



Correction to: ICSI does not offer any benefit over conventional IVF across different ovarian response categories in non-male factor infertility: a European multicenter analysis

Panagiotis Drakopoulos^{1,2} · Juan Garcia-Velasco^{3,4} · Ernesto Bosch⁵ · Christophe Blockeel^{1,2} · Michel de Vos^{1,2} · Samuel Santos-Ribeiro^{6,7} · Antonis Makrigiannakis⁸ · Herman Tournaye^{1,2} · Nikolaos P. Polyzos^{2,9}

Published online: 14 October 2019
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Correction to: Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-019-01563-1>

The original article unfortunately contained 2 mistakes. 1) In the Result section of high responders in the main text, “Fresh and cumulative LBR did not differ significantly for IVF and ICSI patients (145/468 (31%) vs. 32/87 (36.7%) and 287/468 (61.3%) vs. 52/87 (59.8%), p value = 0.3 and 0.8, respectively)” should be “Fresh and cumulative LBR did not differ significantly for ICSI and IVF patients” . 2) There was a wrong transformation of percentages for fresh and cumulative LBR (from the Table to Fig. 3b). Please see below the revised Fig. 3.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-019-01563-1>

✉ Panagiotis Drakopoulos
panagiotisdrakopoulos@hotmail.com

¹ Center for Reproductive Medicine, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Laarbeeklaan 101, 1090 Brussels, Belgium

² Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Department of Surgical and Clinical Science, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

³ Instituto Valenciano de Infertilidad, IVI-RMA Madrid, Madrid, Spain

⁴ Rey Juan Carlos University, Madrid, Spain

⁵ Instituto Valenciano de Infertilidad, IVI-RMAValencia, Valencia, Spain

⁶ IVI-RMA Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

⁷ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

⁸ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical School, University of Crete, 71110 Heraklion, Crete, Greece

⁹ Department of Reproductive Medicine, Dexeus University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain




Fig. 3 Fresh and cumulative LBR according to the insemination method in poor (a) and suboptimal responders (b)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.