

HHS Public Access

Neuropharmacology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Neuropharmacology. 2019 November 01; 158: 107729. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2019.107729.

Why flavored vape products may be attractive: green apple tobacco flavor elicits reward-related behavior, upregulates nAChRs on VTA dopamine neurons, and alters midbrain dopamine and GABA neuron function.

Alicia J. Avelar¹, Austin T. Akers¹, Zachary J. Baumgard¹, Skylar Y. Cooper¹, Gabriella P. Casinelli¹, Brandon J. Henderson^{1,*}

¹Department of Biomedical Sciences, Marshall University, Joan C Edwards School of Medicine, Huntington, WV, USA

Abstract

While nicotine is the primary addictive component in tobacco products, additional flavors have become a concern with the growing popularity of electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS). For this reason, we have begun to investigate popular tobacco and ENDS flavors. Here, we examined farnesol, a chemical flavorant used in green apple and fruit flavors in ENDS e-liquids, for its ability to produce reward-related behavior. Using male and female 3 - 6 month old C57BL/6J mice and farnesol doses of 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg we identified a sex-dependent effect in a conditioned place preference assay: farnesol-alone produces reward-related behavior in only male mice. Despite this sex-dependent effect, 1.0 mg/kg farnesol enhances locomotor activity in both male and female mice. To understand farnesol's effect on reward-related behavior, we used whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology and confocal microscopy to investigate changes in putative dopamine and GABA neurons. For these approaches, we utilized genetically modified mice that contain fluorescent nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). Our electrophysiological assays with male mice revealed that farnesol treatment increases ventral tegmental area (VTA) dopamine neuron firing frequency and this may be due to a decrease in inhibitory tone from GABA neurons. Our microscopy assays revealed that farnesol treatment produces a significant upregulation of α 6* nAChRs in male mice but not female mice. This was supported by an observed increase in $\alpha 6^*$ nAChR function in additional electrophysiology assays. These data provide evidence that popular tobacco flavorants may alter smoking-related behavior and promote the need to examine additional ENDS flavors.

Graphical Abstract

^{*}Corresponding Author: Brandon J. Henderson, PhD, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Joan C Edwards School of Medicine at Marshall University, 1700 3rd Ave, 410 BBSC, Huntington, WV 25703, Hendersonbr@marshall.edu Phone: 304-696-7316. Contributions

Behavioral assays were performed by ATA, GPC, SYC, and BJH. Electrophysiology was performed by BJH. Microscopy was performed by AJA, ATA, ZBJ, SYC, and BJH. Funding was secured by BJH. The paper was written by BJH and all authors participated in editing.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1. Introduction¹

Nicotine, the primary addictive component of tobacco and ENDS products, stimulates dopamine neurotransmission through the activation of nAChRs. The key nAChR subtypes that mediate nicotine addiction are most likely $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$, $\alpha 6\beta 2\beta 3$, and $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs due to their location on midbrain neurons of the dopamine reward pathway and their highsensitivity to nicotine (Pons et al., 2008; Kuryatov and Lindstrom, 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Engle et al., 2013). The most popular tobacco flavor, menthol, has been reported to enhance nicotine reward and reinforcement (Wang et al., 2014; Biswas et al., 2016; Henderson et al., 2017) by altering nAChR upregulation (Brody et al., 2013; Alsharari et al., 2015; Henderson et al., 2016; Henderson et al., 2017), increasing dopamine neuron excitability (Henderson et al., 2017), facilitating nicotine-evoked dopamine release (Zhang et al., 2018), and by acting on transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily melastatin member 8 (TRPM8) to elicit cooling sensations (Wang et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2016) to potentially allow new smokers to overcome the harsh irritation of tobacco inhalation (Dessirier et al., 2001). Ultimately, the greatest concern regarding menthol is the fact that smokers of menthol cigarettes exhibit lower cessation rates compared to non-menthol smokers (Gandhi et al., 2009; Benowitz and Samet, 2011; Hoffman and Miceli, 2011; D'Silva et al., 2012; FDA, 2012).

Given the effect menthol has on nicotine reward, reinforcement, and smoking cessation, it is critical that we understand how other flavors influence smoking behavior. Growing concerns of flavors' effect on ENDS use have caused the FDA to take actions to reduce youth smoking initiation. At the time of this study, the FDA is proposing a ban on menthol flavoring in combustible cigarettes and a measure to restrict sales of flavored ENDS in convenience stores. Given the rapid growth in ENDS popularity among youth, their propensity to choose flavored e-liquids, and the knowledge that flavored tobacco increases smoking initiation among youth, there is a clear need to increase our understanding of tobacco flavors' effects on neurobiology. This is especially true given that ENDS popularity is owed to their flavors (Mead et al., 2018). Despite the attention to youth ENDS use, 70% of adult ENDS users also prefer flavored e-liquids (Schneller et al., 2018). Thus, this is a problem that spans all age ranges.

Many flavorants used in tobacco products exhibit similar chemical scaffolds to menthol. Therefore, we hypothesized that these flavorants may also alter midbrain dopamine neurons in a manner that contributes to reward-related behavior. Among users of ENDS and hookah,

¹Non-standard abbreviations: electronic nicotine delivery systems, ENDS; nAChR, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor; NFRET, normalized Forster resonance energy transfer; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; SNc, substantia nigra pars compacta; VTA, ventral tegmental area

Neuropharmacology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 November 01.

Page 3

green apple flavors are as popular as menthol. According to some reports, green apple and other fruity flavors are more popular than menthol (Omaiye et al., 2019). Thus, we believe that green apple flavorants are a suitable starting point for investigating the effect that ENDS flavors exert on neurobiology. Farnesol is one of the most prominent chemical flavorants and odorants used in apple flavor and aroma (Espino-Diaz et al., 2016). Most notably, farnesol is the most prominent 'natural' biosynthesized flavorant of apples, along with farnesene (Espino-Diaz et al., 2016) and is present in green apple flavored ENDS e-liquids (Aszyk et al., 2018) along with other chemical flavorants (Tierney et al., 2016). Given the structural similarity to menthol, we chose to investigate farnesol first.

To investigate farnesol's effect on reward-related behavior and neurobiology, we designed experiments utilizing: 1) conditioned place preference assays to examine farnesol's effect on reward; 2) whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology with mouse brain slices and cultured cells to examine farnesol's effect on putative dopamine and GABA neurons; and 3) confocal microscopy with mice containing fluorescently labeled nAChRs to assess farnesol's effect on nAChR upregulation. In all assays, we use identical drug exposure conditions to ensure our individual assays supported each other. In the case of microscopy assays, the brains we imaged came from the same mice used in our CPP assays. In doing so, we were able to ensure the drug exposure paradigm is matched between behavior and microscopy assays. Using these approaches, we document that farnesol-alone produces reward-related behavior; thereby partly explaining why flavors such as green apple may be popular among ENDs users.

2. **Material and Methods**

2.1. Reagents and dose selection –

(-)-Nicotine hydrogen tartrate (product number – 1463304) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Farnesol (product number - 119121000) from Acros Organics. Dihydro-βerythroidine (Dh β E) hydrobromide, a β 2* nAChR antagonist, was obtained from Tocris (product number – 2349). For all doses reported in assays, concentrations of nicotine, farnesol, and Dh β E are given as free base.

Similar to previous investigations into menthol (Henderson et al., 2016 and 2017), we estimated the pharmacologically relevant dose of farnesol in regard to nicotine doses in mouse behavior assays. Concentrations of flavor chemicals in e-liquids range from <1 mg/mL to 362 mg/mL (Tierney et al., 2016; Omaiye et al., 2019) while typical e-liquid concentrations of flavors are ~12 mg/mL (Omaiye et al., 2019). Omaiye et al., reported that >56% of flavored e-liquids analyzed had a flavorant/nicotine ratio of 2. In combustible cigarettes, tobacco flavorants are found to be 2-fold in most cases (flavorant/nicotine ratio) but vary in a range of 1 - 20 fold (prior to 2009 ban of non-menthol flavors) (Tierney et al., 2016). Nicotine at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg is rewarding in mouse conditioned place preference assays (Tapper et al., 2004; Henderson et al., 2016; Henderson et al., 2017). Following this prior evidence that a 2-fold flavorant/nicotine ratio is representative of the cigarette/ecigarette market, 1.0 mg/kg farnesol is a perceived smoking-relevant and ENDS-relevant dose. To gain additional understanding we added farnesol doses that are one log unit above and below 1.0 mg/kg (0.1 - 10 mg/kg farnesol) to obtain a limited dose-response

relationship. Furthermore, the potency of farnesol in its ability to inhibit nAChRs is similar to menthol (see Figure 4). Thus, this provides some evidence that the relevant dosing range of farnesol is similar to the 0.1 - 10 mg/kg doses of menthol we have examined previously (Henderson et al., 2016 and 2017). All doses of farnesol and nicotine given for behavioral assays are listed as doses calculated as a free base. For drug applications in electrophysiology assays we list doses that are calculated as a molar concentration.

2.2. Mice –

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for care and use of animals provided by the National Institutes of Health. Protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Marshall University. Mice were kept on a standard 12/12 hr light/dark cycle at 22°C and given food and water *ad libitum*. For our assays, we utilized α 4-mCherry α 6-GFP mice that originated from a C57BL/6J background (For details regarding α 4-mCherry α 6-GFP mice see (Henderson et al., 2017). Of the mice used in conditioned place preference assays, the brains of mice that were α 4-mCherry/ α 6-GFP homozygous/transgenic were used in confocal microscopy assays (36 mice, see Figure 8). The remaining mice used in conditioned place preference assays were the non-transgenic littermates. Thus, all mice used in CPP assays, microscopy, and electrophysiology are from the same strain. The *n* value of male and female mice used in CPP assays are given in detail in Figure 2.

a4-mCherrya6-GFP mice were produced by crossing a6-GFP BAC transgenic mice (Mackey et al., 2012) to a4-mCherry homozygous knock-in mice (Srinivasan et al., 2016). a6-GFP mice are continuously backcrossed to C57BL/6J mice (The Jackson Laboratory) while a4-mCherry knock-in mice are backcrossed to C57BL/6J mice (also, The Jackson Laboratory) every 10 generations. For all behavioral and electrophysiology assays, WT mice were tested in addition to a4-mCherrya6-GFP mice. These included littermate controls and separate C57BL/6J mice.

2.3. Genotyping –

On postnatal day 21, mice were weaned and housed with same-sex littermates, as stipulated by Marshall's University's animal research facility guidelines. Tail biopsies were taken between postnatal day 16 - 21 for genotyping analysis by PCR. Tails were genotyped using previously published methods (Henderson et al., 2017) by Transnetyx (Cordova, TN). Only mice that were transgenic for α 6-GFP and homozygous for α 4-mCherry were used in confocal assays (see below), with the exception of α 6-GFP-only and α 4-mCherry-only mice used for NFRET controls (see section 2.8). For all experiments, we used adult (3 – 6 months old) mice. Both male and female mice were used and numbers of each is given in detail in their corresponding figures.

2.4. Conditioned place preference (CPP) assays –

CPP assays were completed with a three-chamber spatial place-preference chamber (Harvard Apparatus, PanLab, dimensions: $47.5 \times 27.5 \times 47.5$ cm) using male and female a4-mCherrya6-GFP mice. Time in chambers was recorded by motion tracking software (SMART 3.0). A 6 day, unbiased protocol identical to previous studies (Henderson et al.,

2016; Henderson et al., 2017) was used where 'drugs' were given in the white/grey chamber and saline was given in a white/black chamber (intraperitoneal injection). On day 1, mice were placed in the central chamber and allowed free access for 20 min. Mice that spent >65% of the test in one chamber were excluded and the remaining mice were counterbalanced. For counterbalancing, mice were separated into groups of approximately equal bias, similar to previous published CPP methods (Sanjakdar et al., 2015). Following exclusions (2 male mice, 1 female mouse) and counterbalancing, no initial biases were noted (see Supplemental Figure 2 for mean data of initial bias for each treatment group). On days 2 - 4, mice were conditioned using twice-daily sessions where saline injections were given in the black chamber and drugs (farnesol, nicotine, dissolved in pH 7.4 saline) were injected in the white chambers. The duration between drug injections and conditioning was <1 minute as mice were injected and placed directly in the conditioning chamber for their 20 minute training period. Between AM and PM conditioning sessions, mice had a ~4 hr break. On day 6, mice were given free access to the chamber for 20 minutes and time spent in all chambers was recorded.

We used the $\beta 2^*$ nAChR antagonist, Dh βE , to determine if farnesol-induced conditioned place preference may be mediated through nAChRs. For these assays, we pre-injected mice with saline or 2 mg/kg Dh βE 15 minutes prior to an injection of saline or 1.0 mg/kg farnesol. All other parameters of these CPP assays were identical to those described above.

Nearly equal numbers of male and female mice, 3 - 5 months old, were used in CPP assays (67 males and 75 females total). Sex differences are discussed in *Results*. Locomotor activity (distance traveled) during pre- and post-test recording sessions was not significantly different between the different drug treatment groups (see Figure 3). Data are expressed as a change in baseline preference between the post- and pre-test:

$$\left(drug_{paired} - saline_{paired}\right)_{posttest} - \left(drug_{paired} - saline_{paired}\right)_{pretest}$$

Experimenters were blind to drug treatments until all data analysis for the respective cohort were completed. To blind drug treatments, we had a person, other than the experimenter, make saline and drug solutions and provide a non-descriptive label such as 'solution A'. Once the CPP cohort was complete and mice were scored for the pre-test and post-test, the experimenter was then informed the identity of the blinded solutions. This applied to only the drug-paired days, as the protocol dictates saline is given on saline-paired days. All drugs used in CPP assays were dissolved in saline at pH 7.4 and injected intraperitoneally. We have previously used a 10 day, once-daily CPP protocol to study reward-related behavior and comparisons between our twice-daily and once-daily methods produced similar results (Supplementary Figure 1).

2.5. Locomotor assays –

3 - 5 month old non-transgenic α 4-mCherry α 6-GFP mice were habituated to the experimental room for 2 h prior to the experiment. Male or female mice were placed in an open field ($40 \times 40 \times 36$ cm) immediately after an intraperitoneal injection of saline or

farnesol (1.0 mg/kg). Distance traveled over a period of 20 minutes was recorded using motion tracking software (SMART 3.0). Number of mice and sex are listed in Figure 3.

2.6. Whole-cell electrophysiology –

Recordings were performed using brain slices prepared from 3 month old male a6-GFP mice. We used α 6-GFP mice because α 6 nAChR subunits are selectively expressed in dopamine neurons and provide a method to identify putative dopamine neurons. Previous investigations revealed that α 6-GFP expression in the VTA and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) completely overlaps with the expression of tyrosine hydroxylase (Mackey et al., 2012). To match the investigation of VTA dopamine neurons in microscopy assays, we targeted bregma -3.1 but given the thickness of slices (250 µm), our anterior-posterior limits were bregmas -2.7 to -3.5 mm. Mice were euthanized with CO2 and were subjected to cardiac perfusion with warm glycerol-based artificial cerebral spinal fluid (GACSF) saturated with 95% O₂ / 5% CO₂ containing (mM): 250 glycerol, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 1.2 MgCl₂, 2.4 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃ and 11 glucose. Coronal sections (250 µm) were cut to contain the VTA and were allowed to recover at 32°C in carbogenated ACSF, containing (mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 1.2 MgCl₂, 2.4 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, and 11 glucose for one hr. For brain slicing, the mouse brain was removed and placed in agarose for slicing with a Compresstome® VF-300-OZ (Precisionary Instruments) while immersed in warm GACSF that was pre-saturated with carbogen. While many tissue slicing methods use ice-cold solutions, the current recommendations for Compresstome slicing suggests cutting with warm solutions (www.precisionary.com). After the 1 hr recovery period, slices were transferred to the slice chamber and continually perfused with carbogen-saturated ACSF (1.5 - 2.0 ml/min) at 32°C.

Neurons were visualized with an upright microscope (Axio Examiner A1, Zeiss) equipped with an Axiocam 503 mono using DIC near infrared illumination. Blue illumination was used to visualize α 6-GFP presence in putative dopamine neurons. Whole-cell patch-clamp techniques were used to record electrophysiological signals with an Integrated Patch-Clamp Amplifier (Sutter). Patch electrodes had resistances of 4 – 8 M Ω when filled with intrapipette solution (in mM): 135 K gluconate, 5 KCl, 5 EGTA, 0.5 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES, 2 Mg-ATP, and 0.1 GTP. Recordings were sampled at 10 KHz. The junction potential between patch pipette and bath solutions was nulled just before gigaseal formation. Series resistance was monitored without compensation throughout experiments using SutterPatch software. The recording sessions for neurons were terminated if the series resistance changed by more than 20%. Nicotine (dissolved in ACSF at pH 7.4) applications were applied using a Picospritzer III (Parker) at 5 psi. Drug concentrations and duration of applications are given in *Results*.

For cultured cells, 50,000 neuro-2a cells were plated onto sterilized 12 mm circular glass coverslips, placed in 35-mm culture dishes and cultured in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 95% air, 5% CO₂). Cells were transfected as described below. For patching of neuro-2a cells we used extracellular solution containing (in mM): 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, and 10 glucose (320 mOsm, pH set to 7.3 with Tris-base) similar to previous studies (Henderson et al., 2014; Henderson et al., 2016). For voltage-clamp experiments,

neuro-2a cells were voltage clamped at a holding potential of -55 mV. To avoid nAChR desensitization by repetitive nicotine application we applied drug puffs at ~3 min intervals and continually perfused the recording chamber with extracellular solution.

2.7. Neuro-2a cell culture and transient transfections -

Mouse neuroblastoma 2a (neuro-2a) cells were cultured using standard techniques (Srinivasan et al., 2011). Cells were plated by adding 45,000 cells to poly-12-mm glass coverslips laid in 35 mm dishes and cultured in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 95% air, 5% CO₂). Cells were transfected with 500 ng of each nAChR subunit cDNA (α 4-GFP and β 2wt). Plasmids were mixed with 250 µl of Opti-MEM. Lipofectamine-3000 was separately added to 250 µl of Opti-MEM. After 5 min at 24°C, DNA and lipofectamine solutions were mixed together and incubated for 25 minutes at 24°C. The solutions were then added to preplated neuro-2a cells and incubated for 24 h. After 24 h, the Opti-MEM was removed and replaced with growth medium. 500 nM filter-sterilized farnesol (or sham treatment) was added after replacing the Opti-MEM with standard culture medium. 24 h after drug/sham addition, neuro-2a cells were used in whole-cell electrophysiology assays. Neuro-2a cells were not allowed to differentiate prior to the assays.

2.8. Confocal imaging of mouse brain slices –

No immunofluorescence methods were used in this work. As mentioned above, α 4mCherry α 6-GFP mice from CPP assays were used for microscopy assays. Following the completion of CPP assays, mice were euthanized with CO₂ and subjected to a quick cardiac perfusion with 10 mL ice-cold saline. This significantly reduced the autofluorescence in the mCherry emission range (Henderson et al., 2017). The brain was removed and frozen using acetone and dry ice and stored at -80°C. Brains were coronally sectioned 20 µm thick using a cryostat, mounted with Vectashield (Vector labs, H-1000), and coverslipped. We targeted bregma -3.1 mm because this region gave the most consistent sections that contained a large portion of the VTA, substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) and SNc in a single slice. This is also the bregma region we targeted in our brain slice electrophysiology assays. In each session, brain slices were selected according to bregma (anterior-posterior bregmas: -2.9 to -3.3 mm) so that all imaging sessions were matched between animals and across cohorts.

A Leica SP5 TCSII confocal microscope was used to excite α 6-GFP and α 4-mCherry at 488 and 561 nm, respectively. 20X images with a 10X digital zoom were collected for the quantitative measurements of α 4-mCherry and α 6-GFP neuron fluorescence intensity. In ImageJ, raw integrated density (RID) was used for the measurements of fluorescence intensity. Normalized Forster resonance energy transfer (NFRET) methods, described previously (Henderson et al., 2017), were used to identify α 4 α 6* nAChRs.

For each mouse, 2 different brain slices were imaged by 2-3 experimenters blind to drug treatment until all data analysis was completed. For each lateral region, 40-60 VTA dopamine neurons and 30 VTA GABA neurons were imaged. Data were averaged to provide RID values for each mouse. 36 mice were used in confocal assays, aged 3-5 months (number of mice provided in Figure 8). Sex differences are discussed in *Results*. Of the CPP mice, 4 males and 4 females were used for each drug treatment and n values for

Page 8

microscopy assays are given in detail in Figure 8. One α 6-GFP mouse brain was imaged with a confocal microscope to make a representative -3.1 bregma coronal montage (see Figure 5A₂). This was done using identical methods to image α 4-mCherry α 6-GFP mice.

2.9. Statistical analysis -

All results are presented as mean \pm SEM and all statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism. Paired data were analyzed by *t*-test (Figure 3, 6, and 7). To examine potential sex differences, data were analyzed by sex and drug treatment factors using a two-way ANOVA. Where differences were noted, males and females were analyzed separately. CPP and microscopy data were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA or a two-way ANOVA with a *post hoc* Bonferroni for means comparison. We used a power analysis (G*Power software, www.gpower.hhu.de) to determine appropriate sample sizes.

3. Results

3.1. Farnesol alone triggers reward-related behavior in mice

Tobacco flavors have generated concern for public health due to their perceived risk toward increasing smoking initiation (Delnevo et al., 2011; Mead et al., 2018; Schneller et al., 2018). Despite this, few investigations have tested if tobacco flavors (other than menthol) alter reward-related behavior on their own. Based on previous investigations (Henderson et al., 2017), we hypothesized that farnesol would produce no reward-related behavior, by itself, in a CPP assay. To test this hypothesis, we examined farnesol with male and female mice in CPP assays (Figure 1A and 1B). For these assays we used a4mCherry-a6GFP mice (Henderson et al., 2017, C57BL/6J background). Using these mice we planned to conduct follow-up assays where we can directly examine changes in nAChR number as a consequence of changes in reward-related behavior (discussed below, section 3.6). We noted sex-dependent effect in our CPP assays with farnesol doses of 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg (twoway ANOVA, $F_{(4, 77)} = 5.34$, p = 0.0008, sex × drug treatment interaction). Therefore we analyzed our CPP data for males and females separately. We observed a significant effect of farnesol treatment with male mice (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(4, 37)} = 5.6$, p = 0.012, Figure 1A₁). We observed significant reward-related behavior with 1.0 mg/kg farnesol compared to saline-treated mice (p = 0.0007) suggesting that farnesol by itself is rewarding. We also observed a non-significant change from baseline preference with 0.1 mg/kg farnesol in male mice (Figure 1A₁). Similar to the 'inverted U' that is observed in a nicotine dose-response in CPP assays; farnesol produced no reward-related behavior at a dose of 10 mg/kg. We hypothesize that high doses of farnesol (> 10 mg/kg) may be non-rewarding or slightly aversive, similar to high doses of nicotine.

To compare the magnitude of reward-related behavior between farnesol and nicotine, we also used nicotine in our CPP assay (Figure 1A₁ and 1B). We have previously established that an intraperitoneal dose of 0.5 mg/kg nicotine is rewarding in C57BL/6J and α 4-mCherry α 6-GFP mice (Henderson et al., 2016; Henderson et al., 2017). Here, 0.5 mg/kg nicotine produced significant CPP (p = 0.01), similar to previous investigations.

Among female mice, we did observe a significant effect of drug treatment (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(4, 38)} = 3.6$, p = 0.019, Figure 2B); but only 0.5 mg/kg nicotine produced reward-related behavior that was significantly different when compared to saline (p = 0.03). These data suggest a potential sex-dependent effect of farnesol on reward-related behavior. It is possible that male and female mice exhibit different sensitivities to farnesol and a lower or higher dose range in females may produce an effect in a CPP assay.

To determine if farnesol-induced reward in male mice is mediated through nAChRs, we investigated whether the $\beta 2^*$ nAChR antagonist Dh β E could block farnesol-induced place-preference (Figure 1A₂). 2 mg/kg Dh β E was administered (i.p.) 15 minutes before a 1.0 mg/kg farnesol injection on conditioning days and resulted in a significant reduction in farnesol place-preference in mice when compared to the cohort of mice that received a saline pre-treatment prior to farnesol injection (one-way ANOVA, $F_{(2, 16)} = 8.0$, p = 0.0039, Figure 1A₂). These data suggest that the reward-related behavior we observed with farnesol in male mice may be mediated by β 2-containing nAChRs.

All of the mice we used in the CPP assays (Figure 2) failed to exhibit an initial bias for either the saline-paired or drug-paired chambers (Supplemental Figure $2A_1-C_1$). We also note that there was no change in the distance traveled for each mouse cohort during the CPP pre-test or post-test (Supplemental Figure $2A_2-C_2$).

3.2. Farnesol increases nicotine reward-related behavior in male mice

While the focus of this investigation is to determine farnesol's direct effect on reward-related behavior in the absence of nicotine, it is important to determine how farnesol alters nicotine reward-related behavior. For this reason, we used a CPP assay to determine if farnesol could increase nicotine reward-related behavior in mice. Similar to the CPP assays discussed in section 3.1, we compared co-treatment of 1.0 mg/kg farnesol and 0.5 mg/kg nicotine to 0.5 mg/kg nicotine alone (Figure 2). In both male and female mice we noted a significant effect of drug treatments when tested with a one-way ANOVA (males, $F_{(2, 26)} = 11.0$, p = 0.0004; females, $F_{(2, 26)} = 3.8$, p = 0.037). Males and females also were observed to exhibit nicotine reward-related behavior (p = 0.014 and 0.046 for males and females, respectively, Figure 2A and 2B). When comparing nicotine and nicotine plus farnesol treatment groups, we only noted a significant difference in male mice (p = 0.044) (Figure 2A). These data show that farnesol significantly increases nicotine-reward related behavior; but only in male mice. Following the observation that farnesol itself produced reward-related behavior, it is likely that nicotine and farnesol have an additive effect, rather than one enhancing the other. These data suggest that green apple flavors that include farnesol may alter smoking-related behavior for all products including nicotine.

3.3. Acute Farnesol Increases Locomotor Activity

Spontaneous changes in locomotor activity are considered an excellent preclinical indicator of exogenous drugs that are active in the CNS (Lynch and Mittelstadt, 2009). Additionally, locomotor responses in rodents are considered an index of animal exploration and anxiety that can provide a predictive factor for responses to rewarding drugs (Antoniou et al., 2008). Nicotine's effect on locomotor activity is well characterized and has been consistently

reported to increase mouse locomotor behavior (Cohen et al., 2012; Wall et al., 2017). To gain additional measures of CNS activity we used acute intraperitoneal injections of farnesol (1.0 mg/kg) to examine changes in spontaneous locomotor activity in an open field environment. Male and female mice were injected with saline or farnesol (1.0 mg/kg) and their locomotor activity in a novel open field was recorded (Figure 3A and 3B). Farnesol significantly increased the distance traveled in both male (p = 0.0013, t test) and female (p = 0.0036, t test) mice compared to saline-injected mice (Figure 3). These locomotor data support the hypothesis that farnesol may be a CNS-active flavorant.

3.4. Farnesol alters inhibits α4β2 nAChR function and desensitization

While there are many chemical flavorants used for green apple flavors in tobacco and ENDS products (Tierney et al., 2016; Aszyk et al., 2018), we chose to start our investigations with farnesol because it is a terpene that shares some structural elements with menthol (Figure $4A_{1-3}$). Menthol was previously shown to inhibit $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChR inward currents with an IC₅₀ of 111 μ M (Hans et al., 2012). We investigated whether farnesol could also inhibit α 4 β 2 nAChRs. To do this, we used whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology and methods similar to previous investigations to examine concentration-response relationships on $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs (Henderson et al., 2014; Henderson et al., 2016). Neuroblastoma-2a cells were transiently transfected with mouse α 4-GFP and mouse β 2 nAChR subunits (Figure 4B₁₋₂). Previous investigations have shown that fluorescently-labeled nAChRs behave the same as unlabeled subunits in regard to trafficking and function (Nashmi et al., 2003; Drenan et al., 2008; Srinivasan et al., 2011) and do not alter rodent behavior when expressed in mice (Nashmi et al., 2007). We stimulated $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs with 10 μ M nicotine. While this concentration of nicotine is not smoking-relevant, it stimulates both high-sensitivity and low-sensitivity a4β2 nAChRs robustly and produces maximal nAChR-mediated inward currents in our electrophysiology assays. Here, we observed that farnesol inhibited $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChR inward currents with an IC₅₀ of $130 \pm 9.4 \,\mu\text{M}$ (Figure $4C_{1-2}$). We also observed farnesol-induced inhibition of $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs in a calcium flux assay with a similar IC₅₀ value (93.3 ± 21.1 μ M, see Supplemental Figure 3). Thus, we can conclude that farnesol inhibits α 4 β 2 nAChR function; albeit at concentrations that may not be smoking relevant (>100 µm).

Next, we continued to study how long-term farnesol exposure alters nAChR function using whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology. Similar to the previous study of farnesol-induced inhibition, we used neuro-2a cells transiently transfected with α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs (Figure 4D₁) to test how farnesol treatment alters α 4 β 2 nAChR desensitization and recovery. For these *in vitro* long-term exposure assays, we selected a concentration of farnesol based upon the similarities we have observed between farnesol and menthol (similar inhibitory IC₅₀ and similar effective dose range, 1.0 mg/kg, in CPP assays). Previously we have observed that 0.5 μ M menthol altered the desensitization of both α 4 β 2 and α 6 β 2 β 3 nAChRs in neuro-2a cells (Henderson et al., 2016). This dose also was sufficient to alter cultured dopamine neuron excitability (Henderson et al., 2017). For this reason, we treated neuro-2a cells transiently transfected with α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs with 0.5 μ M farnesol for 24 hrs (Figure 4D₁₋₂). To examine desensitization, we established a baseline for inward currents by stimulating with 10 μ M nicotine using 300 ms puff applications repeated at 3 minute intervals. Similar to our studies focused on inhibition, we selected this concentration because

it provides maximal peak current amplitudes with a4B2 nAChRs. Also, the 3 minute intervals are sufficient in length to allow nAChRs to recover and not contribute to desensitization (Xiao et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2015; Henderson et al., 2016). Following test pulses, we then bath perfused 1 µM nicotine for 10 minutes to ensure desensitization of $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs. Several previous reports have indicated that bath perfusion of 1 μ M nicotine is sufficient to completely desensitize \(\beta_2\)-containing nAChRs (Xiao et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2015; Henderson et al., 2016). We continued to puff 10 μ M nicotine at 3 minute intervals to observe the desensitization of the α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs (Figure 4D₂). At the end of the 10 minutes, the bath perfusion of 1 μ M nicotine stopped and we continued to puff 10 μ M nicotine to observe the recovery of a4-GFPB2 nAChRs (Figure 4D₂). Flow rates of the solutions were kept constant to ensure there were no differences in washout rates. For untreated α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs, we observed that 1 μ M nicotine desensitized inward currents to ~10% of baseline peak current amplitude. After 20 minutes of recovery, the untreated α 4-GFPB2 nAChRs recovered up to 35% of baseline function. Following 24 hr of 0.5 µM farnesol treatment, we observed that α 4-GFP β 2 nAChR desensitized to ~20% of baseline peak current amplitude but fully recovered ~17 minutes after ending the 1 μ M nicotine bath perfusion. These data suggest that long-term farnesol treatment may facilitate a4β2 nAChR recovery, following desensitization.

3.5. Farnesol alters putative dopamine and GABA neuron function in mouse brain slices

Many nAChR ligands alter the function of nAChRs on VTA dopamine neurons which then alters dopamine neuron function (Henderson and Lester 2015). To examine how farnesol alters VTA dopamine neurons, we utilized whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology with male and female mouse brain slices (bregma -3.1, Figure $5A_1$). To aid in the identification of dopamine neurons we used α 6-GFP mice (Figure 5A₂₋₃)(Henderson et al., 2016; Henderson et al., 2017). a6 nAChR subunits are selectively expressed in dopamine neurons (in the VTA) and they show almost complete overlap with the presence of tyrosine hydroxylase (>97% of α 6-GFP neurons are TH-expressing putative dopamine neurons) Mackey et al., 2012). a6 nAChR subunits are not present in non-dopamine neurons in the VTA and SNc and are absent in the SNr (Figure 5A₂). Therefore, α 6-GFP presence is an excellent marker for dopamine neurons in the VTA and SNc. Each GFP-positive neuron was also assessed for I_h and action potential durations (>2 ms). Those containing α 6-GFP, I_h , and >2 ms spike durations were categorized as putative dopamine (pDA) neurons. Coronal brain slices containing the VTA were prepared from α 6-GFP mice treated with saline or 1.0 mg/kg farnesol using daily injections that matched our CPP dosing paradigm exactly. We targeted VTA dopamine neurons at bregma -3.1 to match our microscopy assays (see section 3.6 for microscopy assays).

In male and female mice, we detected a sex-dependent effect on farnesol's ability to alter pDA neuron baseline firing frequency (two-way ANOVA, $F_{(1, 29)} = 11.6$, p = 0.002, sex × drug treatment interaction). For this reason, we analyzed our data separately for male and female mice (Figure 5). In male mice, we examined baseline firing of pDA neurons and noted a ~5-fold increase in firing frequency when farnesol-treated pDA neurons (11.1 ± 2.4 Hz) were compared to saline-treated neurons (2.1 ± 0.6 Hz) (Figure 5B_{1, 3}). In female mice we did not detect a change in pDA firing frequency (Figure 5B_{2–3}). This result helps explain

why female mice were not observed to exhibit reward-related behavior with this dose of farnesol. Given that changes in I_h can alter the tonic firing of dopamine neurons (Deng et al., 2007), we investigated how farnesol treatment alters I_h density (Figure 5C₁₋₂). Using a series of hyperpolarizing steps, we studied the current-voltage relationship of pDA neurons recorded from male mice treated with saline or farnesol. Although we note a slight decrease in I_h amplitude from farnesol-treated mice, we observed no significant difference in I_h between saline and farnesol treatment groups (two-way ANOVA, drug treatment, $F_{(1, 56)} = 2.47$, p = 0.12). Thus, farnesol-induced changes in VTA pDA neuron firing frequency cannot be attributed to changes in I_h .

Another way that VTA dopamine neuron firing can be altered is through changes in inhibitory tone from GABA neurons. Previous investigations into long-term nicotine treatment have determined that changes in midbrain DA neuron firing is caused by changes in midbrain GABA neurons (Mansvelder et al., 2002; Nashmi et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2009). For this reason we investigated how farnesol treatment altered baseline firing of GABA neurons.

We targeted putative GABA (pGABA) neurons in the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) to provide symmetry with previous investigations into nicotine-related reward mechanisms (Nashmi et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2009). Here we observed pGABA neurons from saline-treated male mice exhibited a firing frequency of 12.8 ± 1.6 Hz while pGABA neurons from farnesol-treated mice exhibited a significant decrease to 8.74 ± 1.1 Hz (p = 0.047) (Figure $6B_{1-3}$). This suggests that the increase in pDA neuron baseline firing frequency may be due to a decrease in inhibitory tone from GABA neurons.

Next, we examined how the firing frequency of these pDA neurons were altered when acutely exposed to smoking-relevant concentrations of nicotine. To do this, we applied 500 nM nicotine (peak nicotine concentration following a puff on a cigarette or ENDS) for a duration of 10 s. During nicotine applications, pDA neurons from saline-treated male mice exhibited an increase in firing frequency from 2.7 ± 0.4 Hz to 5.2 ± 1.6 Hz (Figure 7A₁ and $7B_1$, not significant, p = 0.15) similar to previous studies (Liu et al., 2012). Despite exhibiting elevated firing frequencies at baseline conditions, pDA neurons from farnesoltreated mice also exhibited a ~2-fold increase in firing frequency (p = 0.012, Figures 7A₂, 7B₂). Thus, farnesol-treated pDA neurons exhibit the same fold-increase in excitability as saline-treated neurons but exhibit a 4-fold increase in maximum firing frequency when compared to pDA neurons in saline-treated male mice. We used similar methods to examine nicotine-induced changes in VTA pDA neuron firing frequency, with and without farnesol treatment, in female mice (Supplemental Figure 4). In both saline and farnesol-treated female mice we noted a significant increase in pDA neuron firing frequency during acute 500 nM nicotine applications (p = 0.045 and 0.021, respective, Supplemental Figure 4). Despite this, we noted no difference between saline and farnesol-treated female mice in preor post-puff firing frequency (two-way ANOVA, $F_{(1, 16)} < 0.1$, p = 0.99).

Similar to pDA neurons, we stimulated SNr pGABA neurons with nicotine (500 nM, 10 s, Figure $7C_{1-2}$ and $7D_{1-3}$). pGABA neurons from saline-treated mice exhibited a ~1.5-fold increase in firing frequency (not significant) (Figure $7C_1$ and $7D_1$), similar to what has been

observed with stimulation of GABA neurons with 1 μ M nicotine (Nashmi et al., 2007). pGABA neurons from farnesol-treated mice exhibited a 2-fold increase in firing frequency (p = 0.022) during acute application of nicotine (Figure 7C₂ and 7D₂). We note that the nicotine-induced elevations in pGABA firing frequency between saline and farnesol treatments did not differ (18.3 ± 2.75 Hz and 19.5 ± 3.52 Hz for saline-treated and farnesoltreated, respectively). This suggests that while farnesol may reduce the baseline firing frequency of GABA neurons, it did not prevent these neurons from reaching the elevated firing frequencies that we observed with 'control' neurons during nicotine stimulation.

Finally, we tested acute applications of farnesol (in male mice) to determine if farnesol can directly alter dopamine or GABA neuron firing. We applied 3 μ M farnesol to current-clamped pDA and pGABA neurons and recorded firing frequencies before, during, and after a 10 s puff (Figure 7B₃ and 7D₃). We chose 3 μ M farnesol because this dose is near the threshold for inhibition (Figure 4C₁). Also, previous investigations suggest that pharmacologically doses of terpene flavorants produce brain concentrations <2 μ M (Henderson et al., 2016). Thus, 3 μ M is approximately the upper limit of the perceived pharmacologically-relevant dose. In both pDA and pGABA neurons, acute applications of 3 μ M farnesol produced no significant change in firing frequency (Figure 7B₃ and 7D₃; *p* = 0.94 and 0.76 for pDA and pGABA neurons, respectively)

3.6. Farnesol upregulates nAChRs in VTA dopamine and GABA neurons

The upregulation of nAChRs following chronic nicotine exposure is a long-studied phenomenon. While upregulation has not been determined to be causal of addictive behavior, it has been determined to be a consequence of long-term nicotine exposure in humans (Mukhin et al., 2008; Brody et al., 2013; Jasinska et al., 2013), rodents (Nashmi et al., 2007; Perez et al., 2008; Henderson et al., 2014), cultured neurons (Srinivasan et al., 2016), and cell lines (Nashmi et al., 2003; Sallette et al., 2005). Many nicotinic ligands upregulate nAChRs (Brody et al., 2013; Henderson et al., 2016; Henderson et al., 2017); Therefore, we examined if farnesol treatment upregulated nAChRs on midbrain neurons.

Similar to previous investigations (Henderson et al., 2017), we used α -mCherry α 6-GFP mice from our CPP assays to examine nAChR upregulation after treatment with saline, nicotine, or farnesol. Following CPP assays, coronal mouse brain slices containing the VTA (bregma, -3.1 to match electrophysiology assays) were prepared for microscopy to investigate the upregulation of nAChRs (Figure 8A₁ and 8A₂). Because these mice came from our CPP cohort, all dosing was administered using daily intraperitoneal injections of drug (saline, 0.5 mg/kg nicotine, 1.0 mg/kg farnesol, or 0.5 mg/kg nicotine plus 1.0 mg/kg farnesol). Using FRET methods, we identified regions of neurons that contain α 4 α 6 β 2* nAChRs (Henderson et al., 2017) (Figure 8B). Similar to electrophysiology assays, the presence of α 6-GFP was used to distinguish between pDA and pGABA neurons in the VTA (Figure 8B). Upregulation of nAChRs was assessed by quantifying the change in raw integrated density (RID) of α 6-GFP or α -mCherry fluorescence (Figure 8C₁₋₂ and 8D₁₋₂).

In examining male and female α -mCherry α 6-GFP mice, we noted no difference between sexes when considering upregulation of α 4* or α 4 α 6* nAChRs on VTA dopamine neurons or α 4* nAChRs on SNr GABA neurons (2-way ANOVA, F_(3, 28) = 0.37, *p* = 0.77 (α 4*

nAChRs) and $F_{(3, 28)} = 0.17$, p = 0.92 ($\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs)). We did note a sex-dependent effect with the upregulation of $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs on VTA dopamine neurons (2-way ANOVA, $F_{(3, 28)} = 3.4$, p = 0.032, sex × drug treatment interaction). Accordingly, we assessed nAChR upregulation separately for males and females.

In VTA pDA neurons of male mice we noted a significant drug effect on $a4^*$, $a6^*$, and $a4a6^*$ nAChR upregulation using a one-way ANOVA ($F_{(2, 12)} = 11.4$, p = 0.0017; $F_{(2, 12)} = 28.5$, p = 0.0001; and $F_{(2, 12)} = 6.54$, p = 0.01 for $a4^*$, $a6^*$, and $a4a6^*$ nAChRs, respectively). Male mice treated with nicotine exhibited a significant increase in the RID of $a4^*$ (p = 0.0014, Figure 8C₁) and $a4a6^*$ (p = 0.048, Figure 8C₁) nAChRs. As we have seen previously (Henderson et al., 2017), we did not observe any change in $a6^*$ nAChR RID following treatment with 0.5 mg/kg nicotine (Figure 8C₁). Male mice treated with 1.0 mg/kg farnesol exhibited a significant increase in the RID of $a6^*$ (p = 0.0001) and $a4a6^*$ (p = 0.01) nAChRs but we saw no effect on $a4^*$ nAChR upregulation (Figure 8C₁).

In female mice, we did not observe a significant effect of drug treatment on $a6^*$ or $a4a6^*$ nAChRs in VTA pDA neurons (Figure 8D₁; $F_{(2, 9)} = 1.19$, p = 0.35 and $F_{(2, 9)} = 2.46$, p = 0.14 for $a6^*$ and $a4a6^*$, respectively). We did observe a significant effect of drug treatment in female mice with $a4^*$ nAChRs ($F_{(3, 14)} = 4.36$, p = 0.047, Figure 8D₁). Here, we observed a significant increase in $a4^*$ nAChR RID following nicotine treatment (p = 0.041).

In SNr pGABA neurons of male mice, we noted a significant drug effect with $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs ($F_{(2, 12)} = 30.1$, p = 0.0001). Similar to previous observations (Nashmi et al., 2007), nicotine-treated male mice exhibited a significant upregulation of $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs on SNr GABA neurons (p = 0.012, Figure 8C₂). With SNr GABA neurons, farnesol-treated male mice exhibited a significant decrease (p = 0.03) in $\alpha 4^*$ nAChR RID (Figure 8C₂). Since inward currents from nAChRs contributes to depolarizing cells, this decrease in SNr $\alpha 4^*$ nAChR number may explain why the firing frequency of SNr pGABA neurons decreased with chronic farnesol treatment.

In SNr GABA neurons of female mice, we did not observe a significant effect with drug treatment with female mice (Figure 8D₂, $F_{(3, 12)} = 3.35$ and p = 0.088). While many of these trends are similar among male and female mice, there is a clear sex-dependent effect of farnesol on $\alpha6^*$ nAChRs. While there is zero effect noted with female mice, we observed a robust increase in $\alpha6^*$ nAChR RID in male mice with farnesol treatment. This is likely an important key to understanding why we have observed a sex-dependent effect in our CPP assays using 1.0 mg/kg farnesol.

Similar to our CPP assays using nicotine plus farnesol, we also examined nAChR upregulation following nicotine plus farnesol treatment. Similar to the other treatment groups, these mice came from our CPP assays and were thus exposed to the same dosing regimen. In both male and female mice, nicotine plus farnesol exhibited effects that were similar to nicotine alone in regard to $\alpha 4^*$ and $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs (Figure 8C₁ and 8D₁). Despite the observed additive effect we noted in our CPP assays with male mice, we noted no additive or enhancing effect in regard to nAChR upregulation. We observed that nicotine plus farnesol seemed to counteract the effect of farnesol-alone to upregulate $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs on

VTA pDA neurons (Figure 8C₁). Also, nicotine plus farnesol decreased the effect of nicotine-alone on $\alpha 4^*$ nAChR upregulation on SNr GABA neurons (Figure 8C₂). These competing effects on nAChR upregulation could be a result of farnesol and nicotine acting as a chaperone for different subtypes of nAChRs as has been documented previously (Henderson and Lester 2015; Henderson et al., 2016). Some evidence for this exists in the fact that farnesol seems to robustly upregulate $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs while nicotine robustly upregulates $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs.

3.7. Functional upregulation of a6* nAChRs

While our *in vivo* upregulation assays allow a region and cell-specific examination of nAChR upregulation, this technique does not answer the question of functional upregulation. To determine if farnesol treatment causes functional upregulation of nAChRs, we once again employed whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology. Given the complex arrangement of nAChR subtypes in VTA dopamine neurons ($\alpha 4\beta 2$, $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2$, $\alpha 6\beta 2$, and more), we decided to transiently transfect specific nAChR subtypes into neuro-2a cells (Figure 9). We treated neuro-2a cells transiently transfected with $\alpha 4$ -GFP $\beta 2$ or $\alpha 6$ -GFP $\beta 2\beta 3$ nAChRs with no-drug or 0.5 μ M farnesol for 24 hrs. Following drug treatment we stimulated nAChRs with 10 μ M nicotine. In $\alpha 4$ -GFP $\beta 2$ nAChRs, we observed a non-significant (p = 0.2), 37% increase in peak current amplitude following farnesol treatment (Figure 9B₁₋₂). In $\alpha 6$ -GFP $\beta 2\beta 3$ nAChRs we observed a 226%, increase (p = 0.027) in peak current amplitude following farnesol treatment (Figure 9D₁₋₂).

4. Discussion

In this study, our aim was to determine if a chemical component of green apple flavor, farnesol, exhibits any evidence that it alters smoking-related behavior. While much attention has been placed on youth ENDS use, adults also heavily prefer flavored e-liquid products (70% of adults use flavored e-liquids compared to 90% of youth (Huang et al., 2018; Mead et al., 2018; Schneller et al., 2018). Therefore, it is critical that we understand how flavors in e-liquids alter smoking-related behaviors in both youth and adult populations. We chose farnesol for this study because of its structural similarity to menthol and it is the most prominent natural chemical flavored cigarettes (prior to the 2009 ban), ENDS e-liquids (Aszyk et al., 2018), along with non-tobacco candies, perfumes, and beverages. Other flavorants for apple exist and are used in ENDS e-liquids such as hexyl acetate, ethyl acetate, and methylbutyl acetate (Tierney et al., 2016). Our observations of farnesol's actions support the need to study these other flavorants for their effect on reward-related behavior as well.

Here we document for the first time that a tobacco flavorant other than menthol alters reward-related behavior. The fact that farnesol by itself produced reward-related behavior is particularly relevant given the popularity of green apple flavor and the growing use of flavored, zero-nicotine e-liquids among ENDS users. From our observations, the observed farnesol-induced reward is at least in part caused by several changes in midbrain DA and GABA neurons (summarized in Figure 10). On VTA DA neurons, $\alpha 6^*$ and $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs are upregulated. This is accompanied by an increase in firing frequency of these VTA

dopamine neurons. Given that $\alpha 6^*$ and $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs are high-affinity nAChRs that modulate excitability (Liu et al., 2012; Engle et al., 2013), their upregulation may be a significant factor in elevating the firing rate of VTA dopamine neurons. We must also consider the changes we observed in GABA neuron firing as well. Prior research into nicotine-induced changes in midbrain dopamine neuron firing revealed that much of this effect is a consequence of changes in GABA neuron firing (Nashmi et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2009; Mansvelder et al., 2002). Given that we noted a farnesol-induced decrease in GABA neuron firing, we suggest that this also plays an important role in the observed farnesolinduced increase in DA neuron firing.

Since farnesol is a terpene that shares some chemical features with menthol, it is necessary to compare the effects of both flavors. Menthol combined with nicotine was previously characterized to enhance nicotine reward-related behavior; but menthol-alone, at several doses, failed to produce reward (Henderson et al., 2017), reinforcement (Wang et al., 2014), or elevation of dopamine release (Wickham et al., 2018). Unlike menthol, farnesol by itself was found to produce reward-related behavior. To answer the question of how farnesol can stimulate reward while menthol does not, we must consider their differing effects. In comparing menthol's and farnesol's effect on midbrain dopamine neurons: menthol-alone decreases VTA pDA neuron baseline firing frequency (Henderson et al., 2016) but farnesolalone increases VTA pDA firing frequency. Furthermore, we note that following long-term menthol treatment, stimulating DA neurons resulted in a transient silencing of pDA neuron firing (Henderson et al., 2016). Here, we observed that after farnesol treatment, stimulating pDA or pGABA neurons with 500 nM nicotine still produces a transient increase in firing frequency (Figure 7). This difference suggests that treatment with menthol-alone significantly impairs pDA neuron excitability while farnesol treatment allows pDA neurons to remain excitable.

A second important observation is that at doses relevant for reward-related behavior assays (CPP) menthol only upregulates $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs. Conversely, farnesol significantly upregulates $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ and $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs (Figure 8 and 9). While it is unclear how upregulation may play a direct role in reward-related behavior, it is clear that the presence of $\alpha 4^*$, $\alpha 6^*$, and $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs are necessary for nicotine reward or reinforcement (Pons et al., 2008). It is possible that $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs play a specific role in the initiation of nicotine reward (or reward-related behavior in general) and the increased number of $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs may be an important component in the farnesol-induced reward we observed. This is supported by the observation that we only noted $\alpha 6^*$ upregulation in males and it was only male mice that exhibited reward to farnesol-alone. Conversely, females displayed no reward-related behavior to farnesol-alone and did not exhibit upregulation of $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs. Despite this observation, more extensive studies are needed to increase our understanding of how $\alpha 6^*$ and $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs contribute to different stages of nicotine reward or reinforcement.

Despite the sex-dependent effects of farnesol on reward-related behavior, pDA neuron firing frequency, and $\alpha 6^*$ nAChR upregulation we did not observe a sex-dependent effect on mouse locomotor behavior (see section 3.3). Many nicotinic ligands can modulate acute changes in locomotor behavior but these are typically agonists or partial agonists of nAChRs (Baker et al., 2013; Wall et al., 2017). However, it has been previously determined that

mouse cholinergic modulation of locomotor activity has been tied to $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ and $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs (Drenan et al., 2010; Cohen et al., 2012). Given our observations that farnesol exhibits a potentially selective effect on $\alpha 6^*$ and not $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs, it is possible that $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ and $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs are modulated by acute farnesol concentrations that are sufficient to modulate locomotor activity. While we did not note any effect with farnesol-treated female mice in physiology or microscopy assays, we note that the time-points for these assays are different than that of our acute locomotor assays. In our locomotor assays, our observational endpoint started immediately after injection of farnesol. For both electrophysiology and microscopy, our time-point was locked by our CPP paradigm and brains were extracted and studied ~24 hours after the final injection. Additionally, to further investigate this connection to locomotor behavior our investigation would need to extend to the SNc, as these neurons project to the dorsal striatum and are chiefly responsible for the cholinergic and dopaminergic modulation of locomotor behavior in mice (Cohen et al., 2012).

5. Conclusions

It is becoming clear that flavored tobacco products increase smoking initiation and reduce cessation. For this reason, the FDA enacted a ban on flavored (excluding menthol) combustible cigarettes as part of the 2009 Family Smoking Prevention Act. Currently, a critical component of the FDA's Tobacco Prevention Plan is targeting potential restrictions on flavored e-liquids (www.fda.gov). Our data presented here suggest that tobacco flavors may increase the addictive potential of ENDS and may also explain why smokers prefer flavored products and flavored e-liquids (Schauer et al., 2018). We believe this data supports the investigation of other flavors and additional flavorants of green apple in regard to their role in addiction and smoking behavior.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURE

Funding was provided by the National Institutes of Health (DA040047 and DA046335). The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Alsharari SD, King JR, Nordman JC, Muldoon PP, Jackson A, Zhu AZX, Tyndale RF, Kabbani N, Damaj MI (2015) Effects of menthol on nicotine pharmacokinetic, pharmacology and dependence in mice. PLoS One 10:e0137070. [PubMed: 26355604]
- Antoniou K, Papathanasiou G, Papalexi E, Hyphantis T, Nomikos GG, Spyraki C, Papadopoulou-Daifoti Z (2008) Individual responses to novelty are associated with differences in behavioral and neurochemical profiles. Behav Brain Res 187:462–472. [PubMed: 18036673]
- Aszyk J, Kubica P, Wozniak MK, Namiesnik J, Wasik A, Kot-Wasik A (2018) Evaluation of flavour profiles in e-cigarette refill solutions using gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 1547:86–98. [PubMed: 29534821]
- Baker LK, Mao D, Chi H, Govind AP, Vallejo YF, Iacoviello M, Herrera S, Cortright JJ, Green WN, McGehee DS, Vezina P (2013) Intermittent nicotine exposure upregulates nAChRs in VTA

dopamine neurons and sensitises locomotor responding to the drug. Eur J Neurosci 37:1004–1011. [PubMed: 23331514]

- Benowitz NL, Samet JM (2011) The threat of menthol cigarettes to U.S. public health. N Engl J Med 364:2179–2181. [PubMed: 21542737]
- Biswas L, Harrison E, Gong Y, Avusula R, Lee J, Zhang M, Rousselle T, Lage J, Liu X (2016) Enhancing effect of menthol on nicotine self-administration in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 233:3417–3427. [PubMed: 27473365]
- Brody A, Mukhin A, La Charite J, Ta K, Farahi J, Sugar C, Mamoun M, Vellios E, Archie M, Kozman M, Phuong J, Arlorio F, Mandelkern M (2013) Up-regulation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in menthol cigarette smokers. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 16:957–966. [PubMed: 23171716]
- Cohen BN, Mackey ED, Grady SR, McKinney S, Patzlaff NE, Wageman CR, McIntosh JM, Marks MJ, Lester HA, Drenan RM (2012) Nicotinic cholinergic mechanisms causing elevated dopamine release and abnormal locomotor behavior. Neuroscience 200:31–41. [PubMed: 22079576]
- D'Silva J, Boyle RG, Lien R, Rode P, Okuyemi KS (2012) Cessation Outcomes Among Treatment-Seeking Menthol and Nonmenthol Smokers. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 43:S242– S248. [PubMed: 23079223]
- Delnevo CD, Gundersen DA, Hrywna M, Echeverria SE, Steinberg MB (2011) Smoking-cessation prevalence among U.S. smokers of menthol versus non-menthol cigarettes. American journal of preventive medicine 41:357–365. [PubMed: 21961462]
- Deng P, Zhang Y, Xu ZC (2007) Involvement of I(h) in dopamine modulation of tonic firing in striatal cholinergic interneurons. J Neurosci 27:3148–3156. [PubMed: 17376976]
- Dessirier JM, O'Mahony M, Carstens E (2001) Oral irritant properties of menthol: sensitizing and desensitizing effects of repeated application and cross-desensitization to nicotine. Physiology & behavior 73:25–36. [PubMed: 11399291]
- Drenan RM, Nashmi R, Imoukhuede PI, Just H, McKinney S, Lester HA (2008) Subcellular Trafficking, Pentameric Assembly and Subunit Stoichiometry of Neuronal Nicotinic ACh Receptors Containing Fluorescently-Labeled α6 and β3 Subunits. Mol Pharmacol 73:27–41. [PubMed: 17932221]
- Drenan RM, Grady SR, Steele AD, McKinney S, Patzlaff NE, McIntosh JM, Marks MJ, Miwa JM, Lester HA (2010) Cholinergic modulation of locomotion and striatal dopamine release is mediated by α6α4* nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. J Neurosci 30:9877–9889. [PubMed: 20660270]
- Engle SE, Shih PY, McIntosh JM, Drenan RM (2013) α4α6β2* nicotinic acetylcholine receptor activation on ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons is sufficient to stimulate a depolarizing conductance and enhance surface AMPA receptor function. Mol Pharmacol 84:393–406. [PubMed: 23788655]
- Espino-Diaz M, Sepulveda DR, Gonzalez-Aguilar G, Olivas GI (2016) Biochemistry of Apple Aroma: A Review. Food Technol Biotechnol 54:375–397. [PubMed: 28115895]
- Fan L, Balakrishna S, Jabba SV, Bonner PE, Taylor SR, Picciotto MR, Jordt S-E (2016) Menthol decreases oral nicotine aversion in C57BL/6 mice through a TRPM8-dependent mechanism. Tob Control 0:1–5.
- FDA (2012) Preliminary scientific evaluation of the possible public health effects of menthol versus nonmenthol cigarettes. https://www.fda.gov/downloads/ucm361598.pdf.
- Gandhi KK, Foulds J, Steinberg MB, Lu SE, Williams JM (2009) Lower quit rates among African American and Latino menthol cigarette smokers at a tobacco treatment clinic. Int J Clin Pract 63:360–367. [PubMed: 19222622]
- Hans M, Wilhelm M, Swandulla D (2012) Menthol suppresses nicotinic acetylcholine receptor functioning in sensory neurons via allosteric modulation. Chemical senses 37:463–469. [PubMed: 22281529]
- Henderson BJ, Lester HA (2015) Inside-out neuropharmacology of nicotinic drugs. Neuropharm 96 (Pt. B): 178–193.
- Henderson BJ, Wall TR, Henley BM, Kim CH, McKinney S, Lester HA (2017) Menthol enhances nicotine reward-related behavior by potentiating nicotine-induced changes in nAChR function, nAChR upregulation, and DA neuron excitability. Neuropsychopharm DOI: 10.1038/npp.2017.72.

- Henderson BJ, Wall T, Henley BM, Kim CH, Nichols WA, Moaddel R, Xiao C, Lester HA (2016) Menthol alone upregulates midbrain nAChRs, alters nAChR sybtype stoichiometry, alters dopamine neuron firing frequency, and prevents nicotine reward. J Neuroscience 36.
- Henderson BJ, Srinivasan R, Nichols WA, Dilworth CN, Gutierrez DF, Mackey ED, McKinney S, Drenan RM, Richards CI, Lester HA (2014) Nicotine exploits a COPI-mediated process for chaperone-mediated upregulation of its receptors. J Gen Physiol 143:51–66. [PubMed: 24378908]
- Hoffman AC, Miceli D (2011) Menthol cigarettes and smoking cessation behavior. Tobacco induced diseases 9 Suppl 1:S6. [PubMed: 21624152]
- Huang J, Duan Z, Kwok J, Binns S, Vera LE, Kim Y, Szczypka G, Emery SL (2018) Vaping versus JUULing: how the extraordinary growth and marketing of JUUL transformed the US retail e-cigarette market. Tob Control.
- Jasinska AJ, Zorick T, Brody AL, Stein EA (2013) Dual role of nicotine in addiction and cognition: A review of neuroimaging studies in humans. Neuropharmacology.
- Kuryatov A, Lindstrom J (2011) Expression of functional human α.6β2β3 acetylcholine receptors in *Xenopus* laevis oocytes achieved through subunit chimeras and concatamers. Mol Pharmacol 79:126–140. [PubMed: 20923852]
- Liu L, Zhao-Shea R, McIntosh JM, Gardner PD, Tapper AR (2012) Nicotine persistently activates ventral tegmental area dopaminergic neurons via nicotinic acetylcholine receptors containing α4 and α6 subunits. Mol Pharmacol 81:541–548. [PubMed: 22222765]
- Lynch JJ III, Mittelstadt SW (2009) Can locomotor screening be utilized as a first-tiered approach for pre-clinical CNS/neurobehavioral safety testing? J Pharm Tox Meth 60:232.
- Mackey ED, Engle SE, Kim MR, O'Neill HC, Wageman CR, Patzlaff NE, Wang Y, Grady SR, McIntosh JM, Marks MJ, Lester HA, Drenan RM (2012) a.6* Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Expression and Function in a Visual Salience Circuit. J neurosci 32:10226–10237. [PubMed: 22836257]
- Mansvelder HD, Keath JR, McGehee DS (2002) Synaptic mechanisms underlie nicotine-induced excitability of brain reward areas. Neuron 33:905–919. [PubMed: 11906697]
- Mead EL, Duffy V, Oncken C, Litt MD (2018) E-cigarette palatability in smokers as a function of flavorings, nicotine content and propylthiouracil (PROP) taster phenotype. Addict Behav.
- Mukhin AG, Kimes AS, Chefer SI, Matochik JA, Contoreggi CS, Horti AG, Vaupel DB, Pavlova O, Stein EA (2008) Greater nicotinic acetylcholine receptor density in smokers than in nonsmokers: a PET study with 2-18F-FA-85380. J Nucl Med 49:1628–1635. [PubMed: 18794265]
- Nashmi R, Dickinson ME, McKinney S, Jareb M, Labarca C, Fraser SE, Lester HA (2003) Assembly of α4β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors assessed with functional fluorescently labeled subunits: effects of localization, trafficking, and nicotine-induced upregulation in clonal mammalian cells and in cultured midbrain neurons. J Neurosci 23:11554–11567. [PubMed: 14684858]
- Nashmi R, Xiao C, Deshpande P, McKinney S, Grady SR, Whiteaker P, Huang Q, McClure-Begley T, Lindstrom JM, Labarca C, Collins AC, Marks MJ, Lester HA (2007) Chronic nicotine cell specifically upregulates functional a4* nicotinic receptors: basis for both tolerance in midbrain and enhanced longterm potentiation in perforant path. J Neurosci 27:8202–8218. [PubMed: 17670967]
- Omaiye EE, McWhirter KJ, Luo W, Tierney PA, Pankow JF, Talbot P (2019) High concentrations of flavor chemicals are present in electronic cigarette refill fluids. Sci Rep 9:2468. [PubMed: 30792477]
- Perez XA, Bordia T, McIntosh JM, Grady SR, Quik M (2008) Long-term nicotine treatment differentially regulates striatal α6α4β2* and α6(Nonα4)β2* nAChR expression and function. Mol Pharmacol 74:844–853. [PubMed: 18583454]
- Pons S, Fattore L, Cossu G, Tolu S, Porcu E, McIntosh JM, Changeux JP, Maskos U, Fratta W (2008) Crucial role of α4 and α6 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits from ventral tegmental area in systemic nicotine self-administration. J Neurosci 28:12318–12327. [PubMed: 19020025]
- Sallette J, Pons S, Devillers-Thiery A, Soudant M, Prado de Carvalho L, Changeux JP, Corringer PJ (2005) Nicotine upregulates its own receptors through enhanced intracellular maturation. Neuron 46:595–607. [PubMed: 15944128]

- Sanjakdar SS, Maldoon PP, Marks MJ, Brunzell DH, Maskos U, McIntosh JM, Bowers MS, Damaj MI (2015) Differential roles of α6β2* and α4β2* neuronal nicotinic receptors in nicotine- and cocaine-conditioned reward in mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 40:350–360. [PubMed: 25035086]
- Schauer GL, Peters EN, Rosenberry ZR, Kim H (2018) Trends in and Characteristics of Marijuana and Menthol Cigarette Use Among Current Cigarette Smokers, 2005-2014. Nicotine Tob Res 20:362– 369. [PubMed: 28064202]
- Schneller LM, Bansal-Travers M, Goniewicz ML, McIntosh S, Ossip D, O'Connor RJ (2018) Use of flavored electronic cigarette refill liquids among adults and youth in the US-Results from Wave 2 of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health Study (2014-2015). PLoS One 13:e0202744. [PubMed: 30138412]
- Srinivasan R, Pantoja R, Moss FJ, Mackey EDW, Son C, Miwa J, Lester HA (2011) Nicotine upregulates α4β2 nicotinic receptors and ER exit sites via stoichiometry-dependent chaperoning. J Gen Physiol 137:59–79. [PubMed: 21187334]
- Srinivasan R, Henley BM, Henderson BJ, Indersmitten T, Cohen BN, Kim CH, McKinney s, Deshpande P, Xiao C, Lester HA (2016) Smoking-relevant nicotine concentration attenuates the unfolded protein response in dopaminergic neurons. J Neurosci 36:65–79. [PubMed: 26740650]
- Tapper AR, McKinney SL, Nashmi R, Schwarz J, Deshpande P, Labarca C, Whiteaker P, Marks MJ, Collins AC, Lester HA (2004) Nicotine activation of α4* receptors: sufficient for reward, tolerance and sensitization. Science 306:1029–1032. [PubMed: 15528443]
- Tierney PA, Karpinski CD, Brown JE, Luo W, Pankow JF (2016) Flavour chemicals in electronic cigarette fluids. Tob Control 25:e10–15. [PubMed: 25877377]
- Wall TR, Henderson BJ, Voren G, Wageman CR, Deshpande P, Cohen BN, Grady SR, Marks MJ, Yohannes D, Bencherif M, Kenny PJ, Lester HA (2017) TC299423, a novel agonist for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Frontiers in Pharmacology Accepted.
- Wang T, Wang B, Chen H (2014) Menthol facilitates the intravenous self-administration of nicotine in rats. Front Behav Neurosci 8:437. [PubMed: 25566005]
- Wickham RJ, Nunes EJ, Hughley S, Silva P, Walton SN, Park J, Addy NA (2018) Evaluating oral flavorant effects on nicotine self-administration behavior and phasic dopamine signaling. Neuropharmacology 128:33–42. [PubMed: 28943284]
- Xiao C, Nashmi R, McKinney S, Cai H, McIntosh JM, Lester HA (2009) Chronic nicotine selectively enhances α4β2* nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the nigrostriatal dopamine pathway. J Neurosci 29:12428–12439. [PubMed: 19812319]
- Xiao C, Srinivasan R, Drenan RM, Mackey ED, McIntosh JM, Lester HA (2011) Characterizing functional α6β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in vitro: mutant β2 subunits improve membrane expression, and fluorescent proteins reveal responsive cells. Biochem Pharmacol 82:852–861. [PubMed: 21609715]
- Xiao C, Miwa JM, Henderson BJ, Wang Y, Deshpande P, McKinney SL, Lester HA (2015) Nicotinic receptor subtype-selective circuit patterns in the subthalamic nucleus. J Neurosci 35:3734–3746. [PubMed: 25740504]
- Zhang M, Harrison E, Biswas L, Tran T, Liu X (2018) Menthol facilitates dopamine-releasing effect of nicotine in rat nucleus accumbens. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 175:47–52. [PubMed: 30201386]

Highlights

- Green apple flavorant, farnesol, causes reward-related behavior in male mice.
- Farnesol upregulates α 6-containing nAChRs and not α 4(non- α 6)-containing nAChRs.
- Farnesol enhances the firing frequency of VTA dopamine neurons in male mice.



Figure 1. Farnesol alone produces reward-related behavior in a sex-dependent manner. (A₁ and B) Male and female mice were administered saline, 0.5 mg/kg nicotine, or farnesol at doses 0.1, 1.0, or 10 mg/kg in a CPP assay. (A₂) The β 2* nAChR antagonist, Dh β E, blocked farnesol-induced CPP in male mice. Mice failed to show significant preference for 1.0 mg/kg farnesol when given a pre-injection of 2 mg/kg Dh β E. All data are mean ± SEM. *, *p* < 0.05; **, *p* < 0.01; One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Bonferroni. Exact *p* values are given in the *Results* section (3.1). Number of mice for each treatment group is indicated in parenthesis.



Figure 2. 1.0 mg/kg farnesol increases nicotine conditioned place preference in only male mice. (A and B) Male and female mice were administered saline, nicotine (0.5 mg/kg), or nicotine (0.5 mg/kg) plus farnesol (1.0 mg/kg) in a CPP assay. All data are mean \pm SEM. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; One-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Bonferroni. Exact *p* values are given in the *Results* section (3.2). Number of mice for each treatment group is indicated in parenthesis. Individual dots within bars represent CPP scores from individual mice within the treatment groups.

Avelar et al.



Figure 3. Acute farnesol treatment increases locomotor activity in an open field.

(A - B) Immediately following an intraperitoneal injection of saline or 1.0 mg/kg farnesol, male and female mice were placed in an open field and distance traveled was measured over a duration of 20 minutes. All data are mean \pm SEM. ***, p < 0.005; unpaired t-test. Exact p values are given in the *Results* section (3.3). The number of mice from saline- and farnesol-treated cohorts is indicated in parenthesis.

Avelar et al.



Figure 4. Farnesol inhibits $a4\beta2$ nAChR function.

Chemical structure of menthol (A₁₋₃) and farnesol: arranged to exhibit its similarity to menthol (A₂) and its chain orientation (A₃). (B₁₋₂) Neuro-2a cells transiently transfected with α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs in brightfield (B₁) and GFP (B₂) imaging modes. (C₁₋₂) α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs were stimulated with 10 μ M nicotine while exposed to increasing concentrations of farnesol to examine its concentration-response on nicotine-stimulated inward currents. (C₁) Mean peak current amplitude (PCA) normalized to 10 μ M nicotine. Each data point represents the mean \pm SEM of 5 – 7 neuro-2a cells. (C₂) Representative

nicotine-stimulated (10 μ M, 300 ms puff) inward currents recorded from neuro-2a cells transiently transfected with α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs exposed to 0, 50, and 300 μ M farnesol. Blue circles in C₁ correspond to the representative waveforms in C₂. (D₁) Representative brightfield and GFP images of neuro-2a cells transfected with α 4-GFP and β 2 nAChR subunits (scale bar, 25 μ m). (D₂) Normalized peak current amplitudes of α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs transiently transfected in neuro-2a cells. Cells were treated with no drug (control, black) or farnesol (blue, 0.5 μ M for 24 hrs). nAChRs were desensitized with a 10 minute 1 μ M nicotine bath perfusion and then allowed to recover for 20 min. Before, during, and after this process, 10 μ M nicotine was applied (300 ms puff at 3-minute intervals) to stimulate nAChR inward currents (n = 4 - 5 cells for each data point).

Avelar et al.



Figure 5. Farnesol treatment alters function of VTA pDA neurons.

For brain slice electrophysiology assays, male and female α 6-GFP mice were treated in a manner identical to CPP assays. (A₁₋₃) VTA pDA neurons (target bregma –3.1) were selected by the presence of α 6-GFP nAChRs. (A₁) Sample schematic of target VTA at bregma –3.1. (A₂) Sample montage of an α 6-GFP mouse brain slice at bregma –3.1. The arrow designates our target VTA pDA neurons were in the lateral as opposed to the medial regions of the VTA. Scale bars: 100 µm (A₂) and 20 µm (A₃). (B₁₋₂) Representative current clamp traces of baseline firing in VTA pDA neurons from saline-treated or farnesol-treated male (B₁) and female (B₂) mice. (B₃) Mean baseline firing frequency (mean ± SEM) for

saline-treated (n = 7 neurons total from male 4 mice; 10 neurons from 4 female mice) and farnesol-treated (n = 10 neurons from 4 male mice; 10 neurons from 4 female mice). (C₁) The current-voltage relationship from a series of hyperpolarizing steps to initiate I_h currents in pDA neurons of male mice (n = 4 neurons, 3 mice and 5 neurons, 4 mice for saline and farnesol-treated mice, respectively). (C₂) Representative waveforms from hyperpolarizing voltage step recordings. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; unpaired t-test. Exact *p* values are given in the *Results* section (3.5).



Figure 6. Farnesol treatment decreases baseline firing frequency of putative SNr GABA neurons in male mice.

(A₁) Schematic of target SNr GABA neurons at bregma -3.1. (A₂) Sample images of a SNr pGABA neuron visualized in IR-DIC and fluorescence modes. All pGABA neurons recorded were negative for α 6-GFP fluorescence, evidence that they are not dopamine neurons. Scale bars, 20 µm. (B₁₋₂) Representative current clamp recordings from pGABA neurons recorded in brain slices of saline- and 1.0 mg/kg farnesol-treated male mice (treatments identical to CPP dosing paradigm). (B₃) Mean firing frequency of pGABA neurons from saline-treated (n = 10 neurons, 3 mice) and farnesol-treated (n = 6 neurons, 3 mice) male mice. *, *p* < 0.05; unpaired *t* test. Exact *p* values are given in the *Results* section (3.5).

Author Manuscript



VTA Putative Dopamine Neurons

Figure 7. Acute nicotine exposure increases baseline firing frequency of putative DA and GABA neurons in male mice.

 (A_{1-2}) Representative current clamp recordings of VTA pDA neurons recorded prior to (left) and during 10 s, 500 nM nicotine application (right). (B_{1-2}) Mean firing frequency before and during nicotine application (mean ± SEM) for saline-treated (n = 6 neurons total from 5 mice) and farnesol-treated pDA neurons (n = 5 neurons from 4 mice). (C_{1-2}) Representative current clamp recordings of SNr pGABA neurons recorded prior to (left) and during 10 s, 500 nM nicotine application (right). (D_{1-2}) Mean firing frequency before and during nicotine application (mean ± SEM) for saline-treated (n = 5 neurons total from 3 mice) and farnesoltreated (n = 4 neurons from 3 mice) pGABA neurons. $(B_3 \text{ and } D_3)$ Mean firing frequency before and during 10 s 3 μ M farnesol application (mean ± SEM) for saline-treated pDA

neurons (n = 4 neurons total from 3 mice) and saline-treated pGABA neurons (n = 4 neurons from 4 mice). In B₁₋₃ and D₁₋₃, lines indicate the individual neurons pre- and during nicotine puff. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; unpaired t-test. Exact *p* values are given in the *Results* section (3.5).



Figure 8. Farnesol upregulates a6* and a4a6* nAChRs in VTA pDA neurons.

(A₁) Schematic of our target mouse brain region in a coronal brain slice at bregma -3.1 mm. (A₂) Montage of 10X images (scale bar, 100 µm) from a saline-treated α-mCherryα6-GFP mouse at bregma -3.1 mm. (B) Representative images of neurons in the VTA following saline, nicotine, nicotine plus farnesol, or farnesol-alone treatment (from CPP assays). Scale bar, 10 µm. (C₁₋₂ and D₁₋₂) Raw integrated density (RID) of α4*, α6*, and α4α6* nAChRs on VTA pDA neurons or RID of α4* nAChRs in SNr GABA neurons in male (C₁₋₂) or female mice (D₁₋₂) from saline, nicotine, nicotine plus farnesol, or farnesol, or farnesol-alone treatment

groups. Number in parenthesis indicates the number of mice used for each treatment group. Dots within bars indicate RID values from individual mice (n = 5 and 4 for male and female mice, respectively). *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.005; one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Bonferroni. Exact *p* values are given in the *Results* section (3.6).



Figure 9. Long-term farnesol treatment upregulates functional $\alpha 6\beta 2\beta 3$ nAChRs in neuro-2a cells.

 $(A_{1-2} \text{ and } C_{1-2})$ Representative neuro-2a cells transiently transfected with α 4-GFP β 2 or α 6-GFP β 2 β 3 nAChRs imaged in brightfield or GFP modes. Scale bars, 25 µm (B₁, D₁) Representative waveforms for control-treated (black) and farnesol-treated (blue) α 4-GFP β 2 nAChRs (B₁) and α 6-GFP β 2 β 3 nAChRs (D₁). (B₂ and D₂) Mean peak current amplitude of 300 ms puffs of 10 µM nicotine. Arrows indicate start of 300 ms puff. Data are mean ± SEM and individual dots represent individual cells (n = 5 - 6 cells, each condition). Exact *p* values are given in the Results section (3.7).

Avelar et al.



Figure 10. Summary of farnesol's effect on midbrain neurons.

VTA dopamine neurons receive excitatory inputs from local/distant glutamate (Glu) neurons and cholinergic neurons but inhibitory input from GABA neurons. Compared to salinetreated dopamine neurons (A), farnesol-treated neurons (B) exhibit an increase in $\alpha 4\alpha 6^*$ and $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs and elevated action potential frequency. This elevation in dopamine neuron firing frequency is likely a consequence of decreased activity from inhibitory GABA neurons. PPtg, pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus; LDTg, laterodorsal tegmental nucleus.