DOI: 10.1111/jfb.14054

REGULAR PAPER

JOURNAL OF **FISH** BIOLOGY

Impacts of acidification on brown trout Salmo trutta populations and the contribution of stocking to population recovery and genetic diversity

Paulo A. Prodöhl^{1*} \bullet | Andrew Ferguson^{1*} \bullet | Caroline R. Bradlev¹ | Robin Ade² | Colin Roberts³ | E. J. Keay⁴ | Artur R. Costa¹ | Rosaleen Hynes¹

¹Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

2 Dalry, Dumfries & Galloway, Scotland, UK 3 Dalmellington, Ayrshire, UK

4 Marine Scotland, Freshwater Laboratory, Faskally, Pitlochry, UK

Correspondence

Paulo A. Prodöhl, Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, N. Ireland, UK. Email: p.prodohl@qub.ac.uk

Funding information

P.A.P. and C.R.B. were supported by the Beaufort Marine Research Award in fish population genetics funded by the Irish Government under the Sea Change Programme. A.F. benefited from a FSBI Wyn Wheeler Research Grant for retired members. A.R.C. was funded by Brazil's Science without Borders programme.

Abstract

Anthropogenic acidification in SW-Scotland, from the early 19th Century onwards, led to the extinction of several loch (lake) brown trout (Salmo trutta) populations and substantial reductions in numbers in many others. Higher altitude populations with no stocking influence, which are isolated above natural and artificial barriers and subjected to the greatest effect of acidification, exhibited the least intrapopulation genetic diversity (34% of the allelic richness of the populations accessible to anadromous S. trutta). These, however, were characterised by the greatest interpopulation divergence (highest pairwise D_{EST} 0.61 and F_{ST} 0.53 in contemporary samples) based on 16 microsatellite loci and are among the most differentiated S. trutta populations in NW-Europe. Five lochs above impassable waterfalls, where S. trutta were thought to be extinct, are documented as having been stocked in the late 1980s or 1990s. All five lochs now support self-sustaining S. trutta populations; three as a direct result of restoration stocking and two adjoining lochs largely arising from a small remnant wild population in one, but with some stocking input. The genetically unique Loch Grannoch S. trutta, which has been shown to have a heritable increased tolerance to acid conditions, was successfully used as a donor stock to restore populations in two acidic lochs. Loch Fleet S. trutta, which were re-established from four separate donor sources in the late 1980s, showed differential contribution from these ancestors and a higher genetic diversity than all 17 natural loch populations examined in the area. Genetically distinct inlet and outlet spawning S. trutta populations were found in this loch. Three genetically distinct sympatric populations of S. trutta were identified in Loch Grannoch, most likely representing recruitment from the three main spawning rivers. A distinct genetic signature of Loch Leven S. trutta, the progenitor of many Scottish farm strains, facilitated detection of stocking with these strains. One artificially created loch was shown to have a population genetically very similar to Loch Leven S. trutta. In spite of recorded historical supplemental stocking with Loch Leven

^{*}These authors contributed equally.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2019} The Authors. Journal of Fish Biology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of The Fisheries Society of the British Isles.

derived farm strains, much of the indigenous S. trutta genetic diversity in the area remains intact, aside from the effects of acidification induced bottlenecks. Overall genetic diversity and extant populations have been increased by allochthonous stocking.

KEYWORDS

acid tolerance, adaptation, introgression, microsatellites, population bottlenecks, sympatric populations

1 | INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, many salmonid populations have become extirpated (Hendry et al., 2003), largely as a result of anthropogenic causes. There is now a particular interest in how best to restore these populations, especially in situations where natural recolonisation cannot occur. Only about a quarter of reintroductions have resulted in self-sustaining populations (Houde et al., 2015). Restoration stocking failures can occur because the original factors that led to the extinction still exist, or due to random demographic fluctuations (Moritz, 1999). The intrinsic potential for local adaptation in salmonids (Fraser et al., 2011; Garcia de Leaniz et al., 2007) makes restoration particularly challenging with attempts potentially failing due to inadequate adaptive matching of introduced fish (Allendorf & Waples, 1996).

Several approaches have been proposed to overcome restoration failure involving "matching or mixing" (Lesica & Allendorf, 1999). These include using a donor population genetically similar to the extinct one; *i.e.*, genetic or ancestry matching (Houde et al., 2015), which assumes that genetically similar fish are likely to be best adapted to the environmental conditions in which the previous population existed. Use of within-catchment local sources probably gives increased fitness from local adaptation and decreased risks from straying (Garcia de Leaniz et al., 2007). Also, local salmonid sources are likely to share greater genetic similarity with the historic population as a result of common ancestry, although postglacial colonisation by multiple lineages (McKeown et al., 2010) means that this is not necessarily the case for brown trout Salmo trutta L. 1758. Another approach involves the selection of a source population from a similar environment; i.e., environmental matching (Houde et al., 2015). Such populations may possess genes that are adaptive for the environment of the extirpated population, which may be especially appropriate when the environment has changed substantially in the intervening period. A further stocking option is to use fish from a population with a high level of genetic variation, hence increasing the potential for local adaptation to evolve. High levels of genetic variation can also be produced by mixing fish from multiple genetically dissimilar populations (Houde et al., 2015; Huff et al., 2011). Mixing can involve genetically distinct populations with common ancestry, or from similar environments, so matching and mixing approaches are not mutually exclusive. Mixing may also be appropriate where a single source population cannot sustain the removal of sufficient fish for reintroduction.

In situations where a wild S. trutta population is present in reduced numbers, supplemental stocking of fertile farm strain has been used frequently in an attempt to boost the angling catch. The efficacy of stocking farm-reared S. trutta, however, is generally considered to be low (Ferguson, 2007; Pinter et al., 2017). In Britain and Ireland, the farm strains used are often derived solely, or partly, from the first S. trutta farms established in Scotland at Solway (1880; $54^{\circ}58'46''N$, 03°39'27"W) and Howietoun (1881; 56°04'20"N, 03°57'10"W), which involved broodstock of Loch Leven (56°12'N, 03°23'W) origin (Armistead, 1895; Maitland, 1887). As stocking with these domesticated strains has been widespread over the past 130 years, the extent to which native gene pools of S. trutta have been lost or modified has been the subject of much debate. There is now strong evidence indicating that such genetic changes can affect the fitness, life-history characteristics and other genetically based aspects of the populations resulting in stocking being counterproductive relative to the aim of increasing S. trutta numbers (Ferguson, 2007). Thus, genetic assessment of S. trutta populations is important in establishing the effectiveness of stocking in different circumstances. It is also required to determine the extent of introgression by hatchery-reared S. trutta and identify pure indigenous populations of high conservation value.

Effective salmonid conservation and management requires an understanding of the roles of natural and anthropogenic influences on population genetic structure (Small et al., 2007). Salmo trutta exhibits complex genetic structuring, with high levels of genetic differentiation often occurring at small geographic scales, both allopatrically and sympatrically (Andersson et al., 2017a, 2017b; Ferguson, 1989; Verspoor et al., 2019). Genetic differences can arise as a result of spawning in different localities and the accurate natal homing typical of salmonids. These spawning groups may diverge genetically over generations as a consequence of genetic drift and natural selection. The varying balances between reproductive isolation produced by homing to natal breeding areas and gene flow among populations caused by successful reproduction of straying individuals (effective straying) results in different levels of genetic population structuring, which may or may not be related to geographic distance among populations (Bond et al., 2014). Compared with other salmonids, relatively little is known of the conditions and timescales required for detectable allopatric and sympatric differentiation to evolve in S. trutta (Jorde et al., 2018). While intra and interpopulation genetic variation is a major component of biodiversity, it has received relatively little attention from

organisations responsible for the management and conservation of non-endangered, but ecologically important, species (Mimura et al., 2016).

Many freshwater lochs (lakes) occur in the upland area (200+ m asl) in south-west Scotland. These range in size from <1 ha to Loch Doon at 820 ha (Figure 1) and angling records indicate that most currently contain S. trutta. The lochs are drained by several river systems (Figure 1). In addition to many natural waterfalls, some of the rivers have hydroelectric dams, constructed mainly in the 1930s. These barriers are partially or completely impassable, resulting in many lochs being reproductively and genetically isolated from upstream movement of S. trutta. Both river-resident and anadromous (sea trout) S. trutta occur in the lower reaches of these rivers, although artificial barriers have reduced the incidence of the anadromous forms, as elsewhere in Europe (Ferguson et al., 2019).

The area consists largely of granitic rocks, often overlain by peat and poorly-drained, acidic soils. This base-poor topography and associated low buffering capacity, together with high rainfall, geographical position and prevailing winds, resulted in the area being the worst affected in Scotland by industrially driven acidification in the latter part of the 20th century (Harriman et al., 1987). Diatom studies of loch substrates indicate that acidification started in the early part of the 19th century (Battarbee et al., 1985), coincident with the early stages of the industrial revolution. The increase in acidity reached its peak in the years after 1950 with the pH falling in several lakes to below 4.5, which is often regarded as the lower tolerance limit for species such as S. trutta (Gjedrem & Rosseland, 2012; Jellyman & Harding, 2014). However, no simple pH threshold can be set, as many other factors are often involved. These include heritable tolerance of acidic conditions (Gjedrem & Rosseland, 2012), level of calcium, which

FIGURE 1 Diagrammatic map (not to scale) of south-west Scotland showing the relative positions of rivers (in italics) and lochs (in roman font) from which Salmo trutta were sampled or are referred to in the text. Additional details are given in Table 1. Natural and artificial barriers that are likely to be passable to upstream migrating S. trutta, at least for certain sizes of fish and under some water flow conditions; -, barriers considered impassable to upstream migrants

722 **PRODÖHL ET AL. PRODÖHL ET AL.**

reduces the toxic effects of low pH and labile aluminium, the toxicity of which is reduced by dissolved organic carbon (McCartney et al., 2003; Serrano et al., 2008). Extensive coniferous afforestation in south-west Scotland from 1950s onwards probably exacerbated the acidification due to interception of acid deposition by the forest canopy, this being particularly important in relation to some spawning streams (Harriman et al., 2003). In the early 1980s, UK and international action to reduce the emissions of sulphur and nitrogen from power stations (Kernan et al., 2010) resulted in a c. 80% reduction in UK SO_2 emissions (Helliwell et al., 2011). Improvements in pH and labile aluminium levels took place in the lochs of south-west Scotland, especially during the second half of the 1980s (Ferrier et al., 2001).

Acidification results in changes to freshwater ecosystems including invertebrate and fish population reductions and extinctions (Flower et al., 1987; Mant et al., 2013). Netting surveys in 1978–79 and 1984–86 (Harriman et al., 1987; Maitland et al., 1987; Turnpenny et al., 1988) failed to detect S. trutta in five lochs (Lochs Enoch, Fleet, Narroch, Neldricken and Valley), all of which were known previously to contain S. trutta (Harper, 1896; Maxwell, 1878, 1922). Although acidification in Loch Enoch started as early as 1840 (Flower et al., 1987), diatom records indicate acidification in Loch Fleet from c. 1960, increasing to an acute level by 1975 (Battarbee et al., 1992). Survival studies in Loch Fleet in 1984 using S. trutta eggs and fry showed that these stages could not survive in the loch water as a result of low pH (mean 4.4), low calcium (1 mg I^{-1}) and high labile aluminium concentration (200 µg I^{-1} ; Turnpenny et al., 1988). In all five lochs, waterfalls, impassable to upstream movement of S. trutta, prevented upstream recolonisation after environmental conditions improved.

In the 1978–79 and 1984 surveys, low numbers of S. trutta were found in many other lochs relative to earlier records. For example, in Loch Grannoch, one of the most acidified lochs, the annual S. trutta catch was c. 1000 fish in 1940 but this declined steadily to <100 fish in the early 1970s, even with greatly increased fishing effort (Harriman et al., 1987). Loch Riecawr, for which angling catch records exist since the early 20th century, showed a tenfold decline in numbers of S. trutta caught per year by anglers from 1925 up to the 1970s, subsequently followed by an increase (Harriman et al., 2001). Catch records (Harriman et al., 2001; McCartney et al., 2003) indicated a rapid natural recovery in S. trutta numbers in the lochs during the 1990s in spite of the fact that many of these, Loch Grannoch for example, still remained chronically acidified (Kernan et al., 2010). Continuous pH recording in early 2017 showed pH values in Loch Grannoch from 4.6 to 4.9 (Galloway Fisheries Trust, 2017).

The diverse landscape ecology, water chemistry and anthropogenic influences, including stocking, of south-west Scotland make it an important and ideal area for studying the effect of these factors on the population genetics of S. trutta, which is a UK Biodiversity Action Plan priority species (JNCC, 2010). The main inter-linked objectives of this study were to determine: (a) the effect of acidification on the contemporary intra and interpopulation genetic diversity and population structure; (b) if restoration stocking has resulted in self-sustaining populations in lochs where S. trutta were considered extinct and the

relative success of different strategies for reintroduction; (c) to what extent has stocking with Loch Leven based farm strains resulted in introgression into natural populations; (d) if sympatric sub-structuring occurs within any of the loch S. trutta stocks and how this has evolved; (e) key populations in south-west Scotland of high conservation or scientific value.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Restoration and supplemental stocking history

With the exception of the fish farms and Loch Leven, the locations referred to below are shown on Figure 1. The land surrounding Loch Fleet, a small (17 ha) oligotrophic upland loch, was limed using calcium carbonate powder in 1986 and 1987 producing an almost immediate improvement in water conditions, with a pH close to 7.0 and elevated calcium and reduced aluminium levels (Turnpenny, 1992). Following successful egg survival trials, restoration stocking of S. trutta was undertaken in May 1987. This involved 300 fish with c. equal numbers of: Little Water of Fleet, the outflowing river below the impassable waterfall (age 1+ years wild S. trutta); Loch Dee, a large loch on the geographically adjacent Ken-Dee catchment (first generation hatchery reared offspring; age 1+, 2+ and 3+ years in the ratio 4:2:1); Solway Fish Farm (age composition similar to Loch Dee stock). The S. trutta were batch marked by fin clipping to allow identification of the three stocks. In July 1988, a second batch of 220 S. trutta, involving the same three stock types and essentially the same age distribution, was introduced. These were marked with individual tags (Turnpenny, 1992). Egg survival experiments were carried out in the years 1988–89 to 1993–94 to check on possible re-acidification. Eggs from S. trutta trapped in the inlet spawning river were used, with the exception of the 1993–94 season when the eggs used were from S. trutta captured in a Loch Grannoch tributary (Turnpenny et al., 1995). This potentially introduced Loch Grannoch stock in addition to the three others above, although <1000 eggs were planted in each of the inlet and the outlet rivers.

Improvements in water chemistry in the late 1980s and early 1990s indicated that conditions might be suitable for S. trutta reintroduction in the other four lochs in which S. trutta appeared to be extinct earlier. In October 1994, 3000 hatchery-reared age 1+ S. trutta produced from Loch Grannoch broodstock were released in Loch Enoch and survived successfully until at least November 1998 (Collen et al., 2000). At the same time, offspring from this source were also stocked into Loch Neldricken and Loch Valley (I. Murray, former Forestry Commission hatchery manager, personal communication). Approximately 1200 hatchery reared age 1+ S. trutta of Loch Enoch parentage were stocked into Loch Narroch in 1999 (E.J.K. unpublished data).

Some stocking with farm strain S. trutta is known to have been carried out in other lochs and rivers in the area. According to Sandison (1983), Loch Mannoch was previously stocked with a Loch Leven strain of S. trutta. Published and anecdotal accounts indicate that farm-strain stocking had been undertaken in Lochs Dee, Harrow and Riecawr (Harriman et al., 1987). The most recent farm-strain S. trutta

PRODÖHL ET AL. ⁷²³ **FISH**

stocking has been only in the rivers, which are the main target for anglers, with River Girvan having been stocked until recently (R.A. unpublished data: information obtained from local angling clubs). Stocking with offspring of Riecawr S. trutta also took place in the River Girvan catchment (G. Shaw, Forestry Commission, personal communication).

2.2 | Sampling

Most specimens (age 1+ year and older) were obtained by angling, which was carried out with appropriate permissions and according to angling regulations. Juveniles were provided by authorised fishery professionals from rivers being electro-fished as part of their ongoing survey activities. Juvenile S. trutta specimens were taken from several geographically separated sites within each river to provide an overall river profile. In the case of Rivers Annan, Girvan and Loch Doon, specimens were taken from below and above natural barriers. Adult S. trutta were sacrificed by cranial blow as per standard angling practice while juveniles were killed with an overdose of MS-222 anaesthetic. An adipose-fin clip or piece of skeletal muscle was taken, either immediately or at the end of the fishing day and stored in 98% molecular-grade ethanol. Lethal sampling was not considered to be a threat to the populations since most lochs and rivers appeared to have high densities of S. trutta, or angling was carried out within normal bag limits.

In total 2420 S. trutta specimens were obtained, mainly in 2010, 2011 and 2012 (denoted as contemporary samples). Frozen S. trutta specimens from historical loch samples (1982–2002) were available at the Marine Scotland, Freshwater Laboratory Pitlochry. Samples were taken from 23 lochs, the main focus of the study and seven rivers in south-west Scotland. In addition, samples were obtained from Loch Leven and from Howietoun farm, as the local Solway farm, previously used in the area for stocking including Loch Fleet, was no longer in operation.

Sample location details and associated three-letter abbreviations, together with sampling year (e.g., GRA_{82}) where temporal samples were available, are given in Table 1 and locations in south-west Scotland are shown on Figure 1. Abbreviations used without year subscript refer to the overall combined sample (e.g., GRA). For the Loch Fleet analyses only, 20 fry specimens were obtained from the only inlet river (Altiwhat River) and seven specimens from the outlet river (Little Water of Fleet) immediately below the loch (Figure 1). Details of angling fishing effort, number of S. trutta caught and background information were recorded for each loch (Supporting Information Table S1). The sector of capture within the loch was recorded for FLE_{12} individuals taken in September. The net position within the loch was available for each individual in the GRA₁₂ sample. Chi-square analysis was used to test for heterogeneity in position of capture for sub-groups.

2.3 | Genetic data

Genomic DNA was extracted from adipose-fin or skeletal-muscle tissue using the Promega DNeasy 96 kit (www.promega.com). Samples were screened for 18 microsatellite marker loci (Ssa85, One102-a, One102-b, CA054565, Ssa416, One103, Cocl-Lav-4, One9uASC, CA048828, CA053293, BG935488, SsaD71, SaSaTAP2A, MHCI, Ssa410UOS, ppStr3, CA060177, Ssa197) resolved in two multiplex reactions. These markers were chosen from the 38 loci characterised and optimised by Keenan et al. (2013a) for S. trutta genetic research. Further information about primers, PCR conditions and genotyping is given in Keenan et al. (2013a). LDH-C1* screening of sub-samples consisting of 20 specimens each from non-stocked lochs was carried out following the methodology of McMeel et al. (2001) and presented as the frequency of the *100 allele. Mitochondrial (mt)DNA screening and interpretation were carried out as detailed in McKeown et al. (2010). MtDNA data were primarily included to assist in FLE ancestry determination although several other loch samples were also included to provide baseline data.

2.4 | Data analyses

Potential full sibling groups were identified by the maximumlikelihood method implemented in the program COLONY 2.0.5.4 (Jones & Wang, 2010) with the following variables applied: female and male polygamy with no inbreeding; dioecious and diploid; medium run; full likelihood; no updating of allele frequencies; no sibship prior; typing error rate of 0.001. Three replicate runs were carried out in each case and the majority result used where these differed. In accordance with Hansen and Jensen (2005), but taking account of Waples and Anderson (2017), for analyses other than sibship effective population (N_e) estimates, sibling groups were reduced to a maximum of three individuals with the least amount of missing microsatellite data or in numerical sequence otherwise.

All sample pairs were tested for significant genic differentiation using Exact G tests as implemented in GENEPOP 4.7.0 (Raymond & Rousset, 1995), using 10,000 dememorisations, 100 batches and 5000 iterations per batch. Temporal and geographical samples not showing significant genic differentiation in Exact G tests were pooled for subsequent analysis except where there were triangle inconsistencies; *i.e.*, sample $A =$ sample B, sample $B =$ sample C, but sample A \neq sample C. All subsequent analyses, with the exception of N_e estimates, were carried out on the combined samples.

Observed (H_O) and expected heterozygosity (H_E) were estimated using diveRsity (Keenan et al., 2013b). Genotypic linkage disequilibria and conformance with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were determined in GENEPOP, using an exact probability test (Markov chain parameters: 10,000 dememorisations, 100 batches, 1000 iterations per batch), with sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice, 1989). Allelic richness (N_{AR}) and private allelic richness (N_{PAR}), the number of alleles or private alleles in a sample were estimated using the rarefaction method in HP-RARE (Kalinowski, 2005). To avoid analytical bias due to a few samples of $n < 30$, analysis was standardised to a common sample size of 60 genes. Samples from natural populations (i.e., excluding ENO, FLE, LEV, MAN and NAR (Table 1)) were divided into those locations known to be fully accessible to anadromous S. trutta (hereafter accessible rivers) and those from areas inaccessible to

Note. n: Number of specimens in sample; N_A: total number of alleles across 16 microsatellite loci; N_{PAR}: private allele richness; N_{AR}: allele richness; %ACC: % of mean allele richness value of fully accessible
popula populations; H_o: observed heterozygosity; H_{E:} expected heterozygosity; na: no data available. [§]definitively impassable; †possibly impassable (condition dependent); ‡likely passable (barrier status in respect of Note. n : Number of specimens in sample; $N_{\rm A}$: total number of alleles across 16 microsatellite loci; N_{pAR}: private allele richness; N_{ati}; allele richness; %ACC: % of mean allele richness value of fully accessibl anadromous S. trutta only: for details of other barriers see Figure 1). anadromous S. trutta only: for details of other barriers see Figure 1).

^aPopulation sample code with subscript numbers indicating year(s) for temporal samples. aPopulation sample code with subscript numbers indicating year(s) for temporal samples.

Position approximate (±1 km). bPosition approximate (±1 km).

'Full sib families, number of families x number of full sibs in family, e.g., 4x2 denotes four families each with two full sibs. Full sibs from the same family were found in both RDU and LDU. cFull sib families, number of families × number of full sibs in family, e.g., 4×2 denotes four families each with two full sibs. Full sibs from the same family were found in both RDU and LDU. ^dFrequency of *100 allele at LDH-C1, includes data from Hamilton et al. (1989). d Frequency of *100 allele at LDH-C1, includes data from Hamilton et al. (1989).

TABLE 1 (Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

726 JOURNAL OF FISH RIOI OGY 560 @

TABLE 2 Bayesian analysis of population structure program (BAPS) identified admixed individuals of Salmo trutta in contemporary samples showing admixture with another S. trutta population. All other samples (other than FLE_{11} and FLE_{12} ; see Table 4) showed no evidence for the presence of admixed individuals. ENO is 100% GRA origin. LEV was included in the analysis to represent the farm strain of S. trutta used in stocking

Note. n: sample size.

^aBAPS inferred cluster (population) from initial mixture analysis (sample abbreviations are given in Table 1).

b% admixture from other sources.

upstream migration as a result of barriers (Table 1 and Figure 1). Note that SHI was excluded from the accessible group as although anadromous S. trutta occur occasionally (R.A. unpublished data) it is above three adjacent barriers, which restrict upstream movement. Samples were also divided into two groups on the basis of the underlying geology of the loch area (Supporting Information Table S1). Statistical significance of difference between groups was assessed using the Mann-Whitney U-test. Spearman's rank correlation was used to determine the degree of correlation between N_{AR} with other physical, chemical and biological data. Both the Mann-Whitney and Spearman's tests were carried out using PAST 3.14 (Hammer et al., 2001).

Differentiation for all sample pairs was measured using Weir & Cockerham's F_{ST} (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) and by Jost's D_{EST} (Jost, 2008), the latter having the advantage of being independent of the level of gene diversity (Jost, 2008), which often leads to an underestimation of the level of genetic differentiation between samples for multi-allelic microsatellite markers. F_{ST} and D_{EST} estimates were calculated using the program diveRsity (Keenan et al., 2013b) and tested for significant deviation from 0 (i.e., no significant genetic differentiation) by randomising multi-locus genotypes between pairs of samples with 1000 bootstrap permutations.

To examine the possible effects of historical stocking on contemporary patterns of population genetic structuring, admixed individuals (identified as described below) were removed from samples of natural populations and corrected N_{AR} , N_{PAR} , H_E , F_{ST} and D_{EST} recalculated; i.e., these corrected genetic diversity measures were based on the identified pure clusters rather than the original geographically defined samples.

Three independent approaches, based on different model assumptions and strategies for computation (Jombart et al., 2010; Neophytou, 2014; Neuwald & Templeton, 2013), were employed to describe S. trutta population genetic structuring. In the first instance, the Bayesian clustering method implemented in the program STRUC-TURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000) was used. STRUCTURE analysis followed the hierarchical approach suggested by Rosenberg et al. (2002), which facilitates the identification of major genetic groupings (shared recent ancestry) within the data, eventually refining these down to the population level. Within this hierarchical framework, all major groups identified within a given STRUCTURE run were used separately, as starting points for subsequent runs. In each case, STRUCTURE runs were replicated 20 times for each K value (number of genetic clusters being tested), which ranged from 1 to 10 using the following variables: length of burn-in period = 100,000; number of MCMC reps after burn-in = 100,000; admixture model, allele frequencies correlated models with and without location priors. The ΔK ad hoc approach (Evanno et al., 2005), as implemented in STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl & vonHoldt, 2012), was used as a guide to identify the most likely number of clusters. Results of replications were then combined into a single population output using the program CLUMPP 1.1.2 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg, 2007) with the Greedy search method with option 2 for random input orders set to 20,000. CLUMPP output files were used to produce STRUCTURE bar plots illustrating membership of individuals to inferred clusters.

The Bayesian analysis of population structure program (BAPS 5.3; Corander et al., 2003, 2008) was used as the second approach to identify clusters of genetically similar individuals and to assign individuals to clusters based on their multi-locus genotypes, using BAPS's "clustering of individuals" option. Unlike STRUCTURE, which relies on an ad hoc statistic to identify the best number of clusters explaining the data, BAPS infers the optimal number of clusters directly (Corander et al., 2004). The program was initially run with all samples for a maximum $K = 40$ to identify the optimal K-value explaining the data. Subsequent BAPS runs were then sequentially carried out for all samples in fixed $K + 1$ steps from $K = 2$ to $K =$ best K value (as identified in the previous step), to recover hierarchical relationships among population samples comparable to the STRUCTURE hierarchical analysis.

The discriminant analysis of principal-components method of Jombart et al. (2010), which is implemented in the function dapc of the R adegenet package (Jombart, 2008), was used as the third independent analytical approach. The identification of the best number of clusters (or populations) explaining the data was done using find.cluster (with the Bayesian information criterion; BIC) and with a maximum number of clusters set to 50. To minimise potential analytical biases in

PRODÖHL ET AL. ⁷²⁷ **FISH**

FIGURE 2 Diagram of hierarchical STRUCTURE analysis of Salmo trutta samples examined. Colours represent distinct genetic clusters but note that the colour scheme is random at each hierarchical level. Numbers represent final putative populations identified by the analysis

the dapc analysis, the number of retained PCA were chosen to optimise the α -score, as recommended in the dapc manual, using the function optim.a.score.

BAPS was used to identify significantly admixed individuals within inferred populations by identifying the original samples or BAPS clusters from which each individual's alleles originate (Corander et al., 2006, 2008) using the output file from the initial mixture clustering. For this admixture analysis, a minimum cluster size of 20 was used in order to remove small groups of outlier individuals. Following guidelines provided in the BAPS manual, runs involved 100 iterations to

FIGURE 3 Bayesian analysis of population structure program (BAPS) admixture analysis of Ken-Dee Salmo trutta samples together with Loch Leven (LEV), which represents the farm strain used for stocking. Colours represent distinct clusters. Note LEV (farm) admixture especially in Rivers Ken (KEN) and Shirmers (SHI), and also in River Deuch (DEU) and Loch Dee & tributaries (DEE). GRA, Loch Grannoch; DUN, Loch Dungeon; HAR, Loch Harrow; INV, Lochinvar; MAN, Loch Mannoch

FIGURE 4 Bayesian analysis of population structure program (BAPS) admixture analysis of Cree Salmo trutta samples together with Loch Grannoch (GRA) as known origin of stocked S. trutta. Colours represent distinct clusters. Note GRA admixture in Loch Valley (VAL) and Loch Neldricken (NEL) and absence of admixture in Loch Round Glenhead (RGL) and Loch Long Glenhead (LGL). n.b. Loch Narroch (NAR) is of GRA ancestry but with two VAL individuals that are probably recent immigrants

estimate individual admixture coefficients, 200 reference individuals for each cluster and 20 iterations to estimate the admixture for the reference individuals (Corander & Marttinen, 2006). The main advantage of BAPS over other algorithms (e.g., STRUCTURE) to identify admixed individuals is that the program also generates a P-value for each individual. This provides a test statistic for simulated q-values, which is the likelihood that a particular individual is indeed admixed (i.e., resulting from recent introgression between local and individuals from other population sources) rather than a true member of the local population. Thus, individuals having P-values ≤0.05 are considered as having significant evidence of admixture (i.e., they carry genes derived from other populations irrespective of their exact q-value). In order to examine, in more detail, the potential effects of historical human mediated gene flow due to stocking, a group comprising the accessible parts of rivers and the four groups of samples representing the main catchments (Rivers Ken-Dee, Cree, Girvan and Doon) were analysed independently. To check for possible supplemental stocking with farm-reared S. trutta, LEV was included in each of these five sample sub-sets. Given the known stocking history summarised in Section 2.1, GRA was included in the Cree and Doon Catchment subsets and RIE in the Girvan Catchment analysis. For each sub-set, K was chosen by trial and error to be greater than the optimal number of clusters. Samples from individual lochs were examined separately using BAPS and STRUCTURE to determine if further population structuring was present beyond that seen in the overall and catchment analyses.

The USEPOPINFO model (Hubisz et al., 2009) in STRUCTURE was used to determine the proportional ancestral contributions to the current FLE stock. The four known potential ancestors, GRA, DEE, WOF and LEV representing the Solway farm strain used (see Section 4.3 for rationale for using LEV), were defined as learning samples and FLE_{11} and FLE_{12} individuals as of unknown origin. STRUC-TURE running parameters were as above for the main STRUCTURE analysis except that K was fixed at 4 (the number of potential ancestors). BAPS was used in a similar way applying the trained clustering approach (Corander et al., 2008).

To examine the genetic relationships among inferred populations (and also as a further check for the hierarchical STRUCTURE/BAPS

TABLE 3 Mitochondrial (mt)DNA frequencies in Salmo trutta samples from Loch Fleet (FLE) and potential progenitor stocks together with Loch Doon (LDO), Loch Neldricken (NEL) and Loch Valley (VAL). See McKeown et al. (2010) for details of haplotypes, except 23.7, which has not been described (R.H., unpubl. data)

		mtDNA haplotype frequency											
Sample ^a	n	1.3	2.6	3.7	3.8	4.7	5.9	6.5	7.6	9.3	14.3	22.8	23.7
FLE ^b	242	$\overline{}$	-	0.087	0.360	0.140	0.124	0.145	0.087	-	0.004	0.008	0.045
FLE1	94	$\overline{}$	-	0.096	0.301	0.204	0.011	0.247	0.140	$\overline{}$	Ξ.	-	$\overline{}$
FLE ₂	120	$\overline{}$	-	0.075	0.433	0.083	0.200	0.067	0.033	-	0.008	0.016	0.083
FLE1A	73	$\overline{}$	-	0.110	0.370	0.110	$\overline{}$	0.274	0.137	$\overline{}$	-	-	$\overline{}$
FLE 1B	15		-	0.067	0.067	0.400	0.067	0.200	0.200	$\overline{}$	-		
FLE1C	6	$\overline{}$			-	1.000	$\overline{}$						
DEE	53		-	0.377	0.340	$\overline{}$	0.019	0.226	0.038	-			
GRA ₁₀₋₁₂	42	0.095	$\overline{}$	Ξ.	0.262	0.643	$\overline{}$						
WOF	45	0.333	$\overline{}$	0.245	0.200		-	0.200	$\overline{}$	-	0.022	$\overline{}$	
LEV + HOW (farm) c	64	0.016	0.063	0.047	0.047			$\overline{}$	0.811	0.016	$\overline{}$		
LDO	35	-		$\overline{}$	0.940	0.060	-						
NEL_{11}	17	۰		-	1.000								
VAL	19				1.000								

Note. n: number of specimens examined.

^aSee Table 1 for sample location code.

^bIncludes specimens from inlet and outlet rivers.

^cIncludes additional data from McKeown et al. (2010).

PRODÖHL ET AL. **1999**
FISH RIOL \bigcap GSY $\Big|\Big|$ fsbi \bigoplus **729**

TABLE 4 Percentage of groups of Salmo trutta in Loch Fleet in 2011 (FLE₁₁) and 2012 (FLE₁₂) samples together with the overall percentage ancestry derived from each of the four known progenitor stocks. Mean values of 20 estimates from USEPOPINFO model in STRUCTURE

Note. FLE ALL, Total of all samples; FLE1, the outlet group, and its sub-groups; FLE2, the inlet group.

^aLEV represented the Solway farm strain used for stocking (as discussed in the text).

analyses), neighbour-joining (NJ) trees based on Nei's D_A (Nei et al., 1983) were constructed using POPTREE2 (Takezaki et al., 2010). One tree was constructed using all of the original samples while a second tree was constructed using contemporary south-west Scotland samples from natural populations only, with BAPS determined admixed individuals removed. Confidence for the tree nodes was assessed by bootstrapping (10,000).

Effective population size (N_e) was estimated using: (a) the biascorrected version of the linkage disequilibrium (LD) method (Waples & Do, 2008); (b) by the sibship frequency (SF) method (Wang, 2009); (c) where data were available, by the temporal method of Jorde and Ryman (2007). The NeEstimator 2.01 software (Do et al., 2014) was used for both LD and temporal methods. Allele frequency criteria of ≤0.05, 0.02 and 0.01 were used. Jackknifing over loci was used to obtain 95% confidence intervals for the estimates. For the temporal method, a generation time of 3 years was used based on observations of maturity in the samples obtained (authors' unpublished data). The SF method was carried out using Colony 2.0.5.4 (Jones & Wang, 2010). Correlation between N_e values obtained using the LD and SF methods was tested using Spearman's correlation coefficient as above. It should be emphasised that the primary aim of the N_e analyses was not to accurately determine N_e but rather to identify populations where values are or were low, such that increased genetic drift would be expected.

3 | RESULTS

While full sibs were observed in 69% of the samples examined, the actual number of full sib families in each case was small, with 73% of these consisting of two sibs only (Table 1). Comparisons of contemporary temporal samples from the same locality taken in 2010, 2011 or 2012 (Table 1), showed only FLE_{11} and FLE_{12} to have significant differentiation in allelic distribution (G-test P < 0.01). For the historical samples, GRA_{94} and GRA_{02} did not differ significantly. However, GRA_{82} and GRA_{10-12} were significantly different from both of these samples. $NAR₀₀₋₀₂$ and $NEL₀₁$ were significantly different from their contemporary equivalents. All geographical sample pairs were significantly different with the exception of NEL_{11} and VAL and those involving samples from RDU, LDU and DEE (including two inflowing tributaries). The latter were pooled as a single DEE population sample for all but N_e analyses. However, NEL and VAL were not pooled, due to the significant difference between NEL_{01} and NEL_{11} .

Significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg proportions, in more than two samples for an individual locus, were found for MHC1 (14 samples) and CA053293 (10 samples). MHC1 and CA053293 were thus removed and all other analyses conducted using the 16 remaining loci. Even after Bonferroni correction, most samples were found to display one to four loci deviating from HWE. The exceptions were NEL_{11} and DRY in which nine and eight loci deviated from HWE (Supporting Information Table S2). Significant genotypic linkage disequilibrium (LD) was found at 72 locus pairs in individual samples after Bonferroni correction. Samples with more than two pairs of LD were: DRY (seven pairs); $ENO₉₆$ (five pairs); GIR (six pairs); $NAR₀₀₋₀₂$ (22 pairs); $NEL₀₁$ (five pairs).

The number of alleles observed per locus ranged from two (One102-a) to 42 (CA048828) with a mean of 16. The total number of alleles (N_A) observed at the 16 loci varied from 26 (NEL_{01}) to 164 (WOF), with a mean of 102 alleles (Table 1). In the contemporary samples, allelic richness (N_{AR}) ranged from 2.98 (LGL) to 8.98 (GIR), with a mean of 5.8, while the NEL_{01} sample had a value of 1.63. Calculating genetic diversity based on samples from natural populations with admixed individuals (Table 2) removed, made little or no difference to most of the values. LGL showed 35% of the N_{AR} of accessible river population samples. Other contemporary samples from natural populations with N_{AR} values ≤50% of the latter were DRY, EYE, NEL_{11} , TWA and VAL. FLE₁₁ and FLE₁₂ showed 82% N_{AR} with respect to accessible population samples, while $ENO₁₁₋₁₂$ & $NAR₁₂$ showed 52% and 46% respectively with respect to these and 82% and 73% with respect to GRA_{94-02} , the sample temporally closest to when broodstock were taken to produce offspring for stocking. Contemporary samples from natural populations above impassable barriers showed significantly (Mann-Whitney U, $P < 0.001$) lower N_{AR} (mean 5.3) in comparison to populations in accessible rivers (mean 8.6). No significant difference was found between the two groups of natural loch populations based on granite or sedimentary geology (Supporting Information Table S2). For the natural loch samples, N_{AR} was negatively correlated with altitude (Spearman's $\rho = -0.66$, P < 0.01) and positively correlated with loch area (Spearman's ρ = 0.73, P < 0.01)

TABLE 5 Effective population size (N_e) estimates of Salmo trutta based on loch samples only and without pooling of temporal samples

Note. GRA1, 2, 3, and FLE 1 and 2 refer to the separate populations identified in those lochs in the GRA₁₀₋₁₂, the FLE₁₁ and the FLE₁₂ samples respectively.

LD, N_e based on linkage disequilibrium method with minimum frequency 0.01 as recommended by Waples and Do (2008) for sample sizes of this magnitude; Sib,N_e based on the sibship method (Wang, 2009), assuming non-random mating; Temporal, N_e based on temporal method of Jorde and Ryman (2007), with a minimum allele frequency of 0.01, where the estimate is based on that sample and the preceding temporal one.

PRODÖHL ET AL. **1990**
FISH BIOLOGY - 550 (\oplus ⁷³¹

and total catchment area (Spearman's ρ = 0.74, P < 0.01). No significant correlation was found between N_{AR} and the minimum-recorded pH for a loch or with the 2010–12 angling catch (Supporting Information Table S1). For all samples, N_{AR} was positively correlated with H_E (Spearman's ρ = 0.81, P < 0.001).

The lowest D_{EST} value overall (Supporting Information Table S3) was NEL₁₁ vs. VAL (0.003), which was not significant (95% C.I. -0.003 to 0.012). Values between the various river population samples accessible to anadromous S. trutta were also within the lower end of the scale and ranged from 0.024 (95% C.I. 0.01–0.043) for PAL vs. WOF to 0.063 (95% C.I. 0.028–0.104) for ANN vs. GIR. The value (0.021) between the two most distant (c. 400 km sea distance) river samples, ANN vs. RDO, was not significantly different from zero (95% C.I. – 0.005 to 0.055). Conversely, samples GRA and DEE, from lochs separated by a river distance of c.15 km without any barrier preventing unidirectional GRA to DEE gene flow, showed a D_{EST} value of 0.137 (95% C.I. 0.115–0.162). The highest values in multiple comparisons of contemporary natural populations were those involving COR, EYE, GRA, LGL and RGL, with the highest being comparisons related to LGL (e.g., LGL vs. EYE 0.608; LGL vs. COR 0.575; LGL vs. GRA 0.536). Pairwise D_{EST} values involving GRA, ENO and NAR, including temporal samples, were either very low or not significant (Supporting Information Table S3). LEV, HOW and MAN also showed low values (LEV vs. HOW 0.033; LEV vs. MAN 0.047; HOW vs. MAN 0.05). D_{EST} involving LEV and the accessible rivers (ANN, GIR, PAL, RDO and WOF) ranged from 0.059 (95% C.I 0.034–0.087) for GIR to 0.109 (95% C.I. 0.075-0.156) for ANN. As expected, F_{ST} values were of lower magnitude but were highly correlated with D_{EST} (Spearman's ρ = 0.92, P < 0.001). Some estimates found to be statistically significant for D_{EST} were not significant for F_{ST} (e.g., NAR₀₀₋₀₂ vs. NAR₁₂ and RDO vs. GIR) although heterogeneity G-tests for allelic frequency differences concurred with D_{EST} -tests (data not shown).

The three independent methods used to examine S. trutta population structuring yielded similar results. Only the results of the STRUC-TURE hierarchical analyses are shown (Figure 2). At the most basic level of the STRUCTURE hierarchical analysis, 36 clusters (or inferred populations) were identified (Figure 2 and Supporting Information Figure S1, which gives a diagrammatically simpler representation). This is similar to both BAPS and dapc analyses (33 and 29 clusters). All main clusters were consistent among the three methods with differences relating only to the degree of splitting of genetically similar samples. However, BAPS showed further splitting in some clusters when individual catchments were examined separately for the Admixture analysis (see below).

The first hierarchical level of STRUCTURE clustering indicated two main groups: group 1, GRA, ENO, NAR and VAL; group 2, all other samples. Using a location prior for the analysis, VAL (mean $q = 0.51$) was placed in group 2. Without a location prior, VAL fell into group 1 (mean $q = 0.52$). BAPS analysis assigns both VAL and NEL to the same group even without spatial information. Also, VAL and NEL_{11} were not significantly different in allelic frequencies and D_{FST} value (see above). No other STRUCTURE differences were seen with and without location prior information. At the next level, group 2 subdivided into two groups. The first, which comprised samples from the River Cree system and the upper River Ken-Dee, split into two further clusters representing these two river systems; (DEU, KEN, DUN, HAR) and (NEL, RGL LGL). The second larger group then splits hierarchically into clusters and individual population samples, with the exception of LDO and RIE. Notably DEE and FLE form a single cluster at this level. INV, SHI, MAN, WOF, PAL, GIR, BRE, RDO ANN, LEV and HOW initially form a single group, with INV plus SHI and BRE then splitting off. The remainder split into the accessible rivers (WOF, PAL, GIR, RDO, ANN) and LEV related samples (LEV–MAN–HOW). GRA split into three groups and FLE into two. The unrooted Nei's genetic D_A NJ tree (Supporting Information Figure S2), based on all samples, largely confirms the groupings and sample hierarchy of both the STRUCTURE and BAPS analyses. The equivalent NJ tree (Supporting Information Figure S3), based on contemporary samples only from natural populations with admixed individuals removed (see below), had similar groupings and with slightly increased bootstrap support in most cases.

BAPS admixture analysis of contemporary samples excluding ENO, NAR and FLE (Table 2) identified admixture in 17 inferred populations. LEV, representing farm strain S. trutta, was identified as the likely source for 46% of this admixture (Figure 3). LEV admixed individuals were found mainly in the rivers; e.g., GIR (36.4%) but with low frequencies in DEE (0.9%), LDO (1.3%) and RIE (5%). GRA admixture involved VAL (33.3%) and NEL_{11} (13.2%; Figure 4). In the remaining cases, where other admixed individuals were noted, these involved putative sources from the same catchment. The LDH- $C1*100$ allele frequency ranged from 0 to 0.9 (Table 1). $GRA₁₀₋₁₂$ had a LDH-C1 $*$ 100 allele frequency of 0.34 while in NEL₁₁ and VAL these were 0.9 and 0.82 respectively (Table 1). Assuming a native frequency of 1.0 in NEL and VAL, based on allele frequency proportionality (Taggart & Ferguson, 1986) the maximum overall genetic contribution of GRA would be 27% to VAL and 15% to NEL_{11} , similar to the BAPS admixture results. The mtDNA haplotype 4.7 was present in $GRA₁₀₋₁₂$ at a frequency of 0.643 and haplotype 3.8 at a frequency of 0.262 (Table 3). However, both NEL_{11} and VAL were fixed for haplotype 3.8, indicating, at most, a limited maternal contribution from GRA.

In independent analyses of samples from individual lochs only FLE and GRA indicated further sub-structuring. BAPS analyses of FLE_{11} and FLE₁₂ samples analysed separately indicated an optimal $K = 4$. With a fixed K of 2, as seen in the overall STRUCTURE analysis (Figure 2), three of these groups formed a single group with the remaining group being unchanged. Thus, there are two main groups, with group 1 (FLE1) splitting into three sub-groups (FLE1A-C). STRUCTURE analysis of the same data (results not shown) confirms the BAPS results with almost all individuals being similarly assigned. The D_{EST} of 0.046 (95% C.I. 0.033-0.06) and F_{ST} of 0.028 (95% C.I. 0.038–0.054) estimates between the two main groups were significant. The analyses of FLE_{11} and FLE_{12} as a single sample resulted in similar results for assignment of individuals in the FLE_{11} specimens, but with more differences for the FLE_{12} specimens. This analysis, however, allowed the determination of homologous groups in the two samples. Percentages of individuals belonging to different groups in 732 **PRODÖHL ET AL. PRODÖHL ET AL.**

the FLE₁₁ and FLE₁₂ samples are shown in Table 4. Assignment of fry and parr from the inlet and outlet rivers (i.e., by including them with the FLE₁₁ and FLE₁₂ loch samples) resulted in all seven juveniles from the outlet river being assigned to FLE1 (outlet group). For the inlet river specimens, all but three were assigned to FLE2 (inlet group) when included with the FLE_{11} sample and all were assigned to $FLE2$ when analysed in conjunction with the FLE_{12} sample. Of the FLE_{12} group 1 September sub-sample of S. trutta, 28 (90%) were captured in the southern (outlet) sector of the loch while three (10%) were obtained in the northern (inlet) sector of the loch. Conversely for FLE₁₂ group 2 fish, eight (29%) were captured in the southern sector and 20 (71%) in the northern sector. Thus, there is a significant departure from random distribution for both groups $\left(\chi^2 \right)$ P < 0.001 and <0.02 respectively).

The USEPOPINFO model in STRUCTURE indicated that the highest proportion of genes in both FLE1 (58.9%) and FLE2 (50.6%) was from DEE (Table 4). GRA contributed a higher proportion of genes to FLE1 (27.4%) than to FLE2 (10.3%) with the opposite being the case for WOF and LEV (6.1% / 7.6% and 17.1% / 22% to FLE1 and FLE2 respectively). Groups FLE1B (54.9%) and FLE1C (44.6%) showed the highest GRA ancestry, exceeding DEE in both cases. Most individuals were found to be admixed with only four individuals with DEE origin having q values >0.8. Use of trained clustering in BAPS resulted in most FLE1 individuals assigning to DEE with the exception of two individuals from FLE1A that were assigned to WOF and two FLE1B and two FLE1C, which were assigned to GRA. Similar analysis of FLE2 showed all individuals being assigning to DEE. Confirmation that the highest contribution to FLE came from DEE is provided by the D_{EST} estimates of FLE with potential ancestors, which were lowest for FLE vs. DEE (mean 0.055) for both FLE1 and FLE2 (Supporting Information Table S3) and also by both the STRUCTURE placement (Figure 2) and NJ tree (Supporting Information Figure S2).

The mtDNA haplotype 4.7, present in $GRA₁₀₋₁₂$ at a frequency of 0.643, is the only haplotype that was private to any of the four putative FLE ancestors (Table 3). The frequency of haplotype 4.7 would suggest a GRA contribution of 32% to FLE1 and 13% to FLE2, of similar magnitude to that found for the microsatellite-based analysis. The estimated contributions of GRA to FLE1A, FLE1B and FLE1C (17%, 62%, 100%) were of similar magnitude to the nuclear estimates with the exception of FLE1C, which may be biased by low sample size. Haplotype 7.6 is present at a frequency of 0.811 in LEV and otherwise only occurs in the putative ancestors at 0.038 in DEE. Its low frequency overall (0.087) and especially in FLE2 (0.033) would suggest a maximum maternal contribution (as DEE could also have contributed this haplotype) of c. 11% overall and 4% to FLE2, compared to 18% and 22% respectively based on nuclear markers.

STRUCTURE and BAPS analysis of GRA₁₀₋₁₂ specimens revealed three groups. D_{EST} & F_{ST} values (Supporting Information Table S3) between all pairs of these three groups (GRA1-2, 1-3, 2-3) were significant: D_{EST} 0.033 (95% C.I. 0.01-0.056); 0.047 (95% C.I. 0.019-0.053) and 0.023 (95% C.I. 0.014-0.033); F_{ST} 0.027 (95% C.I. 0.013–0.045); 0.031 (95% C.I. 0.02–0.045) and 0.005 (95% C.I. 0.001–0.011). Overall, these three groups formed 28%, 37% and

35% of the sample. There was significant heterogeneity in the distribution of the three groups within the loch with GRA_{12} group 1 being present at a greater frequency than either GRA_{12} 2 or GRA_{12} 3 in the northern half of the loch χ^2 P < 0.02). The latter two groups were not significantly different in their distribution within the loch.

Effective population size (N_e) estimates for the linkage disequilibrium and temporal methods are only given for a minimum allele frequency of 0.01 (Table 5), as this showed the lowest number of ∞ estimates and is also appropriate for sample sizes of the magnitude used (Waples & Do, 2010). Over all groups there was significant correlation (Spearman's ρ = 0.84, P = < 0.001 between estimates derived from the two single sample methods (estimates of ∞ excluded). In many cases where sibship estimates are <50, the LD method also indicated a similarly low N_e . With the two methods, N_e estimates for GRA_{82} were 13 and 19 respectively, the lowest estimates for any of the natural populations. The combined sibship and LD harmonic means over two consecutive samples for GRA_{82} , GRA_{94} , GRA_{02} and GRA10-12 mirror the temporal estimates involving those years (21, 47 and 102 versus 29, 33 and 95 respectively). FLE₁₁ and FLE₁₂ combined showed a harmonic mean N_e estimate with the LD and sibship methods of 101, with the individual years being 146 and 78. FLE1 and FLE2 for FLE₁₁ and FLE₁₂ combined showed harmonic mean estimates respectively of 19 and 120 with the individual years for FLE1 being 75 and 11 and for FLE2 being 168 and 93. N_e estimates based on samples from DRY, ENO₉₆, EYE, HAR, HOW, LGL, NAR₀₀₋₀₂, NAR₁₂, NEL₁₁ and VAL were low (≤68) with both LD and sibship methods.

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 Fffect of acidification on genetic diversity and population structure

Netting surveys and environmental information suggested that five loch S. trutta populations (Lochs Neldricken, Valley, Enoch, Narroch and Fleet) had become extinct as a result of acidification (Harriman et al., 1987; Maitland et al., 1987; Turnpenny et al., 1988). However, the current study shows that a small remnant population of S. trutta survived in Loch Neldricken, which expanded when conditions improved and moved downstream to colonise Loch Valley (for details see Section 4.2). However, at least three S. trutta populations, which were likely to have been genetically unique, have been lost forever due to acidification. Other populations clearly experienced considerable reductions in numbers. In spite of the many caveats in N_e estimation, especially in subdivided populations (Ackerman et al., 2016; Ryman et al., 2019; Serbezov et al., 2012; Wang, 2016; Waples et al., 2014), estimates from different methods are consistent and indicate, for example, an overall S. trutta N_e of <30 in Loch Grannoch in the 1980s and early 1990s. Based on the range of $N_{\rm e}$ to census population size (N_c) ratios of 0.06-0.26, determined for an upland Swedish lake (Charlier et al., 2011), the overall number of adult S. trutta in Loch Grannoch (115 ha) may have been of the order of 50 to 300 fish in 1982.

As well as this N_e based estimate of a substantially reduced population in Grannoch, angling records also indicate substantially reduced numbers. Thus, the annual Grannoch S. trutta catch was approximately 1000 fish in 1940 but this declined steadily to <100 in the early 1970s, even with greatly increased fishing effort (Harriman et al., 1987). In the lochs where clearly remnant populations survived, netting of Loch Neldricken and Round Glenhead in 1978–79 (Harriman et al., 1987) failed to catch any S. trutta, with only one individual being caught in Long Glenhead. Similar netting efforts in other lochs resulted in up to 99 S. trutta (Supporting Information Table S1). If the N_{AR} mean value in the fully accessible S. trutta populations is taken as representing the genetic diversity at the time of colonisation, then above-barrier populations have lost from 20% to 66% of that diversity. Although the cumulative effect of earlier colonisation and postcolonisation bottlenecks cannot be discounted, it is likely that this was primarily due to the acidification-induced bottlenecks in the 1970s. Thus, the samples with the lowest N_{AR} (Loch Neldricken (2001) and Long Glenhead) are from the lochs where catches were absent or very low in 1978–79.

The negative correlation of N_{AR} with altitude in the natural loch populations probably reflects that the higher altitude populations are all above impassable waterfalls, which prevent upstream gene flow restoring lost gene diversity. In addition, they are in the upper regions of their catchments with no higher populations from which downstream gene flow could occur. Previous studies on salmonids have also shown a decrease in genetic diversity from downstream to upstream (Torterotot et al., 2014).

Loch populations with the lowest N_{AR} values had the highest D_{EST} and F_{ST} values in comparisons with other populations. Thus, increased genetic drift in bottlenecked populations, which resulted in a loss of gene diversity, also resulted in greater differentiation between populations. In a compilation of 1112 pairwise population estimates of F_{ST} in Northern European S. trutta populations, Vøllestad (2018) found a mean F_{ST} of 0.078 with only six pairs exceeding the value of 0.526 found here for the Long Glenhead vs. Loch Eye populations. These higher values all involved comparisons of a population isolated above a waterfall in the River Ammerån (Sweden) with populations in other parts of that catchment (Carlsson & Nilsson, 2001). Thus, the non-accessible south-west Scotland populations are among the most genetically differentiated S. trutta populations in northern Europe, at least as inferred from microsatellites.

In spite of the noticeable effects of genetic drift, many S. trutta populations group with others in the same catchment even where gene flow has not been possible for many thousands of years. That is, the native population structure, reflecting independent colonisation of each catchment, has been largely retained. For example, both Long Glenhead and Round Glenhead populations cluster together and with the adjacent Loch Neldricken and Loch Valley native group despite the two being isolated from each other by several natural waterfalls that prevent gene flow in both directions, presumably since the early post-glacial period, c. 13,000 years ago (Gordon & Sutherland, 1993). The isolated upper Ken-Dee Catchment populations (Rivers Deuch and Ken, Lochs Dungeon and Harrow), although grouping together,

PRODÖHL ET AL. **1998** FIGH BIOLOGY $\frac{1}{2}$ fsbi θ **F** $\frac{1}{2}$ **FIGH** BIOLOGY $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

cluster with the River Cree ones and not with the lower Ken-Dee populations. This probably indicates that the upstream areas of these two river systems, the estuaries of which are only 15 km apart, were colonised in the early postglacial period by the same lineage, with the creation of waterfalls by isostatic uplift and erosion preventing further colonisation. Loch Grannoch S. trutta are genetically distinct, both in terms of microsatellites and particularly mtDNA, from the other populations in the lower Ken-Dee system, even from the population in the Loch Dee complex some 5 km distant and with Loch Grannoch to Loch Dee gene flow being possible but not vice versa since the 1930s. This could reflect the strong genetic drift that probably occurred in the Loch Grannoch stock as the result of low N_e . However, local anecdotal information suggests that Loch Grannoch was originally part of the River Fleet catchment, but it has not been possible to verify this. In addition, the distinctive high frequency mtDNA lineage in Loch Grannoch S. trutta would suggest a separate lineage (McKeown et al., 2010).

The lower River Ken-Dee system populations group with those of the Doon Catchment and with the accessible rivers, which form a tight cluster. This is probably the result of Loch Dee being accessible to anadromous S. trutta prior to the construction of hydroelectric dams in the 1930s. Both Loch Dee and Loch Doon populations show high N_e estimates, which could have prevented significant genetic drift since isolation. The lowest levels of interpopulation differentiation and highest levels of gene diversity, were found in the samples from the accessible rivers, all of which have an anadromous component. This probably reflects the higher gene flow that typically occurs among anadromous S. trutta populations (Prodöhl et al., 2017; Vøllestad, 2018). Östergren and Nilsson (2012) also found that N_{AR} was the best predictor of freshwater vs. anadromous life history in S. trutta.

4.2 | Restoration stocking and the re-establishment of S. trutta populations

All of the south-west Scotland lochs sampled during this study were found to have self-sustaining S. trutta populations as stocking of these lochs has not taken place since1999, at the latest. In addition, there are clear indications from angling records of substantial increases in numbers after the period of chronic acidification (Supporting Information Figures S4 and S5). Contemporary self-sustaining populations include those of Lochs Neldricken, Valley, Enoch, Narroch and Fleet where S. trutta were not caught during netting surveys in the 1970s and 1980s (Harriman et al., 1987; Maitland et al., 1987; Turnpenny et al., 1988). The results indicate that Lochs Neldricken and Valley populations are predominantly the result of natural recovery, with some influence from Loch Grannoch stocking, more so in Loch Valley than in Loch Neldricken. As natural upstream colonisation of Loch Neldricken and Loch Valley could not have occurred due to impassable waterfalls, S. trutta must have survived in one or both of these lochs.

The similarity of Lochs Neldricken and Valley S. trutta individuals not showing Loch Grannoch admixture to the adjacent Long Glenhead 734 **PRODÖHL ET AL.** JOURNAL OF **FISH** BIOL OGY **FOR AL. FOR ALL CONTROLL ET AL.**

and Round Glenhead populations, which are in an adjacent tributary of the same catchment now isolated in both directions by waterfalls, confirms native origin. Although stocking with juvenile age 0+ year S. trutta of Loch Grannoch parentage (as used for stocking Loch Enoch at the same time) was carried out in Lochs Neldricken and Valley, angling in Loch Valley in 1996 resulted in several individual S. trutta from 500 to 900 g (C.R., unpublished angling records). Since the largest age 2+ years S. trutta captured in Loch Enoch in 1996 was only 207 g (Collen et al., 2000), these Loch Valley S. trutta must have been older and thus natural fish.

The high genetic similarity of Lochs Neldricken and Valley S. trutta in terms of microsatellite, mtDNA and LDH-C1* frequencies and microsatellite N_{AR} suggests that both lochs share a recent common ancestor. As S. trutta movement from Loch Valley to Loch Neldricken is not possible due to waterfalls in the short (400 m) connecting river, this ancestor must have been in Loch Neldricken. This is supported by the fact that S. trutta were first caught (1996) in the semi-enclosed bay of Loch Valley into which the river from Loch Neldricken flows and only later (1999 on) in the main part of Loch Valley (C.R., unpublished angling records). It would appear then that a small population survived in Loch Neldricken, or its afferent rivers and when environmental conditions improved this population expanded rapidly and colonised Valley. The limited influence of GRA S. trutta in Lochs Neldricken and Valley contemporary populations is likely due to the number of Grannoch S. trutta stocked being small relative to the recovered natural populations. Several salmonid studies have shown that wild prior residents have a competitive advantage over new arrivals especially when the latter are relatively few in number and were hatchery reared (Arismendi et al., 2014; Metcalfe et al., 2003).

Loch Enoch S. trutta were shown to be of Loch Grannoch ancestry, thus confirming that S. trutta were indeed extinct at the time of the netting surveys in the 1970s and 1980s. There is no evidence of Doon system native fish, the impassable waterfall some 350 m downstream from the loch (Collen et al., 2000) having prevented any natural colonisation. The Loch Narroch 2000–2002 sample, which represents the hatchery reared F_1 offspring stocked in 1999 and probably some F_2 offspring, was also completely of Loch Grannoch ancestry. The presence of two S. trutta in the Loch Narroch 2012 sample, which were identified as admixed individuals from Loch Valley, may mean that the waterfall between Lochs Narroch and Valley is not totally impassable to upstream migrating fish. Alternatively, as the two lochs are only c. 150 m apart, a few S. trutta could have been transferred passively by anglers, an occasional practice in south-west Scotland hill lochs, especially where it is thought that there are no fish in a loch (B. Wilson, local angler, personal communication). Sib and N_e estimates indicated that relatively few parents were used to produce the juveniles for stocking Loch Enoch, with the current population showing reduced genetic diversity compared with its Loch Grannoch S. trutta ancestor. Similarly, the Loch Narroch S. trutta population showed reduced genetic diversity relative to the Loch Enoch population. Guidelines for setting up hatchery stocks suggest the use of a minimum of 50 males and 50 females to adequately represent the genetic diversity of the original stock (Frankham et al., 2014).

The successful re-establishment of Loch Enoch S. trutta, after an absence of at least 70 years, was in spite of it having borderline water chemistry conditions (i.e., mean pH 4.8) (Collen et al., 2000). This successful re-establishment is therefore likely to be due to a genetically increased tolerance of Loch Grannoch S. trutta to acid conditions. Loch Grannoch translocated S. trutta fry, together with introduced eggs and subsequent alevins, survived much better than the equivalents from Loch Dee (mean pH 5.2 in 1981; Burns et al., 1984) in common-garden experiments undertaken in the Loch Enoch outflowing river in 1991 and 1993 (Collen et al., 2000). Loch Dee S. trutta have previously been shown to have increased tolerance of low pH compared with S. trutta from other waters and a farm strain from higher pH conditions (Battram, 1990; McWilliams, 1982). Acid tolerance is a quantitative trait with large genetic variation among natural populations and with a higher heritability than usually found for fitness traits in fishes (Gjedrem & Rosseland, 2012). Salmo trutta survived in Loch Grannoch in spite of a minimum pH of 4.2 and aluminium >300 μg I^{-1} being recorded (Harriman et al., 1987), albeit numbers being much reduced as discussed in Section 4.1.

By 1989, S. trutta were well-established in Loch Fleet and age 0+ and 1+ years fish were found in the inlet and in the outlet downstream of the loch for the entire 7 km above the waterfall, although density was very low after 1 km (Howells et al., 1992). By 1993, the loch S. trutta density was some five times that of the stocking density (Turnpenny et al., 1995). Salmo trutta from all three deliberately stocked ancestors (Loch Dee, Water of Fleet and Solway farm as represented by Loch Leven), together with Loch Grannoch, have contributed to this successful restoration. Loch Dee was clearly the largest overall contributor to the current Loch Fleet stock even though this loch is in a different catchment. Similar to Loch Fleet, Loch Dee has a river–lake migratory stock with spawning occurring in both the inlet rivers and particularly in a major tributary (Saugh Burn; Figure 1) that flows into the outlet river (I. Murray, personal communication).

As noted above, Loch Dee S. trutta have also been shown to have increased tolerance for low pH conditions. In keeping with their lower tolerance of acid conditions, even though a similar number and age range of Solway farm and Loch Dee S. trutta were stocked and the majority of the inlet spawning run as captured in the trap in 1987 comprised farm S. trutta (Turnpenny, 1992), Solway's overall contribution was low. MtDNA analysis would suggest that female contribution was lower than male contribution for Solway, as has also been reported for farm Atlantic salmon Salmo salar L. 1758 breeding in the wild (Fleming et al., 1996). Farm strain S. trutta generally show poor survival and reproduction in the wild in both rivers and lakes (Ferguson, 2007; Pinter et al., 2017). In 1988, S. trutta of Loch Dee origin were found to be the predominant spawners (Turnpenny, 1992). The contribution of Water of Fleet was also low even though these were from the same river some 10 km downstream below the impassable waterfall and were translocated wild S. trutta rather than hatchery reared offspring.

Garcia de Leaniz et al. (2007) have argued that, as a result of local adaptation, salmonids from within the same catchment would be genetically similar and thus more likely to be successful for population **PRODÖHL** ET AL. **2008 PRODÖHL ET AL.** 2008 PRODÖHL ET AL. 200

re-establishment. However, this region of Water of Fleet is a known anadromous S. trutta spawning area (J. Graham, Galloway Fisheries Trust, personal communication) and thus their genetic propensity for anadromy (Ferguson et al., 2019) may have meant that they migrated out of Loch Fleet, the impassable waterfall preventing subsequent return. Burger et al. (2000) have shown that life-history adaptations were critically important for the establishment of river and shorespawning populations of sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka (Walbaum 1792) in an Alaskan lake. In addition, the pH below the waterfall in the Little Water of Fleet was considerably higher than in Loch Fleet (Harriman et al., 1987) and thus S. trutta from this locality may not have been suitable for the lower pH environment.

Loch Grannoch S. trutta offspring, in spite of being used only for monitoring hatching success in 1993 and not as part of the original deliberate stocking, contributed to a similar extent overall as Solway farm and Water of Fleet and had a higher contribution than these stocks to the outlet group. Indeed, the Loch Grannoch contribution is surprisingly high given that <1000 eyed eggs were used in each of the inflowing and outflowing rivers in 1993–94 only (Turnpenny et al., 1995). Loch Grannoch S. trutta could have been first to mature as age 1+ years in 1995–96 and primarily not until 1996–97 as age 2+ years, at which time the other S. trutta would have been well-established. While stochastic factors may have played a part, the acid tolerance of Loch Grannoch S. trutta, as discussed above, is likely to have contributed to their success, especially as by 1994 the pH and calcium concentrations were declining again (Howells & Dalziel, 1995). This was particularly so in the outlet, as only part of the catchment, which included the inflow, was limed (Howells et al., 1992). A minimum pH of 4.6 was recorded in the outlet in 1993 (Turnpenny et al., 1995).

The greater success of S. trutta of Loch Grannoch origin in the outlet rather than the inlet may also have been the result of the outlet spawning group being much slower to establish and therefore there was less competition for the Grannoch juveniles. Although fry were detected in the outlet in 1990 and 1991, albeit at much lower densities than in the inlet, none were detected in 1992 and 1993 possibly due to high spring flows washing fry downstream (Turnpenny et al., 1995). However, declining pH is more likely to have been responsible since, in the enclosed egg-box experiments, poor hatching rates of eggs of Fleet parentage were seen in the outlet in 1991–92 and 1992–93 yet the 1993–94 Loch Grannoch eggs showed high survival to hatching (Turnpenny et al., 1995). The Loch Grannoch populations are inlet spawners (McCartney et al., 2003) so clearly S. trutta can quickly change to outlet spawning.

The Loch Fleet S. trutta stock showed higher genetic diversity than the 17 other natural loch populations sampled in the area, undoubtedly as a result of four genetically distinct ancestors. Thus the mixing strategy has resulted in higher genetic diversity, which is potentially important in maximising the capacity of a population to adapt to its new environment and to future environmental change (Fraser, 2008). Several authors have argued against a mixing strategy on the grounds that hybridisation between genetically distinct stocks can result in offspring of lowered fitness due to outbreeding depression through loss of local adaptation or the disruption of co-adapted gene pools in the F_2 and later generations (Huff et al., 2010). However, it is likely that concerns about outbreeding depression have been overstated as several studies have indicated that, although outbreeding depression can occur in early generations, selection can quickly overcome this and result in hybrid superiority in later generations (Houde et al., 2011; Whiteley et al., 2015; Wells et al., 2019). As demonstrated in Loch Fleet, a mixing approach is likely to be the best option for population re-establishment, except where there is clear evidence of a donor population with adaptive qualities appropriate to the environmental conditions as is the case with Loch Grannoch. However, a combination of the two strategies can be effectively employed as occurred fortuitously in Fleet.

Self-sustaining stocks arising solely from restoration stocking are shown to be present in Lochs Enoch, Narroch and Fleet some 12–24 years after the initial re-establishment; such restoration was the primary objective of the Loch Fleet project (Howells et al., 1992). These successes contrast with the generally reported findings in the literature, which indicate that reintroductions have often failed to yield self-sustaining naturalized populations (Anderson et al., 2014). However, although stated in general terms, these reports are contrary to the S. trutta findings here and the fact that many new selfsustaining S. trutta populations have been established world-wide (Newton, 2013), suggesting that this species may differ from other salmonids in its ability to establish new populations, possibly as a result of its high genetic diversity and life history plasticity (Ferguson, 1989; Ferguson et al., 2019).

4.3 | Stocking with farm-strain S. trutta

A population that owes its origin to stocking with a Loch Leven derived farm strain of S. trutta is that in Loch Mannoch. This loch was artificially created by construction of a dam in 1919 and is first mentioned for its fishing by Maxwell (1922), the author noting that it is "heavily stocked with S. trutta." In this situation of a newly created loch there would have been few, if any, native S. trutta to compete with since an impassable waterfall downstream of the dam would have prevented natural colonisation. Also, at the time of stocking, 100 years ago, the farm strain would have been considerably less domesticated than today (Ferguson, 2007).

The stocking of Loch Mannoch would either have been from Howietoun or, more likely, the Solway farm since it was nearby. As noted above, both Howietoun and Solway were derived from Loch Leven broodstock. Contemporary Loch Leven S. trutta show a slightly closer similarity to those of Loch Mannoch than Howietoun. Some selective breeding is known to have taken place in the Howietoun population (Stephen & McAndrew, 1990) which may have resulted in genetic divergence. Small N_e in Howietoun may also have led to increased drift relative to the Lochs Leven and Mannoch populations. Thus, the Loch Leven rather than Howietoun sample was employed in this study as a surrogate for the farm strains used for restoration and supplemental stocking in south-west Scotland. The unique Leven S. trutta genetic signature means that introgression of farm genes in natural populations as a result of stocking can be readily monitored,

736 **PRODÖHLET AL.** JOURNAL OF **FISH** RIOL OGY **FOR AL. FISH** RODÖHLET AL.

not just in south-west Scotland but throughout Britain and Ireland where stocking with fertile Loch Leven derived strains has occurred.

Stocking with Loch Leven based farm strains, both Howietoun and Solway, took place in the period 1975–1995 in a number of south-west Scottish lochs including Lochs Dee, Doon, Harrow and Riecawr (Harriman et al., 1987; I. Murray, personal communication; D. Ross, Balloch Angling Club, personal communication). However, there is limited indication of contribution as a result of this stocking with only a few individual S, trutta in Lochs Dee, Loch Doon and Riecawr showing admixture with Loch Leven. In the Loch Harrow population, where no such admixture was found, several days after stocking with farm S. trutta in 1978, 66 dead fish were observed (Harriman et al., 1987). It seems likely that these were farm fish, possibly as a result of being unable to cope with the acidic conditions.

Several studies have shown a decrease in admixture over time in S. trutta native populations once stocking has ceased (Harbicht et al., 2014; Valiquette et al., 2014). Stocking with farm strains was more prevalent in lowland regions of rivers in south-west Scotland, where the pH is higher (Harriman et al., 1987) and which are generally of more interest to anglers in the area than the lochs. Loch Leven S. trutta influence was found in all of the rivers examined, in most cases at a low level. The highest influence was found in the River Girvan, which is not surprising as this river is known to have had the most recent stocking, with this continuing up until the early years of this century (local angling clubs, personal communication).

4.4 | Sympatric populations within lochs

Sub-structuring (i.e., genetically distinct and thus reproductively isolated sympatric S. trutta populations) was found only in Lochs Fleet and Grannoch. Two main genetically distinct populations were found in Loch Fleet with an inlet spawning population and an outlet spawning one, the latter comprising three sub-populations. Reproductive isolation due to inlet (lacustrine – adfluvial) and outlet (allacustrine) spawning occurs in other lakes, e.g., Lough Melvin, Ireland (Ferguson, 2004). As only a short stretch of the outlet is available for spawning it is likely that spawning also occurs in the adjacent shores of the loch where suitable gravel is present and where diffuse groundwater flow from surrounding land or wind action can provide sufficient oxygenation for the developing embryos (Whitlock et al., 2014). Thus, the outlet population may comprise individuals from several discrete spawning areas in and around the outlet. Lake shore spawning of S. trutta has been demonstrated in several upland Norwegian lakes, especially where groundwater influx occurs and is potentially an important strategy where harsh weather conditions occur; e.g., periodic bottom freezing of rivers (Heggenes et al., 2009; Thaulow et al., 2014). These authors found genetic differentiation among juvenile S. trutta from separate sites within a lake and between adjacent lake and river juveniles. The heterogeneity of the two main Loch Fleet populations in September with respect to spatial position in the loch relative to the inlet and outlet rivers further emphasises their distinctness. This distribution possibly reflects the movement of S. trutta to the areas of the loch adjacent to the spawning streams

ready for the spawning migration, which for Loch Fleet has been shown to be in October or early November (Turnpenny, 1992). Alternatively, the two populations may restrict their feeding range within the loch throughout the year.

Founder effects may have contributed to the significant genetic differentiation between the outlet and inlet spawning populations, as well as to the diversity among the three outlet sub-populations. However, genetic divergence as a result of ancestry (founder effects) cannot be separated from differentiation post establishment, which could have occurred as a result of genetic drift due to low N_e , especially in group 1, or selection due to different spawning conditions. Thus, it is possible that the genetic divergence observed could have arisen entirely since colonisation without differential ancestry. Veale and Rusello (2017) found evidence of strong divergent selection between river and shore-spawning O. nerka, with reproductively isolated populations of these two ecotypes having arisen in less than 13 generations. Lucek et al. (2014) have shown that hybridisation between lineages can promote adaptive divergence by increasing standing genetic variation.

It is likely that the three Loch Grannoch populations identified in the overall sample represent the three main spawning rivers that contribute recruitment to the loch's S.trutta (McCartney et al., 2003). The different spatial distribution of the populations within the loch would support this. However, why should the Loch Grannoch S. trutta analysis show genetically distinct spawning populations when the same analysis of other lochs in the area with several spawning rivers does not? Thus, no evidence of sub-structuring was found in Loch Doon, the largest loch, which has three main spawning rivers together with numerous smaller ones. In addition, there is indication of two distinct gill-raker groups and associated benthic or pelagic feeding, suggesting trophic segregation in Loch Doon (A.F., unpublished data). Elsewhere such segregation has been shown to result in selection for reproductive isolation with consequent phenotypic and genetic divergence (Bernatchez et al., 2016). Due to its underlying geology, Loch Doon was much less affected by acidification than other lochs and although there is some evidence from anecdotal angler accounts of partial reduction in numbers in the 1980s, the fact that angling continued throughout suggests that the reduction was much smaller than for Loch Grannoch. Continuous S. trutta catch records from 1908 onwards are available for the adjacent Lochs Macaterick and Riecawr and while these again show a reduction in catches in the 1970s, moderate catches persisted throughout (Harriman et al., 2001). It is clear from both angling records (see Section 1) and N_e estimates here from the 1980s and 1990s that S. trutta numbers were reduced considerably in Loch Grannoch.

As discussed in Section 4.1, the N_e of the Loch Grannoch stock was <30 in the 1980s and early 1990s, with the N_e in each Loch Grannoch river clearly being considerably lower still. Where there are two or more spatially distinct spawning areas for S. trutta and the N_e in each area is small then the spawning groups will diverge as a result of genetic drift exceeding gene flow due to straying (Ferguson, 1989). Natal homing would serve to maintain this differentiation and the populations may further diverge as they adapt to local conditions and

PRODÖHL ET AL. **1998** FIGH BIOLOGY **FISH** BIOLOGY **FISH**

acquire distinct life histories thereby reducing competition (Hendry et al., 2007). Although most of the larger lochs examined in this study probably have two or more spawning areas, it is only where N_e is small that sufficient genetic differentiation occurs, resulting in detection with the analyses and number and type of markers used in this study.

Although several studies have reported S. trutta genetic structuring in large lake systems (Ferguson, 2004; McKeown et al., 2010; Swatdipong et al., 2010; Verspoor et al., 2019), these appear to be the result of colonisation by multiple allopatrically differentiated lineages or occur in large lakes where gene flow is limited by distance between rivers. In the case of Loch Grannoch S. trutta, initial analyses do not indicate any differences in morphology or feeding among the three populations (A.F., unpublished data). Two genetically distinct populations in Lakes Trollsvattnet, Sweden (Palmé et al., 2013), which do not differ in feeding ecology and differ only marginally in morphology (Andersson et al., 2017b), are thought to be reproductively isolated due to respective inlet and outlet spawning, although the results were not fully conclusive and other factors may be involved (Andersson et al., 2017a). Sympatric S. trutta populations are likely to be more widespread than hitherto reported as most suitable lakes have not been examined in sufficient detail. Indeed, sympatric populations would be expected in all lakes with multiple spawning locations and in such situations trophic and morphological differentiation would not necessarily be present. However, in the absence of phenotypic differences that allow a priori grouping and where the N_e of each population is large and some gene flow exists, it would require detailed sampling, appropriate molecular markers and rigorous statistical analyses to detect the low-level genetic differentiation that is likely to be present (Jorde et al., 2018; Verspoor et al., 2019). In such situations, examining differentiation among samples from actual spawning rivers or locations may be more appropriate than a pooled sample from a lake, although the former may be logistically difficult to obtain in some cases, especially where lake spawning is involved.

4.5 | Conservation and wider scientific importance

From a S. trutta conservation standpoint, the most important loch in south-west Scotland is Loch Grannoch due to its genetic distinctness coupled with its increased tolerance of acidic conditions. Although acidification has been reduced in upland freshwaters in Great Britain (Battarbee et al., 2014), predicted climate change poses a threat to this recovery through an increase in rainfall and the intensity and number of storm events resulting in acidifying sea-salt deposition, as well as increased nitrate leaching from soils (Kernan et al., 2010). These weather-related changes could result in the remobilisation of toxic aluminium and other substances present in catchment peats (Battarbee et al., 2014). Increased $CO₂$ levels can also result in acidification, an aspect as yet poorly studied in freshwater systems compared with marine ones (Ou et al., 2015). Thus, the Loch Grannoch stock may be an important donor for the restoration, or genetic rescue (sensu Frankham, 2015), of further S. trutta populations in the future. Loch Grannoch S. trutta are of considerable interest for the scientific study of local adaptation and population structuring, given the existence of three genetically distinct populations. A detailed conservation and management plan for Loch Grannoch is urgently required as it was evident during this study that a considerable S. trutta harvest occurs through permitted and especially non-permitted angling due to the loch having a good number of S. trutta of larger size than most other lochs in the area (Supporting Information Table S1). Loch Grannoch should be accorded legal protection status (e.g., as a Site of Special Scientific Interest; SSSI), which would be supported by other important biological features of the loch as well as the unique S. trutta populations.

Populations such as those in Long Glenhead, Round Glenhead, Lochs Neldricken and Valley have demonstrated the ability to survive under severe environmental conditions. They are included in the Merrick Kells SSSI but without any specific reference to S. trutta and its management. Although it is often assumed that small populations with low genetic variability have low adaptive potential, Prodöhl et al. (1997) reported on genetically monomorphic small S. trutta populations from north-west Scotland that showed no evidence for reduction in fitness. More recently, Fraser et al. (2014) found evidence of greater adaptive differentiation in such populations. Mechanisms such as associative overdominance may help to reduce the rate of further decline in genetic variability (Fraser, 2017). The low genetic variability of these River Cree populations makes them valuable for studies on genetic variability and fitness. Round Glenhead has continuous monitoring of water quality and climate, thus enabling integration of environmental and molecular genetic data. Given the admixture with Loch Grannoch S. trutta, the Lochs Neldricken and Valley populations are valuable models for the study of the progress of introgression.

Since background data are available on their S. trutta reestablishment, Lochs Enoch, Narroch and Fleet are all of scientific interest for studying genetic and ecological change from known starting points and should be protected particularly from further stocking with fertile S. trutta. Lochs Enoch and Narroch are included in the Merrick Kells SSSI and so such changes in fisheries management would require permission from Scottish Natural Heritage. Fleet is especially relevant for studying S. trutta local adaptation in relation to inlet and outlet river and lake, spawning. These populations are of considerable scientific value in further studies of the pre-existing adaptation vs. adaptive potential strategies for restoration as recommended by Houde et al. (2015). Indeed, all of the upland lochs are of scientific interest as they present a series of isolated yet adjacent populations subject to varying physical and chemical conditions and again merit specific S. trutta management plans. Their genetic isolation means that they provide independent replicates, ideal for the study of parallel and convergent aspects of local adaptation (Merilä, 2014).

Unlike lakes in many other areas of western Europe, the isolated south-west Scotland populations also represent native populations with negligible influence from domesticated farm S. trutta and are thus of considerably increased conservation importance. They are among the most genetically divergent populations so far described for S. trutta in northern Europe with much of the native genetic diversity still intact despite the effects of acidification. Such genetically

738 JOURNAL OF FISH RIOI OGY 560 @

divergent populations are very important when it comes to conserving overall S. trutta diversity (Kelson et al., 2015; Vøllestad, 2018). The existence of the Loch Mannoch population derived exclusively, or nearly so, from a Leven-based farm strain is of increased conservation importance as both Solway or Howietoun farms are no longer in existence, the latter as of 2017 (J. Taggart, University of Stirling, personal communication), and there are continued threats to the native Loch Leven stock (Winfield et al., 2011).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to many organisations and private land-owners for permitting and facilitating our study including Ayrshire Rivers Trust, Forrest Estate, Forestry Commission Scotland (Galloway Forest District), Galloway Fisheries Trust, The River Annan Salmon Fishery Board, Scottish Environment Protection Agency (especially A. Duguid), Scottish Natural Heritage, J. Curtis and J. Stacey. Numerous anglers and others assisted with sample collection and with background information, including: R. Carson, J. Caughlan, T. Ewing, E. A. Ferguson, E. Ferguson, P. Hiscocks, A. McRoberts, P. Maitland, A. Morrison, S. Morrison; I. Murray, J. Newall, B. Roberts, J. Roberts, M. Roberts, D. Ross, G. Shaw, E. Verspoor, B. Wilson and W. Wilson. Detailed comments from two anonymous referees resulted in considerable improvement to the final manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

P.A.P: Sampling design, data analyses, manuscript preparation; funding. A.F: coordination of sampling, data analyses, background literature & angling record compilation, manuscript preparation, funding. C.R.B: microsatellite and LDH analyses, manuscript preparation. R.A: genesis of study, field sampling, background information & angling records, Figure 1. C.R: field sampling, background information and angling records. E.J.K: preparation of historical samples, manuscript preparation. A.R.C & R.H: mtDNA analyses, manuscript preparation.

ORCID

Paulo A. Prodöhl <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8570-9964> Andrew Ferguson **b** <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5459-9985>

REFERENCES

- Ackerman, M. W., Hand, B. K., Waples, R. K., Luikart, G., Waples, R. S., Steele, C. A., Garner, B. A., McCane, J. & Campbell, M. R. (2016). Effective number of breeders from sibship reconstruction: Empirical evaluations using hatchery steelhead. Evolutionary Applications 10, 146–160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12433>
- Allendorf, F. W., & Waples, R. S. (1996). Conservation and genetics of salmonid fishes. In J. C. Avise & J. L. Hamrick (Eds.), Conservation genetics: Case histories from nature (pp. 238–280). New York, NY: Chapman and Hall.
- Anderson, J. H., Pess, G. R., Carmichael, R. W., Ford, M. J., Cooney, T. D., Baldwin, C. M., & McClure, M. M. (2014). Planning pacific salmon and

steelhead reintroductions aimed at long-term viability and recovery. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 34, 72–93.

- Andersson, A., Jansson, E., Wennesrström, L., Chiriboga, F., Arnyasi, M., Kent, M. P., … Laikre, L. (2017a). Complex genetic diversity patterns of cryptic, sympatric brown trout (Salmo trutta) populations in tiny mountain lakes. Conservation Genetics, 18(614), 1213–1227.
- Andersson, A., Johansson, F., Sundbom, M., Ryman, N., & Laikre, L. (2017b). Lack of trophic polymorphism despite substantial genetic differentiation in sympatric brown trout (Salmo trutta) populations. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 26, 643–652. [https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.](https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12308) [12308](https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12308)
- Arismendi, I., Penaluna, B. E., Dunham, J. B., García de Leaniz, C., Soto, D., Fleming, I. A., … León-Muñoz, J. (2014). Differential invasion success of salmonids in southern Chile: Patterns and hypotheses. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 24, 919–941. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-014-9351-0) [s11160-014-9351-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-014-9351-0)
- Armistead, J. J. (1895). An angler's paradise and how to obtain it. Scarborough, UK: The Angler.
- Battarbee, R. W., Flower, R. J., Stevenson, A. C., & Rippey, B. (1985). Lake acidification in Galloway: A palaeoecological test of competing hypotheses. Nature, 314, 350–352.
- Battarbee, R. W., Logan, N. S., Murphy, K. J., Raven, P., Aston, R. J., & Foster, G. N. (1992). Other aquatic biology: Flora and fauna. In G. Howells & T. R. K. Dalziel (Eds.), Restoring acid waters: Loch Fleet 1984–1990 (pp. 289–330). London, UK: Elsevier.
- Battarbee, R. W., Shilland, E. M., Kernan, M., Monteith, D. T., & Curtis, C. J. (2014). Recovery of acidified surface waters from acidification in the United Kingdom after twenty years of chemical and biological monitoring (1988–2008). Ecological Indicators, 37, Part B, 267–273.
- Battram, J. C. (1990). Tolerance of acid waters by native brown trout (Salmo trutta L.). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C, 96, 377–380.
- Bernatchez, S., Laporte, M., Perrier, C., Sirois, P., & Bernatchez, L. (2016). Investigating genomic and phenotypic parallelism between piscivorous and planktivorous lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush) ecotypes by means of RADseq and morphometrics analyses. Molecular Ecology, 25, 4773–4792.
- Bond, M. H., Crane, P. A., Larson, W. A., & Quinn, T. P. (2014). Is isolation by adaptation driving genetic divergence among proximate dolly varden char populations? Ecology and Evolution, 4, 2515–2532. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1113) doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1113
- Burger, C. V., Scribner, K. T., Spearman, W. J., Swanton, C. O., & Campton, D. E. (2000). Genetic contribution of three introduced life history forms of sockeye salmon to colonisation of Frazer Lake, Alaska. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 57, 2096–2111.
- Burns, J. C., Coy, J. S., Tervet, D. J., Harriman, R., Morrison, B. R. S. & Quine, C. P. (1984). The Loch Dee project: A study of the ecological effects of acid precipitation and forest management on an upland catchment in Southwest Scotland. 1. Preliminary Investigations. Aquaculture Research 15, 145–167. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.1984.tb00289.x) [1984.tb00289.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.1984.tb00289.x)
- Carlsson, J., & Nilsson, J. (2001). Effects of geomorphological structures on genetic differentiation among brown trout populations in a northern boreal river drainage. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 130, 36–45.<https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659>
- Charlier, J., Palmé, A., Laikre, L., Andersson, J., & Ryman, N. (2011). Census (N_C) and genetically effective (N_e) population size in a lake-resident population of brown trout Salmo trutta. Journal of Fish Biology, 79, 2074–2082.
- Collen, P., Harriman, R., Morrison, B., Keay, E., & Watt, A. (2000). Restoration of a brown trout (Salmo trutta L.) population to Loch Enoch, an acidified loch in Galloway, south-west Scotland. Freshwater Forum, 14, 3–14.

PRODÖHL ET AL. **2008 PRODÖHL ET AL.** 2008 PRODÖHL ET AL. 2009 PRODÖHL ET AL. 2009 PRODÖHL ET AL. 2009 PRODÖHL ET AL. 2009 PRODÖHL ET AL. 200

- Corander, J., & Marttinen, P. (2006). Bayesian identification of admixture events using multi-locus molecular markers. Molecular Ecology, 15, 2833–2843.
- Corander, J., Marttinen, P., & Mäntyniemi, S. (2006). Bayesian identification of stock mixtures from molecular marker data. Fisheries Bulletin, 104, 550–558.
- Corander, J., Marttinen, P., Sirén, J., & Tang, J. (2008). Enhanced Bayesian modelling in BAPS software for learning genetic structures of populations. BMC Bioinformatics, 9, 539. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-539) [1471-2105-9-539](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-539)
- Corander, J., Waldmann, P., Marttinen, P., & Sillanpää, M. J. (2004). BAPS 2: Enhanced possibilities for the analysis of genetic population structure. Bioinformatics, 20, 2363–2369. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth250) [bioinformatics/bth250](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth250)
- Corander, J., Waldmann, P., & Sillanpää, M. J. (2003). Bayesian analysis of genetic differentiation between populations. Genetics, 163, 367–374.
- Do, C., Waples, R. S., Peel, D., Macbeth, G. M., Tillett, B. J., & Ovenden, J. R. (2014). NeEstimator v2: Re-implementation of software for the estimation of contemporary effective population size (N_e) from genetic data. Molecular Ecology Resources, 14, 209–214. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12157) [org/10.1111/1755-0998.12157](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12157)
- Earl, D. A., & vonHoldt, B. M. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for visualising STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conservation Genetics Resources, 4, 359–361.
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: A simulation study. Molecular Ecology, 14, 2611–2620.
- Ferguson, A. (1989). Genetic differences among brown trout, Salmo trutta, stocks and their importance for the conservation and management of the species. Freshwater Biology, 21, 35–46.
- Ferguson, A. (2004). The importance of identifying conservation units: brown trout and pollan biodiversity in Ireland. Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, 104B, 33–41.
- Ferguson, A. (2007). Genetic impacts of stocking on indigenous brown trout populations. Environment Agency Science Report SC040071/SR. ISBN 978-1-84432-798-0. Retrieved from [https://www.gov.uk/](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/291703/scho0707bmzi-e-e.pdf) [government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/291703/](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/291703/scho0707bmzi-e-e.pdf) [scho0707bmzi-e-e.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/291703/scho0707bmzi-e-e.pdf)
- Ferguson, A., Reed, T., Cross, T. F., McGinnity, P., & Prodöhl, P. A. (2019). Anadromy, potamodromy and residency in brown trout (Salmo trutta): The role of genes and the environment. Journal of Fish Biology, accepted.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14005>
- Ferrier, R. C., Helliwell, R. C., Cosby, B. J., Jenkins, A., & Wright, R. F. (2001). Recovery of lochs in Galloway, south-west Scotland. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 5, 421–431.
- Fleming, I. A., Jonsson, B., Gross, M. R., & Lamberg, A. (1996). An experimental study of the reproductive behaviour and success of farmed and wild Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Journal of Applied Ecology, 33, 893–905.
- Flower, R. J., Battarbee, R. W., & Appleby, P. G. (1987). The recent palaeolimnology of acid lakes in Galloway, south-west Scotland: Diatom analysis, pH trends and the role of afforestation. Journal of Ecology, 75, 797–824.
- Frankham, R. (2015). Genetic rescue of small inbred populations: Metaanalysis reveals large and consistent benefits of gene flow. Molecular Ecology, 24, 2610–2618.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13139>
- Frankham, R., Bradshaw, C. J., & Brook, B. W. (2014). Genetics in conservation management: Revised recommendations for the 50/500 rules, Red List criteria and population viability analyses. Biological Conservation, 170, 56–63.
- Fraser, D. J. (2008). How well can captive breeding programs conserve biodiversity? A review of salmonids. Evolutionary Applications, 1, 535–586.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2008.00036>
- Fraser, D. J. (2017). Genetic diversity of small populations: Not always "doom and gloom"? Molecular Ecology, 26, 6499–6501. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14371) [org/10.1111/mec.14371](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14371)
- Fraser, D. J., Debes, P. V., Bernatchez, L., & Hutchings, J. A. (2014). Population size, habitat fragmentation and the nature of adaptive variation in a stream fish. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 281, 20140370. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0370>
- Fraser, D. J., Weir, L. K., Bernatchez, L., Hansen, M. M., & Taylor, E. B. (2011). Extent and scale of local adaptation in salmonid fishes: Review and meta-analysis. Heredity, 106, 404–420.
- Galloway Fisheries Trust. (2017). Loch Grannoch Arctic charr re-introduction feasibility study (Report No. JGAD09). Retrieved from [http://www.](http://www.gallowayglens.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/GG-Arctic-Charr-Reintroduction.pdf) [gallowayglens.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/GG-Arctic-Charr-](http://www.gallowayglens.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/GG-Arctic-Charr-Reintroduction.pdf)[Reintroduction.pdf](http://www.gallowayglens.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/GG-Arctic-Charr-Reintroduction.pdf)
- Garcia de Leaniz, G., Fleming, I. A., Einum, S., Verspoor, E., Jordan, W. C., Consuegra, S., … Quinn, T. P. (2007). A critical review of adaptive genetic variation in Atlantic salmon: Implications for conservation. Biological Reviews, 82, 173–211. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2006.00004.x) [2006.00004.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2006.00004.x)
- Gjedrem, T., & Rosseland, B. O. (2012). Genetic variation for tolerance to acidic water in salmonids. Journal of Fish Biology, 80, 1–14.
- Gordon, J. E., & Sutherland, D. G. (1993). Quaternary of Scotland Geological Conservation Review Series, No. 6 (). London, UK: Chapman and Hall, 695 pp.
- Hamilton, K. E., Ferguson, A., Taggart, J. B., Tómasson, T., Walker, A., & Fahy, E. (1989). Post-glacial colonisation of brown trout, Salmo trutta L.: Ldh-5 as a phylogeographic marker locus. Journal of Fish Biology, 35, 651–664.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T. & Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontologia retrieved from electronic publication online, 4(1), 9. http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm
- Hansen, M. M., & Jensen, L. F. (2005). Sibship within samples of brown trout (Salmo trutta) and implications for supportive breeding. Conservation Genetics, 6, 297–305. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-004-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-004-7827-5) [7827-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-004-7827-5)
- Harbicht, A., Wilson, C. C., & Fraser, D. J. (2014). Does human-induced hybridisation have long-term genetic effects? Empirical testing with domesticated, wild and hybridized fish populations. Evolutionary Applications, 7, 1180–1191.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12199>
- Harper, M. M. (1896). Rambles in Galloway. Dalbeattie, UK: Thomas Fraser.
- Harriman, R., Morrison, B. R. S., Caines, L. A., Collen, P., & Watt, A. W. (1987). Long-term changes in fish populations of acid streams and lochs in Galloway southwest Scotland. Water, Air and Soil Pollution, 32, 89–112.
- Harriman, R., Watt, A. W., Christie, A. E. G., Collen, P., Moore, D. W., McCartney, A. G., … Watson, J. (2001). Interpretation of trends in acidic deposition and surface water chemistry in Scotland during the past three decades. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 5, 407–420.
- Harriman, R., Watt, A. W., Christie, A. E. G., Moore, D. W., McCartney, A. G., & Taylor, E. M. (2003). Quantifying the effects of forestry practices on the recovery of upland streams and lochs from acidification. The Science of the Total Environment, 310, 101–111.
- Heggenes, J., Røed, K. H., Jorde, P. E. & Brabrand, Å. (2009). Dynamic micro-geographic and temporal genetic diversity in vertebrates: The case of lake-spawning populations of brown trout (Salmo trutta). Molecular Ecology 18, 1100–1111. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X) [294X](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X)
- Helliwell, R., Aherne, J., MacDougall, G., Nisbet, T., Broadmeadow, S., Sample, J., Jackson-Blake, L., Doughty, R. & Malcolm, I, (2011). Modelling the long-term response of stream water chemistry to atmospheric pollution and forestry practices in Galloway, south-west Scotland. Retrieved from [http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FC_Galloway_water_](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FC_Galloway_water_report_27sep11.pdf) [report_27sep11.pdf](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FC_Galloway_water_report_27sep11.pdf)

740 **PRODÖHL ET AL.** JOURNAL OF **FISH** RIOL OGY 560 CO

- Hendry, A. P., Letcher, B. H., & Gries, G. (2003). Estimating natural selection acting on stream-dwelling Atlantic salmon: Implications for the restoration of extirpated populations. Conservation Biology, 17, 795–805.<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.02075.x>
- Hendry, A. P., Nosil, P. & Rieseberg, L. H. (2007). The speed of ecological speciation. Functional Ecology 21, 455–464. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01240.x) [1365-2435.2006.01240.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01240.x)
- Houde, A. L. S., Fraser, D. J., O'Reilly, P., & Hutchings, J. A. (2011). Relative risks of inbreeding and outbreeding depression in the wild in endangered salmon. Evolutionary Applications, 4, 634–647. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00186.x) [10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00186.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00186.x)
- Houde, A. L. S., Garner, S. R., & Neff, B. D. (2015). Restoring species through reintroductions: Strategies for source population selection. Restoration Ecology, 23, 746–753.
- Howells, G., & Dalziel, T. (1995). A decade of studies at Loch Fleet, Galloway (Scotland): A catchment liming project and restoration of a brown trout fishery. Freshwater Forum, 5, 4–38.
- Howells, G., Dalziel, T. R. K., & Turnpenny, A. W. H. (1992). Loch Fleet: Liming to restore a brown trout fishery. Environmental Pollution, 78, 131–139.
- Hubisz, M. J., Falush, D., Stephens, M., & Pritchard, J. K. (2009). Inferring weak population structure with the assistance of sample group information. Molecular Ecology Resources, 9, 1322–1332. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02591.x) [10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02591.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02591.x)
- Huff, D. D., Miller, L. M., Chisinski, C. J., & Vondracek, B. (2011). Mixedsource reintroductions lead to outbreeding depression in secondgeneration descendants of a native North American fish. Molecular Ecology, 20, 4246–4258.
- Huff, D. D., Miller, L. M., & Vondracek, B. (2010). Patterns of ancestry and genetic diversity in reintroduced populations of the slimy sculpin: Implications for conservation. Conservation Genetics, 11, 2379–2391.
- Jakobsson, M. & Rosenberg, N. A. (2007). CLUMPP: A cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. Bioinformatics 23, 1801–1806.<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm233>
- Jellyman, P. G., & Harding, J. S. (2014). Variable survival across low pH gradients in freshwater fish species. Journal of Fish Biology, 85, 1746–1752.
- Joint Nature Conservation Committee (2010) UK BAP priority species: Salmo trutta. Retrieved from [http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/_speciespages/](http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/_speciespages/2580.pdf) [2580.pdf](http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/_speciespages/2580.pdf)
- Jombart, T. (2008). Adegenet: A R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics 24, 1403–1405. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129) [1093/bioinformatics/btn129](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129)
- Jombart, T., Devillard, S. & Balloux, F. (2010). Discriminant analysis of principal components: A new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genetics 11, 94. Retrieved from [http://www.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2156/11/94) [biomedcentral.com/1471-2156/11/94](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2156/11/94)
- Jones, O. R., & Wang, J. (2010). COLONY: A program for parentage and sibship inference from multilocus genotype data. Molecular Ecology Resources, 10, 551–555.
- Jorde, P. E., Andersson, A., Ryman, N., & Laikre, L. (2018). Are we underestimating the occurrence of sympatric populations? Molecular Ecology, 27, 4011–4025.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14846>
- Jorde, P. E., & Ryman, N. (2007). Unbiased estimator for genetic drift and effective population size. Genetics, 177, 927–935.
- Jost, L. (2008). G_{ST} and its relatives do not measure differentiation. Molecular Ecology, 17, 4015–4026.
- Kalinowski, S. T. (2005). HP-RARE 1.0: A computer program for performing rarefaction on measures of allelic richness. Molecular Ecology Notes, 5, 187–189. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00845.x) [00845.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00845.x)
- Keenan, K., Bradley, C. R., Magee, J. J., Hynes, R. A., Kennedy, R. J., Crozier, W. W., Poole, R., Cross, T. F., McGinnity, P. & Prodöhl, P. A. (2013a). Beaufort trout MicroPlex: A high-throughput multiplex

platform comprising 38 informative microsatellite loci for use in resident and anadromous (sea trout) brown trout Salmo trutta genetic studies. Journal of Fish Biology 82, 1789–804. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12095) [1111/jfb.12095](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12095)

- Keenan, K., McGinnity, P., Cross, T. F., Crozier, W. W., & Prodöhl, P. A. (2013b). DiveRsity: An R package for the estimation and exploration of population genetics parameters and their associated errors. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 4, 782–788.
- Kelson, S. J., Kapuscinski, A. R., Timmins, D., & Ardren, W. R. (2015). Finescale genetic structure of brook trout in a dendritic stream network. Conservation Genetics, 16, 31–42. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-014-0637-5) [014-0637-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-014-0637-5)
- Kernan, M., Battarbee, R. W., Curtis, C. J., Monteith, D. T. & Shilland, E. M. (2010). Recovery of lakes and streams in the UK from acid rain. The United Kingdom Acid Waters Monitoring Network 20 year interpretative report. Retrieved from [http://awmn.defra.gov.uk/resources/](http://awmn.defra.gov.uk/resources/interpreports/20yearInterpRpt.pdf) [interpreports/20yearInterpRpt.pdf](http://awmn.defra.gov.uk/resources/interpreports/20yearInterpRpt.pdf)
- Lesica, P., & Allendorf, F. W. (1999). Ecological genetics and the restoration of plant communities: Mix or match? Restoration Ecology, 7, $42 - 50$
- Lucek, K., Lemoine, M., & Seehausen, O. (2014). Contemporary ecotypic divergence during a recent range expansion was facilitated by adaptive introgression. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 27, 2233–2248.
- Maitland, J. R. G. (1887). The history of Howietoun. Stirling, UK: Howietoun Fishery.
- Maitland, P. S., Lyle, A. A. & Campbell, R. N. B. (1987). The status of fish populations in waters likely to have been affected by acid deposition in Scotland. Retrieved from<http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/11918>
- Mant, R. C., Jones, D. L., Reynolds, B., Ormerod, S. J., & Pullin, A. S. (2013). A systematic review of the effectiveness of liming to mitigate impacts of river acidification on fish and macro-invertebrates. Environmental Pollution, 179, 285–293.
- Maxwell, J. H. (1878). Maxwell's Guide Book to the Stewartry of Kirkcudbright, from the Nith to the Cree. Castle Douglas, UK: Maxwell.
- Maxwell, J. H. (1922). Visitors guide to the Stewartry of Kirkcudbright. Castle Douglas, UK: Maxwell.
- McCartney, A. G., Harriman, R., Watt, A. W., Moore, D. W., Taylor, E. M., Collen, P., & Keay, E. J. (2003). Long-term trends in pH, aluminium and dissolved organic carbon in Scottish fresh waters; implications for brown trout (Salmo trutta) survival. The Science of the Total Environment, 310, 133–141.
- McKeown, N. J., Hynes, R. A., Duguid, R. A., Ferguson, A., & Prodöhl, P. A. (2010). Phylogeographic structure of brown trout Salmo trutta in Britain and Ireland: Glacial refugia, postglacial colonisation and origins of sympatric populations. Journal of Fish Biology, 76, 319–347. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02490.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02490.x
- McMeel, O. M., Hoey, E. M., & Ferguson, A. (2001). Partial nucleotide sequences and routine typing by PCR-RFLP, of the brown trout (Salmo trutta) lactate dehydrogenase, LDH-C1*90 and *100 alleles. Molecular Ecology, 10, 29–34.
- McWilliams, P. G. (1982). A comparison of physiological characteristics in normal and acid exposed population of the brown trout Salmo trutta. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A, 72, 515–522.
- Merilä, J. (2014). Lakes and ponds as model systems to study parallel evolution. Journal of Limnology, 73, 29–41. [https://doi.org/10.4081/](https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2014.805) [jlimnol.2014.805](https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2014.805)
- Metcalfe, N. B., Valdimarsson, S. K., & Morgan, I. J. (2003). The relative roles of domestication, rearing environment, prior residence and body size in deciding territorial contests between hatchery and wild juvenile salmon. Journal of Applied Ecology, 40, 535–544. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2003.00815.x) [1046/j.1365-2664.2003.00815.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2003.00815.x)
- Mimura, M., Yahara, T., Faith, D. P., Vázquez-Domínguez, E., Colautti, R. I., Araki, H., Javadi, F., Núñez-Farfán, J., Mori, A. S., Zhou, S., Hollingsworth, P. M., Neaves, L. E., Fukano, Y., Smith, G. F., Sato, Y-I., Tachida, H. & Hendry, A. P. (2016). Understanding and monitoring the

consequences of human impacts on intraspecific variation. Evolutionary Applications 10, 121–139.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12436>

- Moritz, C. (1999). Conservation units and translocations: Strategies for conserving evolutionary processes. Hereditas, 130, 217–228.
- Nei, M., Tajima, F., & Tateno, Y. (1983). Accuracy of estimated phylogenetic trees from molecular data. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 19, 153–170.
- Neophytou, C. (2014). Bayesian clustering analyses for genetic assignment and study of hybridisation in oaks: Effects of asymmetric phylogenies and asymmetric sampling schemes. Tree Genetics & Genomes, 10, 273–285.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-013-0680-2>
- Neuwald, J. L., & Templeton, A. R. (2013). Genetic restoration in the eastern collared lisard under prescribed woodland burning. Molecular Ecology, 22, 3666–3679.
- Newton, C. (2013). The Trout's Tale: The fish that conquered an empire. Ellesmere, UK: The Medlar Press. ISBN 978-1-907110-44-3.
- Östergren, J., & Nilsson, J. (2012). Importance of life-history and landscape characteristics for genetic structure and genetic diversity of brown trout (Salmo trutta L.). Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 21, 119–133. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0633.2011.00529.x>
- Ou, M., Hamilton, T. J., Eom, J., Lyall, E. M., Gallup, J., Jiang, A., Lee, J., Close, A. A., Yun, S-S. & Brauner, C. J. (2015). Responses of pink salmon to $CO₂$ -induced acidification. Nature Climate Change 5, 950–955.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2694>
- Palmé, A., Laikre, L., & Ryman, N. (2013). Monitoring reveals two genetically distinct brown trout populations remaining in stable sympatry over 20 years in tiny mountain lakes. Conservation Genetics, 14, 795–808.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0475-x>
- Pinter, K., Weiss, S. J., Lautsch, E., & Unfer, G. (2017). Survival and growth of hatchery and wild brown trout (Salmo trutta) parr in three Austrian headwater streams. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 27, 146–157. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12332) doi.org/10.1111/eff.12332
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics, 155, 945–959.
- Prodöhl, P. A., Antoniacomi, A., Bradley, C., Carlsson, J., Carvalho, G. R., Coughlan, J., … Cross, T. F. (2017). Population genetics and Genetic Stock Identification of anadromous Salmo trutta from the Irish Sea and adjacent areas, using microsatellite DNA loci. In G. Harris (Ed.), Sea trout: Management & science (pp. 69–95). Leicestershire, UK: Matador Publishing Ltd.
- Prodöhl, P. A., Walker, A. F., Hynes, R., Taggart, J. B., & Ferguson, A. (1997). Genetically monomorphic brown trout (Salmo trutta L.) populations, as revealed by mitochondrial DNA, multilocus and singlelocus minisatellite (VNTR) analyses. Heredity, 79, 208–213. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1997.144) doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1997.144
- Raymond, M., & Rousset, F. (1995). GENEPOP (version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. Journal of Heredity, 86, 248–249.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x>
- Rice, W. R. (1989). Analyzing tables of statistical test. Evolution, 43, 223–225.
- Rosenberg, N. A., Pritchard, J. K., Weber, J. L., Cann, H. M., Kidd, K. K., Zhivotovky, L. A., & Feldman, M. W. (2002). Genetic structure of human populations. Science, 298, 2381–2385. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1078311) [1126/science.1078311](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1078311)
- Ryman, N., Laikre, L. & and Hössjer, O. (2019). Do estimates of contemporary effective population size tell us what we want to know? Molecular Ecology, 28, 1904–1918.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15027>
- Sandison, B. (1983). Trout lochs of Scotland. London, UK: George Allen & Unwin.
- Serbezov, D., Jorde, P. E., Bernatchez, L., Olsen, E. M., & Vøllestad, L. A. (2012). Short-term genetic changes: Evaluating effective population size estimates in a comprehensively described brown trout (Salmo trutta) population. Genetics, 191, 579–592.
- Serrano, I., Buffam, I., Palm, D., Brännäs, E., & Laudon, H. (2008). Thresholds for survival of brown trout during the spring flood acid pulse in

streams high in dissolved organic carbon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 137, 1363–1377. [https://doi.org/10.1577/T07-](https://doi.org/10.1577/T07-069.1) [069.1](https://doi.org/10.1577/T07-069.1)

- Small, M. P., McLellan, J. G., Loxterman, J., Von Bargen, J., Frye, A., & Bowman, C. (2007). Fine-scale population structure of rainbow trout in the Spokane river drainage in relation to hatchery stocking and barriers. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 136, 301–317. <https://doi.org/10.1577/T06-037.1>
- Stephen, A. B. & McAndrew, B. J. (1990). Distribution of genetic variation in brown trout, Salmo trutta L., in Scotland. Aquaculture Research 21, 47–66.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.1990.tb00382.x>
- Swatdipong, A., Vasemägi, A., Niva, T., Koljonen, M.-L., & Primmer, C. R. (2010). High level of population genetic structuring in lake-run brown trout, Salmo trutta, of the Inari Basin, northern Finland. Journal of Fish Biology, 77, 2048–2071. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02784.x) [02784.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02784.x)
- Taggart, J. B., & Ferguson, A. (1986). Electrophoretic evaluation of a supplemental stocking programme for brown trout, Salmo trutta L. Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, 17, 155–162.
- Takezaki, N., Nei, M. & Tamura, K. (2010). POPTREE2: Software for constructing population trees from allele frequency data and computing other population statistics with windows interface. Molecular Biology and Evolution 27, 747–752. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp312) [molbev/msp312](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp312)
- Thaulow, J., Borgstrøm, R., & Heun, M. (2014). Genetic persistence of an initially introduced brown trout (Salmo trutta L.). Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 23, 485–497.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12102>
- Torterotot, J.-B., Perrier, C., Bergeron, N. E., & Bernatchez, L. (2014). Influence of forest road culverts and waterfalls on the fine-scale distribution of brook trout genetic diversity in a boreal watershed. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 143, 1577–1591. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2014.952449>
- Turnpenny, A. W. H. (1992). Fishery restoration after liming. In G. Howells & T. R. K. Dalziel (Eds.), Restoring acid waters: Loch Fleet 1984–1990 (pp. 259–285). London, UK: Elsevier.
- Turnpenny, A. W. H., Dempsey, C. H., Davis, M. H., & Fleming, J. M. (1988). Factors limiting fish populations in the Loch Fleet system, an acidic drainage in south-west Scotland. Journal of Fish Biology, 32, 101–118.
- Turnpenny, A. W. H., Fleming, J. M., & Wood, R. (1995). The brown trout population at Loch Fleet eight years after liming. Chemistry and Ecology, 9, 179–191.
- Valiquette, E., Perrier, C., Thibault, I., & Bernatchez, L. (2014). Loss of genetic integrity in wild lake trout populations following stocking: Insights from an exhaustive study of 72 lakes from Québec, Canada. Evolutionary Applications, 7, 625–644. [https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12160) [12160](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12160)
- Veale, A. J., & Rusello, M. A. (2017). An ancient selective sweep linked to reproductive life history evolution in sockeye salmon. Scientific Reports, 7, 1747.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01890-2>
- Verspoor, E., Coulson, M. W., Greer, R. B., & Knox, D. (2019). Unique sympatric quartet of limnetic, benthic, profundal and piscivorous brown trout populations resolved by 3-D sampling and focused molecular marker selection. Freshwater Biology, 64, 121–137. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13199) [1111/fwb.13199](https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13199)
- Vøllestad, L. A. (2018). Understanding brown trout population genetic structure: A northern-European perspective. In J. Lobón-Cerviá & N. Sanz (Eds.), Brown Trout: Biology, ecology and management (pp. 127–144). Holboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Wang, J. (2009). A new method for estimating effective population sizes from a single sample of multilocus genotypes. Molecular Ecology, 18, 2148–2164.
- Wang, J. (2016). A comparison of single-sample estimators of effective population sizes from genetic marker data. Molecular Ecology, 25, 4692–4711.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13725>
- Waples, R. S., & Anderson, E. C. (2017). Purging putative siblings from population genetic datasets: A cautionary view. Molecular Ecology, 26, 1211–1224.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14022>
- Waples, R. S., Antao, T., & Luikart, G. (2014). Effects of overlapping generations on linkage disequilibrium estimates of effective population size. Genetics, 197, 769–780.
- Waples, R. S., & Do, C. (2008). LDNE: A program for estimating effective population size from data on linkage disequilibrium. Molecular Ecology Resources, 8, 753–756.
- Waples, R. S., & Do, C. (2010). Linkage disequilibrium estimates of contemporary N_e using highly variable genetic markers: a largely untapped resource for applied conservation and evolution. Evolutionary applications, 3, 244–262.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2009.00104.x>
- Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution, 38, 1358–1370.
- Wells, Z. R. R., Bernos, T. A., Yates, M. C., & Fraser, D. J. (2019). Genetic rescue insights from population- and family-level hybridisation effects in brook trout. Conservation Genetics. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-019-01179-z) [s10592-019-01179-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-019-01179-z)
- Whiteley, A. R., Fitzpatrick, S. W., Funk, W. C., & Tallmon, D. A. (2015). Genetic rescue to the rescue. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 30, 42–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.009>
- Whitlock, S. L., Quist, M. C., & Dux, A. M. (2014). Influence of habitat characteristics on shore-spawning kokanee. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 143, 1404–1418.
- Winfield, I. J., Adams, C. E., Armstrong, J. D., Gardiner, R., Kirika, A., Montgomery, J., … Wilson, W. (2011). Changes in the fish community of Loch Leven: Untangling anthropogenic pressures. Hydrobiologia, 681, 73–84.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-011-0925-8>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Prodöhl PA, Ferguson A, Bradley CR, et al. Impacts of acidification on brown trout Salmo trutta populations and the contribution of stocking to population recovery and genetic diversity. J Fish Biol. 2019;95:719–742. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14054>