



Intratumoral lymph vessel invasion as a predictive risk factor for nodal metastasis in non-small cell lung cancer: should L-1 status obligate adjuvant chemotherapy?

Kimberly J. Song, Raja M. Flores

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Mount Sinai Health System, Icahn School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Correspondence to: Raja M. Flores, MD. Ames Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Chairman, Department of Thoracic Surgery, Mount Sinai Health System, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1023, New York, NY 10029, USA.

Email: raja.flores@mounsinai.org.

Provenance: This is an invited article commissioned by the Guest Section Editor Zhuoqi Jia (Thoracic Department, the first affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China).

Comment on: Moulla Y, Gradistanac T, Wittekind C, *et al.* Predictive risk factors for lymph node metastasis in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer: a case control study. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2019;14:11.

Submitted Jun 19, 2019. Accepted for publication Jun 27, 2019.

doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.06.73

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2019.06.73>

The presence of lymph node involvement has long been established as a critical prognostic factor and principal determinant of adjuvant therapy in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). In the manuscript “Predictive risk factors for lymph node metastasis in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer: a case control study”, Moulla and colleagues present an analysis of data collected over nearly a decade with the goal of determining both pre- and postoperative predictors for nodal metastasis (1). The authors propose two approaches for predicting nodal involvement: a preoperative model based on radiology and bronchoscopic evaluation, and a post-operative model based on pathologic analysis of the resected specimen.

This retrospective analysis assessed 204 patients with NSCLC who underwent surgical resection at the authors' institution with curative intent and without neoadjuvant therapy. Several preoperative clinical elements were evaluated including endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) for patients with radiographically suspicious N2 or N3 disease. Most patients (78%) received a lobectomy, with systematic lymph node dissection performed according to a predetermined approach (2). Postoperatively, histopathological elements including pathologic T stage (pT), residual tumor (R-status), lymphatic vessel invasion (L-status), venous invasion (V-status), tumor type, and grading were included in the assessment.

Univariate analysis found the following factors to hold predictive value for both pN1+ or pN(any)+ disease: size >3 cm, central location, pT category, R-status, L-status, V-status, and higher grade. In this study, centrally located tumors were specifically defined as those that were endoscopically visible during bronchoscopy. All factors except pT category and R-status were also significant predictors for pN2+ disease. No significant predictive value was found regarding age, gender, laterality, or histologic tumor type.

Interestingly though not surprisingly, multivariate analysis ultimately found significant predictive value for pN1+, pN2+, or pN(any)+ if the tumor was centrally located or >3 cm. Pretreatment evaluation guidelines presented by the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS) (3) have recommended pathological mediastinal lymph node evaluation for tumors of at least 3 cm, and existing studies (4) have shown validity in following these recommendations. Studies have also demonstrated higher rates of occult nodal metastasis with centrally located tumors (5).

When evaluating postoperative histopathological findings, the multivariate analysis found intratumoral lymph vessel invasion (L1-status) to be another significant predictor, which was preserved in the pT1 subgroup as well. Lymphovascular invasion has been associated with

decreased overall and recurrence-free interval, even in early stage tumors (6). Although attempts at developing a risk-prediction model based on lymphovascular invasion have not been able to clearly predict recurrence rates (7), its inclusion into the TNM classification for NSCLC has been proposed (8).

Moulla and colleagues acknowledge some drawbacks of the study, including the lack of data regarding carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels, which have been correlated with mediastinal lymph node metastasis (9). Regardless, the data presented here are valuable, although would be most beneficial alongside further information regarding follow-up and recurrence-free intervals.

Ultimately, this manuscript puts further emphasis on the importance of routine assessment for intratumoral lymph vessel invasion on pathologic specimens, and supports the meticulous nodal staging for larger, centrally located tumors. The importance of multidisciplinary discussion of adjuvant therapy for patients with pN0 but positive L1-status findings should not be overlooked.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Cite this article as: Song KJ, Flores RM. Intratumoral lymph vessel invasion as a predictive risk factor for nodal metastasis in non-small cell lung cancer: should L-1 status obligate adjuvant chemotherapy?. J Thorac Dis 2019;11(Suppl 15):S1990-S1991. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.06.73

References

1. Moulla Y, Gradistanac T, Wittekind C, et al. Predictive risk factors for lymph node metastasis in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer: a case control study. J Cardiothorac Surg 2019;14:11.
2. Graham AN, Chan KJ, Pastorino U, et al. Systematic nodal dissection in the intrathoracic staging of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1999;117:246-51.
3. De Leyn P, Doooms C, Kuzdzal J, et al. Revised ESTS guidelines for preoperative mediastinal lymph node staging for non-small-cell lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;45:787-98.
4. Turna A, Melek H, Kara HV, et al. Validity of the updated European Society of Thoracic Surgeons staging guideline in lung cancer patients. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;155:789-95.
5. Lee PC, Port JL, Korst RJ, et al. Risk factors for occult mediastinal metastases in clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;84:177-81.
6. Park C, Lee JJ, Jang SH, et al. Factors affecting tumor recurrence after curative surgery for NSCLC: impacts of lymphovascular invasion on early tumor recurrence. J Thorac Dis 2014;6:1420-8.
7. Thornblade LW, Mulligan MS, Odem-Davis K, et al. Challenges in Predicting Recurrence After Resection of Node-Negative Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2018;106:1460-7.
8. Wang S, Zhang B, Qian J, et al. Proposal on incorporating lymphovascular invasion as a T-descriptor for stage I lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2018;125:245-52.
9. Bao F, Yuan P, Yuan X, et al. Predictive risk factors for lymph node metastasis in patients with small size non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 2014;6:1697-703.