

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 23.

Published in final edited form as: *J Am Chem Soc.* 2019 July 31; 141(30): 11806–11810. doi:10.1021/jacs.9b05604.

# A Supramolecular Strategy for Selective Catalytic Hydrogenation Independent of Remote Chain Length

Trandon A. Bender, Robert G. Bergman<sup>\*</sup>, Kenneth N. Raymond<sup>\*</sup>, Toste F. Dean<sup>\*</sup>

Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-1460, United States

# Abstract

Performing selective transformations on complex substrates remains a challenge in synthetic chemistry. These difficulties often arise due to cross-reactivity, particularly in the presence of similar functional groups at multiple sites. Therefore, there is a premium on the ability to perform selective activation of these functional groups. We report here a supramolecular strategy where encapsulation of a hydrogenation catalyst enables selective olefin hydrogenation, even in the presence of multiple sites of unsaturation. While the reaction requires at least one sterically nondemanding alkene substituent, the rate of hydrogenation is not sensitive to the distance between the alkene and the functional group, including a carboxylate, on the other substituent. This observation indicates that only the double bond has to be encapsulated to effect hydrogenation. Going further, we demonstrate that this supramolecular strategy can overcome the inherent allylic alcohol selectivity of the free catalyst, achieving supra-molecular catalyst-directed regioselectivity as opposed to directing-group selectivity.

Supramolecular catalysis offers a unique means of achieving regioselectivity via threedimensional control over steric and noncovalent interactions between substrate and the host cavity.<sup>1–6</sup> This has been demonstrated in a number of instances ranging from organic to organometallic trans-formations.<sup>7–16</sup> In one important example, supramolecular support of a metal-mediated hydroformylation reaction leads to a change in the selectivity of the transformation through the steric and secondary interactions achieved by a supramolecular scaffold (Figure 1a).<sup>17–21</sup> Our group has also demonstrated the ability of a host-encapsulated rhodium (1) and ruthenium catalyst to perform isomerization of allylic alcohols to aldehydes (Figure 1b).<sup>22,23</sup> Using rhodium, it was shown that the encapsulated organometallic complex exhibits divergent reactivity from that of the free species.

These examples clearly demonstrate that the encapsulation of transition metal-catalysts in supramolecular assemblies can be leveraged to achieve unique selectivity; however, application of this strategy to more complex substrates, bearing multiple sites of reactivity,

Supporting Information

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Authors rbergman@berkeley.edu, raymond@socrates.berkeley.edu, fdtoste@berkeley.edu. ASSOCIATED CONTENT

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.9b05604. Experimental details, characterization data, and methods (PDF)

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

remains rare especially in catalytic processes.<sup>24</sup> We hypothesized that the supramolecular strategies that enable selective transformations of subtly varied substrates might provide new avenues for site-selective reactions. Specifically, that size-selectivity could be harnessed to achieve exquisite catalyst-directed selectivity in a complex substrate, in contrast to size-discrimination between substrates.<sup>25–27</sup> Herein we report the ability to hydrogenate a single point of unsaturation of a polyene in the absence of any substrate-driven selectivity to demonstrate a new approach for performing site- and size-selective hydrogenation (Figure 1c). We also provide evidence that complete encapsulation in the host cavity is not required to achieve selective alkene hydrogenation.

Initial experiments showed that supramolecular catalyst **1**, which is prepared in situ by mixing rhodium complex **2** and the Raymond tetrahedron in a 1:1 ratio (Figure 1), hydrogenated a simple terminal olefin substrate (Table 1, entry 1). Free catalyst **2** also efficiently hydrogenated the same terminal olefin, but significantly faster (1 h versus 12 h) than supramolecular catalyst **1**. To verify that this reactivity difference was due to host encapsulation of **2**, a standard control reaction was performed: to in situ formed **1** was added strongly binding guest  $Et_4N^+$  (as salt  $[Et_4N][Cl]$ ), resulting in ejection of **2** and  $Et_4N^-$  blocked host.<sup>28</sup> With the cavity of the host blocked, complex **2** maintained the same levels of reactivity (>99% conversion, 1 h) since it was no longer encapsulated demonstrating that encapsulation does modify reactivity.

Further experiments showed that catalyst **2** efficiently hydrogenated the compounds shown in entries 1–7 in Table 1 within 1 h. In contrast, **1** hydrogenates a methyl-substituted substrate (Table 1, entry 2), but ethyl-substituted substrates show only minor conversions with *cis*- giving slightly higher conversions than *trans*- (Table 1, entries 3 and 4). Performing the same reaction with  $Et_4N$ -blocked host yields full conversion of these substrates, further demonstrating that the lack of reactivity is a result of the host prohibiting reactivity at the encapsulated organometallic catalyst.

This trend continued upon moving the double bond another carbon unit away from the terminal position, leading to no detectable conversion of the allylic alcohol (Table 1, entry 5). To demonstrate that alcohols were not the only substrates tolerated under these conditions, additional functional groups were tested (Table 1, entries 6–8). Each showed good conversion. Notably, carboxylic acid (Table 1, entry 8) remains reactive even under pH 8.0 buffered conditions. Encapsulation of a carboxylate anion with the anionic cage would be unfavorable, suggesting substrate encapsulation is not a requirement for hydrogenation.<sup>29</sup> Moreover, catalyst **2** gave incomplete conversion in the presence of the carboxylic acid. This stark difference in reactivity between supramolecular supported and free catalyst offered promise for realizing selective olefin hydrogenation.

A competition experiment was then performed to determine if **1** could discriminate between methyl- and ethyl-substituted olefins (**3** and **5**, respectively). Good conversion of methylolefin (**3**) was observed while ethyl-olefin starting material (**5**) was recovered in high yield (Figure 2a). In the analogous control reaction without supramolecular host, full conversion of both substrates occurred rapidly to yield hexanol **4** (Figure 2b). Based on this selectivity, along with the lack of reactivity of allylic alcohol (Table 1, entry 5) it was proposed that

catalyst control with **1** should be able to override inherent allylic alcohol directed rhodium hydrogenation. A competition between allylic alcohol **6** and methyl-olefin **3** with free catalyst **2** showed good selectivity for hydrogenation of **6** over **3** at an early time point (Figure 2c). In contrast, good conversion of **3** and recovery of **6** was observed in the presence of catalyst **1**, demonstrating the ability of the supramolecular scaffold to overcome native catalyst selectivity (Figure 2d).

To expand this strategy of selective hydrogenation, alkyne substrates were investigated. As with alkene hydrogenation, methyl substituted alkyne **7** was readily converted by catalyst **1**. Under room temperature conditions, *cis*-alkene **8** was observed, and high conversion was realized upon warming the reaction mixture (Figure 3a, **9**). In agreement with the results observed above, ethyl alkyne **10** was unreactive. A direct competition experiment between methyl- and ethyl-alkyne (**7** and **10**, respectively) showed selectivity for conversion of the methyl-alkyne (Figure 3b). Hydrogenation with **2** displays minimal selectivity and rapidly hydrogenates both **7** and **10**.

From these competition experiments, it was proposed that the supramolecular supported catalyst should be able to selectively hydrogenate a sterically accessible alkene in the presence of an inherently more reactive alkyne. This would provide support for the ability of this catalyst to perform site selective hydrogenation when cross reactivity is a concern. When subjecting a mixture of **10** and **11** to catalyst **2**, alkyne hydrogenation was more rapid than that of the alkene. However, supramolecular catalyst **1** provides good conversion of alkene **11** and recovery of **10** (Figure 3c), providing another example of catalyst control that is different from reactivity of the free catalyst.

With the goal of performing site-selective hydrogenation on a substrate containing multiple sites of unsaturation, dieneol **12** was explored. Upon subjecting **12** to supramolecular hydrogenation conditions, the major product was *cis*-3-hexen-1-ol **13**, which is challenging to produce under standard rhodium hydrogenation conditions.<sup>30–32</sup> In contrast, free catalyst **2** provided a mixture of products that eventually converged to fully hydrogenated hexanol.

The selective formation of **13** was proposed to occur via a 1,4-hydride addition mechanism (Figure 4a). In this reaction sequence, the steric confinement provided by the host cavity is proposed to force the intermediate rhodium bound mono-alkene (**I**) into a *cis*-orientation to minimize steric clash with the walls, leading to host-selected product **13**. To further support the 1,4-addition mechanism, the analogous reaction was performed with deuterium gas. From this reaction, the doubly deuterated *cis*-product (**14**) was exclusively observed. Interestingly, the product of this transformation was a single isomer indicating good regioselective and diastereoselective deuterium addition within the host (Figure 4b).<sup>33</sup>

To further demonstrate that site-selective hydrogenation could be realized through catalyst control, polyenol **15**, where the olefins are not in conjugation as above, was investigated (derived from lineolenic acid). Selective hydrogenation of any point of unsaturation on **15** would be challenging due to a lack of directing groups and similar reactivity. This proved to be the case upon subjecting **15** to hydrogenation with catalyst **2**. Hydrogenation gives multiple intermediate products at early time points that rapidly converged to the fully

hydrogenated alcohol. However, subjecting **15** to host-supported hydro-genation conditions gave minor conversion even with heating and extended reaction times (Figure 5). This lack of reactivity is in agreement with previous experiments indicating no reactivity at ethyl-substituted olefins.

To overcome this lack of reactivity, the larger pyrene-walled supramolecular host **16** was investigated.<sup>34</sup> In analogy to our observations with the naphthalene host, **2** is a good guest within **16**. Performing hydrogenation of **15** with this larger supramolecular host provided good conversion of the starting material to yield the monohydrogenated product **17**. This product was verified by direct analogy to authentic material (see Supporting Information for details). Increasing the catalyst loading gave complete conversion to provide the singly hydrogenated product **17** in 74% yield (Figure 5a).

Interpreting the larger size of host **16** to be the reason for increased reactivity is reasonable. However, the increased size does not mean that **16** fully encapsulates the substrate during the reaction, whereas the smaller host cannot. Instead, it is proposed that **16** is able to allow enough of the guest to enter and undergo hydrogenation at the metal center while some portion of the substrate remains outside of the host. This is in agreement with similar conversions observed with two carboxylic acid substrates of different alkyl lengths (Figure 5b), which are proposed to undergo conversion in this way due to unfavorable coulombic interactions.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the ability of a supramolecular-supported hydrogenation catalyst to perform site-selective hydrogenation. With this catalyst, hydrogenation of alkenes is dictated by the steric profile of the substrate (as well as the microenvironment of the metal catalyst), enabling selective reactivity that is not observed with the parent hydrogenation catalyst. Moreover, selective olefin hydro-genation of inherently more reactive alkynes can be realized when these substrates differ by only a methyl-substituent. This site-selectivity allowed for the monohydrogenation of the fatty alcohol of lineolenic acid which contains three points of unsaturation. This high size- and site-selectivity, as well as the lack of requirement for full encapsulation of the substrates, provide a promising tool for performing precise transformation on complex substrates.

# Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, and the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Bioscience of the U.S. Department of Energy at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (Grant No. DE-AC02-05CH11231) and a NIH Postdoctoral Fellowship to T.A.B. (Grant No. 1F32GM129933-01).

# REFERENCES

(1). Hong CM; Bergman RG; Raymond KN; Toste FD Self-Assembled Tetrahedral Hosts as Supramolecular Catalysts. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51 (10), 2447–2455. [PubMed: 30272943]

- (3). Zhang Q; Catti L; Tiefenbacher K Catalysis inside the Hexameric Resorcinarene Capsule. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51 (9), 2107–2114. [PubMed: 30153000]
- (4). Yu Y; Rebek J Reactions of Folded Molecules in Water. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51 (12), 3031– 3040. [PubMed: 30398326]
- (5). Raynal M; Ballester P; Vidal-Ferran A; van Leeuwen PWNM Supramolecular Catalysis. Part 2: Artificial Enzyme Mimics. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43 (5), 1734–1787. [PubMed: 24365792]
- (6). Ward MD; Hunter CA; Williams NH Coordination Cages Based on Bis(Pyrazolylpyridine) Ligands: Structures, Dynamic Behavior, Guest Binding, and Catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51 (9), 2073–2082. [PubMed: 30085644]
- (7). Lee SJ; Cho S-H; Mulfort KL; Tiede DM; Hupp JT; Nguyen ST Cavity-Tailored, Self-Sorting Supramolecular Catalytic Boxes for Selective Oxidation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130 (50), 16828–16829. [PubMed: 19053407]
- (8). Catti L; Tiefenbacher K Intramolecular Hydroalkoxylation Catalyzed inside a Self-Assembled Cavity of an Enzyme-like Host Structure. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51 (5), 892–894.
- (9). Zhang Q; Tiefenbacher K Terpene Cyclization Catalysed inside a Self-Assembled Cavity. Nat. Chem. 2015, 7, 197. [PubMed: 25698327]
- (10). Kaphan DM; Toste FD; Bergman RG; Raymond KN Enabling New Modes of Reactivity via Constrictive Binding in a Supramolecular-Assembly-Catalyzed Aza-Prins Cyclization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137 (29), 9202–9205. [PubMed: 26176416]
- (11). Brown CJ; Toste FD; Bergman RG; Raymond KN Supramolecular Catalysis in Metal–Ligand Cluster Hosts. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115 (9), 3012–3035. [PubMed: 25898212]
- (12). Zhang Q; Catti L; Pleiss J; Tiefenbacher K Terpene Cyclizations inside a Supramolecular Catalyst: Leaving-Group- Controlled Product Selectivity and Mechanistic Studies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139 (33), 11482–11492. [PubMed: 28590723]
- (13). Wu N-W; Rebek J Cavitands as Chaperones for Monofunctional and Ring-Forming Reactions in Water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138 (24), 7512–7515. [PubMed: 27259017]
- (14). Shi Q; Masseroni D; Rebek J Macrocyclization of Folded Diamines in Cavitands. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138 (34), 10846–10848. [PubMed: 27529442]
- (15). Wu N-W; Petsalakis ID; Theodorakopoulos G; Yu Y; Rebek J Jr. Cavitands as Containers for α,ω-Dienes and Chaperones for Olefin Metathesis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57 (46), 15091–15095.
- (16). Bender TA; Morimoto M; Bergman RG; Raymond KN; Toste FD Supramolecular Host-Selective Activation of Iodoarenes by Encapsulated Organometallics. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141 (4), 1701–1706. [PubMed: 30667233]
- (17). Jiang X-B; Lefort L; Goudriaan PE; de Vries AHM; van Leeuwen PWNM; de Vries JG; Reek JNH Screening of a Supramolecular Catalyst Library in the Search for Selective Catalysts for the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of a Difficult Enamide Substrate. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45 (8), 1223–1227.
- (18). García-Simón C; Gramage-Doria R; Raoufmoghaddam S; Parella T; Costas M; Ribas X; Reek JNH Enantioselective Hydroformylation by a Rh-Catalyst Entrapped in a Supramolecular Metallocage. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137 (7), 2680–2687. [PubMed: 25632976]
- (19). Nowicki A; Zhang Y; Léger B; Rolland J-P; Bricout H; Monflier E; Roucoux A Supramolecular Shuttle and Protective Agent: A Multiple Role of Methylated Cyclodextrins in the Chemoselective Hydrogenation of Benzene Derivatives with Ruthenium Nanoparticles. Chem. Commun. 2006, 3, 296–298.
- (20). Nurttila SS; Brenner W; Mosquera J; van Vliet KM; Nitschke JR; Reek JNH Size-Selective Hydroformylation by a Rhodium Catalyst Confined in a Supramolecular Cage. Chem. - Eur. J. 2019, 25 (2), 609–620. [PubMed: 30351486]
- (21). Nurttila SS; Linnebank PR; Krachko T; Reek JNH Supramolecular Approaches To Control Activity and Selectivity in Hydroformylation Catalysis. ACS Catal. 2018, 8 (4), 3469–3488. [PubMed: 29657887]

- (22). Leung DH; Bergman RG; Raymond KN Highly Selective Supramolecular Catalyzed Allylic Alcohol Isomerization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129 (10), 2746–2747. [PubMed: 17302420]
- (23). Brown CJ; Miller GM; Johnson MW; Bergman RG; Raymond KN High-Turnover Supramolecular Catalysis by a Protected Ruthenium(II) Complex in Aqueous Solution. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (31), 11964–11966. [PubMed: 21736357]
- (24). Takezawa H; Kanda T; Nanjo H; Fujita M Site-Selective Functionalization of Linear Diterpenoids through U-Shaped Folding in a Confined Artificial Cavity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141 (13), 5112–5115. [PubMed: 30874439]
- (25). Huang Z; Dong G Site-Selectivity Control in Organic Reactions: A Quest To Differentiate Reactivity among the Same Kind of Functional Groups. Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50 (3), 465–471. [PubMed: 28945402]
- (26). Hartwig JF Catalyst-Controlled Site-Selective Bond Activation. Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50 (3), 549–555. [PubMed: 28945414]
- (27). Toste FD; Sigman MS; Miller SJ Pursuit of Noncovalent Interactions for Strategic Site-Selective Catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50 (3), 609–615. [PubMed: 28945415]
- (28). Upon addition of [Et<sub>4</sub>N][Cl] salt to 1, rhodium complex 2 is ejected to give Et<sub>4</sub>N-blocked Raymond tetrahedron.44



- (29). Tiedemann BEF; Raymond KN Dangling Arms: A Tetrahedral Supramolecular Host with Partially Encapsulated Guests. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45 (1), 83–86.
- (30). Dada R; Wei Z; Gui R; Lundgren RJ. Chemoselective Synthesis of Z-Olefins through Rh-Catalyzed Formate-Mediated 1,6- Reduction. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57 (15), 3981–3984.
- (31). Sodeoka M; Shibasaki M Arene Chromium Tricarbonyl Catalyzed Reactions in Organic Synthesis. Synthesis 1993, 1993 (7), 643–658.
- (32). Vasil'ev AA; Vlasyuk AL; Gamalevich GD; Serebryakov EP A Versatile and Convenient Protocol for the Stereocontrolled Synthesis of Olefinic Insect Pheromones. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1996, 4 (3), 389–400. [PubMed: 8733617]
- (33). The only product formed in this reaction is the diducterated cis- olefin. Out of the potential diastereomers of deuterium addition, only one product is formed; however, the relative configuration was not determined.
- (34). Hart-Cooper WM; Zhao C; Triano RM; Yaghoubi P; Ozores HL; Burford KN; Toste FD; Bergman RG; Raymond KN. The Effect of Host Structure on the Selectivity and Mechanism of Supramolecular Catalysis of Prins Cyclizations. Chem. Sci 2015, 6 (2), 1383–1393. [PubMed: 29560226]



#### Figure 1.

Selected applications of organometallic catalyzed reactions supported by supramolecular hosts. (a) Selective hydroformylation by a supramolecular supported rhodium catalysts selects for a single major regioisomer. (b) Selective metal (Ir or Rh) catalyzed allylic alcohol isomerization achieved by host induced size-selectivity. (c) Proposal for the selective hydrogenation based on size-selectivity where a single point of unsaturation could be selectivity activated over others in the same substrate.

Bender et al.



#### Figure 2.

Competition experiments to demonstrate divergent selectivity of free catalyst 2 compared to the supramolecular supported catalyst 1. (a) Supramolecular supported size-selective hydrogenation of methyl- vs ethyl-olefin. (b) Catalyst 2 shows no selectivity between methyl- vs ethyl-olefin. (c) Allylic alcohol-directed hydrogenation results in selective hydrogenation of substrate 6 over 3 at early reaction times. (d) Supramolecular supported catalysis overrides native allylic alcohol directing effect and selectively hydrogenates 3.



# Figure 3.

Supramolecular supported catalyst selectively hydrogenates alkynes based on size-exclusion. (a) Hydrogenation of methyl-alkyne **7** provided *cis*-alkene **8** at room temperature and heating afforded fully hydrogenated **9**. (b) Competition between methyl- and ethyl-alkyne (**7** and **10**, respectively) provided size-selective hydrogenation of **7** and full recovery of **10**. (c) Methyl-alkene **11** was selectively hydrogenated with full recovery of ethyl-alkyne **10**.



# Figure 4.

(a) Supramolecular host supported hydrogenation of *trans,trans*-2,4-hexadiene-1-ol (**12**) to *cis*-3-hexen-1-ol (**13**). (b) Under dideuterium conditions, selective 1,4-addition was observed to yield a single major isomer **14**.



#### Figure 5.

(a) Minor conversion of **15** was observed using catalyst **1**. Catalyst **16** provides good conversion of **15** to the singly hydrogenated product **17**. (b) Competition between two acids with different alkane lengths show similar conversion, indicating remote group does not affect reactivity.

### Table 1.

Screening of General Hydrogenation Reactivity for Simple Olefinic Substrates by Catalyst 1

6		10 mol% 1		
5	4 3 2 1 G	H <sub>2</sub> O, H <sub>2</sub> 20 h, rt.	$\sim$	G
Entry	G	Unsaturation	Conv. [%]	Yield [%]
1	-OH	5–6	>99	92 <sup><i>a</i></sup>
2	-OH	4–5 ( <i>E</i> or <i>Z</i> )	>99	87 ( <i>E</i> ) 85( <i>Z</i> )
3	-OH	3–4 ( <i>Z</i> )	15	10 <sup>b</sup>
4	-OH	3–4 ( <i>E</i> )	<5	<5 <sup>b</sup>
5	-OH	2–3 ( <i>E</i> or <i>Z</i> )	<5	<5
6	-NAc	5–6	88	80
7	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{F}}$	4-5 ( <i>Z</i> )	>99	75
8	$\mathcal{A}_{OF}$	5–6	>99	92

<sup>a</sup>12h reaction time.

 $b_{\text{Reactions performed at 50 °C.}}$