



Published in final edited form as:

JAMA Intern Med. 2019 April 01; 179(4): 467–468. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.7729.

Implementing the USPSTF Recommendations on Prevention of Perinatal Depression—Opportunities and Challenges

Jennifer N. Felder, PhD

Osher Center for Integrative Medicine, University of California, San Francisco; Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco.

In this issue of *JAMA*, the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends that clinicians refer pregnant and postpartum (ie, perinatal) persons who are at increased risk for depression to counseling interventions (B recommendation).¹ Based on a systematic review of 50 studies,² the USPSTF “concludes with moderate certainty that providing or referring pregnant or postpartum women at increased risk to counseling interventions has a moderate net benefit in preventing perinatal depression.”¹ Women have frequent contact with clinicians during the perinatal period, rendering this a particularly opportune time to identify and treat those at risk for depression. Furthermore, perinatal women may be highly motivated to engage in behaviors that promote both their own and their infants’ well-being. The most important take-home message from the USPSTF recommendation is that perinatal depression is preventable.

Burden of Perinatal Depression

Approximately 14% of perinatal women experience depression, making it one of the most common complications of childbirth.³ The adverse correlates and consequences of perinatal depression are well established and include increased risk of preterm birth, less positive and more negative parenting behaviors, emotional and behavioral problems among offspring, and higher health care costs.⁴ Treating women at risk for perinatal depression may avert the long reach of negative consequences on maternal and child health and well-being.

Identification and Prevention of Perinatal Depression

Clinical and sociodemographic risk factors for perinatal depression include lack of social support, history of abuse or domestic violence, unplanned or unwanted pregnancy, and low socioeconomic status.⁵ The majority of preventive interventions have been studied among perinatal women with (1) elevated depressive symptoms or (2) a history of depression. Therefore, given limited time within medical appointments, it would be prudent for clinicians to prioritize screening for these 2 risk factors using brief patient-report measures (Table).^{6–10} To screen for other perinatal depression risk factors, clinicians can find helpful

Corresponding Author: Jennifer N. Felder, PhD, University of California, San Francisco, 1545 Divisadero St, Ste 301, San Francisco, CA 94115 (jennifer.felder@ucsf.edu).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

language in the Antenatal Risk Questionnaire or Postpartum Depression Predictors Inventory.^{10,11}

Clinicians do not need to wait until depressive symptoms emerge at clinically significant levels to recommend interventions. Counseling interventions are associated with a 39% reduction in the likelihood of perinatal depression, corresponding to a number needed to treat of 13.5 perinatal women.² The most widely studied counseling interventions for preventing perinatal depression are cognitive behavior therapy¹² and interpersonal psychotherapy.¹³

Translating Evidence to Practice

Concerns may rightly be sounded that counseling, despite its efficacy in preventing perinatal depression, is far from universally accessible. Cognitive behavior therapy and interpersonal psychotherapy are conventionally offered by a licensed clinical psychologist during in-person appointments, and there are online resources for locating psychologists with relevant expertise (eg, <http://www.postpartum.net/get-help/locations/united-states/> and <http://www.findcbt.org/xFAT/>). However, the need for preventive interventions outnumbers the availability of psychologists. Although researchers have investigated a variety of alternative delivery formats, including by phone, during home visits, and via digital platforms, these adaptations currently have limited availability to the public. Moreover, the efficacy of many of the preventive interventions cited in USPSTF's systematic review was established in tightly controlled studies under ideal circumstances. It is vital that researchers investigate effectiveness in real-world settings and disseminate effective interventions into practice.

Need for Infrastructural Changes

Gestational diabetes mellitus (<10% prevalence¹⁴) may provide an apt model for health systems and policymakers charged with designing perinatal depression screening and prevention programs. Universal screening for and management of gestational diabetes mellitus is now standard practice in prenatal care,¹⁵ and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends early screening for those at risk for gestational diabetes.¹⁶ For women diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus, referral to a dietician who can provide nutrition and exercise counseling is often the first step. In settings where a dietician is not available, prenatal care clinicians may provide such recommendations.¹⁶ Analogous efforts to integrate perinatal depression care into obstetric, pediatric, and primary care clinics will enable more comprehensive and holistic care. The Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Program for Moms model offers a helpful framework.¹⁷ In this program, which is funded by the Department of Mental Health, perinatal psychiatrists provide consultation, resources, and referrals to help clinicians identify, prevent, and manage mental health concerns among perinatal women. Additionally, legislation like California Assembly Bill 2193,¹⁸ which mandates perinatal depression screening and requires private and public insurers to create maternal mental health programs, is an important step in the right direction. A hopeful outcome of the USPSTF recommendation is that it may galvanize efforts to enact the policy and health system changes that are needed to prevent perinatal depression.

Conclusions

Perinatal depression is common, consequential, and costly. Fortunately, there are evidence-based interventions shown to prevent perinatal depression among women who are at risk. As a start, clinicians should screen for elevated depressive symptoms and history of depression and refer women who screen positive to a counseling intervention, such as cognitive behavior therapy or interpersonal psychotherapy. The screening and referral process should be individualized based on patient preferences, clinic setting, and intervention accessibility. It is essential that clinical efforts to prevent perinatal depression are matched by the infrastructural and policy changes needed to maximize their likelihood of success.

Acknowledgments

Funding/Support: Dr Felder is supported by the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health of the National Institutes of Health under award K23AT009896.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funder had no role in the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

1. US Preventive Services Task Force. Interventions to prevent perinatal depression: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement [published February 12, 2019]. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.0007.
2. O'Connor E, Senger CA, Henninger ML, Coppola E, Gaynes BN. Interventions to prevent perinatal depression: evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. [published February 12, 2019]. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.20865
3. Gavin NI, Gaynes BN, Lohr KN, Meltzer-Brody S, Gartlehner G, Swinson T. Perinatal depression: a systematic review of prevalence and incidence. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;106(5, pt1):1071–1083. doi:10.1097/01.AOG.0000183597.31630.db [PubMed: 16260528]
4. Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, et al. Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9956):1800–1819. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61277-0 [PubMed: 25455250]
5. Biaggi A, Conroy S, Pawlby S, Pariante CM. Identifying the women at risk of antenatal anxiety and depression: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;191:62–77. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015.11.014 [PubMed: 26650969]
6. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression: development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1987;150:782–786. doi:10.1192/bjp.150.6.782 [PubMed: 3651732]
7. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001;16(9):606–613. doi:10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x [PubMed: 11556941]
8. Yawn BP, Pace W, Wollan PC, et al. Concordance of Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) and Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) to assess increased risk of depression among postpartum women. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2009;22(5):483–491. doi:10.3122/jabfm.2009.05.080155 [PubMed: 19734393]
9. Austin MP, Frilingos M, Lumley J, et al. Brief antenatal cognitive behavior therapy group intervention for the prevention of postnatal depression and anxiety: a randomised controlled trial. *J Affect Disord*. 2008;105(1–3):35–44. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2007.04.001 [PubMed: 17490753]
10. Austin MP, Colton J, Priest S, Reilly N, Hadzi-Pavlovic D. The antenatal risk questionnaire (ANRQ): acceptability and use for psychosocial risk assessment in the maternity setting. *Women Birth*. 2013;26(1):17–25. doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2011.06.002 [PubMed: 21764399]

11. Beck CT, Records K, Rice M. Further development of the postpartum depression predictors inventory-revised. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2006;35(6):735–745. doi:10.1111/j.1552-6909.2006.00094.x
12. Sockol LE. A systematic review of the efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy for treating and preventing perinatal depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;177:7–21. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015.01.052 [PubMed: 25743368]
13. Sockol LE. A systematic review and meta-analysis of interpersonal psychotherapy for perinatal women. *J Affect Disord*. 2018;232:316–328. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2018.01.018 [PubMed: 29501991]
14. Mission JF, Catov J, Deihl TE, Feghali M, Scifres C. Early pregnancy diabetes screening and diagnosis: Prevalence, rates of abnormal test results, and associated factors. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(5):1136–1142. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002277 [PubMed: 29016493]
15. United States Preventive Services Task Force. Final recommendation statement: gestational diabetes mellitus, screening. 2014 <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/UpdateSummaryFinal/gestational-diabetes-mellitus-screening>. Accessed January 7, 2019.
16. Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics. ACOG practice bulletin No. 190: gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;131(2):e49–e64. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002501 [PubMed: 29370047]
17. Byatt N, Straus J, Stopa A, Biebel K, Mittal L, Moore Simas TA. Massachusetts Child Psychiatry Access Program for Moms: utilization and quality assessment. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(2):345–353. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002688 [PubMed: 29995727]
18. California Assembly Bill No. 2193. Chapter 775. 2018.

Table.

Suggested Measures for Assessing Perinatal Depression Risk Factors

Risk Factor	Brief Patient-Report Measure	No. of Items	Helpful References
Elevated depressive symptom severity	Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale	10	Cox et al, ⁶ 1987
History of depression	Patient Health Questionnaire	9	Kroenke et al, ⁷ 2001; Yawn et al, ⁸ 2009
	“Before this pregnancy, did you ever have a period of 2 weeks or more when you felt particularly miserable or depressed?” “If so, did being depressed interfere with your ability to get things done or your relationships with friends and family or did it lead you to seek professional help?”	2	Austin et al, ⁹ 2008; Austin et al, ¹⁰ 2013