) respectively.

Figure 2. ORTEP (50% ellipsoid) representation of $[Fe^{III}L^{OMe}(sal)]$. $H₂O$ (1'). For clarity, hydrogen atoms and free solvent molecules are omitted.

1' crystallizes in the monoclinic $P2(1)/n$ space group. It has a mononuclear structure, and the Fe^{III} ion is coordinated by $O(4)$ (carboxylate) and $O(6)$ (2-hydroxylate) of sal, $O(1)$ (benzoate) of L^{OMe} , and three nitrogen atoms of L^{OMe} to form a distorted octahedral geometry. The axial positions are occupied by $O(6)$ and $N(3)$ atoms (amine nitrogen atom).

The bond lengths of Fe-O(1) (benzoate of L^{OMe}) is 1.940(3) Å, which is shorter than those of the resting Fe^{II} -2,4-QD (2.10 Å)⁵ and the nonsubstituted derivative $[Fe^{II}L^H(fla)]$ $(2.0256(18)$ A)^{21a} but close to that of $[Fe^{III}(fla)(L^1)]ClO₄$ $(1.909(\text{S}) \text{Å})$.^{[17](#page-9-0)} The bond lengths of Fe−O(4) and Fe−O(6) (oxygen atoms of salicylate) are $1.962(3)$ and $1.878(3)$ Å, respectively. The average Fe−O bond length is 1.93 Å, which is similar to that of $[Fe^{III}(fla)(L^1)]ClO_4$ (1.97 Å).^{[17](#page-9-0)} The average Fe−N bond length is 2.16 Å, which is also close to those of $[Fe^{III}(fla)(L^1)]ClO_4(2.15 \text{ Å})^{17}$ $[Fe^{III}(fla)(L^1)]ClO_4(2.15 \text{ Å})^{17}$ $[Fe^{III}(fla)(L^1)]ClO_4(2.15 \text{ Å})^{17}$ and $[(6-Ph_2TPAFe^{III}(fla))_2(\mu-$ O)](ClO₄)₂ (2.21 Å)^{[22](#page-9-0)} but shorter than those of native Fe-2,4-QD $(2.26 \text{ Å})^5$ $(2.26 \text{ Å})^5$ and $[Fe^{II}L^H(fla)] (2.23 \text{ Å})^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II}L^H(fla)] (2.23 \text{ Å})^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II}L^H(fla)] (2.23 \text{ Å})^{21a}$ Both the color and the charge balance indicate that the Fe ion is +3 state, as also proved also by BVS (bond valence sum) calculation (2.89) .^{[28](#page-10-0)}

The eventually produced crystals of the enzyme-type dioxygenation reaction product sal complex $[Fe^{III}L^{OMe}(sal)].$ H₂O (1') during recrystallization of $[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(\bar{fl}a)]$ (1) without N_2 protection at room temperature are likely an indication that $[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fla)]$ (1) very easily reacts with O_2 even under air at room temperature, which is consistent with its high enzymetype reactivity (see below).

Although suitable single crystals of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ cannot be obtained, they are proposed to have a mononuclear structure bearing a distorted octahedral geometry, similar to that of the nonsubstituted derivative $[Fe^{II} \tilde{L}^H (fla)]^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II} \tilde{L}^H (fla)]^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II} \tilde{L}^H (fla)]^{21a}$ as proved by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), ESI-MS, and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopic results that will be depicted below.

Spectroscopic and Redox Properties of the Com**plexes.** Infrared Spectroscopy. The FT-IR spectra of both solid and ethanol solution state samples of the complexes were measured (Table 1, [Supporting Information,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) Table S3 and Figure S1). As a typical example, the FT-IR spectra of 1 in both states are shown in [Figure S1.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) The spectra between the ethanol solution and solid state samples of each complex are quite similar, suggesting that all complexes keep their monomeric structure $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ in solution, which coincides with ESI-MS and EPR results (see below). The ν (C=O) (C=O stretching vibration) of the bound carbonyl group of fla appears around 1550 cm[−]¹ (ca. 50 cm[−]¹ red-shifted compared with free flavonol (1602 cm^{-1})), which is close to those of reported fla complexes.[13](#page-9-0)−[16,26](#page-9-0) In both states, each complex displays the asymmetric $\nu_{\infty}(\text{COO}^-)$ and symmetric $\nu_{\infty}(\text{COO}^-)$ stretching frequencies of the carboxylate group of L^R around 1615 and 1420 cm[−]¹ , respectively. The difference Δν between $\nu_{as}(COO^-)$ and $\nu_s(COO^-)$ is in the range of 190–200 cm⁻¹, , which is a clear evidence to prove that the carboxylate group of ligand in all complexes has a monodentate coordination mode in both states.[29](#page-10-0)

UV–Vis Spectroscopy. All complexes display an intense $\pi \rightarrow$ π^* transition band of the coordinated fla^{[30](#page-10-0)} in dimethylformamide (DMF) around 400 nm [400 nm ($\varepsilon = 9.59 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ cm⁻¹) for 1, 399 nm ($\varepsilon = 1.03 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for 2, 396 nm $(\varepsilon = 1.16 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ for 4, and 394 nm $(\varepsilon = 1.03 \times 10^4 \text{ m})$ M^{-1} cm⁻¹) for 5] [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)a and Table 1). The λ_{max} values are comparable with that of $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]$ (3) (398 nm)^{21a} but blue-shifted 2–17 nm than those of models $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^1)]$ (402 nm) ,^{[17](#page-9-0)} [Fe^{III}(4'-MeOfla)₃] (411 nm) ,^{[18](#page-9-0)} [Fe^{III}(fla)(salen)] $(407 \text{ nm})^{19}$ $(407 \text{ nm})^{19}$ $(407 \text{ nm})^{19}$ and $[(6\text{-Ph}_2 \text{TPA})\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{fla})]\text{ClO}_4$ $(415 \text{ nm})^{22}$ $(415 \text{ nm})^{22}$ $(415 \text{ nm})^{22}$ which could be explained by a combination effect of the carboxylate negative charge in the model ligands and a lower charge of the Fe(II) versus Fe(III) ion. Compared with free fla (458 nm for $Me₄Nfla²²$ $Me₄Nfla²²$ $Me₄Nfla²²$ and 465 nm for Kfla³¹), all λ_{max} values are blue-shifted (ca. 60 nm), which are similar to those of the enzymatic $5,10,12$ $5,10,12$ $5,10,12$ and other synthetic model systems.^{[13](#page-9-0)-[27](#page-9-0)}

Besides, an order of $-\text{OMe} (1)$ > $-\text{Me} (2)$ > $-\text{H} (3)^{21a}$ $-\text{H} (3)^{21a}$ $-\text{H} (3)^{21a}$ > $-Br$ (4) > $-NO_2$ (5) was observed for the λ_{max} values of fla in

Figure 3. (a) Normalized electronic absorption spectra of the complexes $[Fe^{II}L^R(fla)]$ (ca. 0.1 mM in DMF). (b) Plot of λ_{max} of $[Fe^{II}L^R(fla)]$ vs Hammett constants σ .

Figure 4. ESI-MS spectra of (a) $[{\rm Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fla)}]$ (1), (b) $[{\rm Fe^{II}L^{Me}(fla)}]$ (2), (c) $[{\rm Fe^{II}L^{Br}(fla)}]$ (4), and (d) $[{\rm Fe^{II}L^{NO_2}(fla)}]$ (5) in ${\rm CH_3OH}$ (samples were prepared in a glove box but measured under air). Insets: Experimental and calculated isotope patterns of the species $[Fe^{III}L^R(Aa)]^+$ (line: experimental; column: calculated).

the complexes, which correlates linearly with the Hammett constants σ of the substituent groups of the ligands ($R = 0.99$) (Figure 3b). The stronger electron donating groups induce less

blue shift (lower energy) of the λ_{max} values of fla. These results demonstrate that the λ_{max} values of fla are influenced by the substituent groups in the ligands through the benzoate, $Fe(II)$,

Figure 5. EPR spectra of $[Fe^{II}L^R(fla)]$ (4 mM in 0.5 mL DMF) at 100 K. (a) $[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fa)]$ (1), (b) $[Fe^{II}L^{Me}(fa)]$ (2), (c) $[Fe^{II}L^{Br}(fa)]$ (4), and (d) $[Fe^{II}L^{NO_2}(fla)]$ (5). Line (A): under N₂, (B): exposed to air 2 min, and (C): after the reaction with O₂.

Figure 6. Cyclic voltammograms of $[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fla)] (1)$ in DMF at room temperature, (a) under N₂, and (b) under a slightly aerobic condition. (c) Plot of $E_{1/2}$ of Fe^{III/II} vs σ . (d) Plot of $E_{1/2}$ of fla/fla[•] vs σ .

and "charge delocalization conduit", as indicated by wine-red color in [Scheme 2](#page-3-0). [7](#page-9-0),[23](#page-9-0),[24](#page-9-0) All complexes also show an absorption shoulder around 485 nm, which is probably due to the charge transfer between Fe(II) and ligand and an intense absorption band around 280 nm from the supporting model ligand.

ESI-MS Spectroscopy. Because $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ was quite sensitive to air in solution, we observed only a peak cluster attributable to the oxidized species $[Fe^{III}L^R(\text{fla})]^{\text{-}}$ as a main peak at m/z (pos.) = 655.1 for 1, 639.3 for 2, 703.2 for 4, and 670.3 for 5 [\(Figure 4](#page-3-0)). Furthermore, no oxo- or fla-bridged dimeric species were observed.

The very good agreement of the m/z values and the isotope distribution patterns of the peak clusters between the experimental and calculated data strongly suggest that

 $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ is easily auto-oxidized to $[Fe^{III}L^{R}(fla)]^{+}$ by air but keep their monomer structures even after auto-oxidation in solution, which are in line with the results of the solution obtained using FT-IR and EPR (see below).

EPR Spectroscopy. The X-band EPR spectra of the complexes $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ were all silent under N₂ at 100 K (Figure 5A, black line spectra), indicating that the valence of the iron ion is +2. The results resemble that of native Fe-2,4- QD.[5](#page-9-0),[11,12](#page-9-0)

Cyclic Voltammetry. The results of cyclic voltammetry (CV) of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ are summarized in [Table 1.](#page-2-0) All redox potentials are reported versus SCE. The cyclic voltammogram of 1 is shown in Figure 6a as a typical example. All complexes display a quasireversible redox couple attributable to the one-electron

complexes	$T({}^{\circ}C)$	$10^2 \times k$ (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	ΔH^{\ddagger} (kJ mol ⁻¹)	ΔS^{\ddagger} (J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	E_{2} (kJ mol ⁻¹)	refs
$[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fla)] (1)$	50	150 ± 6	59 ± 4	-64 ± 5	61 ± 6	this work
$[Fe^{II}L^{Me}(fla)]$ (2)	50	59.8 ± 0.7	62 ± 2	-59 ± 2	64 ± 1	this work
$[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]$ (3)	50	39.8 ± 0.1	65.92	-51.81	68.60	21a
$[Fe^{II}L^{Br}(fla)]$ (4)	50	11.0 ± 0.4	67 ± 2	-57 ± 3	69 ± 3	this work
$[Fe^{II}L^{NO_2}(fla)]$ (5)	50	8.71 ± 0.10	67 ± 3	-59 ± 3	70 ± 2	this work
$[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^1)]$	80	58.6				17
$[Fe^{III}(fla)(L^1)]ClO_4$	80	44.8				17
$[Fe^{III}(4'-MeOfla)_{3}]$	100	80.0				18
$[Fe^{III}(fla)(salen)]$	100	2.07				19

Table 2. Dioxygenation Reaction Rate Constants and Activation Parameters of $[Fe^{II}L^R(fla)]$

Figure 7. Dioxygenation of $[Fe^{ILR}(fa)]$ in DMF at 50 °C under O₂. (a) Time-dependent change of the absorption spectra during the reaction of $[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fla)]^{'}(1)$ (1.0 \times 10⁻⁴ M) with O₂. Inset: Time trace of the absorption changes of 1 at 400 nm. Plots of v_{int} vs (b) $[Fe^{II}L^R(fla)]_0$ and (c) $[O_2]_0$. (d) Hammett plot. Plots of k vs (e) λ_{max} and (f) $E_{1/2}$ of fla/fla[•]. .

redox process between Fe^{II} and Fe^{III} with $E_{1/2} = -0.114$ V for $[Fe^{II}L^{\Omega_{\text{Me}}}(fla)]$ (1), -0.098 V for $[Fe^{II}L^{\text{Me}}(fla)]$ (2), -0.049 V for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\mathrm{L}^\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{fla})\right]$ (4), and −0.029 V for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\mathrm{L}^\mathrm{NO_{2}}(\mathrm{fla})\right]$ (5). The $E_{1/2}$ values are comparable with that of $[Fe^{II}L^H(fla)]$ (3) $(E_{1/2} =$ −0.094 V).^{[21a](#page-9-0)} An order of −OMe (1) < −Me (2) < −H (3)^{21a} $<-Br(4)<-NO₂(5)$ was observed for the $E_{1/2}$ values of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III/II}}$ of the complexes. Besides, the values also correlate linearly with Hammett constants σ (R = 0.98) [\(Figure 6c](#page-4-0)). Obviously, the order can be explained by stronger electrondonating groups that induce higher electron density on the Fe(II) ion, thus leading to easier oxidation of the Fe(II) ion.

Intriguingly, when the solution of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ in DMF was made to contact with air slowly, a new quasireversible redox couple arose at $E_{1/2}$ = +0.120 V for $[Fe^{II}L^{\hat{O}Me}(fla)]$ (1) [\(Figure](#page-4-0) [6](#page-4-0)b), +0.126 V for $[Fe^{II}L^{Me}(fla)]$ (2), +0.216 V for $[Fe^{II}L^{Br}(fla)]$ (4), and +0.231 V for $[Fe^{II}L^{NO_2}(fla)]$ (5), then vanished slowly. Under anaerobic conditions, this redox couple was not observed. The redox couple emerged during the slow reaction with $O₂$ can be assigned to the one-electron redox process between fla and flavonoxy radical fla^{• [21a](#page-9-0)} The $E_{1/2}$ values of fla/ . fla[•] redox couples are comparable with that of $[Fe^{II}L^H(fla)]$ (3) $(E_{1/2} = +0.130 \text{ V})^{21a}$ $(E_{1/2} = +0.130 \text{ V})^{21a}$ $(E_{1/2} = +0.130 \text{ V})^{21a}$ and exhibit remarkable differences among them. Besides, an order of $-OMe$ (1) < $-Me$ (2) < $-H$ (3)^{[21a](#page-9-0)}

Figure 8. (a) Spectral change of $[Fe^{II}L^{OMe}(fla)]$ (1) (0.5 mM in DMF) in the presence of excess NBT under O₂ (red line) and N₂ (black line) at room temperature. (b) EPR spectrum of 1 in the presence of 2 equiv DMPO under air at room temperature.

 $<-Br(4)<-NO₂(5)$ was observed, and the $E_{1/2}$ values are also correlate the linear relationship with Hammett constants σ $(R = 0.92)$ ([Figure 6](#page-4-0)d). The order implies that the bound fla is more readily oxidized in the order of $-OMe(1) > -Me(2) >$ $-H$ (3)^{[21a](#page-9-0)} > $-H$ (4) > $-NO_2$ (5), as also confirmed by experiments (see below). The order of $E_{1/2}$ of fla/fla[•] can be ascribed to the electronic nature of the substituent in the ligands, which could tune the redox potential of fla via tuning Lewis acidity of the $Fe(II)$ ion and the electron density of fla. The stronger electron donating group, the weaker Lewis acidity of the $Fe(II)$ ion, the weaker interaction between the $Fe(II)$ ion and fla, the larger electron density on the fla moiety, the lower redox potentials of fla, and the easier oxidation of the bound fla.

Reaction with Dioxygen (Enzyme-Type Dioxygenation *Reactivity*). Aerobic treatment of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ in DMF at 70 °C for 8 h gave the primary products O-benzoylsalicylic acid (HObs) $[m/z$ (pos.): 243.1 $(M + H)^{+}$] (2.4–17%) and CO (over 64% for 1); then, HObs was hydrolyzed to produce salicylic acid $[m/z \text{ (neg.): } 137.1 \text{ (M - H)}^{-1} \text{ (81–97%)}$ and benzoic acid $[m/z \text{ (neg.): } 121.1 \text{ (M - H)}^{-}] \text{ (67–82%) by a}$ small amount of water presented in the solvent. The benzoic acid was further converted to an amide derivative, N,Ndimethylbenzamide $[m/z \text{ (pos.)}: 150.1 \text{ (M + H)}^+] (10-16\%)$ [\(Scheme 2](#page-3-0)) by the solvent DMF. These products were identified by LC−MS [\(Figure S2\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) and ${}^{1}H^{2}NMR^{21a}$ ${}^{1}H^{2}NMR^{21a}$ ${}^{1}H^{2}NMR^{21a}$ and summarized in [Table S4](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf). Conversions of the complexes are over 99%. As the products of the oxygenative ring opening reaction of the bound fla are similar to that catalyzed by the native enzyme, $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ display an enzyme-type reactivity.

The dioxygenation reaction processes of the bound fla of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ were followed by monitoring the absorption change ca. 400 nm band in DMF at 30−65 °C. The kinetic data, activation parameters, and reaction conditions are summarized in [Tables 2](#page-5-0) and [S5.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) As a typical example, the time-dependent absorption spectral change and time trace during the dioxygenation reaction of $[Fe^{I\bar{I}}L^{OMe}(fla)]$ (1) are shown in [Figure 7a](#page-5-0). The initial reaction rate $(\nu_{\text{int}} M s^{-1})$ was calculated from the initial straight line part of the plot of ΔA versus time ([Figure 7a](#page-5-0), inset). [Figure 7](#page-5-0)b,c show that the v_{int} correlates linearly with the initial concentrations of both $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]_0$ and $[O_2]_0$, thus, $v_{int} = k[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)][O_2]$. The second-order rate constants k were calculated through dividing v_{int} by both $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]_{0}$ and $[O_{2}]_{0}$.

Therefore, the apparent second-order reaction rate constants k were calculated to be $8.71-150 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 50 °C $(\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 59 - 67 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \Delta S^{\ddagger} = -57 \text{ to } -64 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$ [\(Table 2,](#page-5-0) [Supporting Information,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) Table S5 and Figure S3).

Till now, only two examples of Fe(II)−fla complexes $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^1)]^{17}$ $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^1)]^{17}$ $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^1)]^{17}$ and $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)]$ (3) (39.8 × 10⁻² M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 50 °C and 179 \times 10⁻² M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 70 °C)^{21a} showing a dioxygenation reactivity have been reported. $[Fe^{II}(fla)(L^1)]$ is not structurally characterized and exhibits a relatively lower reactivity (only 58.6 \times 10⁻² M⁻¹ s⁻¹ even at 80 °C) and requires a higher temperature (65−80 °C).[17](#page-9-0) Compared to it, our model complexes $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ show a higher enzyme-type reactivity at a lower temperature (30−65 °C), which could be rationalized by the electron donation effect of the substituted benzoate group in the ligand. These results further demonstrate that our model complexes are good functional models of Fe-2,4-QD.

It is noteworthy that the dioxygenation reactivity of $[Fe^{ILR}(fla)]$ shows a great difference and follows a substituent dependent ranking, $-\text{OMe}(1) > -\text{Me}(2) > -\text{H}(3)^{21a} > -\text{Br}$ $-\text{OMe}(1) > -\text{Me}(2) > -\text{H}(3)^{21a} > -\text{Br}$ $-\text{OMe}(1) > -\text{Me}(2) > -\text{H}(3)^{21a} > -\text{Br}$ (4) > $-NO₂$ (5) [\(Figure 7](#page-5-0), [Table 2\)](#page-5-0). The Hammett plot is linear, and the reaction constant is $\rho = -1.21$ (R = 0.89) [\(Figure 7](#page-5-0)d), implying that the electron donating groups quicken the reaction rates remarkably. The notable difference on the dioxygenation reactivity could be rationalized by the electronic nature of the substituent in the ligand, which could tune the reactivity through varying the electron density of fla via the benzoate, Fe(II) ion, and "charge delocalization conduit". Namely, the stronger electron donation to the Fe(II) ion by the electron donating group via the benzoate group makes Lewis acidity of the Fe(II) ion weaker; thus, the electron density on the fla moiety in the complexes is larger and the redox potential of fla is lower, so the fla moiety can be oxidized easier by O_2 .

It should be pointed out that the order of dioxygenation reactivity looks to be in line with the order of λ_{\max} but inverse of the order of the redox potential $E_{1/2}$ (fla/fla[•]) in the complexes, and they all correlate with Hammett constants σ linearly. Thus, the plots of k versus λ_{max} and $E_{1/2}$ (fla/fla[•]) gave an approximately linear correlation as shown in [Figure 7e](#page-5-0) ($R =$ 0.60) and [7](#page-5-0)f ($R = 0.37$). These results imply that the properties and the reactivities of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ were affected by the electronic nature of the substituent in the ligand through the benzoate, Fe(II) ion, and "charge delocalization conduit", and there are some relationships among them. The stronger the electron-donating group in the ligand, the lower the energy of the $\pi \to \pi^*$ transition, the lower the redox potential of fla, and the higher the dioxygenation reactivity. As the first example of a set of structural and functional ES models of atypically coordinated 3His-1Glu active site mononuclear non-heme Fe^{II}-dependent Fe-2,4-QD, which focus on the electronic effects of the ligand on the enzyme-type reactivity; this study Scheme 3. Proposed Reaction Mechanism of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ toward Dioxygen

will supply critical insights into the role of Fe-2,4-QD and their property−reactivity relationship.

Dioxygenation Reaction Mechanism. As mentioned above, when the ESI-MS spectra of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ were recorded under the air, we only observed a peak cluster assignable to the oxidized species $[Fe^{III}L^{R}(fla)]^{+}$ as a main peak ([Table 1](#page-2-0) and [Figure 4\)](#page-3-0). After the EPR samples of the $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ solution were exposed to air for 2 min at room temperature, we observed a typical mononuclear Fe(III) EPR signal around $g =$ 4.3 [\(Figure 5](#page-4-0)B, red line spectra). Ca. 10% Fe(II) ions were converted to Fe(III) (according to the $g = 4.3$ signal height). All these results demonstrate that the Fe(II) ions in $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ were very easily oxidized to Fe(III) by O₂ to form the Fe(III)−fla species $[Fe^{III}L^R(fla)]^+$ (A) and superoxide radical $O_2^{\bullet-}$ first. The $O_2^{\bullet-}$ was verified by NBT^{2+} (nitroblue tetrazolium) and DMPO (5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide) methods. A new monoformazan (MF^+) the reduction product of NBT²⁺) absorption band rose at 530 nm ([Figure 8](#page-6-0)a, red line spectra for 1) when excess NBT²⁺ was added to a $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ solution (0.5 mM in DMF) under O_2 .^{[32](#page-10-0)} Notably, when a solution of $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ (4 mM) and 2 equiv DMPO in DMF was exposed to air at room temperature, an EPR signal of DMPO–OOH appeared at $g = 2.0069$ (a = 12 G). As a typical example, the EPR spectrum of 1 with DMPO under air is shown in [Figure 8](#page-6-0)b.

After the dioxygenation reaction, a peak cluster assignable to the enzyme-type reaction products salicylate (sal) or benzoate (ben) complexes was observed at m/z (pos.) = 556.4 $([Fe^{III}L^{OMe}(sal)]H^{+})$ for 1, 645.1 $([Fe^{III}L^{Me}(ben)_2]H^{+})$ for 2, 604.2 $(\mathrm{[Fe^{III}L^{Br}(sal)]H^{+}})$ for 4, and 571.1 $(\mathrm{[Fe^{III}L^{NO_{2}}(sal)]H^{+}})$ for 5 in the ESI-MS spectra. On the other hand, EPR signals assignable to typical mononuclear Fe(III) appeared around $g =$ 7.66, 4.27, and 2.06 ([Figure 5C](#page-4-0), blue line spectra).

In the light of all experimental results, a possible dioxygenation reaction mechanism was proposed and shown in Scheme 3. First, $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ and one O₂ molecule react quickly to form $[Fe^{III}L^R(fla)]^+$ (A) and $O_2^{\bullet-}$. Then, there are two possible pathways from A in the next step. One pathway a: direct reaction of intermediate A with another $O₂$ molecule to form an endoperoxide intermediate $[Fe^{III}L(fla-O₂)]^{+}$ (B) slowly. Another pathway b: the reaction of A with another $O₂$ molecule through an electron transfer process from fla to $O₂$ to produce flavonoxy radical species $[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\mathrm{L}^\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{fla}^\bullet)]^{2^+}$ (C) and another $O_2^{\bullet-}$ slowly. There are also two possible pathways from C in the next step. One pathway c: the $O_2^{\bullet-}$ attacks the fla[•] moiety in C to generate an alkylperoxide adduct D. Then, an intramolecular cyclization reaction takes place and an endoperoxide intermediate B is formed. Another pathway d: a Fe III -superoxide adduct E is formed by the coordination of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ to Fe^{III} in C. Then, a Fe(III)−alkylperoxide intermediate F is produced by the intramolecular radical coupling. From F the same endoperoxide intermediate B can also be generated. Once B is formed, the dioxygenated products complex $[Fe^{III}L^{R}(\text{Obs})]^{+}$ (G) is engendered by releasing CO. No direct evidence is available to conclude the accurate reaction pathways, path a or path b and path c or path d at this moment. However, the observed redox couple of fla/fla[•], the reduction of NBT²⁺, and DMPO-OOH EPR signals mentioned above may support the reaction pathway b and pathway d, which involves a flavonoxy radical species, intermediate C and $Fe^{III}-OO^{\bullet-}$ species E, respectively. Nevertheless, the possibility of pathway a also could not be excluded. The reaction mechanism of all the complexes are the same and also close to those of the nonsubstituted derivative $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)] (3)^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)] (3)^{21a}$ $[Fe^{II}L^{H}(fla)] (3)^{21a}$ and Fe-2,4-QD.^{[5,11](#page-9-0),[12](#page-9-0)}

ACS Omega
■ CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have successfully synthesized and characterized a set of structural and functional ES models of Fe-2,4- QD, $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ based on p/m -R-substituted carboxylatecontaining ligands (R: p -OMe (1), p -Me (2), m -Br (4), and m - $NO₂(5)$. The model complexes exhibit a relatively high enzyme-type dioxygenation reactivity at low temperature. The dioxygenation reactions display first-order dependence versus both initial concentrations of the complexes and dioxygen. In addition, the reactivity is varied notably with the substituent group R and follows the ranking of $-OMe$ (1) > $-Me$ (2) > $-H(3)^{21a}$ $-H(3)^{21a}$ $-H(3)^{21a}$ > $-Br(4)$ > $-NO_2(5)$. The Hammett plot shows a linear relationship ($\rho = -1.21$), and electron donating groups progress the reaction rates. The electronic nature of the substituent in the ligand tunes the properties and the reactivity of the complexes remarkably, and there are some correlations between k with the λ_{max} of the $\pi \to \pi^*$ transition or the redox potential of the fla moiety in the complexes, respectively. That is to say, the key role of the substituent group is to tune Lewis acidity of Fe(II), the electron density, and the redox potential of fla by electron donation via benzoate, Fe(II) ion, and "charge delocalization conduit". As far as we know, this is the first example of a set of the ES models of Fe-2,4-QD, which faithfully reproduce the atypically coordinated 3His-1Glu coordination environment of the mononuclear non-heme Fe^{II}-dependent Fe-2,4-QD active site structurally and functionally and will provide crucial insights into the role of Fe-2,4-QD and the active site structure−function relationship of different active site MNHEs.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General and Physical Methods. The methods are the same as the methods reported previously.^{[23](#page-9-0)} EPR instrument parameters for DMPO−OOH detection are microwave frequency 9.531841 GHz, modulation frequency 100 kHz, modulation amplitude 3 G, microwave power 1.91 mW, number of scans 5, time constant 1310.72 ms, conversion time 80 ms, and sweep time 81.92 s.

X-ray Crystallography. A single crystal of 1′ was mounted on a glass capillary. Data of X-ray diffraction were collected by a Bruker Smart APEX II CCD single-crystal diffractometer using Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) to $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ of 50.0° at 293 K. The crystallographic calculation was performed by a direct method using SHELXL 2014.^{[33](#page-10-0)} All non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and isotropically, respectively. The occupy factor of solvent water $O(7)$ and $O(8)$ is 0.5, and the hydrogen atoms of the solvent are not found. Atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, and intramolecular bond lengths and angles are given in the [Supporting](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) [Information](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf) (CIF file format).

Kinetic Measurements. The experiments procedures were similar to the procedures reported previously. 23 23 23 Reaction condition details and results are summarized in [Table S5.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf)

Reaction Products Analysis. The reaction product analysis methods are the same as the methods reported previously.[21a](#page-9-0)

Synthesis of the Model Complexes [Fe^{ll}L^R(fla)]. Ligands $L^R H$ (R: p-OMe, p-Me, m-Br, m-NO₂) were synthesized based on the reported methods.[23](#page-9-0) Complexes were synthesized using a similar method. A solution of $L^R H$ (0.1 mmol in 1.0 mL dry CH₃OH) was added dropwise to a solution of $Fe^{II}(OAc)$ ₂ (17.4) mg, 0.1 mmol, in 2.0 mL dry $CH₃OH$) under a nitrogen

atmosphere at room temperature. After stirring for 30 min, a solution of flavonol $(23.8 \text{ mg}, 0.1 \text{ mmol}, \text{in} 1.1 \text{ CH}_3OH/$ $CH₂Cl₂$) was added dropwise to the above solution with strong stirring. The mixture was stirred for 1 day under N_2 . The $[Fe^{II}L^{R}(fla)]$ was isolated as dark red powder by filtration.

 $[Fe^{II}]$ L^{OMé}(fla)] (1). 52.4 mg, 80%. ESI-MS m/z (pos.): 655.1 $([Fe^{III}L^{OMe}(fla)]^{+})$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{29}FeN_3O_6(655.48)$: C, 65.97; H, 4.46; N, 6.41. Found: C, 65.83; H, 4.61; N, 6.29. FT-IR (solid sample: KBr, cm[−]¹): 3400 (m), 1611 (s), 1545 (s), 1491 (s), 1458 (w), 1418 (s), 1383 (w), 1213 (s), 760 (s). FT-IR (solution sample: in ethanol, cm[−]¹): 3352 (m), 1607 (s), 1541 (s), 1488 (s), 1458 (w), 1417 (s), 1381 (w), 1212 (s), 760 (s).

 $[Fe^{III}]$ C^{Me}(sal)]·H₂O (1'). 12.0 mg, 21%. ESI-MS m/z (pos.): 555.4 ($[Fe^{III}L^{OMe}(sal)]H^+$). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{26}FeN_3O_7$ (572.37): C, 58.76; H, 4.58; N, 7.34. Found: C, 58.63; H, 4.74; N, 7.25. FT-IR (solid sample: KBr, cm[−]¹): 3235 (m), 3075 (m), 2925 (m), 1660 (s), 1596 (s), 1452 (s), 1385 (m), 1224 (s), 756 (s). FT-IR (solution sample: in ethanol, cm[−]¹): 3232 (m), 3075 (m), 2929 (m), 1662 (s), 1598 (s), 1451 (s), 1383 (w), 1226 (s), 757 (s).

[Fe^{II}L^{Me}(fla)] (2). 55.6 mg, 87%. ESI-MS m/z (pos.): 639.1 $([Fe^{III}L^{Me}(fla)]^{+})$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{29}FeN_{3}O_{5}$ (639.48): C, 67.62; H, 4.57; N, 6.57. Found: C, 67.43; H, 4.71; N, 6.42. FT-IR (solid sample: KBr, cm[−]¹): 3400 (m), 1620 (s), 1560 (s), 1490 (s), 1440 (w), 1420 (s), 1380 (w), 1120 (s), 603 (s). FT-IR (solution sample: in ethanol, cm⁻¹): 3381 (m), 1606 (s), 1540 (s), 1488 (s), 1439 (w), 1417 (s), 1354 (w), 1211 (s), 761 (s).

 $[Fe^{1/2}Br(fla)]$ (4). 57.6 mg, 82%. ESI-MS m/z (pos.): 703.0 $([Fe^{III}L^{Br}(fla)]^{+})$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{35}H_{26}BrFeN_3O_5$ (704.36): C, 59.68; H, 3.72; N, 5.97. Found: C, 59.51; H, 3.88; N, 6.05. FT-IR (solid sample: KBr, cm[−]¹): 3420 (m), 1610 (s), 1550 (s), 1490 (s), 1420 (s), 1210 (s), 756 (s). FT-IR (solution sample: in ethanol, cm[−]¹): 3324 (m), 1614 (w), 1542 (s), 1489 (s) , 1417 (s) , 1211 (s) , 880 (s) .

[Fe^{II}L^{NO₂}(fla)] (5). 50.3 mg, 75%. ESI-MS m/z (pos.): 670.1 $([Fe^{III}L^{NO_2}(fla)]^+)$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{35}H_{26}FeN_4O_7(670.46)$: C, 62.70; H, 3.91; N, 8.36. Found: C, 62.56; H, 4.07; N, 8.19. FT-IR (solid sample: KBr, cm[−]¹): 3400 (m), 1612 (s), 1547 (s), 1491 (s), 1420 (s), 1215 (s), 758 (s). FT-IR (solution sample: in ethanol, cm[−]¹): 3335 (m), 1612 (s), 1541 (s), 1488 (s), 1415 (s), 1211 (s), 746 (s).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

8 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the [ACS Publications website](http://pubs.acs.org) at DOI: [10.1021/acsomega.7b00927.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927)

The FT-IR data, reaction product analysis data, kinetic data, FT-IR spectra, LC−MS spectra, Eyring plot, and crystallographic information (CIF) CCDC 1540620 for 1′ are shown [\(PDF](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.7b00927/suppl_file/ao7b00927_si_001.pdf))

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yingjis@dlut.edu.cn (Y.-J.S.).

ORCID[®]

Ying-Ji Sun: [0000-0001-6238-1871](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6238-1871)

Present Address

Department of Chemistry, XinXiang Medical University, Xinxiang 453003, China (Q.-Q.H.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank a lot the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 21471025).

ENDINE REFERENCES

(1) Que, L., Jr.; Ho, R. Y. N. Dioxygen Activation by Enzymes with Mononuclear Non-heme Iron Active Sites. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2607−2624.

(2) Straganz, G.; Brecker, L.; Weber, H.-J.; Steiner, W.; Ribbons, D. W. A Novel β-Diketone-Cleaving Enzyme from Acinetobacter Johnsonii: Acetylacetone 2,3-Oxygenase. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2002, 297, 232−236.

(3) McCoy, J. G.; Bailey, L. J.; Bitto, E.; Bingman, C. A.; Aceti, D. J.; Fox, B. G.; Phillips, G. N., Jr. Structure and Mechanism of Mouse Cysteine Dioxygenase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2006, 103, 3084− 3089.

(4) Ju, T.; Goldsmith, R. B.; Chai, S. C.; Maroney, M. J.; Pochapsky, S. S.; Pochapsky, T. C. One Protein, Two Enzymes Revisited: A Structural Entropy Switch Interconverts the Two Isoforms of Acireductone Dioxygenase. J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 393, 823−834.

(5) Gopal, B.; Madan, L. L.; Betz, S. F.; Kossiakoff, A. A. The Crystal Structure of A Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase from Bacillus Subtilis Suggests Modulation of Enzyme Activity by A Change in the Metal Ion at the Active Site(s). Biochemistry 2005, 44, 193−201.

(6) Wollenweber, E. The Flavonoids: Advances in Research; Harborne, J. B., Mabry, T. J., Eds.; Chapman&Hall: London, 1982; p 57.

(7) Fusetti, F.; Schröter, K. H.; Steiner, R. A.; van Noort, P. I.; Pijning, T.; Rozeboom, H. J.; Kalk, K. H.; Egmond, M. R.; Dijkstra, B. W. Crystal Structure of the Copper-Containing Quercetin 2,3- Dioxygenase from Aspergillus Japonicas. Structure 2002, 10, 259−268. (8) Oka, T.; Simpson, F. J. Quercetinase, A Dioxygenase Containing Copper. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1971, 43, 1−5.

(9) Hund, H.-K.; Breuer, J.; Lingens, F.; Hüttermann, J.; Kappl, R.; Fetzner, S. Flavonol 2,4-Dioxygenase from Aspergillus Niger DSM 821, A Type 2 Cu^{II}-Containing Glycoprotein. Eur. J. Biochem. 1999, 263, 871−878.

(10) Steiner, R. A.; Kalk, K. H.; Dijkstra, B. W. Anaerobic Enzyme•Substrate Structures Provide Insight Into the Reaction Mechanism of the Copper-Dependent Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 16625−16630.

(11) Bowater, L.; Fairhurst, S. A.; Just, V. J.; Bornemann, S. Bacillus Subtilis YxaG Is A Novel Fe-Containing Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase. FEBS Lett. 2004, 557, 45−48.

(12) Barney, B. M.; Schaab, M. R.; LoBrutto, R.; Francisco, W. A. Evidence for A New Metal in A Known Active Site: Purification and Characterization of An Iron-Containing Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase from Bacillus Subtilis. Protein Expression Purif. 2004, 35, 131−141.

(13) Kaizer, J.; Balogh-Hergovich, É .; Czaun, M.; Csay, T.; Speier, G. Redox and Nonredox Metal Assisted Model Systems with Relevance to Flavonol and 3-Hydroxyquinolin-4(1H)-one 2,4-Dioxygenase. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 2222−2233.

(14) Pap, J. S.; Kaizer, J.; Speier, G. Model Systems for the CO-Releasing Flavonol 2,4-Dioxygenase Enzyme. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 781−793.

(15) Kaizer, J.; Pap, J. S.; Speier, G. Copper Dioxygenases. In Copper-Oxygen Chemistry; Karlin, K. D., Shinobu, I., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, 2011; pp 23−52.

(16) (a) Solomon, E. I.; Heppner, D. E.; Johnston, E. M.; Ginsbach, J. W.; Cirera, J.; Qayyum, M.; Kieber-Emmons, M. T.; Kjaergaard, C. H.; Hadt, R. G.; Tian, L. Copper Active Sites in Biology. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 3659−3853. (b) Allpress, C. J.; Berreau, L. M. Oxidative Aliphatic Carbon−Carbon Bond Cleavage Reactions. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2013, 257, 3005−3029. (c) Fetzner, S. Ring-Cleaving Dioxygenases with A Cupin Fold. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2012, 78, 2505−2514. (d) Quist, D. A.; Diaz, D. E.; Liu, J. J.; Karlin, K. D.

Activation of Dioxygen by Copper Metalloproteins and Insights from Model Complexes. J. Biol. Inorg Chem. 2017, 22, 253−288. (e) Fiedler, A. T.; Fischer, A. A. Oxygen Activation by Mononuclear Mn, Co, and Ni Centers in Biology and Synthetic Complexes. J. Biol. Inorg Chem. 2017, 22, 407−424.

(17) Matuz, A.; Giorgi, M.; Speier, G.; Kaizer, J. Structural and Functional Comparison of Manganese-, Iron-, Cobalt-, Nickel-, and Copper-Containing Biomimic Quercetinase Models. Polyhedron 2013, 63, 41−49.

(18) Kaizer, J.; Baráth, G.; Pap, J.; Speier, G.; Giorgi, M.; Réglier, M. Manganese and Iron Flavonolates as Flavonol 2,4-Dioxygenase Mimics. Chem. Commun. 2007, 5235−5237.

(19) Baráth, G.; Kaizer, J.; Speier, G.; Párkányi, L.; Kuzmann, E.; Vértes, A. One Metal-Two Pathways to the Carboxylate-Enhanced, Iron-Containing Quercetinase Mimics. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3630− 3632.

(20) El Amrani, F. B. A.; Perelló, L.; Real, J. A.; González-Alvarez, M.; Alzuet, G.; Borrás, J.; García-Granda, S.; Montejo-Bernardo, J. Oxidative DNA Cleavage Induced by An Iron(III) Flavonoid Complex: Synthesis, Crystal Structure and Characterization of Chlorobis(flavonolato)(methanol) Iron(III) Complex. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2006, 100, 1208−1218.

(21) (a) Sun, Y.-J.; Huang, Q.-Q.; Tano, T.; Itoh, S. Flavonolate Complexes of M^H (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn). Structural and Functional Models for the ES (Enzyme−Substrate) Complex of Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 10936−10948. (b) Sun, Y.-J.; Huang, Q.-Q.; Li, P.; Zhang, J.-J. Catalytic Dioxygenation of Flavonol by M^{II} -Complexes (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn)—Mimicking the M^{II} -Substituted Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase. Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 13926−13938.

(22) Grubel, K.; Rudzka, K.; Arif, A. M.; Klotz, K. L.; Halfen, J. A.; Berreau, L. M. Synthesis, Characterization, and Ligand Exchange Reactivity of A Series of First Row Divalent Metal 3-Hydroxyflavonolate Complexes. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 82−96.

(23) Sun, Y.-J.; Huang, Q.-Q.; Zhang, J.-J. Series of Structural and Functional Models for the ES (Enzyme−Substrate) Complex of the Co(II)-Containing Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 2932−2942.

(24) Sun, Y.-J.; Huang, Q.-Q.; Zhang, J.-J. A Series of Ni^{II}-Flavonolate Complexes as Structural and Functional ES (Enzyme-Substrate) Models of the Ni^{II}-Containing Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase. Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, 6480−6489.

(25) Sun, Y.-J.; Li, P.; Huang, Q.-Q.; Zhang, J.-J.; Itoh, S. Dioxygenation of Flavonol Catalyzed by Copper(II) Complexes Supported by Carboxylate-Containing Ligands: Structural and Functional Models of Quercetin 2,4-Dioxygenase. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2017, 1845−1854.

(26) (a) Balogh-Hergovich, É .; Kaizer, J.; Speier, G.; Argay, G.; Párkányi, L. Kinetic Studies on the Copper(II)-Mediated Oxygenolysis of the Flavonolate Ligand. Crystal Structures of $\left[Cu(fla)_{2} \right]$ (fla = Flavonolate) and $[Cu(O-bs)_2(py)_3]$ (O-bs = O-Benzoylsalicylate). J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 3847−3854. (b) Balogh-Hergovich, É .; Kaizer, J.; Pap, J.; Speier, G.; Huttner, G.; Zsolnai, L. Copper-Mediated Oxygenolysis of Flavonols via Endoperoxide and Dioxetan Intermediates; Synthesis and Oxygenation of $\lceil Cu^{II}(Phen)_{2}(Fla) \rceil ClO_4$ and $[Cu^{II}(L)(Flag)_{2}]$ [FlaH = Flavonol; L = 1,10-Phenanthroline (Phen), 2,2′-Bipyridine (Bpy), N,N,N′,N′-Tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA)] Complexes. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 2287−2295. (c) Balogh-Hergovich, É.; Kaizer, J.; Speier, G.; Fülöp, V.; Párkányi, L. Quercetin 2,3-Dioxygenase Mimicking Ring Cleavage of the Flavonolate Ligand Assisted by Copper. Synthesis and Characterization of Copper(I) Complexes $\lceil Cu(\text{PPh}_3), \text{fla} \rceil \rceil$ (fla = Flavonolate) and $[Cu(PPh₃)₂(O-bs)]$ (O-bs = O-Benzoylsalicylate). Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 3787−3795.

(27) Barhács, L.; Kaizer, J.; Speier, G. Kinetics and Mechanism of the Stoichiometric Oxygenation of the Ionic Zinc(II) Flavonolate Complex $[Zn(fla)(idpa)]ClO₄$ (fla = Flavonolate; idpa = 3,3[']-Iminobis(N,N-dimethylpropylamine)). J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2001, 172, 117−125.

(28) Brydon, P.; Szymczak, P.; Leonyuk, L.; Shvanskaya, L. Bond-Valence Analysis of Charge Distribution in the Spin Ladders of $[M_2Cu_2O_3]_m[CuO_2]_n$ -Type Cuprates with m = n = 1. Philos. Mag. Lett. 1999, 79, 383−387.

(29) Deacon, G. B.; Phillips, R. J. Relationships between the Carbon-Oxygen Stretching Frequencies of Carboxylato Complexes and the Type of Carboxylate Coordination. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1980, 33, 227− 250.

(30) Jurd, L.; Geissman, T. A. Absorption Spectra of Metal Complexes of Flavonoid Compounds. J. Org. Chem. 1956, 21, 1395−1401.

(31) (a) Barhacs, L.; Kaizer, J.; Speier, G. Kinetics and Mechanism of ́ the Oxygenation of Potassium Flavonolate. Evidence for an Electron Transfer Mechanism. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3449−3452. (b) Pap, J. S.; Matuz, A.; Baráth, G.; Kripli, B.; Giorgi, M.; Speier, G.; Kaizer, J. Bio-Inspired Flavonol and Quinolone Dioxygenation by A Non-Heme Iron Catalyst Modeling the Action of Flavonol and 3-Hydroxy-4(1H) quinolone 2,4-Dioxygenases. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2012, 108, 15−21.

(32) Bielski, B. H. J.; Shiue, G. G.; Bajuk, S. Reduction of Nitro Blue Tetrazolium by CO_2^- and O_2^- Radicals. J. Phys. Chem. 1980, 84, 830– 833.

(33) Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures; University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2014. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2014.