

Exebacase in Addition to Daptomycin Is More Active than Daptomycin or Exebacase Alone in Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Osteomyelitis in Rats

Melissa J. Karau,a Suzannah M. Schmidt-Malan,a Qun Yan,b Kerryl E. Greenwood-Quaintance,a Jayawant Mandrekar,c Dario Lehoux,d [Raymond Schuch,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4324-573X)d Cara Cassino,d [Robin Patela](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6344-4141),e

a Division of Clinical Microbiology, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA ^bDepartment of Clinical Laboratory, Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China c Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA dContraFect, Yonkers, New York, USA ^eDivision of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY **and Chemotherapy**[®]

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

ABSTRACT Bacteriophage-derived lysins are being developed as anti-infective agents. In an acute osteomyelitis methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) model, rats receiving no treatment or treatment with daptomycin, exebacase (CF-301), or daptomycin plus exebacase had means of 5.13, 4.09, 4.65, and 3.57 log_{10} CFU/gram of bone, respectively. All treated animals had fewer bacteria than did untreated animals ($P \leq 0.0001$), with daptomycin plus exebacase being more active than daptomycin (P = 0.0042) or exebacase (P $<$ 0.001) alone.

KEYWORDS CF-301, daptomycin, exebacase, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, osteomyelitis

O steomyelitis is a devastating infection that can be challenging to treat and that may be associated with morbidity, including damage to bone tissue and metastatic infection. Staphylococcus aureus is the most common cause of osteomyelitis [\(1\)](#page-2-0). S. aureus has the ability to form biofilms and to enter and survive within osteoblasts, both of which may allow it to evade the immune system and be resistant to many traditional antimicrobials [\(2\)](#page-2-1). Current therapeutic options include surgical irrigation and debridement and long-term therapy with antimicrobials such as daptomycin or vancomycin [\(1,](#page-2-0) [3,](#page-2-2) [4\)](#page-2-3). Compounding the challenge of the virulence of S. aureus itself is the increasing antimicrobial resistance of S. aureus. Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) osteomyelitis is growing in frequency and has been associated with poorer outcomes than methicillin-susceptible S. aureus infection [\(5\)](#page-2-4). There is therefore a need for new, more effective antimicrobial strategies for S. aureus, especially MRSA, bone and joint infections.

Bacteriophage-derived lysins offer a novel therapeutic approach using bacterial species-specific enzymes that hydrolyze the peptidoglycan of the bacterial cell wall [\(6,](#page-2-5) [7\)](#page-2-6). As direct lytic agents, lysins do not require bacteria to be actively growing to exert their activity and act immediately upon contact with bacterial cells, thus rendering them as promising potential therapeutics for bone and joint infections [\(8\)](#page-2-7). Exebacase (CF-301) is a recombinantly produced lytic enzyme derived within a Streptococcus suis prophage [\(6,](#page-2-5) [7\)](#page-2-6), with a catalytic N-terminal domain linked to a cell wall-binding C-terminal domain with D-alanyl-L-glycyl endopeptidase activity [\(6,](#page-2-5) [7\)](#page-2-6). Exebacase may have antibiofilm activity as a result of its causing bacteriolysis within biofilms as a result of its cleavage of peptidoglycan in the structural framework [\(8\)](#page-2-7). In vitro, exebacase is rapidly bactericidal, shows minimal resistance development, and has synergistic activity

Citation Karau MJ, Schmidt-Malan SM, Yan Q, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Mandrekar J, Lehoux D, Schuch R, Cassino C, Patel R. 2019. Exebacase in addition to daptomycin is more active than daptomycin or exebacase alone in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus osteomyelitis in rats. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63:e01235-19. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01235-19) [.1128/AAC.01235-19.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01235-19)

Copyright © 2019 American Society for Microbiology. [All Rights Reserved.](https://doi.org/10.1128/ASMCopyrightv2)

Address correspondence to Robin Patel, [patel.robin@mayo.edu.](mailto:patel.robin@mayo.edu) **Received** 19 June 2019 **Returned for modification** 27 June 2019

Accepted 24 July 2019

Accepted manuscript posted online 29 July 2019 **Published** 23 September 2019

with daptomycin and vancomycin against S. aureus [\(6,](#page-2-5) [8\)](#page-2-7). In an experimental murine S. aureus bacteremia model, a single intravenous dose of exebacase with and without vancomycin or daptomycin increased survival [\(6\)](#page-2-5). The results of a phase 2 clinical trial demonstrate a 43% higher clinical response rate with a single dose of exebacase used in addition to standard-of-care antimicrobials versus antimicrobials alone for MRSA bacteremia, including endocarditis [\(9,](#page-2-8) [10\)](#page-2-9). We hypothesized that exebacase would be active against MRSA in experimental acute osteomyelitis in rats.

The study strain, MRSA IDRL-6169, had MICs of 0.5 μ g/ml for both exebacase and daptomycin, as determined by broth microdilution [\(11,](#page-2-10) [12\)](#page-2-11). The minimum biofilm inhibitory concentrations and minimum biofilm bactericidal concentrations were 1 and $4 \mu g/ml$ for exebacase and 1 and 2 $\mu g/ml$ for daptomycin, as determined using previously described methods [\(14\)](#page-2-12). Exebacase testing was supplemented with 0.5 mM DL-dithiothreitol and 25% horse serum [\(6\)](#page-2-5).

The studies described were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Mayo Clinic. Osteomyelitis was established in 64 male Sprague Dawley rats using a modification of Zak's model of experimental osteomyelitis designed to mimic human infection [\(15\)](#page-2-13). Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and the left knee shaved and disinfected with chlorohexidine. To induce osteomyelitis, the knee joint was bent at a 45° angle to expose the top of the tibial process. A 1-ml syringe with a 21 G needle containing 10 μ l arachidonic acid (50 μ g/ml) and 50 μ l of a 10⁷ CFU suspension of IDRL-6169 in tryptic soy broth was inserted into the tibia. The bacterial suspension was slowly injected into the tibia, the needle removed, the knee joint straightened, and pressure placed on the injection site for 1 min. One week after establishing infection (day 8), rats were randomly assigned to one of four treatment arms, as follows: (i) no treatment, (ii) 60 mg/kg of body weight daptomycin intraperitoneally every 12 h [\(16\)](#page-2-14) for 4 days, (iii) single-dose 40 mg/kg exebacase in the tail vein, or (iv) single-dose 40 mg/kg exebacase plus 60 mg/kg daptomycin intraperitoneally every 12 h for 4 days. Daptomycin was administered 15 min prior to exebacase injection. Exebacase, formulated for clinical use, was maintained on ice until injection. Rats were sacrificed 4 days after starting therapy (day 12). The left tibia from each animal was collected, weighed, and cryopulverized for quantitative bacterial culture [\(15\)](#page-2-13). The results of quantitative cultures were compared using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS, Inc., Cary, NC) using the Kruskal-Wallis test as well as Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Means and standard deviations (SD) were reported as log_{10} CFU/gram of bone. All tests were two sided; P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Rats receiving no treatment had a mean (\pm SD) bacterial density of 5.13 (\pm 0.34) $log₁₀$ CFU/gram of bone. Rats in the daptomycin, exebacase, and daptomycin plus exebacase groups had mean (\pm SD) bacterial densities of 4.09 (\pm 0.37), 4.65 (\pm 0.65), and 3.57 (\pm 0.48) log₁₀ CFU/gram of bone, respectively [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-15). The colony counts in all treatment groups were lower than those of the untreated rats ($P \leq 0.0001$). Daptomycin combined with exebacase-treated animals had lower colony counts than did those treated with daptomycin (P $=$ 0.0042) or exebacase (P $<$ 0.0001) alone. The conclusions from the study remain unchanged after application of the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

The animal model used here is one of acute MRSA osteomyelitis. S. aureus bone and joint infections are challenging to treat, partly due to the ability of S. aureus to survive in bone tissue [\(2\)](#page-2-1). Antimicrobials such as vancomycin may not penetrate bone tissue well [\(17,](#page-3-0) [18\)](#page-3-1), yet they are often used to treat osteomyelitis [\(4\)](#page-2-3). It has been suggested that daptomycin has bone penetration [\(19\)](#page-3-2). We found levels of exebacase in bone to be \sim 15% of plasma levels after a single dose of 10 mg/kg. As determined by enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), the maximum concentration in serum (C_{max}) and area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) in plasma and bone were 88 μ g/ml and 12 μ g/g and 28 μ g·h/ml and 4 μ g·h/g, respectively. Exebacase may therefore offer a strategy to target S. aureus bone and joint infections through its ability to lyse S. aureus at the site of infection. It was previously shown that exebacase is synergistic with

FIG 1 Results of quantitative cultures of the tibias after treatment (log₁₀ CFU of MRSA/gram of bone). All treatment groups had lower bacterial loads than those with no treatment ($P \le 0.0001$), with exebacase combined with daptomycin resulting in lower bacterial loads than those with monotherapy with either exebacase ($P < 0.0001$) or daptomycin ($P = 0.0042$). The horizontal lines depict median values.

daptomycin, possibly by increasing the ability of daptomycin to bind to its target [\(6\)](#page-2-5). Thus, the use of exebacase plus daptomycin may offer a treatment option for acute osteomyelitis.

In this study, while treatment with daptomycin or exebacase alone showed a reduction in bacterial counts, exebacase in addition to daptomycin showed a more pronounced effect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was sponsored by ContraFect and a grant from the Department of Defense Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program (award W81XWH-16-1- 0245).

REFERENCES

- 1. Birt MC, Anderson DW, Toby EB, Wang J. 2017. Osteomyelitis: recent advances in pathophysiology and therapeutic strategies. J Orthop 14: 45–52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2016.10.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2016.10.004)
- 2. Kavanagh N, Ryan EJ, Widaa A, Sexton G, Fennell J, O'Rourke S, Cahill KC, Kearney CJ, O'Brien FJ, Kerrigan SW. 2018. Staphylococcal osteomyelitis: Disease progression, treatment challenges, and future directions. Clin Microbiol Rev 31:e00084-17. [https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00084-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00084-17)
- 3. Liu C, Chambers HF, Kaplan SL, Karchmer AW, Levine DP, Rybak MJ, Murray BE, Bayer A, Talan DA, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, Gorwitz RJ, Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2011. Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children. Clin Infect Dis 52:e18-e55. [https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciq146.](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciq146)
- 4. Fraimow HS. 2009. Systemic antimicrobial therapy in osteomyelitis. Semin Plastic Surg 23:90 –99. [https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1214161.](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1214161)
- 5. Davis WT, Gilbert SR. 2018. Comparison of methicillin-resistant versus susceptible Staphylococcus aureus pediatric osteomyelitis. J Ped Orthop 38:e285– e291. [https://doi.org/10.1097/BPO.0000000000001152.](https://doi.org/10.1097/BPO.0000000000001152)
- 6. Schuch R, Lee HM, Schneider BC, Sauve KL, Law C, Khan BK, Rotolo JA, Horiuchi Y, Couto DE, Raz A, Fischetti V, Huang DB, Nowinski RC, Wittekind M. 2014. Combination therapy with lysin CF-301 and antibiotic is superior to antibiotic alone for treating methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus–induced murine bacteremia. J Infect Dis 209:1469 –1478. [https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jit637.](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jit637)
- 7. Lood R, Molina H, Fischetti VA. 2017. Determining bacteriophage endopeptidase activity using either fluorophore-quencher labeled peptides combined with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) or Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) assays. PLoS One 12:e0173919. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173919.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173919)
- 8. Schuch R, Khan BK, Raz A, Rotolo JA, Wittekind M. 2017. Bacteriophage lysin CF-301: a potent anti-staphylococcal biofilm agent. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:02666-16. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02666-16.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02666-16)
- 9. ClinicalTrials.gov. 2017. Safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of CF-301 vs. placebo in addition to antibacterial therapy for treatment of S. aureus bacteremia, NCT 03163446. [https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03163446) [show/NCT03163446.](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03163446) Accessed 18 March 2019.
- 10. Fowler VG, Das A, Lipka J, Schuch R, Cassino C. 2019. Exebacase (lysin CF-301) improved clinical responder rates in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia and endocarditis compared to standard of care antibiotics alone in a first-in-patient phase 2 study. 29th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Annual Meeting, 13 to 16 April, 2019, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- 11. CLSI. 2018. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 11th ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 12. CLSI. 2019. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 29th ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 13. Reference deleted.
- 14. Schmidt-Malan SM, Greenwood Quaintance KE, Karau MJ, Patel R. 2016. In vitro activity of tedizolid against staphylococci isolated from prosthetic joint infections. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 85:77–79. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.01.008) [.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.01.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.01.008)
- 15. O'Reilly T, Mader J. 1999. Rat model of bacterial osteomyelitis of the tibia, p 561–575. In Zak O, Sande M (ed), Handbook of animal models of infection. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- 16. Rouse MS, Piper KE, Jacobson M, Jacofsky DJ, Steckelberg JM, Patel R. 2006. Daptomycin treatment of Staphylococcus aureus experimental

chronic osteomyelitis. J Antimicrob Chemother 57:301–305. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki435) [.org/10.1093/jac/dki435.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki435)

- 17. Albayati ZAF, Sunkara M, Schmidt-Malan SM, Karau MJ, Morris AJ, Steckelberg JM, Patel R, Breen PJ, Smeltzer MS, Taylor KG, Merten KE, Pierce WM, Crooks PA. 2016. Novel bone-targeting agent for enhanced delivery of vancomycin to bone. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:1865–1868. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01609-15.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01609-15)
- 18. Karau MJ, Schmidt-Malan SM, Greenwood Quaintance KE, Mandrekar J,

Cai J, Pierce WM, Merten K, Patel R. 2013. Treatment of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus experimental osteomyelitis with bonetargeted vancomycin. Springerplus 2:329. [https://doi.org/10.1186/2193](https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-329) [-1801-2-329.](https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-329)

19. Montange D, Berthier F, Leclerc G, Serre A, Jeunet L, Berard M, Muret P, Vettoretti L, Leroy J, Hoen B, Chirouze C. 2014. Penetration of daptomycin into bone and synovial fluid in joint replacement. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:3991–3996. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02344-14.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02344-14)