

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Prev Med.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 August 08.

Published in final edited form as:

Prev Med. 2019 February ; 119: 44-47. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2018.12.016.

Maximizing the promise of citizen science to advance health and prevent disease

Abby C. King^{a,b,*}, Sandra J. Winter^b, Benjamin W. Chrisinger^b, Jenna Hua^b, Ann W. Banchoff^b

^aDivision of Epidemiology, Department of Health Research & Policy, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, United States of America

^bStanford Prevention Research Center, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, United States of America

Keywords

Citizen science; Community engagement; Population health; Built environment

"Citizen science"—a field with an increasingly broad collection of definitions, terms, and standards worldwide (Eitzel et al., 2017; European Citizen Science Association, 2015; Standards Development Partnership, 2018; Woolley et al., 2016)-can be described generally as laypersons participating in the research process for purposes of scientific advancement (Kelty and Panofsky, 2014). The field has gained increasing interest in medical and broader health fields both in the U.S. and internationally (Den Broeder et al., 2018; Eitzel et al., 2017; Standards Development Partnership, 2018). In the current climate of confusion and mistrust of scientific inquiry among some, efforts to engage community members in the scientific process can demystify science and make it more accessible and inclusive (Kelty and Panofsky, 2014). At the same time, citizen science can aid in advancing biomedical and other health-related discoveries and impacts (Jasanoff, 2006; Kelty and Panofsky, 2014; Nowotny, 2014). As important, in light of the aging U.S. population, escalating health costs, and widespread health disparities, leveraging the power of the public as change agents in promoting health-enhancing conditions can complement the work of health professionals. This can potentially produce additional benefits for population health. However, maximizing the advantages that citizen science offers the health sciences requires expansion of thought and action beyond the more limited definitions currently being emphasized in the U.S. health arena (Rowbotham et al., 2017; Woolley et al., 2016).

1. Citizen science "for the people"

To date, a major focus of federal citizen science health initiatives (e.g., NIH's Citizen Science Common Fund Initiative; the U.S. government's Precision Medicine Initiative) is

^{*}Corresponding author at: Stanford University School of Medicine, 259 Campus Drive, HRP Redwood Building, T221, Stanford, CA 94305, United States of America. king@stanford.edu (A.C. King). Financial disclosures None reported.

King et al.

what can be termed citizen science "for the people" (King et al., 2016) (see Table 1). Sharing similarities with "contributory" citizen science approaches described by other researchers (Rowbotham et al., 2017), this type of citizen science includes donation of biological samples and other person-level health information gathered from individuals, where research planning and data collection, analysis, interpretation, and use are generally driven by scientists. In this approach, participant involvement typically ends at the clinic or laboratory door. Another example of "for the people" citizen science involves utilizing available online social media and other "big" data for free-living, population-level surveillance of health behaviors or disease outcomes (Unger et al., 2018; Young et al., 2014). While the promise of medical breakthroughs through these activities has been well described (Kelty and Panofsky, 2014), translation of such scientific discoveries to tangible public health benefits can take years (Balas and Boren, 2000; Mold and Peterson, 2005). In addition, such "for the people" initiatives arguably tap only a small portion of the vast potential offered by citizen science in advancing scientific knowledge along with individual and population health (Woolley et al., 2016). This is particularly the case in relation to applications in the preventive medicine and population health fields, where thoughtfully conceptualized and planned citizen science initiatives can potentially create a number of useful benefits, including improved public awareness of population health, increased trust in the scientific methods and approaches used in the field, and new insights and perspectives that can accelerate population health advances (Rowbotham et al., 2017).

2. Citizen science "with the people"

A second form of citizen science, characterized as citizen science "with the people," involves residents as active data collectors in the advancement of science (King et al., 2016) (Table 1). Sharing some similarities with "collaborative" citizen science approaches described previously (Rowbotham et al., 2017), this citizen science type has been popular in ecology (e.g., annual bird counts) and meteorology (e.g., weather observation), where changes in patterns of observed phenomena can be revealed over time. Of particular relevance to biomedicine, wearable devices and sensors have ushered in a new era of citizen science opportunities to collect continuous individual behavioral and physiological data at both unprecedented scales (e.g., millions of people) and time-frames (e.g., minute-by-minute data capture across years) (Althoff et al., 2017). While some characteristics of mobile device data collection align with "for the people" citizen science (e.g., passive collection of smartphone accelerometry data that are automatically "pushed" to researchers (McConnell et al., 2017) or the general use of social media surveillance data (Unger et al., 2018) that are currently available), others involve users as active collectors of behavioral, social, and/or physiological data relevant to health that can be shared with scientists and practitioners (e.g., Patients Like Me) (Kelty and Panofsky, 2014). Another increasingly popular "with the people" activity is crowdsourcing of information to benefit science and/or the larger community. Examples include biomedical crowd-sourcing activities, such as the online games offered by Eterna where "players" can engage in online puzzle-solving related to folding of RNA molecules, and online citizen reporting of local conditions that can negatively impact health (e.g., hazardous intersections). While citizen science "with the people" opens up unique opportunities to unlock new ideas from the public, the scientific

community typically retains control over research planning, question development, types of data collected, and uses of group-level data (Kelty and Panofsky, 2014).

3. Citizen science "by the people": an additional tool for advancing preventive medicine and population health

A third type of citizen science has the potential for contributing to national and international health through actively involving residents to catalyze changes in local environmental and social drivers of health that account for a significant proportion of disease (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine, et al., 2017). Characterized as citizen science "by the people," this perspective blends the active resident engagement of community-based participatory research with the standardized resident-based data collection methods that are a hallmark of citizen science (King et al., 2016) (Table 1). Rowbotham and colleagues (Rowbotham et al., 2017) refer to this as "co-creation" of new knowledge that enhances its immediate relevance locally and, at the same time, can be scaled up beyond a particular locale or issue. As in "with the people" citizen science, mobile health tools can provide a starting point for engaging community members more fully in the scientific process. Here, however, citizen scientists also are invited to engage in question identification and project planning in ways that help to ensure the relevance of the scientific endeavor to the health of their communities. They then learn how to collect, analyze, and interpret data pertaining to those questions, and actively participate with local stakeholders in developing realistic, community-relevant solutions (Woolley et al., 2016). An example of this approach is the Our Voice Citizen Science Research Initiative, which includes U.S. and international research partners and civic organizations that are members of an 18-country, and growing, global network (Hinckson et al., 2017; King et al., 2016). The fundamental aim of Our Voice is to unleash the latent power of residents, irrespective of age, education, language spoken, or economic or social circumstances, as catalyzers of health-enhancing changes in their local communities. Armed with a simple mobile app-called the Discovery Tool (Buman et al., 2013)—residents from ages 9 to 90 have learned how to quickly capture and catalogue those aspects of their neighborhoods that help or hinder healthy living (e.g., access to healthy foods or safe places to walk). In as few as two facilitated community meetings, participants learn how to make sense of their own data, build consensus around high-priority issues, and then positively engage local decision makers in developing and enacting realistic solutions. In this way, citizen science projects can function as a regular conduit for connecting residents to decision makers with the power to enact change (Rowbotham et al., 2017). Local facilitators can be remotely trained to assist with the citizen science process. Such facilitators may come from any sector, including local public health departments, health care organizations, non-governmental organizations (e.g., The Food Trust) (Chrisinger et al., 2018), research teams, philanthropic or grassroots organizations (e.g., GirlTrek), or residents themselves (King et al., 2016). Additionally, through this type of community-engaged process, the local facilitators themselves may become increasingly receptive to alternative perspectives and ideas (King et al., 2016). Among the local environmental and policy solutions that have been enacted across diverse under-resourced communities are safer citywide walking environments resulting from documentation of inadequate streetscapes and sidewalks that needed repair (Winter et al., 2014); development of strategies to contain

Prev Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 August 08.

King et al.

roaming dogs to promote neighborhood safety and walkability (Rosas et al., 2016); a new senior community garden to increase healthy food access (Winter et al., 2014); and resident contributions to transportation solutions for healthier local food environments (Sheats et al., 2017).

Empowering residents as data gatherers, interpreters, and activators of healthful changes in their communities also has led to positive "ripple effects," as some residents have continued to employ their newfound civic engagement skills in addressing other local problems impacting their health (Sheats et al., 2017). Fostering citizen science at a young age also can promote civic literacy and agency in future generations. For example, Our *Voice* projects are occurring where youth are tackling local problems such as promoting safer walking and biking routes to school; making college campuses safer and more health-promoting; broadening public transit options and opportunities; and ensuring tobacco-free affordable housing.

Emerging "by the people" citizen science research methods include systems for tracking individual, community, and built and policy environment changes over time, and the use of mobile sensors to enhance the types of information that can inform local decision making around health. Examples include air quality and noise sensors as well as real-time stress monitors to capture resident experiences moving through different neighborhood and social environments (Chrisinger and King, 2018; Wong et al., 2018).

Another example of this type of community-engaged citizen science is the Community Health Improvement and Research Partnerships (CHIRPS) program that has been developed to activate rural and underserved communities around community-identified health issues (Davis et al., 2014). Through such partnerships, increased understanding, solution-building, and monitoring of how solutions are implemented around the typically complex health problems facing populations may be more fully addressed (Rowbotham et al., 2017).

As a nation we face daunting challenges in promoting individual and population health while addressing health inequities. Such challenges demand a broader cadre of "scientists" to more fully document the factors impacting health and help build pragmatic solutions. Activating all three types of citizen science through productive community member-scientist partnerships can create pathways to more comprehensively address all of the personal and community drivers of individual and population health.

Acknowledgments

Funding/support

Dr. King is supported in part by The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Grant ID#7334, NIH National Cancer Institute grants 5R01CA211048 and P20CA217199, the Nutrilite Health Institute Wellness Fund provided by Amway to the Stanford Prevention Research Center, Silicon Valley Community Foundation award #101518, a grant from the Discovery Innovation Fund in Basic Biomedical Sciences from Stanford University, US Public Health Service Grant 1U54MD010724 (PI: M. Cullen), and US Public Health Service Grant 1U54EB020405 supporting The National Center for Mobility Data Integration and Insight (PI: S. Delp). Dr. Winter is supported by the Nutrilite Health Institute Wellness Fund provided by Amway to the Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford University. Drs. Chrisinger and Hua are supported by an NIH/NHLBI institutional postdoctoral training grant (T32 HL007034). Ms. Banchoff is supported in part by The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Grant ID#7334, NIH National Cancer Institute grant 5R01CA211048, and Silicon Valley Community Foundation award #101518. The above funding sources had no role in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript for publication.

Additional contributions

We gratefully acknowledge John P.A. Ioannidis, MD, PhD, Thomas N. Robinson, MD, MPH, Lisa Goldman Rosas, PhD, MPH, and Marilyn A. Winkleby, PhD from Stanford University for comments on previous manuscript drafts. These reviewers were not compensated for their efforts.

References

- Althoff T, Sosic R, Hicks JL, King AC, Delp SL, Leskovec J, 2017 Large-scale physical activity data reveal worldwide activity inequality. Nature 547, 336–339. [PubMed: 28693034]
- Balas EA, Boren SA, 2000 Managing Clinical Knowledge for Health Care Improvement. Schattauer, Stuttgart, Germany.
- Buman MP, Winter SJ, Sheats JL, Hekler EB, Otten JJ, Grieco LA, King AC, 2013 The Stanford healthy neighborhood discovery tool: a computerized tool to assess active living environments. Am. J. Prev. Med. 44, e41–e47. [PubMed: 23498112]
- Chrisinger B, King AC, 2018 Stress experiences in neighborhood and social environments (SENSE): a pilot study to integrate the quantified self with citizen science to improve the built environment and health. Int. J. Health Geogr. 17.
- Chrisinger BW, Ramos A, Shaykis F, Martinez T, Banchoff AW, Winter SJ, King C, 2018 Leveraging citizen science for healthier food environments: a pilot study to evaluate corner stores in Camden, New Jersey. Front. Public Health 6, 89. [PubMed: 29632857]
- Davis MM, Aromaa S, McGinnis PB, Ramsey K, Rollins N, Smith JJ, Beamer A, Buckley DI, Stange KC, et al., 2014 Engaging the underserved: a process model to mobilize rural community health coalitions as partners in translational research. Clin. Transl. Sci. 7, 300–306. [PubMed: 24837826]
- Den Broeder L, Devilee J, Van Oers H, Schuit AJ, Wagemakers A, 2018 Citizen science for public health. Health Promot. Int. 33, 505–514. [PubMed: 28011657]
- Eitzel MV, Cappadonna JL, Santos-Lang C, Duerr RE, Virapongse A, West SE, Conrad C, Kyba M, Bowser A, et al., 2017 Citizen science terminology matters: exploring key terms. Citizen Sci. Theory Pract. 2, 1–20.
- European Citizen Science Association, 2015 Ten Principles of Citizen Science. European Citizen Science Association Available at. csa.citizen-science.net.
- Hinckson E, Schneider M, Winter SJ, Stone E, Puhan M, Stathi A, Porter MM, Gardiner PA, Dos Santos DL, et al., 2017 Citizen science applied to building healthier community environments: advancing the field through shared construct and measurement development. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 14, 133. [PubMed: 28962580]
- Jasanoff S, 2006 Transparency in public science: purposes, reasons, limits. Law Contemp. Probl. 69, 21–45.
- Kelty C, Panofsky A, 2014 Disentangling public participation in science and biomedicine. Genome Med. 6, 8. [PubMed: 24479693]
- King AC, Winter SJ, Sheats JL, Rosas LG, Buman MP, Salvo D, Rodriguez NM, Seguin RA, Moran M, et al., 2016 Leveraging citizen science and information technology for population physical activity promotion. Translat. J. ACSM 1, 30–44.
- McConnell MV, Shcherbina A, Pavlovic A, Homburger JR, Goldfeder RL, Waggot D, Cho MK, Rosenberger ME, Haskell WL, et al., 2017 Feasibility of obtaining measures of lifestyle from a smartphone app: the MyHeart counts cardiovascular health study. JAMA Cardiol. 2, 67–76. [PubMed: 27973671]
- Mold JW, Peterson KA, 2005 Primary care practice-based research networks: working at the interface between research and quality improvement. Ann. Fam. Med. 3 (Suppl. 1), S12–S20.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to

Page 5

Prev Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 August 08.

King et al.

Promote Health Equity in the United States, 2017 Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity. National Academies Press, Washington, DC.

- Nowotny H, 2014 Engaging with the political imaginaries of science: near misses and future targets. Public Underst. Sci. 23, 16–20. [PubMed: 24434706]
- Rosas LG, Salvo D, Winter SJ, Cortes D, Rivera J, Rodriguez NM, King AC, 2016 Harnessing technology and citizen science to support neighborhoods that promote active living in Mexico. J. Urban Health 93, 953–973. [PubMed: 27752825]
- Rowbotham S, McKinnon M, Leach J, Lamberts R, Hawe P, 2017 Does citizen science have the capacity to transform population health science? Crit. Public Health 1–11.
- Sheats JL, Winter SJ, Romero PP, King AC, 2017 FEAST: empowering community residents to use technology to assess and advocate for healthy food environments. J. Urban Health 94, 180–189. [PubMed: 28247054]
- Standards Development Partnership, 2018 National Standards for Public Involvement. National Institute for for Health Research, UK.
- Unger JB, Urman R, Cruz TB, Majmundar A, Barrington-Trimis J, Pentz MA, McConnell R, 2018 Talking about tobacco on Twitter is associated with tobacco product use. Prev. Med. 114, 54–56. [PubMed: 29898418]
- Winter SJ, Buman MP, Sheats JL, Hekler EB, Otten JJ, Baker C, Cohen D, Butler BA, King AC, 2014 Harnessing the potential of older adults to measure and modify their environments: long-term successes of the Neighborhood Eating and Activity Advocacy Team (NEAAT) Study. Translat. Behav. Med. 4, 226–227.
- Wong M, Bejarano E, Carvlin G, Fellows K, King G, Lugo H, Jerrett M, Meltzer D, Northcross A, et al., 2018 Combining community engagement and scientific approaches in next-generation monitor siting: the case of the imperial county community air network. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 15, 523.
- Woolley JP, McGowan ML, Teare HJ, Coathup V, Fishman JR, Settersten RA Jr., Sterckx S, Kaye J, Juengst ET, 2016 Citizen science or scientific citizenship? Disentangling the uses of public engagement rhetoric in national research initiatives. BMC Med. Ethics 17, 33. [PubMed: 27260081]
- Young SD, Rivers C, Lewis B, 2014 Methods of using real-time social media technologies for detection and remote monitoring of HIV outcomes. Prev. Med. 63, 112–115. [PubMed: 24513169]

ſ						
Citizen science type	Planning	Data collection	Data analysis, interpretation, and use	Primary applications/uses	Primary level at which scientific inquiry is aimed	Examples
For the people	Scientists	Scientists		By scientists	 person-level drivers of health 	 donation of biological specimens passive data collection via mobile devices or sensors
With the people	Primarily scientists	Community members	Scientists	By scientists, with some sharing occurring at times with community members	 person-level drivers of health 	 active data collection of physical, behavioral, other data online crowdsourced ideas related to molecular structures
By the people	Typically, a group of scientists, community members, and practitioners/ community organizations	Community members, in part scientists and/or practitioners	Community members, in partnership with scientists and/or practitioners	Community members in partnership with practitioners/community organizations, scientists	 social- and environment- level drivers of health 	 Our Voice community-engaged citizen science approach (King et al., 2016) community Health Improvement & Research Partnerships (Davis et al., 2014)

Table 1

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript