



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Open Source Softw. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 August 20.

Published in final edited form as:

J Open Source Softw. 2019 ; 4(36): . doi:10.21105/joss.00989.

diff_classifier: Parallelization of multi-particle tracking video analyses

Chad Curtis¹, Ariel Rokem², Elizabeth Nance¹

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Washington

²eScience Institute, University of Washington

Summary

The `diff_classifier` package seeks to address the issue of scale-up in multi-particle tracking (MPT) analyses via a parallelization approach. MPT is a powerful analytical tool that has been used in fields ranging from aeronautics to oceanography (Pulford, 2005) allowing researchers to collect spatial and velocity information of moving objects from video datasets. Examples include:

- Tracking tracers in ocean currents to study fluid flow
- Tracking molecular motors (e.g., myosin, kinesin) to assess motile activity
- Measuring intracellular trafficking by tracking membrane vesicles
- Assessing microrheological properties by tracking nanoparticle movement.

While a variety of tracking algorithms are available to researchers (Chenouard et al., 2014), a common problem is that data analysis usually depends on the use of graphical user interfaces, and relies on human input for accurate tracking. For example, particle detection often relies on the selection of a quality threshold, a numerical quantity distinguishing between “real” particles and “fake” particles (Tineves et al., 2017). If this threshold is too high, false positive trajectories result in skewed MSD profiles, and in extreme cases, cause the code to crash due to a lack of convergence in the particle linking step. If the threshold is too low, trajectories will be cut short resulting in a bias towards short fast-moving trajectories and could result in empty datasets (Wang, Nunn, Harit, McKinley, & Lai, 2015).

Due to variations in experimental conditions and image quality, user-selected tracking parameters can vary widely from video to video. As parameter selection can also vary from user to user, this also brings up the issue of reproducibility. `diff_classifier` addresses these issues with regression tools to predict input tracking parameters and parallelized script-based implementations in Amazon Web Services (AWS), using the Simple Storage Service (S3) and Batch for data storage and computing, respectively, and relying on the Cloudknot software library for automating these interactions (Richie-Halford & Rokem, 2018). By manually tracking a small subset of the entire video dataset to be analyzed (5–10 videos per experiment), users can predict tracking parameters based on intensity distributions of input images. This can simultaneously reduce time-to-first-result in MPT workflows and provide reproducible MPT results.

diff_classifier also includes downstream MPT analysis tools including mean squared displacement and feature calculations, visualization tools, and a principal component analysis implementation. MPT is commonly used to calculate and report ensemble-averaged diffusion coefficients of nanoparticles and other objects. We sought to expand the power of MPT analyses by changing the unit of analysis to individual particle trajectories. By including a variety of features (e.g., aspect ratio, boundedness, fractal dimension), with trajectory-level resolution, users can implement a range of data science analysis techniques to their MPT datasets.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the eScience Institute for the resources and expertise provided through the Incubator Program that made diff_classifier possible. The University of Washington eScience Institute is supported through a grant from the Gordon & Betty Moore Foundation and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. The authors would also like to thank funding from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences 1R35 GM124677-01 (E. Nance).

References

- Chenouard N, Smal I, Chaumont F. de, Maška M, Sbalzarini IF, Gong Y, Cardinale J, et al. (2014). Objective comparison of particle tracking methods. *Nature Methods*, 11(3). doi:10.1038/nmeth.2808
- Pulford GW (2005). Taxonomy of multiple target tracking methods. *Iee Proceedings -Radar Sonar and Navigation*, 152(5). doi:10.1049/ip-rsn:20045064
- Richie-Halford A, & Rokem A (2018). Cloudknot: A python library to run your existing code on aws batch. In N. D Akici F Lipka D (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 17th python in science conference* (pp. 8–14). doi:10.25080/Majora-4af1f417-001
- Tineves J-Y, Perry N, Schindelin J, Hoopes GM, Reynolds GD, Laplantine E, Bednarek SY, et al. (2017). TrackMate: an open and extensible platform for single-particle tracking. *Methods*, 115. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.09.016
- Wang Y-Y, Nunn KL, Harit D, McKinley SA, & Lai SK (2015). Minimizing biases associated with tracking analysis of submicron particles in heterogeneous biological fluids. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 220(PtA). doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2015.10.021