

Retrospective Study

Impact of psychosocial comorbidities on clinical outcomes after liver transplantation: Stratification of a high-risk population

Neil Bhogal, Amaninder Dhaliwal, Elizabeth Lyden, Fedja Rochling, Marco Olivera-Martinez

ORCID number: Neil Bhogal (0000-0002-9223-1943); Amaninder Dhaliwal (0000-0002-9761-437X); Elizabeth Lyden (0000-0002-1635-6365); Fedja Rochling (0000-0002-6668-8982); Marco Olivera-Martinez (0000-0003-1376-3739).

Author contributions: Bhogal N contributed to study formulation, study design, data compilation, data analysis, and manuscript preparation; Dhaliwal A contributed to study formulation, study design, data analysis, and manuscript preparation; Lyden E contributed to study design and statistical analysis; Rochling F contributed to data analysis and manuscript preparation; Olivera-Martinez M contributed to study formulation, study design, data analysis, and manuscript preparation.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the University of Nebraska Institutional Review Board No. 304-17-EP.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build

Neil Bhogal, Amaninder Dhaliwal, Fedja Rochling, Marco Olivera-Martinez, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198, United States

Elizabeth Lyden, Department of Biostatistics, Division of Public Health, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198, United States

Corresponding author: Neil Bhogal, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 982000 NMC, Omaha, NE 68198, United States. neil.bhogal@unmc.edu

Telephone: +1-661-4969737

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Liver transplantation is the accepted standard of care for end-stage liver disease due to a variety of etiologies including decompensated cirrhosis, fulminant hepatic failure, and primary hepatic malignancy. There are currently over 13000 candidates on the liver transplant waiting list emphasizing the importance of rigorous patient selection. There are few studies regarding the impact of additional psychosocial barriers to liver transplant including financial hardship, lack of caregiver support, polysubstance abuse, and issues with medical non-compliance. We hypothesized that patients with certain psychosocial comorbidities experienced worse outcomes after liver transplantation.

AIM

To assess the impact of certain pre-transplant psychosocial comorbidities on outcomes after liver transplantation.

METHODS

A retrospective analysis was performed on all adult patients from 2012-2016. Psychosocial comorbidities including documented medical non-compliance, polysubstance abuse, financial issues, and lack of caregiver support were collected. The primary outcome assessed post-transplantation was survival. Secondary outcomes measured included graft failure, episodes of acute rejection, psychiatric decompensation, number of readmissions, presence of infection, recidivism for alcohol and other substances, and documented caregiver support failure.

RESULTS

For the primary outcome, there were no differences in survival. Patients with a

upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Received: March 20, 2019

Peer-review started: March 20, 2019

First decision: April 23, 2019

Revised: May 23, 2019

Accepted: July 16, 2019

Article in press: July 17, 2019

Published online: August 27, 2019

P-Reviewer: Bramhall S, Chiu KW, Rubbini M, Tsoulfas G

S-Editor: Cui LJ

L-Editor: Filipodia

E-Editor: Ma YJ



history of psychiatric disease had a higher incidence of psychiatric decompensation after liver transplantation (19% *vs* 10%, $P = 0.013$). Treatment of psychiatric disorders resulted in a reduction of the incidence of psychiatric decompensation (21% *vs* 11%, $P = 0.022$). Patients with a history of polysubstance abuse in the transplant evaluation had a higher incidence of substance abuse after transplantation (5.8% *vs* 1.2%, $P = 0.05$). In this cohort, 15 patients (3.8%) were found to have medical compliance issues in the transplant evaluation. Of these specific patients, 13.3% were found to have substance abuse after transplantation as opposed to 1.3% in patients without documented compliance issues ($P = 0.03$).

CONCLUSION

Patients with certain psychosocial comorbidities had worse outcomes following liver transplantation. Further prospective and multi-center studies are warranted to properly determine guidelines for liver transplantation regarding this high-risk population.

Key words: Liver transplantation; Recidivism; Psychosocial decompensation; Non-compliance; Transplant psychiatry

©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: As there is a limited number of organs available for transplantation, a successful outcome depends upon a complete evaluation. There is a paucity of data regarding the impact of psychosocial comorbidities and their impact after liver transplantation. Our study found that patients with certain psychosocial comorbidities had worse outcomes after liver transplantation. This work adds to the growing literature that this represents a high-risk population.

Citation: Bhogal N, Dhaliwal A, Lyden E, Rochling F, Olivera-Martinez M. Impact of psychosocial comorbidities on clinical outcomes after liver transplantation: Stratification of a high-risk population. *World J Hepatol* 2019; 11(8): 638-645

URL: <https://www.wjnet.com/1948-5182/full/v11/i8/638.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v11.i8.638>

INTRODUCTION

Liver transplantation is the accepted standard of care for end-stage liver disease due to a variety of etiologies including decompensated cirrhosis, fulminant hepatic failure, and primary hepatic malignancy^[1]. The number of liver transplantations is increasing in the United States with over 33000 procedures performed in the last five years^[2]. In addition, more organs are available for transplantation with practice changes such as human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B and C infected donor grafts, and living donor donations^[3-5]. Also, the landscape is changing regarding liver transplantation in severe alcoholic hepatitis given studies showing favorable outcomes^[6,7]. Despite these advances, as of January 2019 there are currently over 13000 candidates on the liver transplant waiting list emphasizing the importance of rigorous patient selection^[8].

The liver transplantation process requires a multi-disciplinary approach that facilitates collaboration between different specialties including hepatologists, transplant surgeons, neuropsychologists, psychiatrists, social workers, and ethics committees. There are evidence-based criteria involving the degree of end-organ damage and decompensation required prior to being listed for transplant as organ allocation is based on the model for end-state liver disease score^[9]. However, a growing emphasis has been placed on the psychosocial status of patients prior to liver transplantation. The psychosocial assessment of a patient is a critical aspect of the evaluation process prior to being listed for liver transplantation. There is minimal data assessing post-transplant outcomes in patients after they have received a liver transplant that were characterized as having psychosocial problems prior to being evaluated and listed for transplantation.

Despite the aforementioned practice changes, there are still a limited number of organs available for transplantation. Thus, a successful outcome depends upon a complete evaluation^[10]. Liver transplant centers have various predefined criteria that

must be met prior to being listed including minimum time of documented sobriety in the case of alcoholic liver disease and range of body-mass index for obese patients^[11,12]. In contrast, there are no standardized criteria for selection for transplant in respect to psychosocial comorbidities, and there are no evidence-based guidelines for screening or treatment prior to listing^[13]. Psychiatric disorders and polysubstance abuse are common amongst transplant candidates^[14]. Previous studies have indicated that several psychosocial morbidities can lead to adverse outcomes after liver transplantation^[15]. Not only can there be an impact on morbidity and mortality but also a decrease in the quality of life^[16]. Most of the previous studies have focused on psychiatric comorbidities, specifically major depressive disorder and generalized anxiety^[17-19]. There are few studies regarding the impact of additional psychosocial barriers to liver transplant including financial hardship, lack of caregiver support, polysubstance abuse, and issues with medical non-compliance. The purpose of our study was to assess the impact of certain pre-transplant psychosocial comorbidities on outcomes after liver transplantation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective analysis was performed on all patients older than 18 years of age at our institution who underwent liver transplantation over a five-year span from 2012-2016. Approval for the project was obtained by The University of Nebraska institutional review board (protocol 304-17-EP). Demographic data, etiology of liver disease, psychosocial, and psychiatric history were collected on all patients. Patients determined to have psychiatric disease were individuals with a known psychiatric diagnosis who followed with a mental health professional or utilized a psychiatric medication within 12 mo of organ transplant.

Additional data was gathered regarding specific treatment of psychiatric disorders prior to organ transplantation including medications and psychotherapy. Finally, psychosocial comorbidities including documented medical non-compliance, polysubstance abuse, financial issues, and lack of caregiver support were collected. These parameters were ascertained by reviewing the pre-transplant evaluation records including outpatient multi-disciplinary transplant clinic visits, inpatient hospitalization notes, and documentation from patient selection meetings. Medical non-compliance was determined by transplant committee review documenting concerns for adherence to pre-transplant recommendations. For polysubstance abuse-specific agents documented included alcohol, marijuana, cocaine, methamphetamines, heroin, prescription opioids, and prescription benzodiazepines. The methods of detection were serum for ethanol and urine drug screen for the other substances.

The primary outcome assessed post-transplantation was survival. Secondary outcomes measured include graft failure, biopsy proven episodes of acute rejection, psychiatric decompensation defined as the requirement of a psychiatric consultation or new medication, number of readmissions, presence of infection necessitating contact with a healthcare professional, recidivism for alcohol and other substances, and documented caregiver support failure. These outcomes were obtained after reviewing transplant specific electronic medical documentation in the post-transplant period including inpatient records, clinic documentation, and telephone encounters. The databases reviewed that are in use by our institution include Epic electronic medical record and OTTR transplant management system.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (counts and percentages, means, standard deviations, medians, minimums, and maximums) were used to summarize the data. Graft failure, psychiatric decompensation, presence of infection, and recidivism were measured in a binary fashion. Acute rejection episodes and readmissions were measured as continuous variables. Fisher's exact test was used to look at associations of categorical variables. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare the number of hospitalizations between the groups. This nonparametric equivalent of the two-sample *t*-test was used due to the skewness of the data. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analysis was performed by Elizabeth Lyden, a professional statistician in the department of biostatistics in The College of Public Health at The University of Nebraska Medical Center.

RESULTS

Our analysis included 391 patients. Demographic data including age, sex, and

etiology of liver disease are summarized in [Table 1](#). The median age of patients undergoing liver transplant was 59 years old (standard deviation: 12.44). Our study included 243 males (62.2%) and 148 females (37.8%). The most common indications for liver transplantation were decompensated cirrhosis secondary to chronic hepatitis C virus ($n = 48$, 12.3%) followed by non-alcoholic fatty liver disease ($n = 47$, 12.1%) and alcoholic liver disease ($n = 45$, 11.6%). There were 141 patients (36.1%) with a history of psychiatric disease. Data regarding psychiatric history is summarized in [Table 2](#). The most common psychiatric diagnosis was major depressive disorder ($n = 78$, 20%) followed by generalized anxiety disorder ($n = 12$, 3.1%). There were 92 patients (23.5%) who received therapy for their psychiatric disorders prior to transplant.

There were additional psychosocial comorbidities evaluated in this patient population. These included documented history of financial hardship in the pre-transplant evaluation, history of polysubstance abuse, history of documented non-compliance in the pre-transplant evaluation, and lack of caregiver support. The primary outcome evaluated was overall, 30-d, and 1-year survival post-liver transplant. Secondary outcomes evaluated post-transplant included graft failure, episodes of graft rejection, psychosocial decompensation as previously defined, caregiver support failure, recidivism of alcohol and drug use, number of hospitalizations, and infections necessitating medical care.

For the primary outcome, there were no differences in survival found in the variables compared including history of psychiatric disease, financial hardship, polysubstance abuse, documented compliance issues, or lack of caregiver support. In evaluation of the secondary outcomes, patients with a history of psychiatric disease had a higher incidence of psychiatric decompensation after liver transplantation (19% *vs* 10%, $P = 0.013$). Treatment of psychiatric disorders resulted in a reduction of the incidence of psychiatric decompensation (21% *vs* 11%, $P = 0.022$). These results are summarized in [Table 3](#).

Patients with a history of polysubstance abuse in the transplant evaluation had a higher incidence of substance abuse after transplantation (5.8% *vs* 1.2%, $P = 0.05$). In this specific cohort, 15 patients (3.8%) were found to have medical compliance issues in the transplant evaluation. Of this group, 13.3% were found to have substance abuse after transplantation as opposed to 1.3% in patients without documented compliance issues ($P = 0.03$). These results are summarized in [Table 4](#). Finally, patients with a history of documented medical non-compliance in the pre-transplantation evaluation had a higher rate of graft failure as opposed to patients without compliance issues (60% *vs* 32%, $P = 0.047$). There were no other significant differences found in the other secondary outcomes evaluated. These results are summarized in [Table 5](#).

DISCUSSION

A liver transplantation is a high-risk endeavor and thus requires a thorough medical and psychosocial evaluation. Although we did not find any difference in regard to the primary outcome of survival, we believe this study adds to the literature that psychosocial comorbidities have a significant impact on outcomes in liver transplantation as certain psychosocial entities were associated with worse outcomes after liver transplantation. Patients with documented non-compliance in the pre-transplant evaluation had worse outcomes after organ transplantation including a higher incidence of graft failure and recidivism. These findings have been previously seen in relation to renal transplantation but have not been previously documented in respect to liver transplantation to our knowledge^[20]. This represents a very high-risk population and further prospective and potentially multi-center work is required to create compliance guidelines prior to listing for transplantation.

Psychiatric disease commonly coexists with chronic liver disease with some estimates of up to 50% of patients with cirrhosis suffer from psychiatric disorders^[21]. Although previous studies have shown that major depression is associated with a decreased survival, our study did not corroborate these findings^[14,16]. However, our study did find that patients with psychiatric disorders are at higher risk of psychiatric decompensation after liver transplant regardless of how compensated their psychiatric disease status was prior to evaluation. In addition, our findings show that treatment of psychiatric disease was shown to decrease the incidence of psychiatric decompensation after transplantation and thus improve outcomes. This study substantiates several previous studies that psychiatric disorders affect outcomes after liver transplantation. As psychiatric disorders are commonly encountered in patients with end-stage liver disease, this represents a high-risk population. Further prospective studies are required to best optimally manage this group in the pre-

Table 1 Demographic data

	Value	%
Median age	59.30	12.44
Sex: Male (%): Female (%)	243 (62.15)	148 (37.85)
Etiology of liver disease		
Alcohol	45	11.54
Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis	47	12.05
Hepatitis C	48	12.31
Hepatitis B	1	0.26
Hepatocellular carcinoma	1	0.26
Autoimmune hepatitis	20	5.13
Cryptogenic	1	0.26
Multi-visceral	14	3.59
Primary sclerosing cholangitis	25	6.41
Primary biliary cholangitis	12	3.08
Alpha-1-antitrypsin-Deficiency	5	1.28
Hepatic vein thrombosis	2	0.51
Hemochromatosis	1	0.26
Total parental nutrition related	1	0.26
Polycystic liver disease	1	0.26
Familial intrahepatic cholestasis	1	0.26
Cholangiocarcinoma	2	0.51
Primary oxaluria	3	0.77
Multiple	161	41.0

transplant setting in order to improve outcomes after liver transplantation.

Our study has limitations associated with any retrospective analysis and is subject to confounding factors that were unable to be measured. In addition, the majority of our data was gathered from pre- and post-transplantation documentation thus imparting a level of provider subjectivity in determining certain variables such as psychiatric diagnoses, medical non-compliance, and issues with caregiver support. There is an inherent limitation in ascertaining data retrospectively. However, this is common practice during the transplantation evaluation thus emulating traditional practice. Regardless, this analysis adds valuable information to the growing literature regarding the importance of focusing on psychosocial comorbidities prior to liver transplantation confirming available data that this represents a high-risk population. Further prospective and potentially multi-center studies are warranted to properly determine appropriate guidelines for liver transplantation specifically regarding psychiatric disease, documented medical non-compliance, financial issues, and substance abuse.

Table 2 Psychiatric history

Psychiatric diagnosis	Value	%
None	250	63.94
Major depression	78	19.95
Generalized anxiety	12	3.07
Bipolar disorder	5	1.28
Attention deficit disorder	1	0.26
Panic disorder	1	0.26
Conversion disorder	1	0.26
Multiple	43	10.98

Table 3 Psychiatric decompensation

		Psychosocial decompensation		
		No	Yes	Total
Psychiatric history	No	225 (90.0)	25 (10.0)	250
	Yes	114 (80.85)	27 (19.15)	141
	Total	339	52	391
<i>P</i> = 0.013				
Psychiatric treatment	No	266 (88.96)	33 (11.04)	299
	Yes	73 (79.35)	19 (20.65)	92
	Total	339	52	391
<i>P</i> = 0.022				

Table 4 Recidivism

		Recidivism		
		No	Yes	Total
Substance use	No	334 (98.82)	4 (1.18)	338
	Yes	49 (94.23)	3 (5.77)	52
	Total	383	7	390
<i>P</i> = 0.05				
Non-compliance	No	371 (98.67)	5 (1.33)	376
	Yes	13 (86.67)	2 (13.33)	15
	Total	384	7	391
<i>P</i> = 0.03				

Table 5 Graft failure

		Graft failure		
		No	Yes	Total
Non-compliance	No	253 (67.29)	123 (32.71)	376
	Yes	6 (40.0)	9 (60.0)	15
	Total	259	132	391
<i>P</i> = 0.047				

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Liver transplantation is the accepted standard of care for end-stage liver disease due to a variety of etiologies including decompensated cirrhosis, fulminant hepatic failure, and primary hepatic malignancy. There are currently over 13000 candidates on the liver transplant waiting list emphasizing the importance of rigorous patient selection. There are few studies regarding the impact of additional psychosocial barriers to liver transplant including financial hardship, lack of caregiver support, polysubstance abuse, and issues with medical non-compliance. We hypothesized that patients with certain psychosocial comorbidities experienced worse outcomes after liver transplantation.

Research motivation

There are certain accepted criteria to list patients for liver transplantation such as model for end-stage liver disease score, age, and body-mass-index. Many patients with liver disease have significant psychosocial comorbidities that may impact outcomes after liver transplantation. There are no evidence-based guidelines regarding psychosocial aspects of the liver transplant evaluation.

Research objectives

The main objective of this study was to assess the impact of certain pre-transplant psychosocial comorbidities on outcomes after liver transplantation. We found that certain psychosocial comorbidities led to worse outcomes after transplantation.

Research results

For the primary outcome, there were no differences in survival. Patients with a history of psychiatric disease had a higher incidence of psychiatric decompensation after liver transplantation (19% *vs* 10%, $P = 0.013$). Treatment of psychiatric disorders resulted in a reduction of the incidence of psychiatric decompensation (21% *vs* 11%, $P = 0.022$). Patients with a history of polysubstance abuse in the transplant evaluation had a higher incidence of substance abuse after transplantation (5.8% *vs* 1.2%, $P = 0.05$). In this cohort 15 patients (3.8%) were found to have medical compliance issues in the transplant evaluation. Of these specific patients, 13.3% were found to have substance abuse after transplantation as opposed to 1.3% in patients without documented compliance issues ($P = 0.03$).

Research conclusions

Patients with a history of psychiatric disease had a higher incidence of psychiatric decompensation. Treatment of psychiatric disorders led to a reduction of the incidence of psychiatric decompensation after liver transplantation. Patients with a history of polysubstance abuse and medical non-compliance had a higher incidence of substance use after liver transplantation. This study adds to the literature that this represents a high-risk population. Further multi-center and prospective studies are warranted to formulate evidence-based guidelines to assist in evaluating patients undergoing evaluation for liver transplantation.

Research perspectives

This study highlights the importance of the psychosocial evaluation in the liver transplantation process.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Varma V**, Mehta N, Kumaran V, Nundy S. Indications and contraindications for liver transplantation. *Int J Hepatol* 2011; **2011**: 121862 [PMID: 22007310 DOI: 10.4061/2011/121862]
- 2 **United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS)**. Transplant trends: transplants by organ type 2012-2016. [Accessed 2019 May]. Available from: URL: https://www.unos.org/data/transplanttrends/#transplants_by_organ_type+year+2016
- 3 **Joshi D**, O'Grady J, Taylor C, Heaton N, Agarwal K. Liver transplantation in human immunodeficiency virus-positive patients. *Liver Transpl* 2011; **17**: 881-890 [PMID: 21563295 DOI: 10.1002/lt.22329]
- 4 **Stepanova M**, Sayiner M, de Avila L, Younoszai Z, Racila A, Younoszai ZM. Long-term outcomes of liver transplantation in patients with hepatitis C infection are not affected by HCV positivity of a donor. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2016; **16**: 137 [PMID: 27846801 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-016-0551-z]
- 5 **Song GW**, Lee SG. Living donor liver transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2014; **19**: 217-222 [PMID: 24807211 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.0000000000000088]
- 6 **Mathurin P**, Moreno C, Samuel D, Dumortier J, Salleron J, Durand F, Castel H, Duhamel A, Pageaux GP, Leroy V, Dharancy S, Louvet A, Boleslawski E, Lucidi V, Gustot T, Francoz C, Letoublon C, Castaing D, Belghiti J, Donckier V, Pruvot FR, Duclos-Vallée JC. Early liver transplantation for severe alcoholic hepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **365**: 1790-1800 [PMID: 22070476 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1105703]
- 7 **Im GY**, Kim-Schluger L, Shenoy A, Schubert E, Goel A, Friedman SL, Florman S, Schiano TD. Early Liver Transplantation for Severe Alcoholic Hepatitis in the United States--A Single-Center Experience. *Am J Transplant* 2016; **16**: 841-849 [PMID: 26710309 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.13586]
- 8 **United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS)**. Organ Candidate Waiting list. [Accessed 2019 May]. Available from: <https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/data/view-data-reports/national-data/#>
- 9 **Wiesner R**, Edwards E, Freeman R, Harper A, Kim R, Kamath P, Kremers W, Lake J, Howard T, Merion RM, Wolfe RA, Krom R; United Network for Organ Sharing Liver Disease Severity Score Committee. Model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) and allocation of donor livers. *Gastroenterology* 2003; **124**: 91-96 [PMID: 12512033 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2003.50016]

- 10 **Maldonado JR**, Dubois HC, David EE, Sher Y, Lolak S, Dyal J, Witten D. The Stanford Integrated Psychosocial Assessment for Transplantation (SIPAT): a new tool for the psychosocial evaluation of pre-transplant candidates. *Psychosomatics* 2012; **53**: 123-132 [PMID: [22424160](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.psym.2011.12.012](#)]
- 11 **Tandon P**, Goodman KJ, Ma MM, Wong WW, Mason AL, Meeberg G, Bergsten D, Carbonneau M, Bain VG. A shorter duration of pre-transplant abstinence predicts problem drinking after liver transplantation. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; **104**: 1700-1706 [PMID: [19471253](#) DOI: [10.1038/ajg.2009.226](#)]
- 12 **Nair S**, Verma S, Thuluvath PJ. Obesity and its effect on survival in patients undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation in the United States. *Hepatology* 2002; **35**: 105-109 [PMID: [11786965](#) DOI: [10.1053/jhep.2002.30318](#)]
- 13 **Rosenberger EM**, Dew MA, Crone C, DiMartini AF. Psychiatric disorders as risk factors for adverse medical outcomes after solid organ transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2012; **17**: 188-192 [PMID: [22277955](#) DOI: [10.1097/MOT.0b013e3283510928](#)]
- 14 **Guimaro MS**, Lacerda SS, Karam CH, Ferraz-Neto BH, Andreoli PB. Psychosocial profile of patients on the liver transplant list. *Transplant Proc* 2008; **40**: 782-784 [PMID: [18455016](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.transproceed.2008.02.040](#)]
- 15 **O'Carroll RE**, Couston M, Cossar J, Masterton G, Hayes PC. Psychological outcome and quality of life following liver transplantation: a prospective, national, single-center study. *Liver Transpl* 2003; **9**: 712-720 [PMID: [12827558](#) DOI: [10.1053/jlts.2003.50138](#)]
- 16 **Rogal SS**, Mankaney G, Udawatta V, Chinman M, Good CB, Zickmund S, Bielefeldt K, Chidi A, Jonassaint N, Jazwinski A, Shaikh O, Hughes C, Fontes P, Humar A, DiMartini A. Pre-Transplant Depression Is Associated with Length of Hospitalization, Discharge Disposition, and Survival after Liver Transplantation. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0165517 [PMID: [27820828](#) DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0165517](#)]
- 17 **Gazdag G**, Horváth GG, Makara M, Ungvari GS, Gerlei Z. Predictive value of psychosocial assessment for the mortality of patients waiting for liver transplantation. *Psychol Health Med* 2016; **21**: 525-529 [PMID: [26549304](#) DOI: [10.1080/13548506.2015.1109670](#)]
- 18 **Rogal SS**, Landsittel D, Surman O, Chung RT, Rutherford A. Pretransplant depression, antidepressant use, and outcomes of orthotopic liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2011; **17**: 251-260 [PMID: [21384507](#) DOI: [10.1002/lt.22231](#)]
- 19 **Jowsey SG**, Taylor ML, Schneekloth TD, Clark MM. Psychosocial challenges in transplantation. *J Psychiatr Pract* 2001; **7**: 404-414 [PMID: [15990553](#)]
- 20 **Schweizer RT**, Rovelli M, Palmeri D, Vossler E, Hull D, Bartus S. Noncompliance in organ transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 1990; **49**: 374-377 [PMID: [2305467](#)]
- 21 **Burra P**, Germani G, Gnoato F, Lazzaro S, Russo FP, Cillo U, Senzolo M. Adherence in liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transpl* 2011; **17**: 760-770 [PMID: [21384527](#) DOI: [10.1002/lt.22294](#)]



Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
Fax: +1-925-2238243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

