

# Second Harmonic Generation Spectroscopy of Membrane Probe Dynamics in Gram-Positive Bacteria

Lindsey N. Miller,<sup>1</sup> William T. Brewer,<sup>2</sup> Julia D. Williams,<sup>2</sup> Elizabeth M. Fozo,<sup>2</sup> and Tessa R. Calhoun<sup>1,[\\*](#page-0-0)</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry and <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, University of Tennesseee, Knoxville, Tennessee

ABSTRACT Bacterial membranes are complex mixtures with dispersity that is dynamic over scales of both space and time. To capture adsorption onto and transport within these mixtures, we conduct simultaneous second harmonic generation (SHG) and two-photon fluorescence measurements on two different gram-positive bacterial species as the cells uptake membrane-specific probe molecules. Our results show that SHG not only can monitor the movement of small molecules across membrane leaflets but also is sensitive to higher-level ordering of the molecules within the membrane. Further, we show that the membranes of Staphylococcus aureus remain more dynamic after longer times at room temperature in comparison to Enterococcus faecalis. Our findings provide insight into the variability of activities seen between structurally similar molecules in gram-positive bacteria while also demonstrating the power of SHG to examine these dynamics.

SIGNIFICANCE Bacterial membranes are highly adept at discerning and modifying their interactions with different small molecules in their environment. Here, we show how second harmonic generation spectroscopy can track the dynamics of structurally similar membrane probes in two gram-positive bacterial species. Our results reveal behavior that is dependent on both the probe molecule and the membrane composition. Specifically, we observe flip-flop between leaflets for one molecular probe, whereas the other molecular probe produces a signal indicative of larger-scale ordering in the membrane. These phenomena can all be explained by considering potential differences in the membrane fluidity and surface charge between the two bacterial species. Overall, our work highlights the dynamic differences between bacterial membranes and second harmonic generation's sensitivity to probing these systems.

# INTRODUCTION

The vast majority of antibacterial drugs are small molecules ([1\)](#page-7-0). To understand the reasons behind their successes and failures, it is necessary to first understand their initial interaction with bacterial cells and, more specifically, the bacterial membrane that is responsible for regulating smallmolecule uptake. The membrane of each bacterial species, however, is composed of a unique combination of proteins and phospholipids that can significantly alter its interaction with small molecules. Further, bacterial membranes are dynamic and can adapt their lipid compositions in response to environmental changes ([2\)](#page-7-1).

Editor: Arne Gericke.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2019.09.014>

 $©$  2019 Biophysical Society.

A specific system that highlights the ramifications of variable membrane compositions on drug outcomes is recent work studying the lipopeptide antibiotic, daptomycin. When gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecalis were grown in media supplemented with oleic acid, E. faecalis showed increased tolerance against daptomycin, whereas S. aureus exhibited increased susceptibility [\(3,4\)](#page-7-2). The underlying mechanism behind this contrasting activity is currently unclear. Multiple studies have investigated the composition of the membranes of these two bacteria and their differences  $(3-8)$ , but there is significantly less work in the field examining the broader implications of how these differences impact small-molecule interactions. Here, we measure second harmonic generation (SHG) simultaneously with two-photon fluorescence (TPF) to examine differences between these bacterial species in vivo as well as their sensitivity to small structural changes to the adsorbing molecules. Through the combination of



<span id="page-0-0"></span>Submitted May 21, 2019, and accepted for publication September 13, 2019. \*Correspondence: [trcalhoun@utk.edu](mailto:trcalhoun@utk.edu)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>these methods, we have access to the kinetics of the initial molecule-membrane interaction and subsequent transport processes, thus providing an avenue to describe the underlying mechanisms of action.

SHG is a nonlinear optical technique that is sensitive to molecular species at interfaces and has previously been applied to the study of small molecules interacting with both model  $(9-20)$  and living cell membranes  $(21-29)$ . In SHG, incoming light at a frequency  $\omega$  with sufficient intensity induces a second-order polarization in the sample. This induced polarization stimulates the radiation of new light at twice the incident frequency  $(2\omega)$ . Given the second-order field interaction, randomly oriented molecules in centrosymmetric and isotropic bulk media generate SHG signals that, on average, destructively interfere. This leaves molecules that are aligned at interfaces, such as membranes, radiating a constructively interfering SHG signal that can be detected. This interference gives rise to SHG's exceptional interfacial specificity. The radiated SHG intensity from a single bacterial interface is proportional to the absolute square of the two driving laser fields  $(E_{\omega})$  and the effective second-order susceptibility,  $\chi_{eff}^{(2)}$ :

$$
I_{SHG} = \left| \chi_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} E_{\omega} E_{\omega} \right|^{2}.
$$
 (1)

<span id="page-1-2"></span>The effective second-order susceptibility can further be expressed as

$$
\chi_{\text{eff}}^{(2)} \sim N_s \langle \beta \rangle, \tag{2}
$$

where  $N_s$  is the number of molecules contributing to the SHG process and  $\langle \beta \rangle$  is the average orientation of the total population of molecules' second-order hyperpolarizability,  $\beta$ . A significant increase in SHG signal is observed when electronic states in the molecules being probed are resonant with either the fundamental or second harmonic field. The coherent nature of SHG, which gives rise to the constructive and destructive interference, also provides the unique capability to monitor the relative populations of molecules on the outer versus inner leaflet of a lipid bilayer. Initial adsorption to the outer leaflet will cause an increase in SHG signal, whereas molecules that have flipped into the inner leaflet will generate SHG with the opposite phase, leading to destructive interference of the detected signal over time ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0)  $a$ ). In addition to changes in the population of molecules in different positions within the membrane, the sensitivity of SHG to  $\langle \beta \rangle$  also yields information about the local environment. Changes in solvent and aggregation states can change the observed hyperpolarizability by reported factors of up to  $6(30-32)$ , which can subsequently increase or decrease the detected SHG signal by over an order of magnitude [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0) b).

<span id="page-1-1"></span>For our experiments on E. faecalis and S. aureus, we chose the styryl membrane probes FM 4-64 and FM 2-10 ([Fig. 2](#page-1-1)) to compare not only the different membranes but



FIGURE 1 Schematic of SHG/TPF signals from FM 4-64 molecules interacting with a lipid bilayer over time. (A) SHG and TPF signals corresponding to probe molecules exhibiting flip-flop in the membrane (i) before probe molecules insert into the membrane, (ii) after probes insert into the outer membrane leaflet, and (iii) when probe molecules flip into the inner leaflet and align with molecules on the outer leaflet are shown. (B) SHG and TPF signals corresponding to probe aggregation on the outer leaflet of the membrane (i) before probe molecules insert into the membrane, (ii) after probe molecules insert into the outer membrane leaflet, and (iii) when probe molecule begin to cluster together in the outer membrane leaflet over time are shown. To see this figure in color, go online.

also their sensitivity to relatively small molecular changes. These FM molecules contain identical dicationic headgroup regions with similar hydrophobic tails. As previously described in the literature, the hydrophobic end of the FM dyes promotes the molecules' ability to insert and reside within the interior region of the membrane, whereas the polar headgroups will orient toward the aqueous exteriors of the bilayer ([33–35\)](#page-8-3). FM 4-64 has been used for imaging and exhibits a strong SHG signal when monitoring neuron membrane dynamics ([29,34,36–39](#page-8-4)). FM 2-10 is only different from FM 4-64 in the shorter length of its conjugated region, as shown in [Fig. 2](#page-1-1). This leads to a blue shift in the absorption and emission of FM 2-10 relative to FM



FIGURE 2 Structures of the membrane probe molecules used in the experiments, FM 2-10  $(A)$  and FM 4-64  $(B)$ .

<span id="page-2-0"></span>4-64, but both molecules have sufficient cross sections at 400 nm to generate resonant SHG signal.

For each of these probe molecules, adsorption isotherms were collected with E. faecalis and S. aureus to assess the overall membrane affinities through the evaluation of adsorption equilibrium constants. In addition, the SHG signal was acquired over a 2 h window after the probe molecules were introduced to the cell culture samples to monitor the dynamic changes. All of the studies were completed with living cells in early log phase  $OD_{600 \text{ nm}}$  $\sim$  0.2) with rich media to minimize deviations from natural conditions. Our results show distinct behavior not only between the bacterial species but also between the probe molecules, despite their structural similarity. For both probe molecules, the SHG signal showed greater variations over time for *S. aureus*, but differences between how the signal changed are ascribed to different actions by the probes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Bacterial strains and probe solutions

For these analyses, we used bacterial strains S. aureus ATCC 27217 and E. faecalis OG1RF. Single colonies from brain heartinfusion (BHI) agar plates were inoculated in 10 mL of BHI media and grown statically at 37°C overnight. The saturated cell cultures were then resuspended in fresh BHI media (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) to an optical density at  $600$  nm  $(OD_{600})$  of 0.01 and grown statically at 37 $^{\circ}$  until reaching an OD<sub>600</sub>  $\sim$  0.2. The cells were then washed with sterile phosphorus-buffered saline via vacuum filtration and resuspended in BHI supplemented with 2% OxyRase (OxyRase, Ontario, OH).

FM 4-64 (SynaptoRedC2) and FM 2-10 (SynaptoGreenC2) were purchased from Biotium (Fremont, CA) to use as membrane probes. Dye stock solutions were made with 80:20 sterile MilliQ deionized water (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA;  $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ : dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Suwanee, GA) to minimize the final DMSO concentration to 0.2%.

#### Flow cell apparatus

<span id="page-2-1"></span>A home-built circulating gravity flow apparatus was implemented to minimize the effects of photobleaching and local heating during the course of our experiments. This apparatus has the additional benefits of reducing the volume of sample needed while also eliminating oscillation effects inherent to the peristaltic pump. The cell solution was pumped with a peristaltic pump from the sample reservoir into an elevated reservoir using biological grade tubing. From the elevated reservoir, the solution was allowed to freely flow through the laser focal region within a 2 mm pathlength quartz flow cell (Starna Cells, Atascadero, CA) and recollect back into the initial sample reservoir. The dye was injected and mixed into the sample reservoir via a third port. Flow rate was approximately  $\sim$  7 mL/min. The flow cell was prepped for pacification using a bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1% glutaraldehyde (Thermo Fisher Scientific) cross-linking protocol [\(40](#page-8-5)). The prepped cell cultures were added to the sample reservoir in the flow apparatus, and baseline signals were collected for  $\sim$ 5 min before adding the FM probes to a final concentration of 16  $\mu$ M (with 0.2% final DMSO concentration).

#### SHG/TPF spectroscopy

Simultaneous SHG/TPF time-lapse signals were collected using a homebuilt spectroscopy instrument as shown in [Fig. 3](#page-2-0). The 80 MHz output of



FIGURE 3 Schematic of the SHG/TPF spectroscopy instrument. BBO, barium borate crystal; DM, dichroic mirror; F, filter; FC, fiber collimator; FL, focusing lens; M, mirror; PBSC, polarizing beam splitting cube; PD, photodiode; PMT, photomultiplier tube detector; TL, tube lens;  $\lambda/2$  WP, half-wave plate;  $\lambda$ /4 WP, quarter-wave plate. To see this figure in color, go online.

a MaiTai Ti:sapphire oscillator (Spectra Physics, Santa Clara, CA) centered at 800 nm was used to excite the sample. Pulse widths were compressed to  $\sim$ 78 fs, and the average power at the sample position was adjusted to be 35 mW using a power attenuator consisting of a halfwave plate  $(\lambda/2$  WP) and a polarizing beam splitting cube (ThorLabs, Newton, NJ). The laser was focused onto the sample using a 50 mm focal length lens (ThorLabs) paired with a 450 nm long-pass filter to remove any SHG signal before the sample (Edmunds Optics, Barrington, NJ). A series of lenses (ThorLabs) were used to collect, collimate, and focus the SHG and TPF signals onto a multimode fiber (Ocean Optics, Largo, FL). A 725 nm short-pass filter was placed before the fiber to filter out the fundamental 800 nm from the SHG and TPF signals (Edmunds Optics). The signals were then collimated upon exiting the fiber before being separated with a 450 nm long-pass dichroic mirror (Edmunds Optics). The SHG signal was isolated using a 400/10 nm bandpass filter, and the TPF signals were filtered using either a 695/55 or 625/50 nm bandpass filter (Edmunds Optics) for FM 4-64 and FM 2-10, respectively. The signals were simultaneously collected on photon-counting photomultiplier tube detectors (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ). The stability of the laser was monitored throughout the experiments by analyzing the SHG output from exciting a barium borate crystal (Eksma Optics, Vilnius, Lithuania) with secondary laser line from the polarizing beam splitting cube. The laser monitor signals were collected on a photodiode (ThorLabs). Data acquisition was controlled with home-built codes using LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, TX). Signals were collected for 8000 s at a sample integration time of 25 ms.

#### Isotherms

Cell cultures, prepped as described above, were divided into 990  $\mu$ L aliquots. Dye stock solutions were made in various concentrations to keep the final concentration of DMSO to 0.2%. 10  $\mu$ L of dye stock solution was quickly mixed in a fresh aliquot of cell culture before filling the flow cell with the sample. After collecting the SHG and TPF signals, the sample was removed from the flow cell in preparation for the next sample to be tested. Initial SHG/TPF signals were collected every 25 ms and averaged over 1 s. The 0  $\mu$ M samples were used as solvent controls with 10  $\mu$ L of 80:20 sterile MilliPore deionized H2O:DMSO added.

#### Measurement of cellular viability

To test for probe and laser effects on the bacteria, 1:10 serial dilution growth plates were performed on BHI agar plates to determine cell viability at final probe concentrations of 0, 8, and 16  $\mu$ M using the samples exposed to the <span id="page-3-0"></span>laser from the isotherm studies previously described in [Isotherms.](#page-2-1) Cell viability was tested at three different time points: when the dye was first introduced to the cell sample ( $t_{0 \text{ min}}$ ), 1 h ( $t_{60 \text{ min}}$ ), and 2 h ( $t_{120 \text{ min}}$ ) after initial dye interaction. Because the spectroscopy experiments were conducted at room temperature, we tested for temperature variation effects by incubating half of each concentration sample at  $37^{\circ}$ C and half at room temperature for the time points  $t_{60 \text{ min}}$  and  $t_{120 \text{ min}}$ .

## Monitoring bacterial growth

To test temperature effects on the cells in the absence of FM probes, growth curves at  $OD<sub>600</sub>$  were performed using a ultraviolet-visible spectrometer (SHIMADZU UV-2600; Shimadzu, Columbia, MD) with 700  $\mu$ L cuvettes (Chemglass, Vineland, NJ). Overnight cultures are diluted into 50 mL of fresh BHI medium to an  $OD_{600 \text{ nm}}$  of 0.01 and grown statically at 37 $\degree$ C. When the cultures reached an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.2, cells were washed via vacuum filtration in sterile phosphorus-buffered saline and resuspended into fresh BHI with 2% Oxyrase to an  $OD_{600 \text{ nm}} = 0.2$ . The cell cultures were split into two 10 mL samples and grown statically over a 2 h period during which one sample was grown at  $37^{\circ}$ C and the other sample was grown at  $20^{\circ}$ C. Cell densities were measured at 600 nm every 30 min for a 2 h period.

#### Cytochrome c assays

The determination of cellular charge was conducted via the method by Peschel et al. [\(41](#page-8-6)). Briefly, overnight cultures of S. aureus ATCC 27217 and E. faecalis were diluted into 200 mL of fresh BHI medium to an  $OD_{600 \text{ nm}}$  of 0.01 and grown statically at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. When the cultures reached an  $OD_{600} \approx 0.3$ , cells were harvested via centrifugation, washed with 20 mM MOPS buffer, and concentrated to be an  $OD_{600}$  of 7 in 20 mM MOPS buffer. Cytochrome  $c$  (0.75 mg; Sigma-Aldrich) was added, and the cells were incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant was read at an  $OD_{530 \text{ nm}}$  to determine unbound cytochrome  $c$ . We noted that  $E$ . faecalis bound far more cytochrome  $c$  (less free cytochrome  $c$  in assay measurements) than  $S$ . aureus using the originally published assay conditions [\(41](#page-8-6)); consequently, we increased the amount used in this assay to 0.75 mg. Shown are the averages  $\pm$  SDs for  $n = 3$ .

## RESULTS

## Isotherms

To assess the adsorption affinities of the probes for each of the bacterial species, isotherms were collected with both the SHG [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-3-0) and TPF ([Fig. S1\)](#page-7-3) data. In these experiments, the initial SHG signal is recorded for a series of increasing concentrations of added probe. The dissociation constants  $K_d$  presented in [Table 1](#page-3-1) were extracted by fitting the SHG isotherms to the Langmuir adsorption model:

$$
\theta = \frac{\frac{[c]}{K_d}}{1 + \frac{[c]}{K_d}},\tag{3}
$$

where  $\theta$  is the coverage of probe molecules adsorbed to available sites on the membrane and  $[c]$  is the concentration of probe molecules in solution. The  $K_d$  values reflect the concentration of probe molecules at which half of the available sites on the membrane are occupied. Because  $K_d$  is the



FIGURE 4 FM probe SHG isotherms. FM 4-64 isotherms for S. aureus (A) and E. faecalis (B) are given. FM 2-10 isotherms for S. aureus  $(C)$ and E. faecalis (D) are given. The dissociation constant,  $K_d$ , for each isotherm can be found in [Table 1.](#page-3-1) To see this figure in color, go online.

equilibrium constant for dissociation, a higher numerical value denotes a weaker association between the molecule and the membrane.

The data presented in [Figs. 4](#page-3-0) and [S1](#page-7-3) represent the first time, to our knowledge, that adsorption isotherms of these molecules have been collected on S. aureus and E. faecalis using SHG and TPF, respectively. Although adsorption isotherms on these systems have previously been reported from one-photon fluorescence measurements [\(35,42](#page-8-7)), we also collected fluorescence-based isotherms by simultaneously monitoring the TPF ([Fig. S1\)](#page-7-3). The SHG measurements provide a more accurate reading of the molecules interacting with the cellular membranes. The noticeable differences and improved accuracy of the SHG relative to the TPF in our experiments is largely due to the increased two-photon fluorescence seen for the FM probes in media without cells present ([Fig. S2\)](#page-7-3). This increased fluorescence is likely due to interactions between the FM molecules and lipophilic clusters present in the media, as opposed to the phospholipids in the bacterial cell membranes, and therefore, the fluorescence isotherms are also reporting on this affinity. SHG, in contrast,

<span id="page-3-1"></span>TABLE 1 Average Probe-Membrane Affinities in S. aureus and E. faecalis

Probe	Bacteria	$K_d$ ( $\mu$ M)
FM 4-64	S. aureus	$14 \pm 5 \mu M$
	E. faecalis	$6 \pm 3 \mu M$
$FM 2-10$	S. aureus	$280 \pm 33 \,\mu M$
	E. faecalis	$128 \pm 69 \,\mu M$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>has a directional component to the signal emission that is dependent on the size of the scattering sample ([11,16,43,44](#page-8-8)). By detecting SHG in the forward direction in our experiments, we are preferentially collecting signal from sources larger than 500 nm, specifically the  $\sim$ 1  $\mu$ m bacterial cells themselves, as opposed to signal arising from smaller scattering bodies in the media, which will predominately emit in the  $90^{\circ}$  direction [\(44](#page-8-9)).

Despite differences in the exact  $K_d$  values between our measurements and those reported from fluorescence measurements in the literature  $(35,45)$ , our measurements agree in that FM 4-64 generates smaller  $K_d$  values than FM 2-10 for both S. aureus and E. faecalis. This higher membrane affinity for FM 4-64 is not surprising given that FM 4-64 has a longer conjugation length than FM 2-10 ([Fig. 2\)](#page-1-1), making it a more lipophilic molecule for stronger adsorption to the bacterial membrane. This is also consistent with the calculated log p values for FM 4-64 (log  $p \sim -1.6$ ) and FM 2-10 (log  $p \sim -2.4$ ) using the ChemDraw program, in which a more positive  $log p$  value correlates to a more lipophilic molecule. Comparing between bacterial species, the E. faecalis membrane shows higher affinity for both probes. As discussed below, this may arise from the different surface charge on the membrane due to the composition of the phospholipid headgroups present.

#### FM 4-64

To determine how the FM probe structures affect the bacterial membrane dynamics over time, SHG and TPF signals were simultaneously monitored over a 2 h period. Three trials were conducted for each experiment, with the average signal for FM 4-64 shown in [Fig. 5](#page-4-0), in which the SD is given by the highlighted regions. Looking first at TPF in [Fig. 5](#page-4-0), b and d, both bacterial species generate similar signal profiles. There is first a large increase in signal as the dye is introduced into the system and adsorbs onto the membrane. The rate of the initial adsorption is not captured in these experiments because it occurs before the sample flows into the cuvette to be probed by the laser. As such, the rate of initial rise in the data is dictated by the flow rate of the sample solution through the system. After this initial rise, the TPF signal is relatively flat with a slight decrease over the 2 h duration of the experiment. To confirm that the decrease in TPF signal for the FM 4-64 experiments [\(Fig. 5,](#page-4-0) b and d) is due to photobleaching in our sample, a similar experiment was performed with FM 4-64 in S. aureus in which the incident 800 nm power was the same, but the flow rate of the sample was increased from approximately  $\sim$ 7 to  $\sim$ 15 mL/min. As can be seen in [Fig. S3,](#page-7-3) when the flow rate is increased, the TPF signal shows almost no decrease over the 2 h duration of the experiment. More importantly, the shape of the SHG signal remains unchanged, suggesting that it is not affected by any photobleaching or thermal effects in the sample. This further indicates that the changes



FIGURE 5 FM 4-64 SHG (A and C) and TPF (B and D) normalized spectra while interacting with S. aureus (A and B) and E. faecalis (C and D) membranes. 16  $\mu$ M final concentration of FM 4-64. SDs are shown as the shaded regions.  $n = 3$  for each plot. To see this figure in color, go online.

seen in the TPF signal are due to photobleaching effects and not cell death.

In contrast to TPF, the SHG signals in [Fig. 5](#page-4-0),  $a$  and  $c$  show significant differences between the bacterial species. Over a 2 h period, SHG from FM 4-64 interacting with E. faecalis membranes (Fig.  $5 c$ ) is static within the error of our measurement. In contrast, for S. aureus membranes, the SHG signal from FM 4-64 decreases to approximately half of its initial intensity over the same time period.

To rationalize the time-dependent SHG signal, we consider multiple possible contributions to  $\chi_{eff}^{(2)}$  [\(Eq. 2](#page-1-2)) because the intensity of the incident light is unchanged during the time course of our experiments. First, SHG affected by solvatochromatic shifts has been documented in previous studies ([46,47](#page-8-10)). Although going from one solvent environment to another can cause a shift in the excitation energies of a dye molecule, previous work has shown this effect in FM 4-64 to be too minimal to sufficiently explain our obser-vations. Specifically, López-Duarte et al. ([48\)](#page-8-11) only detected a slight blue shift in the absorption spectrum from FM 4-64 when interacting with water versus a nonpolar solvent. Although Zal et al. did observe a larger shift and change in the shape of the excitation spectra of FM 4-64 between different surfactant environments as a function of detergent charge, the amplitude change at 400 nm, resonant with our SHG signal, appeared less affected [\(49](#page-8-12)). Other styryl probe molecules have also exhibited minimal shifts in their excitation spectra in different membrane environments ([50\)](#page-8-13). Although the shifting of energy levels due to the changing environment is expected to have some impact on our SHG signal over time, the literature suggests that this effect should be relatively small compared to the overall change we observe.

Another possible source of SHG decrease we ruled out is cell death. Given the relatively flat response of the fluorescence signal, we do not suspect appreciable changes in the population,  $N_s$ . This is further supported by our assessment of cellular growth over the course of our experiments. [Fig. 6](#page-5-0) shows the counts of colony-forming units (CFUs) estimated from growth on agar plates of cells incubated with different concentrations of the FM molecules at different temperatures and times. The cell viability measurements with 0, 8, and 16  $\mu$ M concentrations were extracted during the course of the SHG isotherm measurements, and therefore, the cells were also subjected to the laser excitation conditions. At these room-temperature experimental conditions, S. aureus shows no change in the number of CFUs over the 2 h of our SHG measurements. Similar results are shown for E. faecalis in [Fig. S4.](#page-7-3) Further, these growth plate results show that the presence of the probe did not impact the ability to form viable CFUs. As such, we believe the stasis in our experimental conditions predominately arises from the 20C temperature. Temperature-dependent growth measurements were also done by monitoring the  $OD<sub>600</sub>$  of cells in the absence of probe molecules as shown in [Figs. S5](#page-7-3) and [S6.](#page-7-3) Overall, given the high affinity of both probe molecules for the membranes and the lack of new membrane sites emerging, we conclude that changes in the number of probe molecules in the membrane are not the primary source of the changing SHG signal over time.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>Two other prominent mechanisms of SHG signal decrease that have been observed in living cells and do not require changing the number of molecules in the membrane are ion flux  $(11)$  $(11)$  and flip-flop  $(20,27,34,51)$ . A reduction in the SHG signal due to ion flux would arise from a loss of membrane potential; however, such a loss would also be expected to affect cell viability [\(52](#page-8-15)). Given that the growth plates do not show a reduction in CFUs during the course of the SHG experiments, we rule out ion flux as the source of the SHG signal decrease in S. aureus.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIGURE 6 S. aureus viability effects when inoculated with FM 4-64 (A) and FM 2-10 (B) at 0  $\mu$ M (black), 8  $\mu$ M (red), and 16  $\mu$ M (blue) final probe concentrations when incubated at room temperature (crosses, dashed lines) vs.  $37^{\circ}$ C (filled circles, solid lines). To see this figure in color, go online.

This leaves flip-flop of FM 4-64 in S. aureus as the dominant mechanism leading to the dynamic SHG signal. As described earlier, the accumulation of probe molecules in the inner leaflet of the membrane will generate an SHG signal with the opposite phase of that from molecules on the outer leaflet, leading to destructive interference and an overall decrease in the measured SHG response over time ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0) a). The fact that flip-flop dominates in S. aureus but not *E. faecalis* also arises from significant differences in the membrane compositions of these bacteria as discussed below.

# FM 2-10

Turning to the time-dependent interaction of FM 2-10, we see different behavior in all experiments despite the relatively small modification to the probe structure. In the TPF data shown in [Fig. 7](#page-5-1),  $b$  and  $d$ , we again see a fast initial rise dominated by the flow rate of our system, and the signal shows minimal decay over 2 h. This probe molecule exhibits less photobleaching in our experiments relative to the FM 4-64, which is most likely due to a weaker two-photon cross section at the 400 nm resonant wavelength [\(53](#page-9-0)).

The SHG dynamics for FM 2-10 [\(Fig. 7](#page-5-1),  $a$  and  $c$ ) are again different from the TPF behavior and, more importantly, differ greatly from the FM 4-64 SHG data. After the initial rapid rise from membrane adsorption and flow, a slower rise is observed for both bacterial species. This slower increase in the signal levels off at approximately 1 h for E. faecalis, whereas in S. aureus, the rise in SHG signal continues throughout the duration of the experiment. As discussed above, the rise cannot be due to an increased



FIGURE  $7$  FM 2-10 SHG (A and C) and TPF (B and D) normalized spectra while interacting with  $S$ . *aureus*  $(A \text{ and } B)$  and  $E$ . *faecalis*  $(C \text{ and } C)$ D) membranes. 16  $\mu$ M final concentration of FM 2-10. SDs are shown as the shaded regions.  $n = 3$  for each plot. To see this figure in color, go online.

population of membrane-bound species due to cell growth that also matches the static TPF signal. In this case, then, the rise in SHG is attributed to the hyperpolarizability component,  $\langle \beta \rangle$ , of the signal [\(Eq. 2\)](#page-1-2). As stated previously, large increases in the hyperpolarizability have been shown under different environmental conditions. Specifically, enhancements up to a factor of 6 have been observed for aggregation  $(30,31)$ , whereas the solvent environment alone can alter the observed hyperpolarizability by a factor of 2 ([32\)](#page-8-16). As detailed below, this behavior is indicative of either aggregation or translocation of the probe molecules to more ordered domains in the membrane.

## **DISCUSSION**

Two main contributing factors to how phospholipids regulate molecules permeating and transporting through the membrane are the headgroup charge and acyl tail structure. S. aureus and E. faecalis are known to have overall negatively charged membranes because of phospholipid headgroups such as phosphatidylglycerol (PG,  $-1$  charge) and cardiolipin (CL,  $-2$  charge). However, the overall membrane charge can fluctuate with the inclusion/substitution of positively charged phospholipids such as lysyl-PG  $(LPG, +1$  charge) and neutral phospholipids such as digalactosyldiacylglycerol (DGDG, neutral). Such fluctuations are not only seen between bacterial species but can change within a single species based on environmental stressors. For example, more cationic antibiotic-resistant bacteria have been shown to change their overall membrane surface charge from negative to neutral and, in the most resistant strains, to positive  $(54)$  $(54)$ . The membranes of S. *aureus* have been shown to be composed primarily of PG and LPG phospholipids, whereas E. faecalis has been shown to contain PG, CL, DGDG, and some LPG phospholipids [\(5,55\)](#page-7-4). To further support the difference in surface charge expected between the bacterial species, we examined whether there were overall differences in the abilities of S. aureus and E. faecalis to bind the positively charged (cationic) protein cytochrome  $c$  using a previously described method by Peschel et al. ([41](#page-8-6)). There was significantly more binding of cytochrome  $c$  to  $E$ . faecalis in comparison to  $S$ . aureus ([Fig. S7](#page-7-3)). Although these measurements are reflective of overall cell envelope charge (including cell wall and cell membrane), an overall higher ratio of negatively charged cell envelope in the  $E$ . *faecalis* membranes  $(5)$  $(5)$  is expected to be the source of the increased affinity for this species to the dicationic probes shown in the SHG isotherms [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-3-0).

When considering the time-dependent response of the probes in our systems, the SHG signal from S. aureus showed greater change than E. faecalis, especially at longer time periods. Given that the cell samples are prepared at  $37^{\circ}$ C, but the experiments are performed at room temperature,  $\sim$ 20 $^{\circ}$ C, the behavior at longer times likely derives from the cells' response to the temperature change. These differences in temperature response for the two bacterial species may be attributed to differences in the fatty acid tails of the phospholipids, which are integral to establishing the degree of membrane fluidity. More fluidic membranes are typically composed of shorter acyl chains [\(56](#page-9-2)), along with chains that are branched or unsaturated ([57,58](#page-9-3)). S. aureus is known to have a greater percentage of branched acyl chain structures in its lipidome in comparison to E. faecalis ([4,54,55,59,60](#page-7-5)). In addition, the CL headgroup that is more prevalent in E. faecalis attaches four chains, as opposed to two chains for the other headgroups mentioned, which could also contribute to altered fluidity ([5,55,61\)](#page-7-4). Although it is well known that bacteria do change their lipid tail composition in response to environmental temperature ([2\)](#page-7-1), the potentially more fluid membrane environment of S. aureus due to branched acyl chains may lead to the more dynamic behavior of the probes in these membranes over longer time periods.

For the specific experiment of FM 4-64 with S. aureus, we attribute the decrease of SHG signal at longer times to the flip-flop of the probe molecule from the outer to inner leaflet. Although the term "flip-flop" is more commonly used to report on the translocation of lipids between leaflets, in our study, it is describing the similar movement of probe molecules as has been previously done by others ([18–20,27,29,34,36,51](#page-8-17)). The expected rate of flip-flop is not well known because a large number of different experiments on different systems have produced a wide range of results [\(20,27,62–70](#page-8-14)). It should be first noted that FM probes have been reported to be permanently bound to the outer leaflet of a bilayer membrane because of their double positive charge ([71](#page-9-4)), incapable of translocating to the inner leaflet without pore or endocytosis mechanisms ([72\)](#page-9-5). This is in contrast, however, to other SHG measurements that have been able to detect membrane flip-flop dynamics with FM 4-64 in human embryonic kidney cells ([34\)](#page-8-18) and from neuronal membranes in rat brain tissues [\(29](#page-8-4)). Further, other dicationic styryl probes such as di-4-ANEPPDHQ have been observed to flip-flop in model vesicles using SHG ([50\)](#page-8-13).The fact that we observe signatures of FM 4-64 flipflop in *S. aureus* but not *E. faecalis* may also be explained by the differences in their membrane fluidity and phospholipid headgroup charge. As discussed above, the membrane of S. aureus has more phospholipids with branched acyl chains than E. faecalis, and this has previously been shown to increase membrane fluidity ([57,58](#page-9-3)). Previous theoretical and experimental studies have both shown that increasing the fluidity of the different membranes being investigated was directly correlated with an increase in the flip-flop rate observed [\(73–75](#page-9-6)). Moving to the potential impact from differences in phospholipid headgroups, it has been shown that electrostatic interaction between the translocating molecule and the charge of neighboring lipid molecules can affect the flip-flop rate  $(67,75)$  $(67,75)$  $(67,75)$ . As such, we believe that flip-flop is not observed in our measurements on  $E$ . faecalis because of both the presence of a less fluid membrane and its more negatively charged surface.

<span id="page-7-3"></span>The dynamic interaction of FM 2-10 with S. aureus leads to an increase in the SHG signal resulting from an increase in the molecule's hyperpolarizability. For our system, this behavior could arise from membrane organization such as the translocation of the probes to a lipid domain of significantly different physical properties, analogous to a change in solvent. This could be, for example, a local environment exhibiting a higher dielectric constant as has been implicated in the case of organic solvents, increasing the magnitude of  $\beta$  [\(32](#page-8-16)), or it could be a more rigid environment to enforce improved ordering of the aligned probe molecules, increasing  $\langle \beta \rangle$  in [Eq. 2](#page-1-2) and leading to stronger constructive interference of the SHG. Previously, an FM dye was shown to favor partitioning into more disordered phases in model membranes after initial uptake  $(50)$  $(50)$ . It is possible, then, that our SHG results suggest a movement out of these disordered regions over time. Alternatively, over the course of these measurements, the molecules could be self-assembling into aggregate structures within the membrane ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0) b). Although we would expect such an aggregation to be dependent on the concentration of the probe molecules, we were unable to collect sufficient signal from the FM 2-10 experiments at much lower concentrations for the low power necessary to prevent degradation of the cell samples. As such, we cannot presently distinguish between these possible mechanisms and include the likely possibility that our signal is a combination of these behaviors. We do, however, conclude that the rise in SHG signal over the 2 h time period in these experiments is a result of larger-scale organization within the membrane and that this is the first time, to our knowledge, SHG has been shown to be sensitive to these dynamics in living cells.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

The application of SHG spectroscopy for studying the interaction of the membrane probes FM 4-64 and FM 2-10 with living S. aureus and E. faecalis cells in rich media has yielded numerous findings. First, our SHG adsorption isotherms confirm the higher membrane affinity for FM 4-64 over FM 2-10 and also show a stronger association of E. faecalis membranes to these molecules. Second, our time-dependent SHG measurements reveal dramatically different behavior for each combination of probe and bacteria. This highlights the sensitivity of each membrane to small structural changes in the molecules they encounter while also demonstrating how affected such encounters are by differences in the membrane composition. For S. *aureus*, the probe molecules continue to alter their behavior and the resulting SHG signal at longer time periods because of a more dynamic membrane environment relative to E. faecalis. An observed decrease in the SHG signal for the longer FM 4-64 molecule in S. aureus is attributed to the translocation of this molecule to the inner leaflet of the membrane, whereas a slow rise in the measured SHG for FM 2-10 derives from the movement to a more organized structure. The interpretations of all of these findings are consistent with the known compositions of the membranes of the bacteria. Finally, in addition to the biological implications for these systems, our results show for the first time, to our knowledge, how SHG can be sensitive to molecule selfassembly in the membranes of living bacteria.

## SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Supporting Material can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2019.09.014) [2019.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2019.09.014).

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L.N.M., E.M.F., and T.R.C. contributed to the design of the experiments. W.T.B., J.D.W., and E.M.F. completed and analyzed the cytochrome  $c$ experiment. L.N.M. carried out all of the other experiments, and the resulting data were analyzed by L.N.M. and T.R.C. L.N.M., E.M.F., and T.R.C. helped prepare the manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank John Harp for guidance with proper handling and analysis of the bacterial cultures and serial dilution growth plating.

This work was funded by National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases grant R01AI116571.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. [Ghosh, C., and J. Haldar. 2015. Membrane-active small molecules: de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref1)[signs inspired by antimicrobial peptides.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref1) ChemMedChem. 10:1606– [1624](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref1).
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. [Zhang, Y. M., and C. O. Rock. 2008. Membrane lipid homeostasis in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref2) bacteria. [Nat. Rev. Microbiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref2) 6:222–233.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Boudjemaa, R., C. Cabriel, .[, K. Steenkeste. 2018. Impact of bac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref3)[terial membrane fatty acid composition on the failure of daptomycin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref3) to kill staphylococcus aureus. [Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref3) [62:e00023–18.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref3)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>4. [Saito, H. E., J. R. Harp, and E. M. Fozo. 2014. Incorporation of exog](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref4)[enous fatty acids protects Enterococcus faecalis from membrane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref4)damaging agents. [Appl. Environ. Microbiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref4) 80:6527–6538.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>5. Hines, K. M., A. Waalkes, ...[, L. Xu. 2017. Characterization of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref5) [the mechanisms of daptomycin resistance among Gram-positive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref5) [bacterial pathogens by multidimensional lipidomics.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref5) MSphere. [2:e00492–17.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref5)
- 6. Harp, J. R., H. E. Saito, .[, E. M. Fozo. 2016. Exogenous fatty acids](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref6) [protect Enterococcus faecalis from daptomycin-induced membrane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref6) [stress independently of the response regulator LiaR.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref6) Appl. Environ. Microbiol. [82:4410–4420](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref6).
- 7. [Mishra, N. N., and A. S. Bayer. 2013. Correlation of cell membrane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref7) [lipid profiles with daptomycin resistance in methicillin-resistant Staph](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref7)ylococcus aureus. [Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref7) 57:1082–1085.
- 8. Mishra, N. N., S. J. Yang, .[, A. S. Bayer. 2009. Analysis of cell mem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref8)[brane characteristics of in vitro-selected daptomycin-resistant strains](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref8) [of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref8) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. [53:2312–2318](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref8).
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>9. Doughty, B., Y. Rao, .[, K. B. Eisenthal. 2013. Binding of the anti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref9)[cancer drug daunomycin to DNA probed by second harmonic genera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref9)tion. J. Phys. Chem. B. [117:15285–15289.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref9)
- 10. Liu, J., X. Shang, ...[, K. B. Eisenthal. 2005. Antibiotic assisted molec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref10)[ular ion transport across a membrane in real time.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref10) Faraday Discuss. [129:291–299, discussion 353–366.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref10)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>11. Liu, J., M. Subir, ...[, K. B. Eisenthal. 2008. Second harmonic studies of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref11) [ions crossing liposome membranes in real time.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref11) J. Phys. Chem. B. [112:15263–15266](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref11).
- 12. [Liu, Y., E. C. Yan, and K. B. Eisenthal. 2001. Effects of bilayer surface](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref12) [charge density on molecular adsorption and transport across liposome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref12) bilayers. Biophys. J. [80:1004–1012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref12)
- 13. Liu, Y., E. C. Y. Yan, .[, K. B. Eisenthal. 2001. Surface potential of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref13) [charged liposomes determined by second harmonic generation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref13) Langmuir. [17:2063–2066.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref13)
- 14. [Salafsky, J. S. 2003. Second-harmonic generation as a probe of confor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref14)[mational change in molecules.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref14) Chem. Phys. Lett. 381:705–709.
- 15. Shang, X., Y. Liu, ...[, K. B. Eisenthal. 2001. Effects of counterions on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref15) [molecular transport across liposome bilayer: probed by second har](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref15)[monic generation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref15) J. Phys. Chem. B. 105:12816–12822.
- 16. [Srivastava, A., and K. B. Eisenthal. 1998. Kinetics of molecular trans](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref16)[port across a liposome bilayer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref16) Chem. Phys. Lett. 292:345–351.
- 17. [Mertz, J. 2008. Applications of Second-Harmonic Generation Micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref17)[scopy. Oxford University Press, Inc., New York, New York, pp. 348–](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref17) [376, book section 15.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref17)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>18. Moreaux, L., O. Sandre, ...[, J. Mertz. 2000. Membrane imaging by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref18) [simultaneous second-harmonic generation and two-photon micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref18)scopy. Opt. Lett. [25:320–322.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref18)
- 19. Moreaux, L., O. Sandre, ...[, J. Mertz. 2001. Coherent scattering in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref19) [multi-harmonic light microscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref19) Biophys. J. 80:1568–1574.
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>20. [Pons, T., L. Moreaux, and J. Mertz. 2002. Photoinduced flip-flop of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref20) [amphiphilic molecules in lipid bilayer membranes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref20) Phys. Rev. Lett. [89:288104](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref20).
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>21. [Sharifian Gh, M., M. J. Wilhelm, and H.-L. Dai. 2016. Label-free op](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref21)[tical method for quantifying molecular transport across cellular mem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref21)branes in vitro. [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref21) 7:3406–3411.
- 22. [Wilhelm, M. J., M. Sharifian Gh, and H.-L. Dai. 2015. Chemically](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref22) [induced changes to membrane permeability in living cells probed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref22) [with nonlinear light scattering.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref22) Biochemistry. 54:4427–4430.
- 23. Wilhelm, M. J., J. B. Sheffield, ...[, H.-L. Dai. 2014. Real-time molec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref23)[ular uptake and membrane-specific transport in living cells by optical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref23) [microscopy and nonlinear light scattering.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref23) Chem. Phys. Lett. 605– [606:158–163](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref23).
- 24. Wilhelm, M. J., J. B. Sheffield, ...[, H. L. Dai. 2015. Gram's stain does](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref24) [not cross the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref24) ACS Chem. Biol. [10:1711–1717](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref24).
- 25. Zeng, J., H. M. Eckenrode, ...[, M. J. Wilhelm. 2015. Adsorption and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref25) [transport of charged vs. neutral hydrophobic molecules at the mem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref25)[brane of murine erythroleukemia \(MEL\) cells.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref25) Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces. [127:122–129](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref25).
- 26. Zeng, J., H. M. Eckenrode, ...[, H.-L. Dai. 2013. Time-resolved molec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref26)[ular transport across living cell membranes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref26) Biophys. J. 104:139–145.
- 27. Nuriya, M., S. Fukushima, ...[, T. Arai. 2016. Multimodal two-photon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref27) [imaging using a second harmonic generation-specific dye.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref27) Nat. Commun. [7:11557](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref27).
- 28. Gayen, A., D. Kumar, ...[, M. Chandra. 2019. Unveiling the modulating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref28) [role of extracellular pH in permeation and accumulation of small mol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref28)[ecules in subcellular compartments of gram-negative Escherichia coli](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref28) [using nonlinear spectroscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref28) Anal. Chem. 91:7662–7671.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>29. Dombeck, D. A., L. Sacconi, ...[, W. W. Webb. 2005. Optical recording](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref29) [of fast neuronal membrane potential transients in acute mamma](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref29)[lian brain slices by second-harmonic generation microscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref29) [J. Neurophysiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref29) 94:3628–3636.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>30. Steinhoff, R., L. F. Chi, ..., D. Möbius. 1989. Protonation and mono[layer aggregation studied by second-harmonic generation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref30) J. Opt. [Soc. Am. B.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref30) 6:843–847.
- 31. Schildkraut, J. S., T. L. Penner, .[, A. Ulman. 1988. Absorption and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref31) [second-harmonic generation of monomer and aggregate hemicyanine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref31) [dye in Langmuir-Blodgett films.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref31) Opt. Lett. 13:134–136.
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>32. [St](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref32)ä[helin, M., D. M. Burland, and J. E. Rice. 1992. Solvent dependence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref32) [of the second order hyperpolarizability in p-nitroaniline.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref32) Chem. Phys. Lett. [191:245–250](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref32).
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>33. [Blanchard-Desce, M. H., L. Ventelon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref33) ..., J. Mertz. 2001. Molecular [probes for nonlinear optical imaging of biological membranes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref33) In Pro[ceedings of SPIE-The International Society for Optical Engineering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref33) [vol. 4461. M. Eich and M. G. Kuzyk, eds. International Society for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref33) [Optics and Photonics, p. 20.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref33)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>34. Theer, P., W. Denk, ...[, P. B. Detwiler. 2011. Second-harmonic gener](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref34)[ation imaging of membrane potential with retinal analogues.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref34) Biophys. J. [100:232–242](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref34).
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>35. Wu, Y., F. L. Yeh, .[, E. R. Chapman. 2009. Biophysical characteriza](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref35)[tion of styryl dye-membrane interactions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref35) Biophys. J. 97:101–109.
- 36. [Jiang, J., and R. Yuste. 2008. Second-harmonic generation imaging of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref36) [membrane potential with photon counting.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref36) Microsc. Microanal. [14:526–531](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref36).
- 37. [Jiang, J., K. B. Eisenthal, and R. Yuste. 2007. Second harmonic gener](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref37)[ation in neurons: electro-optic mechanism of membrane potential](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref37) sensitivity. Biophys. J. [93:L26–L28.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref37)
- 38. Nuriya, M., J. Jiang, .[, R. Yuste. 2006. Imaging membrane potential](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref38) in dendritic spines. [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref38) 103:786–790.
- 39. [Stuart, G. J., and L. M. Palmer. 2006. Imaging membrane potential in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref39) [dendrites and axons of single neurons.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref39) Pflugers Arch. 453:403–410.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>40. Park, J. H., T. N. Sut, .[, N. J. Cho. 2017. Controlling adsorption and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref40) [passivation properties of bovine serum albumin on silica surfaces by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref40) [ionic strength modulation and cross-linking.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref40) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. [19:8854–8865](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref40).
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>41. Peschel, A., M. Otto, ..., F. Götz. 1999. Inactivation of the dlt operon in [Staphylococcus aureus confers sensitivity to defensins, protegrins, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref41) [other antimicrobial peptides.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref41) J. Biol. Chem. 274:8405–8410.
- 42. [Zhu, Y., and C. F. Stevens. 2008. Probing synaptic vesicle fusion by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref42) [altering mechanical properties of the neuronal surface membrane.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref42) [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref42) 105:18018–18022.
- 43. [Jen, S.-H., G. Gonella, and H.-L. Dai. 2009. The effect of particle size](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref43) [in second harmonic generation from the surface of spherical colloidal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref43) [particles. I: experimental observations.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref43) J. Phys. Chem. A. 113:4758– [4762](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref43).
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>44. [Jen, S.-H., H.-L. Dai, and G. Gonella. 2010. The effect of particle size](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref44) [in second harmonic generation from the surface of spherical colloidal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref44) [particles. II: the nonlinear RayleighGansDebye model.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref44) J. Phys. Chem. C. [114:4302–4308.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref44)
- 45. Stenovec, M., I. Poberaj, .[, R. Zorec. 2005. Concentration-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref45) [staining of lactotroph vesicles by FM 4-64.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref45) Biophys. J. 88:2607–2613.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>46. [Wang, H., E. Borguet, and K. B. Eisenthal. 1998. Generalized interface](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref46) [polarity scale based on second harmonic spectroscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref46) J. Phys. Chem. B. [102:4927–4932.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref46)
- 47. [Steel, W. H., and R. A. Walker. 2003. Measuring dipolar width across](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref47) [liquid-liquid interfaces with 'molecular rulers'.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref47) Nature. 424:296–299.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>48. López-Duarte, I., P. Chairatana, ...[, H. L. Anderson. 2015. Thiophene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref48)[based dyes for probing membranes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref48) Org. Biomol. Chem. 13:3792– [3802](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref48).
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>49. Zal, T., M. A. Zal, ...[, N. R. Gascoigne. 2006. Spectral shift of fluores](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref49)[cent dye FM4-64 reveals distinct microenvironment of nuclear enve](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref49)[lope in living cells.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref49) Traffic. 7:1607–1613.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>50. Jin, L., A. C. Millard, ...[, L. M. Loew. 2006. Characterization and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref50) [application of a new optical probe for membrane lipid domains.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref50) Biophys. J. [90:2563–2575](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref50).
- 51. Ries, R. S., H. Choi, ...[, J. R. Heath. 2004. Black lipid membranes:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref51) [visualizing the structure, dynamics, and substrate dependence of mem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref51)branes. J. Phys. Chem. B. [108:16040–16049](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref51).
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>52. [Bouillot, S., E. Reboud, and P. Huber. 2018. Functional consequences](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref52) [of calcium influx promoted by bacterial pore-forming toxins.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref52) Toxins [\(Basel\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref52) 10:387.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>53. [M](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref53)ütze, J., V. Iyer, ...[, T. D. Harris. 2012. Excitation spectra and bright](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref53)[ness optimization of two-photon excited probes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref53) Biophys. J. 102:934– [944](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref53).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>54. Kumariya, R., S. K. Sood, .[, A. K. Garsa. 2015. Increased membrane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref54) [surface positive charge and altered membrane fluidity leads to cationic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref54) [antimicrobial peptide resistance in Enterococcus faecalis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref54) Biochim. Biophys. Acta. [1848:1367–1375](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref54).
- 55. Tague, E. D., B. M. Woodall, ...[, S. R. Campagna. 2019. Expanding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref55) [lipidomics coverage: effective ultra performance liquid chromatog](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref55)[raphy-high resolution mass spectrometer methods for detection and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref55) [quantitation of cardiolipin, phosphatidylglycerol, and lysyl-phosphati](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref55)dylglycerol. [Metabolomics.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref55) 15:53.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>56. [Homan, R., and H. J. Pownall. 1988. Transbilayer diffusion of phos](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref56)[pholipids: dependence on headgroup structure and acyl chain length.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref56) [Biochim. Biophys. Acta.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref56) 938:155–166.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>57. Mansilla, M. C., L. E. Cybulski, ...[, D. de Mendoza. 2004. Control of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref57) [membrane lipid fluidity by molecular thermosensors.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref57) J. Bacteriol. [186:6681–6688](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref57).
- 58. [Mitchell, N. J., P. Seaton, and A. Pokorny. 2016. Branched phospho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref58)[lipids render lipid vesicles more susceptible to membrane-active pep](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref58)tides. [Biochim. Biophys. Acta.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref58) 1858:988–994.
- 59. [Saito, H. E., J. R. Harp, and E. M. Fozo. 2017. Enterococcus faecalis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref59) [responds to individual exogenous fatty acids independently of their](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref59) [degree of saturation or chain length.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref59) Appl. Environ. Microbiol. [84:e01633–17.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref59)
- 60. Sen, S., S. Sirobhushanam, ...[, B. J. Wilkinson. 2016. Growth-environ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref60)[ment dependent modulation of Staphylococcus aureus branched-chain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref60) [to straight-chain fatty acid ratio and incorporation of unsaturated fatty](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref60) acids. PLoS One. [11:e0165300.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref60)
- 61. Rashid, R., A. Cazenave-Gassiot, .[, M. R. Wenk. 2017. Comprehen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref61)[sive analysis of phospholipids and glycolipids in the opportunistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref61) [pathogen Enterococcus faecalis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref61) PLoS One. 12:e0175886.
- 62. [Liu, J., and J. C. Conboy. 2005. 1,2-diacyl-phosphatidylcholine flip](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref62)[flop measured directly by sum-frequency vibrational spectroscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref62) Biophys. J. [89:2522–2532](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref62).
- 63. [Brown, K. L., and J. C. Conboy. 2013. Lipid flip-flop in binary mem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref63)[branes composed of phosphatidylserine and phosphatidylcholine.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref63) J. Phys. Chem. B. [117:15041–15050](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref63).
- 64. [Anglin, T. C., and J. C. Conboy. 2009. Kinetics and thermo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref64)[dynamics of flip-flop in binary phospholipid membranes measured](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref64) [by sum-frequency vibrational spectroscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref64) Biochemistry. 48:10220– [10234](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref64).
- 65. [Allhusen, J. S., D. R. Kimball, and J. C. Conboy. 2016. Structural or](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref65)[igins of cholesterol accelerated lipid flip-flop studied by sum-frequency](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref65) [vibrational spectroscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref65) J. Phys. Chem. B. 120:3157–3168.
- 66. [Allhusen, J. S., and J. C. Conboy. 2017. The ins and outs of lipid flip](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref66)flop. [Acc. Chem. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref66) 50:58–65.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>67. [Brown, K. L., and J. C. Conboy. 2015. Phosphatidylglycerol flip-flop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref67) [suppression due to headgroup charge repulsion.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref67) J. Phys. Chem. B. [119:10252–10260](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref67).
- 68. [Martı´, J., and F. S. Csajka. 2003. Flip-flop dynamics in a model lipid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref68) [bilayer membrane.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref68) Europhys. Lett. 61:409–414.
- 69. Anglin, T. C., M. P. Cooper, .[, J. C. Conboy. 2010. Free energy and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref69) [entropy of activation for phospholipid flip-flop in planar supported lipid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref69) bilayers. [J. Phys. Chem. B.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref69) 114:1903–1914.
- 70. Gerelli, Y., L. Porcar, .[, G. Fragneto. 2013. Lipid exchange and flip](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref70)[flop in solid supported bilayers.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref70) Langmuir. 29:12762–12769.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>71. [Hao, M., and F. R. Maxfield. 2000. Characterization of rapid membrane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref71) [internalization and recycling.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref71) J. Biol. Chem. 275:15279–15286.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>72. [Betz, W. J., F. Mao, and C. B. Smith. 1996. Imaging exocytosis and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref72) endocytosis. [Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref72) 6:365–371.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>73. [Gurtovenko, A. A., J. Anwar, and I. Vattulainen. 2010. Defect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref73)[mediated trafficking across cell membranes: insights from in silico](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref73) modeling. Chem. Rev. [110:6077–6103](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref73).
- 74. [Armstrong, V. T., M. R. Brzustowicz,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref74) ..., W. Stillwell. 2003. Rapid flip[flop in polyunsaturated \(docosahexaenoate\) phospholipid membranes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref74) [Arch. Biochem. Biophys.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref74) 414:74–82.
- 75. Regev, R., D. Yeheskely-Hayon, .[, G. D. Eytan. 2005. Transport of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref75) [anthracyclines and mitoxantrone across membranes by a flip-flop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref75) mechanism. [Biochem. Pharmacol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3495(19)30788-X/sref75) 70:161–169.