World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2019 June 26; 7(12): 1367-1382

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v7.i12.1367

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

REVIEW

Biomarkers vs imaging in the early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma and prognosis

Lavinia Alice Balaceanu

ORCID number: Lavinia Alice Balaceanu (0000-0003-0441-3905).

Author contributions: Balaceanu LA conceived of, designed and performed the review of the literature, and wrote the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The author declares no potential conflicts of interest in relation to this publication.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licen ses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Received: February 20, 2019 Peer-review started: February 20, 2019 First decision: March 11, 2019 Revised: April 7, 2019 Accepted: May 2, 2019 Article in press: May 3, 2019 Published online: June 26, 2019

P-Reviewer: Harmanci O, Gong Z, Suda T S-Editor: Cui LJ

Lavinia Alice Balaceanu, Department of Internal Medicine, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Sf. Ioan Clinical Emergency Hospital, Bucharest 42122, Romania

Corresponding author: Lavinia Alice Balaceanu, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Sf. Ioan Clinical Emergency Hospital, Soseaua Vitan-Barzesti No. 13, Bucharest 42122, Romania. alicebalaceanu@yahoo.com

Telephone: +40-0213345190

Abstract

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the 5th most frequently diagnosed cancer in the world, according to the World Health Organization. The incidence of HCC is between 3/100000 and 78.1/100000, with a high incidence reported in areas with viral hepatitis B and hepatitis C, thus affecting Asia and Africa predominantly. Several international clinical guidelines address HCC diagnosis and are structured according to the geographical area involved. All of these clinical guidelines, however, share a foundation of diagnosis by ultrasound surveillance and contrast imaging techniques, particularly computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and sometimes contrast-enhanced ultrasound. The primary objective of this review was to systematically summarize the recent published studies on the clinical utility of serum biomarkers in the early diagnosis of HCC and for the prognosis of this disease.

Key words: Hepatocellular carcinoma; Biomarkers; Imaging; Ultrasonography; Computed tomography; Magnetic resonance imaging

©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is an important cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Current HCC screening and diagnostic guidelines are based on imaging techniques-ultrasonography for screening, and dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography, magnetic resonance, and ultrasound for diagnosis. The use of biomarkers is promising but the diverse aetiology and complex pathophysiological mechanisms of HCC make it difficult to find an ideal combination. This review systematically summarizes the existing data on the role of biomarkers in early diagnosis and prognosis of HCC, to promote efforts to find alternatives to the imaging investigations which are expensive and not always accepted by patients.

WJCC https://www.wjgnet.com

L-Editor: A E-Editor: Wu YXJ



Citation: Balaceanu LA. Biomarkers *vs* imaging in the early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma and prognosis. *World J Clin Cases* 2019; 7(12): 1367-1382 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v7/i12/1367.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v7.i12.1367

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the 5th most frequently diagnosed cancer in the world, according to the World Health Organization (WHO)^[1]. The incidence of HCC is between 3/100000 and 78.1/100000, with high incidence reported in areas with viral hepatitis B and hepatitis C, these being represented predominantly by the Asian and African geographic regions^[1]. As such, the international clinical guidelines that are currently in use were generated according to the geographical area involved.

For HCC surveillance in general, persons with chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection (HBV DNA level > 2000 IU/mL), HBV-related cirrhosis, family history of HCC or age over 40 years, the WHO guidelines recommend abdominal ultrasound and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) measurement every 6 mo^[2]. The same recommendations are given for patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related cirrhosis^[3].

The Canadian guidelines recommend ultrasound surveillance every 6 mo for highrisk groups, including individuals with HBV- or HCV-related cirrhosis, cirrhosis on fatty liver disease, or chronic carriers of HBV, as well as for non-cirrhotic patients^[4]. If a liver nodule with a diameter of less than 1 cm is found, ultrasonography (US) will be repeated over 3 mo, in order to assess the increase in diameter or change in characteristics^[4]. In the very early stage, the diagnosis could be done with radiologic techniques, such as 4-phase dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan or gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or biopsy^[4]. Contrast-enhanced US (CEUS) has the same sensitivity as dynamic contrast-enhanced CT or MRI in liver nodule diagnosis^[4]. For indeterminate liver nodule, biopsy showing cellular characteristics and positive staining for glypican-3, glutamine synthetase, heat shock protein 70 and clathrin heavy chain are necessary^[4]. Serum biomarkers such as AFP, AFP-L3 (the fucosylated component of AFP or lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP) and des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin (DCP) are more useful in late-stage or aggressive HCC than in the early stage of small HCC, mainly because the biomarkers are not highly sensitive^[4].

The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (commonly known as the AASLD) *guidelines* recommend 6-mo interval surveillance for cirrhotic patients, carried out by US with or without AFP detection^[5]. For the HCC diagnostic evaluation, multiphasic CT or multiphasic MRI have similar performance^[5]. The contrast agents used are extracellular (giving information about the liver nodule based on blood flow) or hepatobiliary (giving additional information about hepatocellular function)^[5]. The selection of imaging method and contrast agent is made based upon the individual patient, MRI contraindications, and institutional factors^[5]. In North America, multiphasic CEUS is not widely used, but it can be used for non-invasive HCC diagnosis^[5]. If an indeterminate liver nodule has been discovered in a cirrhotic patient, it can be followed by imaging, with an alternative imaging procedure and/or an alternative contrast agent, or biopsy^[5]. Large multicentre prospective studies are still needed, however, to identify non-imaging characteristics for predicting HCC progression as accurately as possible^[5].

The American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) clinical guidelines recommend CT or MRI when a liver nodule is greater than 1 cm, with acoustic shadow detected by US, when AFP is elevated or rising in the absence of liver nodule, or with clinical suspicion of HCC^[6].

For HCC screening, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommend 6-mo interval US for cirrhotic patients of any cause and for chronic hepatitis B patients, with or without AFP detection^[7]. If US is inadequate, multiphasic contrast-enhanced CT or MRI are recommended^[7].

The Australian guidelines include US and AFP as initial investigations in HCC surveillance^[8]. HCC diagnosis is made based on findings from four-phase contrastenhanced CT, contrast enhanced-MRI, CEUS in selected cases, and finally with PET and liver biopsy^[8].

The European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) guidelines recommend ultrasonographic surveillance every 6 mo performed by experienced persons on individuals in high-risk populations^[9]. In general, the AFP level varies in patients with

aishideng[®] WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

HBV- or HCV-related cirrhosis, either during flares of the infection, exacerbation of the cirrhotic state, or HCC progression^[9]. For these reasons, AFP could produce false-positive results and is not used in surveillance programs^[9]. As a diagnostic test, when added to ultrasound assessment, AFP has good sensitivity (with a 20 ng/mL cut-off) and good specificity (with a 200 ng/mL cut-off)^[9]. These values were mostly obtained in patients with viral infection activity but cannot yet support the calculation of a cost-effective ratio for early HCC surveillance programs^[9]. As to the clinical utility of the other biomarkers in the diagnosis or prognosis of the disease, they (*i.e.*, ALP-L3, DCP) are not recommended, alone or in combination, for early detection of HCC in surveillance programs^[9]. For early diagnosis of HCC, the *EASL guidelines* recommend imaging techniques (multiphasic contrast-enhanced CT, dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, or CEUS) for liver nodules of more than 1 cm diameter^[9]. In small HCC, MRI with hepatobiliary contrast agents (*e.g.*, gadoxetic acid and gadobenate dimeglumine) has higher sensitivity than MRI with extracellular agents^[9]. In non-cirrhotic cases, histological and immunohistological tests are used to confirm the HCC diagnosis^[9].

The same recommendations are provided by the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), with multiphasic contrast-enhanced CT or MRI for HCC diagnosis and no role for AFP in the diagnostic work-up^[10].

The Japan guidelines recommend ultrasound examination with AFP measurement every 3-6 mo^[11]. For cirrhotic patients, dynamic CT or dynamic MRI are recommended^[11]. The three serum biomarkers AFP, AFP-L3 and DCP are used for definitive diagnosis of HCC or for the subsequent surveillance exams^[11]. These biomarkers are also used to estimate the efficacy of treatment in HCC patients who presented elevated levels before treatment^[11]. The response to treatment could be occasionally assessed, but with difficulty, by imaging techniques, with the associated changes (*e.g.*, lipiodol deposits, arterioportal shunt) compared to the serum biomarkers^[11]. CEUS is recommended for estimating the residual tumours after percutaneous ablation therapy and transcatheter arterial chemoembolization^[11].

The Asia-Pacific clinical practice guidelines recommend US only as a screening test and suggest it to not represent a diagnostic test^[12]. When the screening test is positive, the diagnosis of HCC is made by dynamic CT, dynamic MRI, or gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA)-enhanced MRI^[12]. From among the serum biomarkers, AFP with a level more than 200 ng/mL is used in combination with US in the surveillance programs^[12]. Being a marker of necroinflammation and regeneration, AFP is elevated in active hepatitis and cirrhosis in the absence of HCC^[12]. For that, in small HCC, AFP is not recommended as a confirmatory test^[12]. Its level decreases with improvement of chronic hepatitis B activity and post-treatment with interferon treatment for chronic hepatitis C^[12]. AFP-L3 seems to be more useful than AFP alone in differential diagnosis of HCC from benign nodules^[12]. The role of DCP (also termed prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence II (PIVKA-II)) is still controversial in diagnostic performance for small HCC, as compared with AFP^[12]. Serum glypican-3, as an HCC serum diagnostic biomarker, is also inconsistent^[12]. Other serum biomarkers, such as Golgi protein 73 (GP73), osteopontin, microRNAs or circulating free DNA, are not yet applied in clinical practice, mainly due to the heterogeneous results of clinical trials and low costbenefit^[12]. No ideal combination of serum biomarkers has yet been found, as the increase in sensitivity is achieved with decreased specificity^[12] (Table 1).

The International guidelines for CEUS recommendations cites dynamic CEUS as capable of evaluating the enhancement patterns of a liver nodule during arterial, portal venous and late phases, with the appearance being similar as that in contrast-enhanced CT and contrast-enhanced MRI^[13].

CEUS has advantages over dynamic CT or MRI according to its features of providing a real-time evaluation of the arterial phase, applicability to renal failure patients, and its ability to diagnose malignant or non-malignant portal vein thrombosis, to select one or more nodules for biopsy from multiple nodules with different patterns, to localize small HCC for percutaneous ablation and to assess recurrence^[4,13]. The post-vascular phase (also known as the Kupffer phase) can be evaluated with a specific ultrasonographic contrast agent, perfluorobutane, having a hydrogenated egg phospatidyl serine shell^[13]. Enhancement defect can better characterize the HCC nodule^[13]. Dependence on the operator's experience and a lower visibility of the sub-diaphragmatic segment of the liver, especially in liver steatosis, are the main disadvantages of CEUS^[13] (Table 2).

LITERATURE SEARCH

A systematic literature search was carried out in the PubMed, Web of Science Core



Table 1 International guidelines for hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance programs				
Guideline	Indications	AFP	Imaging	Period (mo)
WHO	HBV DNA > 2000 UI/mL, HCV cirrhosis	+	US	6
Canadian	Cirrhosis, HBV chronic carriers		US	6
AASLD	Cirrhosis	+/-	US	6
NCCN	Cirrhosis, HBV chronic hepatitis	+/-	US	6
Australian			US	
EASL			US	6
Japan	Cirrhosis	+	US/dynamic CT/MRI	3-6
Asia-Pacific		> 200 ng/mL	US	6

AASLD: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; CT: Computed tomography; EASL: European Association for the Study of the Liver; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; US: Ultrasonography; WHO: World Health Organization.

Collection, Elsevier ScienceDirect and Google Scholar databases for the past 5 years, using the terms "hepatocellular carcinoma", "biomarkers hepatocellular carcinoma", and "imaging hepatocellular carcinoma". A total of 2318 articles and 720 reviews were found. The articles included in the study were limited to English full-text articles and reviews in humans, and excluding case reports or post-specific treatment (*i.e.*, chemotherapy) or radiotherapy) studies.

AFP USED IN ALGORITHMS OR IN COMBINATION WITH OTHER BIOMARKERS

Genetic correction

Various authors have attempted to increase AFP sensitivity by different algorithms. The efficiency of serum AFP in primary HCC seems to be improved by genetic correction; for example, using the single-nucleotide polymorphisms rs12506899 and rs2251844, as shown in a Chinese study of elderly patients reported by Wang *et al*^[14].

Age, biochemical laboratory tests, serial values of AFP

Tayob *et al*^[15] used an algorithm based on patient age, findings of laboratory tests, and serial measurements of AFP levels for improving the rate of HCC detection in HCV-related cirrhosis. When AFP was incorporated in another algorithm along with levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase, age and sex, the rate of HCC detection in HCV, HBV and non-viral liver disease was significantly enhanced, as shown by Wang *et al*^[16].

AFP and DCP (PIVKA II)

Yu *et al*^[17] found DCP sensitivity and specificity for HBV-related HCC to be greater than AFP. In that study, when DCP and AFP were used together as diagnostic biomarkers for HCC, their sensitivity and specificity were even greater. Chen *et al*^[18] found that the various prediction algorithms including AFP and DCP had a higher efficacy for early HCC diagnosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. Fu *et al*^[19] analysed the combination of DCP and AFP as biomarkers for primary HCC diagnosis, finding higher effects than with each biomarker alone. Qin *et al*^[20] showed that a panel test comprised of AFP (cut-off of 10 ng/mL), DCP (cut-off of 4 ng/mL) and dickkopf-1 (cut-off of 2 ng/mL) had both a high sensitivity and specificity, superior to each biomarker alone. However, future studies are needed to assess the role of this panel in detecting early HCC and the cut-off levels for different stages of HCC^[20].

In a meta-analysis, Chen *et al*^[21] found that DCP had a better accuracy than AFP for detection of HCC, regardless of the tumour diameter, the patients' ethnicity (American, European, Asian, or African), or the aetiology of HCC (HBV-related or mixed). For the diagnosis of HCC associated with alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, AFP and DCP appeared to be the best combination of biomarkers in the study by Beale *et al*^[22]. At a level of 15 ng/mL, AFP alone had a good sensitivity and a specificity of 100%^[22]. Increasing AFP values during the course of liver disease should prompt a careful surveillance, while increased DCP levels prompt suspicion of larger tumours^[22]. In monitoring of the evolution of hepatic cirrhosis associated with fatty liver disease, glypican-3, squamous cell carcinoma antigen-I, and follistatin have no benefit, according to this study^[22].



Table 2 International guidelines for hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosis				
Guideline	Liver nodule US	Biomarkers	Indications for biomarkers	
Canadian	CT/MRI/CEUS	AFP, AFP-L3, DCP	Late stage/aggressive	
AASLD	CT/MRI			
ACG	CT/MRI			
NCCN	CT/MRI			
Australian	CT/MRI/CEUS: Selected case			
EASL	CT/MRI/CEUS			
ESMO	CT/MRI			
Japan	CT/MRI	AFP, AFP-L3, DCP	Definitive diagnosis, efficacy of treatment	
Asia-Pacific	CT/MRI/CEUS			

AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; AFP-L3: Alpha-fetoprotein L3; AASLD: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; CEUS: Contrast-enhanced computed tomography; CT: Computed tomography; DCP: Des-gamma-carboxyprothrombin; EASL: European Association for the Study of the Liver; ESMO: European Society for Medical Oncology; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; US: Ultrasonography.

AFP, AFP-L3, and DCP (PIVKA II)

Yu et al^[23] reported that in early HCC, AFP-L3 has the best specificity and GP73 has the best sensitivity. The use of four combined biomarkers (AFP, AFP-L3, DCP, and GP73) in neural network models was shown to be capable of differentiating early HCC from liver cirrhosis^[23]. Li et al^[24] demonstrated that a panel test of AFP, AFP-L3 and PIVKA II with the GALAD scoring algorithm is better for early diagnosis of HCC than any of the biomarkers used alone. The utility of the triple combination of the biomarkers was also demonstrated by other authors, including Gao et al^[25], Caviglia et $al^{[26]}$, Best *et al*^[27], and Berhane *et al*^[28]. Optimal follow-up was analysed by Oeda *et al*^[29], as an independent factor of receipt of curative treatment. Wongjarupong et al^[30] revealed an association between AFP, AFP-L3, DCP and tumour size, to predict the recurrence after liver transplant. Best et al^[27] studied patients with HCC of different aetiology (i.e., viral infection, and alcoholic and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis) and found an increased specificity for AFP (cut-off of 20 ng/mL) in non-viral HCC; AFP-L3 had an increased sensitivity in non-viral HCC, and DCP had an increased specificity in viral HCC. Combination of the three biomarkers improved the sensitivity, and the use of GALAD scores increased the specificity, including for early HCC diagnosis.

AFP and AFP-L3

Li *et al*^[31] analysed a combination of high-level AFP, AFP-L3 and AFP-L3 to AFP ratio and ALT, as predictive factors for HCC in HBV cirrhotic patients, while GP73 level decreased after development of HCC. Kim *et al*^[32] used multiple reaction monitoring-mass spectrometry and found serum AFP-L3 as the lower limit and producing less false-negative results.

AFP and osteopontin

Duarte-Salles *et al*^[33] suggested a combination of osteopontin and AFP as the best predictors for HBV-related HCC. Ge *et al*^[34] showed that osteopontin in combination with AFP and dickkopf-1 have an increased sensitivity in early diagnosis of HBV-related HCC; osteopontin alone had a lower specificity, being increased in chronic HBV hepatitis and liver cirrhosis.

AFP and neutrophil-to lymphocyte ratio

Xing *et al*^[35] suggested combinations of AFP and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for diagnosis of HBV- and HCV-related HCC. Hu *et al*^[36] identified AFP, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, tumour size, and tumour number were independent predictors of microvascular invasion in HCC, associated with HBV and HCV infection.

AFP and serum human endothelial cell-specific molecule-1

Youssef *et al*^[37] revealed that serum level of human endothelial cell-specific molecule-1 (cut-off of 2967 pg/mL) had a high sensitivity and specificity in HCV-related HCC patients. In combination with AFP and vascular endothelial growth factor, it was also found to be a predictive factor for mortality.

Serum thioredoxin



Li *et al*^[38] found a higher sensitivity and specificity for serum thioredoxin (cut-off level 20.5 ng/mL) in detecting early HCC compared to those for AFP; when the two were combined the sensitivity increased.

AFP, α-L-fucosidase (AFU), and 5'-nucleotidase (5'-NT)

In a small number of patients with primary HCC, Junna *et al*^[39] found the combination of AFU, 5'-NT and AFP to have significantly elevated levels (*vs* a control group).

OTHER BIOMARKERS FOR EARLY DETECTION OF HCC IN AFP-NEGATIVE PATIENTS

Although GPC3, GP73, osteopontin, micro (mi)RNAs, MDK, DKK1, and VEGF play roles in the diagnosis, prognosis and treatment of HCC, Song et al^[40] and Chiba et al^[41] revealed the need for further studies before widespread use in clinical practice. In a study of cirrhotic patients with HBV-related HCC, Shu et al^[42] showed levels of AFP-L3 and GP-3 to be insignificantly different from those in the control group, but the fucosylated PON1 level was significantly increased. For cirrhotic patients with lowlevel AFP (< 20 ng/mL), an algorithm based on clinical characteristics, AFP and fucosylated kininogen was proposed by Wang et al^[43]. In a study of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg)-positive patients, Guo et al[44] found the combination of AFP and serum CD14 (AFP/CD14 cut-off of 0.197 ng/mL) to have higher sensitivity and specificity in early diagnosis of HCC. Kim et al^[45] shows that fibronectin can differentiate HCC from cirrhosis. Chen *et al*^[46] found soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 to be highly associated with HCC development in patients with HBV, HCV, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, and alcoholic or cryptogenic liver disease. In a small study, Badr et al^[47] found the serum calcium channel a281 subunit (cut-off of 14.22 ng/mL) to have a high sensitivity and specificity, suggesting its potential as a novel biomarker in early detection of HCC in HCV cirrhotic patients. Wang et al[48] revealed an increased specificity, but a low sensitivity, of serum autoantibodies to nucleophosmin 1, 14-3-3zeta and mouse double minute 2 homolog proteins. Tayaka et $al^{[49]}$ proposed the von Willebrand factor antigen as a predictive biomarker for HCC development in HBV and HCV chronic hepatitis. Finally, several cytokines with significantly increased levels in HCC (e.g., IL-1β, IL-6, IL-10, IL-17A, IL-22, and IL-250) and others with lower levels (e.g., IL-4 and IL-33) in peripheral blood were shown by Shen et al^[50] to be specific for HCC.

No biomarker to date has been shown to have high accuracy in the early detection of HCC; although, some may have clinical utility in the near future, as revealed by Tsuchiya *et al*^[51]. While it has been shown that combinations of biomarkers or algorithms that add other clinical variables increase sensitivity and specificity, randomized clinical trials are required to validate the optimal combinations, especially in early detection of HCC, as suggested by Tsuchiya *et al*^[51]. Khattab *et al*^[52] and Lou *et al*^[53].

DCP (PIVKA-II)

In a meta-analysis, Zhu *et al*^[54] demonstrated that DCP had moderate accuracy in early HCC diagnosis. Moreover, the results indicated DCP level may be different depending on ethnicity, possibly due to the predominantly different aetiology of HCC (alcoholic cirrhosis *vs* HBV and HCV chronic hepatitis) between Caucasians and Asians^[54].

MiRNAs

MiRNAs are non-coding, endogenous, small RNAs, released in the case of liver cell damage into peripheral blood. Although there are multiple published studies, we cannot yet establish a unitary vision of the best combination of miRNAs for early diagnosis of HCC. This may be due, at least in part, to the different aetiologies of HCC in various geographic areas and possibly to genetic polymorphisms. Some authors have reported miRNA as a single test or in combination with other biomarkers/ biochemical tests useful in the early diagnosis of HCC. Xu *et al*^[55] reported that serum exosomal hnRNPH1 mRNA (cut-off of 0.670) had a high sensitivity and specificity for HCC, suggesting its potential as an HCC diagnostic biomarker in regions of high HBV prevalence. In combination with AFP, these values were improved. However, the authors of this study were not able to compare RNA levels in patients with active

aishideng³ WJCC https://www.wjgnet.com

HBV infection *vs* inactive, compensated *vs* decompensated liver cirrhosis, or various stages of fibrosis^[55].

In a small study, Balkan *et al*^[56] found no difference in levels of miR-122 and miR-192 between the HCC group (mostly patients with HBV-related disease) and the control group (non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients). In contrast, the miR-26 serum level was much lower in the HCC patients. Long *et al*^[57] reported a higher sensitivity and specificity for miR-88 in the whole blood *vs* AFP for detection of early HCC, also HBV-related. Shi *et al*^[58] found an association of mi-RNA-106b with HCC for early detection, but further trails are needed to determine the threshold value. Liu *et al*^[59] reported miRNA-125b, AFP and tumour size to be predictors of microvascular invasion in patients with HCC, prior to surgery. Serum level of miR-4463 was reported by Hu *et al*^[60] to be significantly higher in HCC patients, no matter the sex of the patients, the size of the nodule, the stage of the HCC, the pathological type, or the values of the other serum factor tests (*i.e.*, ALT, aspartate aminotransferase, total bilirubin, and HBsAg status). In that study the highest level of miRNAs was found in the group of patients with the lowest level of AFP and shorter survival time^[60].

Other authors have reported combinations of miRNAs useful in the early diagnosis of HCC. As reported by An et al^[61], miR-122 in combination with miR-375, miR-10a, and miR-423 could be used for diagnosis and prognosis of HCC. Jiang et al^[62] reported a panel with miR-10b, miR-106b, miR-181a as biomarkers applicable to screening for HCC in Chinese patients. Xue et al^[63] reported the success of another panel composed of eight miRNAs (miR-122, miR-125b, miR-145, miR-192, miR-194, miR-29a, miR-17-5p, miR-106a) with significantly increased levels in serum for patients with HCC (mostly associated with HBV infection). Liu *et al*^[64] studied a combination of high serum miR-21 and mi-R106b and low serum mi-R224 levels and found a high sensitivity and specificity for HCC compared with cirrhotic levels, predominantly HBV-related. In a meta-analysis, Liao et al^[65] revealed that serum miR-21 could be used as a co-biomarker in early detection of HCC, due to its high sensitivity and specificity. In another meta-analysis, by Ding et al[66], multiple serum miRNAs (miR-21, miR-199, and miR-122) had a relatively high accuracy in HCC diagnosis. Xu et al^[67] showed that serum levels of miRNA-25, miRNA-375 and let-7f can play a role in diagnosis of HCC. Finally, high levels of serum exosomal miR-122, miR-148a and AFP were studied by Wang et al[68] and found to be adequate for HCC diagnosis and screening programs (Table 3).

In comparison to the predominant HCV aetiology, the HBV-related HCC has a different profile of altered miRNA expression. Mohamed *et al*^[69] studied miR-23a and found a high sensitivity for HCC, mostly for HCV-related cases. Other authors have reported on a panel of miRNAs useful in the early diagnosis of HCV-related HCC. Motawi *et al*^[70] reported a combination of serum miR-19a, miR-146a, miR-192 and miR-195 with increased accuracy in early detection of HCV-related HCC. Amr *et al*^[71] reported miR-122 and miR-224 as early diagnostic serum biomarkers in HCV-related HCC. Elemeery *et al*^[72] found that a panel of miRNAs composed of miR-214-5p, miR-375, miR-125b and miR-1269 had an increased sensitivity for the early detection of HCV-related HCC. Serum miR-939 and miR-595 were identified by Fornari *et al*^[73] as independent factors for HCC, mostly involving HCV-related cases. In that same study, the serum level of miR-519d was found to be correlated with the tissue level of miR-519d in HCC^[73].

Xue *et al*^[63] reported miR-106a to be an independent factor of overall survival and prognosis, fitting with its role in promotion of tumorigenesis. Zhuang *et al*^[74] detected serum miR-128-2 in most of the patients with HBV-associated HCC. Results from a study by Zhu *et al*^[75] suggested the potential of miR-192-5p and miR-29a-3p as biomarkers for progression of HBV-related HCC and survival, with an inverse relationship. Similarly, the results from a study suggested miR-23a as a prognostic biomarker.

In a systematic review, Klingenberg *et al*^[76] concluded that non-coding RNAs [miRNA and long non-coding (lnc) RNA] can be used for early diagnosis in HCC, due to high sensitivity and specificity; however, most of the studies analysed had included cases with only one or two HCC aetiologies. If an HBV-related HCC panel of miRNAs (including miR-122 and miR-21) was to be studied for its diagnostic biomarker potential, the miRNAs should also be investigated for their potential in diagnosis of HCC associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, alcohol or HCV infection in large trials with the specific group patients, as demonstrated by Schütte *et al*^[77].

Zhang *et al*^[78] considered the multiple origins of miRNAs, the lack of standardized protocols for pro-analytical manipulation of samples in research, the physiologic processing that would occur after the point of analysis, the unknown miRNA binding proteins, and the lack of existing large studies on patients and control populations to support any single or combination of miRNAs in a panel for clinical application for the detection and prognosis of patients with HCC. Likewise, Loosen *et al*^[79] cited the

Table 3 MicroRNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma

miRNA	Hepatitis virus	Ref.
For early diagnosis		
exosomal hnRNPH1 miR	HBV	Xu et al ^[55]
miR-26	HBV	Balkan <i>et al</i> ^[56]
miR-88	HBV	Long et al ^[57]
mi-R-106b		Shi et al ^[58]
miR-125b		Liu et al ^[59]
miR-4463		Hu <i>et al</i> ^[60]
miR-10a, miR-122, miR-375, miR-423	HBV	An et al ^[61]
miR-10b, miR-106b, miR-181a	HBV	Jiang et al ^[62]
miR-17-5p, miR-29a, miR-106a, miR-122, miR-125b, miR-145, miR-192, miR-194	HBV	Xue <i>et al</i> ^[63]
miR-21, mi-R106b, mi-R224	HBV	Liu et al ^[64]
miR-21		Liao <i>et al</i> ^[65]
miR-21, miR-122, miR-199		Ding et al ^[66]
miR-25, miR-375, let-7f		Xu et al ^[67]
miR-122, miR-148a, AFP	HBV	Wang et al ^[68]
miRNA-23a	HCV	Mohamed <i>et al</i> ^[69]
miR-19a, miR-146a, miR-192, and miR-195	HCV	Motawi <i>et al</i> ^[70]
miR-122, miR-224	HCV	Amr et al ^[71]
miR-125b, miR-214-5p, miR-375, miR-1269	HCV	Elemeery et al ^[72]
miR-595, miR-939	HCV	Fornari <i>et al</i> ^[73]
For overall survival and prognosis		
miR-106a	HBV	Xue et al ^[63]
miR-128-2	HBV	Zhuang et al ^[74]
miR-192-5p and miR-29a-3p	HBV	Zhu et al ^[75]
miR-23a	HCV	Mohamed <i>et al</i> ^[69]

HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus.

need for standardization of sample collection, analysis, and data normalization and quantification methods to generate findings to support the inclusion of miRNAs in a diagnostic algorithm applied in clinical practice.

LncRNAs

LncRNAs are non-protein-coding transcripts with more than 200 nucleotides. Yuan *et al*^[80] showed that, among the circulating lncRNAs, LINC00152, RP11-160H22.5 and XLOC014172 in combination with AFP could be predictive biomarkers for HBV-related HCC. Wang *et al*^[81] found the lncRNAs uc001ncr and AX800134 to have high accuracy in detection of HBV-related HCC, especially in the early stage and when the level of AFP is lower than 400 ng/mL. Tang *et al*^[82] found three lncRNAs RP11-160H22.5, XLOC_014172 and LOC149086, that can predict the occurrence of HBV-related HCC. Zheng *et al*^[83] showed that high expression of serum UCA I is associated with high-grade HCC and advanced TNM stage, suggesting the potential of this factor as a biomarker for screening. In another study, Xu *et al*^[84] demonstrated that ENSG00000258332.1 (cut-off of 1.345) and LINC00635 (cut-off of 1.690) had high sensitivity and specificity for HBV-related HCC. When these biomarkers were combined with AFP level higher than 20 ng/mL, both the sensitivity and the sensibility were increased (Table 4).

A meta-analysis by Chen *et al*^[85] found that a panel of serum or plasma lncRNAs including LINC00152, RP11-160H22.5, XLOC014172, LOC149086 or HULC, Linc00152 or uc001ncr, AX800134 or PVT1, and uc002mbe.2 had a higher accuracy in HCC than any single lncRNA or in tissue samples. In that meta-analysis, the sensitivity and the specificity of the collective lncRNA biomarkers were both higher for Asian patients than for African patients^[85]. In another meta-analysis, Hao *et al*^[86] identified multiple factors that influenced the accuracy of lncRNAs in detecting HCC. However, the various aetiologies around the world (*i.e.*, HCV infection in Africa and Egypt, and HBV infection in Asia) may underlie the observation of plasma lncRNAs having a lower accuracy than serum lncRNAs^[86].



Table 4	IncRNA	s in he	natocell	ular carc	inoma
	LIGUAR		Jalocen	ulai carc	moma

LncRNA	Hepatitis virus	Ref.
For early diagnosis		
LINC00152, RP11-160H22.5 and XLOC014172	HBV	Yuan et al ^[80]
uc001ncr and AX800134	HBV	Wang et al ^[81]
RP11-160H22.5, XLOC_014172 and LOC149086	HBV	Tang et al ^[82]
UCAI	HBV	Zheng et al ^[83]
ENSG00000258332.1, LINC00635	HBV	Xu et al ^[84]
LINC00152, RP11-160H22.5, XLOC014172, LOC149086 or HULC, Linc00152 or uc001ncr, AX800134 or PVT1, uc002mbe.2		Chen et al ^[85]
Predictors for poor prognosis		
BANCR		Qin et al ^[88]
XLOC_014172 and LOC149086	HBV	Tang et al ^[82]
UCAI	HBV	Zheng et al ^[83]

HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; lncRNA: Long non-coding RNA.

Zheng *et al*^[87] reported poor rates of survival (1.25-fold increased risk) and recurrence-free survival (1.66-fold increased risk) in patients with higher levels of lncRNAs, supporting the proposal of these factors to serve as predictive biomarkers for HCC prognosis. Indeed, Qin *et al*^[88] found high levels of the plasma lnc-RNA BANCR in HCC patients and determined a correlation with poor prognosis. In the study by Tang *et al*^[82], the secondary increase of lncRNAs XLOC_014172 and LOC149086 following surgical treatment was found to be predictive of metastasis. Finally, serum UCA I was proposed by Zheng *et al*^[83] as another biomarker for prognostic evaluation.

PLASMA METABOLITES

HCC is characterized by aerobic glycolysis, increased consumption of glucose, and high levels of lactate. This type of metabolism persists immediately following surgery or transcatheter arterial chemoembolization, as demonstrated by Chen et al^[89]. Kim et $al^{[90]}$ studied the molecular changes produced by alteration in the energy metabolism pathways that underlie the metabolomic and proteomic observations, in order to better determine their practical application in the early detection of HCC. The study by Di Poto et al[91] supported a proposal for the combination of plasma metabolites with other co-variates, such as AFP, in early detection of HCC in cirrhotic patients. Saito *et a*[^[92] studied the serum metabolomic profile in patients with HBV-related HCC compared to that in patients with HCV-related HCC, and found distinctions, especially for glutamic acid, methionine, and gamma-Glu-Gly-Gly. Similarly, the type of HBV or HCV infection and the metabolic profile of the patient have important roles in establishing the metabolomic panel as diagnostic and prognostic markers in HCC, as shown by Fitian et al^[93]. Finally, Ferrin et al^[94] studied the potential protein biomarkers in HCV-alcoholic patients and identified the complement component 4a as an independent predictor of HCC.

Kimhofer *et al*^[95] analysed numerous studies of metabonomic and proteomic biomarkers in a comprehensive review. The metabonomic biomarkers that have been studied are bile acids, lysophosphatidylcholines, free fatty acids, carnitine and energy metabolism-related products, but the best panel of these for early detection of HCC need to be validated before inclusion in future guidelines^[95]. Finally, Guo *et al*^[96] showed that although there are technological advances, the study of metabolomics, particularly for that of HCC, is still in its infancy.

SERUM LIPIDS

Passos-Castilho *et al*^[97] proposed seven lipids detected by spectrometry as predictive of HCV-related HCC, with high sensitivity and moderate specificity. In a later study, Passos-Castilho *et al*^[98] proposed four lipids as independent predictor factors of HBV-related HCC in cirrhotic patients, with moderate sensitivity and specificity.

Raishideng® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

SERUM BIOMARKERS FOR PREDICTION PROGRESSION OF DISEASE, POOR PROGNOSIS, AND RECURRENCE

Margetts et al^[99] found a neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio of > 3.15 to be associated with poor survival. In addition, the Systemic Immune-Inflammation Index score was found to be strongly correlated with tumour size. High neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio was also proposed by Zheng et al^[100] as a predictive biomarker of poor survival and poor recurrence-free survival in HCC patients before treatment. That study also found the high neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as well as the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio to be independent predictive factors for survival and recurrence in HCC patients with curative and palliative treatment. Goyal et al^[101] proposed the red blood cell distribution width useful when to be incorporated in a prognostic panel of other inflammatory biomarkers for outcomes after HCC surgery. Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein and interleukin-6 have been studied by Van Hees *et al*^[102] and shown to be predictive factors of HBV-related HCC, but large-scale studies are needed to validate them for use in current practice. In another study, by Hong et al[103], autoantibodies against tumour-associated antigens appeared to be more useful in the prognosis of HCC than in its early diagnosis; again, large studies are needed to clarify their roles in the various stages of HCC. Finally, Sun et al^[104] determined that the circulating tumour cells assay is not useful for HCC detection when used as the sole biomarker; however, it did show promise as a predictor of poor prognosis.

The serum antibodies anti-HSP 70 and anti-Eno-1 were shown by Yu *et al*^[105] to be predictive of microvascular invasion in HBV-related HCC prior to surgical treatment, with anti-Eno-1 having a better sensitivity and specificity.

IMAGING DIAGNOSIS

Kuo *et al*^[106] reported a higher cost-effectiveness ratio for ultrasound screening compared to bimodal biomarkers (AFP and US) for early detection of HCC in endemic areas. However, this assessment cannot be universally valid, especially if screening is performed in patients with cirrhosis and without specialized and well-trained staff. A meta-analysis by Hanna *et al*^[107] showed that CEUS has the same sensitivity as contrast-enhanced CT or gadolinium-enhanced MRI in diagnosis of HCC and that it is useful for supplementary characterization of the liver nodules detected by US.

Although dynamic CEUS has an important role in the diagnosis and characterization of small liver tumours, the ultrasonographic differential diagnosis between HCC and intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma is difficult, sometimes having the same hypervascularization and washout pattern, as shown by Van Beers *et al*^[108]. This does not happen with contrast-enhanced MRI or CT performed with small-molecular-weight agents, for both intravascular and extravascular extracellular space distribution^[107]. Westwood *et al*^[109] performed a systematic review to review imaging techniques and found that the sulphur hexafluoride microbubble used as contrast agent in US seems to have the same performance as contrast-enhanced-CT or MRI for diagnosis of focal liver lesions. However, it is necessary to standardize dynamic CEUS and generate clear criteria for comparing the three methods in the same patient^[108,109]. Yao *et al*^[110] proposed radiomic analysis in multi-modal US to determine the best to obtain a better differential diagnosis between benign and malign liver tumours, with a good prediction of microvascular invasion and Ki-67 and PD-1 expression.

The best sensitivity (85.6%) and positive predictive value (94.2%) in the imaging diagnosis of HCC has been reported for MRI with gadoxetate as the contrast agent, according to meta-analysis findings from Hanna *et al*^[107]. In that study, the MRI with gadoxetate rates were followed by MRI with other contrast agents, contrast enhanced-CT, and US without contrast agent respectively. Although CEUS seems to have high sensitivity and positive predictive value, reference standards are required for proper comparison of the three contrast-enhanced imaging methods (MRI, CT, and US)^[107]. In a comprehensive review, Ippolito *et al*^[111] revealed the differences in contrast agents used in dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI perfusion according to application by different researchers and depending upon the intended purpose. For diagnosing and evaluating early HCC characteristics, gadobenate-dimeglumine or gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA) is recommended^[111]. For prognosticating the disease, gadodiamide is recommended^[111]. For investigating treatment response, Gd-EOB-DTPA, dadobenate-dimeglumine, gadopentetate-dimeglumine or gadodiamide are recommended^[111].

According to European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (commonly known as ESGAR) consensus, Neri *et al*^[112] revealed that MRI with Gd-

EOB-DTPA as the contrast agent is the best technique for characterization of focal lesions with diameter equal to or greater than 10 mm in a cirrhotic liver. The dual renal and hepatocyte elimination of Gd-EOB-DTPA makes it useful as a contrast agent for both perfusion imaging in the early phase and for hepatocyte imaging in the late phase^[112]. Through dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI with Gd-EOB-DTPA, morphological and functional data can be obtained^[112]. These features are particularly useful for HCC in cirrhotic liver, in late hepatic arterial phase (*i.e.*, hepatic artery and portal vein enhancement) and hepatobiliary phase (*i.e.*, delayed by reduced hepatic function)^[112]. If MRI combines Gd-EOB-DTPA as a contrast agent with a diffusion weighted imaging technique, additional qualitative and quantitative data can be obtained on the degree of HCC differentiation, microvascular invasion, or response to treatment^[111].

Functional MRI (*i.e.*, magnetic resonance elastography, diffusion-weighted MRI, or T1-weighted dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI) provides additional quantitative and qualitative information that is extremely useful both in HCC early diagnosis and in prognosis and response to treatment; these techniques are expected to find application on a large scale in clinical practice in the near future^[11,112].

Tanabe *et al*^[113] showed that the time interval between imaging investigations should be determined according to the initial LI-RADS staging. Because ultrasonographic nodules smaller than 2 cm in cirrhotic patients may be included in MRI investigations as initial LI-RADS stages and subsequently determined to be early HCC, Darnell *et al*^[114] proposed an active work-up, including biopsy, for optimal HCC management. Yang *et al*^[115] analysed some methods as dual-input two-compartment pharmacokinetic models of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI to determine which could better predict microvascular characteristics of HCC. The dual-input extended Tofts model could better measure the extravascular extracellular space volume ratio, while the dual-input two-compartment exchange model could better predict the microvascular permeability. These data will be very useful for personalized treatment but need standardization and further large trials.

Kavanaugh *et al*^[116] suggested that the complex cellular mechanisms involved in HCC growth determine a higher detection rate of small tumours by (4S)-4-(3-[¹⁸F]fluoropropyl)-L-glutamic acid (¹⁸F-FSPG) positron emission tomography (PET)-CT compared to 11C-acetate PET-CT; the former does not reach 100%, however, as not all HCCs express the x_c-transporter (gene symbol SLC7A11). Cho *et al*^[117] revealed the utility of fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG) PET-CT in early or intermediate HCC, in management of the disease (*i.e.*, hepatic resection or liver transplant), but it was found to not be useful in very early-stage HCC without extrahepatic metastases. Of note, accumulation of the ¹⁸F-FDG radiotracer in inflammatory liver lesions is one of the limitations of this method for its use in the diagnosis of a hepatic nodule as HCC^[117].

CONCLUSION

All clinical guidelines for diagnosis of HCC are based on ultrasound surveillance and contrast imaging techniques (*i.e.*, CT, MRI, and sometimes CEUS). Although there have been important advances in our understanding of the roles of various biomarkers in certain stages of the disease, especially in combinations, large studies involving certain population groups are needed before biomarkers can be introduced into clinical practice on a large scale. The different predominant aetiologies of certain geographical areas (*i.e.*, high incidence of HBV, HCV, alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, cryptogenic disease) make it difficult to find a unique combination of biomarkers for the diagnosis of HCC. Nonetheless, imaging techniques still play a leading role in both HCC surveillance and diagnosis.

REFERENCES

- 1 Mak LY, Cruz-Ramón V, Chinchilla-López P, Torres HA, LoConte NK, Rice JP, Foxhall LE, Sturgis EM, Merrill JK, Bailey HH, Méndez-Sánchez N, Yuen MF, Hwang JP. Global Epidemiology, Prevention, and Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book* 2018; 38: 262-279 [PMID: 30231359 DOI: 10.1200/EDBK_200939]
- 2 Geneva: World Health Organization. Guidelines for the Prevention, Care and Treatment of Persons with Chronic Hepatitis B Infection. [PMID: 26225396]
- 3 Geneva: World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines Approved by the Guidelines Review Committee. Guidelines for the Screening Care and Treatment of Persons with Chronic Hepatitis C Infection: Update Version. [PMID: 27227200]
- 4 **Burak KW**, Sherman M. Hepatocellular carcinoma: Consensus, controversies and future directions. A report from the Canadian Association for the Study of the Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma Meeting. *Can J*



Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; 29: 178-184 [PMID: 25965437]

- 5 Heimbach JK, Kulik LM, Finn RS, Sirlin CB, Abecassis MM, Roberts LR, Zhu AX, Murad MH, Marrero JA. AASLD guidelines for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2018; 67: 358-380 [PMID: 28130846 DOI: 10.1002/hep.29086]
- 6 Marrero JA, Ahn J, Rajender Reddy K; Americal College of Gastroenterology. ACG clinical guideline: the diagnosis and management of focal liver lesions. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2014; 109: 1328-1347; quiz 1348 [PMID: 25135008 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2014.213]
- 7 Benson AB, D'Angelica MI, Abbott DE, Abrams TA, Alberts SR, Saenz DA, Are C, Brown DB, Chang DT, Covey AM, Hawkins W, Iyer R, Jacob R, Karachristos A, Kelley RK, Kim R, Palta M, Park JO, Sahai V, Schefter T, Schmidt C, Sicklick JK, Singh G, Sohal D, Stein S, Tian GG, Vauthey JN, Venook AP, Zhu AX, Hoffmann KG, Darlow S. NCCN Guidelines Insights: Hepatobiliary Cancers, Version 1.2017. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2017; 15: 563-573 [PMID: 28476736]
- 8 Optimal cancer care pathway for people with hepatocellular carcinoma. Available from: www.cancer.org.au/ocp
- Furopean Association for the Study of the Liver. European Association for the Study of the Liver.
 EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2018; 69: 182-236 [PMID: 29628281 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.019]
- 10 Vogel A, Cervantes A, Chau I, Daniele B, Llovet J, Meyer T, Nault JC, Neumann U, Ricke J, Sangro B, Schirmacher P, Verslype C, Zech CJ, Arnold D, Martinelli E; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Hepatocellular carcinoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2018: 29: iv238-iv255 [PMID: 30285213 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv308]
- 11 Kokudo N, Hasegawa K, Akahane M, Igaki H, Izumi N, Ichida T, Uemoto S, Kaneko S, Kawasaki S, Ku Y, Kudo M, Kubo S, Takayama T, Tateishi R, Fukuda T, Matsui O, Matsuyama Y, Murakami T, Arii S, Okazaki M, Makuuchi M. Evidence-based Clinical Practice Guidelines for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: The Japan Society of Hepatology 2013 update (3rd JSH-HCC Guidelines). *Hepatol Res* 2015; 45 [PMID: 25625806 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.12464]
- 12 Omata M, Cheng AL, Kokudo N, Kudo M, Lee JM, Jia J, Tateishi R, Han KH, Chawla YK, Shiina S, Jafri W, Payawal DA, Ohki T, Ogasawara S, Chen PJ, Lesmana CRA, Lesmana LA, Gani RA, Obi S, Dokmeci AK, Sarin SK. Asia-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatocellular carcinoma: a 2017 update. *Hepatol Int* 2017; **11**: 317-370 [PMID: 28620797 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-017-9799-9]
- 13 Claudon M, Dietrich CF, Choi BI, Cosgrove DO, Kudo M, Nolsøe CP, Piscaglia F, Wilson SR, Barr RG, Chammas MC, Chaubal NG, Chen MH, Clevert DA, Correas JM, Ding H, Forsberg F, Fowlkes JB, Gibson RN, Goldberg BB, Lassau N, Leen EL, Mattrey RF, Moriyasu F, Solbiati L, Weskott HP, Xu HX. Guidelines and good clinical practice recommendations for contrast enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) in the liver-update 2012: a WFUMB-EFSUMB initiative in cooperation with representatives of AFSUMB, AIUM, ASUM, FLAUS and ICUS. *Ultraschall Med* 2013; 34: 11-29 [PMID: 23129518 DOI: 10.1055/s-0032-1325499]
- 14 Wang K, Bai Y, Chen S, Huang J, Yuan J, Chen W, Yao P, Miao X, Wang Y, Liang Y, Zhang X, He M, Yang H, Guo H, Wei S. Genetic correction of serum AFP level improves risk prediction of primary hepatocellular carcinoma in the Dongfeng-Tongji cohort study. *Cancer Med* 2018; 7: 2691-2698 [PMID: 29696820 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.1481]
- 15 Tayob N, Richardson P, White DL, Yu X, Davila JA, Kanwal F, Feng Z, El-Serag HB. Evaluating screening approaches for hepatocellular carcinoma in a cohort of HCV related cirrhosis patients from the Veteran's Affairs Health Care System. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2018; 18: 1 [PMID: 29301497 DOI: 10.1186/s12874-017-0458-6]
- 16 Wang M, Devarajan K, Singal AG, Marrero JA, Dai J, Feng Z, Rinaudo JA, Srivastava S, Evans A, Hann HW, Lai Y, Yang H, Block TM, Mehta A. The Doylestown Algorithm: A Test to Improve the Performance of AFP in the Detection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 2016; 9: 172-179 [PMID: 26712941 DOI: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-15-0186]
- 17 Yu R, Ding S, Tan W, Tan S, Tan Z, Xiang S, Zhou Y, Mao Q, Deng G. Performance of Protein Induced by Vitamin K Absence or Antagonist-II (PIVKA-II) for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Screening in Chinese Population. *Hepat Mon* 2015; 15: e28806 [PMID: 26300931 DOI: 10.5812/hepatmon.28806v2]
- 18 Chen H, Zhang Y, Li S, Li N, Chen Y, Zhang B, Qu C, Ding H, Huang J, Dai M. Direct comparison of five serum biomarkers in early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Manag Res* 2018; 10: 1947-1958 [PMID: 30022853 DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S167036]
- 19 Fu J, Li Y, Li Z, Li N. Clinical utility of decarboxylation prothrombin combined with α-fetoprotein for diagnosing primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biosci Rep* 2018; 38 [PMID: 29717027 DOI: 10.1042/BSR20180044]
- 20 Qin QF, Weng J, Xu GX, Chen CM, Jia CK. Combination of serum tumor markers dickkopf-1, DCP and AFP for the diagnosis of primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2017; 10: 409-413 [PMID: 28552111 DOI: 10.1016/j.apjtm.2017.03.016]
- 21 Chen J, Wu G, Li Y. Evaluation of Serum Des-Gamma-Carboxy Prothrombin for the Diagnosis of Hepatitis B Virus-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis. *Dis Markers* 2018; 2018: 8906023 [PMID: 30402170 DOI: 10.1155/2018/8906023]
- 22 Beale G, Chattopadhyay D, Gray J, Stewart S, Hudson M, Day C, Trerotoli P, Giannelli G, Manas D, Reeves H. AFP, PIVKAII, GP3, SCCA-1 and follisatin as surveillance biomarkers for hepatocellular cancer in non-alcoholic and alcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMC Cancer* 2008; 8: 200 [PMID: 18638391 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-8-200]
- 23 Yu Y, Song J, Zhang R, Liu Z, Li Q, Shi Y, Chen Y, Chen J. Preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and tumor-related factors to predict microvascular invasion in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 79722-79730 [PMID: 29108352 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.19178]
- 24 Li B, Li B, Guo T, Sun Z, Li X, Li X, Chen L, Zhao J, Mao Y. Artificial neural network models for early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma using serum levels of α-fetoprotein, α-fetoprotein-L3, des-γ-carboxy prothrombin, and Golgi protein 73. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 80521-80530 [PMID: 29113322 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.19298]
- 25 Gao J, Song P. Combination of triple biomarkers AFP, AFP-L3, and PIVAKII for early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in China: Expectation. *Drug Discov Ther* 2017; 11: 168-169 [PMID: 28757516 DOI: 10.5582/ddt.2017.01036]
- 26 Caviglia GP, Abate ML, Petrini E, Gaia S, Rizzetto M, Smedile A. Highly sensitive alpha-fetoprotein, Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of alpha-fetoprotein and des-gamma-carboxyprothrombin for

hepatocellular carcinoma detection. *Hepatol Res* 2016; **46**: E130-E135 [PMID: 26082262 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.12544]

- 27 Best J, Bilgi H, Heider D, Schotten C, Manka P, Bedreli S, Gorray M, Ertle J, van Grunsven LA, Dechêne A. The GALAD scoring algorithm based on AFP, AFP-L3, and DCP significantly improves detection of BCLC early stage hepatocellular carcinoma. *Z Gastroenterol* 2016; 54: 1296-1305 [PMID: 27936479 DOI: 10.1055/S-0042-119529]
- 28 Berhane S, Toyoda H, Tada T, Kumada T, Kagebayashi C, Satomura S, Schweitzer N, Vogel A, Manns MP, Benckert J, Berg T, Ebker M, Best J, Dechêne A, Gerken G, Schlaak JF, Weinmann A, Wörns MA, Galle P, Yeo W, Mo F, Chan SL, Reeves H, Cox T, Johnson P. Role of the GALAD and BALAD-2 Serologic Models in Diagnosis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Prediction of Survival in Patients. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; 14: 875-886.e6 [PMID: 26775025 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2015.12.042]
- 29 Oeda S, Iwane S, Takasaki M, Furukawa NE, Otsuka T, Eguchi Y, Anzai K. Optimal Follow-up of Patients with Viral Hepatitis Improves the Detection of Early-stage Hepatocellular Carcinoma and the Prognosis of Survival. *Intern Med* 2016; 55: 2749-2758 [PMID: 27725532 DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.55.6730]
- 30 Wongjarupong N, Negron-Ocasio GM, Chaiteerakij R, Addissie BD, Mohamed EA, Mara KC, Harmsen WS, Theobald JP, Peters BE, Balsanek JG, Ward MM, Giama NH, Venkatesh SK, Harnois DM, Charlton MR, Yamada H, Algeciras-Schimnich A, Snyder MR, Therneau TM, Roberts LR. Model combining pre-transplant tumor biomarkers and tumor size shows more utility in predicting hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence and survival than the BALAD models. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018; 24: 1321-1331 [PMID: 29599607 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i12.1321]
- 31 Li B, Li B, Guo T, Sun Z, Li X, Li X, Wang H, Chen W, Chen P, Mao Y. The Clinical Values of Serum Markers in the Early Prediction of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Biomed Res Int* 2017; 2017: 5358615 [PMID: 28540298 DOI: 10.1155/2017/5358615]
- 32 Kim H, Sohn A, Yeo I, Yu SJ, Yoon JH, Kim Y. Clinical Assay for AFP-L3 by Using Multiple Reaction Monitoring-Mass Spectrometry for Diagnosing Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Clin Chem* 2018; 64: 1230-1238 [PMID: 29875214 DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2018.289702]
- 33 Duarte-Salles T, Misra S, Stepien M, Plymoth A, Muller D, Overvad K, Olsen A, Tjønneland A, Baglietto L, Severi G, Boutron-Ruault MC, Turzanski-Fortner R, Kaaks R, Boeing H, Aleksandrova K, Trichopoulou A, Lagiou P, Bamia C, Pala V, Palli D, Mattiello A, Tumino R, Naccarati A, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Peeters PH, Weiderpass E, Quirós JR, Agudo A, Sánchez-Cantalejo E, Ardanaz E, Gavrila D, Dorronsoro M, Werner M, Hemmingsson O, Ohlsson B, Sjöberg K, Wareham NJ, Khaw KT, Bradbury KE, Gunter MJ, Cross AJ, Riboli E, Jenab M, Hainaut P, Beretta L. Circulating Osteopontin and Prediction of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Development in a Large European Population. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 2016; 9: 758-765 [PMID: 27339170 DOI: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-15-0434]
- 34 Ge T, Shen Q, Wang N, Zhang Y, Ge Z, Chu W, Lv X, Zhao F, Zhao W, Fan J, Qin W. Diagnostic values of alpha-fetoprotein, dickkopf-1, and osteopontin for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Med Oncol* 2015; **32** (3): 59 [PMID: 25652109 DOI: 10.1007/s12032-014-0367-z]
- 35 Xing H, Zheng YJ, Han J, Zhang H, Li ZL, Lau WY, Shen F, Yang T. Protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist-II versus alpha-fetoprotein in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2018; 17: 487-495 [PMID: 30257796 DOI: 10.1016/j.hbpd.2018.09.009]
- 36 Hu J, Wang N, Yang Y, Ma L, Han R, Zhang W, Yan C, Zheng Y, Wang X. Diagnostic value of alphafetoprotein combined with neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for hepatocellular carcinoma. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2018; 18: 186 [PMID: 30545306 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-018-0908-6]
- 37 Youssef AA, Issa HA, Omar MZ, Behiry EG, Elfallah AA, Hasaneen A, Darwish M, Ibrahim DB. Serum human endothelial cell-specific molecule-1 (endocan) and vascular endothelial growth factor in cirrhotic HCV patients with hepatocellular carcinoma as predictors of mortality. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol* 2018; 11: 431-438 [PMID: 30538523 DOI: 10.2147/CEG.S171339]
- 38 Li J, Cheng ZJ, Liu Y, Yan ZL, Wang K, Wu D, Wan XY, Xia Y, Lau WY, Wu MC, Shen F. Serum thioredoxin is a diagnostic marker for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2015; 6: 9551-9563 [PMID: 25871387 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.3314]
- 39 Junna Z, Gongde C, Jinying X, Xiu Z. Serum AFU, 5'-NT and AFP as Biomarkers for Primary Hepatocellular Carcinoma Diagnosis. Open Med (Wars) 2017; 12: 354-358 [PMID: 29043300 DOI: 10.1515/med-2017-0051]
- 40 Song PP, Xia JF, Inagaki Y, Hasegawa K, Sakamoto Y, Kokudo N, Tang W. Controversies regarding and perspectives on clinical utility of biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; 22: 262-274 [PMID: 26755875 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i1.262]
- 41 Chiba T, Suzuki E, Saito T, Ogasawara S, Ooka Y, Tawada A, Iwama A, Yokosuka O. Biological features and biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Hepatol* 2015; 7: 2020-2028 [PMID: 26261691 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v7.i16.2020]
- 42 Shu H, Li W, Shang S, Qin X, Zhang S, Liu Y. Diagnosis of AFP-negative early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma using Fuc-PON1. *Discov Med* 2017; 23: 163-168 [PMID: 28472609]
- 43 Wang M, Sanda M, Comunale MA, Herrera H, Swindell C, Kono Y, Singal AG, Marrero J, Block T, Goldman R, Mehta A. Changes in the Glycosylation of Kininogen and the Development of a Kininogen-Based Algorithm for the Early Detection of HCC. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017; 26: 795-803 [PMID: 28223431 DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-16-0974]
- Guo J, Jing R, Zhong JH, Dong X, Li YX, Liu YK, Huang TR, Zhang CY. Identification of CD14 as a potential biomarker of hepatocellular carcinoma using iTRAQ quantitative proteomics. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 62011-62028 [PMID: 28977922 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.18782]
- 45 Kim H, Park J, Kim Y, Sohn A, Yeo I, Jong Yu S, Yoon JH, Park T, Kim Y. Serum fibronectin distinguishes the early stages of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 9449 [PMID: 28842594 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-09691-3]
- 46 Chen VL, Le AK, Podlaha O, Estevez J, Li B, Vutien P, Chang ET, Rosenberg-Hasson Y, Pflanz S, Jiang Z, Ge D, Gaggar A, Nguyen MH. Soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 is associated with hepatocellular carcinoma risk: multiplex analysis of serum markers. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 11169 [PMID: 28894136 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-10498-5]
- 47 Amhimmid Badr S, Waheeb Fahmi M, Mahmoud Nomir M, Mohammad El-Shishtawy M. Calcium channel α2δ1 subunit as a novel biomarker for diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Biol Med* 2018; **15**: 52-60 [PMID: 29545968 DOI: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2017.0167]
- 48 Wang T, Liu M, Zheng SJ, Bian DD, Zhang JY, Yao J, Zheng QF, Shi AM, Li WH, Li L, Chen Y, Wang

JH, Duan ZP, Dong L. Tumor-associated autoantibodies are useful biomarkers in immunodiagnosis of *a*-fetoprotein-negative hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; **23**: 3496-3504 [PMID: 28596685 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i19.3496]

- 49 Takaya H, Kawaratani H, Tsuji Y, Nakanishi K, Saikawa S, Sato S, Sawada Y, Kaji K, Okura Y, Shimozato N, Kitade M, Akahane T, Moriya K, Namisaki T, Mitoro A, Matsumoto M, Fukui H, Yoshiji H. von Willebrand factor is a useful biomarker for liver fibrosis and prediction of hepatocellular carcinoma development in patients with hepatitis B and C. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2018; 6: 1401-1409 [PMID: 30386613 DOI: 10.1177/2050640618779660]
- 50 Shen J, Wu H, Peng N, Cai J. An eight cytokine signature identified from peripheral blood serves as a fingerprint for hepatocellular cancer diagnosis. *Afr Health Sci* 2018; 18: 260-266 [PMID: 30602951 DOI: 10.4314/ahs.v18i2.9]
- 51 Tsuchiya N, Sawada Y, Endo I, Saito K, Uemura Y, Nakatsura T. Biomarkers for the early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; 21: 10573-10583 [PMID: 26457017 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i37.10573]
- 52 **Khattab M**, Fouad M, Ahmed E. Role of biomarkers in the prediction and diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Hepatol* 2015; 7: 2474-2481 [PMID: 26483869 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v7.i23.2474]
- 53 Lou J, Zhang L, Lv S, Zhang C, Jiang S. Biomarkers for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Biomark Cancer* 2017; 9: 1-9 [PMID: 28469485 DOI: 10.1177/1179299X16684640]
- 54 Zhu R, Yang J, Xu L, Dai W, Wang F, Shen M, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Chen K, Cheng P, Wang C, Zheng Y, Li J, Lu J, Zhou Y, Wu D, Guo C. Diagnostic Performance of Des-γ-carboxy Prothrombin for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2014; 2014: 529314 [PMID: 25165471 DOI: 10.1155/2014/529314]
- 55 Xu H, Dong X, Chen Y, Wang X. Serum exosomal hnRNPH1 mRNA as a novel marker for hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Chem Lab Med 2018; 56: 479-484 [PMID: 29252188 DOI: 10.1515/cclm-2017-0327]
- 56 Balkan A, Gulsen MT, Kaya B. Serum microRNA-26, microRNA-122 and microRNA-192 expressions in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Acta Medica Mediterranea* 2017; 33: 165 [DOI: 10.19193/0393-6384_2017_1_025]
- 57 Long XR, Zhang YJ, Zhang MY, Chen K, Zheng XFS, Wang HY. Identification of an 88-microRNA signature in whole blood for diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma and other chronic liver diseases. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2017; 9: 1565-1584 [PMID: 28657540 DOI: 10.18632/aging.101253]
- 58 Shi BM, Lu W, Ji K, Wang YF, Xiao S, Wang XY. Study on the value of serum miR-106b for the early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; 23: 3713-3720 [PMID: 28611524 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i20.3713]
- 59 Liu M, Wang L, Zhu H, Rong W, Wu F, Liang S, Xu N, Wu J. A Preoperative Measurement of Serum MicroRNA-125b May Predict the Presence of Microvascular Invasion in Hepatocellular Carcinomas Patients. *Transl Oncol* 2016; 9: 167-172 [PMID: 27267832 DOI: 10.1016/j.tranon.2016.03.002]
- 60 Hu T, Li J, Zhang C, Lv X, Li S, He S, Yan H, Tan Y, Lei M, Wen M, Zuo J. The potential value of microRNA-4463 in the prognosis evaluation in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Genes Dis* 2017; 4: 116-122 [PMID: 30258914 DOI: 10.1016/j.gendis.2017.03.003]
- 61 An Y, Gao S, Zhao WC, Qiu BA, Xia NX, Zhang PJ, Fan ZP. Novel serum microRNAs panel on the diagnostic and prognostic implications of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018; 24: 2596-2604 [PMID: 29962816 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i24.2596]
- 62 Jiang L, Cheng Q, Zhang BH, Zhang MZ. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma screening: a validation set from China. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015; 94: e603 [PMID: 25761179 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000603]
- 63 Xue X, Zhao Y, Wang X, Qin L, Hu R. Development and validation of serum exosomal microRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cell Biochem* 2019; 120: 135-142 [PMID: 30238497 DOI: 10.1002/jcb.27165]
- 64 Liu HN, Wu H, Chen YJ, Tseng YJ, Bilegsaikhan E, Dong L, Shen XZ, Liu TT. Serum microRNA signatures and metabolomics have high diagnostic value in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 108810-108824 [PMID: 29312570 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.22224]
- 65 Liao Q, Han P, Huang Y, Wu Z, Chen Q, Li S, Ye J, Wu X. Potential Role of Circulating microRNA-21 for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Diagnosis: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0130677 [PMID: 26114756 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130677]
- 66 Ding Y, Yan JL, Fang AN, Zhou WF, Huang L. Circulating miRNAs as novel diagnostic biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma detection: a meta-analysis based on 24 articles. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 66402-66413 [PMID: 29029522 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.18949]
- 67 Xu J, Li J, Zheng TH, Bai L, Liu ZJ. MicroRNAs in the Occurrence and Development of Primary Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Adv Clin Exp Med 2016; 25: 971-975 [PMID: 28028963 DOI: 10.17219/acem/36460]
- 68 Wang Y, Zhang C, Zhang P, Guo G, Jiang T, Zhao X, Jiang J, Huang X, Tong H, Tian Y. Serum exosomal microRNAs combined with alpha-fetoprotein as diagnostic markers of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Med* 2018; 7: 1670-1679 [PMID: 29573235 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.1390]
- 69 Mohamed AA, Ali-Eldin ZA, Elbedewy TA, El-Serafy M, Ali-Eldin FA, AbdelAziz H. MicroRNAs and clinical implications in hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Hepatol* 2017; 9: 1001-1007 [PMID: 28878865 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v9.i23.1001]
- 70 Motawi TK, Shaker OG, El-Maragh SA, Senousy MA. Serum MicroRNAs as Potential Biomarkers for Early Diagnosis of Hepatitis C Virus-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Egyptian Patients. *PLOS One* 2015; 10: e0137706 [PMID: 26352740 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137706]
- 71 Amr KS, Elmawgoud Atia HA, Elazeem Elbnhawy RA, Ezzat WM. Early diagnostic evaluation of miR-122 and miR-224 as biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Genes Dis* 2017; 4: 215-221 [PMID: 30258925 DOI: 10.1016/j.gendis.2017.10.003]
- 72 Elemeery MN, Badr AN, Mohamed MA, Ghareeb DA. Validation of a serum microRNA panel as biomarkers for early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma post-hepatitis C infection in Egyptian patients. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; 23: 3864-3875 [PMID: 28638226 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i21.3864]
- 73 Fornari F, Ferracin M, Trere D, Milazzo M, Marinelli S, Galassi M, Venerandi L, Pollutri D, Patrizi C, Borghi A, Foschi FG, Stefanini GF, Negrini M, Bolondi L, Gramantieri L. Circulating microRNAs, miR-939, miR-595, miR-519d and miR-494, Identify Cirrhotic Patients with HCC. *PLOS One* 2015; 10: e0141448 [PMID: 26509672 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141448]
- 74 **Zhuang L**, Xu L, Wang P, Meng Z. Serum miR-128-2 serves as a prognostic marker for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**: e0117274 [PMID: 25642945 DOI:

10.1371/journal.pone.0117274]

- 75 Zhu HT, Hasan AM, Liu RB, Zhang ZC, Zhang X, Wang J, Wang HY, Wang F, Shao JY. Serum microRNA profiles as prognostic biomarkers for HBV-positive hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2016; 7: 45637-45648 [PMID: 27317768 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.10082]
- 76 Klingenberg M, Matsuda A, Diederichs S, Patel T. Non-coding RNA in hepatocellular carcinoma: Mechanisms, biomarkers and therapeutic targets. *J Hepatol* 2017; 67: 603-618 [PMID: 28438689 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.04.009]
- 77 Schütte K, Schulz C, Link A, Malfertheiner P. Current biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma: Surveillance, diagnosis and prediction of prognosis. *World J Hepatol* 2015; 7: 139-149 [PMID: 25729470 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v7.i2.139]
- 78 Zhang YC, Xu Z, Zhang TF, Wang YL. Circulating microRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic tools for hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; 21: 9853-9862 [PMID: 26379392 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i34.9853]
- 79 Loosen SH, Schueller F, Trautwein C, Roy S, Roderburg C. Role of circulating microRNAs in liver diseases. World J Hepatol 2017; 9: 586-594 [PMID: 28515844 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v9.i12.586]
- 80 Yuan W, Sun Y, Liu L, Zhou B, Wang S, Gu D. Circulating LncRNAs Serve as Diagnostic Markers for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 2017; 44: 125-132 [PMID: 29130980 DOI: 10.1159/000484589]
- 81 Wang K, Guo WX, Li N, Gao CF, Shi J, Tang YF, Shen F, Wu MC, Liu SR, Cheng SQ. Serum LncRNAs Profiles Serve as Novel Potential Biomarkers for the Diagnosis of HBV-Positive Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0144934 [PMID: 26674525 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0144934]
- 82 Tang J, Jiang R, Deng L, Zhang X, Wang K, Sun B. Circulation long non-coding RNAs act as biomarkers for predicting tumorigenesis and metastasis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2015; 6: 4505-4515 [PMID: 25714016 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.2934]
- 83 Zheng ZK, Pang C, Yang Y, Duan Q, Zhang J, Liu WC. Serum long noncoding RNA urothelial carcinoma-associated 1: A novel biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Int Med Res* 2018; 46: 348-356 [PMID; 28856933 DOI: 10.1177/0300060517726441]
- 84 Xu H, Chen Y, Dong X, Wang X. Serum Exosomal Long Noncoding RNAs ENSG00000258332.1 and LINC00635 for the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2018; 27: 710-716 [PMID: 29650788 DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0770]
- 85 Chen S, Zhang Y, Wu X, Zhang C, Li G. Diagnostic Value of lncRNAs as Biomarker in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; 2018: 8410195 [PMID: 30410873 DOI: 10.1155/2018/8410195]
- 86 Hao QQ, Chen GY, Zhang JH, Sheng JH, Gao Y. Diagnostic value of long noncoding RNAs for hepatocellular carcinoma: A PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017; 96: e7496 [PMID: 28700498 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000007496]
- 87 Zheng C, Liu X, Chen L, Xu Z, Shao J. IncRNAs as prognostic molecular biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 59638-59647 [PMID: 28938667 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.19559]
- 88 Qin Y, Wu J, Ke Z, Xu J. Expression of plasma lncRNABANCR in hepatocellular carcinomaand its diagnostic and prognostic significance. *Int J Clin Med* 2017; 10: 11984-11990
- 89 Chen Y, Zhou J, Li J, Feng J, Chen Z, Wang X. Plasma metabolomic analysis of human hepatocellular carcinoma: Diagnostic and therapeutic study. *Oncotarget* 2016; 7: 47332-47342 [PMID: 27322079 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.10119]
- 90 Kim JU, Shariff MI, Crossey MM, Gomez-Romero M, Holmes E, Cox IJ, Fye HK, Njie R, Taylor-Robinson SD. Hepatocellular carcinoma: Review of disease and tumor biomarkers. *World J Hepatol* 2016; 8: 471-484 [PMID: 27057305 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v8.i10.471]
- 91 Di Poto C, Ferrarini A, Zhao Y, Varghese RS, Tu C, Zuo Y, Wang M, Nezami Ranjbar MR, Luo Y, Zhang C, Desai CS, Shetty K, Tadesse MG, Ressom HW. Metabolomic Characterization of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Patients with Liver Cirrhosis for Biomarker Discovery. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017; 26: 675-683 [PMID: 27913395 DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-16-0366]
- 92 Saito T, Sugimoto M, Okumoto K, Haga H, Katsumi T, Mizuno K, Nishina T, Sato S, Igarashi K, Maki H, Tomita M, Ueno Y, Soga T. Serum metabolome profiles characterized by patients with hepatocellular carcinoma associated with hepatitis B and C. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; 22: 6224-6234 [PMID: 27468212 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i27.6224]
- 93 Fitian AI, Cabrera R. Disease monitoring of hepatocellular carcinoma through metabolomics. World J Hepatol 2017; 9: 1-17 [PMID: 28105254 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.y9.i1.1]
- 94 Ferrín G, Rodríguez-Perálvarez M, Aguilar-Melero P, Ranchal I, Llamoza C, Linares CI, González-Rubio S, Muntané J, Briceño J, López-Cillero P, Montero-Álvarez JL, de la Mata M. Plasma protein biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma in HCV-infected alcoholic patients with cirrhosis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0118527 [PMID: 25789864 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118527]
- 95 Kimhofer T, Fye H, Taylor-Robinson S, Thursz M, Holmes E. Proteomic and metabonomic biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma: a comprehensive review. *Br J Cancer* 2015; **112**: 1141-1156 [PMID: 25826224 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2015.38]
- 96 Guo W, Tan HY, Wang N, Wang X, Feng Y. Deciphering hepatocellular carcinoma through metabolomics: from biomarker discovery to therapy evaluation. *Cancer Manag Res* 2018; 10: 715-734 [PMID: 29692630 DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S156837]
- 97 Passos-Castilho AM, Lo Turco E, Ferraz ML, Matos C, Silva I, Parise E, Pilau E, Gozzo F, Granato C. Plasma lipidomic fingerprinting to distinguish among hepatitis C-related hepatocellular carcinoma, liver cirrhosis, and chronic hepatitis C using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry: a pilot study. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis* 2015; 24: 43-49 [PMID: 25822433 DOI: 10.15403/jgld.2014.1121.pas]
- 98 Passos-Castilho AM, Carvalho VM, Cardozo KH, Kikuchi L, Chagas AL, Gomes-Gouvêa MS, Malta F, de Seixas-Santos Nastri AC, Pinho JR, Carrilho FJ, Granato CF. Serum lipidomic profiling as a useful tool for screening potential biomarkers of hepatitis B-related hepatocellular carcinoma by ultraperformance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *BMC Cancer* 2015; 15: 985 [PMID: 26680993 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-015-1995-1]
- 99 Margetts J, Ogle LF, Chan SL, Chan AWH, Chan KCA, Jamieson D, Willoughby CE, Mann DA, Wilson CL, Manas DM, Yeo W, Reeves HL. Neutrophils: driving progression and poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma? *Br J Cancer* 2018; 118: 248-257 [PMID: 29123264 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2017.386]
- 100 Zheng J, Cai J, Li H, Zeng K, He L, Fu H, Zhang J, Chen L, Yao J, Zhang Y, Yang Y. Neutrophil to

Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet to Lymphocyte Ratio as Prognostic Predictors for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients with Various Treatments: a Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 2017; **44**: 967-981 [PMID: 29179180 DOI: 10.1159/000485396]

- 101 Goyal H, Hu ZD. Prognostic value of red blood cell distribution width in hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann Transl Med 2017; 5: 271 [PMID: 28758097 DOI: 10.21037/atm.2017.06.30]
- 102 Van Hees S, Michielsen P, Vanwolleghem T. Circulating predictive and diagnostic biomarkers for hepatitis B virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; 22: 8271-8282 [PMID: 27729734 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i37.8271]
- 103 Hong Y, Huang J. Autoantibodies against tumor-associated antigens for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Hepatol 2015; 7: 1581-1585 [PMID: 26085917 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v7.i11.1581]
- 104 Sun C, Liao W, Deng Z, Li E, Feng Q, Lei J, Yuan R, Zou S, Mao Y, Shao J, Wu L, Zhang C. The diagnostic value of assays for circulating tumor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017; 96: e7513 [PMID: 28723763 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000007513]
- 105 Yu YQ, Wang L, Jin Y, Zhou JL, Geng YH, Jin X, Zhang XX, Yang JJ, Qian CM, Zhou DE, Liu DR, Peng SY, Luo Y, Zheng L, Li JT. Identification of serologic biomarkers for predicting microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2016; 7: 16362-16371 [PMID: 26918350 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.7649]
- 106 Kuo MJ, Chen HH, Chen CL, Fann JC, Chen SL, Chiu SY, Lin YM, Liao CS, Chang HC, Lin YS, Yen AM. Cost-effectiveness analysis of population-based screening of hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparing ultrasonography with two-stage screening. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; 22: 3460-3470 [PMID: 27022228 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i12.3460]
- 107 Hanna RF, Miloushev VZ, Tang A, Finklestone LA, Brejt SZ, Sandhu RS, Santillan CS, Wolfson T, Gamst A, Sirlin CB. Comparative 13-year meta-analysis of the sensitivity and positive predictive value of ultrasound, CT, and MRI for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2016; **41**: 71-90 [PMID: 26830614 DOI: 10.1007/s00261-015-0592-8]
- 108 Van Beers BE, Daire JL, Garteiser P. New imaging techniques for liver diseases. J Hepatol 2015; 62: 690-700 [PMID: 25457198 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.10.014]
- 109 Westwood M, Joore M, Grutters J, Redekop K, Armstrong N, Lee K, Gloy V, Raatz H, Misso K, Severens J, Kleijnen J. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound using SonoVue® (sulphur hexafluoride microbubbles) compared with contrast-enhanced computed tomography and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the characterisation of focal liver lesions and detection of liver metastases: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Health Technol Assess* 2013; 17: 1-243 [PMID: 23611316 DOI: 10.3310/hta17160]
- 110 Yao Z, Dong Y, Wu G, Zhang Q, Yang D, Yu JH, Wang WP. Preoperative diagnosis and prediction of hepatocellular carcinoma: Radiomics analysis based on multi-modal ultrasound images. *BMC Cancer* 2018; 18: 1089 [PMID: 30419849 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-018-5003-4]
- 111 Ippolito D, Inchingolo R, Grazioli L, Drago SG, Nardella M, Gatti M, Faletti R. Recent advances in noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018; 24: 2413-2426 [PMID: 29930464 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i23.2413]
- 112 Neri E, Bali MA, Ba-Ssalamah A, Boraschi P, Brancatelli G, Alves FC, Grazioli L, Helmberger T, Lee JM, Manfredi R, Martì-Bonmati L, Matos C, Merkle EM, Op De Beeck B, Schima W, Skehan S, Vilgrain V, Zech C, Bartolozzi C. ESGAR consensus statement on liver MR imaging and clinical use of liver-specific contrast agents. *Eur Radiol* 2016; 26: 921-931 [PMID: 26194455 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-015-3900-3]
- 113 Tanabe M, Kanki A, Wolfson T, Costa EA, Mamidipalli A, Ferreira MP, Santillan C, Middleton MS, Gamst AC, Kono Y, Kuo A, Sirlin CB. Imaging Outcomes of Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2014 Category 2, 3, and 4 Observations Detected at CT and MR Imaging. *Radiology* 2016; 281: 129-139 [PMID: 27115054 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2016152173]
- 114 Darnell A, Forner A, Rimola J, Reig M, García-Criado Á, Ayuso C, Bruix J. Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System with MR Imaging: Evaluation in Nodules 20 mm or Smaller Detected in Cirrhosis at Screening US. *Radiology* 2015; 275: 698-707 [PMID: 25658038 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.15141132]
- 115 Yang JF, Zhao ZH, Zhang Y, Zhao L, Yang LM, Zhang MM, Wang BY, Wang T, Lu BC. Dual-input two-compartment pharmacokinetic model of dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; 22: 3652-3662 [PMID: 27053857 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i13.3652]
- 116 Kavanaugh G, Williams J, Morris AS, Nickels ML, Walker R, Koglin N, Stephens AW, Washington MK, Geevarghese SK, Liu Q, Ayers D, Shyr Y, Manning HC. Utility of [18F] FSPG PET to Image Hepatocellular Carcinoma: First Clinical Evaluation in a US Population. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2016; 18: 924-934 [PMID: 27677886 DOI: 10.1007/s11307-016-1007-0]
- 117 Cho Y, Lee DH, Lee YB, Lee M, Yoo JJ, Choi WM, Cho YY, Paeng JC, Kang KW, Chung JK, Yu SJ, Lee JH, Yoon JH, Lee HS, Kim YJ. Does 18F-FDG positron emission tomography-computed tomography have a role in initial staging of hepatocellular carcinoma? *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e105679 [PMID: 25153834 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0105679]





Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-2238242 Fax: +1-925-2238243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk:https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

