

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Curr Dermatol Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Dermatol Rep. 2019 September ; 8(3): 122–132. doi:10.1007/s13671-019-00265-2.

Updates on Treatment Approaches for Cutaneous Field Cancerization

Alisen Huang, MS1, **Julie K. Nguyen, MD***,1, **Evan Austin, BS***,1, **Andrew Mamalis, MD, MAS**1, **Jared Jagdeo, MD, MS**¹

¹Department of Dermatology, State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY, USA

Abstract

Purpose of Review—Field cancerization describes the phenomenon that multiple heterogenous mutations may arise in an area exposed to chronic carcinogenic stimuli. Advances in the understanding of cutaneous field cancerization have led to novel therapeutic approaches to the management of actinic keratoses (AKs). Herein, we review the literature on the pathophysiology and emerging research of field cancerization in dermatology.

Recent Findings—The classification systems for grading AK lesions are being refined with investigations focusing on their clinical utility. There is a growing shift towards field-directed treatment for AKs as the importance of field cancerization becomes clearer. Current field-directed therapies are being optimized and novel therapeutic modalities are being studied.

Summary—Field cancerization underlies the transformation of photodamaged skin into AKs and potentially cutaneous SCC (cSCC). Clinically meaningful classification systems for AKs are needed to better inform decisions regarding treatment. As we learn more about the role of field characterization in photodamage, AKs and cSCCs, therapeutic strategies are becoming more fielddirected rather than lesion-directed.

Keywords

Field cancerization; actinic keratosis; cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma; photodamage; photodynamic therapy

Corresponding author: Jared Jagdeo, MD, MS, 450 Clarkson Ave, Brooklyn, NY 11203, jrjagdeo@gmail.com, Telephone: (917) 837-9796.

^{*}Authors contributed equally to this manuscript

Conflict of Interest

Alisen Huang, Evan Austin, Andrew Mamalis, and Jared Jagdeo received grants from Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. Dr. Jagdeo also received personal fees from Biofrontera.

Julie K. Nguyen declares no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This Author Accepted Manuscript is a PDF file of a an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication but has not been copyedited or corrected. The official version of record that is published in the journal is kept up to date and so may therefore differ from this version.

Introduction

Field Cancerization Concept

Field cancerization describes the phenomenon that multiple heterogenous mutations may arise in an area exposed to chronic carcinogenic stimuli [1]. As one or more cells undergo irreversible genetic changes that persist over multiple cycles of cell division, a dysplastic field emerges [1]. Invasive properties are not evident initially, but as somatic mutations accumulate from carcinogen exposure, subclonal proliferations may eventually induce carcinoma [1, 2]. The term "field cancerization" was first coined and described in a landmark study by Slaughter et al. in 1953 in reference to oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) [3]. Areas adjacent to the tumor appeared grossly normal but histopathologic examination demonstrated dysplastic changes and foci of oropharyngeal SCC in situ [3]. The findings of discrete primary tumors and islands of dysplastic epithelium suggested that the tumors had simultaneously developed independent of each other, rather than from the clonal proliferation of a single mutant cell [2].

The concept of field cancerization is especially relevant in dermatology, as it describes how actinic keratosis (AK) lesions develop and subsequently transform into cutaneous SCC (cSCC), the second most common malignancy in the US [4]. A committee of European dermatologists defined field cancerization as the anatomical area including or adjacent to AKs with visibly photodamaged skin, characterized by pigmentary changes, rough texture, atrophy or telangiectasia [5].

Field cancerization has significant therapeutic implications and clinical consequences in dermatology. AKs are typically viewed as precancerous; however, treatment of individual lesions often does not address mutations in nearby cells that may be transforming into cancer [2]. AKs are one of the most prevalent diagnoses in dermatology and are expected to increase with the aging population and increased exposure to sunlight [6]. In 2015, over 35 million cases of AKs were treated in the Medicare Part B fee-for-service population, up from 29.7 million in 2007, highlighting the treatment burden of this condition [7]. AKs have been estimated to cost the US healthcare system \$920 million annually [8]. There is up to 0.075% risk per lesion-year of AK transformation to cSCC, increasing to 2.57% at four years [9, 10].

Currently, there is no reliable method to discern AKs that will transform into cSCCs from those that will either remain benign or resolve without treatment, complicating the treatment algorithm of AKs. Various approaches are being investigated for this unanswered question including imaging techniques and genotyping [5, 11]. The Department of Veterans Affairs Topical Tretinoin Chemoprevention (VATTC) trial is a 5-year multicenter clinical trial that followed individual AK lesions. By one year, 55% of AKs had regressed and at five years, 70% were not present [10]. Of note, some AKs not present at the one year follow-up were present at later follow-up visits, which is consistent with the reported regression and recurrence of AKs [10]. Of the primary cSCCs that were diagnosed, 65% of those arose from lesions that were initially diagnosed as AKs [10]. Interestingly, 36% of basal cell carcinomas (BCCs) were diagnosed from lesions that were previously diagnosed as AKs, indicating that either BCCs and cSCCs may share a common underlying pathogenesis or the

initial AK diagnosis was incorrect [10]. Another study estimated that approximately 10% of AKs will undergo malignant transformation into cSCC with the average time for conversion being 24.6 months (range 2 months to 65 months) [12]. Without intervention, resulting cSCC may subsequently lead to significant healthcare expenditure, patient morbidity, and patient mortality. In 2013, it was estimated that each year in the US, cSCC causes between 4,000 and 9,000 deaths and bears a \$4 billion economic burden [6]. While the risk of a single AK transformation to cancer is overall low, patients typically have many AKs or at least multiple fields of precancerous, photodamaged skin.

Understanding field cancerization is important for elucidating the malignant transformation process from normal skin to cSCC. Determining the risk of cSCC development from a single lesion as well as risk for a field may improve patient outcomes by identifying those patients who would benefit most from earlier treatment [2]. Herein, we discuss the emerging research of field cancerization in dermatology, with emphasis on the most recent research literature on the pathogenesis, classification and treatments of AKs.

Pathogenesis

Photodamage is caused by chronic ultraviolent (UV) radiation exposure from sunlight and is associated with detrimental consequences on the skin, such as atrophy and increased risk of cancer [13]. UVA irradiation (320-400 nm) is associated with indirect damage to DNA by oxidative injury via reactive oxygen species (ROS) [14]. UVB irradiation (290-320 nm) causes direct damage to DNA by inducing structural rearrangements of nucleotides, such as thymidine dimerization, leading to physical distortions in the DNA strands [15]. The collective DNA damage and mutations over time interfere with replication and transcription, resulting in cutaneous cancerization of the field and clinically manifesting as varying degrees of photodamaged skin [16]. Field cancerization of the skin begins when UV radiation induces a sporadic somatic mutation in epidermal keratinocytes (Figure 1) [1]. With chronic exposure to UV radiation, clonal expansion occurs and mutations accumulate, leading to AKs on sun-exposed skin [1]. As UV radiation non-selectively damages DNA, AKs may have varying mutations and may be at different stages of development [5]. The transformation of AKs to cSCC is thought to occur in a stepwise fashion with progressive development of epidermal neoplasia, which has been classified clinically by Olsen et al. and histologically by Rowert-Huber et al [17, 18]. For clinical research purposes, AKs are graded based on thickness and the degree of hyperkeratosis: AK 1 is better palpated than visualized, AK 2 is visible and AK 3 is hyperkeratotic [17]. Histologically, AKs are graded based on the extent of epidermal atypical keratinocytes: AKI is limited to epidermal basal layer, AKII extends to the lower two-thirds of the epidermis and AKIII involves the full epidermal thickness [18]. Histologically graded AKI was recently found to be the most common precursor to cSCC as progression occurs through direct invasion from proliferating atypical keratinocytes, such that any grade of AKs may be invasive [19].

In photodamaged skin, AKs, and cSCCs, evidence of the TP53 tumor suppressor protein was observed in keratinocytes and has been recorded in 69 to over 90% of invasive cSCC [20, 21]. Other implicated mutations in field cancerized islands include the oncogenes c-myc, Ras, H-ras, c-jun, WNT and KNSTRN and the tumor suppressors, p16INK4, NF-κB,

Notch1, Notch2, Hes1 and Erdr1 [20, 16, 2, 22, 23]. Some studies have genotyped AKs and cSCC to identify potential key biomarkers which may be useful for diagnosis, prevention or treatment [24, 11, 25].

Since AKs and cSCC share many genetic features, AKs are used as a risk marker for cSCC; however, there is emerging evidence that AKs may also herald other types of skin cancer [1]. One study found evidence of histologically present AKs in 57% (35/61) of cSCC specimens, 33% (21/64) of basal cell carcinoma specimens and 25% (6/24) of malignant melanoma specimens [1]. The extent of field damage may be even larger than reported as only 0.1 mm of the distal lateral margin was assessed for discontiguous foci of dysplasia [1]. The relationship between AKs and other cutaneous malignancies is unclear, but these findings are significant as they suggest that any cutaneous malignancy may be surrounded by an expanding pre-neoplastic field [1]. Another study demonstrated a 42% risk of developing subsequent cSCC within 5 years of the original diagnosis and a 72% increase in risk if the patient had two or more cSCCs at the initial time of diagnosis [2, 26]. Thus, a patient with a known diagnosis of cSCC is at increased risk of developing additional cSCCs.

AK classification

The wide variety of clinical and histological presentations of AKs presents a challenge to developing a uniform grading system that predicts whether a lesion will regress or progress. Grading of AKs based on thickness was found to be inconsistent among experts [17, 5]. Grading based purely on histology is not specific enough as histological findings may overlap with other keratinocyte diseases such as Bowen's disease and seborrheic keratosis [18]. Additionally, there is no evidence to support that the stepwise progression from AKI to AKIII is necessary for the transformation of atypical keratinocytes into cSCC [27]. Other classification schemes based on lesion size, number of lesions and histological findings are focused on individual lesions, an approach that does not consider the process of field cancerization and spontaneous mutations [28].

In 2017, two scales were developed and validated to measure AKs in the context of field cancerization, the Actinic Keratosis Area and Severity Index (AKASI) and the Actinic Keratosis Field Assessment Scale (AK-FAS) [29, 30]. The AKASI evaluates AK parameters including severity on the head, area of extent and clinical features (i.e., distribution, erythema, and thickness) [29]. The AK-FAS is used to grade AK severity based on AK area (as opposed to number of lesions), hyperkeratosis severity and sun damage severity [30]. These scales are not widely used in the clinical setting, possibly due to their recent introduction.

One of the major issues with grading is the heterogeneous nature of field cancerization, which leads to a variety of grades within a single lesion [31]. Two-thirds (67%) of AKs have more than one grade [31]. The diverse grading within a lesion also means that histopathological information from one biopsy may not be extrapolated to the entire field. One solution is to classify AKs based on their development; this requires a better understanding of the role of field cancerization in AK and cSCC development [27]. The predictive value of field disarray in lesion progression also requires further investigation as

earlier identification of lesions with malignant potential may result in better patient outcomes and decrease the financial burden of this disease.

Management/Treatment

The ideal management of field cancerization is through patient education on the importance of UV exposure avoidance (i.e., using high SPF sunscreen that protects against UVA and UVB rays) to minimize photodamage [2]. Recently, oral nicotinamide (vitamin B3) has been advocated for use as skin cancer chemoprevention due to its protective effects against UVinduced cutaneous damage, reducing the rate of new AKs in high-risk patients [32]. The therapeutic mechanisms of nicotinamide involve enhancement of DNA repair via blockade of UV-induced ATP depletion [32]. This chemopreventative method may supplement behavioral modifications in patients at higher risk of developing AKs.

If the patient has already developed AKs, then treatment is usually offered in routine clinical practice. As there is no reliable way to determine which AKs will become cSCCs, the prevailing recommendation by expert consensus is to treat all lesions [2]. Treatment for AKs may be lesion-directed or field-directed. Lesion-directed therapies are adequate for welldefined lesions and may be useful when complete clearance is not achieved by other methods [33]. Recently, there has been a shift toward field-directed therapy using topical agents, photodynamic therapy (PDT), chemical peels, laser resurfacing, etc [34-36]. Since field cancerization causes mutifocal areas of dysplasia and precancerous changes, a single diagnosis of cSCC signifies increased risk of subsequent cSCC and therefore may require long term follow-up [2]. Subsequent cSCC may be recurrence of the primary cSCC or may arise as a new primary cancer due to the heterogeneity of field cancerization (Figure 2)[2]. Currently available field-directed therapies are being optimized and new field-directed topical agents and laser therapies are discussed below. In addition, Table 1 describes clinical trials currently being conducted in the US for AK treatment.

Currently Available

5-fluorouracil and Imiquimod—5-fluorouracil and imiquimod are two topical agents that are well-established and commonly used for field-directed therapies for AKs [37]. 5 fluorouracil is available in cream formulation with 0.5%, 1% and 5% strengths. The 5% cream is applied twice daily for two to four weeks whereas 0.5% and 1% creams are applied daily for three to four weeks [38]. Imiquimod is available as 3.75% and 5% creams. The 3.75% cream is applied once daily for eight hours for two 2-week treatment cycles separated by a 2-week no-treatment period whereas the 5% cream is applied two times per week for eight hours for 16 weeks [39, 40].

More recent studies combine AK therapies, use different concentrations or report rare adverse events [42, 43, 41, 44-46]. Combination therapies studies include 5% 5-FU and 0.005% calciprotriol, which was found to reduce the number of AK lesions by 87.8% compared with 26.3% for 5% 5-FU and white petrolatum in mice [45]. This effect was thought to be related to an activation of CD4+ T cell-mediated immunity [45]. Different concentrations of imiquimod, 2.5% and 3.75%, were studied in a meta-analysis of four phase III clinical trials [46]. These doses are lower than the typical 5% imiquimod for use as

short-term cycle therapy or an expanded treatment area [46]. The two concentrations showed dose-related effects on Combined Investigator's Global Integrated Photodamage score and both performed significantly better than the control cream [46]. While 5-FU and imiquimod are considered safe, there have been recent case reports of rare adverse reactions. One report of fever, fatigue, mucositis, dehydration and significant weight loss, thought to be related to a dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase deficiency, leading to decreased drug clearance and systemic 5-FU accumulation after 0.5% topical 5-FU [42]. Another case report described a patient with blistering, peeling, and painful erythema after overapplying the topical 5% 5-FU she was prescribed [44].

Photodynamic Therapy—PDT consists of topical application of a photosensitizing agent that is activated by light. In the case of aminoleuvulinic acid (ALA), one of the more commonly used photosensitizing agents in the US, precursors of the heme pathway are converted to protoporphyrin IX (PpIX) in the skin [47]. PpIX generates ROS when exposed to light irradiation, typically red or blue light, leading to cell death and an inflammatory response [47]. Red light PDT has been a staple in European PDT and is being reintroduced to the US market. Red light penetrates deeper than other wavelengths of visible light and has a higher fluence rate compared to blue light; this may cause more pain during light treatment [48, 49]. PDT has minimal downtime although patients become more sensitive to light, which warrants precaution for sun exposure and medications that cause photosensitivity [50, 51]. There is evidence that PDT may be able to correct dysplastic, photodamaged skin by altering cancer-associated gene expression [52]. PDT has been reported to have desirable cosmetic effects when used to treat AKs through activation of processes involved in wound healing [53, 52]. A phase III study using ALA and red light to treat an entire field found long lasting skin rejuvenation in areas of asymptomatic photodamage in addition to being effective for the treatment of mild-to-moderate AK lesions [53].

PDT may not be as effective for AKs on the extremities due to the thermal dependence of endogenous PpIX generation [54]. However, thermal PDT, which uses higher temperatures during incubation of the photosensitizer, results in better outcomes in field treatment of the extremities, shorter incubation times and does not increase side effects [55, 54, 56-58]. Higher temperatures were achieved by wrapping the extremity tested with a heating pad to set "medium" which achieved maximal temperatures ranging from 37.2°C to 43.4°C during incubation compared with a baseline of 29.6°C to 33.6°C [54].

Since patients may experience discomfort during PDT, various strategies have been studied to mitigate this adverse effect, including alternate light sources, use of pretreatments and different photosensitizers. A variation to conventional PDT is to use daylight as an alternative light source to activate PpIX [59-61]. This method allows continuous and gradual activation of the photosensitizer with daylight rather than with red or blue light, resulting in decreased pain while still maintaining clearance rates similar to conventional PDT [60, 62, 61, 63-65, 59]. Metal halide white light may be an alternative to daylight PDT [66]. This therapeutic option is significant as daylight PDT is dependent on weather conditions and requires patient ability to go outside for light exposure. Other methods to reduce pain include a two-step irradiance treatment and using green light due for its decreased depth of tissue penetration [67, 68].

Recent investigations are evaluating combination therapies, comparing PDT protocols and developing new photosensitizers. Microneedling as a pretreatment decreased ALA incubation time and decreased pain with similar efficacy to the conventional 1-hour ALA incubation time method [69]. Microneedling may assist with drug delivery as it may create microchannels to bypass the stratum corneum [69]. Calcipotriol, a synthetic vitamin D derivative, may be used before PDT [70]. Patients undergoing PDT who were pretreated with calcipotriol were found to have fewer side effects and a higher clearance rate of AKs compared to those who received PDT only [71-73]. Calcipotriol pretreatment is thought to boost the amount of PpIX in the skin, thereby enhancing efficacy of field treatment [72]. Vignion-Dewalle et al. recently published a comprehensive in silico analysis of various PDT protocols using different lights to determine the regimen that offers the best complete response rate at three months [74]. The following top four protocols performed similarly: daylight PDT on a clear sunny day, daylight PDT on an overcast day, PDT with white LED lamp, and PDT with blue light [74]. The development of new photosensitizers may be coupled with light therapy to form an alternative treatment. One example is photochemical internalization in which an amphiphilic photosensitizer along with a cytotoxic molecule or ribosomal-inactivation protein is given [75]. The cytotoxic compound is taken up into the cell and with light exposure, causing death by endosome rupture. Photochemical internalization is being studied in head and neck cancers but has not yet been reported for use in skin cancer [76].

Needed to Study

SR-T100—SR-T100 gel, not to be confused with the radiotherapy SRT-100, is a topical antiproliferative agent, with active ingredients solamargine, solasodine and solasonine, thought to treat AKs through modulation of the tumor necrosis factor receptor signaling pathway [84]. A study in Taiwan reported 32% complete clearance rate and 72% partial clearance rate for the group receiving SR-T100 gel compared with 12% complete clearance and 37% partial clearance rate for the control group [85]. The odds ratio for complete clearance was 2.14 and 4.36 for partial clearance [85]. The study gel was applied to an area that covered the AK and then covered by an occlusive dressing for eight hours daily for 16 weeks. In terms of safety, the rate of adverse events, 55.3% (42/76) for SR-T100 and 51.4% (19/37) for vehicle, and the rate of serious adverse events,13.2% (10/76) for SR-T100 and 13.5 (5/37) for vehicle, were not statistically different. Serious adverse events were concluded to be unrelated to the study drug. Rates of erythema, burning/stinging, and erosion were higher in the SR-T100 group compared to the vehicle group. While these initial results are promising, more studies are necessary to evaluate the field effects of this drug. SR-T100 is currently under investigation in a phase II clinical trial in the US (Table 1).

2,4,6-octatrienoic acid—2,4,6-octatrienoic acid is a parrodiene derivative that has been shown to activate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR γ), reducing oxidative damage and inducing DNA repair enzymes thereby treating the field [86]. As far as the authors know, this is the only study that has used this agent to treat AKs. Patients in a phase IV study applied a topical cream containing 2,4,6-octatreinoic acid and urea twice daily for two months [87]. At the three-month follow-up visit, 82.5% of patients achieved complete elimination of their AK lesions [87]. No side effects were reported although images

provided in the article show common side effects such as erythema and crusting [87]. Patients were followed clinically and therefore lack histologic confirmation of lesion treatment. Further research with longer follow-up, periods, side effect reporting and confirmation of AK treatment are necessary for this product.

Nonthermal atmospheric pressure plasma—Nonthermal atmospheric pressure plasma, also known as cold atmospheric pressure plasma (CAP), is a new therapy being investigated for treatment of AKs. CAP demonstrated therapeutic potential in vitro and in animal models [88]. The mechanism of action is not fully understood but is thought to be related to ROS generation affecting cells undergoing DNA replication (i.e. cancer cells) [88]. This modality involves direct cutaneous contact of ionized gas without thermal damage to the skin [88]. One study using 120 seconds of CAP therapy twice weekly for seven applications on seven patients with extensive AKs showed promising potential as it resulted in clinical improvement and rejuvenating effects without adverse events or limitations on the maximum treatment area [89]. Another case series of five patients treated 17 lesions; one month after a single treatment lasting one to two minutes, nine lesions completely resolved, three improved significantly and five showed no major changes [90]. Additionally, no side effects were noted [90]. There is currently one study underway evaluating a CAP device for the treatment of various skin disorders, including AKs (Table 1). The preliminary results using CAP as a therapy for AKs are encouraging but more research is needed to study the mechanism, efficacy, safety and optimal protocol for CAP.

Lasers—Cutaneous laser resurfacing may be used on an entire skin field to induce new collagen formation and remodeling. Fractional lasers target evenly spaced microthermal zones to minimize thermal damage [91]. Ablative lasers function at higher wavelengths to destroy the epidermis and dermis compared with nonablative lasers [35].

Lasers are being investigated as monotherapy and as adjunctive applications in AK treatment [91]. One split-face study using ablative fractional laser (AFXL) therapy compared to no treatment for AKs found a significant reduction of AKs at one month; however, at the 3 month follow up, reduction of AKs on either side of the face was similar due to spontaneous regression on the non-treated side[92]. In this study, the Ultrapulse $CO₂$ laser ActiveFX handpiece (Lumenis) was used with the settings 70 mJ per pulse, power at 9 W and density of 4 [92]. In porcine skin, treatment with AFXL was found to induce more dermal deposition of ingenol mebutate [93]. Another study using AFXL in human 3D AK skin equivalents improved MAL uptake and improved PDT outcomes [94]. Fractional Qswitched 1064-nm Nd:YAG laser, a non-ablative laser typically used for tattoo and pigment treatment, is being studied for AK treatment [95]. After four sessions, complete clearance was observed in five out of six patients at the three month follow up [95]. Evidence thus far appears to favor lasers as an adjunct to current therapies as they may facilitate delivery of topical agents, although the ideal monotherapy laser and settings have not been clearly defined yet.

Er:YAG ablative fractional laser-assisted MAL-PDT (AFL-PDT) as a combination therapy has shown positive results [96]. AFL-PDT was found to have an 84.8% response rate at 12 months and a 7.5% recurrence rate which was significantly better than the MAL-PDT only

group with a 51.1% response rate and 22.1% recurrence rate [96]. Interestingly, no significant differences in pain were found across the treatment groups [96]. Another study found fractional $CO₂$ followed by ALA-PDT to produce significantly higher clearance rates than ALA-PDT alone, 89.8% versus 71.2% at 12 weeks and better cosmesis as rated by the investigator and patients [97]. In terms of side effects, the laser group experienced slightly more intense pain and prolonged erythema [97]. Laser use for the treatment of AKs has shown favorable results but more studies are needed to determine efficacy and safety in a larger number of patients as well as determine how lasers best fit in the field-directed AK treatment algorithm.

Chemical peels—Chemical peels are another resurfacing technique, commonly used for cosmetic purposes, that is being studied for AK therapy. It is applied topically to cause chemical ablation of the skin, causing the superficial layers to slough off, inducing regeneration of the epidermis and dermis. One study compared one session of either ALA PDT or 35% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) peel [98]. Adverse events of TCA included persistent erythema, bacterial superinfection in one patient and scarring in six patients [98]. Patients treated with ALA PDT demonstrated a complete clearance rate of 73.7% at the 12 month follow up, compared with 48.8% in the TCA group. [98]. Additionally, better Physician Global Assessment scores were noted with the MAL PDT group, with 54.5% of patients achieving completely clear or almost clear in target areas versus 11.8% in the TCA group [98]. Further studies with larger sample sizes, combination therapy with TCA, treatment confirmation with histology, and preventative studies may be needed to assess the role of chemical peels in AK management.

Conclusions

Cutaneous field cancerization describes the process by which chronic UV exposure induces DNA damage and multifocal dysplastic cells. Genetic alterations, progressive invasion of atypical keratinocytes and crusty, scaly growths may be observed. As a result, recent advances in field cancerization research have furthered understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of AKs and cSCCs. Examining cancer development through a field approach may lead to discoveries in other disease processes including basal cell carcinoma and melanoma. Furthermore, field-directed therapy is desirable for treating AKs as there are high recurrence rates cSCC when therapy is lesion-directed. PDT, topical treatments and lasers continue to be commonly employed therapies that are safe and efficacious. As current therapies for AKs are being optimized and new treatments are being developed, we expect efficacy of field therapy to improve. The combination of laser and topical treatments may enhance efficacy in the clinical setting. Comparing the genetic profile of pre and post-AK treatment areas may reveal important biomarkers for disease progression or regression and allow for gene-targeted approaches.

Limitations of current research on field cancerization include the heterogenous nature of these lesions which pose a problem for classification methods, extrapolation of biopsy results to other lesions as well as successful treatment of cSCC. Many clinicians use cryotherapy, a lesion-directed therapy, and may less often use or study field approaches. There is an unmet need for a better classification system for AKs that provides clinical

predictability of lesion progression. Future studies should further elucidate the pathogenesis of field cancerization. Factors that differentiate the AKs that transform into cSCCs from those that remain benign or regress needs further exploration. Finally, current and developing treatment options for AKs are abundant and studies are necessary to determine the safest and most effective therapies.

Field cancerization explains the continuum of photodamaged skin to cutaneous cSCC. Understanding this process will help guide future management such that patients may benefit the most.

References

- 1••. Lanoue J, Chen C, Goldenberg G. Actinic keratosis as a marker of field cancerization in excision specimens of cutaneous malignancies. Cutis. 2016;97(6):415–20. [PubMed: 27416085] Provides evidence that field cancerization is present in basal cell carcinoma and malignant melanoma.
- 2. Christensen SR. Recent advances in field cancerization and management of multiple cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas. F1000Research. 2018;7. doi:10.12688/f1000research.12837.1.
- 3. Slaughter DP, Southwick HW, Smejkal W. Field cancerization in oral stratified squamous epithelium; clinical implications of multicentric origin. Cancer. 1953;6(5):963–8. [PubMed: 13094644]
- 4. Rogers HW, Weinstock MA, Feldman SR, Coldiron BM. Incidence Estimate of Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer (Keratinocyte Carcinomas) in the U.S. Population, 2012. JAMA dermatology. 2015;151(10): 1081–6. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.1187. [PubMed: 25928283]
- 5. Figueras Nart I, Cerio R, Dirschka T, Dreno B, Lear JT, Pellacani G et al. Defining the actinic keratosis field: a literature review and discussion. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2018;32(4):544–63. doi:10.1111/jdv.14652. [PubMed: 29055153]
- 6. Lim HW, Collins SAB, Resneck JS Jr., Bolognia JL, Hodge JA, Rohrer TA et al. The burden of skin disease in the United States. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2017;76(5):958– 72.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2016.12.043. [PubMed: 28259441]
- 7. Yeung FI, Baranowski ML, Swerlick RA, Chen SC, Hemingway J, Hughes DR et al. Use and Cost of Actinic Keratosis Destruction in the Medicare Part B Fee-for-Service Population, 2007 to 2015. JAMA dermatology. 2018. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.3086.
- 8. Wenande E, Phothong W, Bay C, Karmisholt KE, Haedersdal M, Togsverd-Bo K. Efficacy and safety of daylight photodynamic therapy after tailored pretreatment with ablative fractional laser or microdermabrasion: a randomized, side-by-side, single-blind trial in patients with actinic keratosis and large-area field cancerization. The British journal of dermatology. 2018. doi:10.1111/bjd.17096.
- 9. Werner RN, Sammain A, Erdmann R, Hartmann V, Stockfleth E, Nast A. The natural history of actinic keratosis: a systematic review. The British journal of dermatology. 2013;169(3):502–18. doi: 10.1111/bjd.12420. [PubMed: 23647091]
- 10. Criscione VD, Weinstock MA, Naylor MF, Luque C, Eide MJ, Bingham SF. Actinic keratoses: Natural history and risk of malignant transformation in the Veterans Affairs Topical Tretinoin Chemoprevention Trial. Cancer. 2009;115(11):2523–30. doi:10.1002/cncr.24284. [PubMed: 19382202]
- 11. Ashford BG, Clark J, Gupta R, Iyer NG, Yu B, Ranson M. Reviewing the genetic alterations in high-risk cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: A search for prognostic markers and therapeutic targets. Head & neck. 2017;39(7):1462–9. doi:10.1002/hed.24765. [PubMed: 28370784]
- 12. Fuchs A, Marmur E. The kinetics of skin cancer: progression of actinic keratosis to squamous cell carcinoma. Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al], 2007;33(9):1099–101. doi:10.1111/j.1524-4725.2007.33224.x.
- 13. Narayanan DL, Saladi RN, Fox JL. Ultraviolet radiation and skin cancer. International journal of dermatology. 2010;49(9):978–86. [PubMed: 20883261]

- 14. Matsumura Y, Ananthaswamy HN. Toxic effects of ultraviolet radiation on the skin. Toxicology and applied pharmacology. 2004;195(3):298–308. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2003.08.019. [PubMed: 15020192]
- 15. Roy S Impact of UV Radiation on Genome Stability and Human Health. Advances in experimental medicine and biology. 2017;996:207–19. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-56017-5_17. [PubMed: 29124702]
- 16. Schmitz L, Oster-Schmidt C, Stockfleth E. Nonmelanoma skin cancer from actinic keratosis to cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft = Journal of the German Society of Dermatology : JDDG. 2018; 16(8): 1002–13. doi:10.1111/ddg. 13614.
- 17. Olsen EA, Abernethy ML, Kulp-Shorten C, Callen JP, Glazer SD, Huntley A et al. A double-blind, vehicle-controlled study evaluating masoprocol cream in the treatment of actinic keratoses on the head and neck. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 1991;24(5 Pt 1):738–43. [PubMed: 1869646]
- 18. Rowert-Huber J, Patel MJ, Forschner T, Ulrich C, Eberle J, Kerl H et al. Actinic keratosis is an early in situ squamous cell carcinoma: a proposal for reclassification. The British journal of dermatology. 2007;156 Suppl 3:8–12. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2007.07860.x. [PubMed: 17488400]
- 19 ••. Fernandez-Figueras MT, Carrato C, Saenz X, Puig L, Musulen E, Ferrandiz C et al. Actinic keratosis with atypical basal cells (AK I) is the most common lesion associated with invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2015;29(5):991–7. doi:10.1111/jdv.12848. [PubMed: 25428612] Indicates that AKs do not necessarily progress in a stepwise manner as previously thought.
- 20. Campione E, Ventura A, Diluvio L, Mazzeo M, Mazzilli S, Garofalo V et al. Current developments in pharmacotherapy for actinic keratosis. Expert opinion on pharmacotherapy. 2018:1–12. doi: 10.1080/14656566.2018.1523896.
- 21. Braakhuis BJ, Tabor MP, Kummer JA, Leemans CR, Brakenhoff RH. A genetic explanation of Slaughter's concept of field cancerization: evidence and clinical implications. Cancer research. 2003;63(8):1727–30. [PubMed: 12702551]
- 22. Lee CS, Bhaduri A, Mah A, Johnson WL, Ungewickell A, Aros G et al. Recurrent point mutations in the kinetochore gene KNSTRN in cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. Nature genetics. 2014;46(10):1060–2. doi:10.1038/ng.3091. [PubMed: 25194279]
- 23. Houh YK, Kim KE, Park HJ, Cho D. Roles of Erythroid Differentiation Regulator 1 (Erdr1) on Inflammatory Skin Diseases. International journal of molecular sciences. 2016;17(12):2059. doi: 10.3390/ijms17122059.
- 24. Dooley TP, Reddy SP, Wilborn TW, Davis RL. Biomarkers of human cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma from tissues and cell lines identified by DNA microarrays and qRT-PCR. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2003;306(4): 1026–36. [PubMed: 12821146]
- 25. Hameetman L, Commandeur S, Bavinck JN, Wisgerhof HC, de Gruijl FR, Willemze R et al. Molecular profiling of cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas and actinic keratoses from organ transplant recipients. BMC cancer. 2013;13:58. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-13-58. [PubMed: 23379751]
- 26. Levine DE, Karia PS, Schmults CD. Outcomes of Patients With Multiple Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinomas: A 10-Year Single-Institution Cohort Study. JAMA dermatology. 2015;151(11): 1220–5. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.1702. [PubMed: 26177278]
- 27. Schmitz L, Gambichler T, Gupta G, Stucker M, Stockfleth E, Szeimies RM et al. Actinic keratoses show variable histological basal growth patterns - a proposed classification adjustment. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2018;32(5):745–51. doi: 10.1111/jdv.14512. [PubMed: 28796914]
- 28. Cerio R, Dirschka T, Dreno B, Figueras Nart I, Lear JT, Pellacani G et al. Actinic Keratosis, a Chronic, Progressive Disease: Understanding Clinical Gaps to Optimise Patient Management. Acta dermato-venereologica. 2017;97(8):997–8. doi:10.2340/00015555-2692. [PubMed: 28448101]
- 29. Dirschka T, Pellacani G, Micali G, Malvehy J, Stratigos AJ, Casari A et al. A proposed scoring system for assessing the severity of actinic keratosis on the head: actinic keratosis area and severity

index. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2017;31(8): 1295–302. doi:10.1111/jdv.14267. [PubMed: 28401585]

- 30. Dreno B, Cerio R, Dirschka T, Nart IF, Lear JT, Peris K et al. A Novel Actinic Keratosis Field Assessment Scale for Grading Actinic Keratosis Disease Severity. Acta dermato-venereologica. 2017;97(9):1108–13. doi:10.2340/00015555-2710. [PubMed: 28536731]
- 31. Schmitz L, Stucker M, Gambichler T, Stockfleth E, Dirschka T. Histological intralesional heterogeneity of actinic keratoses relates to field cancerization. Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft = Journal of the German Society of Dermatology : JDDG. 2018;16(10):1211–7. doi:10.1111/ddg.13658.
- 32. Chen AC, Martin AJ, Choy B, Fernandez-Penas P, Dalziell RA, McKenzie CA et al. A Phase 3 Randomized Trial of Nicotinamide for Skin-Cancer Chemoprevention. The New England journal of medicine. 2015;373(17):1618–26. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1506197. [PubMed: 26488693]
- 33. Jetter N, Chandan N, Wang S, Tsoukas M. Field Cancerization Therapies for Management of Actinic Keratosis: A Narrative Review. American journal of clinical dermatology. 2018;19(4):543– 57. doi:10.1007/s40257-018-0348-7. [PubMed: 29582369]
- 34. Stockfleth E The importance of treating the field in actinic keratosis. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2017;31 Suppl 2:8–11. doi:10.1111/jdv. 14092.
- 35•. Dong J, Goldenberg G. Energy-based devices for actinic keratosis field therapy. Cutis. 2018;101(5):355–60. [PubMed: 29894524] This article reviews laser and light therapies for fielddirected treatment of AKs.
- 36. Jagdeo JR, Brody NI, Spandau DF, Travers JB. Important implications and new uses of ablative lasers in dermatology: fractional carbon dioxide laser prevention of skin cancer. Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al], 2015;41(3): 387–9. doi:10.1097/dss.0000000000000308.
- 37. Philipp-Dormston WG. Field cancerization: from molecular basis to selective field-directed management of actinic keratosis. Current problems in dermatology. 2015;46:115–21. doi: 10.1159/000366547. [PubMed: 25561215]
- 38. Uhlenhake EE. Optimal treatment of actinic keratoses. Clinical interventions in aging. 2013;8:29– 35. doi:10.2147/CIA.S31930. [PubMed: 23345970]
- 39. Zyclara (imiquimod) [package insert]. 3M Health Care Limited, Loughborough, England 2011.
- 40. Aldara (imiquimod) [package insert], 3M Health Care Limited., Loughborough, England 2010.
- 41. Stockfleth E, Sibbring GC, Alarcon I. New Topical Treatment Options for Actinic Keratosis: A Systematic Review. Acta dermato-venereologica. 2016;96(1):17–22. doi:10.2340/00015555-2167. [PubMed: 26068001]
- 42. Kishi P, Price CJ. Life-Threatening Reaction with Topical 5-Fluorouracil. Drug safety case reports. 2018;5(1):4. doi: 10.1007/s40800-017-0068-6. [PubMed: 29330682]
- 43. Bhatta AK, Wang P, Keyal U, Zhao Z, Ji J, Zhu L et al. Therapeutic effect of Imiquimod enhanced ALA-PDT on cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. Photodiagnosis and photodynamic therapy. 2018;23:273–80. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2018.07.010. [PubMed: 30030167]
- 44. Chughtai K, Gupta R, Upadhaya S, Al Hadidi S. Topical 5-Fluorouracil associated skin reaction. Oxford medical case reports. 2017;2017(8):omx043. doi:10.1093/omcr/omx043. [PubMed: 28845237]
- 45. Cunningham TJ, Tabacchi M, Eliane JP, Tuchayi SM, Manivasagam S, Mirzaalian H et al. Randomized trial of calcipotriol combined with 5-fluorouracil for skin cancer precursor immunotherapy. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2017; 127(1): 106–16. doi:10.1172/ jci89820. [PubMed: 27869649]
- 46. Del Rosso J, Swanson N, Berman B, Martin GM, Lin T, Rosen T. Imiquimod 2.5% and 3.75% Cream for the Treatment of Photodamage: A Meta-analysis of Efficacy and Tolerability in 969 Randomized Patients. The Journal of clinical and aesthetic dermatology. 2018;11(9):28–31.
- 47. Ohgari Y, Nakayasu Y, Kitajima S, Sawamoto M, Mori H, Shimokawa O et al. Mechanisms involved in delta-aminolevulinic acid (ALA)-induced photosensitivity of tumor cells: relation of ferrochelatase and uptake of ALA to the accumulation of protoporphyrin. Biochemical pharmacology. 2005;71(l-2):42–9. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2005.10.019. [PubMed: 16288996]

- 48. Maytin EV, Kaw U, Ilyas M, Mack JA, Hu B. Blue light versus red light for photodynamic therapy of basal cell carcinoma in patients with Gorlin syndrome: A bilaterally controlled comparison study. Photodiagnosis and photodynamic therapy. 2018;22:7–13. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2018.02.009. [PubMed: 29471147]
- 49. Li Y, Zhang J, Xu Y, Han Y, Jiang B, Huang L et al. The Histopathological Investigation of Red and Blue Light Emitting Diode on Treating Skin Wounds in Japanese Big-Ear White Rabbit. PloS one. 2016;11(6):e0157898–e. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157898. [PubMed: 27347879]
- 50. Petersen B, Wiegell SR, Wulf HC. Light protection of the skin after photodynamic therapy reduces inflammation: an unblinded randomized controlled study. The British journal of dermatology. 2014;171(1):175–8. doi:10.1111/bjd.12882. [PubMed: 24506809]
- 51. Gold MH, Kauvar AN, Taub AF, Geronemus RG, Ritvo EC, Goldman MP et al. The use of photodynamic therapy in dermatology: results of a consensus conference. Journal of drugs in dermatology. 2006;5(2).
- 52 ••. Joly F, Deret S, Gamboa B, Menigot C, Fogel P, Mounier C et al. Photodynamic therapy corrects abnormal cancer-associated gene expression observed in actinic keratosis lesions and induces a remodeling effect in photodamaged skin. Journal of dermatological science. 2018. doi:10.1016/ j.jdermsci.2018.05.002.Provides evidence of transcriptional remodeling of AK lesions after MAL-PDT.
- 53. Reinhold U, Dirschka T, Ostendorf R, Aschoff R, Berking C, Philipp-Dormston WG et al. A randomized, double-blind, phase III, multicentre study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of $BF-200$ ALA (Ameluz $((R))$) vs. placebo in the field-directed treatment of mild-to-moderate actinic keratosis with photodynamic therapy (PDT) when using the BF-RhodoLED((R)) lamp. The British journal of dermatology. 2016;175(4):696–705. doi:10.1111/bjd.14498. [PubMed: 26921093]
- 54 ••. Willey A, Anderson RR, Sakamoto FH. Temperature-Modulated Photodynamic Therapy for the Treatment of Actinic Keratosis on the Extremities: A One-Year Follow-up Study. Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al.], 2015;41(11):1290–5. doi:10.1097/dss.0000000000000512.A pivotal study for thermal PDT.
- 55. Koo E, Austin E, Mamalis A, Jagdeo J. Thermal Ultra Short Photodynamic Therapy: Heating Fibroblasts During Sub-30 Minute Incubation of 5-Aminolevulinic Acid Increases Photodynamic Therapy-Induced Cell Death. Dermatologic surgery official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al.], 2018;44(4):528–33. doi: 10.1097/dss.0000000000001341.
- 56. Austin E, Koo E, Jagdeo J. Thermal photodynamic therapy increases apoptosis and reactive oxygen species generation in cutaneous and mucosal squamous cell carcinoma cells. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):12599. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-30908-6. [PubMed: 30135507]
- 57. Koo E, Austin E, Mamalis A, Jagdeo J. Efficacy of ultra short sub-30 minute incubation of 5 aminolevulinic acid photodynamic therapy in vitro. Lasers in surgery and medicine. 2017;49(6): 592–8. doi:10.1002/Ism.22648. [PubMed: 28370019]
- 58 ••. Mamalis A, Koo E, Sckisel GD, Siegel DM, Jagdeo J. Temperature-dependent impact of thermal aminolaevulinic acid photodynamic therapy on apoptosis and reactive oxygen species generation in human dermal fibroblasts. The British journal of dermatology. 2016;175(3):512–9. doi: 10.1111/bjd.14509. [PubMed: 26931503] This study provides evidence of increased apoptosis with thermal PDT.
- 59. Demay SDJ, Sharma K, Sapra S, Sapra R, Sapra P. Daylight-Mediated Photodynamic Therapy With Methyl Aminolevulinate in Actinic Keratosis Treatment. Journal of cutaneous medicine and surgery. 2018;22(3):267–72. doi:10.1177/1203475417752367. [PubMed: 29351725]
- 60. Fargnoli MC, Piccioni A, Neri L, Tambone S, Pellegrini C, Peris K. Long-term efficacy and safety of daylight photodynamic therapy with methyl amninolevulinate for actinic keratosis of the face and scalp. European journal of dermatology : EJD. 2017;27(1):89–91. doi:10.1684/ejd.2016.2882. [PubMed: 27748261]
- 61. Lacour JP, Ulrich C, Gilaberte Y, Von Felbert V, Basset-Seguin N, Dreno B et al. Daylight photodynamic therapy with methyl aminolevulinate cream is effective and nearly painless in treating actinic keratoses: a randomised, investigator-blinded, controlled, phase III study throughout Europe. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2015;29(12):2342–8. doi:10.1111/jdv.13228. [PubMed: 26435363]

- 62. Rubel DM, Spelman L, Murrell DF, See JA, Hewitt D, Foley P et al. Daylight photodynamic therapy with methyl aminolevulinate cream as a convenient, similarly effective, nearly painless alternative to conventional photodynamic therapy in actinic keratosis treatment: a randomized controlled trial. The British journal of dermatology. 2014; 171(5): 1164–71. doi:10.1111/bjd. 13138. [PubMed: 24861492]
- 63. Sotiriou E, Evangelou G, Papadavid E, Apalla Z, Vrani F, Vakirlis E et al. Conventional vs. daylight photodynamic therapy for patients with actinic keratosis on face and scalp: 12-month follow-up results of a randomized, intra-individual comparative analysis. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2018;32(4):595–600. doi:10.1111/jdv. 14613. [PubMed: 28972663]
- 64. Wiegell SR, Haedersdal M, Philipsen PA, Eriksen P, Enk CD, Wulf HC. Continuous activation of PpIX by daylight is as effective as and less painful than conventional photodynamic therapy for actinic keratoses; a randomized, controlled, single-blinded study. The British journal of dermatology. 2008;158(4):740–6. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2008.08450.x. [PubMed: 18294318]
- 65. Fargnoli MC, Piccioni A, Neri L, Tambone S, Pellegrini C, Peris K. Conventional vs. daylight methyl aminolevulinate photodynamic therapy for actinic keratosis of the face and scalp: an intrapatient, prospective, comparison study in Italy. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. 2015;29(10):1926–32. doi:doi:10.1111/jdv.13076. [PubMed: 25809617]
- 66. Marra K, LaRochelle EP, Chapman MS, Hoopes PJ, Lukovits K, Maytin EV et al. Comparison of Blue and White Lamp Light with Sunlight for Daylight-Mediated, 5-ALA Photodynamic Therapy, in vivo. Photochemistry and photobiology. 2018;94(5): 1049–57. doi:10.1111/php.12923. [PubMed: 29663426]
- 67. Paragh G, Zeitouni NC. Two-Step Irradiance Treatment Can Achieve Excellent Pain Control During Red Light 5-Aminolevulinic Acid Photodynamic Therapy for Actinic Keratoses. Photomedicine and laser surgery. 2018;36(3):174–6. doi: 10.1089/pho.2017.4351. [PubMed: 29227199]
- 68. Osiecka BJ, Nockowski P, Szepietowski JC. Treatment of Actinic Keratosis with Photodynamic Therapy Using Red or Green Light: A Comparative Study. Acta dermato-venereologica. 2018;98(7):689–93. doi:10.2340/00015555-2931. [PubMed: 29963684]
- 69 •. Petukhova TA, Hassoun LA, Foolad N, Barath M, Sivamani RK. Effect of Expedited Microneedle-Assisted Photodynamic Therapy for Field Treatment of Actinic Keratoses: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA dermatology. 2017;153(7):637–43. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol. 2017.0849. [PubMed: 28514458] This study used microneedling as a method to increase delivery of photosensitizers.
- 70. Bay C, Lerche CM, Togsverd-Bo K, Wulf HC, Haedersdal M. Calcipotriol pretreatment enhances methyl aminolevulinate-induced protoporphyrin IX: an in vivo study in hairless mice. Photodermatology, photoimmunology & photomedicine. 2015;31(1):57-60. doi:10.1111/phpp. 12150.
- 71. Galimberti GN. Daylight Photodynamic Therapy Versus 5-Fluorouracil for the Treatment of Actinic Keratosis: A Case Series. Dermatology and therapy. 2018;8(1):137–41. doi:10.1007/ s13555-017-0219-9. [PubMed: 29322431]
- 72. Torezan L, Grinblat B, Haedersdal M, Valente N, Festa-Neto C, Szeimies RM. A randomized splitscalp study comparing calcipotriol-assisted methyl aminolaevulinate photodynamic therapy (MAL-PDT) with conventional MAL-PDT for the treatment of actinic keratosis. The British journal of dermatology. 2018;179(4):829–35. doi:10.1111/bjd.16473. [PubMed: 29476546]
- 73. Seo JW, Song KH. Topical calcipotriol before ablative fractional laser-assisted photodynamic therapy enhances treatment outcomes for actinic keratosis in Fitzpatrick grades III-V skin: A prospective randomized clinical trial. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2018;78(4):795–7. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2017.11.027. [PubMed: 29138062]
- 74 ••. Vignion-Dewalle AS, Baert G, Thecua E, Lecomte F, Vicentini C, Abi-Rached H et al. Comparison of 10 efficient protocols for photodynamic therapy of actinic keratosis: How relevant are effective light dose and local damage in predicting the complete response rate at 3 months? Lasers in surgery and medicine. 2018;50(5):576–89. **doi:**10.1002/Ism.22827. [PubMed: 29667728] **doi:**This article compares various PDT protocols and is important for treatment optimization.

- 75. Abrahamse H, Hamblin MR. New photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy. Biochemical Journal. 2016;473(4):347–64. [PubMed: 26862179]
- 76. Jerjes W, Upile T, Radhi H, Hopper C. Photodynamic therapy vs. photochemical internalization: the surgical margin. Head & neck oncology. 2011;3:53. doi:10.1186/1758-3284-3-53. [PubMed: 22192485]
- 77. Weiss J, Ulrich M, Bukhalo M, Osterdal ML, Bang B, Hanke CW. A seamless phase I/II dosefinding trial assessing ingenol disoxate (LEO 43204) for field treatment of actinic keratosis on the scalp. The British journal of dermatology. 2017; 176(6): 1456–64. doi:10.1111/bjd.15304. [PubMed: 28078678]
- 78. Bertelsen M, Stahlhut M, Grue-Sorensen G, Liang X, Christensen GB, Skak K et al. Ingenol Disoxate: A Novel 4-Isoxazolecarboxylate Ester of Ingenol with Improved Properties for Treatment of Actinic Keratosis and Other Non-Melanoma Skin Cancers. Dermatology and therapy. 2016;6(4):599–626. doi:10.1007/s13555-016-0137-2. [PubMed: 27503482]
- 79. Stockfleth E, Bastian M. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic evaluation of ingenol mebutate for the treatment of actinic keratosis. Expert opinion on drug metabolism & toxicology. 2018;14(9):911–8. doi:10.1080/17425255.2018.1508449. [PubMed: 30074409]
- 80. Lee YB, Kim HJ, Jung HY, Park YG, Kim SY, Cho BK et al. Downregulation of erythroid differentiation regulator 1 as a novel marker of skin tumors. International journal of dermatology. 2014;53(6):723–30. doi:10.1111/ijd.12057. [PubMed: 24168163]
- 81. Bourcier M, Stein Gold L, Guenther L, Andreassen CM, Selmer J, Goldenberg G. A dose-finding trial with a novel ingenol derivative (ingenol disoxate: LEO 43204) for field treatment of actinic keratosis on full face or 250 cm(2) on the chest. The Journal of dermatological treatment. 2017;28(7):652–8. doi:10.1080/09546634.2017.1303568. [PubMed: 28264612]
- 82. Siegel DM, Tyring S, Nahm WK, Osterdal ML, Petersen AH, Berman B. Three-day Field Treatment with Ingenol Disoxate (LEO 43204) for Actinic Keratosis: A Phase II Trial. The Journal of clinical and aesthetic dermatology. 2017; 10(12): 19–26.
- 83. Efficacy and Safety of LEO 43204 in Field Treatment of Actinic Keratosis on Face or Chest including 12-month follow-up [database on the Internet] 2018 Available from: [http://www.leo](http://www.leo-pharma.com/Files/Filer/LEOcorporatedownloads/Clinical%20trial%20summaries%20and%20reports/LP0084-1194%20%20%20Clinical%20Trial%20Report%20-%20Synopsis%20-%20English%20-%20Redacted.pdf)[pharma.com/Files/Filer/LEOcorporatedownloads/Clinical%20trial%20summaries%20and](http://www.leo-pharma.com/Files/Filer/LEOcorporatedownloads/Clinical%20trial%20summaries%20and%20reports/LP0084-1194%20%20%20Clinical%20Trial%20Report%20-%20Synopsis%20-%20English%20-%20Redacted.pdf) [%20reports/LP0084-1194%20%20%20Clinical%20Trial%20Report%20-%20Synopsis%20-](http://www.leo-pharma.com/Files/Filer/LEOcorporatedownloads/Clinical%20trial%20summaries%20and%20reports/LP0084-1194%20%20%20Clinical%20Trial%20Report%20-%20Synopsis%20-%20English%20-%20Redacted.pdf) [%20English%20-%20Redacted.pdf.](http://www.leo-pharma.com/Files/Filer/LEOcorporatedownloads/Clinical%20trial%20summaries%20and%20reports/LP0084-1194%20%20%20Clinical%20Trial%20Report%20-%20Synopsis%20-%20English%20-%20Redacted.pdf) Accessed: November 20, 2018
- 84. Wu CH, Liang CH, Shiu LY, Chang LC, Lin TS, Lan CC et al. Solanum incanum extract (SR-T100) induces human cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma apoptosis through modulating tumor necrosis factor receptor signaling pathway. Journal of dermatological science. 2011;63(2):83–92. doi:10.1016/j.jdermsci.2011.04.003. [PubMed: 21612892]
- 85. Yang CC, Wong TW, Lee CH, Hong CH, Chang CH, Lai FJ et al. Efficacy and safety of topical SR-T100 gel in treating actinic keratosis in Taiwan: A Phase III randomized double-blind vehiclecontrolled parallel trial. Journal of dermatological science. 2018;90(3):295–302. doi:10.1016/ j.jdermsci.2018.02.015. [PubMed: 29530340]
- 86. Flori E, Mastrofrancesco A, Kovacs D, Ramot Y, Briganti S, Bel lei B et al. 2,4,6-Octatrienoic acid is a novel promoter of melanogenesis and antioxidant defence in normal human melanocytes via PPAR-gamma activation. Pigment cell & melanoma research. 2011;24(4):618–30. doi:10.1111/j. 1755-148X.2011.00887.x. [PubMed: 21762468]
- 87. De Padova MP, Marzani B, Pinto D, Rinaldi F. A Single-Arm, Open-Label, Phase IV Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of a Topical Formulation for Hyperkeratotic Actinic Keratosis Lesions. Dermatology and therapy. 2018;8(3):455–62. doi: 10.1007/s13555-018-0252-3. [PubMed: 30054800]
- 88. Gan L, Zhang S, Poorun D, Liu D, Lu X, He M et al. Medical applications of nonthermal atmospheric pressure plasma in dermatology. Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft = Journal of the German Society of Dermatology : JDDG. 2018;16(1):7–13. doi: 10.1111/ddg.13373.
- 89. Wirtz M, Stoffels I, Dissemond J, Schadendorf D, Roesch A. Actinic keratoses treated with cold atmospheric plasma. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2018;32(1):e37–e9. doi:10.1111/jdv.14465. [PubMed: 28695987]

- 90 •. Friedman PC, Miller V, Fridman G, Lin A, Fridman A. Successful treatment of actinic keratoses using nonthermal atmospheric pressure plasma: A case series. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2017;76(2):349–50. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2016.09.004. [PubMed: 28088998] An important study for a new potential treatment modality of AKs.
- 91. Alexiades-Armenakas MR, Dover JS, Arndt KA. The spectrum of laser skin resurfacing: nonablative, fractional, and ablative laser resurfacing. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2008;58(5):719–37; quiz 38–40. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2008.01.003. [PubMed: 18423256]
- 92. Gan SD, Hsu SH, Chuang G, Konnikov N, Liang CA. Ablative fractional laser therapy for the treatment of actinic keratosis: A split-face study. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2016;74(2):387–9. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2015.08.035. [PubMed: 26775785]
- 93. Erlendsson AM, Taudorf EH, Eriksson AH, Haak CS, Zibert JR, Paasch U et al. Ablative fractional laser alters biodistribution of ingenol mebutate in the skin. Archives of dermatological research. 2015;307(6):515–22. doi:10.1007/s00403-015-1561-3. [PubMed: 25832754]
- 94. Huth S, Marquardt Y, Amann PM, Leverkus M, Huth L, Baron JM et al. Ablative non-sequential fractional ultrapulsed CO2 laser pretreatment improves conventional photodynamic therapy with methyl aminolevulinate in a novel human in vitro 3D actinic keratosis skin model. Experimental dermatology. 2016;25(12):997–9. doi:10.1111/exd.13068. [PubMed: 27194644]
- 95. Gold MH, Sensing W, Biron J. Fractional Q-switched 1,064-nm laser for the treatment of photoaged-photodamaged skin. Journal of cosmetic and laser therapy : official publication of the European Society for Laser Dermatology. 2014;16(2):69–76. doi:10.3109/14764172.2013.864197. [PubMed: 24215422]
- 96. Choi SH, Kim KH, Song KH. Efficacy of ablative fractional laser-assisted photodynamic therapy with short-incubation time for the treatment of facial and scalp actinic keratosis: 12-month followup results of a randomized, prospective, comparative trial. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV. 2015;29(8):1598–605. doi:10.1111/jdv.12953. [PubMed: 25640401]
- 97. Alexiades M. Randomized, Controlled Trial of Fractional Carbon Dioxide Laser Resurfacing Followed by Ultrashort Incubation Aminolevulinic Acid Blue Light Photodynamic Therapy for Actinic Keratosis. Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al]. 2017;43(8):1053–64. doi:10.1097/dss.0000000000001117.
- 98. Holzer G, Pinkowicz A, Radakovic S, Schmidt JB, Tanew A. Randomized controlled trial comparing 35% trichloroacetic acid peel and 5-aminolaevulinic acid photodynamic therapy for treating multiple actinic keratosis. The British journal of dermatology. 2017;176(5):1155–61. doi: 10.1111/bjd.15272. [PubMed: 28012181]

Huang et al. Page 17

Figure 1.

Field cancerization theory: (a) Previous theory of cSCC formation (b) Current field cancerization theory; UV, ultraviolet; cSCC, AK, actinic keratosis; cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma

Figure 2. Field cancerization and local recurrences of tumors.

Table 1.

Ongoing clinical trials for AK investigation in the US

