RESEARCH PAPER

# Elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -induced changes in mesophyll conductance and anatomical traits in wild type and carbohydrate-metabolism mutants of Arabidopsis



<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>1</sup> Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR 7265 Biologie Végétale et Microbiologie Environnementale, Aix Marseille Université, Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>2</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<span id="page-0-3"></span><sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

<span id="page-0-4"></span><sup>4</sup> Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

<span id="page-0-5"></span><sup>5</sup> Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

<span id="page-0-2"></span>\*Correspondence: [yusuke.mizokami@gmail.com](mailto:yusuke.mizokami@gmail.com?subject=) or [daisuke.sugiura@gmail.com](mailto:daisuke.sugiura@gmail.com?subject=)

Received 30 December 2018; Editorial decision 24 April 2019; Accepted 25 April 2019

Editor: Tracy Lawson, University of Essex, UK

# Abstract

Decreases in photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$ , and mesophyll conductance  $(g_m)$  are often observed under elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions. However, which anatomical and/or physiological factors contribute to the decrease in *g*m is not fully understood. *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild-type and carbon-metabolism mutants (*gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1*) with different accumulation patterns of non-structural carbohydrates were grown at ambient (400 ppm) and elevated (800 ppm) CO<sub>2</sub>. Anatomical and physiological traits of leaves were measured to investigate factors causing the changes in *g*m and in the mesophyll resistance (expressed as the reciprocal of mesophyll conductance per unit chloroplast surface area facing to intercellular space,  $S_c/g_m$ ). When grown at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, all the lines showed increases in cell wall mass, cell wall thickness, and starch content, but not in leaf thickness.  $g_m$  measured at 800 ppm  $CO_2$  was significantly lower than at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> in all the lines. Changes in  $S_c/g_m$  were associated with thicker cell walls rather than with excess starch content. The results indicate that the changes in  $g_m$  and  $S_c/g_m$  that occur in response to elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  are independent of non-structural carbohydrates, and the cell wall represents a greater limitation factor for  $g_m$  than starch.

Keywords: Arabidopsis thaliana, cell wall thickness, elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, mesophyll conductance, mesophyll resistance, nonstructural carbohydrates, Rubisco.

# Introduction

Mesophyll conductance  $(g_m)$  describes the diffusivity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ from the intercellular space to the site of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation in the chloroplast stroma as it passes through the cell wall, cell membrane, cytosol, and the chloroplast envelope. Three major

methods have been used to estimate *g*m; *A*–*C*<sup>i</sup> curve-fitting [\(Ethier and Livingston, 2004](#page-10-0)), chlorophyll fluorescence [\(Harley](#page-10-1)  *et al.*[, 1992](#page-10-1)), and carbon isotope discrimination [\(Evans](#page-10-2) *et al.*, [1986\)](#page-10-2). The magnitude of the resulting value of  $g<sub>m</sub>$  expressed on



<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) [by-nc/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

a leaf-area basis is comparable to that of the stomatal conductance  $(g<sub>s</sub>)$ , the measure of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion from the ambient air to the intercellular space (e.g. [Tazoe](#page-11-0) *et al.*, 2011; [von Caemmerer](#page-11-1) [and Evans 2015](#page-11-1)). Thus,  $g_m$  represents a significant limitation to photosynthesis. Both  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  are known to change considerably depending on environmental conditions and plant growth status [\(Farquhar and Sharkey, 1982](#page-10-3); [von Caemmerer](#page-11-1) [and Evans, 2015](#page-11-1)). Determining how the factors underlying  $g<sub>m</sub>$ respond to environmental conditions and their differences between species will increase our understanding of plant biomass production.

Among the components that influence  $g_m$ , the cumulative surface area of the chloroplasts positioned along the cell membrane facing the intercellular space  $(S_c)$  and the cell wall thickness are the most crucial anatomical factors. [Tholen](#page-11-2) *et al.* (2008) demonstrated that  $g_m$  increases with an increase in  $S_c$  in photoreceptor mutants of Arabidopsis that showed marked differences in chloroplast positioning. [Adachi](#page-10-4) *et al.* (2013) also found close relationships between *S*c, *g*m, and maximum photosynthetic rate in inbred lines of *Oryza sativa*. In  $C_3$  plants, it is estimated that cell wall resistance accounts for 50% of the mesophyll resistance, the inverse of *g*m ([Evans](#page-10-5) *et al*., 1994, [2009;](#page-10-6) [Terashima](#page-11-3) *et al.*, 2011). [Scafaro](#page-11-4) *et al.* (2011) found that two wild *Oryza* relatives that had thicker cell walls than *O*. *sativa* showed greater drawdown of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from the intercellular space to the chloroplast stroma. It has also been argued that extreme enlargement of starch grains would distort the chloroplasts (Cave *et al.*[, 1981;](#page-10-7) [Delucia](#page-10-8) *et al.*, 1985; [Pritchard](#page-11-5) *et al.*, 1997), causing suppression of photosynthesis by the hindrance of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion in the liquid phase ([Nafziger and Koller, 1976;](#page-11-6) [Nakano](#page-11-7) *et al.*, [2000](#page-11-7); [Sawada](#page-11-8) *et al.*, 2001).

Some species show decreases in  $g_m$  in response to instantaneous increases in ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in the short-term (Flexas *et al.*[, 2007a;](#page-10-9) [Tazoe](#page-11-0) *et al.*, 2011); however, in other species including soybean and wheat  $g_m$  remains virtually unchanged ([Bernacchi](#page-10-10) *et al.*, 2005; [Tazoe](#page-11-9) *et al.*, 2009). We have previously examined responses to elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in Arabidopsis wild-type and stomatal-function mutants, and found that  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  respond independently to changes in  $CO_2$ concentration([Mizokami](#page-11-10) *et al.*, 2019). The mechanisms associated with the decrease in  $g_m$  in response to  $CO_2$  differ from those in response to water deficit, in which ABA plays a key role [\(Mizokami](#page-10-11) *et al.*, 2015).

There are some reports that growth at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  results in a decrease in *g*m in some species. It has been debated whether such interspecific differences in  $g<sub>m</sub>$  responses to the growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration can be explained by changes in cell wall thickness and/or starch content. For example, [Zhu](#page-11-11) *et al.* [\(2012\)](#page-11-11) reported that under free-air  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment conditions, cell wall thickness significantly increased in rice but not in wheat, and a decrease in  $g<sub>m</sub>$  was found only in rice. Kitao *et al.* [\(2015\)](#page-10-12) found a negative correlation between *g*<sup>m</sup> and starch content in the leaves of *Betula platyphylla* (Japanese white birch) grown under ambient or elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Thus, an increase in cell wall thickness and/or starch accumulation may cause a decrease in  $g<sub>m</sub>$  at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, the contribution of each of these factors to the increase in resistance to CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion has not yet been fully elucidated since they usually occur simultaneously.

Teng *et al.* [\(2006\)](#page-11-12) found that growth of Arabidopsis at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in cell wall thickening, although the effects on  $S_c$ ,  $g_m$ , and photosynthesis were not reported. Given this fact, it should be possible to separately evaluate the effects of wall thickness and non-structural carbohydrates on  $g_m$  by using Arabidopsis carbohydrate-metabolism mutants grown at ambient or elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . In this study, selected the Col-0 wildtype and three mutants of that are deficient in α-glucan/water dikinase (GWD1), plastidic phosphoglucomutase (PGM1), and cytosolic fructose 1, 6-bisphosphatase (CFBP1), which are key enzymes in starch breakdown, starch synthesis, and sucrose synthesis, respectively. Leaves of *gwd1* show excess starch accumulation ([Zeeman](#page-11-13) *et al.*, 2004), leaves of *pgm1* are starchless but show relatively high sugar concentrations ([Periappuram](#page-11-14) *et al.*, [2000\)](#page-11-14), and leaves of *cfbp1* are expected to show reduced sucrose content. The photosynthetic capacity of *gwd1* is comparable to that of Col-0, whereas that of *pgm1* and *cfbp1* are significantly lower, especially when plants are grown at elevated CO2 (C.K.A. Watanabe *et al*., unpublished results). In addition to these mutants, we grew wild-type plants for an extended period with either low or high nitrogen availability at either ambient or elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in order to obtain a wide range of control data. We also considered the reciprocal of mesophyll conductance per unit chloroplast surface area, i.e. mesophyll resistance per unit chloroplast surface area (*S*c/*g*m), which might be directly related to the resistance associated with cell wall thickness [\(Evans](#page-10-6) *et al.*, 2009). Sugars and starch tend to accumulate at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which may cause the down-regulation of photosynthesis due to a decrease in Rubisco content and activity [\(Sheen, 1994;](#page-11-15) [Krapp and Stitt, 1995\)](#page-10-13). We paid particular attention to the down-regulation of photosynthesis due to accumulation of non-structural carbohydrates.

## Materials and methods

### *Plant material and growth conditions*

We used *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. accessions Col-0 (wild-type, WT), and the mutants CS3093 (At1g10760; *gwd1/sex1*), CS210 (At5g51820; *pgm1*), and SALK\_064456C (At1g43670; *cfbp1/fins1*). *cfbp1* corresponds to *fins1* [\(Cho and Yoo, 2011](#page-10-14)). These mutants are each deficient in one of the enzymes related to carbohydrate metabolism, as follows: chloroplastic glucan water dikinase 1 (*gwd1*), chloroplastic phosphoglucomutase 1 (*pgm1*), and cytosolic fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase 1 (*cfbp1*).

Seeds of all the accessions were sown in a mixture of autoclaved Metro Mix 350 (Sun Gro Horticulture, Bellevue, WA, USA) and vermiculite (1:1, v/v) in 200-ml plastic pots. The pots were placed in a cold room at 4 °C for two nights and transferred to two  $CO_2$ -controlled growth chambers (LPH-0.5P-SH, Nippon Medical & Chemical Instruments).

Plants were grown at a photosynthetically active photon flux density (PFD) of 200 μmol m−2 s−1 provided by fluorescent lamps during an 8-h light period, with day/night temperatures of 23/21 °C, and a relative humidity of  $60\%$ . The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations in the growth chambers were controlled at either 400 ppm (ambient, aCO<sub>2</sub>) or 800 ppm (elevated,  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ ).

For the first week, plants were irrigated with deionized water. From the second week, they were irrigated with modified Hoagland solution 2–3 times a week. The solution contained 1.5 mM  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ , 1.35 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 mM NaCl, 0.05 mM Fe-EDTA, 0.05 mM H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.01 mM MnSO4, 0.001 mM ZnSO4, 1 μM CuSO4, 0.5 μM Na2MoO4, 0. 2 μM CoSO4. High- and low-nitrogen solutions of 8 mM N and 1 mM N, respectively, with 5 mM K<sup>+</sup> and 5 mM  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  were obtained by adding  $KNO_3$ ,  $CaNO_3$ )<sub>2</sub>,  $KCl$ , and  $CaCl_2$ .

WT plants irrigated with the high-nitrogen solution and grown for a total of 39-42 d are hereafter referred to as ColHN, whilst WT plants irrigated with the low-nitrogen solution and grown for 38–42 d are referred to as  $Col_{LN}$ . To obtain leaves with different photosynthetic traits, some  $Col<sub>HN</sub>$  plants were grown for a further 2 weeks (a total of 50–52 d) and these are referred to as Col<sub>50</sub>. The *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1* plants were irrigated with the high-nitrogen solution. Col<sub>HN</sub>, Col<sub>LN</sub>, and *cfbp1* were grown for 38–42 d after sowing, whilst *gwd1* and *pgm1* were grown for 50–52 d after sowing due to their slower growth rates. Measurements of photosynthesis and the various traits were conducted on young mature leaves, which were the 11th–14th leaves to emerge.

#### *Gas exchange and isotope measurements*

Photosynthesis measurements were conducted during the second half of the light period. Gas exchange measurements were performed using a laboratory-made leaf cuvette (50×55×20 mm) designed for a single leaf of Arabidopsis ([Tholen](#page-11-2) *et al.*, 2008; [Mizokami](#page-10-11) *et al*., 2015, [2019](#page-11-10)). All gas exchange measurements to evaluate photosynthetic traits were conducted at 1%  $O_2$  to minimize the effect of photorespiration on  $g_m$ , using cylinders containing 100%  $N_2$ , 100%  $O_2$ , and 1%  $CO_2$ , which were mixed using mass-flow controllers (MM-3102L-NN, LINTEC, Tokyo, Japan). The  $O_2$  concentration of the gas was checked using an oxygen sensor (3080-O<sub>2</sub> Walz, Effeltrich, Germany).

Light was provided by a metal halide lamp (PCS-UMX250, NPI, Tokyo, Japan). The PFD at the leaf level was monitored using a GaAs photodiode (G1738, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan) placed in the chamber during the measurements. The GaAs sensor was calibrated against a quantum sensor (LI-190SA, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). The concentrations of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  in the air entering and leaving the cuvette were monitored using an infrared gas analyser (LI-7000, Li-Cor). The  $O_2$  effect on the sensitivity of the infrared  $CO_2/H_2O$  analyser was corrected following [Mizokami](#page-10-11) *et al.* (2015).

To measure photosynthesis at different levels of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , we placed two leaves from two different plants together in the leaf cuvette. The leaf temperature and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) were kept at 22.5 °C and 0.85 kPa, respectively, and PFD was set to 1000 µmol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ . For the plants grown at  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$ , the first measurement was taken at an ambient  $CO_2$  concentration  $(C_a)$  of 400 ppm, and then  $C_a$  was switched to 800 ppm. For the plants grown at  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ , the first measurement was taken at 800 ppm, and then  $C_a$  was switched to 400 ppm. Gas exchange parameters were recorded when the photosynthesis attained a steady-state rate, and the photosynthetic rates and stomatal conductance measured at  $C<sub>a</sub>$  of 400 ppm ( $A_{400}$  and  $g_{s400}$ ) and 800 ppm ( $A_{800}$  and  $g_{s800}$ ) were obtained. The air entering and leaving the cuvette was collected in 30-ml Pyrex bottles with two stopcocks, and the  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  ratios were measured with a mass spectrometer (IsoPrime 100, IsoPrime Ltd, Manchester, UK) to obtain mesophyll conductance at the two  $C_a$  levels ( $g_{m400}$  and  $g_{m800}$ ).

#### *Calculation of mesophyll conductance*

Mesophyll conductance was calculated as described by Tazoe *et al.* [\(2011\):](#page-11-0)

$$
g_m = \frac{\left(b - a_i - \frac{eR_d}{A + R_d}\right) \frac{A}{C_d}}{a_b + (a_s - a_b) \frac{C_a}{C_d} + (b - a_s) \frac{C_d}{C_d} - \frac{eR_d(C - \Gamma^*)}{C_d(A + R_d)} - \frac{\int \Gamma^*}{C_a} - \Delta}
$$

where  $C_a$  is the ambient  $CO_2$  concentration,  $C_s$  is the  $CO_2$  at the leaf surface,  $C_i$  is the intercellular  $CO_2$ ,  $C_c$  is the  $CO_2$  in the chloroplast stroma,  $a_b$ and *a*<sup>s</sup> are the carbon isotope discriminations caused by diffusion through the boundary layer (2.9‰) and stomata (4.4‰), respectively,  $a_i$  is the carbon isotope discrimination during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion/dissolution through water (1.8‰), *b* is the carbon isotope discrimination caused by the carboxylation reaction by Rubisco and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (30‰), *e* is the discrimination in respiration (calculated as described by Tazoe *et al*[., 2009,](#page-11-9) [2011](#page-11-0)), and Δ is the measured carbon isotope discrimination [\(Evans](#page-10-2) *et al.*,

[1986](#page-10-2)). The factor *e* required a correction because  $\delta^{13}$ C during plant growth was different to that during measurement [\(Wingate](#page-11-16) *et al.*, 2007), its values were set to  $-24.4\%$  and  $-17.5\%$  for plants grown at aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, as described by [Mizokami](#page-11-10) *et al.* (2019). *f* is the carbon isotope discrimination during photorespiration (11.6‰) and  $\Gamma^{\star}$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> com-pensation point without day respiration [\(Lanigan](#page-10-15) *et al.*, 2008). *R*<sub>d</sub> is the day respiration rate. For  $\Gamma^*$  and  $R_d$  at 1%  $O_2$ , we assumed values for Arabidopsis Col-0 grown at aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> previously estimated by ([Mizokami](#page-11-10) *et al.*, [2019](#page-11-10)) using the Laisk method [\(Laisk, 1977\)](#page-10-16). For the plants grown at  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $Γ*$  and  $R_d$  were 11.3 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> and 0.53 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, whilst for the plants grown at eCO<sub>2</sub>,  $\Gamma^{\star}$  and R<sub>d</sub> were 5.4 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> and 0.45 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Although the values of  $\Gamma^{\star}$  at 1% O<sub>2</sub> were higher than those estimated by [Walker and Cousins \(2013\)](#page-11-17) in a previous study, a sensitivity analysis has shown that variations in  $\Gamma^*$  have a minor impact on  $g_m$  ([Mizokami](#page-11-10) *et al.*, 2019). We did not apply the ternary correction ([Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012\)](#page-10-17) since the VPD (0.872±0.083 kPa) and transpiration rate (0.0018±0.0005 mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) were sufficiently low in our present study: for Col-0 grown at  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$  we determined that  $g<sub>m400</sub>$  calculated with or without the ternary correction differed by only 0.005 mol  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, and  $g_{m800}$  differed by only 0.0031 mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### *Sampling*

The leaves from the two plants that had been used for the photosynthesis measurements were subsequently sampled for microscopic and biochemical analyses. Two or three similarly aged leaves including leaves used for the photosynthesis measurements were sampled to determine leaf morphological traits, leaf nitrogen content,  $\delta^{13}$ C, and the content of nonstructural carbohydrates (starch and soluble sugars). Discs were taken from the leaves and measurements were taken after drying. The other two or three similarly aged leaves were stored at –80 °C for later determination of Rubisco content and cell wall content. In total, 4–6 leaves were sampled from the two plants and the set of data obtained from them was dealt with as one biological replicate.

#### *Light and transmission electron microscope analyses*

Small lamina segments were cut with a razor blade, immediately immersed in 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 2.5% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde, and vacuum-infiltrated until most of the segments sank. They were then stored at 4 °C overnight. The segments were post-fixed in  $1\%$  OsO<sub>4</sub> for 1 h and dehydrated in an ethanol series. Some segments were embedded in Technovit 7100 (Heraus Holding, Hanau, Germany) and used for light-microscope analysis. The other segments were further dehydrated in a series of propylene oxide and embedded in Spurr's resin for TEM analysis.

For light microscopy, leaf transverse sections of 1 µm thick were cut on an ultramicrotome (Reichert Ultracut S, Leica, Vienna, Austria) with a glass knife and stained with a 0.1% (w/v) Toluidine Blue solution in 1% (w/v) sodium borate. Leaf thickness, the surface area of mesophyll cell walls exposed to the intercellular space ( $S_{\text{mes}}, m^2 m^{-2}$ ), and the surface area of chloroplasts facing the intercellular space  $(S_c, m^2 m^{-2})$  were determined for each replicate.  $S_{\text{mes}}$  and  $S_c$  were calculated using the curvature correction factor following [Thain \(1983\)](#page-11-18) and Evans *et al.* [\(1994\)](#page-10-5). The mean value of the correction factor was 1.24 in the present study. For TEM, ultrathin sections of 70 nm thick were cut on the ultramicrotome with a diamond knife (Ultra 45°, Diatome AG, Switzerland) and placed on a 150-mesh copper grid. The grids were stained with a 2% uranyl acetate solution followed by a lead citrate solution. The sections were examined on a JEM-1010 TEM (JEOL, Japan). The wall thickness of mesophyll cells was measured on the ultrathin sections using the ImageJ software ([Schneider](#page-11-19) *et al.*, [2012](#page-11-19)). The thickness was calculated for randomly selected cells by dividing the cross-sectional area of the cell wall by its length. On average, 58 μm of cell wall length was analysed for each plant.

#### *Leaf mass per unit area and non-structural carbohydrates*

The 2–3 dried leaf discs were weighed to determine leaf mass per area (LMA, g m−2) and then ground with a Multi-beads Shocker (Yasui Kikai,

Osaka, Japan). The ground samples (3–5 mg each) were then used to determine the contents of glucose, sucrose, and starch according to [Araya](#page-10-18) *et al.* [\(2006\)](#page-10-18). Soluble sugars were extracted with 80% ethanol, and sucrose was hydrolysed to glucose and fructose with an invertase solution (Wako Chemical, Osaka, Japan). The precipitate was treated with amyloglucosidase (A-9228, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) to break down starch into glucose. Finally, glucose, and glucose equivalents of sucrose and starch, were quantified using a Glucose CII test kit (Wako Chemicals). The content of sugars and starch were expressed on a leaf-area basis. Structural LMA (sLMA, g m−2) consisting of proteins, minerals, lipids, and soluble and insoluble phenolics ([Poorter](#page-11-20) *et al.*, 2006) was calculated by subtracting the content of non-structural carbohydrates from LMA ([Bertin](#page-10-19) *et al.*, 1999).

#### *Nitrogen and* δ*13C*

The nitrogen content (Nmass, g N  $g^{-1}$ ) and  $\delta^{13}C$  of the ground leaf samples were determined with a CN analyser (Vario Micro, Elementar Analyzensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany) connected to an isotopic ratio mass spectrometer (IsoPrime100, IsoPrime, Manchester, UK). N content per area ( $N_{area}$ , g N m<sup>-2</sup>) was calculated as a product of Nmass and LMA.  $\delta^{13}C$  (‰) as follows:

$$
\delta^{13}C = \frac{R_{sample} - R_{standard}}{R_{standard}} \times 1000
$$

where  $R_{\text{sample}}$  and  $R_{\text{standard}}$  are the <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios of the sample and the standard (PDB, 0.011180).

#### *Rubisco and cell wall contents*

The two or three frozen leaf discs were used to determine the contents of Rubisco and cell wall materials as described previously [\(Mizokami](#page-10-11) *et al.*, [2015;](#page-10-11) [Sugiura](#page-11-21) *et al.*, 2017). The frozen discs were homogenized in Tris– HCl buffer (62.5 mM, pH 6.8) using a Multi-beads Shocker (Yasui Kikai) to extract Rubisco. After extraction, SDS–PAGE, Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining, and formamide (NACALAI TESQUE, Kyoto, Japan) extraction were conducted and the Rubisco content was determined by measuring absorbance of the extract at 595 nm. From the residual pellet after the Rubisco extraction, starch was removed using amyloglucosidase and the cytoplasmic protein was removed using 1 M NaCl, and the resultant pellet was assumed to contain the cell wall materials. The pellet was weighed after drying, and cell wall mass per area (CMA,  $g m^{-2}$ ) was calculated.

## Δ*(*S*c/*g*m400),* Δ*cell wall thickness, and* Δ*starch*

Because  $g_m$  is proportional to the surface area available for diffusion, it is sometimes expressed as per unit chloroplast surface area adjacent to the intercellular airspaces  $(g_m/S_c;$  [Terashima](#page-11-3) *et al.*, 2011). Because it is the resistance that is expected to be linearly related to cell wall thickness, we used the reciprocal of the conductance per  $S_c$  in our analyses, i.e.  $S_c/g_{m400}$ .  $S_c/g_{\text{m400}}$  and the draw-down of  $CO_2$  from the intercellular space to the site of carboxylation in the chloroplast  $(C_i - C_c)$  were then related to cell wall thickness and starch content. To quantify the effect of growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ on  $S_c/g_{\text{m400}}$ , we used  $\Delta X$  (%), the percent change in the trait *X* between the plants grown at  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$  and those grown at  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$  normalized to those grown at  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$ , as follows:

$$
X = \frac{X_{eCO_2} - X_{aCO_2}}{X_{aCO_2}} \times 100
$$

 $\Delta X$  was calculated for  $S_c/g_{\text{m400}}$ , cell wall thickness, and starch content.

#### *Statistical analysis*

Statistical tests were performed using Systat13 (Systat Software, Richmond, CA, USA). The effects of growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on anatomical, morphological, physiological, and photosynthetic traits were evaluated by ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test.  $\delta^{13}C$  of the leaves was compared only by Tukey's test at each growth  $CO_2$  level since  $\delta^{13}C$ in the air differed depending on the growth chambers. Photosynthetic traits were also compared between the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations during the measurements by Student's *t*-test.

# **Results**

#### *Leaf anatomical traits*

Leaf anatomical traits of the different Arabidopsis lines were analysed using light and electron micrographs of leaf transverse sections [\(Supplementary Figs S1, S2](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data) at *JXB* online) and were found to differ markedly ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0). Only the cell wall thickness was increased significantly by growth at  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ . The thickness was greatest in  $Col_{50}$  and lowest in *cfbp1* at both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO2 ([Table 1](#page-4-0), [Supplementary Fig. S2\)](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data). Thickness was greater in eCO<sub>2</sub> by  $\approx$  20–35% in the WT and *pgm1*, whereas it did not differ in *gwd1* or *cfbp1*. Leaf thickness,  $S_c$ ,  $S_m$ , and  $S_c/S_m$  were greatest in *pgm1*, but the differences between the mutants and the WT were small.

#### *Leaf morphological and physiological traits*

Leaf morphological and physiological traits were determined for the leaves that had been used for the photosynthesis measurements. LMA, sLMA, and CMA were greater in the  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ samples, and they differed significantly among the lines ([Fig. 1](#page-4-1)). In both  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$  plants LMA was highest in *gwd1* whilst sLMA and CMA were highest in *pgm1*. These traits tended to be greater in  $Col_{50}$  than  $Col_{HN}$ .

The level of non-structural carbohydrates was also increased by elevated growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The starch content was highest in *gwd1* (the starch-excess mutant) and lowest in *pgm1* ([Fig. 2A](#page-5-0)). Within the WT, the starch content was highest in  $Col_{LN}$  in both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>. Soluble sugars were highest in *pgm1*, followed by *gwd1* ([Fig. 2B\)](#page-5-0). Chloroplasts with excess starch were clearly visible in light and electron micrographs of *gwd1*, whilst starchless chloroplasts could be seen in *pgm1* ([Supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data) [Figs S1, S2\)](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data).

 $N_{area}$  was slightly increased by elevated growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , whereas Rubisco content was not [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)).  $N_{area}$  was highest in  $pgm1$  and lowest in  $Col_{LN}$  in both  $aCO_2$  and  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ . The Rubisco content in  $Col<sub>LN</sub>$  grown at  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$  was significantly lower than in the other lines, but otherwise no other differences were observed. There was a positive correlation between the Rubisco content and  $N_{\rm area}$  among the WT and mutants gwd1 and  $\epsilon$ fbp1 grown at aCO<sub>2</sub> and  $\mathrm{eCO}_2$ ([Supplementary Fig. S3\)](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data). However, *pgm1* did not fit this pattern, having relatively low Rubisco content despite having the highest  $N_{area}$ .

 $\delta^{13}$ C differed significantly among the lines [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)), with the highest value in  $gwd1$  and lowest in  $pgm1$  in both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>. The WT showed intermediate values for both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>. There were negative relationships between  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $C_c$ [\(Supplementary Fig. S4A, B](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data)) and positive correlations between  $\delta^{13}$ C value and starch content for all the lines ([Supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data) [Fig. S4C, D](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data)).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. Anatomical and physiological traits of Arabidopsis Col-0 and carbohydrate-mutants grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>, *400 ppm) or elevated CO2 (eCO2, 800 ppm)*

	Growth CO <sub>2</sub>	Col <sub>HN</sub>	Col <sub>50</sub>	Col <sub>IN</sub>	gwd1	pgm1	cfbp1
Leaf thickness $(\mu m)$	$aCO2$ (ns)	201.8±28.3b	216.6±32.7b	221.6±13.8b	$223.6 \pm 20.7$ b	$306.0 \pm 39.6a$	$184.4 \pm 6.0$ b
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	202.4±24.0B	191.9±19.5B	215.5±23.0B	$233.1 \pm 17.4 B$	355.2±69.4A	193.2±25.0B
Cell wall thickness (um)	$aCO2**$	$0.233 \pm 0.023$ ab	$0.286 \pm 0.032a$	$0.221 \pm 0.032$ ab	$0.247 \pm 0.012$ ab	$0.246 \pm 0.012$ ab	$0.210 \pm 0.059$ b
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	$0.317 \pm 0.033A$	$0.336 \pm 0.063$ A	$0.257 \pm 0.024AB$	$0.246 \pm 0.052AB$	$0.295 \pm 0.059AB$	$0.195 \pm 0.015B$
$S_c$ (m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )	$aCO2$ (ns)	$6.52b \pm 0.49b$	$8.29 \pm 1.26$ b	7.59±0.52b	7.76±1.52b	12.82±1.22b	$6.54 \pm 1.12$ b
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	$7.15 \pm 1.21B$	$8.19 \pm 0.80B$	$8.22 \pm 0.85B$	7.88±2.09B	14.63±3.21A	$6.78 \pm 0.34 B$
$S_m$ (m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )	$aCO2$ (ns)	$8.41 \pm 0.58$ b	$10.13 \pm 1.72$ b	$9.53 \pm 0.91$ b	$10.19 \pm 1.47$ b	14.15±1.63a	$8.37 \pm 1.54$ b
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	$9.19 \pm 1.43 B$	10.29±1.00B	$9.98 \pm 1.34 B$	$9.90 \pm 2.24 B$	16.17±3.57A	$8.31 \pm 0.30 B$
$S_c/S_m$ (m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )	$aCO2$ (ns)	$0.776 \pm 0.054$ b	$0.820 \pm 0.038$ ab	$0.798 \pm 0.022$ b	$0.757 \pm 0.057$ b	$0.908 \pm 0.032a$	$0.784 \pm 0.056$ b
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	$0.777 \pm 0.017B$	$0.797 + 0.044B$	$0.828 \pm 0.065AB$	$0.790 \pm 0.050B$	$0.904 \pm 0.031$ A	$0.816 \pm 0.033AB$
$N_{area}$ (g N m <sup>-2</sup> )	$aCO2**$	$1.167 \pm 0.078c$	$1.391 \pm 0.060$	$0.881 \pm 0.139$ d	1.194±0.039c	$1.731 \pm 0.085a$	1.071±0.070c
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	1.227±0.066BC	$1.427 \pm 0.133B$	$0.813 \pm 0.123D$	1.342±0.049B	$1.979 \pm 0.110$ A	1.120±0.033C
Rubisco (q $m^{-2}$ )	$aCO2**$	1.050±0.278a	$1.061 \pm 0.111a$	$0.852 \pm 0.112a$	1.015±0.045a	1.183±0.076a	1.175±0.148a
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	1.271±0.078A	$1.091 \pm 0.148$ A	$0.695 \pm 0.110B$	1.128±0.044A	$1.151 \pm 0.093A$	1.158±0.149A
$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)	aCO <sub>2</sub>	$-32.5+0.34b$	$-33.0+0.19ab$	$-32.5+0.29$ bc	$-32.0+0.19c$	$-33.5+0.14a$	$-32.6+0.23b$
	eCO <sub>2</sub>	$-40.4\pm0.48AB$	$-39.3 \pm 0.24$ C	$-39.6 \pm 0.10$ BC	$-38.9 \pm 0.28$ C	$-41.2 \pm 0.56$ A	$-40.9+0.65A$

 $S_c$  is the chloroplast surface area exposed to the intercellular space;  $S_m$  is the mesophyll surface area exposed to the intercellular space; and N<sub>area</sub> is leaf nitrogen content per area. Values are means (±SD),  $n=4$ . The overall effect of growth CO<sub>2</sub> was evaluated by ANOVA (\*\* $P$ <0.01; ns,  $P$ >0.05), except for δ<sup>13</sup>C (see Methods). Significant differences within each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment were determined using ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (*P<*0.05), and are indicated by different letters (lower-case for  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$ , upper-case for  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ ).



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Fig. 1. Leaf mass per area (LMA), structural leaf mass per area (sLMA), and cell wall mass per area (CMA) in leaves of Arabidopsis Col-0 grown with high nitrogen for 39-42 d (ColHN), with low nitrogen for 38-42 d  $(Col<sub>LN</sub>)$ , or with high nitrogen for 50–52 d  $(Col<sub>50</sub>)$ , and the carbohydratemetabolism mutants *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1* grown under either ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (aCO<sub>2</sub>, 400 ppm) or elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (eCO<sub>2</sub>, 800 ppm). Data are means  $(\pm SD)$ ,  $n=4$ . The overall effect of growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was evaluated by ANOVA and is indicated above the graph (\*\**P*<0.01). Significant differences among the lines within each  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatment were determined using ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (*P*<0.05), and are indicated by different letters (lower-case for  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$ , upper-case for  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ ).

## *Leaf photosynthetic characteristics*

Photosynthesis measurements were conducted at 400 ppm  $CO_2$  ( $A_{400}, g_{s400}$ , and  $g_{m400}$ ) and 800 ppm ( $A_{800}, g_{s800}$ , and  $g_{m800}$ ) for plants grown at  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ .

*A*400 and *A*800 were higher in the WT and *gwd1* than in *pgm1* and *cfbp1* at both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> ([Fig. 3A](#page-6-0), [B](#page-6-0)).  $A_{800}$  was significantly lower than  $A_{400}$  in Col<sub>HN</sub>, Col<sub>50</sub>, and Col<sub>LN</sub> at aCO<sub>2</sub> [\(Fig. 3A](#page-6-0)), and also significantly lower in  $Col<sub>HN</sub>$ ,  $Col<sub>50</sub>$ , *pgm1*, and *cfbp1* at eCO<sub>2</sub> ([Fig. 3B](#page-6-0)).  $g_{s400}$  and  $g_{s800}$  were lowest in *pgm1* at both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> ([Fig. 3C](#page-6-0), [D](#page-6-0)).  $g_{s800}$  was significantly lower than  $g_{s400}$  in all the lines at both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>.  $g_{\text{m400}}$ and  $g_{\text{m800}}$  were lowest in *cfbp1* at both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> [\(Fig.](#page-6-0) [3E](#page-6-0), [F](#page-6-0)).  $g_{\text{m800}}$  was significantly lower than  $g_{\text{m400}}$  in all the lines at both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> [\(Fig. 3C](#page-6-0), [D\)](#page-6-0) except for  $\epsilon$ *fbp1* at aCO<sub>2</sub> and *pgm1* at eCO<sub>2</sub>. Although the photosynthetic rates  $(A_{400})$ and  $A_{800}$ ) and mesophyll conductances ( $g_{m400}$  and  $g_{m800}$ ) were not significantly affected by growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions,  $g<sub>m400</sub>$  was slightly lower in the WT and  $pgm1$  grown at  $eCO_2$ . Stomatal conductance  $(g_{s400}$  and  $g_{s800}$ ) was significantly increased by elevated growth CO<sub>2</sub>, especially in *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1*.

#### *Factors that determine photosynthetic characteristics*

Relationships among photosynthetic characteristics and various morphological and physiological traits were analysed to determine which traits were involved in the responses to growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions. Here, we focus on the photosynthetic characteristics measured at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>.

There was a positive correlation between  $A_{400}$  and Rubisco content for the WT and *gwd1*, whereas *pgm1* and *cfbp1* showed lower photosynthetic rates despite having high Rubisco con-tents [\(Fig. 4A](#page-7-0)). The relationship between  $A_{400}$  and  $g_{s400}$  was not significant ([Fig. 4B\)](#page-7-0); however,  $A_{400}$  was positively correlated with  $g_{m400}$  for all the lines except for *pgm1* [\(Fig. 4C](#page-7-0)).

Relationships among photosynthetic characteristics and accumulation of sugars and starch were investigated to determine whether feedback regulation was occurring [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-7-1). No negative correlations with the soluble sugar or starch contents were



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Fig. 2. (A) Starch and (B) soluble sugars in the leaves of Arabidopsis Col-0 grown with high nitrogen for 39-42 d (Col<sub>HN</sub>), with low nitrogen for 38-42 d  $\langle Co|_N\rangle$ , or with high nitrogen for 50–52 d  $\langle Co|_{50}\rangle$ , and the carbohydrate-metabolism mutants *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1* grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>, 400 ppm) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>, 800 ppm). Data are means (±SD),  $n=4$ . The overall effect of growth CO<sub>2</sub> was evaluated by ANOVA and is indicated above the graph (\*\*P<0.01). Significant differences among the lines within each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment were determined using ANOVA followed by Tukey's test  $(P<0.05)$ , and are indicated by different letters (lower-case for aCO<sub>2</sub>, upper-case for eCO<sub>2</sub>).

found for either Rubisco content ([Fig. 5A,](#page-7-1) [B\)](#page-7-1),  $A_{400}$  ([Fig. 5C,](#page-7-1) D), or *g*m400 [\(Fig. 5E, F\)](#page-7-1).

Relationships between the mesophyll conductance and morphological and anatomical features were investigated. There was no overall relationship between  $S_c/g_{\text{m400}}$  and cell wall thickness among the lines ([Fig. 6A\)](#page-8-0); however, within each line  $S_c/g_{\rm m400}$  increased with the increase in cell wall thickness. No relationship was observed between  $S_c/g_{\text{m}400}$  and starch content among the lines (Fig.  $6B$ ). The draw-down of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ from the intercellular space to the site of carboxylation in the chloroplast (C<sub>i</sub>-C<sub>c</sub>) was positively correlated with cell wall thickness for all the lines except for *pgm1* [\(Fig. 6C\)](#page-8-0). There was no clear relationship between *C*<sup>i</sup> –*C*c and starch content among the lines [\(Fig. 6D\)](#page-8-0). Cell wall thickness was positively correlated with cell wall mass per area (CMA) for all the lines and CMA was also positively correlated with sLMA ([Supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data) [Fig. S5B, C\)](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data). We also expected a positive correlation between mesophyll conductance and *S<sub>c</sub>*, but no clear relationship was found ([Supplementary Fig. S6](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data)).

We examined how the changes in the cell wall thickness and starch content in response to growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions affected mesophyll resistance using  $\Delta S_c/g_{\text{m400}}$ , the percentage change in a given line between the plants grown at  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$  and those grown at aCO<sub>2</sub> normalised to aCO<sub>2</sub>.  $\Delta S_c/g_{\rm m400}$  showed a strong positive correlation with ΔCell wall thickness but there was no clear correlation between  $\Delta S_c/g_{\rm m400}$  and  $\Delta$ Starch content ([Fig. 7A, B\)](#page-8-1).

# **Discussion**

## *The cell wall is a greater limiting factor for* g*m than starch content*

Our results indicated that among the changes in various morphological, anatomical, and physiological traits that we observed, the increases in cell wall mass and thickness in Arabidopsis had the major impact on mesophyll conductance. The excess accumulation of starch was not linked to a decrease in *g*m ([Fig. 5F](#page-7-1)), whereas within each line the increase in cell wall thickness was associated with an increase in mesophyll resistance, *S*c/*g*m [\(Fig.](#page-8-0)  $6A$ ), and a greater draw-down of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from the intercellular space to the chloroplast, *C*<sub>i</sub>−*C*<sub>c</sub> [\(Fig. 6C](#page-8-0)). It was clear that the percentage change in mesophyll resistance between  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$  and aCO<sub>2</sub> plants ( $\Delta S_c/g_{\text{m400}}$ ) was associated with the percentage change in cell wall thickness (ΔCell wall thickness) across all lines ([Fig. 7A\)](#page-8-1). The fact that there was no clear correlation between Δ*S*c/*g*m400 and ΔStarch indicated the lack of effect of the latter ([Fig. 7B\)](#page-8-1). The measurement of cell wall thickness requires observations with an electron microscope and is therefore laborious, whereas measurement of cell wall mass is relatively easy. Since cell wall thickness was highly correlated with cell wall mass per area (CMA, [Supplementary Fig. S5A\)](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data), the latter could be an alternative indicator of cell wall thickness when investigating the environmental responses of  $g<sub>m</sub>$  in the same species. As also shown in a previous study ([Sugiura](#page-11-21) *et al.*, 2017), there was a strong positive correlation between CMA and sLMA [\(Supplementary Fig. S5B\)](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data), indicating that sLMA could also be an efficient parameter within a plant species that reflects the thickness and mass of the cell wall.

When plants are grown under elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions, increases are observed in the thickness and mass of cell walls (Teng *et al.*[, 2006](#page-11-12)) and in the accumulation of non-structural carbohydrates (Kitao *et al.*[, 2015;](#page-10-12) [Sugiura](#page-11-21) *et al.*, 2017), whilst decreases are observed in *g*m (Zhu *et al.*[, 2012\)](#page-11-11). It has been proposed that excess accumulation of starch in mesophyll cells could hinder  $CO_2$  diffusion and result in decreased  $g<sub>m</sub>$ [\(Nafziger and Koller, 1976](#page-11-6); [Nakano](#page-11-7) *et al.*, 2000; [Sawada](#page-11-8) *et al.*, [2001\)](#page-11-8). Zhu *et al.* [\(2012\)](#page-11-11) reported a decrease in  $g_m$  and an increase in starch content in flag-leaves of rice grown with freeair  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment); however, inter-relationships among the traits were not examined in detail. In our present study, although the starch-excess mutant *gwd1* accumulated starch at



Fig. 3. Photosynthetic characteristics of Arabidopsis Col-0 grown with high nitrogen for 39-42 d (Col<sub>HN</sub>), with low nitrogen for 38-42 d (Col<sub>LN</sub>), or with high nitrogen for 50–52 d (Col<sub>50</sub>), and the carbohydrate-metabolism mutants *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1* grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>, 400 ppm) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>, 800 ppm). (A, B) Photosynthetic rates measured at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> (A<sub>400</sub>) and 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> (A<sub>800</sub>) for plants grown in (A) aCO<sub>2</sub> and (B) eCO<sub>2</sub>. (C, D) Stomatal conductance measured at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> ( $g_{\text{sd00}}$ ) and 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> ( $g_{\text{sd00}}$ ) for plants grown in (A) aCO<sub>2</sub> and (B) eCO<sub>2</sub>. (E, F) Mesophyll conductance measured at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> ( $g_{\text{m400}}$ ) and 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> ( $g_{\text{m800}}$ ) for plants grown in (A) aCO<sub>2</sub> and (B) eCO<sub>2</sub>. Data are means (±SD), *n*=4. The overall effect of growth CO<sub>2</sub> was evaluated by ANOVA (\*\**P*<0.01; ns, *P*>0.05). Significant differences among the lines within each growth CO<sub>2</sub> treatment were determined using ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (P<0.05), and are indicated by different letters (lower-case for aCO<sub>2</sub>, upper-case for eCO<sub>2</sub>). Significant differences between measurements at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> and 800 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> within each line were determined using Student's t-test (\*\**P*<0.01; \**P*<0.05; ns, *P*>0.05).

both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> [\(Fig. 2A\)](#page-5-0),  $g_m$  did not decrease [\(Fig. 3E, F](#page-6-0)). Our results suggested that direct effects of non-structural carbohydrates on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion and  $g<sub>m</sub>$  were minor, whereas

<span id="page-6-0"></span>the increased cell wall mass and thickness caused a decrease in  $g_m$  and an increase in  $S_c/g_m$  under elevated  $CO_2$  conditions [\(Table 1](#page-4-0), [Figs 1,](#page-4-1) [7A](#page-8-1)). Thus, we conclude that the increases in



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 4. Relationships between photosynthetic rate, Rubisco content per area, stomatal conductance, and mesophyll conductance measured at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> for Arabidopsis lines grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>, 400 ppm) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>, 800 ppm). The lines, as indicated in the key in (A), were Col-0 grown with high nitrogen for 39-42 d (Col<sub>HN</sub>), with low nitrogen for 38-42 d (Col<sub>LN</sub>), or with high nitrogen for 50-52 d (Col<sub>50</sub>), and the carbohydratemetabolism mutants *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1*. (A) Photosynthetic rate (*A*400) and Rubisco content per area, (B) *A*400 and stomatal conductance (*g*s400), and (C)  $A_{400}$  and mesophyll conductance ( $g_{\text{m400}}$ ). Data are means (±SD), n=4. Regression lines are shown in (A) for Col<sub>HN</sub>, Col<sub>50</sub>, Col<sub>LN</sub>, and gwd1 (R<sup>2</sup>=0.68), and in (C) for Col<sub>HN</sub>, Col<sub>50</sub>, Col<sub>LN</sub>,  $gwd1$ , and  $cfbp1$  ( $R^2 = 0.60$ ).



<span id="page-7-1"></span>Fig. 5. Relationships between photosynthetic characteristics measured at 400 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and content of soluble sugars (glucose and sucrose) and starch for Arabidopsis lines grown under ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (400 ppm) or elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (800 ppm). The lines, as indicated in the key in (A), were Col-0 grown with high nitrogen for 39-42 d (Col $_{HN}$ ), with low nitrogen for 38–42 d (Col<sub>LN</sub>), or with high nitrogen for 50–52 d (Col<sub>50</sub>), and the carbohydrate-metabolism mutants *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1*. Rubisco versus contents of glucose and sucrose (A) and versus starch (B), photosynthetic rate  $(A_{400})$  versus contents of glucose and sucrose (C) and versus starch (D), and mesophyll conductance ( $g<sub>m400</sub>$ ) versus contents of glucose and sucrose (E) and versus starch (F). Data are means (±SD), *n*=4.

cell wall mass and thickness contributed more to the decrease in *g*m and the increase in *S*c/*g*m than starch has been observed to do in previous studies.

Although we found a simultaneous increase in  $S_c/g_m$  and cell wall thickness in each individual line, there was not a positive correlation between them overall across all lines ([Fig. 6A\)](#page-8-0). The fact that Col<sub>LN</sub>, pgm1, and cfbp1 showed different patterns suggests that variation in other physiological processes and anatomical features could also be involved. Mesophyll conductance can be separated into gaseous and liquid phases, and the liquid phase can be further separated into five phases, namely cell wall, plasma membrane, cytosol, chloroplast envelope, and stroma (Evans *et al.*[, 2009\)](#page-10-6). It is considered that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion across the cell wall and across the plasma membrane are the major limiting steps ([Terashima](#page-11-3) *et al.*, 2011). In recent years, it has been suggested that aquaporins—proteins involved in water transport in the plasma membrane—may affect the permeability of CO2 through membranes ([Terashima](#page-11-22) *et al.*, 2006; [Mori](#page-11-23) *et al.*[, 2014;](#page-11-23) [Groszmann](#page-10-20) *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, it is possible that  $Col_{LN}$  grown under low N availability showed higher  $S_c/g_{\rm m400}$  regardless of the thinner cell wall due to a lower expression of aquaporins in the plasma membranes. The expression levels of aquaporins are significantly decreased in roots of *Oryza sativa* grown under low N availability ([Ishikawa-Sakurai](#page-10-21) *et al.*, 2014), so it is possible that a similar effect may occur in the leaf mesophyll cells in Arabidopsis. In *cfbp1*, in which the sucrose synthesis pathway is impaired, the photosynthetic rate,  $A_{400}$ , was limited by the low  $g_{\rm m}$  [\(Figs](#page-6-0) [3,](#page-6-0) [4A](#page-7-0)) even though this line showed a high value of  $N_{area}$ , high Rubisco content, and the thinnest cell walls [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)). Therefore, factors other than cell wall thickness, such as the plasma membrane, cytoplasm, chloroplast envelope, might be markedly changed in *cfbp1*. Furthermore, since the composition of the cell wall is markedly different among carbohydrate-metabolism mutants of Arabidopsis, including *pgm1* and *gwd1* [\(Engelsdorf](#page-10-22) *et al.*, 2017), it is possible that differences in the porosity of the cell wall affected *g*m in these mutants, as discussed by Evans *et al.* [\(2009\)](#page-10-6). Future



**Fig. 6.** Relationships between mesophyll resistance and draw-down of CO<sub>2</sub> from intercellular space to the chloroplast (C<sub>r</sub>-C<sub>c</sub>) measured at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> and cell wall thickness and starch content for Arabidopsis lines grown under ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (400 ppm) or elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (800 ppm). The lines, as indicated in the key in (A), were Col-0 grown with high nitrogen for 39–42 d (Col<sub>HN</sub>), with low nitrogen for 38–42 d (Col<sub>LN</sub>), or with high nitrogen for 50–52 d (Col<sub>50</sub>), and the carbohydrate-metabolism mutants *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1*. Mesophyll resistance (S<sub>c</sub>/g<sub>m400</sub>) versus cell wall thickness (A) and versus starch content (B), and draw-down of CO<sub>2</sub> versus cell wall thickness (C) and versus starch content (D). Data are means (±SD), n=4. The regression line for Col<sub>HN</sub>, Col<sub>50</sub>, Col<sub>LN</sub>, gwd1, and *cfbp1* is shown in (C) ( $R^2$ =0.65).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Fig. 7. Relationships between changes in mesophyll resistance and changes in cell wall thickness and starch content in response to CO<sub>2</sub> growth conditions for Arabidopsis lines grown under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (400 ppm) or elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (800 ppm). The lines, as indicated in the key in (A), were Col-0 grown with high nitrogen for 39–42 d (Col<sub>HN</sub>), with low nitrogen for 38–42 d (Col<sub>LN</sub>), or with high nitrogen for 50–52 d (Col<sub>50</sub>), and the carbohydratemetabolism mutants *gwd1*, *pgm1*, and *cfbp1*. Percentage change in mesophyll resistance (ΔS<sub>c</sub>/g<sub>m400</sub>) measured at 400 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> versus (A) percentage change in cell wall thickness (ΔCell wall thickness) and versus percentage change in starch content (ΔStarch). For calculation of the percentage changes see Methods. Data are means  $(\pm SD)$ ,  $n=4$ . The regression line for all the data is shown in (A)  $(R^2=0.97)$ .

metabolomic, transcriptomic, and micro-anatomical studies will be required to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the changes in *g*m in these mutants.

It is reported that  $g_m$  in plants within the same functional group, such as annuals, broad-leaved deciduous trees, and broad-leaved evergreen trees, is roughly proportional to S<sub>c</sub> [\(Terashima](#page-11-22) *et al.*, 2006). Such a positive correlation between <span id="page-8-1"></span>*g*m and *S*c has been found for WT and chloroplast-positioning mutants of Arabidopsis [\(Tholen](#page-11-2) *et al.*, 2008). However, there was no correlation between  $g_{m400}$  and  $S_c$  for the lines used in our present study ([Supplementary Fig. S6](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data)), possibly due to the fact that the positioning of chloroplasts was normal in the lines that we used and that the range of variation in  $S_c$  was not large enough.

# *Short-term changes in*  $g_m$  *in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> are independent of non-structural carbohydrates*

Short-term changes in  $g_m$  in response to the increase in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration from 400 ppm to 800 ppm during our photosynthesis measurements were observed in all the carbohydrate-metabolism mutants as well as in the WT [\(Fig.](#page-6-0) [3E,](#page-6-0) F). This suggests that the amount of non-structural carbohydrates was not involved in the short-term changes in  $q_m$ . A previous study had shown that  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  were independently regulated in response to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration during gasexchange measurements in Arabidopsis WT plants and two mutants of stomatal function, open stomata 1 (*ost1*) and slowtype anion channel 1–2 (*slac1-2*) ([Mizokami](#page-11-10) *et al.*, 2019). Since these plants showed stable  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  over an hour, the observed changes when the ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration was changed from either 400 ppm to 800 ppm or vice versa were not caused by the stress of long-term measurements. In the present study, *pgm1* showed the lowest *g*s whereas *cfbp1* showed the lowest *g*m. This also suggests that  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  are not necessarily coordinated well in Arabidopsis. In addition to the short-term changes in *g*m, the positive correlations between *A*400 and Rubisco and  $g_{\rm m400}$  that we observed were consistent with previous reports [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0); Flexas *et al.*[, 2007b;](#page-10-23) [Tholen](#page-11-2) *et al.*, 2008; [José Javier](#page-10-24) *et al.*, [2017\)](#page-10-24).

## *Ecophysiological significance of the down-regulation of photosynthesis*

The photosynthetic rate measured at 800 ppm  $(A_{800})$  was significantly lower than that measured at 400 ppm  $(A_{400})$  ([Fig. 3A,](#page-6-0) B), which can be explained by a limitation in triose phosphate use ([Sharkey, 1985;](#page-11-24) [Harley and Sharkey, 1991](#page-10-25)). According to these studies,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation rate measured at low oxygen concentration reaches a maximum at lower  $C_i$  than that measured at normal concentration. In our present study, all the gasexchange measurements were performed at  $1\%$   $O_2$ , which led to a decrease in photosynthetic rate with the increase in  $C_{a}$  and *C*i ([Woo and Wong, 1983\)](#page-11-25).

The decrease in Rubisco was not observed in *gwd1*, which showed the highest starch content, and in *pgm1*, which showed the highest soluble sugars ([Table 1](#page-4-0), [Fig. 5A,](#page-7-1) [B\)](#page-7-1). This strongly supports the idea that accumulation of soluble sugars and starch does not cause the down-regulation of photosynthesis through the decrease in Rubisco content in Arabidopsis. Although an increase in the content of starch and decreases in Rubisco and  $A_{400}$  were found simultaneously only in Col<sub>LN</sub> [\(Fig.](#page-7-1) [5A–D\)](#page-7-1), this would be related to the promotion of leaf senescence and nitrogen remobilization to other organs. [Ludewig](#page-10-26) [and Sonnewald \(2000\)](#page-10-26) reported that the down-regulation of photosynthesis in tobacco was found in senescing leaves only, and there was no correlation between the transcript levels of photosynthesis-related genes and soluble sugar contents.

It has been argued that the sensitivity of maximum photosynthetic capacity to carbohydrate varies greatly among plant species ([Sugiura](#page-11-26) *et al.*, 2018). [Sugiura](#page-11-27) *et al*. (2015, [2017\)](#page-11-21) showed that *Raphanus sativus*, which in common with Arabidopsis belongs to Brassicaceae, can be classified as a carbohydrate insensitive species. They reciprocally grafted plants with different sink activities and found that neither the maximum photosynthesis nor the Rubisco content was down-regulated even though excessive non-structural carbohydrates were accumulated in the source leaves in shoots grafted to the stock of a variety with a low sink activity. Therefore, it is possible that Brassicaceae can be classified as having carbohydrate-insensitive species. Since carbohydrate-insensitive species such as *R*. *sativus* and *Glycine max* show increases in CMA in response to accumulation of non-structural carbohydrates, it is possible that the cell wall is also an important carbohydrate sink in the response to changes in the sink–source balance ([Sugiura](#page-11-21) *et al.*, 2017, [2018\)](#page-11-26). Thus, these plants might down-regulated photosynthetic capacity through the suppression of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conductance by increasing cell wall thickness in order to avoid excess accumulation of non-structural carbohydrates.

Since Rubisco discriminates less against  ${}^{13}C$  at low concentrations of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the chloroplast stroma  $(C<sub>c</sub>)$ , we expected that the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the leaves would reflect  $C_c$ , and indeed we found negative correlations between them ([Supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data) [Fig. S4A, B](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data)). Meanwhile, positive correlations were found between  $\delta^{13}$ C and starch content for all the lines at both aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> [\(Supplementary Fig. S4C, D](http://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jxb/erz208#supplementary-data)). [Brugnoli](#page-10-27) et al. (1988) found higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values in starch than in soluble sugars. They considered that the slower turnover rate of starch is a possible reason, since it would not be available for isotopic exchange for several days, thus resulting in a higher concentration of  $^{13}C$ . Hence, attention should be paid when treating the  $\delta^{13}C$  value as an indicator of C<sub>c</sub> when non-structural carbohydrates are accumulated excessively.

The Arabidopsis lines that we grew under  $aCO<sub>2</sub>$  or  $eCO<sub>2</sub>$ showed cell wall thicknesses ranging from 0.195–0.336 μm [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0). To confirm our findings, it would be necessary to investigate the relationship between  $g_m$  and cell wall thickness in plants over a wider range of wall thickness. It would be possible to obtain plants with thinner cell walls by growing them under low-light conditions [\(Conn](#page-10-28) *et al.*, 2011; [Lehmeier](#page-10-29) *et al.*, 2017) and with thicker cell walls by growing them under high-light or more elevated  $CO_2$  conditions (Teng *et al.*[, 2006\)](#page-11-12). It would also be interesting to determine the extent to which cell walls become thickened depending on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  growth conditions. Another issue is to determine interspecific differences in how plastically cell wall thickness and *g*m are regulated in the field in response to micro-environmental changes such as temperature [\(von Caemmerer and Evans, 2015](#page-11-1)), light intensity, and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration [\(Tazoe](#page-11-9) *et al.*, 2009). This would reveal the ecological significance of the down-regulation of photosynthesis.

#### *Conclusions*

Our results suggest that elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions could decrease mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , and increase mesophyll resistance,  $S_c/g_m$ , through increases in cell wall mass and thickness in Arabidopsis in the long-term. On the other hand, excess starch accumulation had minor effects on  $g<sub>m</sub>$  and  $S_c/g<sub>m</sub>$ . We also demonstrated that short-term changes in *g*m in response to elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  are independent of non-structural carbohydrates in Arabidopsis. Our study provides clues to the ecophysiological

significance of the down-regulation of photosynthesis that are observed under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions.

# Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

Fig. S1. Cross-sections of leaves of Arabidopsis grown under 400 ppm or 800 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Fig. S2. Electron microscopy of leaves of Arabidopsis grown under 400 ppm or 800 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Fig. S3. Relationship between Rubisco content per area and leaf nitrogen content per area in leaves of Arabidopsis grown under 400 ppm or 800 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Fig. S4. Relationships between  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplast stroma and starch content in leaves of Arabidopsis grown under 400 ppm or 800 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Fig. S5. Relationships between cell wall thickness and cell wall mass per area (CMA) and that between CMA and structural leaf mass per area in leaves of Arabidopsis grown under 400 ppm or 800 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Fig. S6. Relationship between mesophyll conductance measured at 400 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and the chloroplast surface area exposed to intercellular space in leaves of Arabidopsis grown under 400 ppm or 800 ppm  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

## Acknowledgements

We greatly thank the members of the Laboratory of Plant Ecology in the University of Tokyo and the Laboratory of Crop Science in Nagoya University for their valuable comments and encouragement. This study was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellows (nos 25·10531 and 14J07443), and CREST (Creation of essential technologies to utilize carbon dioxide as a resource through the enhancement of plant productivity and the exploitation of plant products).

## References

<span id="page-10-4"></span>Adachi S, Nakae T, Uchida M, et al. 2013. The mesophyll anatomy enhancing CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion is a key trait for improving rice photosynthesis. Journal of Experimental Botany 64, 1061–1072.

<span id="page-10-18"></span>Araya T, Noguchi K, Terashima I. 2006. Effects of carbohydrate accumulation on photosynthesis differ between sink and source leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Plant & Cell Physiology 47, 644–652.

<span id="page-10-10"></span>Bernacchi CJ, Morgan PB, Ort DR, Long SP. 2005. The growth of soybean under free air [CO<sub>2</sub>] enrichment (FACE) stimulates photosynthesis while decreasing in vivo Rubisco capacity. Planta 220, 434-446.

<span id="page-10-19"></span>Bertin N, Tchamitchian M, Baldet P, Devaux C, Brunel B, Gary C. 1999. Contribution of carbohydrate pools to the variations in leaf mass per area within a tomato plant. New Phytologist 143, 53–61.

<span id="page-10-27"></span>Brugnoli E, Hubick KT, von Caemmerer S, Wong SC, Farquhar GD. 1988. Correlation between the carbon isotope discrimination in leaf starch and sugars of  $C_3$  plants and the ratio of intercellular and atmospheric partial pressures of carbon dioxide. Plant Physiology 88, 1418–1424.

<span id="page-10-7"></span>Cave G, Tolley LC, Strain BR. 1981. Effect of carbon dioxide enrichment on chlorophyll content, starch content and starch grain structure in *Trifolium subterraneum* leaves. Physiologia Plantarum 51, 171–174.

<span id="page-10-14"></span>Cho YH, Yoo SD. 2011. Signaling role of fructose mediated by FINS1/FBP in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS Genetics 7, e1001263.

<span id="page-10-28"></span>Conn SJ, Gilliham M, Athman A, *et al*. 2011. Cell-specific vacuolar calcium storage mediated by CAX1 regulates apoplastic calcium concentration, gas exchange, and plant productivity in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell 23, 240–257.

<span id="page-10-8"></span>Delucia EH, Sasek TW, Strain BR. 1985. Photosynthetic inhibition after long-term exposure to elevated levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide. Photosynthesis Research 7, 175–184.

<span id="page-10-22"></span>Engelsdorf T, Will C, Hofmann J, *et al*. 2017. Cell wall composition and penetration resistance against the fungal pathogen *Colletotrichum higginsianum* are affected by impaired starch turnover in Arabidopsis mutants. Journal of Experimental Botany 68, 701–713.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Ethier GJ, Livingston NJ. 2004. On the need to incorporate sensitivity to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer conductance into the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry leaf photosynthesis model. Plant, Cell & Environment 27, 137–153.

<span id="page-10-5"></span>Evans JR, Caemmerer SV, Setchell BA, Hudson GS. 1994. The relationship between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  transfer conductance and leaf anatomy in transgenic tobacco with a reduced content of Rubisco. Functional Plant Biology 21, 475–495.

<span id="page-10-6"></span>Evans JR, Kaldenhoff R, Genty B, Terashima I. 2009. Resistances along the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion pathway inside leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2235–2248.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>Evans JR, Sharkey TD, Berry JA, Farquhar GD. 1986. Carbon isotope discrimination measured concurrently with gas exchange to investigate  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion in leaves of higher plants. Functional Plant Biology 13, 281–292.

<span id="page-10-17"></span>**Farquhar GD, Cernusak LA.** 2012. Ternary effects on the gas exchange of isotopologues of carbon dioxide. Plant, Cell & Environment 35, 1221–1231.

<span id="page-10-3"></span>Farquhar GD, Sharkey TD. 1982. Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 33, 317–345.

<span id="page-10-9"></span>Flexas J, Diaz-Espejo A, Galmés J, Kaldenhoff R, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbo M. 2007a. Rapid variations of mesophyll conductance in response to changes in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration around leaves. Plant, Cell & Environment 30, 1284–1298.

<span id="page-10-23"></span>Flexas J, Ortuño MF, Ribas-Carbo M, Diaz-Espejo A, Flórez-**Sarasa ID, Medrano H.** 2007b. Mesophyll conductance to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytologist 175, 501–511.

<span id="page-10-20"></span>Groszmann M, Osborn HL, Evans JR. 2017. Carbon dioxide and water transport through plant aquaporins. Plant, Cell & Environment 40, 938–961.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Harley PC, Loreto F, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD. 1992. Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Plant Physiology 98, 1429–1436.

<span id="page-10-25"></span>**Harley PC, Sharkey TD.** 1991. An improved model of  $C_3$  photosynthesis at high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ : reversed  $O<sub>2</sub>$  sensitivity explained by lack of glycerate reentry into the chloroplast. Photosynthesis Research 27, 169–178.

<span id="page-10-21"></span>Ishikawa-Sakurai J, Hayashi H, Murai-Hatano M. 2014. Nitrogen availability affects hydraulic conductivity of rice roots, possibly through changes in aquaporin gene expression. Plant and Soil 379, 289–300.

<span id="page-10-24"></span>José Javier P-P, Sergio S, Jaume F, Jeroni G. 2017. Cell-level anatomical characteristics explain high mesophyll conductance and photosynthetic capacity in sclerophyllous Mediterranean oaks. New Phytologist 214, 585–596.

<span id="page-10-12"></span>Kitao M, Yazaki K, Kitaoka S, Fukatsu E, Tobita H, Komatsu M, **Maruyama Y, Koike T.** 2015. Mesophyll conductance in leaves of Japanese white birch (*Betula platyphylla* var. *japonica*) seedlings grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and low N availability. Physiologia Plantarum 155, 435–445.

<span id="page-10-13"></span>Krapp A, Stitt M. 1995. An evaluation of direct and indirect mechanisms for the "sink-regulation" of photosynthesis in spinach: changes in gas exchange, carbohydrates, metabolites, enzyme activities and steady-state transcript levels after cold-girdling source leaves. Planta 195, 313–323.

<span id="page-10-16"></span>**Laisk AK.** 1977. Kinetics of photosynthesis and photorespiration of  $C_3$  in plants. Moscow: Nauka.

<span id="page-10-15"></span>Lanigan GJ, Betson N, Griffiths H, Seibt U. 2008. Carbon isotope fractionation during photorespiration and carboxylation in *Senecio*. Plant Physiology 148, 2013–2020.

<span id="page-10-29"></span>Lehmeier C, Pajor R, Lungren MR, *et al*. 2017. Cell density and airspace patterning in the leaf can be manipulated to increase leaf photosynthetic capacity. The Plant Journal 92, 981–994.

<span id="page-10-26"></span>**Ludewig F, Sonnewald U.** 2000. High  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -mediated down-regulation of photosynthetic gene transcripts is caused by accelerated leaf senescence rather than sugar accumulation. FEBS Letters 479, 19-24.

<span id="page-10-11"></span>Mizokami Y, Noguchi K, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Terashima I. 2015. Mesophyll conductance decreases in the wild type but not in an

# 4818 | Mizokami *et al*.

ABA-deficient mutant (*aba1*) of *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* under drought conditions. Plant, Cell & Environment 38, 388–398.

<span id="page-11-10"></span>Mizokami Y, Noguchi K, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Terashima I. 2019. Effects of instantaneous and growth  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels, and ABA on stomatal and mesophyll conductances. Plant, Cell &, Environment 42, 1257–1269.

<span id="page-11-23"></span>Mori IC, Rhee J, Shibasaka M, Sasano S, Kaneko T, Horie T, Katsuhara M. 2014. CO<sub>2</sub> transport by PIP2 aquaporins of barley. Plant & Cell Physiology 55, 251–257.

<span id="page-11-6"></span>Nafziger ED, Koller HR. 1976. Influence of leaf starch concentration on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation in soybean. Plant Physiology 57, 560–563.

<span id="page-11-7"></span>Nakano H, Muramatsu S, Makino A, Mae T. 2000. Relationship between the suppression of photosynthesis and starch accumulation in the pod-removed bean. Functional Plant Biology 27, 167–173.

<span id="page-11-14"></span>Periappuram C, Steinhauer L, Barton DL, Taylor DC, Chatson B, Zou J. 2000. The plastidic phosphoglucomutase from *Arabidopsis*. A reversible enzyme reaction with an important role in metabolic control. Plant Physiology 122, 1193–1199.

<span id="page-11-20"></span>Poorter H, Pepin S, Rijkers T, de Jong Y, Evans JR, Körner C. 2006. Construction costs, chemical composition and payback time of high- and low-irradiance leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany 57, 355–371.

<span id="page-11-5"></span>Pritchard SG, Peterson CM, Prior SA, Rogers HH. 1997. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> differentially affects needle chloroplast ultrastructure and phloem anatomy in *Pinus palustris*: interactions with soil resource availability. Plant, Cell & Environment 20, 461–471.

<span id="page-11-8"></span>Sawada S, Kuninaka M, Watanabe K, Sato A, Kawamura H, Komine K, Sakamoto T, Kasai M. 2001. The mechanism to suppress photosynthesis through end-product inhibition in single-rooted soybean leaves during acclimation to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment. Plant & Cell Physiology 42, 1093-1102.

<span id="page-11-4"></span>Scafaro AP, Von Caemmerer S, Evans JR, Atwell BJ. 2011. Temperature response of mesophyll conductance in cultivated and wild *Oryza* species with contrasting mesophyll cell wall thickness. Plant, Cell & Environment 34, 1999–2008.

<span id="page-11-19"></span>Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nature Methods 9, 671–675.

<span id="page-11-15"></span>Sheen J. 1994. Feedback control of gene expression. Photosynthesis Research 39, 427–438.

<span id="page-11-24"></span>**Sharkey TD.** 1985. Photosynthesis in intact leaves of  $C_3$  plants: physics, physiology and rate limitations. The Botanical Review 51, 53–105.

<span id="page-11-27"></span>Sugiura D, Betsuyaku E, Terashima I. 2015. Manipulation of the hypocotyl sink activity by reciprocal grafting of two *Raphanus sativus* varieties: its effects on morphological and physiological traits of source leaves and whole-plant growth. Plant Cell & Environment 38, 2629–2640.

<span id="page-11-26"></span>Sugiura D, Betsuyaku E, Terashima I. 2018. Interspecific differences in how sink–source imbalance causes photosynthetic downregulation among three legume species. Annals of Botany 123, 715-726.

<span id="page-11-21"></span>Sugiura D, Watanabe CKA, Betsuyaku E, Terashima I. 2017. Sink– source balance and down-regulation of photosynthesis in *Raphanus sativus*: effects of grafting, N and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Plant & Cell Physiology 58, 2043–2056.

<span id="page-11-9"></span>Tazoe Y, von Caemmerer S, Badger MR, Evans JR. 2009. Light and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  do not affect the mesophyll conductance to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion in wheat leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2291–2301.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Tazoe Y, von Caemmerer S, Estavillo GM, Evans JR. 2011. Using tunable diode laser spectroscopy to measure carbon isotope discrimination and mesophyll conductance to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion dynamically at different  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations. Plant, Cell & Environment 34, 580–591.

<span id="page-11-12"></span>Teng N, Wang J, Chen T, Wu X, Wang Y, Lin J. 2006. Elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ induces physiological, biochemical and structural changes in leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytologist 172, 92–103.

<span id="page-11-22"></span>Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tazoe Y, Vyas P, Yano S. 2006. Irradiance and phenotype: comparative eco-development of sun and shade leaves in relation to photosynthetic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  diffusion. Journal of Experimental Botany 57, 343–354.

<span id="page-11-3"></span>Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tholen D, Niinemets Ü. 2011. Leaf functional anatomy in relation to photosynthesis. Plant Physiology 155, 108–116.

<span id="page-11-18"></span>Thain JF. 1983. Curvature correction factors in the measurement of cell surface areas in plant tissues. Journal of Experimental Botany 34, 87–94.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Tholen D, Boom C, Noguchi K, Ueda S, Katase T, Terashima I. 2008. The chloroplast avoidance response decreases internal conductance to CO2 diffusion in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves. Plant, Cell & Environment 31, 1688–1700.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>von Caemmerer S, Evans JR. 2015. Temperature responses of mesophyll conductance differ greatly between species. Plant, Cell & Environment 38, 629–637.

<span id="page-11-17"></span>Walker BJ, Cousins AB. 2013. Influence of temperature on measurements of the CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point: differences between the Laisk and  $O_2$ -exchange methods. Journal of Experimental Botany 64, 1893–1905.

<span id="page-11-16"></span>Wingate L, Seibt U, Moncrieff JB, Jarvis PG, Lloyd J. 2007. Variations in <sup>13</sup>C discrimination during CO<sub>2</sub> exchange by *Picea sitchensis* branches in the field. Plant, Cell & Environment 30, 600–616.

<span id="page-11-25"></span>**Woo KC, Wong SC.** 1983. Inhibition of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  assimilation by supraoptimal CO<sub>2</sub>: effect of light and temperature. Functional Plant Biology 10, 75-85.

<span id="page-11-13"></span>Zeeman SC, Smith SM, Smith AM. 2004. The breakdown of starch in leaves. New Phytologist 163, 247-261.

<span id="page-11-11"></span>Zhu C, Ziska L, Zhu J, Zeng Q, Xie Z, Tang H, Jia X, Hasegawa T. 2012. The temporal and species dynamics of photosynthetic acclimation in flag leaves of rice (*Oryza sativa*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under elevated carbon dioxide. Physiologia Plantarum 145, 395–405.