

# Cefiderocol: A Novel Siderophore Cephalosporin Defeating Carbapenem-resistant Pathogens

Robert A. Bonomo<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Service, Louis Stokes Cleveland Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, <sup>2</sup>Departments of Medicine, Pharmacology, Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Biochemistry, and Proteomics and Bioinformatics, Case Western Reserve University, and <sup>3</sup>CWRU-Cleveland VAMC (Case VA CARES), Cleveland, Ohio

Cefiderocol, a novel siderophore cephalosporin in late-stage clinical development, utilizes a “Trojan horse” active transport mechanism to enter bacteria and has proven in vitro activity against carbapenem-resistant gram-negative pathogens, including those with major carbapenem-resistance mechanisms, and stability against all carbapenemases.

**Keywords.** cefiderocol; cephalosporin; carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae; nonfermenting gram-negative bacteria; siderophore.

The magnitude of carbapenem resistance in gram-negative bacteria has reached an alarming level globally [1, 2], increasing the risk of mortality and morbidity among vulnerable patient populations [3, 4].

The initial focus was on carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) because of the rapid plasmid-mediated spread of carbapenemase enzymes (eg, New Delhi metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase [NDM] or *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase [KPC]) [5]; however, a large proportion of carbapenem-resistant infections are caused by nonfermenting gram-negative bacteria [6, 7] where resistance is both acquired or intrinsic and easily increased through porin loss and efflux pump activity [2, 8]. Recent data suggest that the rate of carbapenem resistance among nonfermenters has overtaken that of Enterobacteriaceae species, and represents a greater challenge for the treatment of severe infections such as nosocomial pneumonia or bacteremia in the United States [6] and Europe [7].

Thus, new agents with activity against all major mechanisms of carbapenem resistance are urgently needed [9, 10]. In recent years, several new antibiotics were approved; however, these new agents have a limited spectrum of activity, mainly toward the KPC-producing CREs, and resistance is already emerging [11–15].

This supplement to *Clinical Infectious Diseases* highlights the unique advantages of cefiderocol, a novel siderophore cephalosporin, and its role in the management of infections caused

by carbapenem-resistant fermenters and nonfermenters. Cefiderocol (previously known as S-649266) is in late-stage clinical development by Shionogi & Co, Ltd, and was designed to overcome the challenges represented by all 3 major carbapenem resistance mechanisms [16]. Several other unique features can be attributed to its structural design including its stability against hydrolysis by all carbapenemases despite not being designed as a  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor [16]. Cefiderocol is a synthetic conjugate, with a cephalosporin moiety to inhibit cell wall synthesis and a siderophore moiety to gain entry into bacterial cells by active iron transporters, utilizing a “Trojan horse” approach, which is independent from porin channels and efflux pumps [15].

The first article by Nordmann and Poirel provides a comprehensive overview of the complexity of carbapenem resistance, including the different terminologies and the underlying molecular mechanisms, and the importance of rapid diagnostic tests to aid antibiotic selection for therapy [17]. The authors highlight the constantly changing epidemiology of CRE and carbapenem-resistant strains of the nonfermenters, such as *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. In the second article, Page elaborates on the role of siderophore molecules produced by bacteria and iron levels in hosts at the site of infection [18]. Siderophores are natural, small molecules that form a complex with iron, which is then actively transported into the bacterial cell via iron receptors. Development of synthetic siderophores conjugated with various antibiotics has been described by Page [18].

The article by Sato and Yamawaki describes the discovery and chemistry of cefiderocol, providing insights into the structure–activity relationship, the mechanism of action, the in vitro activity against carbapenem-resistant strains harboring certain resistance mechanisms, and the low potential of cefiderocol resistance [19]. The article also highlights the advantages of cefiderocol in comparison to previous siderophore antibiotics, including in vivo efficacy that correlates with its in vitro potency

Correspondence: R. A. Bonomo, Medical Service, Louis Stokes Cleveland Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 10701 East Boulevard, Cleveland, OH 44106 (robert.bonomo@med.va.gov).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2019;69(S7):S519–20

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com)  
DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciz823

and a low potential of resistance development, paving the way for clinical investigations [19].

The in vitro potency of cefiderocol is discussed in detail by Yamano [20]. The ongoing global surveillance studies, SIDERO-WT and SIDERO-CR, periodically provide updates on the in vitro activity of cefiderocol compared with other  $\beta$ -lactam/cephalosporin antibiotics [21, 22]. These data demonstrated a very high rate of susceptibility to cefiderocol, importantly in *P. aeruginosa*, *A. baumannii*, and *S. maltophilia*. Supporting its high potency against a wide range of carbapenem-susceptible and -resistant pathogens, preclinical pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) studies established that the PD driver of its efficacy is the fraction of time during which the concentration of cefiderocol remains above the minimum inhibitory concentration ( $fT/MIC$ ), thereby establishing a target PD parameter of  $75\% fT > MIC$  as discussed in the article by Katsube et al [23]. In phase 1 clinical studies, a linear and predictable PK profile of cefiderocol was established, with minimal accumulation during multiple dosing, primarily renal clearance, and a low risk of drug–drug interactions [23]. The article by Echols et al presents an update on cefiderocol development, describing late-stage clinical trials for the treatment of patients with complicated urinary tract infection or acute pyelonephritis, nosocomial pneumonia, and bloodstream infections [24]. The “streamlined” clinical development program has addressed the regulatory requirements in both the United States and Europe to enable the approval of cefiderocol for the treatment of patients infected with carbapenem-resistant pathogens [24].

The final article by Doi provides an overview of the spectrum of activity, efficacy, and safety profile of the latest  $\beta$ -lactam– $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor combination drugs, and other recently approved agents such as eravacycline, plazomicin, and intravenous fosfomycin [25].

This supplement provides a summary of the development of the novel siderophore cephalosporin, cefiderocol. Its unique features and promising in vivo results suggest that cefiderocol has the potential to make an important contribution to the treatment of serious carbapenem-resistant gram-negative infections.

## Notes

**Acknowledgments.** Editorial support was provided by Highfield (Oxford, United Kingdom), sponsored by Shionogi Inc (Florham Park, New Jersey).

**Financial support.** This review article was sponsored by Shionogi & Co, Ltd (Osaka, Japan), but the author did not receive any fee for his authorship.

**Supplement sponsorship.** This supplement is sponsored by Shionogi & Co., Ltd.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** The author has received grant and/or research support from Achaogen, Allegra, Entasis, Merck, Roche, Shionogi, and Wockhardt. The author has submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

## References

1. Kelly AM, Mathema B, Larson EL. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the community: a scoping review. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2017; 50:127–34.
2. El Chakhtoura NG, Saade E, Iovleva A, et al. Therapies for multidrug resistant and extensively drug-resistant non-fermenting gram-negative bacteria causing

- nosocomial infections: a perilous journey toward ‘molecularly targeted’ therapy. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2018; 16:89–110.
3. Martin A, Fahrback K, Zhao Q, Lodise T. Association between carbapenem resistance and mortality among adults hospitalized with serious infections due to an Enterobacteriaceae spp: results of a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2018; 5:ofy150.
4. McConville TH, Sullivan SB, Gomez-Simmonds A, Whittier S, Uhlemann AC. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae colonization (CRE) and subsequent risk of infection and 90-day mortality in critically ill patients, an observational study. *PLoS One* 2017; 12:e0186195.
5. Bonomo RA, Burd EM, Conly J, et al. Carbapenemase-producing organisms: a global scourge. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 66:1290–7.
6. Cai B, Echols R, Magee G, et al. Prevalence of carbapenem-resistant gram-negative infections in the United States predominated by *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2017; 4:ofx176.
7. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Europe—annual report of the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). 2017. Available at: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/forms/EARS-Net-report-2017-update-jan-2019.pdf>. Accessed 3 March 2019.
8. Bassetti M, Vena A, Croxatto A, Righi E, Guery B. How to manage *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. *Drugs Context* 2018; 7:212527.
9. World Health Organization. Global priority of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. Available at: [https://www.who.int/medicines/publications/WHO-PPL-Short\\_Summary\\_25Feb-ET\\_NM\\_WHO.pdf](https://www.who.int/medicines/publications/WHO-PPL-Short_Summary_25Feb-ET_NM_WHO.pdf). Accessed 8 February 2019.
10. Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A, et al; WHO Pathogens Priority List Working Group. Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018; 18:318–27.
11. Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Benjamin DK Jr, et al; Infectious Diseases Society of America. 10 × ‘20 progress—development of new drugs active against gram-negative bacilli: an update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 56:1685–94.
12. Lapuebla A, Abdallah M, Olafisoye O, et al. Activity of meropenem combined with RPX7009, a novel  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor, against gram-negative clinical isolates in New York City. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015; 59:4856–60.
13. Lapuebla A, Abdallah M, Olafisoye O, et al. Activity of imipenem with relebactam against gram-negative pathogens from New York City. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015; 59:5029–31.
14. van Duin D, Bonomo RA. Ceftazidime/avibactam and ceftolozane/tazobactam: second-generation  $\beta$ -lactam/ $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor combinations. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 63:234–41.
15. Tillotson GS. Trojan horse antibiotics—a novel way to circumvent gram-negative bacterial resistance? *Infect Dis* 2016; 9:45–52.
16. Aoki T, Yoshizawa H, Yamawaki K, et al. Cefiderocol (S-649266), a new siderophore cephalosporin exhibiting potent activities against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other gram-negative pathogens including multi-drug resistant bacteria: structure activity relationship. *Eur J Med Chem* 2018; 155:847–68.
17. Nordmann P, Poirel L. Epidemiology and diagnostics of carbapenem resistance in gram-negative bacteria. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 69(Suppl 7):521–8.
18. Page MGP. The role of iron and siderophores in infection and the development of siderophore antibiotics. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 69(Suppl 7):529–37.
19. Sato T, Yamawaki K. Cefiderocol: discovery, chemistry, and in vivo profiles of a novel siderophore cephalosporin. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 69(Suppl 7):538–43.
20. Yamano Y. In vitro activity of cefiderocol against a broad range of clinically important gram-negative bacteria. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 69(Suppl 7):544–51.
21. Hackel MA, Tsuji M, Yamano Y, Echols R, Karlowsky JA, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of the siderophore cephalosporin, cefiderocol, against a recent collection of clinically relevant gram-negative bacilli from North America and Europe, including carbapenem-nonsusceptible isolates (SIDERO-WT-2014 Study). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017; 61. pii:e00093-17.
22. Hackel MA, Tsuji M, Yamano Y, Echols R, Karlowsky JA, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of the siderophore cephalosporin, cefiderocol, against carbapenem-nonsusceptible and multidrug-resistant isolates of gram-negative bacilli collected worldwide in 2014 to 2016. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018; 62. pii:e01968-17.
23. Katsube T, Echols R, Wajima T. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile of cefiderocol, a novel siderophore cephalosporin. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 69(Suppl 7):552–8.
24. Echols R, Ariyasu M, Nagata TD. Pathogen-focused clinical development to address unmet medical need: cefiderocol targeting carbapenem resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 69(Suppl 7):559–64.
25. Doi Y. Treatment options for carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; 69(Suppl 7):565–75.