



Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Obes. 2019 December ; 14(12): e12562. doi:10.1111/ijpo.12562.

Gestational diabetes exposure and adiposity outcomes in childhood and adolescence: an analysis of effect modification by breastfeeding, diet quality, and physical activity in the EPOCH study

Katherine A. Sauder^{a,b,c}, Traci A. Bekelman^{a,c}, Kylie K. Harrall^c, Deborah H. Glueck^{a,c}, Dana Dabelea^{a,b,c}

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO

^bDepartment of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO

^cLifecourse Epidemiology of Adiposity and Diabetes (LEAD) Center, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO

Abstract

Background: Intrauterine exposure to gestational diabetes (GDM) is associated with increased adiposity; however, not all offspring exposed to GDM exhibit excess adiposity.

Objectives: Examine whether optimal diet and activity behaviors in infancy, childhood, and adolescence modify the association between GDM exposure and adiposity.

Methods: In 564 offspring (84 exposed to GDM), we assessed breastfeeding (maternal recall), dietary intake (food frequency questionnaire), physical activity (3-day recall) and adiposity (BMI, waist-to-height ratio, visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue, subscapular-to-triceps skinfold ratio) at 10.4 (SD 1.5) and 16.7 (SD 1.2) years. Optimal behaviors were defined as ≥ 6 breastmilk months, Healthy Eating Index score ≥ 60 , and daily vigorous activity >1 hour. Linear mixed models assessed the association between GDM exposure and adiposity among those with optimal versus suboptimal health behaviors, adjusting for sex, race/ethnicity, age, and pubertal status.

Results: GDM exposure was associated with increased skinfold ratio, visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue among those with <6 breastmilk months (all $p < 0.05$), but only associated with increased skinfold ratio among those with ≥ 6 breastmilk months ($p = 0.01$). GDM exposure was associated with increases in all adiposity measures among those with Healthy Eating Index scores <60 ($p < 0.01$), but not those with scores ≥ 60 ($p > 0.10$). GDM exposure was associated with increased BMI and subcutaneous adipose tissue among those with >1 hour vigorous activity ($p < 0.05$), but not among those with <1 hour vigorous activity ($p > 0.30$).

Correspondence: Dr. Katherine Sauder; LEAD Center, University of Colorado; Mail Stop F426; 12474 E 19th Ave; Aurora, CO 80045; Tel: 303-724-8715; Fax: 303-724-7725; katherine.sauder@ucdenver.edu.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This work was supported by The National Institutes of Health. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Conclusions: The association of GDM exposure with excess adiposity is attenuated in offspring with more optimal diet and activity behaviors in infancy, childhood, and adolescence.

Keywords

Fetal overnutrition; adiposity; diet; activity; breastfeeding

Introduction

Offspring exposed to maternal diabetes (type 1, type 2, or gestational) in pregnancy are at increased risk of excess body size and adiposity during childhood, adolescence, and adulthood (1–4). However, not every child who is exposed to diabetes *in utero* becomes obese, indicating that additional factors are involved in the development of obesity. Health factors or behaviors during other critical periods in early life, such as infancy and puberty, may ameliorate the effect of intrauterine exposures on offspring health. For example, breastfeeding has been associated with a reduced risk of obesity among offspring exposed to any type of maternal diabetes (5–8). We and others have previously reported that six months or more of exclusive breastfeeding among offspring exposed to gestational diabetes (GDM) is associated with a normalization of body size and adiposity at 2–12 years relative to offspring not exposed (9, 10). Less is known about the potential of other health behaviors in childhood to attenuate the obesity risks of this intrauterine exposure. One study of Chinese children exposed to GDM reported that those who did not watch television daily were less than half as likely to be obese compared to those who watched 1 hour daily (11). However, there was no difference in obesity risk according to physical activity, and diet was not assessed. Another study of Canadian children reported that those who were exposed to GDM and consumed a healthy diet were four times more likely to be overweight/obese than children who were exposed but did not consume a healthy diet (12). Given the increasing number of individuals who are exposed to maternal diabetes *in utero* each year (13), a better understanding how early life health behaviors may mitigate the adverse effects of this exposure is needed to guide the development of targeted prevention efforts for children at highest risk of obesity.

We examined the association of intrauterine exposure to GDM with body size and adiposity in childhood and adolescence (6–19 years) among offspring with optimal versus suboptimal diet and physical activity behaviors. We hypothesized that exposure to GDM would be associated with greater body size and adiposity among youth with suboptimal health behaviors, but not among youth with optimal health behaviors.

Methods

The Exploring Perinatal Outcomes among Children (EPOCH) study is a historical prospective cohort study based in Denver, Colorado. From 2005–2010, we recruited children born to women who were members of the Kaiser Permanente of Colorado health plan and resided in Colorado. We enrolled children exposed to maternal diabetes in utero and a random sample of children not exposed to maternal diabetes. The first in-person research visit (Visit 1) was completed by 604 offspring (n=90 exposed to GDM, n=9 exposed to type

1 diabetes, n=505 not exposed) aged 6–12 years (mean 10.4, SD 1.5) in 2005–2010. The second in-person research visit (Visit 2) was completed by 417 offspring (n=70 exposed to GDM, n=7 exposed to type 1 diabetes, n=340 not exposed) aged 12–19 years (mean 16.7, SD 1.2) in 2010–2015. All study activities occurred at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, with approval from the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board. Mothers provided written informed consent, and offspring provided written assent.

GDM exposure assessment

Maternal diabetes in pregnancy was obtained from Kaiser Permanente of Colorado medical records. Pregnant women at Kaiser Permanente of Colorado were routinely screened for GDM at 24–28 weeks using the 2-step standard protocol (14). GDM was diagnosed if glucose values exceeded two or more thresholds set by the National Diabetes Data Group on the 3-hour 100-g oral glucose tolerance test (15).

Offspring diet and physical activity assessment

Offspring diet during infancy was assessed at the first research visit via maternal recall of breastfeeding and formula feeding, which has been shown to correlate well with prospective collection of infant feeding data (16, 17). These data were used to calculate breastmilk months, a measure that reflects both duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding (9). For exclusively breastfed infants, breastmilk months is equivalent to the duration of breastfeeding (e.g., 8 months of breastfeeding = 8 breastmilk months). For infants fed both breastmilk and formula, breastmilk months is the duration of exclusive breastfeeding plus the weighted duration of mixed feeding (e.g., 4 months of exclusive breastfeeding + 2 months of 50% breastmilk and 50% formula = 5 breastmilk months). For infants fed formula exclusively, breastmilk months is 0.

Offspring diet in childhood and adolescence was assessed at both research visits with the Block Kids Food Frequency Questionnaire. This semi-quantitative questionnaire was developed and validated for children 8 years (18, 19). It queries intake, frequency, and average portion size of 85 foods and beverages over the last week. These data were used to calculate Healthy Eating Index 2010 (20), a diet quality index that compares usual intake to the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Intake is classified according to ten food groups (total vegetables, greens and beans, total fruit, whole fruit, whole grains, dairy, total protein foods, seafood and plant protein, refined grains, and empty calories) and two nutrients (sodium, fatty acid ratio). The empty calories component is standardized to total energy intake, and all other components except the fatty acid ratio are standardized to daily intake of 1000 kilocalories. The scores for all twelve components are used to derive an overall Healthy Eating Index score, which ranges from 1–100 and higher scores indicate better diet quality. For this analysis, two modifications to the standard scoring were necessary due to the nature of the available data. First, the whole fruit component score included whole fruits and fruit juice, instead of just whole fruits. Second, the empty calories component included solid fats, added sugars, and oils, instead of solid fats, added sugars and alcohol (alcohol is not assessed with the Block Kids Food Frequency Questionnaire).

Offspring physical activity in childhood and adolescence was assessed at both research visits with the 3-day Physical Activity Recall (21). Participants recalled prior day activities in 30-minute blocks, along with intensity level (light, moderate, hard, very hard) as appropriate. We calculated the average daily number of 30-minute blocks of physical activities with metabolic equivalents (METs) of 6 or greater, in accordance with the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans (22).

Offspring obesity outcomes assessment

At both research visits, offspring height (via stadiometer) and weight (via calibrated electronic scale) were measured in duplicate in light clothing without shoes. Waist circumference was measured in duplicate against the skin, midway between the lowest rib margin and the right iliac crest, using a non-tension tape. Subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses were measured in triplicate using Holtaine calipers. Visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue were measured with a 3T imager (General Electric, Waukesha, WI). A series of T1-weighted coronal images were taken at the L4/L5 plane while the participant was supine, with subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue area (cm²) measured by a blinded, single reader from a single axial 10mm image at the umbilicus or L4/L5 vertebra. The above data were used to calculate three indices of adiposity: body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), waist-to-height ratio, and subscapular-to-triceps skinfold ratio.

Covariate assessment

Demographic information (age, sex, race/ethnicity) was collected via self-report. Race/ethnicity was classified as non-Hispanic white or all other race/ethnicities. Pubertal development was self-reported by the offspring using diagrammatic representations of Tanner staging adapted from Marshall and Tanner (23), with staging classified as pre-pubertal or pubertal according to pubic hair in males and breast development in females.

Statistical analyses

EPOCH participants were eligible for the present analysis if they had data on at least one adiposity outcome and complete data on breastfeeding, diet quality, physical activity, and all covariates at one or both research visits. We excluded offspring who were exposed to maternal type 1 diabetes in pregnancy. All analyses were conducted in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Thresholds defining optimal or suboptimal diet and physical activity behaviors were determined by considering both relevant recommendations and distribution of the behaviors among EPOCH participants. Infant diet was dichotomized as ≥ 6 breastmilk months (optimal) versus <6 breastmilk months (suboptimal), in accordance with the American Academy of Pediatrics' recommendation for 6 months of exclusive breastfeeding (24). Childhood and adolescent diet quality were dichotomized as Healthy Eating Index ≥ 60 (optimal) versus <60 (suboptimal). While this is below the recommended score of 80 for the prevention of chronic disease (25), which was met by only 1% of our participants, it approximates the 75th percentile of scores in both our EPOCH sample and a nationally-representative sample of US children and adolescents (26). Child and adolescent physical activity were dichotomized as daily vigorous activity >1 hour versus ≤ 1 hour. Again, this

approximates the 75th percentile of vigorous activity in our EPOCH cohort, and aligns with the US Department of Health and Human Services' recommendation for children to engage in one hour or more of physical activity daily, with at least three days/week including of vigorous activity (22).

We used linear mixed models (PROC MIXED) with an unstructured covariance matrix to assess the association of intrauterine exposure to GDM with adiposity outcomes among participants with optimal versus suboptimal health behaviors across both research visits. Five identical models were constructed so that each adiposity outcome could be analyzed separately. We did not adjust for multiple testing because the separate outcomes were specified *a priori*. For each of the five models, the predictors included maternal GDM, breastfeeding category at each visit, Healthy Eating Index category at each visit, physical activity category at each visit, and the interaction terms for each health behavior category with GDM (e.g., breastfeeding category x GDM). We planned *a priori* to examine the effect of GDM exposure within each health behavior category even if the p-value for the interaction term was not statistically significant (two-sided $p > 0.05$). We included all three health behavior categories in each model to ensure that effects of one behavior were independent of the other behaviors. For each of the five models, the covariates included offspring race/ethnicity, sex, age at each visit, pubertal status at each visit, and an age x pubertal status interaction term, as in previous analyses from this cohort (1). Because we had repeated measurements of the health behavior exposures and adiposity outcomes, we also entered time as a repeated effect to account for the correlation between measures within each participant. All adiposity outcomes required natural log transformation prior to analysis to ensure model assumptions were met. The resulting beta estimates and confidence intervals (CI) were back-transformed for presentation.

Results

Of the 604 child participants who completed Visit 1 and the 417 child participants who completed Visit 2, we excluded data from 41 observations at Visit 1 (9 who were exposed to type 1 diabetes, and 32 who were missing data for breastfeeding, diet, and/or activity) and 42 observations at Visit 2 (7 who were exposed to Type 1 diabetes, and 35 who were missing data for breastfeeding, diet, and/or activity). Complete data were available for 564 (n=84 exposed to GDM) and 375 (n=63 exposed to GDM) child participants at Visit 1 and 2, respectively, resulting in 939 repeated observations (n=147 exposed to GDM) that were included in this analysis. There were no notable differences between participants whose observations were excluded versus included (data not shown). Maternal and child characteristics of the final sample are presented in Table 1, stratified by visit and GDM exposure.

The association of GDM exposure with adiposity outcomes among offspring with optimal versus suboptimal health behaviors is presented in Table 2. For all health behaviors, we found that exposure to GDM was associated with statistically and clinically significant increases in multiple measures of adiposity when offspring health behaviors were suboptimal. However, when offspring health behaviors were optimal, the adverse effect of GDM exposure on adiposity was attenuated to non-significance.

Infant breastmilk months: Among offspring with <6 breastmilk months, GDM exposure was associated with statistically significant increases in visceral adipose tissue (unexposed mean = 23.2 cm² [95% CI 20.7–25.9], exposed = 28.3 cm² [23.0–34.8], p=0.05), subcutaneous adipose tissue (unexposed = 121.8 cm² [107.0–138.6], exposed = 160.1 cm² [125.4–204.3], p=0.03), and skinfold ratio (unexposed = 0.81 [0.77–0.86] versus exposed = 0.95 [0.85–1.06], p=0.01); and with non-statistically significant increases in BMI (unexposed = 20.6 kg/m² [19.9–21.2], exposed = 21.8 kg/m² [20.4–23.3], p=0.08) and waist-to-height ratio (unexposed = 0.47 [0.45–0.48], exposed = 0.49 [0.46–0.51], p=0.10). In contrast, among offspring with ≥6 breastmilk months, GDM exposure was associated with a statistically significant increase only for skinfold ratio (unexposed = 0.81 [0.76–0.86], exposed = 0.92 [0.83–1.03], p=0.01). The interaction term between breastmilk months category and GDM exposure, however, was not statistically significant for any of the adiposity outcomes.

Child/adolescent Healthy Eating Index: Among offspring with a Healthy Eating Index <60, exposure to GDM was associated with significant increases in BMI (unexposed = 20.2 kg/m² [19.7–20.7], exposed = 21.6 kg/m² [20.6–22.7], p=0.006), waist-to-height ratio (unexposed = 0.46 [0.45–0.47], exposed = 0.49 [0.47–0.50], p<0.001) compared to those who), visceral adipose tissue (unexposed = 22.3 cm² [20.3–24.5], exposed = 27.5 cm² [23.4–32.4], p=0.004), subcutaneous adipose tissue (unexposed = 114.2 cm² [102.2–127.5], exposed = 155.5 cm² [128.7–187.9], p=0.001), and skinfold ratio (unexposed = 0.80 [0.76–0.84], exposed = 0.96 [0.89–1.04], p<0.001). In contrast, among offspring with a Healthy Eating Index ≥60, there was no difference across GDM exposure groups for any of the adiposity outcomes (all p>0.05). The interaction term between Healthy Eating Index and GDM exposure was statistically significant only for waist-to-height ratio (p=0.02).

Child/adolescent physical activity: Among offspring with ≥1 hour/day of vigorous activity, GDM exposure was associated with statistically significant increases in BMI (unexposed = 20.2 [19.7–20.8], exposed = 21.4 [20.3–22.6], p=0.03) and subcutaneous adipose tissue (unexposed = 118.1 cm² [105.3–132.5], exposed = 159.1 cm² [130.9–193.3], p=0.001), and with non-statistically significant increases in waist-to-height ratio (unexposed = 0.46 [0.45–0.47], exposed = 0.48 [0.46–0.50], p=0.08), visceral adipose tissue (unexposed = 23.1 cm² [20.9–25.6], exposed = 26.7 cm² [22.6–31.7], p=0.06), and skinfold ratio (unexposed = 0.84 [0.79–0.88], exposed = 0.89 [0.81–0.98], p=0.17). Among offspring with <1 hour/day of vigorous activity, GDM exposure was associated with a significant increase in skinfold ratio only (unexposed = 0.78 [0.73–0.83], exposed = 0.98 [0.86–1.11], p=0.001). All other adiposity outcomes followed similar patterns as when vigorous activity was ≥1 hour/day, but the differences between GDM exposure groups were attenuated and not statistically significant. The interaction term between vigorous activity and GDM exposure was statistically significant only for the skinfold ratio (p=0.01).

Discussion

We found that the adverse association of GDM exposure with increased body size and adiposity was attenuated among offspring with optimal diet and activity behaviors in infancy, childhood, and adolescence. These differential results were clearest for optimal diet quality, while optimal breastfeeding and vigorous activity showed similar, though less

consistent, results. Our study suggests that engaging in optimal early life health behaviors may be a potential strategy for mitigating the adverse effect of GDM exposure on offspring obesity risks.

Our novel findings regarding child and adolescent diet quality highlight the key role that postnatal nutrition may play in modifying the effect of fetal overnutrition resulting from exposure to GDM *in utero*. The fuel-mediated teratogenesis hypothesis suggests that elevations in maternal glucose and other nutrients during pregnancy trigger changes in fetal metabolism, cellular development, and growth that result in increased body size already evident at birth (27). Prior studies report that this effect persists into childhood and adolescence even after adjustment for offspring daily energy intake (1, 28, 29) or data-derived dietary patterns (30). However, those studies did not examine the potential for a healthy diet in childhood to attenuate the effect of GDM exposure. We observed a consistent protective effect of consuming a diet more aligned with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans across five different measures of adiposity for offspring exposed to GDM. This aligns with a recent Canadian study that reported a 75% reduced prevalence of overweight/obesity among offspring exposed to GDM who consumed a diet more aligned with the Canadian Food Guide (12). These results suggest that fetal overnutrition does not definitively program offspring to exhibit increased adiposity, but rather increases vulnerability for developing greater adiposity in the presence of an obesogenic environment. We recognize that defining optimal diet quality as having a Healthy Eating Index score of 60 or more has its limitations, given that higher scores (> 80) have been recommended for prevention of chronic disease (25). Yet, the distribution of scores in our cohort is similar to the distribution in a nationally-representative cohort (26), and thus reflects the typical intake of children and adolescents. Taken together, these results indicate that even incremental improvements in diet quality may benefit offspring at high risk of developing excess adiposity due to GDM exposure.

In terms of physical activity, we similarly observed that the increased adiposity risks for offspring exposed to GDM were diminished for children and adolescents who daily engaged in >1 hour of vigorous activity. While this finding was restricted to just two of the five adiposity measures, the point estimates for the remaining three adiposity outcomes follow a similar pattern. These results are in contrast to a large Chinese study, which observed no difference in obesity prevalence at 1–5 years of age for children exposed to GDM across higher or lower levels of indoor/outdoor physical activity (11). However, that study did not include a control group of children not exposed to GDM, and thus could not determine if increased physical activity can ameliorate the adverse effect of GDM exposure. The Chinese study also included a younger age group; it is possible that other factors in the early childhood period, such as diet, have a greater impact on obesity development than physical activity. We also note that our physical activity results are specific to daily vigorous activity, which is somewhat different from the national recommendation that children get at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous activity daily, including vigorous activity at least 3 days/week (22). When we classified participants according to daily moderate-vigorous activity, we observed no benefit of moderate-to-vigorous activity on obesity outcomes (data not shown). This suggests that more frequent engagement in higher intensity physical activity is needed in order to counteract the effect of GDM exposure on adiposity in childhood and

adolescence. Importantly, these results were independent of the diet behaviors, indicating that increased vigorous activity may be beneficial even when diet is suboptimal.

We previously reported a beneficial effect of breastfeeding on adiposity measures in this cohort when offspring were 6–12 years of age (9). We now report that this result persists to 12–19 years of age, although the beneficial effect of breastfeeding appears less strong in this analysis, suggesting that it may diminish with time. When adjusted for both diet quality and vigorous activity later in childhood, we observed differential effects of GDM exposure by breastfeeding strata for only visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue, while the skinfold ratio was similarly increased among exposed in both breastfeeding categories. Examination of the point estimates for the other adiposity measures suggests that the effect of GDM exposure is attenuated with greater breastfeeding, although not significantly so. Other studies that have similarly reported a protective effect of breastfeeding on body size and adiposity following intrauterine GDM exposure were restricted to children < 8 years of age (6, 7, 10). Even so, there is evidence that body size tracks from infancy through childhood and adolescence to adulthood (31, 32), highlighting the importance of early life breastmilk consumption in setting a trajectory toward obesity. Our data indicate that more proximal diet and activity exposures have a stronger effect on adiposity in childhood and adolescence than breastfeeding. Further, these data demonstrate that if mothers with a history of GDM are unwilling or unable to exclusively breastfeed for at least 6 months, optimal diet and activity behaviors beyond infancy present a plausible opportunity for reducing offspring obesity risks.

Among children with suboptimal behaviors, those exposed to GDM had an average BMI that was 1.2–1.4 kg/m² greater than children who were not exposed. This corresponds to a 0.30 unit change in BMI z-score for a 10 year old male and a 0.50 unit change for a 16 year old male. In studies of pediatric obesity treatment, a reduction of BMI z-score of 0.25–0.50 has been shown to improve insulin sensitivity (33), suggesting that the difference we observed for offspring BMI is clinically significant. While the clinical significance of the other adiposity measures is not as clear, the results do indicate a shift to a more centralized fat distribution, which has been shown to track from childhood to young adulthood (34) and substantially increases risk of cardiovascular disease (35). The increase in multiple adiposity measures among children exposed to GDM is likely due to a number of inter-related biological mechanisms. When excess maternal glucose crosses the placenta, the fetal pancreas responds by releasing insulin and insulin-like growth factor, resulting in excess fetal growth (27). Excess maternal glucose may also alter the adipo-insular axis in offspring, which regulates leptin release from adipocytes and insulin release from pancreatic beta cells, contributing to fat mass (36). There is some evidence from animal studies that elevations in insulin and leptin *in utero* can alter hypothalamic neuropeptidergic neurons in offspring, resulting in hyperphagia and overweight/obesity (37). Lastly, maternal hyperglycemia may alter offspring DNA methylation and subsequent expression of insulin-like growth factor 2 (38). While it is not understood exactly which mechanisms influence specific measures of adiposity, the current evidence is clear that fetal exposure to maternal hyperglycemia has long-term consequences for obesity and cardiometabolic risks.

We note that most women who develop GDM also have pre-pregnancy obesity, and it can be difficult to distinguish between the effects of these two concurrent and interdependent exposures. Maternal obesity is a risk factor for GDM (39), and rising mid-pregnancy glucose levels, even within the normal range, explain 21% of the relationship between pre-pregnancy BMI and neonatal adiposity in women without GDM (40). However, offspring born to women with type 1 diabetes, who typically do not have pre-pregnancy obesity, do exhibit increased adiposity, therefore providing evidence of the independent effect of maternal glucose levels (41–43). Other studies of offspring born to women with GDM report that the association of GDM with increased adiposity is attenuated for some measures after adjustment for maternal pre-pregnancy obesity, but remains statistically significant, suggesting that the specific effect of GDM is also independent of maternal obesity (44–46). In our study, data on pre-pregnancy obesity were available for only a subset of participants (~65%). We conducted an exploratory analysis that adjusted for pre-pregnancy obesity in this subset, and similarly noted that some but not all associations were attenuated (data not shown). Collectively, these analyses indicate that there are independent contributions of both maternal GDM and maternal pre-pregnancy obesity to offspring adiposity, but the exact contribution of each exposure cannot be isolated because of the shared biological pathway. From a public health perspective, this also highlights the need to target the shared risk factors that precede the development of both maternal obesity and GDM (e.g., excess caloric intake and sedentary lifestyles) in order to minimize the intergenerational transmission of diabetes and obesity (47).

Strengths of our study include the diverse sample and longitudinal data collection. Our analyses revealed generally consistent results across multiple measures of adiposity, which increases the robustness of the findings. By including all three health behaviors in the same model, we were able to evaluate the independent effects of each optimal health behavior and confirm that our results were not confounded by other behaviors that are often correlated. We acknowledge that our findings should be interpreted with caution, given that the behavior x GDM interaction term was statistically significant for only a few measures. We believe this is due to insufficient power driven by smaller sample sizes, particularly for the number of youth exposed to GDM with an optimal behaviors (n=33–71, depending on the exact behavior and outcome). Yet, examination of the point estimates suggests that the difference between youth who were exposed versus unexposed was truly diminished when behaviors were optimal (for example, BMI of 20.2 and 21.6 for youth unexposed and exposed to GDM, respectively, when diet was suboptimal, versus BMI of 20.1 and 20.8 when diet was optimal). We were not powered to examine age- or sex-specific differences in adiposity outcomes; however, we did include adjustment for age and sex in the analyses. While our final model included few covariates, exploratory analyses indicated that the results did not change upon consideration of other potential confounders (household income, maternal smoking in pregnancy, maternal marital status, pre-eclampsia, hypertension in pregnancy, excessive gestational weight gain). We were unable to explore the contribution of paternal BMI as data were not available. Reliance on maternal recall of breastfeeding is a limitation, although prior studies have demonstrated the validity of maternal recall of breastfeeding duration up to 17 years later (16, 17, 48). We also note that the pediatric food frequency questionnaire we used was validated for children 8 years, but 25 participants (4.4%) were

younger than 8 years at the first visit. Other limitations include use of self-reported offspring health behaviors, reliance on maternal recall of breastfeeding after 6–12 years, use of a modified Healthy Eating Index calculation because of the nature of the available nutrition data, and no adjustment for testing multiple outcomes.

In conclusion, we have shown that engaging in optimal diet or activity behaviors in infancy, childhood, or adolescence is associated with a reduction or even elimination of the adverse effect of GDM exposure on multiple measures of offspring adiposity. While prevention of the initial GDM exposure is ideal for reducing offspring adiposity risks, studies of lifestyle interventions during pregnancy have shown that this is extremely difficult to accomplish (49, 50). For the 18 million offspring worldwide that are born to women with GDM each year, our study provides promising evidence that diet and activity behaviors in early life have potential to shift one's health trajectory away from excess adiposity even in the presence of adverse intrauterine exposures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the EPOCH Project Coordinator, Mrs. Mercedes Martinez, and the study investigators, participants, and personnel. This work was supported by the NIH (R01DK076648 – DD; UL1TR001082 - NIH/NCATS Colorado CTSA; P30DK56350 – UNC Nutrition Obesity Research Center; R25GM111901 – DHG; R01GM121081 - DHG). TAB is supported by an NIH post-doctoral institutional training grant to the University of Colorado (T32DK07658). Contents are the authors' sole responsibility and do not necessarily represent official NIH views. KAS and DD designed the study; KAS and KKH performed the statistical analysis; and KAS drafted the first version of the manuscript. All authors interpreted the results, critically revised the manuscript, and approved the final version.

References

1. Crume TL, Ogden L, West NA, et al. Association of exposure to diabetes in utero with adiposity and fat distribution in a multiethnic population of youth: the Exploring Perinatal Outcomes among Children (EPOCH) Study. *Diabetologia*. 2011; 54:87–92. [PubMed: 20953862]
2. Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH, Knowler WC, Baird HR, Aleck KA. Gestational diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy. Long-term effects on obesity and glucose tolerance in the offspring. *Diabetes*. 1985; 34 Suppl 2:119–122. [PubMed: 3996763]
3. Silverman BL, Metzger BE, Cho NH, Loeb CA. Impaired glucose tolerance in adolescent offspring of diabetic mothers. Relationship to fetal hyperinsulinism. *Diabetes Care*. 1995; 18:611–617. [PubMed: 8585997]
4. Kaseva N, Vaarasmaki M, Matinolli HM, et al. Pre-pregnancy overweight or obesity and gestational diabetes as predictors of body composition in offspring twenty years later: evidence from two birth cohort studies. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2018; 42:872–879. [PubMed: 29146992]
5. Hummel S, Pfluger M, Kreichauf S, Hummel M, Ziegler AG. Predictors of overweight during childhood in offspring of parents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2009; 32:921–925. [PubMed: 19228867]
6. Schaefer-Graf UM, Hartmann R, Pawliczak J, et al. Association of breast-feeding and early childhood overweight in children from mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2006; 29:1105–1107. [PubMed: 16644645]
7. Fenger-Gron J, Fenger-Gron M, Blunck CH, Schonemann-Rigel H, Wielandt HB. Low breastfeeding rates and body mass index in Danish children of women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Int Breastfeed J*. 2015; 10:26. [PubMed: 26361494]
8. Pettitt DJ, Knowler WC. Long-term effects of the intrauterine environment, birth weight, and breast-feeding in Pima Indians. *Diabetes Care*. 1998; 21 Suppl 2:B138–141. [PubMed: 9704241]

9. Crume TL, Ogden L, Maligie M, et al. Long-term impact of neonatal breastfeeding on childhood adiposity and fat distribution among children exposed to diabetes in utero. *Diabetes Care*. 2011; 34:641–645. [PubMed: 21357361]
10. Shearrer GE, Whaley SE, Miller SJ, House BT, Held T, Davis JN. Association of gestational diabetes and breastfeeding on obesity prevalence in predominately Hispanic low-income youth. *Pediatr Obes*. 2015; 10:165–171. [PubMed: 25044818]
11. Zhang T, Wang P, Liu H, et al. Physical Activity, TV Watching Time, Sleeping, and Risk of Obesity and Hyperglycemia in the Offspring of Mothers with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Sci Rep*. 2017; 7:41115.
12. Dugas C, Belanger M, Perron J, et al. Is A Healthy Diet Associated with Lower Anthropometric and Glycemic Alterations in Predisposed Children Born from Mothers with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus? *Nutrients*. 2019; 11.
13. International Diabetes Federation. *IDF Diabetes Atlas*. 8 Ed 2017.
14. American Diabetes A. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2017; 40:S11–S24. [PubMed: 27979889]
15. National Diabetes Data Group. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. *Diabetes*. 1979; 28:1039–1057. [PubMed: 510803]
16. Li R, Scanlon KS, Serdula MK. The validity and reliability of maternal recall of breastfeeding practice. *Nutr Rev*. 2005; 63:103–110. [PubMed: 15869124]
17. Kark JD, Troya G, Friedlander Y, Slater PE, Stein Y. Validity of maternal reporting of breast feeding history and the association with blood lipids in 17 year olds in Jerusalem. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1984; 38:218–225. [PubMed: 6470599]
18. Cullen KW, Watson K, Zakeri I. Relative reliability and validity of the Block Kids Questionnaire among youth aged 10 to 17 years. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2008; 108:862–866. [PubMed: 18442512]
19. Block G, Murphy M, Roulett JB, Wakimoto P, P Crawford, Block B T. Pilot validation of a FFQ for children 8–10 years. Fourth International Conference on Dietary Assessment Methods, Tucson, AZ, 2000.
20. Guenther PM, Casavale KO, Reedy J, et al. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2010. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2013; 113:569–580. [PubMed: 23415502]
21. Stevens J, Cornell CE, Story M, et al. Development of a questionnaire to assess knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors in American Indian children. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999; 69:773S–781S. [PubMed: 10195602]
22. US Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*. 2 Ed. US Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, DC, 2018.
23. Marshall WA, Tanner JM. Growth and physiological development during adolescence. *Annu Rev Med*. 1968; 19:283–300. [PubMed: 4297619]
24. American Academy of Pediatrics. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. *Pediatrics*. 2012; 129:e827–841. [PubMed: 22371471]
25. Basiotis P, Carlson A, Gerrior S, Juan W, Lino M. *The Healthy Eating Index: 1999–2000*. USDA, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion, Washington, DC, 2002.
26. Banfield EC, Liu Y, Davis JS, Chang S, Frazier-Wood AC. Poor Adherence to US Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Population. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2016; 116:21–27. [PubMed: 26391469]
27. Freinkel N. Banting Lecture 1980. Of pregnancy and progeny. *Diabetes*. 1980; 29:1023–1035. [PubMed: 7002669]
28. Chandler-Laney PC, Bush NC, Rouse DJ, Mancuso MS, Gower BA. Maternal glucose concentration during pregnancy predicts fat and lean mass of prepubertal offspring. *Diabetes Care*. 2011; 34:741–745. [PubMed: 21266649]
29. Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman S, Berkey CS, Field AE, Colditz GA. Maternal gestational diabetes, birth weight, and adolescent obesity. *Pediatrics*. 2003; 111:e221–226. [PubMed: 12612275]
30. Zhao P, Liu E, Qiao Y, et al. Maternal gestational diabetes and childhood obesity at age 9–11: results of a multinational study. *Diabetologia*. 2016; 59:2339–2348. [PubMed: 27510911]

31. Singh AS, Mulder C, Twisk JW, van Mechelen W, Chinapaw MJ. Tracking of childhood overweight into adulthood: a systematic review of the literature. *Obes Rev.* 2008; 9:474–488. [PubMed: 18331423]
32. Bjerregaard LG, Rasmussen KM, Michaelsen KF, et al. Effects of body size and change in body size from infancy through childhood on body mass index in adulthood. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2014; 38:1305–1311. [PubMed: 24942870]
33. Ford AL, Hunt LP, Cooper A, Shield JP. What reduction in BMI SDS is required in obese adolescents to improve body composition and cardiometabolic health? *Arch Dis Child.* 2010; 95:256–261. [PubMed: 19966092]
34. Freitas D, Beunen G, Maia J, et al. Tracking of fatness during childhood, adolescence and young adulthood: a 7-year follow-up study in Madeira Island, Portugal. *Ann Hum Biol.* 2012; 39:59–67. [PubMed: 22148930]
35. Despres JP. Body fat distribution and risk of cardiovascular disease: an update. *Circulation.* 2012; 126:1301–1313. [PubMed: 22949540]
36. Simmons D, Breier BH. Fetal overnutrition in polynesian pregnancies and in gestational diabetes may lead to dysregulation of the adipoinular axis in offspring. *Diabetes Care.* 2002; 25:1539–1544. [PubMed: 12196424]
37. Plagemann A. ‘Fetal programming’ and ‘functional teratogenesis’: on epigenetic mechanisms and prevention of perinatally acquired lasting health risks. *J Perinat Med.* 2004; 32:297–305. [PubMed: 15346812]
38. Ding GL, Wang FF, Shu J, et al. Transgenerational glucose intolerance with Igf2/H19 epigenetic alterations in mouse islet induced by intrauterine hyperglycemia. *Diabetes.* 2012; 61:1133–1142. [PubMed: 22447856]
39. DeSisto CL, Kim SY, Sharma AJ. Prevalence estimates of gestational diabetes mellitus in the United States, Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), 2007–2010. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2014; 11:E104. [PubMed: 24945238]
40. Shapiro AL, Schmiede SJ, Brinton JT, et al. Testing the fuel-mediated hypothesis: maternal insulin resistance and glucose mediate the association between maternal and neonatal adiposity, the Healthy Start study. *Diabetologia.* 2015; 58:937–941. [PubMed: 25628236]
41. Weiss PA, Scholz HS, Haas J, Tamussino KF, Seissler J, Borkenstein MH. Long-term follow-up of infants of mothers with type 1 diabetes: evidence for hereditary and nonhereditary transmission of diabetes and precursors. *Diabetes Care.* 2000; 23:905–911. [PubMed: 10895839]
42. Clausen TD, Mathiesen ER, Hansen T, et al. High prevalence of type 2 diabetes and pre-diabetes in adult offspring of women with gestational diabetes mellitus or type 1 diabetes: the role of intrauterine hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care.* 2008; 31:340–346. [PubMed: 18000174]
43. Clausen TD, Mathiesen ER, Hansen T, et al. Overweight and the metabolic syndrome in adult offspring of women with diet-treated gestational diabetes mellitus or type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009; 94:2464–2470. [PubMed: 19417040]
44. Golab Patro B, Santos S, Voerman E, et al. Influence of maternal obesity on the association between common pregnancy complications and risk of childhood obesity: an individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health.* 2018; 2:812–821. [PubMed: 30201470]
45. Zhao P, Liu E, Qiao Y, et al. Maternal gestational diabetes and childhood obesity at age 9–11: results of a multinational study. *Diabetologia.* 2016; 59:2339–2348. [PubMed: 27510911]
46. Lowe WL Jr., Scholtens DM, Lowe LP, et al. Association of Gestational Diabetes With Maternal Disorders of Glucose Metabolism and Childhood Adiposity. *JAMA.* 2018; 320:1005–1016. [PubMed: 30208453]
47. Herring SJ, Oken E. Obesity and diabetes in mothers and their children: can we stop the intergenerational cycle? *Curr Diab Rep.* 2011; 11:20–27. [PubMed: 20963519]
48. Vobecky JS, Vobecky J, Froda S. The reliability of the maternal memory in a retrospective assessment of nutritional status. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1988; 41:261–265. [PubMed: 3339379]
49. Rogozinska E, Chamillard M, Hitman GA, Khan KS, Thangaratinam S. Nutritional manipulation for the primary prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of randomised studies. *PLoS one.* 2015; 10:e0115526.

50. Russo LM, Nobles C, Ertel KA, Chasan-Taber L, Whitcomb BW. Physical Activity Interventions in Pregnancy and Risk of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2015; 125:576–582. [PubMed: 25730218]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1. Maternal and offspring characteristics at each visit, stratified by gestational diabetes exposure status

	Visit 1		Visit 2	
	Non-exposed n = 480	Exposed n = 84	Non-exposed n = 312	Exposed n = 63
Maternal characteristics				
Age at delivery (years)	30.1 (5.7)	33.3 (5.5)	30.6 (5.6)	33.7 (5.4)
Pre-pregnant BMI (kg/m ²)	25.4 (5.8)	27.8 (6.3)	25.5 (6.1)	27.4 (5.9)
Education				
Less than high school degree	16 (3%)	4 (5%)	8 (3%)	2 (3%)
High school graduate	91 (19%)	14 (17%)	48 (15%)	10 (16%)
Some college, 2y degree	153 (32%)	30 (36%)	102 (33%)	22 (35%)
Bachelor's degree	157 (33%)	25 (30%)	103 (33%)	20 (32%)
Graduate degree	63 (13%)	11 (13%)	51 (16%)	9 (14%)
Offspring characteristics				
Female (n)	250 (52%)	40 (48%)	163 (52%)	26 (41%)
Non-Hispanic white (n)	213 (44%)	53 (63%)	151 (48%)	40 (63%)
Pre-pubertal (n)	204 (43%)	53 (63%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Age (years)	10.6 (1.4)	9.5 (1.7)	16.8 (1.2)	15.8 (1.0)
Breastmilk months	7.0 (7.4)	6.4 (6.8)	7.7 (7.8)	6.2 (5.5)
Healthy Eating Index	49 (10)	50 (9)	59 (11)	56 (10)
Vigorous physical activity (30-min blocks)	1.6 (1.7)	1.3 (1.8)	1.6 (2.1)	1.9 (2.3)
BMI (kg/m ²)	19.0 (4.6)	19.0 (4.7)	23.6 (5.8)	23.4 (4.9)
Waist to height ratio	0.45 (0.07)	0.47 (0.07)	0.48 (0.08)	0.48 (0.07)
Visceral adipose tissue (cm ²)	22.2 (16.1)	24.4 (18.4)	33.3 (23.0)	32.2 (19.1)
Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm ²)	121.1 (109.5)	128.4 (110.0)	199.0 (153.6)	197.4 (136.4)
Visceral to subcutaneous ratio	0.24 (0.12)	0.24 (0.14)	0.21 (0.12)	0.19 (0.08)
Subscapular to triceps skinfold ratio	0.76 (0.22)	0.81 (0.22)	1.38 (0.99)	1.48 (1.02)

Data are mean (SD) or n (%)

Table 2. Association of gestational diabetes exposure and adiposity outcomes at 6–19 years, stratified by optimal versus suboptimal health behaviors

	Breastmilk months < 6						Breastmilk months = 6								
	Unexposed			Exposed			Unexposed			Exposed					
	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)	p-value	Interaction * p-value	
BMI (kg/m ²)	399	20.6	(19.9, 21.2)	76	21.8	(20.4, 23.3)	391	19.7	(19.0, 20.3)	70	20.6	(19.3, 22.1)	0.08	0.18	0.82
Waist-to-height ratio	400	0.47	(0.45, 0.48)	75	0.49	(0.46, 0.51)	392	0.45	(0.44, 0.46)	71	0.46	(0.44, 0.49)	0.10	0.25	0.71
Visceral adipose tissue (cm ²)	375	23.2	(20.7, 25.9)	71	28.3	(23.0, 34.8)	369	21.1	(18.8, 23.6)	69	23.5	(19.1, 28.9)	0.05	0.28	0.50
Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm ²)	375	121.8	(107.0, 138.6)	71	160.1	(125.4, 204.3)	369	106.7	(93.5, 121.8)	69	132.4	(103.6, 169.1)	0.03	0.08	0.73
Skinfold ratio	396	0.81	(0.77, 0.86)	75	0.95	(0.85, 1.06)	390	0.81	(0.76, 0.86)	70	0.92	(0.83, 1.03)	0.01	0.01	0.68
	Healthy Eating Index < 60														
	Unexposed			Exposed			Unexposed			Exposed			p-value	Interaction * p-value	
	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)			
BMI (kg/m ²)	572	20.2	(19.7, 20.7)	112	21.6	(20.6, 22.7)	218	20.1	(19.4, 20.7)	34	20.8	(19.5, 22.2)	0.006	0.25	0.24
Waist-to-height ratio	574	0.46	(0.45, 0.47)	111	0.49	(0.47, 0.50)	218	0.46	(0.45, 0.47)	35	0.46	(0.44, 0.49)	0.0006	0.73	0.02
Visceral adipose tissue (cm ²)	538	22.3	(20.2, 24.5)	107	27.5	(23.4, 32.4)	206	22.0	(19.5, 24.7)	33	24.2	(19.6, 29.8)	0.004	0.35	0.22
Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm ²)	538	114.2	(102.2, 127.5)	107	155.5	(128.7, 187.9)	206	113.9	(100.0, 129.6)	33	136.3	(108.4, 171.3)	0.001	0.11	0.18
Skinfold ratio	569	0.80	(0.76, 0.84)	111	0.96	(0.89, 1.04)	217	0.82	(0.77, 0.88)	34	0.91	(0.78, 1.05)	0.0001	0.21	0.24
	Vigorous activity 1 hour/day														
	Unexposed			Exposed			Unexposed			Exposed			p-value	Interaction * p-value	
	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)	n	Mean	(95% CI)			
BMI (kg/m ²)	584	20.2	(19.7, 20.8)	106	21.4	(20.3, 22.6)	206	20.0	(19.4, 20.6)	40	21.0	(19.8, 22.3)	0.03	0.12	0.74
Waist-to-height ratio	586	0.46	(0.45, 0.47)	106	0.48	(0.46, 0.50)	206	0.45	(0.44, 0.46)	40	0.47	(0.45, 0.49)	0.08	0.13	0.83
Visceral adipose tissue (cm ²)	547	23.1	(20.9, 25.6)	103	26.7	(22.6, 31.7)	197	21.1	(18.9, 23.7)	37	24.9	(20.2, 30.6)	0.06	0.12	0.87
Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm ²)	547	118.1	(105.3, 132.5)	103	159.1	(130.9, 193.3)	197	110.1	(97.1, 124.8)	37	133.2	(106.1, 167.2)	0.001	0.10	0.30
Skinfold ratio	581	0.84	(0.79, 0.88)	105	0.89	(0.81, 0.98)	205	0.78	(0.73, 0.83)	40	0.98	(0.86, 1.11)	0.17	0.001	0.01

Data are means and standard error from linear mixed models with repeated adiposity measurements, adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, age, pubertal status, age \times pubertal status.

* Interaction p-value refers to the interaction of GDM exposure with each health behavior (for example, breastmilk months category \times GDM exposure).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript