



Published in final edited form as:

Cell Metab. 2019 September 03; 30(3): 594–606.e3. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2019.06.002.

Systems-level quantitative analysis of inter-organ metabolite exchange in pigs

Cholsoon Jang¹, Sheng Hui^{1,†}, Xianfeng Zeng^{1,†}, Alexis J. Cowan¹, Lin Wang¹, Li Chen¹, Raphael J. Morscher¹, Jorge Reyes¹, Christian Frezza², Ho Young Hwang³, Akito Imai³, Yoshiaki Saito³, Keitaro Okamoto³, Christine Vaspoli³, Loewe Kasprenski³, Gerald A. Zsido II³, Joseph H. Gorman III³, Robert C. Gorman³, Joshua D. Rabinowitz^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ USA 08544

²Medical Research Council Cancer Unit, Hutchison/MRC Research Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

³Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Civic Blvd, Philadelphia, PA USA 19104.

SUMMARY

Mammalian organs continually exchange metabolites via circulation, but systems-level analysis of this shuttling process is lacking. Here we compared, in fasted pigs, metabolite concentrations in arterial blood versus draining venous blood from 11 organs. Greater than 90% of metabolites showed arterial-venous differences across at least one organ. Surprisingly, the liver and kidneys released not only glucose but also amino acids, both of which were consumed primarily by the intestine and pancreas. The liver and kidneys exhibited additional unexpected activities: liver preferentially burned unsaturated over more atherogenic saturated fatty acids, while the kidneys were unique in burning circulating citrate and net oxidizing lactate to pyruvate, thereby contributing to circulating redox homeostasis. Furthermore, we observed more than 700 other cases of tissue-specific metabolite production or consumption, such as release of nucleotides by the spleen and TCA intermediates by pancreas. These data constitute a high-value resource, providing quantitative atlas of inter-organ metabolite exchange.

*The Lead Contact: josh@princeton.edu (J.D.R.).

†Equally contributed.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.J., R.J.M., and J.D.R. designed the study. C.J. performed the most experiments. S.H., contributed to isotope tracing studies in pigs and mice, modeling, and data analysis. X.Z. contributed to isotope tracing studies in mice, developed the analytical method for SCFA, and collected the SCFA data. A.J.C. contributed to pig experiments and sample preparation. J.R. contributed to the flux analysis. L.W. and L.C. contributed to chemical formula assignment of putative metabolites. C.F. contributed the ideas on kidney cancer. H.Y.H., A.I., Y.S., K.O., C.V., L.K., and G.A.Z. performed pig surgery and blood collection. J.H.G. and R.C.G. provided surgical expertise and intellectual guidance. C.J., and J.D.R. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS


Authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

eTOC Blurp

Using metabolomics in the arterial blood and draining veins of 11 organs in fasted pigs, Jang et al. map more than 700 cases of organ-specific metabolite production or consumption. The resulting data resource provides a blueprint for the integrative function of mammalian metabolism.

Graphical Abstract



INTRODUCTION

Converting environmental nutrient inputs, whose availability is often unreliable, into energy and biomass is a fundamental challenge of life. Unicellular organisms, like bacteria or yeasts, face this challenge in each cell individually. An important advantage of multicellularity is the ability of cells to work in concert to achieve metabolic homeostasis (Chantranupong et al., 2015). This in turn allows organs to develop specialized metabolism, which is adapted to their particular biological function, with metabolites produced in one organ transmitted to another organ via the circulatory system.

The biological significance of metabolite exchange has been recognized since the discovery of the Cori cycle (Cori, 1981), in which lactate made by skeletal muscle is converted into glucose by the liver. Since then, other examples of metabolite exchange have been elucidated (e.g., alanine cycle between the liver and muscle, glutamate-glutamine cycle between neurons and astrocytes) (Felig, 1973; Bak et al., 2006). However, these findings are limited to only a few metabolites and organs. Comprehensive mapping of inter-organ metabolite exchange has not yet been achieved. Understanding the production and consumption sites of circulating metabolites is important, because it can facilitate diagnosis or treatment of diseases involving either the organ or metabolite. In addition, it is key to understanding the integrative function of mammalian metabolism.

Each organ is fed by arterial blood and drained by venous blood (with the liver also fed by the portal vein from the intestine). Measurement of arterio-venous (AV) concentration differences is a well-established approach for quantification of organ-specific metabolite production and consumption (Cantarow and Ricchiuti, 1934; Hickam et al., 1948; Ivanisevic

et al., 2015). To investigate metabolite exchange between organs, we performed metabolomics in the arterial blood, the organ-specific draining veins, and the urine of pigs. We chose pigs because their diet and metabolism are remarkably similar to humans (Perleberg et al., 2018; Prather et al., 2008). In addition, their large size ensures adequate blood volume and results in 11 different draining veins being sufficiently large to sample reliably. These include veins draining many major metabolic organs (liver, kidney, intestine, colon, spleen, pancreas, heart, lung, brain). Ear vein was selected because it is enriched in draining from skin. No accessible vein selectively drains from adipose, with the femoral vein draining both adipose and major leg muscles.

To confirm the generality of key observations from the pig AV concentration difference measurements, we utilized *in vivo* isotope tracing in mice. In this manner, we verified several key insights from the pig AV data, such as use of citrate to fuel the kidney and preferential hepatic catabolism of unsaturated over saturated fatty acids. This holistic analysis of metabolite exchange revealed sources and sinks of the circulating metabolome, resulting in a fundamental dataset containing over 700 significant cases of organ-specific metabolite production or consumption. Collectively, this resource illuminates the metabolic roles of mammalian organs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General features of metabolite exchange

To obtain arterial and venous blood for measuring metabolite exchange, overnight-fasted adult pigs (~50 kg) were briefly anesthetized and blood was drawn from the arterial circulation and organ-specific draining veins (Fig. 1A). For each vascular bed, we calculated the \log_2 ratio of metabolite abundance in the draining vein (C_v) relative to the systemic arterial blood (C_a) (Fig. 1B). Values of $\log_2(C_v/C_a)$ greater than zero indicate net release, whereas values less than zero indicate net uptake. Because the liver is fed both by the hepatic artery (22%) and the portal vein (78%) (Eipel et al., 2010), we used a weighted average of these two inputs to determine metabolite concentrations feeding the liver. Multiple organs drain into the hepatic portal vein (e.g., spleen, pancreas, and intestines), which we collectively refer to as the other visceral organs (Fig. 1C).

A challenge with measuring metabolite exchange based on AV concentration differences is that, due to the speed of vascular flow, biologically important uptake and excretion fluxes may cause only small AV differences, especially for abundant metabolites. Accordingly, precise measurements are required. To enhance measurement precision, blood was drawn from each vessel twice, and each resulting serum sample was independently extracted and measured by LC-MS three times. Figures show the median value of each independent blood draw. Statistical analyses were conducted using a single value for each pig (the average of the two independent blood draws), with N = the number of pigs (Fig. 1D).

Our metabolomics methods measure ~ 600 known small molecule metabolites, whose identities were confirmed by exact mass and retention time match to authenticated standards. Among these, 280 metabolites were detected in the pig serum (Fig. 1E), with 91% showing statistically significant AV differences for at least one organ (Fig. 1E and 1F). In total, we

detected 739 statistically significant cases of organ-specific metabolite production or consumption (Table 1 and Data File 1). These were roughly equally distributed across abundant and less abundant metabolites (Fig. S1).

Heat map analysis revealed a few overarching trends (Fig. 1G and Data Files 2 and 3). Consistent with their key role in waste removal, the kidneys clear ~ 50% of circulating metabolites, some of which are made by nearly every other organ (note the long blue column in the heat map). Many other metabolites are cleared by the liver (top and bottom on heat map), with the strongest changes occurring in microbiome-derived products made in the intestine (top of heat map). Conversely, the liver also releases many metabolites, including many amino acids, which are consumed by the rest of the body, especially the other visceral organs (middle of heat map).

In addition to these general patterns, certain metabolites have specific production and consumption sites (distinctive thin yellow or blue lines in the heat map). Table 2 summarizes the three most substantially produced and consumed metabolites for each organ, taking into account both the statistical significance and AV fold-change. Table S1 summarizes sinks and sources of each metabolite.

Untargeted metabolomics also identified many unannotated ions with significant AV differences. Among them, we assigned chemical formulas to 55 putative metabolites (after exclusion of adducts and isotopic variants), based on accurate mass, natural isotopic distribution and MS/MS (Fig. S2). Examples include $C_{20}H_{34}O_9$, produced only by the leg, and $C_7H_7NO_4$, produced by the liver. The existence of these organ-specific production and consumption patterns provides a particular motivation to identify these compounds.

Kidney metabolism

The primary role of the kidneys is waste excretion. This is achieved by filtration of the blood and reabsorption of salt and circulating nutrients. Kidneys also produce glucose and other specific metabolites, including glycocholine (creatine precursor) and serine (Fig. 2A). Patients with the kidney failure display significantly lower circulating levels of glycocholine (Kikuchi et al., 1981). We also identified guanosine, allantoate, and phenylacetate as additional kidney-specific metabolic products, which may be useful diagnostic markers for renal dysfunction.

Among the much larger set of metabolites that are cleared by the kidneys, we observed a strong correlation between the metabolite's absolute concentration in the blood, and its reabsorption efficiency, with more abundant metabolites being more effectively reabsorbed (Fig. 2B). Notable exceptions to this trend were classical metabolic waste products such as uric acid and creatinine. For this analysis, we omitted fatty acids and acylcarnitines, which are relatively hydrophobic and were retained in blood regardless of their concentrations (Fig. S3A), perhaps because they are bound to circulating albumin and thus never filtered. The correlation between reabsorption efficiency and plasma concentration of water-soluble metabolites is consistent with an evolutionary drive to avoid wasting of abundant circulating metabolites. It also suggests that renal reabsorption efficiency is a major determinant of circulating metabolite levels.

The kidneys' continuous reabsorption against metabolite concentration gradients demands substantial energy. What feeds the kidneys? Strikingly, kidneys were distinguished by taking up circulating TCA intermediates, the most abundant of which is citrate (Fig. 2C). Indeed, citrate showed the fourth largest renal uptake after lactate, uric acid, and glutamine (Fig. S3B). To determine the fate of these species, we intravenously infused a tracer amount of ^{13}C -labeled citrate, malate, or succinate into mice. Measurement of tissue TCA intermediates revealed a large contribution of citrate to the kidney TCA cycle (Fig. 2D). This is an intrinsic aspect of renal metabolism, as the infusion was non-perturbative (10% circulating citrate labeling, no detectable change in circulating citrate concentration; Fig. S3C). Malate and succinate did not make a comparable contribution in kidney, nor did any of these make a major contribution in other organs (Fig. S3D). The quantitative contribution of circulating citrate to the kidney TCA cycle was 20%, in the same range as glutamine and lactate (Hui et al., 2017). Thus, the kidneys are exceptional in using circulating citrate as fuel.

The ability of the kidney to catabolize citrate has been known for some time (Baruch et al., 1975; Hamm, 1990; Simpson, 1967), although the quantitative extent and uniqueness of this metabolic function had not been previously determined. The innate capacity of the kidneys to catabolize citrate may explain key features of kidney cancer, whose rate is steadily increasing (Chow et al., 1999). Mutations in enzymes of the left side of the TCA cycle (succinate dehydrogenase and fumarate hydratase) are oncogenic (Sullivan et al., 2013). These mutations cause cancer through epigenetic mechanisms that are not kidney-specific— inhibition of α -ketoglutarate-dependent demethylases—but the mutations nevertheless occur primarily in cancers of the kidneys (Raimundo et al., 2011). This may reflect the mutations being detrimental in other tissues, as they block endogenous citrate synthesis, but not in the kidneys which can consume citrate from the circulation. While TCA mutations are relatively rare, citrate usage may more generally impact the properties of renal cancer. Clear cell renal cell carcinoma is exceptionally lipid-laden, and shows overexpression of ATP citrate lyase, which converts citrate into lipid precursors (Teng et al., 2018). Thus, high citrate uptake may shape both the mutational landscape and pathophysiology of kidney cancer (Ricketts et al., 2018).

Exchange of glucose and lactate

To maintain circulating metabolite homeostasis, for each metabolite, total production and consumption across all organs must balance (accounting for net uptake from the diet and net excretion to urine and feces) (Fig. 3A). For an organ i , the net flux (F_i) can be calculated from the AV difference data and literature data for absolute metabolite concentrations and regional blood flow:

$$F_i = Q_i * C_a * (R_i - 1) \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where Q_i is the organ's blood flow, C_a is the absolute metabolite concentration in the arterial blood, and R_i is the measured relative concentration ratio between the draining vein of organ i and the arterial blood. Whole body production or consumption fluxes can then be calculated as

$$F_{production\ total} = \sum F_i \text{ for all } F_i > 0 \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

$$F_{consumption\ total} = \sum F_i \text{ for all } F_i < 0 \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

To maintain circulating concentration homeostasis, production and consumption fluxes must be balanced. Hence, at steady-state

$$\sum F_i = 0 \quad (\text{Equation 4})$$

Note that this approach requires measurement of absolute metabolite AV concentration gradients, not just relative changes. Absolute concentration differences were obtained by multiplying AV fold-changes by known absolute mammalian metabolite concentrations. Using this approach, we find that, consistent with prior literature (Stumvoll et al., 1998), the liver and kidneys produce ~68% and ~32% of circulating glucose (Fig. 3B and 3C). Strikingly, in the studied context of fasted anesthetized pig, this glucose is consumed largely by the other visceral organs (~60%) (Fig. 3B and 3C). Overall, glucose production and consumption were well-balanced, supporting this quantitative flux interpretation of AV difference data (Fig. 3C).

Lactate is a major secreted product of glucose catabolism, and also a major fuel for gluconeogenesis (Stumvoll et al., 1998). Consistent with this, lactate showed the opposite exchange patterns to glucose (Fig. 3B and 3D). Lactate and pyruvate share the same carbon skeleton, differing only in redox state. Pyruvate exhibited a similar pattern of organ-specific production and consumption to lactate, with one notable exception: The kidneys that take up lactate but release pyruvate (Fig. S4A and S4B). Thus, the kidneys net oxidize lactate to pyruvate, and thereby contribute to circulating redox homeostasis. Consistent with this notion, the chronic kidney disorders are associated with systemic redox imbalance (Poulianiti et al., 2016).

Interestingly, although glucose is the most abundant circulating carbohydrate, isotope tracer studies have shown that lactate has higher total flux into and out of the circulation. This observation is based on ¹³C- or ¹⁴C-isotope tracer studies, dating back decades, which measure the whole body rate of a metabolite's release from organs into the blood (endogenous production rate) (Coggan, 1999). As endogenous production rate must balance at steady-state with the rate of organ consumption and metabolic transformation, we term these rates the metabolite's circulatory turnover flux (F_{circ}) (Hui et al., 2017). The high F_{circ} of lactate aligns with recent evidence from ¹³C-tracing that circulating lactate is a major TCA substrate, with glucose feeding the TCA cycle largely via circulating lactate (Hui et al., 2017; Faubert et al., 2017). Note that ¹³C-lactate tracing monitors the total of flux of lactate from the bloodstream into tissues and its subsequent carbon transformation (i.e. conversion to pyruvate and subsequent conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA or oxaloacetate). Mere exchange of circulating lactate for tissue pyruvate does not alter the carbon skeleton of lactate and therefore does not contribute to F_{circ} measured with ¹³C-lactate infusion. We confirmed the higher F_{circ} of lactate than glucose by ¹³C-glucose and ¹³C-lactate infusion in fasted anesthetized pigs (Fig. 3F).

Compared to lactate, however, glucose shows substantially greater exchange across organs (F_{total}) (Fig. 3F). How can these observations be reconciled? Apparently, many organs both produce and consume circulating lactate (i.e. convert circulating glucose to circulating lactate, while also taking in circulating lactate and catabolizing it via the TCA cycle). This reflects the ubiquitous expression of monocarboxylate transporters, which render lactate a nearly universal carbohydrate fuel. Because of the simultaneous lactate consumption and production within an organ (likely by different cells of the organ), lactate exchange between organs is much less than its circulatory turnover flux. In contrast, glucose production and consumption are largely segregated across organs, with the liver and kidneys gluconeogenic and most other organs not producing circulating glucose (Stumvoll et al., 1998). This is evident in the glucose excretion by the liver and kidneys matching closely the whole body endogenous production rate (F_{circ}) as measured by isotope tracing (Fig. 3F). Thus, at least in fasted pigs, only the liver and kidneys release substantial glucose into the bloodstream, whereas many organs contain cells that convert glucose to circulating lactate and also cells that take in and metabolize lactate.

Exchange of amino acids

The amino acid with the highest plasma concentration is glutamine, which is an important energy source, gluconeogenic substrate, and nitrogen carrier (Smith, 1990). Consistent with previous AV data in human arm (Ivanisevic et al., 2015), we observed extensive glutamine production by the leg, presumably mainly from skeletal muscle (Fig. 4A). The leg's release of glutamine is in part supported by uptake of glutamate. Glutamine release, however, exceeds glutamate consumption by almost an order of magnitude. Thus, the extremities net release not only nitrogen, but also the shared glutamine/glutamate carbon skeleton (Figs. 4A and S5A). The liver, conversely, consumes glutamine and excretes glutamate (Fig. 4A), presumably passing the nitrogen from glutamine into the urea cycle (Smith, 1990). Notably, liver excretion of glutamate slightly exceeds consumption of glutamine, arguing against their shared carbon skeleton being a major net driver of hepatic gluconeogenesis (Stumvoll et al., 1998). In contrast, the other visceral organs and kidneys consume both glutamine and glutamate (Fig. 4A), raising the possibility that the glutamine carbon skeleton is an important precursor for renal glucose production.

Serine and glycine, which interconvert via folate metabolism, are another pair of high flux non-essential amino acids (Ducker and Rabinowitz, 2017). Serine and glycine were produced mainly by the kidneys (Fig. 4B), arguing for an important role of renal metabolism in systemic one-carbon homeostasis (Gottlieb and Vousden, 2017).

Essential amino acids (EAAs) cannot be synthesized by mammals and accordingly, in the fasted state, are only produced from protein breakdown. Skeletal muscle is generally believed to be the major amino acid storage organ (Lundholm et al., 1987). In contrast to this paradigm, we observed net uptake of essential amino acids by the leg, with circulating essential amino acid levels maintained by release from the liver and, surprisingly, also the kidneys (Fig. 4C). These findings are consistent with recent data that the liver expands during feeding and contracts during fasting (Sinturel et al., 2017), and suggest that the kidneys may also store protein during feeding and release it during fasting.

Where are these amino acids going? The greatest uptake of both essential and non-essential amino acids occurred in the other visceral organs (Figs. 4A–4C and S5B). To examine whether these amino acids were being used for protein synthesis, we carried out ^{13}C -leucine tracer infusion in mice to achieve steady-state labeling of leucine (~15%) in systemic blood (Fig. S5C). The liver and kidneys, which showed net release of leucine in pigs (Fig. S5B), also incorporated ^{13}C -leucine into proteins (Fig. 4D), suggesting that these organs simultaneously synthesize and degrade protein. But we observed the fastest protein production in the other visceral organs, which were the greatest amino acid consumers (Fig. 4D). Thus, perhaps to support continuous epithelial barrier maintenance and excretory enzyme synthesis, the pancreas and small intestine are persistently anabolic and major resting nutrient consumers.

Collectively, these data contrast with the common assumption that skeletal muscle is the major amino acid depot, releasing amino acids during fasting to supply energy and gluconeogenic precursors to liver and other organs. Instead, we find that the leg consumes essential amino acids, while liver and kidneys produce them. Thus, the present data argues for revisiting the basics of fasting amino acid homeostasis.

Exchange of short- and long-chain fatty acids

In addition to being the greatest consumer of glucose and amino acids, the intestines produce a unique set of metabolites from gut microbiota (Fig. 1G). The most abundant ones are short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (Wu et al., 2016). Propionate and butyrate are high in the portal and colonic veins but low in the hepatic vein, confirming their synthesis by microbiota in the gut and efficient clearance by the liver (Fig. S6A) (Chambers et al., 2018). The most abundant SCFA is acetate. In addition to acetate being released by the gut, acetate is also substantially released from the head (Fig. 5A), suggesting that the brain may synthesize acetate. The acetate is then consumed by several organs including the liver, spleen, legs, and kidneys (Fig. 5A). To explore the fate of acetate in these organs, we conducted steady-state ^{13}C -acetate tracer infusions in mice (~20% circulating labeling) (Fig. S6B). The data revealed that these consuming organs use acetate as fuel for TCA metabolism and as substrates for ketone body and fatty acid synthesis (Fig. S6C).

In contrast to the SCFAs, non-esterified long-chain fatty acids (LCFAs) are released by most organs, with the notable exceptions of the liver and heart (Fig. 5B). We suggest that this release is mainly from adipose stores, which are spread throughout the body (Björntorp, 1991). Additionally, hydrolysis of circulating triglycerides by lipoprotein lipase may contribute (Barrows et al., 2005). Liver is well known to consume LCFAs, both as an energy source and for packaging into lipoproteins (Barrows et al., 2005). Detailed analysis of LCFA species, however, revealed an unexpected preference for the liver to consume unsaturated (as opposed to saturated) LCFAs (Fig. 5C). Correspondingly, the leg preferentially takes up saturated LCFAs whereas it releases certain unsaturated ones (Fig. 5D). We also calculated the absolute exchange fluxes of the three most abundant circulating LCFAs (C16:0, C18:1 and C18:2). The analysis indicated that C18:1 and C18:2 are the high flux carbon shuttles, being released from the legs and consumed mainly in the liver (Fig. 5E). Using ^{13}C -LCFA isotope tracing in mice, we confirmed preferential hepatic oxidation of unsaturated versus

saturated LCFAs (Fig. 5F), which is consistent with preferential hepatic uptake of unsaturated LCFAs in pigs (Fig. 5E). Thus, the existence of double bond(s) in LCFAs plays critical roles in determining uptake and oxidation efficacies by organs, which may be linked to differential effects of saturated versus unsaturated LCFAs on cardiovascular and metabolic disease (Jha et al., 2018).

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Here we provide a quantitative atlas of inter-organ metabolite exchange. In addition to confirming classical organ-specific metabolic functions like renal and hepatic glucose production, our systems-level analysis identified unexpected ones. These include previously unknown sources and sinks for hundreds of metabolites, with 739 total observations of significant organ-specific metabolite production or consumption (Table S1 and Data File 1). Many of these observations involve less studied metabolites, and provide a foundational resource for understanding their physiological role and homeostatic mechanisms. Even for glucose itself, we obtained new insights, most notably extensive glucose consumption by the visceral organs, highlighting an underappreciated role of the intestine, which can be gluconeogenic in some contexts (Mithieux, 2005; Jang et al., 2018), in clearing fasting blood glucose.

Looking forward, a key challenge is quantitative integration of AV difference and isotope tracing data. These two data types are complementary, as AV difference data measure *net* metabolic activity (production minus consumption) whereas isotope tracing measures *gross* metabolic activity (total production or consumption). When these align, conceptual understanding is straightforward, e.g. we find that the liver preferentially consumes and burns unsaturated versus saturated fatty acids. Differences, however, can also be highly informative. For example, although we observe a propensity for net fatty acid release from the leg, isotope tracing showed that fatty acids are a major muscle fuel (Guo and Jensen, 1998). This reflects the physiology of the body part, with adipose and muscle in proximity and playing different metabolic roles in fat management.

In sum, our data provide a comprehensive resource of net organ-specific production and consumption fluxes. These are critical inputs for ongoing efforts to quantitatively model mammalian metabolism (Ahn et al., 2017; Noronha et al., 2017; Thiele et al., 2013; Shlomi et al., 2008). As such, they are a key step towards understanding the homeostasis of the circulating metabolome.

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

The AV difference measurements reported here are based on fasted, anesthetized pigs. They reflect the integrative function of the cell types and organs drained by the sampled vein (for example, internal jugular vein drains not only the brain, but also the face). Metabolism can change dramatically between feeding and fasting. Some anticipated metabolic changes in the fed state include absorption of copious glucose and amino acids from the intestinal lumen, altered access of the microbiome to dietary nutrients (Gentile and Weir, 2018), and insulin-induced muscle glucose catabolism and suppression of adipose lipolysis (Saltiel, 2015). The

present measurements may also have been impacted by anesthesia, which alters brain glucose usage (Bascañana et al., 2019) and may also impact skeletal muscle metabolism due to deficient afferent CNS inputs and associated lack of muscle contraction. Anesthesia facilitated blood sampling, especially from deeply buried veins like the hepatic, splenic and colonic. It is not, however, required for sampling from more accessible sites, and AV studies have previously been conducted in awake humans and dogs (Davis et al., 1985; Felig and Wahren, 1971; Felig et al., 1969; Moore et al., 1998; Wahren et al., 1972). Studies sampling from a more limited set of veins in awake mammals are a valuable complement to the present research, and can potentially be coupled to physiological manipulations of metabolic demand (e.g., exercise, thinking). Comprehensive AV measurements in fed animals are a key future objective.

STAR METHODS

CONTACT FOR REAGENTS AND RESOURCES SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Joshua Rabinowitz (joshhr@princeton.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Pig experiments—Pig studies followed protocols approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Five-month old male Yorkshire pigs were fed at 4 PM and then overnight fasted. At 7 AM in the next morning, anesthesia was initiated with a ketamine IM injection (15~35 mg/kg), followed by intubation and maintenance of anesthesia with inhaled isoflurane at 0.5~5%. Infusion of [U-¹³C]-glucose or [U-¹³C]-lactate was performed via the external jugular vein catheter. Blood was collected from the carotid artery every 10 min during the infusion. For the glucose, after a bolus of 0.47 mL/kg of 200 mM solution, infusion was performed with 0.04 mL/min/kg of 26 mM solution for 110 min to achieve steady-state blood labeling (9%). For the lactate infusion, infusion was performed with 0.035 mL/min/kg of 82 mM solution for 110 min to achieve steady-state blood labeling (11%). Arterial and venous access was obtained to enable fluid infusion (NaCl 4 – 10 mcg/kg/min), blood pressure monitoring, pharmacological control of blood pressure (0.2 – 2.0 mcg/kg/min dobutamine and 0.1 – 5.0 mcg/kg/min phenylephrine, as required), and blood sampling. The primary initiative venous access was via ultrasound-guided percutaneous puncture of the external jugular vein. Arterial access was through a neck to the carotid artery. The surgical site was blocked with Bupivacaine SQ just after the first incision. The subcutaneous tissues and muscle layers were carefully dissected, with hemostasis achieved with electrocautery. For blood sampling from the hepatic and the portal vein, a catheter was placed on each vein. Blood from inferior vena cava, internal jugular vein, femoral vein, renal vein, splenic vein, inferior pancreatic vein, inferior mesenteric vein, lateral auricular vein, and coronary sinus were obtained by drawing with a 27G needle connected to 1 mL syringe. Blood was drawn from each vessel twice at 1 – 2 min intervals, except for the coronary sinus (only a single draw). For urine, a catheter was implanted into the bladder, residual urine was drained, and a sterile 50 mL falcon tube was connected to the catheter to collect fresh urine. After completion of blood sampling, the animal was

euthanized. Blood samples were placed at room temperature for 10 – 20 min, and centrifuged at $1,000 \times g$ for 10 min to obtain serum.

Mouse experiments—Mouse studies followed protocols approved by the Princeton University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Infusion was performed on single-housed 10 – 14 week old male C57BL/6 mice with a catheter surgically implanted on the right jugular vein (Charles River). ^{13}C -citrate and ^{13}C -acetate infusion solutions were prepared in saline with 20 mM $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -sodium citrate, 5mM $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -sodium malate, 10 mM $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -sodium succinate, or 200 mM $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -sodium acetate. Infusion was performed with $0.1 \mu\text{L/g/min}$ for 3 hours to achieve steady-state blood labeling (10~15%) without perturbation of circulating blood levels. For ^{13}C -LCFA infusions, $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -LCFA was first dissolved in 70°C saline at 16 mM and slowly mixed with 37°C saline containing 4 mM fatty acid-free BSA at 1:1 v/v ratio to conjugate LCFA to albumin at 4:1 ratio. Final infusion solutions were 8 mM for $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -sodium oleate or $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -sodium linoelate and 4 mM for $[\text{U-}^{13}\text{C}]$ -sodium palmitate. Infusion was performed with $0.5 \mu\text{L/g/min}$ for 3 hours to achieve steady-state blood labeling (8~10%) without perturbation of circulating blood levels. The mouse infusion setup (Instech Laboratories, Plymouth Meeting, PA) included a tether and swivel system so that the animal has free movement in the cage. On the day of the experiment, mice were transferred to new cages without food at 10 AM for fasting. At 3 PM, infusion was started. Blood ($\sim 10 \mu\text{L}$) was collected by tail bleeding in blood collection tubes (Sarstedt 16.443.100), placed on ice for 20 min, and centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C to obtain serum. For tissue harvest, mice were euthanized via cervical dislocation and tissues were quickly dissected and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen with a pre-cooled Wollenberger clamp. Serum and tissue samples were kept at -80°C until LC-MS analysis. All mouse experiments were performed with 2 or 3 mice per day, with results validated on multiple days using mice from different cages.

METHOD DETAILS

Metabolite extraction—Serum ($5 \mu\text{L}$) was mixed with $150 \mu\text{L}$ -20°C 40:40:20 methanol:acetonitrile:water (extraction solvent), vortexed, and immediately centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C . The supernatant was collected for LC-MS analysis. To extract metabolites from tissue samples, frozen tissue samples were ground at liquid nitrogen temperature with a Cryomill (Retsch, Newtown, PA). The resulting tissue powder ($\sim 20 \text{ mg}$) was weighed and then extracted by adding -20°C extraction solvent (as above), vortexed, and immediately centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C . The volume of the extraction solution (μL) was $40\times$ the weight of tissue (mg) to make an extract of 25 mg tissue per mL solvent. The supernatant was collected for LC-MS analysis.

Metabolite measurement by LC-MS—A quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer (Q Exactive, Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) operating in negative or positive ion mode was coupled to hydrophilic interaction chromatography via electrospray ionization and used to scan from m/z 70 to 1000 at 1 Hz and 75,000 resolution. LC separation was on a XBridge BEH Amide column ($2.1 \text{ mm} \times 150 \text{ mm}$, $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ particle size, 130 \AA pore size; Waters, Milford, MA) using a gradient of solvent A (20 mM ammonium acetate, 20 mM ammonium hydroxide in 95:5 water: acetonitrile, pH 9.45) and solvent B (acetonitrile). Flow

rate was 150 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$. The LC gradient was: 0 min, 85% B; 2 min, 85% B; 3 min, 80% B; 5 min, 80% B; 6 min, 75% B; 7 min, 75% B; 8 min, 70% B; 9 min, 70% B; 10 min, 50% B; 12 min, 50% B; 13 min, 25% B; 16 min, 25% B; 18 min, 0% B; 23 min, 0% B; 24 min, 85% B; 30 min, 85% B. Autosampler temperature was 5°C, and injection volume was 3 μL . Data were analyzed using the MAVEN software. For tracer experiments, isotope labeling was corrected for natural ^{13}C abundance (Su et al., 2017).

SCFA measurement—SCFAs were derivatized and measured by LC-MS. The derivatizing reagent was 12 mM EDC, 15 mM 3-Nitrophenylhydrazine and pyridine (2% v/v) in methanol. Reaction was stopped with quenching reagent consisting of 0.5 mM beta-mercaptoethanol and 0.1% formic acid in water. Serum (5 μL) was mixed with derivatizing reagent (100 μL) and incubated for 1 hour at 4°C. Then, the samples were centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C, and 20 μL of supernatant was mixed with 200 μL of the quenching reagent. After centrifugation at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C, supernatants were collected for LC-MS analysis. A quadrupole-time of flight mass spectrometer (Q-TOF, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) operating in negative ion mode was coupled to C18 chromatography via electrospray ionization and used to scan from m/z 100 to 300 at 1 Hz and 15,000 resolution. LC separation was on an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm \times 100 mm, 1.7 μm particle size, 130 Å pore size; Waters, Milford, MA) using a gradient of solvent A (0.01% formic acid in water) and solvent B (0.01% formic acid in isopropanol). Flow rate was 400 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$, except that from 6 min to 8 min flow rate was increased to 700 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$. The LC gradient was: 0 min, 10% B; 2 min, 15% B; 5 min, 25% B; 6 min, 100% B; 8 min, 100% B; 8.6 min, 10% B; 10.5 min, 10% B. Autosampler temperature was 5°C, and injection volume was 10 μL . Ion masses for derivatized formate, acetate, propionate, and butyrate were 180, 194, 208, and 222, respectively.

Protein labeling measurement—Infusion solution was prepared in saline with [^{13}C]-leucine, unlabeled valine and isoleucine (45, 37.5, and 26.25 mM, respectively), as previously described (Neinast et al., 2019). Infusion was performed for 2 hours at the rate of 0.0836 $\mu\text{L}/\text{g}/\text{min}$. Tissue proteins were isolated by chloroform-methanol extraction. Protein pellets were washed with methanol twice to remove residual amino acids. Then, the protein samples were hydrolyzed with 6 M HCl at 120°C overnight. After completely drying the HCl with blowing nitrogen gas, the samples were dissolved with 80% methanol in water, centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C, and supernatants were collected for LC-MS analysis.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The absolute metabolite concentrations in the blood were taken from the Human Metabolome Database, using the average of healthy male data. Regional blood flow was from (PA, 2005). A two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test was used to calculate p-values. Metabolomics data were corrected for multiple comparisons, based on the number of measured metabolites, via the Benjamani-Hochberg method, with a false-discover rate (FDR) cut off of 0.05 used to determine statistical significance.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

All the pig A/V data are provided in Data File 3. MAVEN software for LC-MS data analysis is available online at <http://genomics-pubs.princeton.edu/mzroll/index.php>.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

C.J. is a postdoctoral fellow of the American Diabetes Association (1-17-PDF-076). S.H. is supported by a NIH grant K99DK117066. This work was supported by NIH Pioneer Award 1DP1DK113643 and Diabetes Research Center Grant P30 DK019525. We thank members of the Rabinowitz lab for scientific discussions.

REFERENCES

- Ahn E, Kumar P, Mukha D, Tzur A, and Shlomi T (2017). Temporal fluxomics reveals oscillations in TCA cycle flux throughout the mammalian cell cycle. *Mol. Syst. Biol* 13, 953. [PubMed: 29109155]
- Barrows BR, Timlin MT, and Parks EJ (2005). Spillover of dietary fatty acids and use of serum nonesterified fatty acids for the synthesis of VLDL-triacylglycerol under two different feeding regimens. *Diabetes* 54, 2668–2673. [PubMed: 16123356]
- Baruch SB, Burich RL, Eun CK, and King VF (1975). Renal metabolism of citrate. *Med. Clin. North Am* 59, 569–582. [PubMed: 1092932]
- Bascuñana P, Thackeray JT, Bankstahl M, Bengel FM, and Bankstahl JP (2019). Anesthesia and Preconditioning Induced Changes in Mouse Brain [18F] FDG Uptake and Kinetics. *Mol. Imaging Biol*
- Björntorp P (1991). Adipose tissue distribution and function. *Int. J. Obes* 15 Suppl 2, 67–81.
- Cantarow A, and Ricchiuti G (1934). EPINEPHRINE HYPERGLYCEMIA. *J. Clin. Invest* 13, 461–478. [PubMed: 16694222]
- Chambers ES, Preston T, Frost G, and Morrison DJ (2018). Role of Gut Microbiota-Generated Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Metabolic and Cardiovascular Health. *Curr. Nutr. Rep* 7, 198–206. [PubMed: 30264354]
- Chantranupong L, Wolfson RL, and Sabatini DM (2015). Nutrient-Sensing Mechanisms across Evolution. *Cell* 161, 67–83. [PubMed: 25815986]
- Chow WH, Devesa SS, Warren JL, and Fraumeni JF (1999). Rising incidence of renal cell cancer in the United States. *JAMA* 281, 1628–1631. [PubMed: 10235157]
- Coggan AR (1999). Use of stable isotopes to study carbohydrate and fat metabolism at the whole-body level. *Proc. Nutr. Soc* 58, 953–961. [PubMed: 10817163]
- Cori CF (1981). The glucose-lactic acid cycle and gluconeogenesis. *Curr. Top. Cell. Regul* 18, 377–387. [PubMed: 7273846]
- Davis MA, Williams PE, and Cherrington AD (1985). Effect of glucagon on hepatic lactate metabolism in the conscious dog. *Am. J. Physiol* 248, E463–70. [PubMed: 2858980]
- Ducker GS, and Rabinowitz JD (2017). One-Carbon Metabolism in Health and Disease. *Cell Metab.* 25, 27–42. [PubMed: 27641100]
- Eipel C, Abshagen K, and Vollmar B (2010). Regulation of hepatic blood flow: the hepatic arterial buffer response revisited. *World J. Gastroenterol* 16, 6046–6057. [PubMed: 21182219]
- Felig P, and Wahren J (1971). Amino acid metabolism in exercising man. *J. Clin. Invest* 50, 2703–2714. [PubMed: 5129318]
- Felig P, Owen OE, Wahren J, and Cahill GF (1969). Amino acid metabolism during prolonged starvation. *J. Clin. Invest* 48, 584–594. [PubMed: 5773094]

- Gentile CL, and Weir TL (2018). The gut microbiota at the intersection of diet and human health. *Science* (80-.). 362, 776–780.
- Gottlieb E, and Vousden KH (2017). One carbon, many roads. *Cell Death Differ.* 24, 193–194. [PubMed: 28060379]
- Guo Z, and Jensen MD (1998). Intramuscular fatty acid metabolism evaluated with stable isotopic tracers. *J. Appl. Physiol* 84, 1674–1679. [PubMed: 9572816]
- Hamm LL (1990). Renal handling of citrate. *Kidney Int.* 38, 728–735. [PubMed: 2232510]
- Hickam JB, Cargill WH, and Golden A (1948). CARDIOVASCULAR REACTIONS TO EMOTIONAL STIMULI. EFFECT ON THE CARDIAC OUTPUT, ARTERIOVENOUS OXYGEN DIFFERENCE, ARTERIAL PRESSURE, AND PERIPHERAL RESISTANCE 1. *J. Clin. Invest* 27, 290–298. [PubMed: 16695554]
- Hui S, Ghergurovich JM, Morscher RJ, Jang C, Teng X, Lu W, Esparza LA, Reya T, Zhan Le, Yanxiang Guo J, et al. (2017). Glucose feeds the TCA cycle via circulating lactate. *Nature* 551, 115–118. [PubMed: 29045397]
- Ivanisevic J, Elias D, Deguchi H, Averell PM, Kurczy M, Johnson CH, Tautenhahn R, Zhu Z, Watrous J, Jain M, et al. (2015). Arteriovenous Blood Metabolomics: A Readout of Intra-Tissue Metabostasis. *Sci. Rep* 5, 12757. [PubMed: 26244428]
- Jha P, McDevitt MT, Halilbasic E, Williams EG, Quiros PM, Gariani K, Sleiman MB, Gupta R, Ulbrich A, Jochem A, et al. (2018). Genetic Regulation of Plasma Lipid Species and Their Association with Metabolic Phenotypes. *Cell Syst.* 6, 709–721.e6. [PubMed: 29909275]
- Kikuchi T, Orita Y, Ando A, Mikami H, Fujii M, Okada A, and Abe H (1981). Liquid-chromatographic determination of guanidino compounds in plasma and erythrocyte of normal persons and uremic patients. *Clin. Chem* 27, 1899–1902. [PubMed: 7296840]
- Lundholm K, Bennegård K, Zachrisson H, Lundgren F, Edén E, and Möller-Loswick AC (1987). Transport kinetics of amino acids across the resting human leg. *J. Clin. Invest* 80, 763–771. [PubMed: 3624488]
- Mithieux G (2005). The new functions of the gut in the control of glucose homeostasis. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 8, 445–449. [PubMed: 15930972]
- Moore MC, Flakoll PJ, Hsieh PS, Pagliassotti MJ, Neal DW, Monohan MT, Venable C, and Cherrington AD (1998). Hepatic glucose disposition during concomitant portal glucose and amino acid infusions in the dog. *Am. J. Physiol* 274, E893–902. [PubMed: 9612248]
- Neinast MD, Jang C, Hui S, Murashige DS, Chu Q, Morscher RJ, Li X, Zhan L, White E, Anthony TG, et al. (2019). Quantitative Analysis of the Whole-Body Metabolic Fate of Branched-Chain Amino Acids. *Cell Metab.*
- Noronha A, Daníelsdóttir AD, Gawron P, Jóhannsson F, Jónsdóttir S, Jarlsson S, Gunnarsson JP, Brynjólfsson S, Schneider R, Thiele I, et al. (2017). ReconMap: an interactive visualization of human metabolism. *Bioinformatics* 33, 605–607. [PubMed: 27993782]
- PA I (2005). *The Handbook of Cardiac Anatomy, Physiology and Devices.*
- Perleberg C, Kind A, and Schnieke A (2018). Genetically engineered pigs as models for human disease. *Dis. Model. Mech* 11, dmm030783. [PubMed: 29419487]
- Pouliantiti KP, Kaltsatou A, Mitrou GI, Jamurtas AZ, Koutedakis Y, Maridaki M, Stefanidis I, Sakkas GK, and Karatzaferi C (2016). Systemic Redox Imbalance in Chronic Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev* 2016, 1–19.
- Prather RS, Shen M, and Dai Y (2008). Genetically modified pigs for medicine and agriculture. *Biotechnol. Genet. Eng. Rev* 25, 245–265. [PubMed: 21412358]
- Raimundo N, Baysal BE, and Shadel GS (2011). Revisiting the TCA cycle: signaling to tumor formation. *Trends Mol. Med* 17, 641–649. [PubMed: 21764377]
- Ricketts CJ, De Cubas AA, Fan H, Smith CC, Lang M, Reznik E, Bowlby R, Gibb EA, Akbani R, Beroukhim R, et al. (2018). The Cancer Genome Atlas Comprehensive Molecular Characterization of Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Cell Rep.* 23, 3698.
- Saltiel AR (2015). Insulin Signaling in the Control of Glucose and Lipid Homeostasis. In *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*, pp. 51–71.
- Shlomi T, Cabili MN, Herrgård MJ, Palsson BØ, and Ruppin E (2008). Network-based prediction of human tissue-specific metabolism. *Nat. Biotechnol* 26, 1003–1010. [PubMed: 18711341]

- Simpson DP (1967). Regulation of Renal Citrate Metabolism by Bicarbonate Ion and pH: Observations in Tissue Slices and Mitochondria*. *J. Clin. Invest* 46, 225–238. [PubMed: 6018760]
- Sinturel F, Gerber A, Mauvoisin D, Wang J, Gatfield D, Stubblefield JJ, Green CB, Gachon F, and Schibler U (2017). Diurnal Oscillations in Liver Mass and Cell Size Accompany Ribosome Assembly Cycles. *Cell* 169, 651–663.e14. [PubMed: 28475894]
- Smith RJ (1990). Glutamine Metabolism and Its Physiologic Importance. *J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr* 14, 40S–44S.
- Stumvoll M, Meyer C, Perriello G, Kreider M, Welle S, and Gerich J (1998). Human kidney and liver gluconeogenesis: evidence for organ substrate selectivity. *Am. J. Physiol* 274, E817–26. [PubMed: 9612239]
- Su X, Lu W, and Rabinowitz JD (2017). Metabolite Spectral Accuracy on Orbitraps. *Anal. Chem*
- Sullivan LB, Martinez-Garcia E, Nguyen H, Mullen AR, Dufour E, Sudarshan S, Licht JD, Deberardinis RJ, and Chandel NS (2013). The Proto-oncometabolite Fumarate Binds Glutathione to Amplify ROS-Dependent Signaling. *Mol. Cell* 51, 236–248. [PubMed: 23747014]
- Teng L, Chen Y, Cao Y, Wang W, Xu Y, Wang Y, Lv J, Li C, and Su Y (2018). Overexpression of ATP citrate lyase in renal cell carcinoma tissues and its effect on the human renal carcinoma cells in vitro. *Oncol. Lett* 15, 6967–6974. [PubMed: 29725424]
- Thiele I, Swainston N, Fleming RMT, Hoppe A, Sahoo S, Aurich MK, Haraldsdottir H, Mo ML, Rolfsson O, Stobbe MD, et al. (2013). A community-driven global reconstruction of human metabolism. *Nat. Biotechnol* 31, 419–425. [PubMed: 23455439]
- Wahren J, Felig P, Cerasi E, and Luft R (1972). Splanchnic and peripheral glucose and amino acid metabolism in diabetes mellitus. *J. Clin. Invest* 51, 1870–1878. [PubMed: 5032528]
- Wu GD, Compher C, Chen EZ, Smith SA, Shah RD, Bittinger K, Chehoud C, Albenberg LG, Nessel L, Gilroy E, et al. (2016). Comparative metabolomics in vegans and omnivores reveal constraints on diet-dependent gut microbiota metabolite production. *Gut* 65, 63–72. [PubMed: 25431456]

Context and Significance

Different organs in the body have specific preferences for their fuel source and produce particular waste products. For example, lactate production by muscle is balanced by lactate conversion to glucose by liver. Researchers at Princeton University and the University of Pennsylvania used state of the art metabolite tracing of blood going in and coming out of eleven organs in the pig to study over 700 circulating metabolites. They chose pigs because their diet and metabolism are similar to humans. Besides providing a useful resource of inter-organ metabolite exchange, their analysis highlighted unexpected findings which can have direct medical relevance, such as the preferential consumption of heart-healthy unsaturated fatty acids, as opposed to atherogenic saturated fatty acids, by the liver.

Highlights

- A systems-level atlas of organ-specific metabolite production and consumption
- 700 quantitative measurements of organ-specific uptake or excretion
- Kidneys burn circulating citrate and net oxidize lactate to pyruvate
- Liver preferentially consumes unsaturated over saturated fatty acids




Fig. 1. The landscape of metabolite exchange between organs.

(A) AV difference measurements were achieved by sampling blood from the arterial circulation and organ-specific draining veins of fasting, anesthetized pigs. (B) The difference between metabolite production and consumption by an organ (the net flux, F_{organ}) results in enrichment or depletion of the metabolite in the exiting venous blood relative to entering arterial blood (concentrations C_v and C_a). This concentration difference is measured by LC-MS and reported as a log fold change. (C) Schematic of the circulatory system. Syringes indicate blood sampling sites. (D) Workflow. (E) Venn diagrams of measured metabolites,

circulating metabolites, and metabolites with significant AV differences. **(F)** Number of metabolites with significant AV differences for each organ. **(G)** Heat map of AV differences of circulating metabolites across organs. Yellow indicates release and blue indicates uptake. Each data point indicates median of three technical replicates, with independent duplicate blood draws from the same pig shown separately ($N = 5$ pigs and 10 data points for most organs; only a single blood draw per pig was performed in the heart and only 3 pigs were used for the ear vein sampling). Leg, head, and ear primarily reflect skeletal muscle, brain, and skin metabolism, respectively. See also Figures S1, S2, and Data Files 1–3.




Fig. 2. The kidneys clear most metabolites, with citrate a kidney-specific fuel. (A) Heat map of metabolite abundance (log₂) in renal vein relative to the arterial blood (left) or urine relative to arterial blood (right) in pigs. Metabolites produced by the kidneys are highlighted on the left. (B) Correlation between a metabolite's blood concentration and reabsorption efficiency. (C) AV difference of citrate across the indicated organs in pigs. Each data point reflects an independent venous blood draw. (D) Citrate contribution to TCA in mice. The kidneys are unique in using citrate as fuel. Mice were intravenously infused with [U-¹³C]-citrate, and average TCA intermediate carbon labeling was normalized to blood

citrate labeling. Data are means and error bars are standard errors (N = 4 mice). See also Figure S3.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 3. Glucose production localizes to the liver and kidneys, whereas lactate production is distributed throughout the body.

(A) Metabolite production and consumption across all organs must balance to maintain circulating metabolite homeostasis. (B, D) Glucose and lactate show the opposite exchange patterns. Each data point reflects an independent venous blood draw from pig. (C, E) Production and consumption fluxes of glucose and lactate in pigs. (F) Comparison between sum of organ production fluxes (F_{total}) and whole body production rate (F_{circ}) of glucose and lactate in pigs. Note that F_{total} and F_{circ} are similar for glucose but different for lactate,

indicating simultaneous lactate production and consumption within the same organ. Data are means and error bars are standard errors (N = 5 pigs, except for isotope tracing, which was performed in a single pig). BW, body weight; Viscera, organs feeding into the hepatic portal vein. See also Figure S4.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 4. The liver and kidneys release amino acids, which are consumed substantially by the other visceral organs.

(A-C) Exchange fluxes of the indicated amino acids in pigs. Data are means and error bars are standard errors (N = 5 pigs). “Others” refers to Ala, Pro, Arg and Tyr. (D) Newly synthesized proteins in mice. Visceral organs (intestine, pancreas, and spleen) show the most active protein synthesis. Mice were intravenously infused with [U-¹³C]-leucine for 2 h and protein leucine labeling was normalized to blood leucine labeling. Data are means and error bars are standard errors (N = 8 mice). See also Figure S5.




Fig. 5. The liver preferentially consumes and burns unsaturated as opposed to saturated fatty acids.

(A) Acetate is released from the gut and head. Each data point reflects an independent venous blood draw from pig. (B) LCFAs are released from the visceral organs other than liver, head, and leg, and consumed by the liver and heart. Each data point reflects the mean AV difference for a specific LCFA in pigs, with different fatty acids shown by different colors. (C, D) The liver and leg show the opposite preferences in LCFA uptake. (E) Exchange fluxes of the three most abundant LCFAs in pigs. (F) The liver preferentially

oxidizes unsaturated LCFAs in mice. Mice were intravenously infused with the indicated [U-¹³C]-LCFA, and fractions of total labeled carbons in TCA intermediates and 3-hydroxybutyrate in the liver were normalized to blood tracer labeling. Data are means and error bars are standard errors. For panels **A-E**, N = 5 pigs. For panel **F**, N = 4, 6, and 7 mice for C16:0, C18:1, and C18:2 infusions, respectively. * $p < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's *t*-test. Viscera, organs feeding into the hepatic portal vein. See also Figure S6.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.
Selected examples of organ-specific metabolite production and consumption.

See also Data File 1.

Organ	Production		Consumption	
	Metabolite	Log ₂ (V/A)	Metabolite	Log ₂ (V/A)
Liver	Glutamate	0.64 ± 0.11	Bile acids (5)	-2.89 ± 0.19
	Triethanolamine	0.49 ± 0.17	Phenylpropionic acid (2)	-2.29 ± 0.12
	Acetoacetate	0.38 ± 0.09	Short-chain fatty acids (3)	-2.02 ± 0.83
Portal (Intestine)	Bile acids (6)	3.28 ± 0.21	2-Methylhippuric acid	-0.69 ± 0.15
	Phenylpropionic acid (2)	2.84 ± 0.32	Glucose	-0.31 ± 0.05
	Short-chain fatty acids (3)	2.82 ± 1.15	Glutamine	-0.28 ± 0.02
Colon	Short-chain fatty acids (3)	4.65 ± 1.21	2-Methylhippuric acid	-0.60 ± 0.21
	Lithocholic acid	4.04 ± 1.10	5-Hydroxylysine	-0.41 ± 0.05
	Phenylpropionic acid (2)	3.42 ± 0.48	Glucose	-0.39 ± 0.05
Pancreas	Xanthine	1.05 ± 0.26	5-Hydroxylysine	-0.79 ± 0.19
	Capryloyl glycine	0.51 ± 0.09	N-Carbamoylsarcosine	-0.39 ± 0.09
	TCA intermediates (5)	0.36 ± 0.17	Amino acids (8)	-0.36 ± 0.01
Spleen	O-Phosphorylethanolamine	1.11 ± 0.22	Adenosine	-0.61 ± 0.14
	Nucleosides (9)	0.52 ± 0.03	Dihydroxymandelic acid	-0.33 ± 0.07
	C22, C24 very long-chain fatty acids (11)	0.35 ± 0.008	C5 Acylcarnitine	-0.26 ± 0.02
Head (brain)	Synephrine	1.89 ± 0.68	Dihydroxymandelic acid	-0.38 ± 0.12
	Gluconolactone, Gluconate	1.66 ± 0.03	2-Methylhippuric acid	-0.34 ± 0.11
	Acetate	1.46 ± 0.39	Glutamate	-0.30 ± 0.09
Leg (muscle)	Hypotaurine	0.69 ± 0.12	Glutamate	-1.41 ± 0.33
	Branched chain hydroxyl acids (2)	0.65 ± 0.12	Ketone bodies (2)	-0.58 ± 0.14
	Medium and long-chain	0.57 ± 0.02	Short-chain	-0.36 ± 0.05
	acylcarnitines (11)		acylcarnitines (5)	
Lung	2-Phenylpropionic acid	0.48 ± 0.14	5-Keto-D-gluconic acid	-0.31 ± 0.07
	Aconitate	0.26 ± 0.03	Kynurenate	-0.22 ± 0.03
	C22:0, C24:0 fatty acids	0.24 ± 0.02	3-Hydroxyanthranilic acid	-0.17 ± 0.02
Kidney	Glycocyamine	1.87 ± 0.12	N-Formyl-L-methionine	-2.66 ± 0.32
	Serine	0.73 ± 0.12	Medium-chain	-2.61 ± 0.19
			acylcarnitines (4)	
	Allantoate	0.53 ± 0.12	N-acetyl amino acids (9)	-1.27 ± 0.87
Heart	Hypotaurine	0.34 ± 0.11	3-Phenylpropionic acid	-0.71 ± 0.24
	Glutamate	0.26 ± 0.04	Unsaturated long-chain fatty acids (11)	-0.53 ± 0.06
	Biotin	0.25 ± 0.06	Hydroxyindoleacetic acid	-0.47 ± 0.12
Ear (skin)	Guanine	0.82 ± 0.19	Hydroxyhippuric acid	-0.35 ± 0.08
	Taurine	0.53 ± 0.08	Indole metabolites (2)	-0.23 ± 0.02
	Long-chain	0.20 ± 0.04	Serine	-0.15 ± 0.01

Organ	Production		Consumption	
	Metabolite	Log ₂ (V/A)	Metabolite	Log ₂ (V/A)
	acylcarnitines (3)			

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2.
Top three metabolites produced and consumed by each organ in pigs.

Ranking is based on multiplying the \log_2 (t-value) and \log_2 (V/A) to reflect both statistical significance and fold change. Numbers in parentheses refer to the number of metabolites in that category showing statistically significant AV differences across the indicated organ. All AV differences included in the table are statistically significant (FDR < 0.05).

Organ	Exemplary discovery	Key evidence
Liver	Clears unsaturated fatty acids	Compared to the most abundant saturated fatty acids, oleate (C18:1) and linoleate (C18:2) show greater uptake and TCA contribution
	Produces amino acids	Significant release of amino acids
Intestine	Consumes glucose and amino acids	Greatest absolute uptake flux, of any organ, of both glucose and amino acids
Pancreas	Produces TCA intermediates	Significant release of citrate, ketoglutarate, succinate, fumarate, and malate
Spleen	Produces nucleosides	Significant release of cytidine, deoxycytidine, deoxyuridine, guanosine, inosine, thymidine, uridine, xanthosine
	Produces unsaturated very long chain fatty acids	Significant release of C22:1, C22:2, C22:3, C22:4, C22:5, C22:6, C24:1, C24:2, C24:3, C24:4, C24:5
Brain	Produces acetate	> 2x increase in acetate in jugular vein blood
Leg muscle	Consumes short chain acylcarnitines	Significant uptake of C2:0, C3:0, C4:0, C5:0, C5:1 carnitines
	Produces long chain acylcarnitines	Significant release of C8:0, C10:0, C12:0, C12:1, C14:1, C14:2, C16:0, C16:1, C18:1, C18:2, C20:4 carnitines
Heart	Consumes long chain fatty acids	Significant uptake of C16:0, C16:1, C18:0, C18:1, C18:2, C20:1, C20:2, C22:4, C24:0, C24:1
Lung	Produces saturated very long chain fatty acids	Significant release of C22:0, C24:0
Kidney	Consumes citrate	Only organ with significant citrate uptake; TCA contribution from citrate > 10x higher than any other organ
	Maintains circulating pyruvate/lactate ratio	Significant increase in pyruvate relative to lactate in renal vein blood
	Produces amino acids	Significant release of amino acids
	Consumes medium and long chain acylcarnitines	Significant uptake of C5:0, C6:0, C8:0, C10:0, C10:1, C12:0, C12:1, C14:0, C14:1, C14:2, C16:1 (without release into urine)