# Effects of Five Host Plant Species on the Life History and Population Growth Parameters of *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae)

Feng Hong,<sup>1,2</sup> Hong-Liang Han,<sup>2</sup> Po Pu,<sup>2,3</sup> Dong Wei,<sup>2,9</sup> Jia Wang,<sup>2</sup> and Yinghong Liu<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Agriculture, Xinyang Agriculture and Forestry University, Xinyang 464000, China, <sup>2</sup>Chongqing Key Laboratory of Entomology and Pest Control Engineering, College of Plant Protection, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China, <sup>3</sup>Sichuan Plant Protection Station, Chengdu 610041, China, and <sup>4</sup>Corresponding author, e-mail: <u>yhliu@swu.edu.cn</u>

Subject Editor: Sunil Kumar

Received 13 June 2019; Editorial decision 19 August 2019

### Abstract

The green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer (Hemiptera: Aphididae), is an important agricultural pest with a wide range of host plants. To study effects of host species on the life history traits of *M. persicae*, aphids were individually reared on five host plants: *Brassica campestris* L. (Brassicales: Brassicaceae), *Capsicum annuum* L. (Tubiflorae: Solanaceae), *Nicotiana tabacum* L. (Tubiflorae: Solanaceae), *Raphanus sativus* L. (Brassicales: Brassicaceae), and *Vicia faba* L. (Rosales: Leguminosae). TWOSEX-MSchart software was used for the statistical analysis according to the age-stage, two-sex life table theory. The results showed that the shortest preadult stage and adult/total prereproductive period of *M. persicae* were 6.48, 0.19, and 6.67 d on *V. faba*, respectively. While the adult and total longevity of *M. persicae* on *R. sativus* (25.00 and 31.62 d) and *N. tabacum* (24.40 and 30.56 d) were significantly longer than that on the other three hosts, as was the reproductive period. The fecundity of *M. persicae* on *R. sativus* (80.83 nymphs per female), *N. tabacum* (71.72 nymphs per female), and *V. faba* (70.39 nymphs per female) was also greater than that on *B. campestris* and *C. annuum*. It was demonstrated that *V. faba*, *R. sativus*, and *N. tabacum* were more suitable plants for the growth of *M. persicae* exhibiting a shorter preadult stage, longer longevity, and greater fecundity than the remaining two species, as confirmed by the higher intrinsic rate of increase and net reproductive rate.

Key words: Myzus persicae, host species, life table, population characteristics

Ecological patterns may often be determined by the physiological constraints of interacting organisms (Singer 2001). One of the most important factors affecting the fitness of insect herbivores is the quality of the host plant. Compounds from host plants, such as carbon, nitrogen, and defense metabolites, directly affect the potential and achieved herbivore fecundity, and the responses of insect herbivores to changes in host plant quality vary within and between feeding guilds (Awmack and Leather 2002, Hosseini et al. 2019). Polyphagous herbivores are particularly challenged, as feeding on different plant species can result in differences in life history traits (Ojala et al. 2005). It is estimated that 15% of crop yield worldwide is lost to herbivore pests in spite of plant breeding and pest control efforts (Van Der Meijden 2015). However, plant diversity also promotes herbivore suppression through movement patterns, host associations, and predation promises a potential alternative to pesticide-intensive monoculture crop production (Letourneau et al. 2011). The fitness of a pest population is represented by its damage capacity to the host plant. That fitness can be properly evaluated using a life table, as it provides an integrated and comprehensive description of the survival, development, and reproduction of a population (Tuan et al. 2016).

The life table is a powerful and necessary tool for analyzing and understanding the effect of external factors and host plants on the growth, survival, reproduction, and intrinsic rate of increase of insect populations (Chi and Su 2006). A life table study is fundamental for population ecology research and provides a complete description of the survivorship, development, stage differentiation, and reproduction of a population as well as basic population growth parameters (Hu et al. 2010, Yousaf et al. 2018). Using a life table, population projections can be made by means of computer simulations (Chi 1990). Life tables have been used in a variety of studies related to population ecology, such as the effect of temperature on population growth of *Hyalopterus pruni* Geoffroy (Hemiptera: Aphididae) (Atlihan and Chi 2008), climate change/global warming studies (Kanle Satishchandra et al. 2019), host plant resistance on *Lipaphis erysimi* Kaltenbach (Hemiptera: Aphididae) (Qayyum et al. 2018), and harvesting theory (Yu et al. 2018).

As an economically important pest, the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer (Hemiptera: Aphididae), attacks over 400 species in

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Entomological Society of America.

more than 50 plant families, including Solanaceae, Cruciferae, and Leguminosae (Weber 1985, Tang et al. 2019). Its polyphagy is attributed to its ecological and phenotypic plasticity and physiological adaptability to a large array of primary and secondary compounds from diverse host species. To quantitatively analyze the effect of different host plants on the life history of *M. persicae*, we constructed several life tables of *M. persicae* using the age-stage, twosex life table theory. The current study provides a comprehensive insight into the host preference of *M. persicae*. Our findings can be used for developing targeted strategies for prevention of the green peach aphid.

### **Materials and Methods**

### Aphid and Host Plant Culture

Adult *M. persicae* samples were collected from *Nicotiana tabacum* L. (Tubiflorae: Solanaceae) plants in Chongqing, China, and then maintained on the same host species in a climate incubator at  $23 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C,  $50 \pm 5\%$  RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. Five host plants commonly found in Chongqing were used for this study, including rapeseed [*Brassica campestris* L. (Brassicales: Brassicaceae)], pepper [*Capsicum annuum* L. (Tubiflorae: Solanaceae)], tobacco (*N. tabacum*), radish [*Raphanus sativus* L. (Brassicales: Brassicaceae)], and faba bean [*Vicia faba* L. (Rosales: Leguminosae)]. All tested plants were grown in growth chambers with Pindstrup substrate as media and were watered as required.

### Life Table Construction

For the life table study, more than 100 *M. persicae* adults were transferred onto tobacco leaves. After 12 h, newborn nymphs were individually transferred to the leaf discs (2 cm of diameter) of five host plants. The leaf disc was placed upside down on a water-saturated sponge pad in a plastic dish (8.5 cm of diameter, and 1.5 cm of height). A piece of filter paper with a hole (2 cm of diameter at the center) was placed onto the leaf disc to form a water fence. The molting and reproduction of *M. persicae* were observed and recorded every 12 h until all aphids were dead. All studies were carried out in climate incubators (MLR-351H, Panasonic, Matsuyama, Japan) at  $23 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C,  $70 \pm 5^{\circ}$  RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h (Tang et al. 2017).

### Statistical Analysis

TWOSEX-MSchart software was used for analysis of the life history based on the age-stage, two-sex life table theory (Chi and Liu 1985).

The means of developmental periods for each stage and total longevity of *M. persicae* reared on different host plants were calculated as well as the age-stage-specific survival rate  $(s_{xj})$ .  $s_{xj}$  represents the probability that a newborn aphid will survive to age *x* and stage *j*. The age-stage life expectancy  $(e_{xj})$  was also calculated, which is defined as the length of duration or time that a green peach aphid of *x* and *j* is predicted to live (Chi and Su 2006).

$$e_{xj} = \sum_{i=x}^{\infty} \sum_{y=j}^{k} s'_{iy},$$

where  $s'_{iy}$  represents the probability that individuals of age = x and stage = j will survive to age = i and stage = y.

Additionally, the means of prereproductive period and female fecundity were also calculated. The adult prereproductive period (APRP) was defined as the duration of time from the emergence of the adult female to its initial reproduction, and the total prereproductive period (TPRP) was the total duration of time from the beginning of the life table study to the female's initial reproduction. After that, we calculated the reproductive value ( $v_{xj}$ ) to evaluate the contribution of an individual at age x in stage j to the future population (Rostami et al. 2018).

$$v_{xj} = rac{e^{r(x+1)}}{s_{xj}} \sum_{i=x}^{\infty} e^{-r(i+1)} \sum_{y=j}^{k} s'_{iy} f_{iy}$$

The population parameters ( $R_0$ , net reproductive rate; r, intrinsic rate of increase;  $\lambda$ , finite rate of increase; and T, the mean generation time) of M. *persicae* on different host plants were estimated accordingly.  $R_0$  is defined as the total offspring produced by an individual during its life span. r is the rate at which a population increases in size without density-dependent forces.  $\lambda$  indicates the number of times the population multiplies in a unit of time. T is the time required for a population to increase its size by  $R_0$ -fold in the stable age distribution (Akköprü et al. 2015). These parameters were calculated as:

$$R_0 = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} l_x m_x,$$
$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-r(x+1)} l_x m_x = 1,$$

Table 1. Effect of host plants on the development of Myzus persicae

| Statistics            |            |                  |     |                    | Но  | ost species       |     |                    |       |                    |
|-----------------------|------------|------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|--------------------|-------|--------------------|
|                       | Vicia faba |                  | Caț | osicum annuum      | Raț | ohanus sativus    | Nic | otiana tabacum     | Brass | ica campestris     |
|                       | п          | Mean ± SE        | п   | Mean ± SE          | п   | Mean ± SE         | п   | Mean ± SE          | п     | Mean ± SE          |
| Preadult duration (d) | 116        | 6.48 ± 0.04c     | 118 | 6.44 ± 0.06c       | 120 | 6.62 ± 0.05b      | 117 | 6.77 ± 0.08b       | 116   | 7.54 ± 0.11a       |
| First instar (d)      | 120        | $1.70 \pm 0.03c$ | 120 | $1.80 \pm 0.03b$   | 120 | 1.61 ± 0.03d      | 120 | $1.78 \pm 0.03 bc$ | 120   | $2.34 \pm 0.05a$   |
| Second instar (d)     | 119        | 1.42 ± 0.02d     | 120 | $1.50 \pm 0.03 bc$ | 120 | $1.55 \pm 0.03b$  | 120 | 1.42 ± 0.03cd      | 116   | $1.78 \pm 0.07a$   |
| Third instar (d)      | 118        | $1.57 \pm 0.02a$ | 120 | $1.42 \pm 0.03b$   | 120 | $1.57 \pm 0.02a$  | 119 | $1.63 \pm 0.03a$   | 116   | $1.63 \pm 0.05a$   |
| Fourth instar (d)     | 116        | 1.79 ± 0.03b     | 118 | $1.71 \pm 0.03b$   | 120 | $1.89 \pm 0.02a$  | 117 | 1.97 ± 0.05a       | 116   | 1.81 ± 0.09ab      |
| Adult longevity (d)   | 116        | 17.66 ± 0.62b    | 118 | 15.37 ± 0.82c      | 120 | $25.00 \pm 0.56a$ | 117 | $24.40 \pm 0.81a$  | 116   | 17.80 ± 0.71b      |
| Total longevity (d)   | 120        | 23.51 ± 0.68bc   | 120 | $21.55 \pm 0.83c$  | 120 | 31.62 ± 0.56a     | 120 | $30.56 \pm 0.85a$  | 120   | $24.69 \pm 0.75$ b |

Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) using bootstrap test.

$$\lambda = e^r,$$
$$T = \frac{\ln R_0}{2}.$$

the five host treatments were analyzed by the paired bootstrap test with a P value of less than 0.05.

# Results

# Effect of Host Species on the Development of *M. persicae*

The means and standard errors of the developmental time, longevity, fecundity, and population parameters were estimated using the bootstrap technique. To reduce the variability of the results, we used 200,000 bootstrap replications in this study. The differences among

The durations of the four nymph stages for the aphids fed with five plant species all differed significantly from each other (Table 1). The preadult durations for *M. persicae* reared on *B. campestris*,



**Fig. 1.** The age-stage-specific survival rate (*s<sub>xj</sub>*) of *Myzus persicae* on five different host plants. N1, first instar nymph, purple curve; N2, second instar nymph, blue curve; N3, third instar nymph, green curve; N4, fourth instar nymph, yellow curve; A, adult aphid, red curve. Each panel represents *Vicia faba* (a), *Capsicum annuum* (b), *Raphanus sativus* (c), *Nicotiana tabacum* (d), *Brassica campestris* (e), respectively.

*C. annuum*, N. *tabacum*, R. *sativus*, and V. *faba* were 7.54 ± 0.11, 6.44 ± 0.06, 6.77 ± 0.08, 6.62 ± 0.05, and 6.48 ± 0.04 d, respectively. The shortest preadult durations were observed on *C. annuum* and *V. faba*, and the curves of the age-stage-specific survival rate  $(s_{xj})$  showed that the survival rates of mature aphids on *C. annuum* and *B. campestris* were lower than those on *N. tabacum* and *R. sativus* (Fig. 1; Supp Fig. 1 [online only]). The adult longevity and total longevity of the aphids reared on *C. annuum* and *B. campestris* were also significantly shorter than those reared on *N. tabacum* and *R. sativus*. The age-stage life expectancy  $(e_{xj})$  showed the same trend

(Fig. 2; Supp Fig. 2 [online only]). The life expectancy of the new born nymph at age = 0 and stage = 1 ( $e_{01}$  value) was exactly the mean longevity of 21.55 ± 0.83 d on *C. annuum*, 23.51 ± 0.68 d on *V. faba*, 24.69 ± 0.75 d on *B. campestris*, 30.56 ± 0.85 d on *N. tabacum*, and 31.62 ± 0.56 d on *R. sativus*, respectively (Table 1).

# Effect of Host Species on the Fecundity of *M. persicae*

Means of TPRP and APRP of *M. persicae* reared on different host plants also differed significantly (Table 2). Mature aphids and



Fig. 2. The age-stage-specific life expectancy ( $e_{x_i}$ ) of Myzus persicae on five different host plants. N1, first instar nymph, purple curve; N2, second instar nymph, blue curve; N3, third instar nymph, green curve; N4, fourth instar nymph, yellow curve; A, adult aphid, red curve. Each panel represents Vicia faba (a), Capsicum annuum (b), Raphanus sativus (c), Nicotiana tabacum (d), Brassica campestris (e), respectively.

| Statistics                    |     |                  |     |               | H   | Host species      |      |                   |     |                  |
|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|-----|---------------|-----|-------------------|------|-------------------|-----|------------------|
|                               |     | Vicia faba       | Сар | sicum annuum  | Raț | phanus sativus    | Nico | otiana tabacum    | Bra | ssica campestris |
|                               | n   | Mean ± SE        | n   | Mean ± SE     | n   | Mean ± SE         | n    | Mean ± SE         | n   | Mean ± SE        |
| APRP $(d)^a$                  | 114 | $0.19 \pm 0.03c$ | 116 | 0.61 ± 0.04a  | 120 | 0.41 ± 0.03b      | 112  | $0.64 \pm 0.07a$  | 115 | 0.44 ± 0.08ab    |
| TPRP $(d)^b$                  | 114 | 6.67 ± 0.05d     | 116 | 7.04 ± 0.08c  | 120 | 7.03 ± 0.06c      | 112  | $7.37 \pm 0.09b$  | 115 | 7.99 ± 0.13a     |
| Reproductive<br>period (d)    | 114 | 12.96 ± 0.36c    | 116 | 11.05 ± 0.59d | 120 | $18.30 \pm 0.43a$ | 112  | $14.03 \pm 0.34b$ | 115 | 12.26 ± 0.44cd   |
| Fecundity (nymphs per female) | 114 | 70.39 ± 2.26b    | 116 | 47.05 ± 2.54d | 120 | 80.83 ± 2.09a     | 112  | 71.72 ± 2.12b     | 115 | 53.71 ± 2.23c    |

Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) using bootstrap test.

<sup>a</sup>APRP = adult prereproductive period.

<sup>b</sup>TPRP = total prereproductive period.

newborn aphids on *V. faba* both took the least time to produce offspring (APRP =  $0.19 \pm 0.03$  d and TPRP =  $6.67 \pm 0.05$  d), whereas the greatest APRP and TPRP were, respectively, observed in newborn aphids on *C. annuum* (APRP =  $0.61 \pm 0.04$  d) and mature aphids on *B. campestris* (TPRP =  $7.99 \pm 0.13$  d). Conversely, the reproductive period of *M. persicae* on *C. annuum* and *B. campestris* was shortest. Upon comparison of aphids' fecundity on different host species, we found that the mean nymph-laying per female on *C. annuum* and *B. campestris* was also significantly lower than on the other three host plants. There were no significant differences among the  $v_{xj}$  of the *M. persicae* females reared on various host species, but the curves for aphids on *C. annuum* and *B. campestris* showed lower peaks than the others (Fig. 3; Supp Fig. 3 [online only]), which further supported the weak fecundities of the two host populations.

### Life Table Parameters

Table 3 presents the age-stage, two-sex life table parameters of *M. persicae* reared on different host species, all of which showed significant differences among these populations. Aphids on *V. faba* exhibited the highest intrinsic rate ( $r = 0.3831 \pm 0.0037 d^{-1}$ ) and finite rate of increase ( $\lambda = 1.4669 \pm 0.0054 d^{-1}$ ), and the shortest mean generation time ( $T = 11.0139 \pm 0.0842$  d). Correspondingly, the aphids on *B. campestris* exhibited the lowest r ( $0.3207 \pm 0.0052 d^{-1}$ ) and  $\lambda$  ( $1.3781 \pm 0.0072 d^{-1}$ ), and the longest T ( $12.3146 \pm 0.1360$  d). The largest net reproductive rate  $R_0$  was detected in aphids on *R. sativus* ( $80.8317 \pm 2.0886$  offspring per individual), while aphids on *C. annuum* and *B. campestris* produced the least total offspring ( $46.2691 \pm 2.5565$  offspring per individual for *C. annuum*, and  $51.9251 \pm 2.3313$  offspring per individual for *B. campestris*) during their life spans.

### Discussion

The parameter r (intrinsic rate of increase) is regarded as a useful concept in demographic analyses of insect populations (Birch 1948). This parameter (r) summarizes the physiological qualities of an animal relative to its capacity of increase and is often used to compare the fitness of populations across diverse climatic and food-related conditions (Tsai and Wang 2001, Saeed et al. 2010). The r values for *M. persicae* were reported as 0.160–0.256 d<sup>-1</sup> a decade ago on solanaceous and cruciferous vegetables and crops (Boughton et al. 2006, Chi and Su 2006, Davis et al. 2006, Ribeiro et al. 2006), which is significantly lower than in the present study. This might be attributed to the enhanced adaptability of *M. persicae* to a complex

environment. Life history theory is based on the assumption that evolution is constrained by trade-offs among different traits that contribute to fitness, and life history costs play a central role in explaining the evolution of resistance (Carriere et al. 1994). Fitness costs include reduced survival, increased developmental time, and reduced fecundity (Sayyed et al. 2008). Therefore, the stronger the adaptability, the lower the fitness cost, and the higher the *r* value.

The age at first nymph-laying is critical for r value calculation. If fecundity remains the same, early reproduction will be associated with a high r value (Huang and Chi 2012). Both the APRP and TPRP of M. *persicae* on V. *faba* were shortest, resulting in the highest r value of increase, which is consistent with the aforementioned theory. Conversely, the two statistics, APRP and TPRP do not represent the actual beginning of reproduction, and thus their effects on the r value should not be overemphasized (Jha et al. 2012). Barlow (1962) found that offspring produced after peak fecundity have little influence on the r value of the population when the fecundity rate is more or less sharply defined in time. Therefore, the number of offspring produced by aphids on the five host species before peak fecundity was analyzed and indicated the same r value order. It does not necessarily follow that the higher the r value is, the more successful the species will be.

Most species exist in saturated environments and abundance fluctuates in response to changes in the conditions of survival and growth as a result of changes in factors such as weather (Cole 1954). One can examine the potential importance of life history parameters in adapting to perturbations by determining the effect of change in the life history parameters on the net reproductive rate  $R_0$ . In our study, aphids reared on R. sativus exhibited a higher  $R_0$  than that on the other plant species, while the aphids on C. annuum and B. campestris performed poorly. To determine the effective factors, correlation analyses were carried out with a correlation coefficient equal to 0.05 between  $R_0$  and the means of each developmental period, reproductive period, female fecundity, age-stage-specific survival rate  $s_{y,r}$ , and the female age-stage-specific fecundity  $f_{x,female}$ . With the exception of reproductive period,  $s_{xy}$ , and female fecundity, the remainder of the indices showed no significant correlation with  $R_0$ value. Female fecundities showed a similar tendency as the  $R_0$  value, as preadult survival rates of the M. persicae females on the five host plants were quite similar in terms of the equation  $R_0 = s_a \cdot F$ , where  $s_{a}$  represents the preadult survival rate and F is the mean female fecundity. However, the female age-stage-specific fecundity  $f_{x,\text{female}}$  was not found to be closely related to the  $R_0$  value, which indicated that the net reproductive rate of a population is associated with the adult number and the total offspring but not the adult age or the daily



**Fig. 3.** The age-stage-specific reproductive value  $(v_{xj})$  of *Myzus persicae* on five different host plants. N1, first instar nymph, purple curve; N2, second instar nymph, blue curve; N3, third instar nymph, green curve; N4, fourth instar nymph, yellow curve; A, adult aphid, red curve. Each panel represents *Vicia faba* (a), *Capsicum annuum* (b), *Raphanus sativus* (c), *Nicotiana tabacum* (d), *Brassica campestris* (e), respectively.

fecundity. Though the reproductive period and  $s_{xj}$  of the aphids on *V. faba* were both lower than those on *N. tabacum*, the  $R_0$  value for *M. persicae* on *V. faba* was similar to that on *N. tabacum*. Nitrogen application rates are known to affect the individual size, survival, and *r* value of several species of rice pest (Jahn et al. 2005). It was concluded that the nitrogen-rich legumes improved the fertility of the parasitic aphid and further affected the population development.

In conclusion, *V. faba*, *R. sativus*, and *N. tabacum* constituted more suitable host plants for *M. persicae* and were associated with a shorter preadult stage, longer longevity, and stronger fecundity

in comparison to the remaining species. Furthermore, the intrinsic rate r of the aphids was also significantly higher on V. *faba*, which depended on their ages at first nymph-laying and the number of offspring produced before peak fecundity. Another important life history parameter,  $R_0$  was found to be higher in aphids reared on R. *sativus* than that on the other plant species, proving that the adult number was more meaningful to the population growth of M. *persicae* than the adult age. Laboratory colonies of M. *persicae* are necessary for experimental study of its biology, behavior, and insect-virus interactions. In our study, R. *sativus* was proved to be the

| Statistics                          |                         |   |              |                                |            | Host species                |               |                                  |  |                       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
|                                     |                         | Vicia faba                                  | Ŭ            | apsicum annuum                 | R          | caphanus sativus            | N             | icotiana tabacum                 | B  | rassica campestris    |
|                                     | и                       | Mean ± SE                                   | и            | Mean ± SE                      | и          | Mean ± SE                   | и             | Mean ± SE                        | и  | Mean ± SE             |
| r (d <sup>-1</sup> )                | 120                     | $0.3831 \pm 0.0037a$                        | 120          | $0.3422 \pm 0.0045c$           | 120        | $0.3616 \pm 0.0034b$        | 120           | $0.3595 \pm 0.0045b$             | 120                                      | $0.3207 \pm 0.0052d$  |
| $\lambda$ (d <sup>-1</sup> )        | 120                     | $1.4669 \pm 0.0054a$                        | 120          | $1.4080 \pm 0.0063c$           | 120        | $1.4356 \pm 0.0049b$        | 120           | $1.4327 \pm 0.0065b$             | 120                                      | $1.3781 \pm 0.0072d$  |
| $R_0$ (offspring per<br>individual) | 120                     | 68.0439 ± 2.4674b                           | 120          | 46.2691 ± 2.5565c              | 120        | 80.8317 ± 2.0886a           | 120           | $67.5278 \pm 2.5226b$            | 120                                      | 51.9251 ± 2.3313c     |
| T (d)                               | 120                     | $11.0139 \pm 0.0842c$                       | 120          | $11.2025 \pm 0.1346c$          | 120        | $12.1484 \pm 0.1041a$       | 120           | $11.7156 \pm 0.0890b$            | 120                                      | $12.3146 \pm 0.1360a$ |
|                                     | 2                       | a   |              | E                              | M          |                             | 1:00-101      |                                  | () () () () () () () () () () () () () ( |                       |
| r = intrinsic rate of in            | crease; $\lambda = 111$ | nite rate of increase; $K_0 = \text{net I}$ | reproductive | rate; $I = mean$ generation t. | ime. Means | in the same row followed by | different let | tters are significantly differen | t(V < 0.0.0)                             | using bootstrap test. |

Table 3. Life table parameters of Myzus persicae on five different host plants

most favorable cultivar for the green peach aphid in lab which exhibited the longest longevity and strongest fecundity. If mass-rearing of this species, *V. faba* will be the best choice because of the short prereproductive period and the strong fecundity.

## **Supplementary Data**

Supplementary data are available at Journal of Insect Science online.

Figure S1. The age-specific survival rate  $(s_{xy})$  of adult *Myzus persicae* on five different host plants. Purple curve, *Vicia faba*; blue curve, *Capsicum annuum*; green curve, *Raphanus sativus*; yellow curve, *Nicotiana tabacum*; red curve, *Brassica campestris*.

Figure S2. The age-specific life expectancy  $(e_{xj})$  of adult Myzus persicae on five different host plants. Purple curve, Vicia faba; blue curve, Capsicum annuum; green curve, Raphanus sativus; yellow curve, Nicotiana tabacum; red curve, Brassica campestris.

Figure S3. The age-specific reproductive value  $(v_{xj})$  of adult *Myzus persicae* on five different host plants. Purple curve, *Vicia faba*; blue curve, *Capsicum annuum*; green curve, *Raphanus sativus*; yellow curve, *Nicotiana tabacum*; red curve, *Brassica campestris*.

## **Acknowledgments**

We thank Dr. Hsin Chi (National Chung Hsing University, China) for technical assistance. This research was funded by the National Key Research and Development Project (2018YFD0200300) and the Ph.D. Research Funding of Southwest University (SWU118110).

### **References Cited**

- Akköprü, E. P., R. Atlihan, H. Okut, and H. Chi. 2015. Demographic assessment of plant cultivar resistance to insect pests: a case study of the dusky-veined walnut aphid (Hemiptera: Callaphididae) on five walnut cultivars. J. Econ. Entomol. 108: 378–387.
- Atlihan, R., and H. Chi. 2008. Temperature-dependent development and demography of *Scymnus subvillosus* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) reared on *Hyalopterus pruni* (Homoptera: Aphididae). J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 325–333.
- Awmack, C. S., and S. R. Leather. 2002. Host plant quality and fecundity in herbivorous insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 47: 817–844.
- Barlow, C. A. 1962. Influence of temperature on growth of experimental populations of *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) and *Macrosiphum Euphorbiae* (Thomas) (Aphididae). Can. J. Zool. 40: 145–156.
- Birch, L. C. 1948. The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. J. Anim. Ecol. 17: 15–26.
- Boughton, A. J., K. Hoover, and G. W. Felton. 2006. Impact of chemical elicitor applications on greenhouse tomato plants and population growth of the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 120: 175–188.
- Carriere, Y., J. P. Deland, D. A. Roff, and C. Vincent. 1994. Life-history costs associated with the evolution of insecticide resistance. Proc. R. Soc. Lond B-Biol. Sci. 258: 35–40.
- Chi, H. 1990. Timing of control based on the stage structure of pest populations - a simulation approach. J. Econ. Entomol. 83: 1143–1150.
- Chi, H., and H. Liu. 1985. Two new methods for the study of insect population ecology. Bull. Inst. Zool. Acad. Sin. 24: 225–240.
- Chi, H., and H. Y. Su. 2006. Age-stage, two-sex life tables of *Aphidius gifuensis* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and its host *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Homoptera: Aphididae) with mathematical proof of the relationship between female fecundity and the net reproductive rate. Environ. Entomol. 35: 10–21.
- Cole, L. C. 1954. The population consequences of life history phenomena. Q. Rev. Biol. 29: 103–137.
- Davis, J. A., E. B. Radcliffe, and D. W. Ragsdale. 2006. Effects of high and fluctuating temperatures on *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Environ. Entomol. 35: 1461–1468.

- Hosseini, A., M. Hosseini, J. P. Michaud, M. M. Awal, and M. Ghadamyari. 2019. Life history responses of *Hippodamia variegata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to changes in the nutritional content of its prey, *Aphis* gossypii (Hemiptera: Aphididae), mediated by nitrogen fertilization. Biol. Control. 130: 27–33.
- Hu, L. X., H. Chi, J. Zhang, Q. Zhou, and R. J. Zhang. 2010. Life-table analysis of the performance of *Nilaparvata lugens* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) on two wild rice species. J. Econ. Entomol. 103: 1628–1635.
- Huang, Y. B., and H. Chi. 2012. Age-stage, two-sex life tables of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) with a discussion on the problem of applying female age-specific life tables to insect populations. Insect Sci. 19: 263–273.
- Jahn G. C., L. P. Almazan, and J. B. Pacia. 2005. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the intrinsic rate of increase of *Hysteroneum setariae* (Thomas) (Homoptera: Aphididae) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Environ. Entomol. 34: 938–943.
- Jha, R. K., H. Chi, and L. Tang. 2012. A comparison of artificial diet and hybrid sweet corn for the rearing of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) based on life table characteristics. Environ. Entomol. 41: 30–39.
- Kanle Satishchandra, N., A. K. Chakravarthy, M. S. Ozgökçe, and R. Atlihan. 2019. Population growth potential of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on tomato, potato, and eggplant. J. Appl. Entomol. 143: 518–526.
- Letourneau, D. K., I. Armbrecht, B. S. Rivera, J. M. Lerma, E. J. Carmona, M. C. Daza, S. Escobar, V. Galindo, C. Gutiérrez, S. D. López, et al. 2011. Does plant diversity benefit agroecosystems? A synthetic review. Ecol. Appl. 21: 9–21.
- Ojala, K, R. Julkunen-Tiito, L. Lindstrom, and J. Mappes. 2005. Diet affects the immune defence and life-history traits of an arctiid moth *Parasemia plantaginis*. Evol. Ecol. Res. 7: 1153–1170.
- Qayyum, A., M. A. Aziz, A. Iftikhar, F. Hafeez, and R. Atlihan. 2018. Demographic parameters of *Lipaphis erysimi* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on different cultivars of *Brassica* vegetables. J. Econ. Entomol. 111: 1885–1894.
- Ribeiro, A. P. O., E. J. G. Pereira, T. L. Galvan, M. C. Picanco, E. a. T. Picoli, D. J. H. Da Silva, M. G. Fari, and W. C. Otoni. 2006. Effect of eggplant transformed with oryzacystatin gene on *Myzus persicae* and *Macrosiphum euphorbiae*. J. Appl. Entomol. 130: 84–90.

- Rostami, N., M. Maroufpoor, A. Sadeghi, M. M. Ghazi, and R. Atlıhan. 2018. Demographic characteristics and population projection of *Phytonemus pallidus fragariae* reared on different strawberry cultivars. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 76: 473–486.
- Saeed, R., A. H. Sayyed, S. A. Shad, and S. M. Zaka. 2010. Effect of different host plants on the fitness of diamond-back moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). Crop Prot. 29: 178–182.
- Sayyed, A. H., M. Ahmad, and N. Crickmore. 2008. Fitness costs limit the development of resistance to indoxacarb and deltamethrin in *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 1927–1933.
- Singer, M. S. 2001. Determinants of polyphagy by a woolly bear caterpillar: a test of the physiological efficiency hypothesis. Oikos. 93: 194–204.
- Tang, Q. L., K. S. Ma, Y. M. Hou, and X. W. Gao. 2017. Monitoring insecticide resistance and diagnostics of resistance mechanisms in the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in China. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 143: 39–47.
- Tang, Q., K. Ma, H. Chi, Y. Hou, and X. Gao. 2019. Transgenerational hormetic effects of sublethal dose of flupyradifurone on the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). PLoS One. 14: e0208058.
- Tsai, J. H., and J. J. Wang. 2001. Effects of host plants on biology and life table parameters of *Aphis spiraecola* (Homoptera: Aphididae). Environ. Entomol. 30: 44–50.
- Tuan, S. J., C. C. Yeh, R. Atlihan, H. Chi, and L. C. Tang. 2016. Demography and consumption of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) reared on cabbage and taro. J. Econ. Entomol. 109: 732–739.
- Van Der Meijden, E. 2015. Herbivorous insects a threat for crop production, pp. 103–114. In B. Lugtenbergs (ed.), Principles of plant-microbe interactions. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Weber, G. 1985. Genetic-variability in host plant adaptation of the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 38: 49–56.
- Yousaf, H. K., T. Shan, X. Chen, K. Ma, X. Shi, N. Desneux, A. Biondi, and X. Gao. 2018. Impact of the secondary plant metabolite Cucurbitacin B on the demographical traits of the melon aphid, *Aphis gossypii*. Sci. Rep. 8: 16473.
- Yu, J. Z., B. H. Chen, A. Güncan, R. Atlihan, A. Gökçe, C. L. Smith, E. Gümüs, and H. Chi. 2018. Demography and mass-rearing *Harmonia dimidiata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) using *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and eggs of *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 111: 595–602.