

*Article*

# **Thymic Stromal Lymphopoietin Interferes with the Apoptosis of Human Skin Mast Cells by a Dual Strategy Involving STAT5**/**Mcl-1 and JNK**/**Bcl-x<sup>L</sup>**

### **Tarek Hazzan, Jürgen Eberle [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8533-1519) Margitta Worm [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3449-1245) and Magda Babina [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4500-7615)**

Department of Dermatology, Venerology and Allergy, Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

**\*** Correspondence: margitta.worm@charite.de (M.W.); magda.babina@charite.de (M.B.);

Tel.: +49-30-450518238 (M.B.); Fax: +49-30-450518900 (M.B.)

Received: 10 July 2019; Accepted: 1 August 2019; Published: 5 August 2019; Corrected: 19 December 2024



**Abstract:** Mast cells (MCs) play critical roles in allergic and inflammatory reactions and contribute to multiple pathologies in the skin, in which they show increased numbers, which frequently correlates with severity. It remains ill-defined how MC accumulation is established by the cutaneous microenvironment, in part because research on human MCs rarely employs MCs matured in the tissue, and extrapolations from other MC subsets have limitations, considering the high level of MC heterogeneity. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP)—released by epithelial cells, like keratinocytes, following disturbed homeostasis and inflammation—has attracted much attention, but its impact on skin MCs remains undefined, despite the vast expression of the TSLP receptor by these cells. Using several methods, each detecting a distinct component of the apoptotic process (membrane alterations, DNA degradation, and caspase-3 activity)*,* our study pinpoints TSLP as a novel survival factor of dermal MCs. TSLP confers apoptosis resistance via concomitant activation of the TSLP/ signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-5 / myeloid cell leukemia (Mcl)-1 route and a newly uncovered TSLP/ c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK)/ B-cell lymphoma (Bcl)- $x<sub>L</sub>$  axis, as evidenced by RNA interference and pharmacological inhibition. Our findings highlight the potential contribution of TSLP to the MC supportive niche of the skin and, vice versa, highlight MCs as crucial responders to TSLP in the context of TSLP-driven disorders.

**Keywords:** mast cells; TSLP; skin; apoptosis; survival; STAT5; JNK; Mcl-1; Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>; RNA interference

### **1. Introduction**

Mast cells (MCs) are tissue-resident key effector cells of Immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated allergic and inflammatory responses, including common skin disorders, such as atopic dermatitis (AD) and psoriasis [\[1–](#page-14-0)[6\]](#page-14-1). As hematopoietic cells, MCs complete their differentiation into mature subsets only after arriving in peripheral organs, such as the skin, lung, and gut. The skin is the tissue with the greatest MC density, even in the steady-state [\[7\]](#page-14-2), which can further increase in the context of cutaneous inflammation, a process accompanied by an increased abundance of pro-inflammatory mediators [\[2](#page-14-3)[,4](#page-14-4)[,8\]](#page-14-5). MC maintenance under both physiological and pathological conditions requires constant signals from the micro-environment [\[9](#page-14-6)[–11\]](#page-14-7). In the skin, the stem cell factor (SCF)/KIT (CD117) axis is believed to have a critical impact on MC survival, but other factors are likely to contribute, although their relevance has remained less defined. In fact, MCs are characterized by excellent survival properties in their natural skin habitat [\[12](#page-14-8)[,13\]](#page-14-9). This property is maintained ex vivo, as skin MCs show remarkable persistence, even in the absence of SCF. Accordingly, several studies have indicated that the protection from cell death requires factors other than SCF [\[14](#page-14-10)[–17\]](#page-15-0).



Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) is mostly expressed by epithelial cells, including keratinocytes [\[18](#page-15-1)[–20\]](#page-15-2). The cytokine has attracted considerable attention, as it is renowned for its Th2 skewing potential and is implicated in widespread allergic and inflammatory disorders in the skin and lung [\[18–](#page-15-1)[21\]](#page-15-3). As a consequence, TSLP targeting by means of tezepelumab (anti-TSLP antibody) is in clinical trials for asthma and atopic dermatitis [\[22](#page-15-4)[,23\]](#page-15-5).

While the responsiveness of MCs to TSLP has been occasionally reported [\[24–](#page-15-6)[28\]](#page-15-7), it remains to be established if and how MCs of human skin origin are targeted by TSLP. This is of relevance because MCs are known for their highly heterogeneous nature [\[7,](#page-14-2)[29,](#page-15-8)[30\]](#page-15-9), so that their response pattern will depend on the precise MC subset and location.

Here, we identify TSLP as a pro-survival factor of human skin MCs. We kinetically resolve the sequence of events underlying TSLP's antiapoptotic action. Initially, TSLP activates the signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-5 and c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK), which are both implicated in survival prolongation. STAT5 acts by enhancing myeloid cell leukemia (Mcl)-1, while JNK is responsible for B-cell lymphoma (Bcl)- $x_L$  upregulation. These two well-known antiapoptotic Bcl-2 proteins jointly organize the survival of skin MCs.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

#### *2.1. Purification and Culture of Human Skin Mast Cells and Other Skin Cells*

MCs were isolated from human foreskins, whereby material from several donors (typically between 2 and 9) was combined for one experiment to achieve the cell numbers required, as described [\[31–](#page-15-10)[33\]](#page-15-11). The skin was obtained from circumcisions, with the informed consent of the patients or legal guardians and approval by the university ethics committee. The experiments were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki Principles. The purification was performed using an optimized and frequently employed protocol, as described [\[34](#page-15-12)[,35\]](#page-15-13), with several modifications. Briefly, human skin was cut into strips and treated with dispase (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) at 3.5 U/mL and 4 ◦C overnight. The epidermis was removed, and the dermis was chopped into small pieces and digested with 1.5 mg/mL collagenase type 1 (Cat.Nr. LS004197; Worthington, Lakewood, NJ, USA), 0.75 mg/mL hyaluronidase type 1-S (Cat.Nr. H3506-5G, Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany), and DNase I at <sup>10</sup> µg/mL (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) at 37 ◦C in a shaking water bath for 1.15 h. The cells were filtered from remaining tissue. MC purification was achieved with anti-human c-Kit microbeads and the auto-magnetic activated cell Sorting (MACS) separation device (both from Miltenyi-Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). MC purity consistently exceeded 98%, as assessed by acidic toluidine-blue staining (0.1% in 0.5 N HCl) and by KIT/FcεRI double staining [\[34](#page-15-12)[,36\]](#page-16-0). Viability by trypan blue exclusion was >99%.

Skin MCs were used either ex vivo or cultured in Basal Iscove medium, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, Biochrom), SCF (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA) (at 100 ng/mL), and interleukin (IL)-4 (Peprotech) (10 ng/mL) [\[37](#page-16-1)[–39\]](#page-16-2).

Skin fibroblasts and keratinocytes, used as controls, were isolated according to routine protocols [\[40](#page-16-3)[,41\]](#page-16-4).

#### *2.2. Cell Treatment*

Skin MCs were treated with different concentrations of TSLP (Peprotech) for the indicated times in minimal medium, consisting of Basal Iscove medium (with stable glutamine; Biochrom, Berlin, Germany), supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany). After incubation, cells were processed for downstream applications (as described below).

For kinase inhibition studies, cells (at  $5 \times 10^5$  cells/mL) were pre-incubated for 15 min, with pimozide (STAT5 inhibitor, 5 µM), SCH772984 (extracellular signal–regulated kinases (ERK)1/2 inhibitor, 5  $\mu$ M) (both from Selleckchem, Houston, TX, USA), SB203580 (p38 inhibitor, 5  $\mu$ M), or SP600125 (JNK inhibitor, 5 µM) (both from ApexBio, Houston, TX, USA), prior to the addition of TSLP. After

incubation, cells were processed for downstream applications (see reverse transcription - quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), immunoblotting, and analysis of survival).

#### *2.3. Analysis of Survival*

MCs (at  $5 \times 10^5$  cells/mL) were treated with different concentrations of TSLP in minimal medium for the indicated times and processed for survival detection by different methods, based on our previously established protocols [\[14\]](#page-14-10).

For YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 staining, cells were incubated with the YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 dye (Thermo Fisher Science, Berlin, Germany) and propidium iodide (PI, BD Biosciences) for 30 min on ice. YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 staining detects membrane porosity and, combined with PI, can serve to distinguish apoptotic cells from viable and necrotic cells.

Apoptosis detection by annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/PI (BD Biosciences) was performed according to the protocol of the supplier. In brief, cells were stained with annexin V-FITC and PI in annexin V binding buffer (BD Biosciences) for 20 min at room temperature (RT). Annexin V-FITC detects the externalization of phosphatidylserine and, combined with PI, can distinguish apoptotic from viable and necrotic cells.

For YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1/PI and annexin V-FITC//PI, double-positive cells were considered cells in advanced apoptosis or necrosis, whereas YoPro $^{TM}$ -1- or annexin V-FITC-positive but PI-negative cells were considered early apoptotic. Double-negativity was indicative of viable cells. YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 or annexin V-FITC positivity was calculated as the sum of the percentages displayed in quadrant (q)1 and q2, based on the values given in Figure [1b](#page-3-0),c and Figure S2, with early-apoptotic, late-apoptotic/necrotic, PI-single positive necrotic, and viable cells being shown in quadrant (q)1, q2, q3, q4, respectively.

For PI staining, cells were treated with PI (Sigma–Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) for 1 h at 4 ◦C, which was performed to detect the percentage of hypodiploid nuclei corresponding to cells with fragmented DNA. The cells were measured on a MACS Quant FACS (fluorescence-activated cell sorter) (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) and analyzed using the FlowJo software (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR, USA).

The caspase-3 activity of MCs was measured using a luminometric assay kit (Caspase-Glo<sup>®</sup>  $3/7$ ; Promega, Mannheim, Germany), providing a proluminescent caspase-3/7 substrate, which is cleaved to release luminescence, which was detected by means of a microplate reader (Perkin Elmer, Berlin, Germany), as described [\[14\]](#page-14-10).

Prior to perturbation experiments, kinetics series were performed to identify the shortest incubation period, after which survival promotion by TSLP (by YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 and caspase-3) could be unambiguously detected (not depicted). This served to ensure a predominance of direct effects and limit secondary effects (potentially resulting from other effects of the inhibitors/knockdown strategies on diverse cellular pathways). We found this period to be 8 h.

Using this period, the ratio of YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 positivity (1) or caspase-3 activity (2) in TSLP-treated versus untreated MCs was calculated by the following formulas in perturbation experiments. Based on the values given in Figures 3 and 5a, with early-apoptotic, late-apoptotic/necrotic, PI-single positive necrotic, and viable cells being found in q1, q2, q3, and q4, respectively, the calculation was as follows:

% Rescue effect of TSLP =

$$
(100 \times \frac{[q1+q2]_{\text{untreated}}}{[q1+q2]_{\text{treated}}}) - 100,
$$
\n(1)

$$
(100 \times \frac{RLU_{untreated}}{RLU_{treated}}) - 100.
$$
 (2)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) counters apoptosis of skin mast cells (MCs) upon **Figure 1.** grow factor (GF) withdrawal. Skin-derived MCs were kept without or with TSLP (at 7.5 ng/mL) in grow factor (GF) withdrawal. Skin-derived MCs were kept without or with TSLP (at 7.5 ng/mL) in serum/GF-free medium. (**a**) Caspase-3 activity as determined after 24 h by Caspase-Glo® 3/7 assay; the results represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of six independent experiments. RLU = relative luminescence units ( $\times 10^4$ ). (**b**,c) Percentage of cells with (**b**) YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 positivity (corresponding to the percentage of early of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined) after 24 h or (**c**) fragmented DNA (propidium and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined) after 24 h or (**c**) fragmented DNA (propidium iodide (PI) staining, corresponding to the percentage of subG1 positive cells) after 48 h. The results represent the mean ± SEM of six (YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1) or four (PI) independent experiments. (**d**) Representative flow cytometry dot plots/histograms of (**b**) (specified in red is the percentage of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined) and (c). The data were analyzed by paired *t*-test, \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .  $q$ —quadrant.

## 0.001. *q*—quadrant. *2.4. Staining of Intracellular and Extracellular Proteins*

For the intracellular staining of signaling intermediates, skin MCs (5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL) were deprived of GF (growth factors)/serum for 16 h in minimal medium. After starvation, cells were incubated for a further 15 min with TSLP, then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 min at RT and permeabilized with 0.1% Saponin for 20 min at 4 °C. Cells were stained with anti-pSTAT5 or anti-pJNK primary antibodies (each diluted 1:400, both from Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA, USA) (or the respective isotype control) for 30 min on ice, followed by incubation with Phycoerythrin (PE)-labeled secondary antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch, Cambridgeshire, UK) for 30 min on ice.

For the detection of the TSLP receptor, flow cytometry was performed according to routine protocols [\[42\]](#page-16-5). Briefly, MCs were blocked for 15 min at 4 °C with human AB-serum (derived from patients with blood group AB) (Biotest, Dreieich, Germany) and incubated with an anti-human TSLP-Receptor PE-conjugated antibody (Immunotools, Friesoythe, Germany) for 30 min at 4 ◦C.

Cells were measured on a MACS Quant FACS (Miltenyi Biotec) and analyzed using the FlowJo software (FlowJo LLC). Data were shown as net mean fluorescence intensity (MFI), as calculated by the following equation:

$$
net MFI = MFItarget - MFIIsotype control.
$$
 (3)

*2.5. siRNA Transfection*

RNA interference in MCs was performed according to a recently established protocol [\[36\]](#page-16-0), using the Accell® siRNA transfection technology (GE Healthcare Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA). MCs were transfected by gene-targeting siRNA or non-targeting siRNA (serving as control). Briefly, MCs were washed with 1X Accell siRNA medium (supplemented with Non-Essential Amino Acids and L-Glutamine), plated at  $10^6$ /mL in Accell siRNA medium, and treated with 1  $\mu$ M STAT5-targeting siRNA (E-005169-00-0010), JNK-targeting siRNA (E-003514-00-0010), Mcl-1-targeting siRNA (E-004501-00-0010), Bcl-xL-targeting siRNA (E-003458-00-0010), or non-targeting siRNA (D-001910-10-50) for 48 h. After incubation, cells were treated with TSLP for the indicated times and processed for downstream applications (see RT-qPCR, immunoblotting, and analysis of survival).

#### *2.6. RT-quantitative PCR*

Skin MCs ( $5 \times 10^5$  cells/mL) were deprived of GF/serum for 16 h in minimal medium. After starvation, cells were incubated with TSLP for the indicated time points. RT-qPCR was performed as described, using primers described therein [\[14\]](#page-14-10). Briefly, total RNA was isolated using the Nucleo spin RNA Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), and RT-qPCR was carried out with the Light Cycler (LC) Fast Start DNA Master SYBR® Green kit (Roche Applied-Science, Basel, Switzerland).

The expression levels of the target gene were quantified relative to the expression of the reference gene *Cyclophilin B*, using the 2−∆∆CT method. The oligonucleotide primers (TIB Molbiol, Berlin, Germany) for *SCF* and *IL-33* were as follows:



#### *2.7. Immunoblotting*

Skin MCs (5  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL) were deprived of GF/serum for 16 h in minimal medium. To study the phosphorylation of signaling molecules, cells were incubated for a further 30 min with TSLP. As positive controls, we used a combination of SCF (10 ng/mL) and IL-33 (20 ng/mL) for pERK and pp38, and human mast cell line (HMC)-1 cells (3  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cells per lane), kindly provided by Dr. J.H. Butterfield [\[43\]](#page-16-6), for pSTAT3. To examine Mcl-1 and Bcl-x<sup>L</sup> protein expression, cells were incubated with (or without) TSLP for 2 h or 4 h. After incubation, MCs were lysed and separated through 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) [\[36](#page-16-0)[,39\]](#page-16-2). The proteins were then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked with 1X casein blocking buffer (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and incubated with primary antibodies against Mcl-1, Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>, phospho/total-ERK, phospho/total-p38, phospho/total-JNK, phospho/total-STAT3, and phospho/total-STAT5, as well as ß-actin and Cyclophilin B as loading controls (each diluted 1:1000) (all from Cell Signaling Technologies), overnight, and subsequently with (1:20,000 diluted) HRP (horseradish peroxidase)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) for 1.5 h, as described [\[14,](#page-14-10)[31,](#page-15-10)[32\]](#page-15-14). Finally, blots were developed, and bands visualized by a chemiluminescence assay (Weststar Ultra 2.0, Cyanagen, Bologna, Italy), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the bands were recorded using a detector for chemiluminescence (Fusion FX7 Spectra, Vilber Lourmat, Eberhardzell, Germany). Densitometric measurements were assessed by the software ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) and arbitrary values were determined by the following equation:

Relative target expression = 
$$
\frac{\text{density}_{\text{target}}}{\text{density}_{\text{housekeeping gene}}}
$$
 (4)

#### *2.8. Statistical Analysis*

Results are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). In Figure [1,](#page-3-0) Figure 3, Figure S4, Figure S5, Figure 5, and Figure S6d,f,h, the data were statistically analyzed by paired *t*-test. For normalized data, the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used (Figure 3). In Figure S2, Figure 4a,b, and Figure 6a–h, the One-way Anova test with Tukey's post-test for multiple comparisons was used. *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism Version 6.01 Software (San Diego, CA, USA).

#### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. TSLP Counters Apoptosis of Skin MCs upon Growth Factor Withdrawal*

After having confirmed that the TSLP receptor is expressed at mRNA (not shown, see also Motakis et al. [\[44\]](#page-16-7)) and protein level (Figure S1), we determined whether TSLP influences skin MC survival. MCs were treated with TSLP in minimal medium (without GF/serum) and analyzed for signs of apoptosis. As TSLP at 7.5 ng/mL significantly decreased the percentage of annexin V-positive cells (Figure S2), we selected this concentration for further experiments. In accordance with recommendations from the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death (NCCD) [\[45\]](#page-16-8), we applied different techniques, each measuring a certain aspect of the apoptotic process, to confirm the above findings. Caspase-3 activity declined by 42% when TSLP was added (35000 RLU with TSLP versus 60000 RLU in untreated cells), as depicted in Figure [1a](#page-3-0). The green fluorescent YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 dye permeates the slightly porous membrane of apoptotic cells and thus enables the visualization of phosphatidylserine (P-ser)-independent membrane alterations indicative of apoptosis. Using this method, TSLP-treated MCs displayed 44% less YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 positivity (Figure [1b](#page-3-0)).

Addressing DNA degradation, a characteristic feature of apoptosis in its later stage [\[46\]](#page-16-9), we found that the ratio of cells displaying DNA degradation dropped by more than 50% in the presence of TSLP (Figure [1c](#page-3-0)).

Together, TSLP protects human skin MCs from apoptosis, as evidenced by different readouts.

#### *3.2. TSLP Triggers Activation of STAT5 and JNK*

Next, we addressed the downstream events triggered by TSLP in skin MCs. This was performed by studying the phosphorylation of STAT3, STAT5, and the three MAPKs JNK, ERK1/2, and p38. Both JNK and STAT5 were phosphorylated on the respective activatory sites following TSLP treatment (Figure [2a](#page-6-0),e). This was verified by flow cytometry analysis, where the MFI increased by about 10-fold for p-STAT5 (Figure S4a) and by 4-fold for p-JNK (Figure S4b) in TSLP stimulated cells. In contrast, STAT3, ERK1/2, and p38 showed no modification (Figure [2a](#page-6-0),c,d). Conversely, positive controls (MCs stimulated by IL-33/SCF [\[32\]](#page-15-14) or HMC-1 cells [\[47\]](#page-16-10)), which were used to confirm the quality of the technique, rendered the expected bands for all signaling intermediates (Figure S3).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** TSLP triggers the activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-5 and and c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK). The impact of TSLP treatment (at 7.5 ng/mL) on proximal c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK). The impact of TSLP treatment (at 7.5 ng/mL) on proximal signaling signaling events after 30 min, as assessed by Western blotting using antibodies for (**a**) phospho (p) extracellular signal–regulated kinases (ERK) $1/2$  (n = 3), (b) pJNK, (c) pp38, (d) pSTAT3, and (e) pSTAT5 (shown are representative Western blots out of three independent experiments). The respective are representative Western block out of the representative  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and  $\mathbf{r}_i$ total (t) protein antibodies served as loading controls. Undetectable signals were negative also in<br>time-course surgeinerate time-course experiments. **Figure 2.** TSLP triggers the activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-5

#### *3.3. MC Maintenance by TSLP Critically Depends on JNK and STAT5*

*3.3. MC Maintenance by TSLP Critically Depends on JNK and STAT5*  intermediates were involved in survival maintenance. This was accomplished by two complementary strategies, namely pharmacological interference and knockdown (KD) of the respective component by RNA interference (RNAi). The latter approach revealed that survival promotion by TSLP indeed depends on STAT5, as the antiapoptotic effect decreased from 35% (control) to 10% after STAT5 KD, as assessed by YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 positivity (Figure 3a). The same t[en](#page-7-0)dency was found for caspase-3 activity (Figure [3a](#page-7-0)). Targeting JNK expression likewise affected TSLP-conferred apoptosis resistance, which declined from 35% to 15% (Figure 3b). For KD efficiency see Figure S5. Interestingly, the KD of STAT5 had no impact on the baseline survival of MCs in the absence of TSLP (Figure S6c), whereas JNK KD slightly increased the amount of YoPro $^{\text{TM}}$ -1 positive cells also without TSLP (Figure S6d). Having identified JNK and STAT5 activation by TSLP, we investigated whether the two signaling

Specific inhibitors supported the above findings. Pimozide (STAT5 inhibitor) led to a decrease from 34% to 4% (Figure [3c](#page-7-0)), while SP600125 (JNK inhibitor) diminished TSLP-mediated protection from 34% to 5% (Figure [3d](#page-7-0)). In line with their lacking activation by TSLP (Figure [2a](#page-6-0),c), ERK1/2 and p38 (inhibited by SCH772984 and SB203580, respectively) were not involved in TSLP fostered survival  $f(57)$ . In line with the state  $3$ d). In line with the interval activation by TSLP (Figure 2a,c), ERK1/2 and E (Figure S7).

Together, interference with STAT5 and JNK impeded TSLP from exerting its anti-apoptotic effect, implying key roles for these components in the antiapoptotic machinery contracted by TSLP.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** MC maintenance by TSLP critically depends on JNK and STAT5 activation. Impact of (**a**,**c**) STAT5 and (**b**,**d**) JNK perturbation on TSLP-promoted MC recovery (at 7.5 ng/mL) after 8 h, evaluated by the ratio of YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 positivity (corresponding to the percentage of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined) in TSLP-treated versus untreated MCs (described in methods). (**a**,**b**) Interference by Accell®-mediated RNAi (48 h prior to TSLP treatment); (**c**,**d**) interference by specific inhibitors (STAT5 inhibitor: pimozide, JNK inhibitor: SP600125). Top: the results represent the mean ± SEM of six independent experiments. Bottom: representative flow cytometry dot plots (specified in red is the percentage of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined); w/o—without. The data were analyzed by paired *t*-test, \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

Various pro- and antiapoptotic factors are implicated in the orchestration of cell survival decisions, among which the Bcl-2 family is typically targeted by GFs. We delineated TSLP-mediated changes in and a word are ber 2 minity to typically dirgeted by SE of the defined ones in medidical endingles in Bcl-2 family members, finding significant increases in *Mcl-1* and *Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>* mRNA expression at both 40 and 90 min (Figure [4a](#page-8-0),b). In contrast, TSLP treatment did not modulate the expression of *Bad*, *Bax*, *Bak*, *Bid*, and *Bcl-2* (Figure S8), although there was a slight tendency towards a reduced expression of proapoptotic *Bad* and *Bax* (Figure S8a,b). the b-active block and addition, the best control of the use of non-distribution of the use of non-distribution,

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

 $(a,b)$  reverse transcription - quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis of  $(a)$  Mcl-1 and (b)  $Bcl-x_L$ ; normalized to the housekeeping gene Cyclophilin B. The results represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of nine independent experiments. The data were analyzed by the one-way Anova test with Tukey's post-test for multiple comparisons, comparing each treatment (40' or 90') with the respective control group;  $* p < 0.05$ ,  $* p < 0.01$ ; and (c,d) Western blot analysis using the indicated antibodies (shown are representative Western blots out of three independent experiments); the anti- $\beta$ -Actin antibody served as loading control. Densitometry arbitrary units were normalized to the housekeeping protein. **Figure 4.** TSLP up-regulates Mcl-1 and Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>. TSLP-induced expression (at 7.5 ng/mL) was studied by

β-Actin antibody served as loading control. Densitometry arbitrary units were normalized to the Increased Mcl-1 and Bcl- $x_L$  expression was verified by Western blot, whereby Bcl- $x_L$  expression, **Error in [F](#page-8-0)igure 5**  point (Figure 4c,d). and even more so Mcl-1 expression, were remarkably increased by TSLP, especially at the 2 h time

### *3.5. Survival by TSLP Depends on Mcl-1 and Bcl-x*<sub>*L</sub>*</sub>

As the above results suggested a role for Mcl-1, Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> or both in survival promotion by TSLP, we employed an RNAi approach to experimentally prove this connection.

MC rescue by TSLP reached 16% using control siRNA, while the value equally dropped to 6% when Mcl-1- or Bcl- $x_L$ -targeting siRNA were used, as determined by caspase-3 activity (Figure [5b](#page-9-0)). This was verified by YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1, whereby the protective effect of TSLP dropped from 30% (control) to  $8\%$  (Mcl-1-silencing) or 9% (Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>-silencing) (Figure [5a](#page-9-0)). In the absence of TSLP, we observed that the KD of Mcl-1 did not affect MC recovery (Figure S6a), while the deficiency of Bcl- $x_L$  resulted in slightly reduced survival (Figure S6b). Taken together, both Mcl-1- and Bcl-x $_{\rm L}$  contribute comparably to the survival promotion conferred by TSLP.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

knockdown on TSLP-promoted MC recovery (at 7.5 ng/mL), as evaluated by apoptosis reduction in TSLP-treated versus untreated MCs after 8 h. (**a**) Reduction of YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1-positivity (corresponding to the percentage of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined) as mean ± SEM of nine independent  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1$ of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined); w/o—without; (**b**) reduction of caspase-3 activity  $\epsilon$  mean  $\pm$  SEM of pine independent experiments. The data were analyzed by paired  $\pm$  toot  $**$   $\in$  0.01 as mean  $\pm$  SEM of nine independent experiments. The data were analyzed by paired *t*-test, \*\* *p* < 0.01,<br>\*\*\* *n* < 0.001 paired *t*-test, \*\* *p* < 0.01, \*\*\* *p* < 0.001. **Figure 5.** Survival prolongation by TSLP depends on Mcl-1 and Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>. Impact of Mcl-1 and Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> \*\*\* *p* < 0.001.

#### $\sim$ ssion *3.6. STAT5 Perturbation Leads to Mcl-1 Downregulation, While Interference with JNK Attenuates Bcl-x<sup>L</sup> Expression*

Our above findings demonstrated that STAT5 and JNK, as well as Mcl-1 and Bcl- $x_L$ , are required monitored upon interference with STAT5 and JNK function. While STAT5 perturbation completely blocked Mcl-1 upregulation by TSLP (Figure 6a,b), the level of  $\mathcal{B}cl-x_L$  was not affected by this treatment Conversely, interference with the JNK function reversed TSLP's effect on  $Bcl-x_L$  expression (Figure [6g](#page-10-0),h), whereas Mcl-1 remained unaffected (Figure [6e](#page-10-0),f). The nullified effect regarding  $Bcl-x_L$  expression was consistent between RNAi and JNK inhibition. A clear distinction between the two antiapoptotic Bcl-2 for survival prolongation by TSLP. We were finally interested in unraveling the relationships between the early and the later events. To this end, the TSLP modulation of Mcl-1 and Bcl- $x_L$  levels was (Figure [6c](#page-10-0),d). Again, the outcomes between STAT5-RNAi and the STAT5 inhibitor were consistent. members was confirmed at the protein level (Figure [6i](#page-10-0),j). Conversely, the mRNA expression of *Bax* and *Bid*, studied for control purposes, was not affected by STAT5 or JNK interference (Figure S9a–h). We conclude that apoptosis resistance by TSLP results from the concomitant activation of a STAT5/Mcl-1 and a JNK/Bcl- $x_L$  axis.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Bcl- $x_L$  expression. Impact of  $(a-d)$  STAT5 and  $(e-h)$  JNK perturbation on TSLP-triggered the expression (at 7.5 ng/mL) of ( $a,b,e,f$ ) Mcl-1 and  $(c,d,g,h)$  Bcl- $x_l$  after 40 min, using  $(a,c,e,g)$  knockdown by RNAi (at 7.5 ng/mL) of (a,b,e,f) *Mcl-1* and (c,d,g,h) *Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>* after 40 min, using (a,c,e,g) knockdown by RNAi (48 h prior to the experiment), and (b,d,f,h) pre-incubation with specific inhibitors (STAT5 inhibitor: pimozide, JNK inhibitor: SP600125), evaluated by RT-qPCR analysis (normalized to Cyclophilin B). The results represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of five (RNAi) or six (inhibitors) independent experiments. The data were analyzed by the one-way Anova test with Tukey's post-test for multiple comparisons,  $p \leq 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; (i,j) impact of specific inhibitors on the TSLP modulation of (i) Mcl-1 and (j) Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> by Western blot analysis (shown are representative Western blots out of two independent experiments). Densitometry units were normalized to the housekeeping protein. **Figure 6.** STAT5 perturbation leads to Mcl-1 downregulation, while interference with JNK attenuates

## independent experiments). Densitometry units were normalized to the housekeeping protein. *3.7. TSLP Protects Skin MCs Ex Vivo from Cell Death*

It was important to assess whether TSLP protects against MC apoptosis directly upon their isolation from skin tissue. In fact, several differences exist between skin MCs ex vivo and upon their tissue. long-term pre-culture [\[38](#page-16-11)[,44](#page-16-7)[,48\]](#page-16-12). This also applies to the cells' baseline survival, whereby ex vivo MCs display robust survival, even in the absence of exogenous GFs [\[14\]](#page-14-10). We treated skin-derived MCs in the absence of exogenous GFs [14]. We treated skin-derived MCs immediately after purification with different concentrations of TSLP (in GF-/serum-free medium) and<br> $\epsilon_0 = 16$ found full protection at the low concentration of 0.35 ng/mL (data not shown). To find the reason behind  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i - x_i)$ this exquisite sensitivity, we assessed TSLP receptor (TSLPR) expression by FACS and found robust<br>the reason of the reason o staining in ex vivo MCs (Figure [7a](#page-11-0)), in accordance with the FANTOM5 atlas, where ex vivo skin MCs (Figure 7a), in accordance with the FANTOM5 atlas, where ex vivo skin MCs cells displayed the highest levels of the transcript across nearly 1800 samples (gene: *CRLF2*) [\[44,](#page-16-7)[49\]](#page-16-13).

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

on skin MCs ex vivo (one representative out of four independent stainings is depicted); blue: Isotype control; red: anti-TSLPR. (**b–d**) TSLP counters apoptosis of skin MCs ex vivo. Freshly isolated skin MCs were kept without or with TSLP (at 0.35 ng/mL) in serum/GF-free medium. (**b**) Caspase-3 activity as determined after 24 h by Caspase-Glo<sup>®</sup> 3/7 assay; the results represent the mean ± SEM of six independent experiments. RLU = relative luminescence units  $(\times 10^3)$ . (**c**,**d**) percentage of cells with (c) YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1 positivity (corresponding to the percentage of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells combined) after 48 h or (**d**) fragmented DNA (PI staining, corresponding to the percentage of subG1 positive cells) after 48 h. The results represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of five independent experiments. The data were analyzed by paired *t*-test, \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ . **Figure 7.** (**a**) Representative flow cytometry histogram of TSLP receptor (TSLPR) surface expression

techniques applied (in analogy to 3.1) yielded congruent results, i.e., caspase-3 activity (very low in ex vivo MCs) was attenuated (Figure [7b](#page-11-0)), and so were the proportions of YoPro<sup>TM</sup>-1-positive cells (Figure [7c](#page-11-0)) and sub-G1 nuclei (Figure [7d](#page-11-0)). Considering the altered survival properties of ex vivo skin MCs, including their lower proneness to undergo cell death  $[14,38]$  $[14,38]$ , the finding that low concentrations of TSLP can have a survival-favoring effect on these cells is notable and can potentially explain MC accumulation in the skin in TSLP-rich surroundings. We then confirmed that TSLP interferes with the cell death of ex vivo MCs. All apoptosis detection

#### $\overline{u}$  to understand the mechanisms by which pathological mechanisms by  $\overline{u}$ **4. Discussion**

Chronic inflammation is typically associated with increased numbers of MCs in the respective tissues, where the cells are believed to contribute to the underlying disease [\[1,](#page-14-0)[2,](#page-14-3)[4](#page-14-4)[,50\]](#page-16-14). Therefore, there is strong interest in the mechanisms that govern MC death versus survival decisions, and MC apoptosis has been proposed as a viable means of limiting the aberrant MC function in allergic and chronic inflammation [\[9](#page-14-6)[,51\]](#page-16-15). To be able to use this armamentarium in a targeted manner, it is essential to understand the mechanisms by which pathologic MC accumulation is brought about.

Here, we identified a new survival promoter of human skin MCs and revealed the mechanisms of its action. TSLP operates by the initial activation of STAT5 and JNK, which subsequently leads to Mcl-1 and Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> upregulation, whose joint action finally impedes skin MC demise. Our results highlight the parallel existence of a STAT5–Mcl-1 and a JNK–Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> axis in human skin MCs.

Together with IL-33, TSLP thus represents the second factor derived from resident skin cells (such as keratinocytes and fibroblasts [\[52](#page-16-16)[,53\]](#page-16-17)) to mediate the survival promotion of skin MCs in the absence of SCF [\[32\]](#page-15-14). Both cytokines may therefore orchestrate the numerical MC increase in the inflammatory micromilieu of skin disorders. It remains to be seen whether the two factors can further synergize with each other, or rather, display antagonistic actions in skin MCs. Of interest, IL-33 and TSLP overlap regarding JNK activation, while IL-33 lacks STAT5-inducing activity, but is highly efficient at p38 activation [\[31](#page-15-10)[,32\]](#page-15-14). One may speculate that the antiapoptotic effect of TSLP is mediated by the induction of other MC-protective GFs, such as SCF or the presently mentioned IL-33. However, this is unlikely for several reasons. First, because of the rapidness of the effect, whereby Mcl-1 and Bcl- $x<sub>L</sub>$ were upregulated already at 40 min (Figure [4\)](#page-8-0). Second, skin-derived MCs are poor producers of SCF and IL-33, as compared to other skin-resident cells, such as keratinocytes and fibroblasts (Figure S10),

and this is perfectly in accordance with the FANTOM5 atlas, where both GFs were undetectable in the majority of MC samples [\[44\]](#page-16-7). Treating our MCs with TSLP did not change this picture, and the mRNA levels of *SCF* and *IL-33* remained unaffected and low (Figure S10). The antiapoptotic effect of TSLP was therefore likely direct without detour via another GF.

TSLP notably contributes to skin disorders like AD [\[20](#page-15-2)[,54\]](#page-16-18), and accordingly, binding to its specific receptor has been reported to regulate selected aspects of MC biology, including maturation and mediator secretion [\[24–](#page-15-6)[27\]](#page-15-15). In this regard, Lai et al. demonstrated that TSLP can increase Tryptase storage [\[55\]](#page-16-19), whereas Rönnberg et al. showed an opposite effect [\[28\]](#page-15-7), underlining the heterogeneous character of MCs. Han et al. described an antiapoptotic effect in the human MC line (HMC)-1 (as well as in the immature murine bone marrow-derived mast cell (BMMC) [\[27\]](#page-15-15), while human lung MCs did not experience survival promotion by TSLP [\[25\]](#page-15-16). Together, this indicates that immaturity and/or malignant transformation may have pre-disposed to survival rescue by TSLP, which is also in accordance with a recent finding of increased TSLPR expression in the continuously growing MC line ROSA vis-à-vis primary MCs [\[28\]](#page-15-7). However, because skin MCs, not studied previously, represent primary, fully differentiated MCs, just like lung MCs, our current data instead imply that MCs at a post-maturation stage are amenable to survival protection by TSLP and that the microenvironment in which they completed maturation will primarily dictate this response. Because skin MCs are of the so-called MC<sub>TC</sub> subset, whereas most MCs in the lung are of the MC<sub>T</sub> type [\[29,](#page-15-8)[30\]](#page-15-9), the current results may also suggest a dichotomy between  $MC<sub>TC</sub>$  and  $MC<sub>T</sub>$  MCs in their response pattern to TSLP. The TSLP/TSLPR axis has been indicated to strengthen the MC compartment also in vivo in humans and mice [\[27,](#page-15-15)[55\]](#page-16-19).

Our findings with freshly isolated dermal MCs (Figure [7\)](#page-11-0) strengthen the assumption that MCs are protected by TSLP in the skin habitat. In fact, the cytokine considerably reduces apoptosis in this physiological MC subtype, despite the low proneness of these cells to undergo cell death, even under harsh conditions. Interestingly, TSLP is efficient at low concentrations, i.e., within the range produced by primary keratinocytes [\[41\]](#page-16-4), which is likely related to the cells' intense expression of TSLPR (Figure [7a](#page-11-0)). Although inter-cell comparisons based on FACS measurements may be somewhat problematic, tendencies can be inferred, at least for the percentages of receptor-positive cells. This limitation in mind, ex vivo skin MCs seem to express TSLPR at higher levels compared to cultured skin MCs (see Figure [7a](#page-11-0) versus Figure S1) and in comparison to primary lung-derived MCs [\[28\]](#page-15-7). The difference at mRNA level (ex vivo versus cultured) is, moreover, in accordance with the FANTOM5 atlas [\[44,](#page-16-7)[49\]](#page-16-13). Of note, the protective effect of TSLP in ex vivo MCs was in a similar range as the one afforded by SCF in these cells [\[14\]](#page-14-10). Therefore, both cultured and ex vivo skin MCs respond similarly to TSLP with regard to survival promotion. Since cultured MCs depend more strongly on exogenous GFs and are overall more prone to die, these cells were more adequate to study the molecular underpinnings behind TSLP's protection.

Addressing the mechanisms, we first found that STAT5 and JNK are both phosphorylated and implicated in TSLP-conferred apoptosis resistance, as their ablation or inhibition impaired survival promotion.

Although TSLP can trigger disparate signal transduction pathways, depending on the cell type [\[56\]](#page-16-20), the activation of STAT5 represents the canonical and best documented pathway in a number of cells [\[57](#page-17-0)[–63\]](#page-17-1). Its activation in MCs was therefore plausible, although this had not been addressed by previous studies. Surprisingly, STAT5 activation was complemented by JNK, which proved to be a further effector pathway organizing MC survival, as its inhibition or KD abolished TSLP's survival-promotion. In contrast to STAT5, JNK has, to our knowledge, only been reported as being triggered by TSLP in stromal, but not in hematopoietic cells [\[64\]](#page-17-2).

Our finding that STAT5 contributes to TSLP's survival machinery in skin MCs is consistent with a long series of studies, demonstrating its relevance in the MC lineage [\[65–](#page-17-3)[70\]](#page-17-4). In fact, STAT5 can contribute to the expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 members in MCs, even if not specifically Mcl-1, but this distinction may stem from the different MC subsets used in their (murine BMMCs) [\[65\]](#page-17-3) vis-à-vis our study.

In contrast, JNK is described as a "double-edged sword", either promoting or inhibiting apoptosis, depending on the precise conditions [\[71,](#page-17-5)[72\]](#page-17-6). In skin MCs a survival-prolonging effect seems to prevail, as observed for TSLP treated MCs but also somewhat at baseline, as interference with JNK acted in a proapoptotic manner under both conditions (Figure [3b](#page-7-0),d, Figure S6d,h). This is in accordance with a previous report showing that survival promotion by KIT occurs in a JNK-dependent manner in HMC-1 cells [\[73\]](#page-17-7).

We then addressed the potential downstream targets of STAT5 and JNK. The Bcl-2 family, comprising antiapoptotic members like Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, Mcl-1, and proapoptotic entities, including Bad, Bax, Bak, and Bid, crucially controls the mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis. Their participation in the regulation of MC survival has been described in multiple reports [\[14](#page-14-10)[,74](#page-17-8)[–76\]](#page-18-0).

We found that Bcl- $x<sub>L</sub>$  and Mcl-1 were distinctively upregulated by TSLP. To clarify their individual roles in survival promotion, we employed RNA interference to deplete Mcl-1 and Bcl- $x_L$  expression prior to stimulation with TSLP. Interestingly, Mcl-1 and Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> both equally imparted TSLP protection. This is in line with previous reports demonstrating that Mcl-1 is tightly connected to death/survival decisions of the lineage in transgenic mice [\[77\]](#page-18-1), and in MCs of murine [\[78\]](#page-18-2) and human origin [\[14](#page-14-10)[,76](#page-18-0)[,79\]](#page-18-3). In fact, we recently identified Mcl-1 as the main contributor to the longevity of human skin MCs [\[14\]](#page-14-10), a function supported by the vast expression of Mcl-1 in ex vivo skin MCs [\[44\]](#page-16-7). Upon culture, the levels of Mcl-1 decline, and this reduced expression is insufficient to maintain survival unless further supported by GFs. Consistently, we found here that the KD of Mcl-1 did not impair baseline survival, suggesting that Mcl-1 is required to execute the protective function of TSLP, whereas it does not seem crucial for survival control in its absence.

KD experiments identified Bcl- $x_L$  as another factor controlling MC survival in the presence of TSLP. This antiapoptotic molecule has likewise been widely documented as a survival promoter of MCs, however primarily in response to IL-33, SCF, and IgE receptor activation [\[16,](#page-15-17)[74–](#page-17-8)[76\]](#page-18-0). Conversely, its involvement in TSLP-mediated responses was unexpected and represents a novel finding of this study.

After revealing the crucial roles of Mcl-1 and Bcl- $x_L$ , we sought to examine potential links between the proximal events (STAT5 and JNK activation) and the subsequent upregulation of these factors. Intriguingly, we found a clear-cut distinction between the Bcl-2 family members, as inhibition of STAT5 counteracted Mcl-1 with no impact on Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> expression, whereas JNK perturbation resulted in decreased Bcl-x<sup>L</sup> upregulation without affecting Mcl-1 expression, speaking in favor of well separated pathways. In fact, Mcl-1 can be activated by STAT5 and regulate survival in different cells [\[80–](#page-18-4)[82\]](#page-18-5). Because Bcl- $x_L$  has also been reported as a target of STAT5 in other cell types [\[83](#page-18-6)[,84\]](#page-18-7), the precise disconnection in the transcriptional prerequisites uncovered here was unexpected. In fact, Bcl- $x_L$  was even found to be under negative influence from JNK in a number of other cells [\[85](#page-18-8)[,86\]](#page-18-9).

We assume that the simultaneous upregulation of both factors is necessary to exceed a certain "antiapoptotic" threshold to allow for TSLP's protective function to come into force. In this scenario, TSLP would only counteract cell death in the event of both antiapoptotic Bcl-2 members jointly reaching this point. This theory is supported by findings showing that mitochondrial membrane disruption and concomitant cytochrome c release can depend on a distinct threshold of mitochondrial membrane permeabilization [\[87\]](#page-18-10).

#### **5. Conclusions**

Taken together, we uncovered TSLP as a novel survival factor of human skin MCs, which exerts its antiapoptotic effect by the synchronized activation of STAT5 and JNK, the ensuing segregated upregulation of Mcl-1 and Bcl- $x_{L}$ , and consequent apoptosis resistance. The increased lifespan is thus owed to the concomitant activation of two axes, namely STAT5/Mcl-1 and  $JNK/Bel-x<sub>L</sub>$ . Our findings support a role for TSLP as a crucial component of the MC supportive niche in lesional skin, and its overabundance during disturbed homeostasis may help explain MC accumulation in cutaneous pathology.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://[www.mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/8/8/829/s1)/2073-4409/8/8/829/s1, Figure S1: Representative flow cytometry histogram of TSLP-R surface expression on cultured skin MCs. Figure S2: TSLP dose-dependently inhibits phosphatidylserine externalization in GF-deprived MCs. Figure S3: STAT3 is activated in HMC-1 cells, and in contrast to TSLP, the combination of SCF and IL-33 triggers phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and p38. Figure S4: Phosphorylation of STAT5 and JNK by TSLP by flow cytometry. Figure S5: Knockdown efficiency of STAT5 and JNK. Figure S6: Influence of Mcl-1, Bcl-xL, STAT5 and JNK on MC survival in the absence of TSLP. Figure S7: ERK1/2 and p38 are not implicated in TSLP-induced survival rescue. Figure S8: TSLP does not affect the expression of several Bcl-2 family members. Figure S9: Perturbation of STAT5 and JNK activity leaves expression of Bid and Bax unaffected. Figure S10: Basal and TSLP-treated human skin MCs are poor producers of SCF and IL-33 in comparison to keratinocytes and fibroblasts—no regulation by TSLP.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.B.; formal analysis, T.H., M.B. and J.E.; investigation, T.H.; writing—and editing, M.B., J.E. and M.W.; visualization, T.H.; supervision, M.B. and M.W.; funding acquisition, M.B. and M.W.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to M.W. (WO-541/13-2) and M.B. (BA-3769/3-1). T.H. was supported by a PhD scholarship from Charité. We acknowledge support from the DFG and the Open Access Publication Fund of Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin for coverage of the open access publishing expenses of this publication.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Kristin Franke for her help with technical issues.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>1. Galli, S.J.; Tsai, M.; Piliponsky, A.M. The development of allergic inflammation. *Nature* **2008**, *454*, 445–454. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07204) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18650915)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>2. Kawakami, T.; Ando, T.; Kimura, M.; Wilson, B.S.; Kawakami, Y. Mast cells in atopic dermatitis. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **2009**, *21*, 666–678. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2009.09.006) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19828304)
- 3. Gilfillan, A.M.; Austin, S.J.; Metcalfe, D.D. Mast cell biology: Introduction and overview. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2011**, *716*, 2–12. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9533-9_1) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21713648)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>4. Theoharides, T.C.; Alysandratos, K.D.; Angelidou, A.; Delivanis, D.A.; Sismanopoulos, N.; Zhang, B.; Asadi, S.; Vasiadi, M.; Weng, Z.; Miniati, A.; et al. Mast cells and inflammation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* **2012**, *1822*, 21–33. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2010.12.014) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21185371)
- 5. Galli, S.J.; Tsai, M. IgE and mast cells in allergic disease. *Nat. Med.* **2012**, *18*, 693–704. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.2755) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22561833)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>6. Olivera, A.; Beaven, M.A.; Metcalfe, D.D. Mast cells signal their importance in health and disease. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2018**, *142*, 381–393. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.01.034)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>7. Dwyer, D.F.; Barrett, N.A.; Austen, K.F.; Consortium, I.G.P. Expression profiling of constitutive mast cells reveals a unique identity within the immune system. *Nat. Immunol.* **2016**, *17*, 878–887. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.3445) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27135604)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>8. Kneilling, M.; Röcken, M. Mast cells: Novel clinical perspectives from recent insights. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2009**, *18*, 488–496. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0625.2009.00860.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19382316)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>9. Ekoff, M.; Nilsson, G. Mast cell apoptosis and survival. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2011**, *716*, 47–60. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9533-9_4)
- 10. Gurish, M.F.; Austen, K.F. Developmental origin and functional specialization of mast cell subsets. *Immunity* **2012**, *37*, 25–33. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.07.003)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>11. Bulfone-Paus, S.; Nilsson, G.; Draber, P.; Blank, U.; Levi-Schaffer, F. Positive and Negative Signals in Mast Cell Activation. *Trends Immunol.* **2017**, *38*, 657–667. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2017.01.008)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>12. Kitamura, Y.; Shimada, M.; Hatanaka, K.; Miyano, Y. Development of mast cells from grafted bone marrow cells in irradiated mice. *Nature* **1977**, *268*, 442–443. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/268442a0)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>13. Matsuda, H.; Kitamura, Y.; Sonoda, T.; Imori, T. Precursor of mast cells fixed in the skin of mice. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **1981**, *108*, 409–415. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1041080315)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>14. Hazzan, T.; Eberle, J.; Worm, M.; Babina, M. Apoptotic resistance of human skin mast cells is mediated by Mcl-1. *Cell Death Discov.* **2017**, *3*, 17048. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cddiscovery.2017.48)
- 15. Okayama, Y.; Kawakami, T. Development, migration, and survival of mast cells. *Immunol. Res.* **2006**, *34*, 97–115. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1385/IR:34:2:97)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>16. Wang, J.X.; Kaieda, S.; Ameri, S.; Fishgal, N.; Dwyer, D.; Dellinger, A.; Kepley, C.L.; Gurish, M.F.; Nigrovic, P.A. IL-33/ST2 axis promotes mast cell survival via BCLXL. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 10281–10286. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404182111)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>17. Sellge, G.; Lorentz, A.; Gebhardt, T.; Levi-Schaffer, F.; Bektas, H.; Manns, M.P.; Schuppan, D.; Bischoff, S.C. Human intestinal fibroblasts prevent apoptosis in human intestinal mast cells by a mechanism independent of stem cell factor, IL-3, IL-4, and nerve growth factor. *J. Immunol.* **2004**, *172*, 260–267. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.172.1.260)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>18. Soumelis, V.; Reche, P.A.; Kanzler, H.; Yuan, W.; Edward, G.; Homey, B.; Gilliet, M.; Ho, S.; Antonenko, S.; Lauerma, A.; et al. Human epithelial cells trigger dendritic cell mediated allergic inflammation by producing TSLP. *Nat. Immunol.* **2002**, *3*, 673–680. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni805)
- 19. Cianferoni, A.; Spergel, J. The importance of TSLP in allergic disease and its role as a potential therapeutic target. *Expert Rev. Clin. Immunol.* **2014**, *10*, 1463–1474. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1586/1744666X.2014.967684)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>20. Ziegler, S.F. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin and allergic disease. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2012**, *130*, 845–852. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.07.010)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>21. Volpe, E.; Pattarini, L.; Martinez-Cingolani, C.; Meller, S.; Donnadieu, M.H.; Bogiatzi, S.I.; Fernandez, M.I.; Touzot, M.; Bichet, J.C.; Reyal, F.; et al. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin links keratinocytes and dendritic cell-derived IL-23 in patients with psoriasis. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2014**, *134*, 373–381. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2014.04.022)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>22. Corren, J.; Parnes, J.R.; Wang, L.; Mo, M.; Roseti, S.L.; Griffiths, J.M.; van der Merwe, R. Tezepelumab in Adults with Uncontrolled Asthma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2017**, *377*, 936–946. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1704064)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>23. Simpson, E.L.; Parnes, J.R.; She, D.; Crouch, S.; Rees, W.; Mo, M.; van der Merwe, R. Tezepelumab, an anti-thymic stromal lymphopoietin monoclonal antibody, in the treatment of moderate to severe atopic dermatitis: A randomized phase 2a clinical trial. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2018**. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2018.11.059)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>24. Nagarkar, D.R.; Poposki, J.A.; Comeau, M.R.; Biyasheva, A.; Avila, P.C.; Schleimer, R.P.; Kato, A. Airway epithelial cells activate TH2 cytokine production in mast cells through IL-1 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2012**, *130*, 225–232. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.04.019)
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>25. Kaur, D.; Doe, C.; Woodman, L.; Heidi Wan, W.Y.; Sutcliffe, A.; Hollins, F.; Brightling, C. Mast cell-airway smooth muscle crosstalk: The role of thymic stromal lymphopoietin. *Chest* **2012**, *142*, 76–85. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.11-1782)
- 26. Allakhverdi, Z.; Comeau, M.R.; Armant, M.; Agrawal, R.; Woodfolk, J.A.; Sehmi, R.; Howie, K.J.; Gauvreau, G.M.; Delespesse, G. Mast Cell-Activated Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Regulate Proliferation and Lineage Commitment of CD34(+) Progenitor Cells. *Front. Immunol.* **2013**, *4*, 461. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2013.00461)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>27. Han, N.R.; Oh, H.A.; Nam, S.Y.; Moon, P.D.; Kim, D.W.; Kim, H.M.; Jeong, H.J. TSLP induces mast cell development and aggravates allergic reactions through the activation of MDM2 and STAT6. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2014**, *134*, 2521–2530. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jid.2014.198)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>28. Rönnberg, E.; Ghaib, A.; Ceriol, C.; Enoksson, M.; Arock, M.; Säfholm, J.; Ekoff, M.; Nilsson, G. Divergent Effects of Acute and Prolonged Interleukin 33 Exposure on Mast Cell IgE-Mediated Functions. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, 1361. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.01361)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>29. Irani, A.M.; Schwartz, L.B. Human mast cell heterogeneity. *Allergy Proc.* **1994**, *15*, 303–308. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2500/108854194778816472)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>30. Moon, T.C.; St Laurent, C.D.; Morris, K.E.; Marcet, C.; Yoshimura, T.; Sekar, Y.; Befus, A.D. Advances in mast cell biology: New understanding of heterogeneity and function. *Mucosal Immunol.* **2010**, *3*, 111–128. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mi.2009.136)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>31. Wang, Z.; Guhl, S.; Franke, K.; Artuc, M.; Zuberbier, T.; Babina, M. IL-33 and MRGPRX2-Triggered Activation of Human Skin Mast Cells-Elimination of Receptor Expression on Chronic Exposure, but Reinforced Degranulation on Acute Priming. *Cells* **2019**, *8*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cells8040341) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30979016)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>32. Babina, M.; Wang, Z.; Franke, K.; Guhl, S.; Artuc, M.; Zuberbier, T. Yin-yang of IL-33 in human skin mast cells: Reduced degranulation, but augmented histamine synthesis through p38 activation. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2019**. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2019.01.013) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30684550)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>33. Babina, M.; Wang, Z.; Artuc, M.; Guhl, S.; Zuberbier, T. MRGPRX2 is negatively targeted by SCF and IL-4 to diminish pseudo-allergic stimulation of skin mast cells in culture. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2018**, *27*, 1298–1303. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/exd.13762) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30091263)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>34. Babina, M.; Guhl, S.; Stärke, A.; Kirchhof, L.; Zuberbier, T.; Henz, B.M. Comparative cytokine profile of human skin mast cells from two compartments—Strong resemblance with monocytes at baseline but induction of IL-5 by IL-4 priming. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **2004**, *75*, 244–252. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0403157) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14634065)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>35. Babina, M.; Guhl, S.; Artuc, M.; Zuberbier, T. Allergic FcεRI- and pseudo-allergic MRGPRX2-triggered mast cell activation routes are independent and inversely regulated by SCF. *Allergy* **2018**, *73*, 256–260. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/all.13301)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>36. Hazzan, T.; Guhl, S.; Artuc, M.; Franke, K.; Worm, M.; Zuberbier, T.; Babina, M. An efficient method for gene knock-down by RNA interference in human skin mast cells. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2017**, *26*, 1136–1139. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/exd.13358) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28418623)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>37. Guhl, S.; Babina, M.; Neou, A.; Zuberbier, T.; Artuc, M. Mast cell lines HMC-1 and LAD2 in comparison with mature human skin mast cells—Drastically reduced levels of tryptase and chymase in mast cell lines. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2010**, *19*, 845–847. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0625.2010.01103.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20545757)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>38. Guhl, S.; Artuc, M.; Neou, A.; Babina, M.; Zuberbier, T. Long-term cultured human skin mast cells are suitable for pharmacological studies of anti-allergic drugs due to high responsiveness to FcεRI cross-linking. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2011**, *75*, 382–384. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1271/bbb.100745) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21307580)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>39. Guhl, S.; Hartmann, K.; Tapkenhinrichs, S.; Smorodchenko, A.; Grützkau, A.; Henz, B.M.; Zuberbier, T. Ultraviolet irradiation induces apoptosis in human immature, but not in skin mast cells. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2003**, *121*, 837–844. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1747.2003.12480.x)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>40. Kim, J.; Guhl, S.; Babina, M.; Zuberbier, T.; Artuc, M. Integration of the human dermal mast cell into the organotypic co-culture skin model. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2014**, *1192*, 69–85. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1173-8_6)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>41. Kumari, V.; Babina, M.; Hazzan, T.; Worm, M. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin induction by skin irritation is independent of tumour necrosis factor-α, but supported by interleukin-1. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2015**, *172*, 951–960. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjd.13465)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>42. Babina, M.; Guhl, S.; Artuc, M.; Trivedi, N.N.; Zuberbier, T. Phenotypic variability in human skin mast cells. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2016**, *25*, 434–439. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/exd.12924)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>43. Butterfield, J.H.; Weiler, D.; Dewald, G.; Gleich, G.J. Establishment of an immature mast cell line from a patient with mast cell leukemia. *Leuk. Res.* **1988**, *12*, 345–355. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0145-2126(88)90050-1)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>44. Motakis, E.; Guhl, S.; Ishizu, Y.; Itoh, M.; Kawaji, H.; de Hoon, M.; Lassmann, T.; Carninci, P.; Hayashizaki, Y.; Zuberbier, T.; et al. Redefinition of the human mast cell transcriptome by deep-CAGE sequencing. *Blood* **2014**, *123*, e58–e67. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-02-483792)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>45. Galluzzi, L.; Bravo-San Pedro, J.M.; Vitale, I.; Aaronson, S.A.; Abrams, J.M.; Adam, D.; Alnemri, E.S.; Altucci, L.; Andrews, D.; Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli, M.; et al. Essential versus accessory aspects of cell death: Recommendations of the NCCD 2015. *Cell Death Di*ff*er.* **2015**, *22*, 58–73. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2014.137)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>46. Collins, J.A.; Schandi, C.A.; Young, K.K.; Vesely, J.; Willingham, M.C. Major DNA fragmentation is a late event in apoptosis. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **1997**, *45*, 923–934. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/002215549704500702)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>47. Chaix, A.; Lopez, S.; Voisset, E.; Gros, L.; Dubreuil, P.; De Sepulveda, P. Mechanisms of STAT protein activation by oncogenic KIT mutants in neoplastic mast cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *286*, 5956–5966. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.182642)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>48. Guhl, S.; Neou, A.; Artuc, M.; Zuberbier, T.; Babina, M. Skin mast cells develop non-synchronized changes in typical lineage characteristics upon culture. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2014**, *23*, 933–935. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/exd.12558)
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>49. Forrest, A.R.; Kawaji, H.; Rehli, M.; Baillie, J.K.; de Hoon, M.J.; Haberle, V.; Lassmann, T.; Kulakovskiy, I.V.; Lizio, M.; Itoh, M.; et al. A promoter-level mammalian expression atlas. *Nature* **2014**, *507*, 462–470. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13182)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>50. Metcalfe, D.D. Mast cells and mastocytosis. *Blood* **2008**, *112*, 946–956. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-11-078097)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>51. Fang, Y.; Larsson, L.; Bruhns, P.; Xiang, Z. Apoptosis of mouse mast cells is reciprocally regulated by the IgG receptors FcγRIIB and FcγRIIIA. *Allergy* **2012**, *67*, 1233–1240. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2012.02878.x)
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>52. Takai, T. TSLP expression: Cellular sources, triggers, and regulatory mechanisms. *Allergol. Int.* **2012**, *61*, 3–17. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2332/allergolint.11-RAI-0395)
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>53. Leyva-Castillo, J.M.; Hener, P.; Jiang, H.; Li, M. TSLP produced by keratinocytes promotes allergen sensitization through skin and thereby triggers atopic march in mice. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2013**, *133*, 154–163. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jid.2012.239)
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>54. Varricchi, G.; Pecoraro, A.; Marone, G.; Criscuolo, G.; Spadaro, G.; Genovese, A. Thymic Stromal Lymphopoietin Isoforms, Inflammatory Disorders, and Cancer. *Front. Immunol.* **2018**, *9*, 1595. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01595)
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>55. Lai, Y.; Altemeier, W.A.; Vandree, J.; Piliponsky, A.M.; Johnson, B.; Appel, C.L.; Frevert, C.W.; Hyde, D.M.; Ziegler, S.F.; Smith, D.E.; et al. Increased density of intraepithelial mast cells in patients with exercise-induced bronchoconstriction regulated through epithelially derived thymic stromal lymphopoietin and IL-33. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2014**, *133*, 1448–1455. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2013.08.052)
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>56. Wong, C.K.; Hu, S.; Cheung, P.F.; Lam, C.W. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin induces chemotactic and prosurvival effects in eosinophils: Implications in allergic inflammation. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **2010**, *43*, 305–315. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2009-0168OC)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>57. Scheeren, F.A.; van Lent, A.U.; Nagasawa, M.; Weijer, K.; Spits, H.; Legrand, N.; Blom, B. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin induces early human B-cell proliferation and differentiation. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **2010**, *40*, 955–965. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eji.200939419)
- 58. Tormo, A.J.; Gauchat, J.F. A novel role for STAT5 in DC: Controlling the Th2-response. *JAK-STAT* **2013**, *2*, e25352. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/jkst.25352)
- 59. Kabata, H.; Moro, K.; Fukunaga, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Miyata, J.; Masaki, K.; Betsuyaku, T.; Koyasu, S.; Asano, K. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin induces corticosteroid resistance in natural helper cells during airway inflammation. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2675. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3675)
- 60. Bell, B.D.; Kitajima, M.; Larson, R.P.; Stoklasek, T.A.; Dang, K.; Sakamoto, K.; Wagner, K.U.; Kaplan, D.H.; Reizis, B.; Hennighausen, L.; et al. The transcription factor STAT5 is critical in dendritic cells for the development of TH2 but not TH1 responses. *Nat. Immunol.* **2013**, *14*, 364–371. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.2541)
- 61. Yao, W.; Zhang, Y.; Jabeen, R.; Nguyen, E.T.; Wilkes, D.S.; Tepper, R.S.; Kaplan, M.H.; Zhou, B. Interleukin-9 is required for allergic airway inflammation mediated by the cytokine TSLP. *Immunity* **2013**, *38*, 360–372. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.01.007)
- 62. Takahashi, N.; Sugaya, M.; Suga, H.; Oka, T.; Kawaguchi, M.; Miyagaki, T.; Fujita, H.; Sato, S. Thymic Stromal Chemokine TSLP Acts through Th2 Cytokine Production to Induce Cutaneous T-cell Lymphoma. *Cancer Res.* **2016**, *76*, 6241–6252. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0992)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>63. Liu, S.; Verma, M.; Michalec, L.; Liu, W.; Sripada, A.; Rollins, D.; Good, J.; Ito, Y.; Chu, H.; Gorska, M.M.; et al. Steroid resistance of airway type 2 innate lymphoid cells from patients with severe asthma: The role of thymic stromal lymphopoietin. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2018**, *141*, 257–268. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2017.03.032)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>64. Shan, L.; Redhu, N.S.; Saleh, A.; Halayko, A.J.; Chakir, J.; Gounni, A.S. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin receptor-mediated IL-6 and CC/CXC chemokines expression in human airway smooth muscle cells: Role of MAPKs (ERK1/2, p38, and JNK) and STAT3 pathways. *J. Immunol.* **2010**, *184*, 7134–7143. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0902515)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>65. Shelburne, C.P.; McCoy, M.E.; Piekorz, R.; Sexl, V.; Roh, K.H.; Jacobs-Helber, S.M.; Gillespie, S.R.; Bailey, D.P.; Mirmonsef, P.; Mann, M.N.; et al. Stat5 expression is critical for mast cell development and survival. *Blood* **2003**, *102*, 1290–1297. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2002-11-3490)
- 66. Barnstein, B.O.; Li, G.; Wang, Z.; Kennedy, S.; Chalfant, C.; Nakajima, H.; Bunting, K.D.; Ryan, J.J. Stat5 expression is required for IgE-mediated mast cell function. *J. Immunol.* **2006**, *177*, 3421–3426. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.177.5.3421)
- 67. Li, G.; Miskimen, K.L.; Wang, Z.; Xie, X.Y.; Brenzovich, J.; Ryan, J.J.; Tse, W.; Moriggl, R.; Bunting, K.D. STAT5 requires the N-domain for suppression of miR15/16, induction of bcl-2, and survival signaling in myeloproliferative disease. *Blood* **2010**, *115*, 1416–1424. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-07-234963)
- 68. Pullen, N.A.; Barnstein, B.O.; Falanga, Y.T.; Wang, Z.; Suzuki, R.; Tamang, T.D.; Khurana, M.C.; Harry, E.A.; Draber, P.; Bunting, K.D.; et al. Novel mechanism for Fc{epsilon}RI-mediated signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 (STAT5) tyrosine phosphorylation and the selective influence of STAT5B over mast cell cytokine production. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 2045–2054. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.311142)
- 69. Li, Y.; Qi, X.; Liu, B.; Huang, H. The STAT5-GATA2 pathway is critical in basophil and mast cell differentiation and maintenance. *J. Immunol.* **2015**, *194*, 4328–4338. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1500018)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>70. Tobío, A.; Bandara, G.; Morris, D.A.; Kim, D.K.; O'Connell, M.P.; Komarow, H.D.; Carter, M.C.; Smrz, D.; Metcalfe, D.D.; Olivera, A. Oncogenic D816V-KIT signaling in mast cells causes persistent IL-6 production. *Haematologica* **2019**. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2018.212126)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>71. Liu, J.; Lin, A. Role of JNK activation in apoptosis: A double-edged sword. *Cell Res.* **2005**, *15*, 36–42. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.cr.7290262) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15686625)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>72. Dhanasekaran, D.N.; Reddy, E.P. JNK signaling in apoptosis. *Oncogene* **2008**, *27*, 6245–6251. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2008.301) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18931691)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>73. Wang, B.; Tsukada, J.; Higashi, T.; Mizobe, T.; Matsuura, A.; Mouri, F.; Sawamukai, N.; Ra, C.; Tanaka, Y. Growth suppression of human mast cells expressing constitutively active c-kit receptors by JNK inhibitor SP600125. *Genes Cells* **2006**, *11*, 983–992. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2443.2006.01005.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16923120)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>74. Mekori, Y.A.; Gilfillan, A.M.; Akin, C.; Hartmann, K.; Metcalfe, D.D. Human mast cell apoptosis is regulated through Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL. *J. Clin. Immunol.* **2001**, *21*, 171–174. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1011083031272) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11403223)
- 75. Alfredsson, J.; Puthalakath, H.; Martin, H.; Strasser, A.; Nilsson, G. Proapoptotic Bcl-2 family member Bim is involved in the control of mast cell survival and is induced together with Bcl-XL upon IgE-receptor activation. *Cell Death Di*ff*er.* **2005**, *12*, 136–144. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.cdd.4401537) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15592435)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>76. Rådinger, M.; Smrž, D.; Metcalfe, D.D.; Gilfillan, A.M. Glycogen synthase kinase-3β is a prosurvival signal for the maintenance of human mast cell homeostasis. *J. Immunol.* **2011**, *187*, 5587–5595. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1101257) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22039301)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>77. Lilla, J.N.; Chen, C.C.; Mukai, K.; BenBarak, M.J.; Franco, C.B.; Kalesnikoff, J.; Yu, M.; Tsai, M.; Piliponsky, A.M.; Galli, S.J. Reduced mast cell and basophil numbers and function in Cpa3-Cre; Mcl-1fl/fl mice. *Blood* **2011**, *118*, 6930–6938. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-03-343962)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>78. Reinhart, R.; Rohner, L.; Wicki, S.; Fux, M.; Kaufmann, T. BH3 mimetics efficiently induce apoptosis in mouse basophils and mast cells. *Cell Death Di*ff*er.* **2018**, *25*, 204–216. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2017.154)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>79. Aichberger, K.J.; Mayerhofer, M.; Gleixner, K.V.; Krauth, M.T.; Gruze, A.; Pickl, W.F.; Wacheck, V.; Selzer, E.; Müllauer, L.; Agis, H.; et al. Identification of MCL1 as a novel target in neoplastic mast cells in systemic mastocytosis: Inhibition of mast cell survival by MCL1 antisense oligonucleotides and synergism with PKC412. *Blood* **2007**, *109*, 3031–3041. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-07-032714)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>80. Yoshimoto, G.; Miyamoto, T.; Jabbarzadeh-Tabrizi, S.; Iino, T.; Rocnik, J.L.; Kikushige, Y.; Mori, Y.; Shima, T.; Iwasaki, H.; Takenaka, K.; et al. FLT3-ITD up-regulates MCL-1 to promote survival of stem cells in acute myeloid leukemia via FLT3-ITD-specific STAT5 activation. *Blood* **2009**, *114*, 5034–5043. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-12-196055)
- 81. Malin, S.; McManus, S.; Cobaleda, C.; Novatchkova, M.; Delogu, A.; Bouillet, P.; Strasser, A.; Busslinger, M. Role of STAT5 in controlling cell survival and immunoglobulin gene recombination during pro-B cell development. *Nat. Immunol.* **2010**, *11*, 171–179. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.1827)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>82. Sathe, P.; Delconte, R.B.; Souza-Fonseca-Guimaraes, F.; Seillet, C.; Chopin, M.; Vandenberg, C.J.; Rankin, L.C.; Mielke, L.A.; Vikstrom, I.; Kolesnik, T.B.; et al. Innate immunodeficiency following genetic ablation of Mcl1 in natural killer cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4539. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5539)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>83. Dumon, S.; Santos, S.C.; Debierre-Grockiego, F.; Gouilleux-Gruart, V.; Cocault, L.; Boucheron, C.; Mollat, P.; Gisselbrecht, S.; Gouilleux, F. IL-3 dependent regulation of Bcl-xL gene expression by STAT5 in a bone marrow derived cell line. *Oncogene* **1999**, *18*, 4191–4199. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1202796)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>84. de Groot, R.P.; Raaijmakers, J.A.; Lammers, J.W.; Koenderman, L. STAT5-Dependent CyclinD1 and Bcl-xL expression in Bcr-Abl-transformed cells. *Mol. Cell Biol. Res. Commun.* **2000**, *3*, 299–305. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/mcbr.2000.0231)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>85. Kim, S.M.; Park, H.S.; Jun, D.Y.; Woo, H.J.; Woo, M.H.; Yang, C.H.; Kim, Y.H. Mollugin induces apoptosis in human Jurkat T cells through endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated activation of JNK and caspase-12 and subsequent activation of mitochondria-dependent caspase cascade regulated by Bcl-xL. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *241*, 210–220. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2009.08.024)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>86. Shajahan, A.N.; Dobbin, Z.C.; Hickman, F.E.; Dakshanamurthy, S.; Clarke, R. Tyrosine-phosphorylated caveolin-1 (Tyr-14) increases sensitivity to paclitaxel by inhibiting BCL2 and BCLxL proteins via c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK). *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 17682–17692. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.304022)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>87. Tait, S.W.; Green, D.R. Mitochondrial regulation of cell death. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **2013**, *5*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a008706)



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.)/licenses/by/4.0/).