



Suggested Modifications To Improve the Sensitivity and Specificity of the 2010 CDC-Recommended Routine *Streptococcus agalactiae* Screening Culture for Pregnant Women

Hossein Salimnia,^{a,b} Barbara Robinson-Dunn,^c Angela Gundel,^a Alicia Campbell,^a Robert Mitchell,^a Maureen Taylor,^a Marilynn R. Fairfax^{a,b}

^aDMC University Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan, USA

^bDepartment of Pathology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan, USA

^cBeaumont Health System, Royal Oak, Michigan, USA

KEYWORDS *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Streptococcus halichoeri*, *Streptococcus pseudoporcinus*, GBS screening in pregnancy, group B streptococcus

In 2010, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommended routine screening of pregnant women for *Streptococcus agalactiae* to avoid neonatal *S. agalactiae* infections in the first week of life (1): rectovaginal samples should be incubated in a selective broth such as LIM (Todd-Hewitt broth containing colistin [10 μ/ml] and nalidixic acid [15 μ/ml]) for 16 to 24 h and then subcultured on a solid medium such as tryptic soy agar containing 5% sheep blood (both BD BBL, Franklin Lakes, NJ). The CDC recommended that the identity of beta-hemolytic colonies be confirmed by Gram stain (positive cocci in pairs and chains), negative catalase, and agglutination with antisera against the Lancefield group B antigen. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists supported these recommendations for routine screening (2). In 2010, it was known that *S. agalactiae* beta-hemolysis could be difficult to detect or absent (1). However, it was not appreciated that other streptococcal species, including *Streptococcus pseudoporcinus* on sheep blood agar plates and *S. halichoeri* on GBS Detect agar (GBSDA; Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA) could fulfill the CDC criteria for *S. agalactiae*. Unlike *S. agalactiae*, *S. halichoeri* is pyrrolidonyl arylamidase (PYR) positive, and *S. pseudoporcinus* exhibits variable PYR reactions (3–6). Here, we report results that led us to change our solid medium to enhance the detection of hemolysis, followed by confirmatory testing to ensure the accuracy of identification.

Detroit Medical Center University Laboratories (DMCUL) participated in a four-center comparison between a molecular technique and CDC-recommended screening cultures for *S. agalactiae*: two laboratories missed more than 10% of the *S. agalactiae* compared to molecular analysis. In response, DMCUL changed to GBSDA, a sheep-blood agar containing proprietary additives enhancing selectivity and beta-hemolysis, enlarging zones of hemolysis and facilitating detection of nonhemolytic *S. agalactiae* (7). In a week, our laboratory received rectovaginal samples from two penicillin-allergic, pregnant women (aged 22 and 28 years). Incubation in LIM broth and subculture to GBSDA yielded beta-hemolytic, catalase-negative colonies, reacting with antibody to the group B antigen (PathoDx Strep B grouping latex; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). For antibiotic susceptibility determinations, the organisms were analyzed on the BD Phoenix SMIC/ID2 101 panel, which provided susceptibilities but did not identify either isolate. Phoenix reported both to be PYR positive, suggesting that they were not *S. agalactiae* (3–6). Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spec-

Citation Salimnia H, Robinson-Dunn B, Gundel A, Campbell A, Mitchell R, Taylor M, Fairfax MR. 2019. Suggested modifications to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the 2010 CDC-recommended routine *Streptococcus agalactiae* screening culture for pregnant women. *J Clin Microbiol* 57:e00446-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00446-19>.

Editor Erik Munson, Marquette University

Copyright © 2019 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to Marilynn R. Fairfax, mfairfax@dmc.org.

Accepted manuscript posted online 5 June 2019

Published 26 July 2019

troscopy (MALDI-TOF MS; Bruker Biotyper, BD) identified both isolates as *S. halichoeri* (scores 2.1 and 2.3; RUO Software). Neither isolate was identified as *S. agalactiae* by the BD Max GBS assay following the manufacturer's procedure. Using an off-label method, the *S. halichoeri* isolates were also inoculated into blood culture bottles and gave negative results for *S. agalactiae* when tested on Verigene Gram-positive blood culture identification panels (Luminex, Austin, TX).

We began confirming positive screens by MALDI-TOF MS, as suggested by Suwantararat et al. (6), since antibiotic prophylaxis for organisms other than *S. agalactiae*, conveys risks of anaphylaxis (1) and *Clostridium difficile* infection (8) without known benefits. Although *S. halichoeri* is beta-hemolytic on GBSDA agar, it is nonhemolytic on blood agar, so susceptibility interpretation criteria intended for the *Streptococcus* spp. beta-hemolytic group should not be used for *S. halichoeri* (9).

We performed 717 consecutive *S. agalactiae* screening cultures on clinical specimens received from pregnant women. Of these, 260 (36.3%), were beta-hemolytic and group B antigen positive. These apparent positives were subjected to MALDI-TOF MS, and 248 (95.4% of 260; 34.6% of 717) were confirmed as *S. agalactiae*, while 6 were identified as *S. halichoeri* and 6 were identified as *S. pseudoporcinus*. Without MALDI-TOF MS, 12 (4.6%) of the 260 screen-positive organisms would have been falsely identified as *S. agalactiae*.

Available U.S. Food and Drug Administration-cleared molecular techniques for routine *S. agalactiae* screening require initial overnight LIM broth incubation. Molecular methods are significantly more expensive than culture, but they reduce the turnaround time by 1 to 2 days, although false-negative results may occur due to alterations in the target sequence (10). MALDI-TOF MS is costly, but each test takes minutes, has a very low incremental cost, and reduces false-positive screening results for *S. agalactiae*. We recommend using a more sensitive culture medium, such as GBSDA, followed by the routine use of MALDI-TOF MS confirmation techniques in *S. agalactiae* screening culture identification. In the absence of MALDI-TOF MS, a PYR test may be helpful but will not eliminate reporting of PYR-negative *S. pseudoporcinus* as *S. agalactiae* (4–6).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H.S. received support for clinical studies from GenPOC and GeneMark.

REFERENCES

- Verani JR, McGee L, Schrag SJ. 2010. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease—revised guidelines from CDC, 2010. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 59:1–36.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. 2011. Committee opinion number 485: prevention of early-onset group B streptococcal disease in newborns. *Obstet Gynecol* 117:1019–1027. (Correction issued in February 2018.) <https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Prevention-of-Early-Onset-Group-B-Streptococcal-Disease-in-Newborns?IsMobileSet=false>. Accessed 13 March 2019.
- Foo RM, Chan D. 2014. A fishy tale: a man with empyema caused by *Streptococcus halichoeri*. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:681–682. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03055-13>.
- Shewmaker PL, Whitney AM, Humrighouse BW. 2016. Phenotypic, genotypic, and antimicrobial characteristics of *Streptococcus halichoeri* isolates from humans, proposal to rename *Streptococcus halichoeri* as *Streptococcus halichoeri* subsp. *halichoeri*, and description of *Streptococcus halichoeri* subsp. *hominis* subsp. nov., a bacterium associated with human clinical infections. *J Clin Microbiol* 54:739–744. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03214-15>.
- Shewmaker PL, Steigerwalt AG, Whitney AM, Morey RE, Graziano JC, Facklam RR, Musser KA, Merquior VL, Teixeira LM. 2012. Evaluation of methods for identification and determination of the taxonomic status of strains belonging to the *Streptococcus porcinus*-*Streptococcus pseudoporcinus* complex isolated from animal, human, and dairy sources. *J Clin Microbiol* 50:3591–3597. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01481-12>.
- Suwantararat N, Grundy M, Rubin M, Harris R, Miller J-A, Romagnoli M, Hanlon A, Tekle T, Ellis BC, Witter FR, Carroll KC. 2015. Recognition of *Streptococcus pseudoporcinus* colonization in women as a consequence of using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for group B streptococcus identification. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:3926–3930. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02363-15>.
- Hardy Diagnostics. 2019. GBS detect agar: instructions for use. Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA. https://catalog.hardydiagnostics.com/cp_prod/Content/hugo/GBSDetect.html. Accessed 13 March 2019.
- Cózar-Llistó A, Ramos-Martinez A, Cobo J. 2016. *Clostridium difficile* infection in special high-risk populations. *Infect Dis Ther* 5:253–269. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-016-0124-z>.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2019. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 29th ed. CLSI supplement M100. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne PA.
- Savini V, Marolli R, Verzulli S, Pontieri E, Fazi P, Creti R. 2018. GeneXpert-negative group B streptococci detected by Accelerate Pheno™ system. *Vet Microbiol* 217:64–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.02.029>.