



Glyphosate Excretion is Associated With Steatohepatitis and Advanced Liver Fibrosis in Patients With Fatty Liver Disease

Paul J. Mills, PhD^a, Cyrielle Caussy, MD, PhD^{b,c}, Rohit Loomba, MD, MHSc^{b,d}

^aDepartment of Family Medicine and Public Health, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA

^bDepartment of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, NAFLD Research Center, Department of Medicine, La Jolla, California

^cUniversité Lyon 1, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

^dDepartment of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA

Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is currently the most common chronic liver disease in developed countries ¹ Patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) are considered to be at a higher risk of fibrosis progression and development to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.

Among potential environmental contributors to the pathophysiology of NAFLD are exposure to pesticides and herbicides ². Glyphosate, the primary weed-killing ingredient in Roundup[®], is sprayed on genetically modified (GM) crops and on many non-GM grain crops and is found in these crops at harvest ³.

Rodents chronically fed with a low dosage of glyphosate exhibit signs of hepatotoxicity, liver congestion, necrosis, and DNA damage of the liver cells ^{4,5,6}. This study examined excretion levels of glyphosate and its primary metabolite aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) in a well-characterized and prospectively recruited cohort of patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD.

Correspondence. Paul J. Mills, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA. 92093-0725; 858.822.1828; pmills@ucsd.edu.

Author Contributions. Paul J. Mills: Study concept and design; acquisition of data; analysis and interpretation of data; drafting of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; statistical analysis. Cyrielle Caussy: Study concept and design; acquisition of data; interpretation of data; drafting of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Rohit Loomba: Study concept and design; acquisition of data; interpretation of data; drafting of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

COIs. The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Methods

Participants were originally recruited as part of a larger study between September 2012 and March 2018 at the University of California at San Diego (UCSD) NAFLD Research Center. As previously described⁷, patients with suspected NAFLD with a clinical indication for liver biopsy underwent a careful evaluation for other causes of hepatic steatosis and liver disease through a standardized research visit including detailed medical and alcohol use history as well as anthropometric and physical examination. Histologic scoring was done using the Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network Histologic Scoring System. Prior to conducting statistical analyses, cases were grouped as definite NASH or NAFLD not NASH. This study was approved by the UCSD Institutional Review Board. Informed written consent was obtained from each study participant.

Each patient provided a fasting urine sample which was stored at -80° C. Urine samples were analyzed for glyphosate and AMPA using HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry. Using the formula $[(\text{glyphosate} + 1.5) \times \text{AMPA}]$ we calculated the glyphosate residue, which provides an estimate of dietary intake and exposure to residues.

ANOVA, ANCOVA, Chi-square, and multivariate general linear models covarying for age, gender and BMI were used (SPSS Version 24.0 software package (IBM, Armonk, NY)). Dependent variables were glyphosate, AMPA and glyphosate residue. Results were considered statistically significant at the $p < 0.05$ level. Prior to statistical analyses, data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance.

Results

Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. Neither age nor BMI were significantly related to glyphosate, AMPA or glyphosate residue. Similarly, neither diabetes status nor race / ethnicity were significantly related to glyphosate, AMPA or glyphosate residue. Glyphosate [women 0.373 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.41)] vs [men 0.215 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.17) ($F=5.18$; $p=0.025$)] and glyphosate residue [women 0.833 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.67) vs men 0.594 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.38) ($F=4.09$; $p=0.046$)] were elevated in women as compared to men.

In multivariate models adjusting for age, sex, and BMI, as compared to patients without NASH, AMPA ($F=5.39$; $p=0.022$) and glyphosate residue ($F=7.43$; $p=0.008$) were elevated in patients with definite NASH (Table 1). When compared to patients without advanced fibrosis (Stages 0 & 1), patients with advanced fibrosis (Stages 2, 3, & 4) had, respectively, elevated AMPA [0.196 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.20) vs 0.365 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.33) ($F=9.44$; $p=0.003$), glyphosate residue [0.525 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.38) vs 0.938 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.372) ($F=11.9$; $p=0.001$), and glyphosate [0.230 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.19) vs 0.351 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (SD=0.45) ($F=4.13$; $p=0.046$).

Discussion

We report that glyphosate excretion is significantly higher in patients with NASH compared to patients without NASH. In addition, we also report a significant dose-dependent increase of glyphosate exposure with increase in fibrosis stages.

For individuals not working in the agricultural or horticultural industries, the primary route of glyphosate exposure is through ingestion of Roundup[®]-treated GM foods and/or non-GM crops such as wheat and oats ³. Glyphosate excretion was elevated in women, which presumably reflected an increased exposure to glyphosate.

While there are strengths to this study, including the use of a well-characterized cohort using liver biopsy for the diagnosis of NASH and stage of liver fibrosis, we acknowledge limitations, including no information on dietary intake or occupation and no patients without NAFLD. We did not find an association between glyphosate excretion and BMI, suggesting that glyphosate intake was independent of total caloric intake.

As far as potential mechanisms of glyphosate on the liver, Mesnage et al. showed that rats fed glyphosate have disrupted liver mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation leading to proteome disturbances reflecting peroxisomal proliferation, steatosis and necrosis, a profile consistent with NAFLD and its progression to NASH ⁴. Other studies show that glyphosate inhibits fatty acid oxidation and increases fat and cholesteryl ester levels in mice livers, leading to increased lipid mass per gram of liver ⁸.

Acknowledgments

Funding/Support. PM receives funding support from NHLBI (R01HL136407) and NCATS (5UL1TR001442). RL receives funding support from NIEHS (5P42ES010337), NCATS (5UL1TR001442), and NIDDK (R01DK106419). Support for this study was provided by The Solomon Dutka Fund in the New York Community Trust, The Caroline McKissick Young Foundation, and The Westreich Foundation. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Abbreviations.

NAFLD	nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
NASH	Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis

References

1. Loomba R, Sanyal AJ. The global NAFLD epidemic. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2013;10(11): 686–90. [PubMed: 24042449]
2. Yang JS, Park Y. Insecticide Exposure and Development of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2018;66(39):10132–8. Epub 2018/09/08. [PubMed: 30193066]
3. Myers JP, Antoniou MN, Blumberg B, et al. Concerns over use of glyphosate-based herbicides and risks associated with exposures: a consensus statement. *Environ Health.* 2016;15:19. Epub 2016/02/18. [PubMed: 26883814]
4. Mesnage R, Renney G, Seralini GE, et al. Multiomics reveal non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in rats following chronic exposure to an ultra-low dose of Roundup herbicide. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:39328. Epub 2017/01/10. [PubMed: 28067231]
5. Mesnage R, Arno M, Costanzo M, et al. Transcriptome profile analysis reflects rat liver and kidney damage following chronic ultra-low dose Roundup exposure. *Environ Health.* 2015;14:70. [PubMed: 26302742]
6. Milic M, Zunec S, Micek V, et al. Oxidative stress, cholinesterase activity, and DNA damage in the liver, whole blood, and plasma of Wistar rats following a 28-day exposure to glyphosate. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol.* 2018;69(2):154–68. Epub 2018/07/11. [PubMed: 29990293]
7. Caussy C, Hsu C, Lo MT, et al. Link between gut-microbiome derived metabolite and shared gene-effects with hepatic steatosis and fibrosis in NAFLD. *Hepatology.* 2018. Epub 2018/03/25.

8. Bonvallet N, Canlet C, Blas YEF, et al. Metabolome disruption of pregnant rats and their offspring resulting from repeated exposure to a pesticide mixture representative of environmental contamination in Brittany. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(6):e0198448. Epub 2018/06/21. [PubMed: 29924815]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.Patient Characteristics (Mean \pm SD)

	All (n=97)	Not NASH (n=34)	Definite NASH (n=63)	p-value *
Demographics				
Age (years)	50.5 (13.2) (range 19 – 74)	47.3 (12.5) (range 21 – 72)	51.9 (13.8) (range 19 – 74)	0.249
Male (%)	41.2	53.3	36.5	0.124
White (%)	42.2	39.6	47.1	0.27
Hispanic or Latino (%)	35.0	35.2	34.9	0.81
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.8 (7.0)	29.2 (3.5)	33.0 (7.8)	0.014
Clinical				
Type 2 Diabetes (%)	38.10	17.6	44.4	0.008
Biological data				
AST (U/L)	47.0 (31.7)	35.4 (13.2)	52.5 (36.2)	0.014
ALT (U/L)	65.1 (43.2)	56.3 (24.8)	69.3 (48.3)	0.179
Hemoglobin A1c (%)	6.19 (1.2)	5.71 (0.9)	6.45 (1.2)	0.002
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	148.4 (66.3)	168.0 (78.5)	143.3 (58.8)	0.813
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	185.0 (46.8)	195.3 (31.8)	180.2 (51.9)	0.153
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	44.8 (12.9)	44.2 (10.4)	45.1 913.9)	0.753
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	106.7 (29.7)	117.7 (25.0)	101.8 (30.4)	0.020
Platelet count (10 ³ μ L)	238880 (72375)	238566 (83977)	239032 (66794)	0.977
Histology				
Fibrosis (%)	(n=74)	(n=27)	(n=47)	<0.001
Stage 0	39.0	88.9	10.6	
Stage 1	5.40	3.70	6.4	
Stage 2	27.1	3.70	40.4	
Stage 3	23.1	3.70	34.1	
Stage 4	5.40	0	8.5	
Steatosis (%)	(n=97)	(n=34)	(n=63)	0.017
Stage 0	0.1	0	1.5	
Stage 1	37.7	60.1	26.6	
Stage 2	39.3	23.3	47.4	
Stage 3	22.9	16.6	24.5	
Lobular inflammation (%)	(n=90)	(n=32)	(n=58)	0.003
Stage 0	4.5	13.3	0	
Stage 1	47.7	60.0	41.4	
Stage 2	45.5	23.4	56.9	
Stage 3	2.3	3.3	1.7	
Ballooning (%)	(n=97)	(n=34)	(n=63)	<0.001
Stage 0	38.7	84.7	13.3	

	All (n=97)	Not NASH (n=34)	Definite NASH (n=63)	p-value*
Stage 1	46.3	15.3	63.4	
Stage 2	15.0	0	23.3	
Glyphosate Excretion				
Glyphosate (μ L)	0.308 (0.34)	0.241 (0.18)	0.344 (0.40)	0.164
AMPA (μ L)	0.284 (0.28)	0.197 (0.18)	0.331 (0.31)	0.022
Glyphosate Residue (μ L)	0.735 (0.58)	0.538 (0.35)	0.841 (0.65)	0.008

Data are provided as mean values \pm standard deviation or %. BMI: body mass index; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein; LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein. Not NASH group defined as patients with NAFL (n=24) and borderline NASH (n= 10) as opposed to patients with definite NASH.

* P-values determined by comparing characteristics of definite NASH as compared to not NASH, using ANOVA and ANCOVA or Chi-square test when appropriate to compare categorical variables.