

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Nat Microbiol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 July 30.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Microbiol. 2019 February ; 4(2): 328–338. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0309-1.

Genome-wide discovery of epistatic loci affecting antibiotic resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* using evolutionary couplings

Benjamin Schubert^{1,2,3,8}, Rohan Maddamsetti^{1,4,8}, Jackson Nyman¹, Maha R. Farhat^{5,6}, Debora S. Marks^{1,7,*}

¹Department of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

²Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

³cBio Center, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA

⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA

⁵Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

⁶Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

⁷Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁸These authors contributed equally: B. Schubert, R. Maddamsetti.

Abstract

Genome analysis should allow the discovery of interdependent loci that together cause antibiotic resistance. In practice, however, the vast number of possible epistatic interactions erodes statistical power. Here, we extend an approach that has been successfully used to identify epistatic residues in proteins to infer genomic loci that are strongly coupled. This approach reduces the number of tests required for an epistatic genome-wide association study of antibiotic resistance and increases the likelihood of identifying causal epistasis. We discovered 38 loci and 240 epistatic pairs that influence the minimum inhibitory concentrations of 5 different antibiotics in 1,102 isolates of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* that were confirmed in a second dataset of 495 isolates. Many known resistance-affecting loci were recovered; however, the majority of associations occurred in unreported genes, such as *murE.* About half of the discovered epistasis involved at least one locus

*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.S.M. Debbie@hms.harvard.edu. Author contributions

Competing interests

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

D.S.M. conceived the project and supervised the research. D.S.M, B.S and R.M designed and planned the research. B.S. implemented the analysis methods. B.S. and R.M. analysed the data with the help of J.N., M.R.F. and D.S.M.. B.S., R.M and D.S.M. wrote the paper. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0309-1.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Data availability

Measured MICs and NCBI SRA identifiers for the raw sequencing data can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

previously associated with antibiotic resistance, including interactions between *gyrA* and *parC*. Still, many combinations involved unreported loci and genes. While most variation in minimum inhibitory concentrations could be explained by identified loci, epistasis substantially increased explained phenotypic variance. Our work provides a systematic identification of epistasis affecting antibiotic resistance in *N. gonorrhoeae* and a generalizable approach for epistatic genome-wide association studies.

Reporting Summary.

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Epistasis can both enable and prevent the evolution of antibiotic-resistant bacteria¹. On the one hand, mutations that compensate for costly resistance variants² can enable the evolution of multiresistant bacteria. On the other hand, epistasis can constrain the spread of antibiotic resistance if the fitness cost of resistance prohibits its transmission or spread by horizontal gene transfer. In the face of pathogens such as *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* that are rapidly evolving resistance to multiple antibiotics³, it has become increasingly important to identify the genetic factors causing resistance to develop new treatments.

In a pioneering study, Cui et al.⁴ found 5 epistatic interactions in an exhaustive genome-wide scan of pairs of 271,945 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 51 unrelated isolates of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Nonetheless, in general it is difficult to discover all but the strongest epistatic interactions because the number of possible interactions to test is prohibitively large. To circumvent this problem, epistatic tests are often restricted to strong individual associations⁵. Alternatively, known protein–protein interactions and network information can be used to reduce the number of tests in genome-wide association studies (GWAS)⁶. In the end, neither of these approaches allow a systematic search for epistatic interactions.

To conduct a fully epistatic GWAS in the face of the combinatorial explosion of tests, we exploit sequence information by computing evolutionary couplings⁷ to identify epistatic interactions (Fig. 1). Evolutionary couplings analysis can separate causal interactions from indirect correlations⁸ including, to a large extent, global correlations caused by population structure and phylogeny^{9,10} or under-sampling. In recent years, evolutionary couplings methods have made breakthroughs in ab initio protein and RNA three-dimensional (3D) structure^{7,11}, protein complex¹² and mutation effects prediction¹³. Now, these methods are being adopted for bacterial genome analysis^{14,15}. We hypothesized that evolutionary couplings, inferred from populations of bacterial pathogens, might represent functional or mechanistic dependencies betwfigeen loci that affect bacterial fitness. If true, evolutionary couplings can be used to filter pairs for epistatic GWAS, suggesting a principled alternative to pathway-based filtering approaches⁶.

Different approaches have been taken to scale evolutionary couplings analysis to whole genomes. Skwark et al.¹⁴ predefined fixed genomic windows then independently inferred and averaged parameters from loci sampled across genomic windows¹⁴. Puranen¹⁵

developed an optimized version of the standard pseudolikelihood inference method for evolutionary couplings analysis, capable of handling 10^4 – 10^5 polymorphic sites. Here we use our own optimized and parallelized inference method that can handle a similar magnitude of polymorphic sites.

In this work, we report SNPs and epistatic interactions, first identified with evolutionary couplings analysis, that were significantly associated with changes in minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) in an exploratory dataset of clinical *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates and subsequently confirmed in a separate dataset. We found 35 significant loci and 240 epistatic interactions, many of which involved previously identified antibiotic resistance loci. Thus, our work provides a foundation for experimentalists and clinicians seeking to understand how epistatic interactions affect the rapid evolution of antibiotic resistance in clinical pathogens such as *N. gonorrhoeae*.

Results

N. gonorrhoeae isolate genomes and drug resistance.

We extracted whole-genome sequences and the MICs of five antibiotics (penicillin (PEN), tetracycline (TET), cefixime (CFX), ciprofloxacin (CIPRO) and azithromycin (AZI)) from clinical studies of *N. gonorrhoeae* infection (Supplementary Table 1). We used 1 dataset of 1,102 strains collected in the USA¹⁶ for exploration; for confirmation, a second dataset comprised 495 strains collected in Canada¹⁷ (n = 246) and England¹⁸ (n = 249) (Supplementary Table 1). A phylogenetic analysis of all 1,597 isolates showed considerable diversity within both cohorts (Fig. 2, Methods). In general, MIC distributions across countries were broadly similar; however, the AZI MIC distribution in Canadian isolates was strikingly shifted to higher MICs compared to the distribution for the UK and US isolates (two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnoff test, $P = 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Ninety-two percent of isolates from both cohorts were resistant to at least one drug, using clinically defined thresholds from the European Committee of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST)¹⁹ (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Using N. gonorrhoeae FA1090 as a reference genome, we identified non-synonymous SNPs and SNPs in non-coding regions affecting gene expression²⁰ that had a minor allele frequency 0.5%, resulting in 8,686 loci (Methods).

Probabilistic model to capture genome-wide interactions.

To identify epistatic contributions to the observed antibiotic resistance, it would be necessary to test over 37 million combinations of the 8,686 loci, resulting in low statistical power and a high probability of identifying spuriously correlated pairs. Since it seems reasonable to assume that only a small number of pairs of loci, if any, are causally related to the resistance phenotype, a logical approach would be to simply test the most correlated pairs. However, many of these pairs are probably non-causal, due to population structure that results in transitive correlations. This problem is seen in many areas of biological data analysis: correlation does not imply causation⁸. We solve this by applying a maximum entropy model to identify which pairs of loci best explain all other observed pairs in the data. The model and inference approaches we developed are based on a method that identifies causal

dependencies and epistasis between residues in proteins and RNAs that has led to successful folding of 3D structure^{7,8} and prediction of mutation effects¹³ from sequence alone.

Therefore, we computed the epistatic relationships between the 8,686 loci before exploring the association to antibiotic resistance phenotypes. Our statistical model associates the genome sequence σ with a probability distribution $P(\sigma)$ at equilibrium as

$$P(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(E(\sigma))$$

where Z is a normalization constant. We define $E(\sigma)$ as the sum of coupling terms J_{ij} between every pair of loci in a sequence and a locus-wise bias term h_{j} .

$$E(\sigma) = \sum_{i} h_{i}(\sigma_{i}) + \sum_{i < j} J_{ij}(\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j})$$

We use regularized pseudolikelihood maximization inference²¹ to compute the parameters J_{ij} and h_i . To measure the evolutionary coupling strength between pairs of loci, the inferred parameters J_{ii} are summarized using the Frobenius norm (FN):²¹

$$FN_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum_{k,l} J_{ij}(k,l)^2}$$

which is corrected for population structure and under-sampling using the average product correction²². From the set of all pairs (~37 million), we selected the most strongly coupled pairs using a two-component mixture model (Supplementary Fig. 2, Methods). This resulted in a high-confidence set of 242,360 pairs involving 7,868 loci in 1,438 genes with the majority in protein-coding genes (7,437); 178 within transcription start sites or 5' untranslated regions; 224 within promoters; and 29 within ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs; Supplementary Table 2).

We found good evidence for our hypothesis that evolutionary couplings between genes often reflect functional interactions. The top interacting genes were *pilY1* and a pilus proteinencoding gene (*NGO1911a*) that is 92% identical to *pilC*. Strikingly, *pilY1* interacts with seven different opacity proteins (NGO1861a, NGO0066a, NGO1073a, NGO1277a, NGO1463a, NGO1513, NGO1040a) within the top 100 gene–gene interactions. Opacity and pilus proteins jointly affect adhesion to host cells during infection, so we hypothesize that these interactions affect adhesion and pathogenesis²³. The second most coupled gene–gene interaction was found between transferrin-binding protein A and its cofactor, transferrin-binding protein B, which are co-transcribed, co-regulated and physically interact with each other^{24,25}. We also found an interconnected evolutionary coupling network between the genes of the Mtr efflux pump (*mtrC, mtrC, mtrE*) and its repressor *mtrR*, as well as an interconnected evolutionary coupling network between genes of the type IV pilus (*fimT, pilE, pilV, pilW* and *pilX*), which is essential for host colonization and pathogenicity^{26,27}, all within the top 100 interactions. *murE* and *penA* were also highly coupled and formed an interconnected evolutionary coupling network with *murF*. These cell wall biosynthesis genes

occur in the same pathway and are highly likely to interact based on their co-occurrence in multiple organisms and occasional gene fusions²⁸. Further examples and details are found in Supplementary Table 3.

Genome-wide, single-site analysis recapitulates known associations and predicts new ones.

Before testing the epistatic pairs, we performed a single-site GWAS analysis. We used a linear mixed model to measure the association strength of individual loci with the measured change in MICs, correcting for population structure^{29,30} (Methods). We tested all 8,686 loci for association, controlling for multiple hypothesis testing using a Bonferroni correction with an unadjusted a = 0.05.

Checking for lineage effects.—To control for spurious associations due to remaining phylogenetic correlations, we inferred the population structure of the combined dataset using RhierBAPS^{31,32}, assigned the strains into 18 inferred clades and removed significant loci whose minor allele only occurred in one clade, following Coll et al.⁵ (see Methods). On average, significant loci were found in 11 (s.d. = 6) clades with a mean maximal clade distance of 23.4% (s.d. = 4.1, ~1,998 nucleotide differences), indicating that the associated loci evolved multiple times and were not caused by ancestral resistance. Loci within five genes (gyrA, gshB, doxX, pyrG and uvrC) associated with CIPRO were found in all clades, suggesting that these associations were spuriously caused by a deep ancestral origin. However, when we tested that hypothesis we found that the five loci occurred dozens of times on the phylogeny, contradicting a deep ancestral origin (Methods and Supplementary Fig. 3). An analysis of the homoplasy distribution of all SNPs showed that significant loci reoccurred on the phylogeny far more because of recurrent mutation and selection, or recurrent recombination, than the average SNP (two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test, P< 10^{-8}). This result implies that the population structure correction successfully filtered out SNPs on deep internal branches as well as recent SNPs near the tips of the tree (Supplementary Fig. 3). Nearly half (38/82) of the significant associations in the exploratory dataset were also significant in the confirmatory dataset (Tables 1 and 2 and Supplementary Table 4).

Single-site associations to CIPRO.—Of these 38 confirmed loci, 22 were associated with CIPRO, with known loci in gyrA (2 loci) and parC as the most significant ($P = 8.25 \times 10^{-101}$, 1.98×10^{-43} and 9.96×10^{-18} , respectively) (Table 1). The 22 associations occur in 15 genes and 3 non-coding regions. Associations found in *dldH* (dihydrolip oyl dehydrogenase, p.A88V, $P = 6.68 \times 10^{-9}$) and gshB (glutathione synthetase, p.E221K, $P = 2.71 \times 10^{-8}$) suggest involvement of the oxidative stress response³³, which can be induced by fluoroquinolones³⁴ such as CIPRO. The *dldH* locus p.A88V lies on the homodimer interface of the multimeric enzyme, suggesting that multimerization stability is part of its mechanism (Fig. 3). Our analysis suggests that the *dldH* minor allele variant (alanine in the confirmatory dataset) causes greater CIPRO sensitivity, since it is uncommon in resistant isolates (5/833) and its regression coefficient (β) is negative. By contrast, the minor allele variant p.E221K in gshB is associated with a higher CIPRO MIC and a positive regression coefficient, suggesting that the lysine variant increases resistance. The highest density of

CIPRO associations was found in the AsnC family transcriptional regulator NGO1407 (three loci), *gyrA*, *gshB* and in the 5^{\prime} untranslated region of *pyrG* (two loci each).

Single-site associations to CFX.—Eight of our CFX-associated loci are in the antibiotic target *penA* (penicillin-binding protein 2). *penA* is mosaic in the test isolates¹⁶ and only one of these eight associations has been shown to affect resistance³⁵ (G546S, $P = 5.08 \times 10^{-12}$). The remaining four loci are in the cell wall biosynthesis gene, *murE*. Mutations in *murE* increase β -lactam resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*³⁶, but to our knowledge, have not been reported in *N. gonorrhoeae* (Fig. 3).

Single-site associations to PEN, TET and AZI.—We recovered a known *porB* site associated with increased resistance¹⁶ (p.A121D/G/V, $P = 2.45 \times 10^{-4}$) in our confirmatory dataset. We also identified loci in *porB* that are probably associated with increased antibiotic sensitivity (their regression coefficients (β) are negative). One was associated with PEN (p.V151A, $P = 4.73 \times 10^{-3}$) and another was associated with TET (p.F131Y, $P = 1.33 \times 10^{-3}$). This shows that *porB* variation can increase sensitivity as well as resistance to antibiotics. The only single association we see for AZI is a known locus in 23S rRNA c.C2617T, previously described as c.C2611T ($P = 2.19 \times 10^{-31}$).

As expected, a number of the significant loci (10 loci in 7 haplotypes) to all the antibiotics are in perfect linkage disequilibrium. When these are haplotypes of linked mutations, for example, *penA* or *murE* loci affecting CFX resistance, this suggests that the full haplotype affects antibiotic resistance. However, when they are instead mutually exclusive haplotypes, for example, *pyrG* or NGO1407 loci affecting CIPRO resistance, this suggests selection and parallel evolution for antibiotic resistance (Tables 1 and 2).

Genome-wide association identifies epistatic pairs associated with antibiotic resistance.

Next, we tested all 242,360 pairs of loci with high-scoring evolutionary couplings for epistatic association. To assess the contribution of each epistatic effect, we compared a linear mixed model with an interaction term against a model that considers the individual sites as additive effects. As before, we applied a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing at an unadjusted $\alpha = 0.05$ and removed pairs if either of the two loci was only observed in one clade. We considered an epistatic pair to be present in a clade if one of the two loci were observed as minor allele in at least one strain of the associated clade. To rule out ancestral resistance, we verified that the allele co-frequency of each pair was dissimilar across the clades (Supplementary Table 5).

We verified 240 epistatic associations in the confirmatory dataset (Fig. 4 and Table 3), out of 729 significant epistatic associations in the exploratory dataset (Supplementary Tables 5 and 6). We confirmed epistatic interactions to CIPRO, CFX and AZI but not to TET (54/729 significant in the exploratory dataset) or PEN (0/729 significant in the exploratory dataset). Notably, all epistatic interactions involved loci that were confirmed in our single-locus GWAS; 10 epistatic associations connected two loci, each of which were significant in our single-locus GWAS. The remaining 230 interactions connected an associated locus to a non-associated one. In addition, most of the previously known resistance loci that we identified in our single-locus GWAS (5/6) also occurred in our epistatic GWAS analysis (135

occurrences); 119 of the confirmed epistatic associations were associated with CIPRO MIC change, 58 with CFX and 63 with AZI.

Epistatic associations to AZI.—All significant epistatic associations to AZI involved a single, known antibiotic resistance locus C2617T (identical to C2611T in previous reports¹⁶) in the 23S rRNA (Table 3 and Fig. 4a). The interaction between 23S rRNA and the p.S90R locus of the NGO1861a opacity protein stood out as one of the pairs appearing in the fewest clades (16) with the highest maximum clade distance (25.9%) of all AZI-associated interactions (Fig. 5a). The S90R mutation was independently observed in 15% of resistant strains and co-occurred together with C2617T in 10.8% of resistant strains. Of the 12 genes containing 2 or more associated loci, 7 were either membrane proteins (NGO1496, NGO1861a, NGO1463a, PorB), cell wall biosynthesis proteins (LgtE) or pilus proteins (NGO1911a, PilA).

Epistatic associations to CIPRO.—Epistatic associations correlated with CIPRO MIC change involved 79 genes (Fig. 4b). The 26 most significant interactions (all at $P = 1.73 \times$ 10^{-45}) involved the well-known p.S91F resistance locus in gyrA³. Epistatic pairs involving S91F and D95A/G are highly correlated, suggesting underlying epistatic interactions between a gyrA haplotype with both S91F and D95A/G variants and several other loci in the genome (Fig. 5b). These include strong interactions between known resistance variants in gyrA and parC(gyrA S91F to parC S87F, $P = 1.73 \times 10^{-45}$; gyrA D95A/G to parC S87F, P = 1.26×10^{-30}). *parC* and *gyrA* both encode type II topoisomerases and their resistance variants S91F (gyrA) and S87F (parC) are in homologous positions close to DNA and CIPRO binding sites, where these mutations allow the enzyme to religate DNA³⁷ in the presence of antibiotic. To our knowledge, this is the first report of epistatic effects between these loci in N. gonorrhoeae; notably, homologous epistatic interactions (affecting both CIPRO resistance and fitness) have been seen in experiments with S. pneumoniae³⁸. Several of the significant associations involved loci associated with sensitivity or resistance to CIPRO in other organisms. For instance, we identified interactions between gyrA S91F and *birA* E261K ($P = 1.73 \times 10^{-45}$), and between *gyrA* S91F and *glmU*T425A ($P = 1.73 \times 10^{-45}$). 10^{-45}). *birA* is known to increase sensitivity to CIPRO when under-expressed in Mycobacterium smegmatis³⁹, while the abundance of GlmU protein increases under CIPRO stress in Salmonella typhimurium, possibly modulating cell wall permeability⁴⁰.

We also identified an epistatic interaction between L339F in translocation and assembly module subunit TamA and S87F in *parC*($P = 5.73 \times 10^{-15}$), co-occurring in 81% of all resistance strains. This epistatic pair was present in only 6 clades (Fig. 5b), with an average clade distance of 22% (max = 25.9%). This epistatic pair occurred in the fewest number of clades over all CIPRO resistance-associated pairs, indicating that the epistatic pairs that we identified are not artefacts caused by population structure. In total, 10 genes and 1 promoter contained 3 or more epistatic associations, dominated by inner and outer membrane proteins (DoxX, LrgB, CirA, PetC, TamA, TamB), some of which are associated with oxidative stress³³.

Epistatic associations to CFX.—By contrast with CIPRO, epistatic associations with CFX involved only 11 genes (Fig. 4c), each with multiple associated loci (58 pairs of

alleles). Seven epistatic interactions involved the known G546S resistance variant in *penA*. The 11 genes were dominated by cell membrane, cell wall and cell wall biosynthesis proteins (PenA, YebE, MdoB, OafA), but also included cell division (FtsL, GidA) and DNA repair (DinG) proteins. Mutations in *ftsL* are known to confer ampicillin resistance, affecting penicillin-binding proteins and leading to reduced β -lactam affinity⁴¹ causing potential resistance to first- and second-generation cephalosporins in *Haemophilus influenzae*⁴². Similar effects may also govern

N. gonorrhoeae resistance.—Three different *penA* loci (p.N173S, p.V160A and p.G546S) interacted with the p.L52V locus in *ftsL* and occurred in the same 13 clades distributed across the phylogeny (Fig. 5c). Each of these *penA* loci anti-correlate with the minor allele of *ftsL*. The close correlation among these epistatic pairs indicate an underlying haplotype block in *penA* that is epistatic with *ftsL*.

Taken together, significantly associated epistatic interactions often involve known antibiotic resistance loci. Of the interactions that did not involve known antibiotic resistance loci, some were found in genes associated with cell division and oxidative stress. However, the majority of epistatic loci were found in genes encoding inner and outer membrane proteins, or cell wall biosynthesis proteins that potentially affect *N. gonorrhoeae*'s permeability to antibiotics^{43,44}.

Heritability increases with epistatic pairs.

To estimate how well the identified single loci and epistatic pairs explain observed MIC variation, we inferred SNP-based heritability (h^2) using a linear mixed model⁴⁵ (see Methods) considering either all common SNPs h_{SNP}^2 , significant loci only $h_{singles}^2$ or significant loci combined with all epistatic loci and their effects h_{pairs}^2 (Table 4, Supplementary Fig. 4). As expected, the heritability h_{SNP}^2 of the MIC for an antibiotic was high, ranging from 0.83 to 0.93. The identified loci could explain the majority of the observed variance, excepting the loci associated with PEN and TET MICs. This might be understood by the fact that these strains were not explicitly selected for TET and PEN resistance, and neither antibiotic is currently used as a treatment for gonococcal infection³. Thus, PEN or TET may not represent active selection pressures; resistance is mostly probably ancestral. The identified epistatic pairs further increased the explained heritability compared to $h_{singles}^2$ (CFX: 5.3% increase; CIPRO: 24.9% increase; AZI: 43.3% increase); in the cases of CFX (3% increase) and CIPRO (2.4% increase), it explained even more than h_{SNP}^2 .

Discussion

We report the results of the first systematic GWAS of single loci and epistatic interactions affecting antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance in *N. gonorrhoeae*. As expected, we recovered many known resistance-causing loci; many of the epistatic interactions that we discovered involve known resistance-causing loci.

In our study, reported loci can cause either heightened *sensitivity* or greater *resistance* to antimicrobials. Examples for heightened sensitivity include loci in *dldH* and *comF* that are associated with CIPRO (Table 1). We also found several unreported loci and interactions that associate strongly with greater antibiotic resistance, including several loci in *murE* linked to CFX resistance and two interactions between *gyrA* and *parC* linked to CIPRO resistance. Each antibiotic showed distinct epistatic association patterns. While epistatic associations with CIPRO occurred in many genes, all epistatic associations with AZI involved a single resistance locus in 23S rRNA and all epistatic associations with CFX involved loci in a few genes, mostly concentrated in the peptidoglycan synthesis gene *penA*. These observations suggest that the mechanism of CIPRO-induced death involves many genes across diverse cellular pathways, including oxidative stress, while the mechanism of CFX and AZI killing is focused on disabling peptidoglycan synthesis and 23S rRNA, respectively.

Population structure can cause spurious associations in GWAS; for this reason, we took several measures to correct for population structure and verify that our findings were not confounded by relatedness among the sampled strains. First, we explicitly corrected for spurious phylogenetic correlations through the application of a linear mixed model that includes a population structure term as a random effect. Second, we applied a phylogenetic correction to the inferred strength of evolutionary couplings before identifying high-confidence interactions. Finally, we filtered out all loci and epistatic interactions that occurred in only one clade (as inferred by RhierBAPS) of the phylogeny and verified that significant loci were subject to greater homoplasy. Thus, all significant associations occurred multiple times across the phylogenetic tree and did not act as markers for particular resistant or sensitive lineages.

By calculating SNP heritability, we found that taking epistatic pairs into account significantly improved the amount of MIC variation that could be explained by the additive effects of significant loci, and even all common loci combined. This finding reinforces the causal nature of the identified epistatic pairs. However, we caution that a more rigorous statistical approach would be to estimate heritability for these loci and epistatic pairs on a distinct genomic dataset.

In principle, GWAS can identify causal variation. However, our study focuses only on point mutations found by comparison to the *N. gonorrhoeae* FA1090 reference genome. Thus, the effects of horizontally transmitted genes and more complex variants, such as gene duplications, indels and mobile element transpositions, will be missed. Some of these missing variants may be hidden yet causal variables that affect antibiotic resistance. If so, the effects of these missing variants will either be absent or associated to non-causative markers in our data that closely track the presence of the true, but missing, causal variants. We found some evidence of missing causal variables in our analysis because many epistatic associations are linked to genes that are highly variable in the genome alignment, such as transferrin-binding proteins⁴⁶. Thus, it is possible that some associations involving highly variable genes are actually markers that represent the closest possible association to hidden causal variants. Nevertheless, our work demonstrates that evolutionary couplings combined with standard GWAS methods is a promising new approach for discovering epistatic interactions affecting antibiotic resistance in multiresistant pathogens, such as *N*.

gonorrhoeae. The loci and interactions reported in this study may support efforts in predicting antibiotic resistance from whole-genome sequence data⁴⁷. We anticipate that our findings and evolutionary couplings approach will be valuable in unravelling the genetics of complex traits.

Methods

Alignment construction and annotation.

We used *breseq*⁴⁸ to call variants in each isolate with reference to the *N. gonorrhoeae* FA1090 genome. To identify variants in rRNAs, we masked all except the first copy of the rRNA operon in the reference genome. We ran *breseq* in consensus mode using the masked reference genome. We filtered variants in the consensus mode runs for SNPs, then mapped the SNPs onto the reference sequence using gdtools (part of *breseq*) to generate a genome alignment. We ran breseq in polymorphism mode to infer the copy number of rRNA variants in each isolate. The FA1090 reference genome was used to annotate the location of coding regions and other genomic features. Promoters and 5' untranslated regions associated with experimentally determined RNA transcripts²⁰ were annotated as follows. Regions bounded by the transcriptional start site and the location of the first gene on the transcript were annotated as 5' untranslated regions as long as the annotated region was no more than 150 nucleotides (nt) long. This decision rule was based on experimental results on the distribution of 5' untranslated region lengths in *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* and Pseudomonas aeruginosa⁴⁹. Sequences up to 70 nt upstream of the transcript (or, the end of the upstream gene on the same strand if within 70 nt) were annotated as promoters, following Remmele et al.²⁰. The genome alignment was filtered for variants that occurred in at least 5 strains (0.5% minor allele frequency). Synonymous variants within coding regions were excluded from the alignment.

Genome-wide evolutionary couplings inference.

To identify strongly coupled positions within a genome of length *L*, we fitted a q = 2 state undirected graphical model of the form:

$$P(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i(\sigma_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{L} J_{ij}(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)\right)$$

using a multiple sequence alignment σ of *N* bacterial genomes. Minor alleles were encoded as 1 and major alleles were encoded as 0. To prevent overfitting, we used L2-regularized pseudolikelihood estimation, similar to previous work^{11–13,21,50}. The site-specific regularization weight was set to $\lambda_h = 0.01$ and the pairwise regularization weight was set to $\lambda_J = \lambda_h (L-1)q$. We used maximum pseudolikelihood inference to fit the parameters of the

model and summarized the evolutionary couplings strength of each pair of loci with the FN^{21} of each J_{ii} matrix:

$$\operatorname{FN}_{ij} = \left\| J_{ij} \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \sigma_{j} \right) \right\|_{2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k,l=1}^{q} J_{ij}^{(k,l)^{2}}}$$

Identification of highly evolutionarily coupled loci.

To correct for under-sampling and phylogenetic bias in the alignment, we adjusted the summarized FN scores with an average product correction (APC):⁵¹

$$FN_{ij}^{APC} = FN_{ij} - \frac{\overline{FN}_{.j} \cdot \overline{FN}_{i}}{\overline{FN}}$$

Where $\overline{FN}_{.j}$ and \overline{FN}_i . represent the column and row mean of the FN matrix, respectively, while \overline{FN} is the matrix mean.

Following Toth-Petroczy et al.⁵⁰, we fitted a two-component mixture model to the corrected FN score distribution (Supplementary Fig. 2) to separate evolutionary couplings representing noise from strongly evolutionarily coupled genomic loci:

$$FN_{ij}^{APC} \sim (1 - \pi) SN(0, \omega^2, \xi) + \pi LN(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

where π represents the mixing parameter, SN is a skew normal distribution with location at 0 and unknown scale ω and shape ζ parameters and LN represents a log-normal distribution with unknown mean μ and variance σ . The parameters were inferred by expectation maximization. All evolutionary couplings whose posterior probability of membership in the log-normal component was >0.95 were deemed significant and used for later analysis.

Detection of antibiotic-resistant loci and co-evolutionarily coupled pairs.

To test single-locus and epistatic associations to a specific phenotype, we employed a linear mixed model^{29,30} of the following form:

$$y {\sim} N \Big(S\beta, \sigma_g^2 K + \sigma_e^2 I \Big)$$

 $S: = \begin{bmatrix} 1, X_i, X_j, X_i X_j \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } S: = \begin{bmatrix} 1, X_i \end{bmatrix} \text{ denotes the design matrix with the loci or epistatic interactions of interest, y denotes the log-transformed MIC for a specific antibiotic measured for each strain, /denotes the identity matrix, and <math>\sigma_g^2$ and σ_e^2 denote the genetic and noise variance components. The bacterial sequences are first binary encoded in X with $X_i = 0$ as major and $X_i = 1$ as minor allele and then standardized for the purpose of association testing. $K = \frac{1}{T} X X^T$ represents the kernel matrix that is used to correct for population structure.

Parameters of the single-locus model were inferred with restricted maximum likelihood; the association strength was assessed with a Wald test. The regression coefficients of the

epistatic model were inferred with maximum likelihood, assuming fixed variance components, while the variance components were inferred based on a null model $y \sim N(0, \sigma_g^2 K + \sigma_e^2 I)$ following Kang et al.²⁹. The epistatic association strength was tested using a likelihood ratio test with 1 d.f. comparing the full interaction model to a model disregarding the interaction effect. Bonferroni correction was used for multiple testing

correction at a = 0.05.

Estimation of SNP-based heritability.

To estimate the SNP-based heritability h^2 of the observed MICs, we fitted a variance component model of the following form:

$$y \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma_g^2 \frac{1}{L} SS^{\mathrm{T}} + \sigma_e^2 I\right)$$

with μ being the estimated mean and S a design matrix encoding the loci and epistatic interactions of interest. The same data encoding and normalization procedures conducted in the GWAS analysis were applied.

Heritability was defined as

$$h^2 = \sigma_g^2 / \left(\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_e^2 \right)$$

and is a lower bound of the broad sense heritability⁵². We estimated h^2 for all common SNPs, all significant loci and all significant loci combined with all significantly epistatic loci. Singly significant loci are encoded in *S* as additive terms and epistatic loci are encoded in *S* as interaction terms and single additive terms. Parameters were estimated with restricted maximum likelihood; the posterior of h^2 was approximated using the sampled log-likelihood during parameter estimation (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Population structure analysis.

We used Gubbins⁵³ to predict regions of recombination and ran FastTree⁵⁴ with default parameters to make an approximately maximum likelihood phylogeny of all 1,597 strains, excluding regions of recombination. Bayesian analysis of population structure was performed with RhierBAPS³¹. To further reduce false associations caused by residual phylogenetic correlations, we removed all significant single loci which were clade-specific. For epistatic pairs, we considered a pair to be present in the clade if either of the two loci were observed as minor alleles and removed all epistatic pairs that were only present in one clade. Additionally, we calculated the average Hamming distance between two clades C_k and C_{g_2}

$$\begin{aligned} d_c \Big(C_k, C_g \Big) &= \frac{1}{\left| C_k \right| \left| C_g \right| } \sum_{c_k \in C_k} \left| \left| i \in [1, L] \right| X_{c_k i} \neq X_{c_g i} \right| \right| / L \\ & c_g \in C_g \end{aligned}$$

as a measure of clade relatedness and annotated the maximum clade distance for each significant association to further substantiate their phylogenetic independence. Finally, we counted the number of homoplasies (parallel changes due to mutation or recombination events, possibly under selection) at each SNP locus along the phylogeny. As a fast approximation to a joint maximum likelihood ancestral sequence reconstruction⁵⁵ of the full genome alignment, we binary encoded all SNPs in the genome and used RAxML⁵⁶ under the BINCAT model to reconstruct ancestral states by marginal maximum likelihood. Many loci were subject to dozens, even hundreds, of homoplasies, consistent with high recombination rates in *N. gonorrhoeae* populations.

Implementation.

The undirected graphical model was inferred using plmc (https://github.com/ debbiemarkslab/plmc). The linear mixed model was implemented in Python version 3.5 and is based on pylmm³⁰. Population structure analysis was performed with RhierBAPS³¹, an R implementation of hierBAPS³² (https://github.com/gtonkinhill/rhierbaps). The remaining data analysis was performed in Python and Jupyter Notebook. Chord diagrams and phylogenetic tree visualization were made with circlize⁵⁷ and iTOL version 3⁵⁸.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Marks laboratory and C. Sander for his support during this research project.

References

- Palmer AC & Kishony R Understanding, predicting and manipulating the genotypic evolution of antibiotic resistance. Nat. Rev. Genet. 14, 9.43–9.48 (9013) [PubMed: 23150038]
- 2. de Sousa JM, Balbontín R, Durão P & Gordo I Multidrug-resistant bacteria compensate for the epistasis between resistances. PLoS Biol. 15, e2001741 (2017).
- 3. Unemo M & Shafer WM Antimicrobial resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae in the 21st century: past, evolution, and future. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 27, 587–613 (2014). [PubMed: 24982323]
- 4. Cui Y et al. Epidemic clones, oceanic gene pools, and eco-LD in the free living marine pathogen Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 1396–1410 (2015). [PubMed: 25605790]
- 5. Coll F et al. Genome-wide analysis of multi- and extensively drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat. Genet. 50, 307–316 (2018). [PubMed: 29358649]
- Emily M, Mailund T, Hein J, Schauser L & Schierup MH Using biological networks to search for interacting loci in genome-wide association studies. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 17, 1231–1240 (2009). [PubMed: 19277065]
- 7. Marks DS et al. Protein 3D structure computed from evolutionary sequence variation. PLoS ONE 6, e28766 (2011).
- 8. Lapedes AS, Giraud B, Liu L & Stormo GD Correlated mutations in models of protein sequences: phylogenetic and structural effects. Lect. Notes Monogr. Ser. 33, 236–256 (1999).
- Qin C & Colwell LJ Power law tails in phylogenetic systems. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 115, 690– 695 (2018). [PubMed: 29311320]
- Cocco S, Monasson R & Weigt M From principal component to direct coupling analysis of coevolution in proteins: low-eigenvalue modes are needed for structure prediction. PLoS Comput. Biol. 9, e1003176 (2013).

- Weinreb C et al. 3D RNA and functional interactions from evolutionary couplings. Cell 165, 963– 975 (2016). [PubMed: 27087444]
- 12. Hopf TA et al. Sequence co-evolution gives 3D contacts and structures of protein complexes. eLife 3, e03430 (2014).
- Hopft TA et al. Mutation effects predicted from sequence co-variation. Nat. Biotechnol. 35, 128– 135 (2017). [PubMed: 28092658]
- 14. Skwark MJ et al. Interacting networks of resistance, virulence and core machinery genes identified by genome-wide epistasis analysis. PLoS Genet. 13, e1006508 (2017).
- 15. Puranen S SuperDCA for genome-wide epistasis analysis. Microb. Genom. 4, e000184 (2018).
- Grad YH et al. Genomic epidemiology of gonococcal resistance to extended-spectrum cephalosporins, macrolides, and fluoroquinolones in the United States, 2000–2013. J. Infect. Dis. 214, 1579–1587 (2016). [PubMed: 27638945]
- Demczuk W et al. Whole-genome phylogenomic heterogeneity of Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates with decreased cephalosporin susceptibility collected in Canada between 1989 and 2013. J. Clin. Microbiol. 53, 191–200 (2015). [PubMed: 25378573]
- De Silva D et al. Whole-genome sequencing to determine transmission of Neisseria gonorrhoeae: an observational study. Lancet Infect. Dis. 16, 1295–1303 (2016). [PubMed: 27427203]
- Breakpoint Tables for Interpretation of MICs and Zone Diameters, Version 7.1 (European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 2017); http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/ media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_7.1_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf
- Remmele CW et al. Transcriptional landscape and essential genes of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, 10579–10595 (2014). [PubMed: 25143534]
- 21. Ekeberg M, Lövkvist C, Lan Y, Weigt M & Aurell E Improved contact prediction in proteins: using pseudolikelihoods to infer Potts models. Phys. Rev. E 87, 012707 (2013).
- Harrison OB et al. Genomic analyses of Neisseria gonorrhoeae reveal an association of the gonococcal genetic island with antimicrobial resistance. J. Infect. 73, 578–587 (2016). [PubMed: 27575582]
- 23. Griffiss JM, Lammel CJ, Wang J, Dekker NP & Brooks G Neisseria gonorrhoeae coordinately uses Pili and Opa to activate HEC-1-B cell microvilli, which causes engulfment of the gonococci. Infect. Immun. 67, 3469–3480 (1999). [PubMed: 10377128]
- Ronpirin C, Jerse AE & Cornelissen CN Gonococcal genes encoding transferrin-binding proteins A and B are arranged in a bicistronic operon but are subject to differential expression. Infect. Immun. 69, 6336–6347 (2001). [PubMed: 11553578]
- Krell T et al. Insight into the structure and function of the transferrin receptor from Neisseria meningitidis using microcalorimetric techniques. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 14712–14722 (2003). [PubMed: 12571247]
- 26. Tønjum T & Koomey M The pilus colonization factor of pathogenic neisserial species: organelle biogenesis and structure/function relationships—a review. Gene 192, 155–163 (1997). [PubMed: 9224886]
- Heckels JE Structure and function of pili of pathogenic Neisseria species. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2, S66–S73 (1989). [PubMed: 2566375]
- Szklarczyk D The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein–protein association networks, made broadly accessible. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D362–D368 (2017). [PubMed: 27924014]
- 29. Kang HM et al. Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. Nat. Genet. 42, 348–354 (2010). [PubMed: 20208533]
- 30. Sul JH et al. Accounting for population structure in gene-by-environment interactions in genomewide association studies using mixed models. PLoS Genet. 12, e1005849 (2016).
- Tonkin-Hill G, Lees JA, Bentley SD, Frost SDW & Corander J RhierBAPS: an R implementation of the population clustering algorithm hierBAPS. Wellcome Open Res. 3, 93 (2018). [PubMed: 30345380]
- Cheng L, Connor TR, Sirén J, Aanensen DM & Corander J Hierarchical and spatially explicit clustering of DNA sequences with BAPS software. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 1224–1228 (2013). [PubMed: 23408797]

- 33. Seib KL et al. Defenses against oxidative stress in Neisseria gonorrhoeae: a system tailored for a challenging environment. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 70, 344–361 (2006). [PubMed: 16760307]
- Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ & Collins JJ How antibiotics kill bacteria: from targets to networks. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 423–435 (2010). [PubMed: 20440275]
- 35. Unemo M & Nicholas RA Emergence of multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and untreatable gonorrhea. Future Microbiol. 7, 1401–1422 (2012). [PubMed: 23231489]
- 36. Todorova K et al. Transfer of penicillin resistance from Streptococcus oralis to Streptococcus pneumoniae identifies murE as resistance determinant. Mol. Microbiol. 97, 866–880 (2015). [PubMed: 26010014]
- Redgrave LS, Sutton SB, Webber MA & Piddock LJ Fluoroquinolone resistance: mechanisms, impact on bacteria, and role in evolutionary success. Trends Microbiol. 22, 438–445 (2014). [PubMed: 24842194]
- Rozen DE, McGee L, Levin BR & Klugman KP Fitness costs of fluoroquinolone resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51, 412–416 (2007). [PubMed: 17116668]
- Duckworth BP et al. Bisubstrate adenylation inhibitors of biotin protein ligase from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Chem. Biol. 18, 1432–1441 (2011). [PubMed: 22118677]
- Correia S et al. Comparative subproteomic analysis of clinically acquired fluoroquinolone resistance and ciprofloxacin stress in Salmonella Typhimurium DT104B. Proteomics Clin. Appl. 11, 1600107 (2017).
- 41. Ubukata K et al. Association of amino acid substitutions in penicillin-binding protein 3 with β-lactam resistance in β-lactamase-negative ampicillin-resistant Haemophilus influenzae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45, 1693–1699 (2001). [PubMed: 11353613]
- 42. Morikawa Y et al. In vitro activities of piperacillin against β-lactamase-negative ampicillinresistant Haemophilus influenzae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48, 1229–1234 (2004). [PubMed: 15047524]
- Vaara M Outer membrane permeability barrier to azithromycin, clarithromycin, and roxithromycin in gram-negative enteric bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 37, 354–356 (1993). [PubMed: 8383945]
- 44. Delcour AH Outer membrane permeability and antibiotic resistance. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1794, 808–816 (2009). [PubMed: 19100346]
- Lee SH, Wray NR, Goddard ME & Visscher PM Estimating missing heritability for disease from genome-wide association studies. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 88, 294–305 (2011). [PubMed: 21376301]
- 46. Barber MF & Elde NC Escape from bacterial iron piracy through rapid evolution of transferrin. Science 346, 1362–1366 (2014). [PubMed: 25504720]
- Bradley P et al. Rapid antibiotic-resistance predictions from genome sequence data for Staphylococcus aureus and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat. Commun. 6, 10063 (2015). [PubMed: 26686880]
- Deatherage DE & Barrick JE Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from nextgeneration sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol. Biol. 1151, 165–188 (2014). [PubMed: 24838886]
- 49. Johns NI et al. Metagenomic mining of regulatory elements enables programmable speciesselective gene expression. Nat. Methods 15, 323–329 (2018). [PubMed: 30052624]
- 50. Toth-Petroczy A et al. Structured states of disordered proteins from genomic sequences. Cell 167, 158–170 (2016). [PubMed: 27662088]
- Dunn SD, Wahl LM & Gloor GB Mutual information without the influence of phylogeny or entropy dramatically improves residue contact prediction. Bioinformatics 24, 333–340 (2008). [PubMed: 18057019]
- 52. Visscher PM, Hill WG & Wray NR Heritability in the genomics era—concepts and misconceptions. Nat. Rev. Genet. 9, 255–266 (2008). [PubMed: 18319743]
- 53. Croucher NJ et al. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, e15 (2015).
- Price MN, Dehal PS & Arkin AP FastTree 2—approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE 5, e9490 (2010).

- 55. Yang Z Computational Molecular Evolution (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2006).
- 56. Stamatakis A RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313 (2014). [PubMed: 24451623]
- Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M & Brors B circlize implements and enhances circular visualization in R. Bioinformatics 30, 2811–2812 (2014). [PubMed: 24930139]
- Letunic I & Bork P Interactive tree of life (iTOL)v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, W242–W245 (2016). [PubMed: 27095192]
- Faure M et al. Interaction between the lipoamide-containing H-protein and the lipoamide dehydrogenase (L-protein) of the glycine decarboxylase multienzyme system 2. Crystal structures of H- and L-proteins. Eur. J. Biochem. 267, 2890–2898 (2000). [PubMed: 10806386]
- Gordon E et al. Crystal structure of UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanyl-D-glutamate: mesodiaminopimelate ligase from Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 10999–11006 (2001). [PubMed: 11124264]



a, First, non-synonymous SNPs and non-coding variants with experimentally derived functional annotation are identified and used to generate a whole-genome alignment. **b**, Highly evolutionarily coupled loci are identified using an undirected graphical model given the multiple sequence alignment. **c**, The inferred evolutionary couplings are then tested in an exploratory set of 1,102 *N. gonorrhoeae* strains, using a linear mixed model, for their epistatic association to MICs for 5 antibiotics: PEN, TET, CFX, CIPRO and AZI. **d**,

Significant epistatic associations are then confirmed in a geographically distinc set of 495 *N. gonorrhoeae* genomes.

Schubert et al.



Fig. 2 |. MIC (mgl⁻¹) for *N. gonorrhoeae* strains.

a, The dotted lines indicate clinical breakpoints for *N. gonorrhoeae* as defined by EUCAST. The upper panels show the MIC distribution in the exploratory dataset (n = 1,102) and the lower panels the distribution in the confirmatory dataset (n = 495). (See Supplementary Fig. 1 for details.) **b**, Geographic and phylogenetic distribution of resistance strains in the combined dataset. The coloured branches indicate the geographical origin of the strain and the lines surrounding the phylogenetic tree indicate resistance to one of the five antibiotics considered in this study (from PEN at the innermost ring, to TET, CFX, CIPRO and AZI at the outermost ring).



Fig. 3 |. Associations that occur in structural binding sites.

a, *dldH* A88 (magenta), associated with CIPRO resistance, maps onto the interface of the homodimer shown in the 3D structure of the homologous protein in *Pisum sativum*, 1dxl (ref. ⁵⁹). **b**, Two out of four variants associated with CFX resistance in *murE* map onto the enzyme active site, as shown in the 3D structure of the homologous protein in *E. coli*, 1e8c (ref. ⁶⁰), co-crystallized with its substrate uridine-5[']-diphosphate-*N*-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine-D-glutamate (UAG). See Tables 1 and 2 for details.

Schubert et al.



Fig. 4 |. Significant epistatic interactions affecting antibiotic resistance and sensitivity in $N\!.$ gonorrhoeae.

The edges between pairs of positions that are both individually associated with changes in MIC are outlined in black. **a**, Epistatic interactions affecting resistance to AZI (n = 63). All interactions are connected to a single C2617T variant in 23S rRNA, which is known to cause significant resistance to AZI. **b**, Epistatic interactions affecting resistance to CIPRO (n = 119). **c**, Epistatic interactions affecting resistance to CFX (n = 58). Significance was determined by a likelihood ratio test using a linear mixed model with and without an

interaction term. Bonferroni correction was used to adjust for multiple comparison. See Supplementary Table 5 for more details.





Each of the 18 clades inferred by RhierBAPS is coloured on the phylogeny. The occurrence of selected epistatic loci that are mentioned in the text is indicated by the coloured rings. **A**–**c**, The innermost black ring indicates strains that are resistant to AZI (**a**), CIPRO (**b**) and CFX (**c**). Detailed information can be found in Supplementary Table 5.

Table 1

Single loci associated with change in sensitivity or resistance to CIPRO

Locus	Gene	Variant compared to FA1090	P value	β	Amino acid change	Minor allele frequency in resistant isolates	Number of clades containing strains with a minor allele
620917 ^a	gyrA (NG00629)	S91F	8.25×10^{-101}	2.727	832	239/833	18
620905 ^a	gyrA (NGO0629)	D95(A/G)	1.98×10^{-43}	2.091	254/783	299/833	18
1210781 ^a	parC (NGO1259)	S87R	9.96×10^{-18}	1.929	700	702/833	6
894348 ⁴	didH (NGO0915)	$^{\rm A8V}{}^{p}$	$6.68 imes 10^{-9}$	-1.265	174	5/833	15
1167114 ^a	gshB(NG01217)	E221K	$2.71 imes 10^{-8}$	0.920	859	242/833	18
1687733 ^a	<i>comF</i> (NGO1726)	F43Y	$1.86 imes 10^{-7}$	-1.004	N/A	32/883	14
93476	NGO0086	D363E	$1.66 imes 10^{-5}$	-0.885	692	428/833	12
1167527	gshB (NG01217)	$\mathrm{E83A}^{b}$	$1.91 imes 10^{-5}$	0.647	116	44/833	18
89733	<i>pglD</i> (NGO0083)	A360T	$2.07 imes 10^{-5}$	0.728	325	5/833	11
1393066 ^a	<i>cirA</i> (NG01430)	R122P	$2.83 imes 10^{-5}$	0.947	N/A	182/833	5
1921503 ^a	doxX(NGO1948)	L139R	$4.09 imes10^{-5}$	0.837	33	3/833	18
1923171 ^a	prfB (NG01951)	–84A→G	$4.93 imes 10^{-5}$	-0.930	640	625/833	6
447535	<i>fimT</i> (NG00452)	$G100D^b$	$9.02 imes 10^{-5}$	-0.553	828	228/833	15
1162600^{1}	<i>pyrG</i> (NG01212)	-12G→A	$1.76 imes 10^{-4}$	0.647	1167	11/883	18
1162602^{1}	<i>pyrG</i> (NG01212)	–14A→G	1.76×10^{-4}	0.647	599/251	429/833	18
964051 ^a	NG00993	$\mathrm{K161E}^{b}$	$3.89 imes 10^{-04}$	-0.526	N/A	3/833	6
1378462	nqrB (NGO1414)	A25V	$6.61 imes 10^{-04}$	-0.578	811	242/833	7
565114	<i>uvrC</i> (NGO0578)	N258D	$6.96 imes 10^{-4}$	0.664	603	37/833	18
1747633	gapA/BNG01776	$v_{267A}{}^{b}$	$8.82 imes 10^{-4}$	-0.568	334	3/833	11
1373003^{2}	NGO1407	L66M	$1.16 imes 10^{-3}$	-0.666	334	3/833	4
1372748	NGO1407	–61 A→G	$1.16 imes 10^{-3}$	-0.666	832	239/833	2
1373005^{2}	NG01407	L66M	$1.16 imes 10^{-3}$	-0.666	254/783	299/833	4

Nat Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 July 30.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

 $^{a}_{\rm Loci}$ associated with significant epistatic interactions, as shown in Fig. 4.

 b_{μ} Minor allele on the left (coding variants have the minor allele on the right). Non-coding variants are labelled with the distance upstream (–) from the closest gene. β is the regression coefficient associated with the minor allele variant in the linear mixed model, run on the confirmatory dataset. Reported P values were calculated using a Wald test and were Bonferroni corrected for multiple tests. Significance combined exploratory and confirmatory data sets is reported, as is the fraction of resistant isolates in the combined data sets with the minor allele variant. Superscripted numbers indicate loci in perfect was first determined in the exploratory dataset (n = 1,102) and then confirmed in a second dataset (n = 495). The count of each variant (with respect to FA1090) in N. gonorrhoeae isolates from the linkage disequilibrium. Loci in bold are known resistance variants. N/A, not applicable.

1
1
<u> </u>
Ŧ
4
<
З
Mar
Manu
Manus
Manus
Manusci
Manuscri
Manuscrip

Single loci associated with change in sensitivity or resistance to AZi, PEN, TET and CFX

AZI II16541 a 23.5 rRNA PEN 1789058 $porB$ (NGO1812 PEN 1789058 $porB$ (NG01812 PEN 1789088 $porB$ (NG01812 CFX 1789088 $porB$ (NG01812 CFX 1516646 $^{a_{11}}$ $porA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516646 $^{a_{11}}$ $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516646 $^{a_{12}}$ $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516398 a $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516798 a $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516798 a $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1514350 muE (NG01542 CFX 1514350 muE (NG01542 CFX 1514550 muE (NG01542				1	in resistant isolates	with a minor allele
PEN 1789058 $porB$ (NGO1812 PEN 1789148 $porB$ (NG01812 TET 1789088 $porB$ (NG01812 CFX 1789088 $porB$ (NG01812 CFX 1516646^a l, $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 1516646^a l, $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 1516646^a l, $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 1516546^a l, $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 1516646^a l, $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 1516798^a $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 1516798^a $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 1516798^a $penA$ (NG0154; CFX 151430 $nurE$ (NG0154; CFX 151430 $nurE$ (NG0154; CFX 151430 $nurE$ (NG0154; CFX 151450 $nurE$ (NG0154; CFX 1516552^a 2 $penA$ (NG0154;	T (C2611T) 2.19 ×	< 10 ⁻³¹	0.889	N/A	315/690	16
PEN 1789148 $porB$ (NG01812 TET 1789088 $porB$ (NG01812 CFX 1516685 a_{11} $porB$ (NG01812 CFX 1516646 a_{11} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516646 a_{11} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516646 a_{11} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 15165861 a $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516861 a $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516398 a $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1514399 $murE$ (NG01542 CFX 1514350 $murE$ (NG01542 CFX 151450 $murE$ (NG01542 CFX 151450 $murE$ (NG01542 CFX 151450 $murE$ (NG01542 CFX 1516552 a_{22} $penA$ (NG01542	D/G/V) 2.45 ×	< 10 ⁻⁴	0.348	448/22/4	217/678	15
TET 1789088 $parB$ (NG01812 CFX 1516685^{a}_{13} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516646^{a}_{13} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516646^{a}_{13} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516646^{a}_{13} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516546^{a}_{13} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516798^{a} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516798^{a} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1514399 muE (NG01542 CFX 1514450 muE (NG01542 CFX 151450^{a}_{232} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 151450^{a}_{232} $penA$ (NG01542 CFX 1516552^{a}_{22} $penA$ (NG01542	4.78 ×	< 10 ⁻³	-0.249	544	47/678	15
CFX $1516685^{a_{11}}$ penA (NG01542) CFX $1516646^{a_{11}}$ penA (NG01542) CFX $1516646^{a_{11}}$ penA (NG01542) CFX 15166861^{a} penA (NG01542) CFX 1516861^{a} penA (NG01542) CFX 1516861^{a} penA (NG01542) CFX 1516798^{a} penA (NG01542) CFX 1514399 murfe (NG01542) CFX 1514369 murfe (NG01542) CFX 151450 murfe (NG01542) CFX 151450^{a} penA (NG01542) CFX 1516552^{a}_{22} penA (NG01542)	$1.33 \times$	< 10 ⁻³	-0.174	417	139/1061	15
CFX 1516646 ^a .1 <i>penA</i> (NG01542 CFX 1516546 ^a .1 <i>penA</i> (NG01542 CFX 1516861 ^a <i>penA</i> (NG01542 CFX 1516798 ^a <i>penA</i> (NG01542 CFX 1516792 ^a <i>penA</i> (NG01542 CFX 1514399 <i>murE</i> (NG01542 CFX 1514399 <i>murE</i> (NG01542 CFX 1514359 <i>murE</i> (NG01542 CFX 1516552 ^a .2 <i>penA</i> (NG01542	2.14 ×	< 10 ⁻¹⁵	0.958	398	277/283	5
CFX I515528 ^a penA (NGO154: CFX 1516861 ^a penA (NG0154: CFX 1516798 ^a penA (NG0154: CFX 1514399 murE (NG0154: CFX 1514399 murE (NG0154: CFX 1514450 murE (NG0154: CFX 1514450 murE (NG0154: CFX 151450 murE (NG0154: CFX 151450 murE (NG0154: CFX 151450 murE (NG0154:	2.14 ×	< 10 ⁻¹⁵	0.958	398	277/283	5
CFX 1516861 ^a penA (NG01542 CFX 1516798 ^a penA (NG01542 CFX 1514399 murE (NG01542 CFX 1514309 murE (NG01542 CFX 1514450 murE (NG01542 CFX 15145392 murE (NG01542 CFX 1516552 ^a 22 penA (NG01542	5.08 ×	< 10 ⁻¹²	0.859	396	274/283	N.
CFX 1516798 ^a penA (NG01542 CFX 1514399 murE (NG01541 CFX 1514450 murE (NG01541 CFX 1514552 ^a 2 penA (NG01542	1.54 ×	< 10 ⁻¹⁰	0.954	406	277/283	4
CFX 1514399 mur£ (NG0154) CFX 1514450 mur£ (NG0154) CFX 1516552 ^a ₂ penA (NG0154)	∃∕V) 1.54 ×	< 10 ⁻¹⁰	0.954	1,422	277/283	5
CFX 1514450 <i>murE</i> (NG01541 CFX 1516552 ^{<i>a</i>,2} <i>penA</i> (NG0154:	3/G) 1.41 ×	< 10 ⁻⁹	0.835	408/5	271/283	4
CFX 1516552 ^{<i>a</i>,2} penA (NG01542	1.41 ×	< 10 ⁻⁹	0.835	406	271/283	5
	7.54 ×	< 10 ⁻⁹	0.802	434	277/283	5
CFX 1516556 ^{<i>a</i>,2} penA (NG01542	7.54 ×	< 10 ⁻⁹	0.802	434	277/283	5
CFX 1516563 ^a penA (NG01542	[7.54 ×	< 10 ⁻⁹	0.802	437	277/283	9
CFX 1515252 ³ murE (NG0154:	2.83 ×	< 10 ⁻⁴	0.465	1060	277/283	12
CFX 1515263 ³ murE (NG0154.	Q) ^b 2.83 ×	< 10 ⁻⁴	0.465	4/1060	277/283	12

Nat Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 July 30.

 b_{b} Minor allele on the left (coding variants have the minor allele on the right). β is the regression coefficient associated with the minor allele variant in the linear mixed model, run on the confirmatory dataset. Reported Pvalues were calculated using a Wald test and were Bonferroni corrected for multiple tests. Significance was first determined in the exploratory dataset (n = 1,102) and then confirmed in a second dataset (n = 495). The count of each variant (with respect to FA1090) in N. gonorthoese isolates from the combined exploratory and confirmatory data sets is reported, as is the fraction of resistant isolates

 a Loci associated with significant epistatic interactions, as shown in Fig. 4.

in the combined data sets with the minor allele variant. Superscripted numbers indicate loci in perfect linkage disequilibrium. Loci in bold are known resistance variants. N/A, not applicable

Table 3 |

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Selected set of epistatic pairs of loci associated with change in antibiotic sensitivity or resistance (detailed results are found in Supplementary Table 5)

Antibiotic	Locus i	Locusj	Gene i	Gene j	Variant i	Variant j	β	P value	Number	Co-freq	uency in	resistant	strains
									of clades containing strains with at least one minor allele	00	01	10	11
AZI	1116541	1230597	23S rRNA	NG01277	C2617T	D173G	0.877	$5.77 imes10^{-29}$	18	0.327	0.215	0.153	0.305
AZI	1116541	1427960	23S rRNA	NGO1463a	C2617T	V123L	0.877	$5.77 imes10^{-29}$	17	0.499	0.044	0.455	0.003
AZI	1116541	1530961	23S rRNA	putA (NGO1552a)	C2617T	E1169G	0.877	$5.77 imes10^{-29}$	17	0.281	0.262	0.172	0.286
AZI	1116541	1830643	23S rRNA	NGO1861a	C2617T	S90R	0.877	$5.77 imes 10^{-29}$	16	0.391	0.151	0.350	0.108
CFX	1516646	1517334	<i>penA</i> (NG01542)	ftsL (NG01543)	N173S	L52V	0.956	1.63×10^{-10}	13	0.021	0.000	0.979	0.000
CFX	1516685	1665722	<i>penA</i> (NG01542)	<i>yebE</i> (NGO1709)	V160A	K90E	0.959	1.63×10^{-10}	8	0.021	0.000	0.067	0.912
CFX	1516861	1664127	<i>penA</i> (NG01542)	<i>dinG</i> (NGO1708)	D101E	A287T	0.950	$3.08 imes 10^{-8}$	4	0.021	0.000	0.177	0.802
CFX	1516798	1665722	<i>penA</i> (NG01542)	NG01709	V122F/V	K90E	0.950	$3.08 imes 10^{-8}$	٢	0.021	0.000	0.067	0.912
CIPRO	620905	1210781	gyrA (NGO0629)	parC(NGO1259)	D95A/G	S87R	1.984	1.26×10^{-30}	18	0.054	0.621	0.102	0.222
CIPRO	620917	1210781	gyrA (NG00629)	parC(NGO1259)	S91F	S87R	2.413	1.73×10^{-45}	18	0.077	0.636	0.079	0.208
CIPRO	620917	2028211	gyrA (NGO0629)	glmU (NGO2053)	S91F	T425A	2.413	1.73×10^{-45}	18	0.565	0.148	0.206	0.082
CIPRO	620917	1974020	gyrA (NGO0629)	<i>birA</i> (NGO2001)	S91F	E261K	2.413	1.73×10^{-45}	18	0.667	0.046	0.266	0.022
CIPRO	620917	693931	<i>gyrA</i> (NG00629)	(NGO0699)	S91F	A173T	2.413	1.73×10^{-45}	18	0.680	0.032	0.263	0.024
CIPRO	620917	894348	gyrA (NGO0629)	<i>dldH</i> (NGO0915)	S91F	A88V	2.413	1.73×10^{-45}	18	0.681	0.031	0.263	0.024
CIPRO	620917	1167114	gyrA (NGO0629)	gshB (NG01217)	S91F	E221K	2.413	1.73×10^{-45}	18	0.665	0.048	0.044	0.243
CIPRO	620917	1522835	<i>gyrA</i> (NGO0629)	<i>ftsN</i> (NG01549)	S91F	Q142R	2.413	$1.73 imes 10^{-45}$	18	0.649	0.064	0.058	0.230

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

linear mixed model with an interaction term against one without it. The P values were Bonferroni corrected to adjust for multiple comparisons. Significance was first determined in the exploratory dataset (n Loci in bold are known resistance variants. β is the regression coefficient associated with each locus in the linear mixed model. Reported Pvalues were calculated using a likelihood ratio test comparing a = 1,102) and then confirmed in a second dataset (n = 495). _

Table 4 |

SNP-based heritability of MIC for different antibiotics

	$h_{\rm SNP}^2$	$h^2_{\rm singles}$	$h_{\rm pairs}^2$
PEN	0.830	0.203	-
TET	0.891	0.073	-
CFX	0.825	0.807	0.850
CIPRO	0.931	0.763	0.953
AZI	0.900	0.4970	0.712

Heritability was estimated on the whole dataset (n = 1,597) using a linear mixed model: h_{SNP}^2 estimated considering all common SNPs; $h_{singles}^2$ was estimated considering significant loci only; and h_{pairs}^2 was estimated considering significant loci and significant epistatic pairs.