Protein Cytokines, Cytokine Gene Polymorphisms, and Potential Acute Coronary Syndrome Symptoms

Biological Research for Nursing 2019, Vol. 21(5) 552-563 The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1099800419857819 journals.sagepub.com/home/brn



Sahereh Mirzaei, PhD, MSN, RN¹, Larisa Burke, MPH¹, Anne G. Rosenfeld, PhD, RN, FAHA, FAAN², Susan Dunn, PhD, RN, FAHA¹, Jennifer R. Dungan, PhD, RN³, Katherine Maki, MSc, APRN¹, and Holli A. DeVon, PhD, RN, FAHA, FAAN¹

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine whether relationships exist among protein cytokines, cytokine gene polymorphisms, and symptoms of potential acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Participants included 438 patients presenting to the emergency department (ED) whose symptoms triggered a cardiac evaluation (206 ruled in and 232 ruled out for ACS). Presence or absence of 13 symptoms was recorded upon arrival. Levels of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-18 were measured for all patients. A pilot analysis of 85 patients (ACS = 49; non-ACS = 36) genotyped eight single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; four *TNF* and four *IL6* SNPs). Logistic regression models were tested to determine whether cytokines or SNPs predicted symptoms. Increased levels of TNF- α and IL-6 were associated with a decreased likelihood of chest discomfort for all patients. Increased levels of IL-6 were associated with a lower likelihood of chest discomfort and chest pressure for ACS patients, and an increased likelihood of shoulder and upper back pain for non-ACS patients. Elevated IL-18 was associated with an increased likelihood of sweating in patients with ACS. Of the four *TNF* SNPs, three were associated with shortness of breath, lightheadedness, unusual fatigue, and arm pain. In all, protein cytokines and *TNF* polymorphisms were associated with 11 of 13 symptoms assessed. Future studies are needed to determine the predictive ability of cytokines and related SNPs for a diagnosis of ACS or to determine whether biomarkers can identify patients with specific symptom clusters.

Keywords

symptoms, acute coronary syndrome, single-nucleotide polymorphisms, cytokines, inflammation

Inflammatory processes play a central role in the pathogenesis and development of coronary atherosclerotic diseases (CAD) such as acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Research in a variety of clinical settings has demonstrated that increased levels of inflammatory markers are associated with increased future cardiovascular risk (Ait-Oufella, Taleb, Mallat, & Tedgui, 2011; Blake & Ridker, 2001).

Cytokines and their receptors are classes of polypeptides that mediate inflammatory processes (Verri et al., 2006). Pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-18 promote systemic inflammation. Anti-inflammatory cytokines suppress the activity of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Seruga, Zhang, Bernstein, & Tannock, 2008; Verri et al., 2006). While vast amounts of data support the link between inflammatory cytokines and atherosclerosis, no studies have demonstrated an association with symptoms of ACS. Authors have hypothesized that increased levels of cytokines influence and contribute to the sensation of pain by increasing the sensitization of nociceptors (Sturmer et al., 2005). Several studies have identified associations of TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-18 with atherogenesis and inflammation in cardiovascular disease (CVD), but many did not include symptoms as a potential confounding variable in statistical analyses (Blankenberg et al., 2006; Hansson, 2005; Mazzone et al., 2001; Schnabel & Blankenberg, 2009; Welsh et al., 2008). Researchers have examined the relationship between inflammatory markers and symptoms in patients with

¹ College of Nursing, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
 ² College of Nursing, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
 ³ Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

Duke Oniversity, Durham, NC, C

Corresponding Author:

Sahereh Mirzaei, MSN, RN, College of Nursing, University of Illinois at Chicago, 845S. Damen Ave. (MC 802), Chicago, IL 60612, USA. Email: smirza26@uic.edu other chronic diseases and have had mixed results. Higher levels of TNF- α (Dowlati et al., 2010; Sukoff Rizzo et al., 2012) and IL-6 (Taraz, Taraz, & Dashti-Khavidaki, 2015) were associated with symptom burden in patients with a diagnosis of depression. In contrast, Miller, Freedland, and Carney (2005) found no association between the levels of in vitro cytokine biomarker production and depressive symptoms. X. S. Wang et al. (2010) found that patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer who were experiencing significant symptom burden, such as pain, fatigue, disturbed sleep, lack of appetite, and sore throat, during concurrent chemo and radiation therapy had significantly higher levels of IL-6 following treatment compared to before treatment. These results suggest a role for overexpressed pro-inflammatory cytokines in the worsening of physical symptoms.

Research has also established correlations between levels of the cytokine IL-18 and symptom profiles. IL-18 is capable of inducing both TNF- α and IL-6 expression (Mallat et al., 2002). In addition, authors have suggested that IL-18 plays a role in pain response in mice (Verri et al., 2008). Miyoshi, Obata, Kondo, Okamura, and Noguchi (2008) demonstrated that nerve injury in the spinal cord induced an increase in IL-18 and IL-18 receptor (IL-18R) expression.

Recent genome-wide association studies and metaanalyses have identified cytokine genes (*IL18*, *IL6*, and *TNF*) and their genetic variants as potential CAD risk loci, some of which significantly affect cytokine levels in serum (Cui et al., 2014; He et al., 2010; Tekola Ayele et al., 2012). Studies have confirmed that cytokine gene polymorphisms, located within critical promoter or regulatory regions of genes coding for cytokines, may have a significant influence on gene function. In addition, cytokine gene polymorphisms can affect gene transcription, resulting in changes in the expression of cytokines (Albert, 2011; Ansari, Humphries, Naveed, Khan, & Khan, 2017), and may represent genetic modifiers for a variety of common diseases including CAD (Johnson, Yucesoy, & Luster, 2004).

In addition to their role in the development of CAD, several gene polymorphisms, including variants in TNF (rs1800629, rs1799724, rs1799964, and rs361525) and IL-6 (rs1800795, rs1800796, rs1800797, and rs2069832), have been linked to both neuropathic and inflammatory pain symptoms (Belfer et al., 2004). These findings suggest that variations in both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine genes and protein cytokines may influence the symptom experience. Studies in patients with cancer have demonstrated relationships among variations in cytokines and symptom generation, perception, and expression (Doong et al., 2015; Reyes-Gibby et al., 2008). Dunn et al. (2013) found that polymorphisms in the IL-1 receptor 2 gene (IL1R2), IL10, and TNF were associated with depressive symptoms. Additionally, studies have found that variations in pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine genes are associated with a symptom cluster of pain, fatigue, sleep disturbance, and depression in cancer patients (Doong et al., 2015; Reyes-Gibby et al., 2013).

Inflammatory cytokines have emerged as major contributors to the inflammatory process, and most published studies have shown a correlation between cytokines and CAD development (Castillo et al., 2010; Gori et al., 2005). Genetic polymorphisms and phenotypic markers also contribute to ACS severity and may therefore affect pain and associated symptoms (Berg et al., 2009). However, studies have yet to establish relationships between cytokine levels and cytokine genotypes and pain or other symptoms of ACS. Identification of biomarkers associated with pain and related symptoms of myocardial ischemia may help to identify individuals at high risk of certain symptoms. This knowledge could facilitate the development of more effective analgesics, alternative or complimentary therapies, and patient education. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to determine whether relationships exist among protein cytokine levels (TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-18), cytokine gene polymorphisms, and symptoms of potential ACS.

Method

Sample and Setting

We enrolled patients who presented to the emergency department (ED) with symptoms triggering a cardiac evaluation. Enrollments occurred between January 2011 and December 2014 in five EDs in the Midwest, West, and Pacific Northwest regions of the United States. Patients were eligible to participate whether they were at least 21 years of age and fluent in English. We excluded patients if they experienced an exacerbation of heart failure (brain natriuretic peptide > 500 pg/ml), were transferred from a hemodialysis center, were referred for evaluation of a dysrhythmia, or had cognitive impairment, defined as the inability to understand and provide written informed consent. We also did not include patients if they had any immunologic dysfunction.

Of the 1,064 enrolled participants, we analyzed plasma cytokines for 438 and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for 85. The addition of the 85 patients for genetic analyses was made possible by a supplemental award from National Institute of Nursing Research. During patient interviews in the ED, we drew venous blood samples from all participants into a blue-top vacutainer tube (4.5 ml) for measurement of the cytokines TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-18. For the 85 participants for whom we were analyzing TNF and IL6 polymorphisms, we drew an additional 4.5 ml into a yellow-top tube. We immediately placed the tubes on ice. In the processing laboratory at each site, blood samples for cytokine measurement were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 20 min to obtain platelet-poor plasma. Plasma was removed using a pipette and transferred to Eppendorf tubes in aliquits of 500 µl. Specimens were capped; labeled with patient's name, study number, and date and time of blood draw; and stored in a laboratory freezer at -80 °C. The frozen samples were shipped to the study lab on dry ice for batch analyses. For the cytokine gene polymorphisms, we analyzed the whole blood using a procedure described below.

Instruments

ACS symptom checklist. We measured symptoms dichotomously (yes/no) with the 13-item ACS Symptom Checklist (DeVon, Ryan, Ochs, & Shapiro, 2008). The checklist assesses for the presence or absence of 13 symptoms: chest pressure, shoulder pain, sweating, palpitations, chest discomfort, upper back pain, short of breath, arm pain, unusual fatigue, nausea, light headed, chest pain, and indigestion. Symptoms not included on the checklist are recorded in a blank space marked "other." The checklist has demonstrated reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = .81$; DeVon, Ryan, Rankin, & Cooper, 2010) and validity (content validity indexes of .88 and .94), and investigators used it in previous studies in patient populations (DeVon et al., 2010; DeVon & Zerwic, 2003). The instrument is designed for the analysis of each symptom individually; there is no summary score.

ACS Patient Information Questionnaire. The ACS Patient Information Questionnaire includes patient-reported information on demographic, clinical, and symptom variables including overall symptom distress. Overall symptom distress is measured on scale of 1-10, with 1 representing lowest distress and 10 representing the worst overall symptom distress. The questionnaire was created using the standardized reporting guidelines recommended for studies evaluating risk stratification of ED patients with potential ACS (Hollander et al., 2004). It is designed to be self-administered, but for this study, the research specialist interviewed and recorded the patients' response to each item. The guidelines were established by the Multidisciplinary Standardized Reporting Criteria Task Force and are supported by the Society for Academic Medicine, American College of Emergency Physicians, American Heart Association, and American College of Cardiology. The goal of the questionnaire was to establish standardized ED reporting criteria that will facilitate study comparisons and meta-analyses.

Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI). We measured comorbid conditions with the CCI), an instrument that predicts 1-year mortality risk based on burden of disease (Charlson, Szatrowski, Peterson, & Gold, 1994; Quan et al., 2011). The CCI provides a composite score calculated as a weighted sum of 19 comorbid conditions. Scores range from 0 to 35. A higher score can indicate a higher number of comorbid conditions or more severe comorbid conditions (e.g., *mild renal disease* = 1 and *metastatic cancer* = 6). Generally, higher scores represent a greater burden of disease. The instrument allows for the calculation of 1-year mortality based on illness severity (mild, moderate, and severe), reason for admission, and the weighted comorbidity score. It has been used extensively to quantify risk associated with comorbid conditions (De Groot, Beckerman, Lankhorst, & Bouter, 2003; Goldstein, Samsa, Matchar, & Horner, 2004) and is a reliable and valid prognostic indicator for in-hospital and 1-year outcomes in ACS patients (Nunez et al., 2004; Radovanovic et al., 2014).

Duke Activity Status Index (DASI). We measured functional status with the 12-item DASI. The DASI measures perceived functional capacity of patients with CVD based on the patient's ability to perform activities of daily living (Hlatky et al., 1989). Each response on the DASI, scored from 1 to 4, is weighted based on the known metabolic cost of each activity (Hlatky et al., 1989). Composite scores range from 0 to 58.2, with higher scores representing better physical functioning. Items reflect metabolic energy expenditure and correlate highly with peak VO₂ (r = .80, p < .0001; Hlatky et al., 1989) in patients with ACS (Katz et al., 2008).

Procedures

The institutional review boards at the sponsoring institution and each data collection site approved this study and granted a waiver of initial consent for research staff to complete the ACS Symptom Checklist shortly after the patient was evaluated in triage. Research staff were blinded to each patient's final diagnosis. We enrolled patients between 7 a.m. and 11 p.m. every day of the week and assessed symptoms within 15 min of ED presentation in most cases. Research staff approached the patient for enrollment after they were admitted to a private examination room in the ED. We explained the purpose of the study, obtained written informed consent, and then recorded additional clinical and individual characteristics on the ACS Patient Information Questionnaire.

Cytokine protein and SNP selection. We chose to evaluate $TNF-\alpha$, IL-6, and IL-18 plasma cytokine levels because these cytokines are elevated in patients with ACS and have been linked with atherogenesis and inflammation in CVD (Buraczynska, Ksiazek, Zukowski, & Grzebalska, 2016; Hussain, Iqbal, & Javed, 2015). We selected four TNF and four IL6 SNPs (Table 1) for analysis based on their reported associations with inflammatory processes and CVD (Babu et al., 2012; Lio et al., 2004; Rehman et al., 2013). These variant SNPs are common in the American population, having at least 5% minor allele frequency (MAF) and representation across racial groups. Throughout this article, we will refer to the allele that is less common in the population as the minor allele and to the more common, or "wild-type" allele, as the major allele. Aside from one intronic SNP (IL6 rs2069832), all other SNPs were intergenic and located at the 5-prime region of the TNF or IL6 gene, as annotated in Stanford's University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser. While these SNPs have no obvious functional impact on the resulting amino acid chain or final protein, their presence in the 5-prime region proximal to the gene(s) may be important, as these regions are known for their involvement in gene signaling.

Cytokine and DNA processing. Plasma samples were analyzed for TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-18 cytokine levels using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits manufactured by R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). The precision and sensitivity of each kit were as follows: (1) For TNF- α , intra-assay precision was 4–6%,

Table I	. Domi	nant Mode	el Genoty	pe Frequer	ncies A	Among F	Particip	ants
(N = 85) for C	ytokine Si	ngle-Nucl	eotide Pol	ymor	phisms ((SNPs)	

SNP (Minor Allele) and Genotype	n (%)
TNF	
rs1800629 (G)	
AA	37 (43.5)
AG ^a	48 (56.5)
rs1799724 (T)	
CC	68 (80.0)
CT ^a	17 (20.0)
rs1799964 (C)	
TT	57 (67.1)
CT/CC	28 (32.1)
rs361525 (A)	
GG	74 (89.2)
GAª	9 (10.8)
IL6	
rs1800795 (C)	
GG	38 (45.2)
GC/CC	46 (54.8)
rs1800/96 (C)	
GG	62 (73.8)
	22 (26.2)
rs1800/97 (G)	45 (52.0)
	45 (52.9)
	40 (47.1)
rs2007032 (A)	27 (44 0)
	37 (44.U) 47 (EE Q)
AG/AA	47 (55.9)

^aIndicates no homozygous minor allele carriers in sample.

interassay precision was 4–8%, the minimum detectable level was 1.5 pg/ml, and the maximum detectable level was 5,000 pg/ml. (2) For IL-6, intra-assay precision was 2–6%, interassay precision was 2–8%, the minimum detectable level was 1.0 pg/ml, and the maximum detectable level was 2,000 pg/ml. (3) For IL-18, intra-assay precision was 5–10%, interassay precision was 5–10%, the minimum detectable level was 12.5 pg/ml, and the maximum detectable level was 5,000 pg/ml.

DNA was isolated from whole-blood samples using the Puregene (Gentra Biosystems) DNA isolation kit. The standard protocol involves cell lysis, proteinase K treatment, protein precipitation, and DNA precipitation. DNA was resuspended in Tris-EDTA buffer for long-term storage. Isolated DNA was stored in the freezer at -20° C. Genotyping was performed using Sequenom MassARRAY[™]. iPLEX[™] assays were designed utilizing the Sequenom Assay Design software, version 3.1, allowing for single-base extension (SBE) designs used for multiplexing. Multiplex assays were performed to amplify 5–10 ng of genomic DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR were treated with shrimp alkaline phosphatase to neutralize unincorporated deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs). Subsequently, a post-PCR SBE reaction was performed for each multiplex reaction using concentrations of .625 µM for low-mass primers and 1.25 µM for high-mass primers. Reactions were diluted with 16 µl of H₂O, fragments were

purified with resin, spotted onto Sequenom SpectroCHIPTM microarrays, and scanned by Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. Individual SNP genotype calls were then generated using Sequenom TYPERTM software, version 4.0, which automatically calls allele-specific peaks according to their expected masses. A lab technician evaluated and checked each set of calls per run for call quality. Quality control checks were in place at every step: Multiple samples (10%) were routinely genotyped in duplicate in each plate of DNA. Cases and unaffected controls were gridded together in each plate to avoid any systematic biases between plates. We removed individuals with low genotype call rates (<95%) and SNPs with low call rates (<90%).

Statistical Analyses

Data analyses were performed using SPSS Version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) and SAS Version 9.4 (SAS[®], Cary, NC). Significance was set at p < .05 for all statistical procedures. Statistical procedures were uncorrected for multiple testing as the analyses were exploratory in nature. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions were generated to assess sample characteristics.

Protein cytokine analyses. Logistic regression tests were run to determine whether cytokine levels predicted risk of symptoms. Each symptom was included as the dependent outcome for two models: (1) a model with all patients combined and (2) a model with an ACS diagnosis-by-cytokine interaction. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated from these models for the full sample and by ACS diagnosis. ORs for TNF- α represent the change in the odds of a symptom being present for a 10-unit increase in cytokine level. ORs for IL-6 and IL-18 represent the change in the odds of a symptom being present for a 100-unit increase in cytokine level. Variables known to affect symptom presentation and/or inflammation among ACS patients were selected as covariates for the models and included age, sex, race, scores on the CCI and the DASI, and time of day of ED admission. Models were adjusted for time of admission because cytokines exhibit a diurnal pattern of expression (Altara et al., 2015; Galbo & Kall, 2016; Nilsonne, Lekander, Akerstedt, Axelsson, & Ingre, 2016). Time of day was collapsed into four 6hr categories to encompass peaks and troughs in cytokine levels occurring in the early morning and in the afternoon or evening. Blood for analysis was drawn at time of ED admission because our intent was to determine whether there was an association between selected cytokines and acute symptoms.

Genetic analyses. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) tests were performed for each SNP to assess for deviations from expected population frequencies. *TNF* SNP rs1800629 and *IL6* SNP rs1800797 demonstrated significant deviations from HWE (p < .0001 and p < .005, respectively). For these two variants, the major and minor alleles were opposite of what the 1000 Genomes Project reported (1000 Genomes Project

Consortium, 2015). This difference was not due to reversestrand genotyping, nor did it appear to be due to population stratification differences. Due to these unexplained discrepancies, we excluded *TNF* rs1800629 and *IL6* rs1800797 from our genetic analyses. Among all other SNPs, racially stratified MAFs were consistent with those reported by 1000 Genomes (1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2015). Due to small sample sizes for minor allele carrier status, dominant genetic analyses were performed for all SNPs. In these analyses, heterozygous genotypes (Aa) and homozygous minor genotypes (aa) were collapsed into a single category then compared to the reference homozygous major genotype (AA) carriers. Analyses were not stratified or adjusted for racial or ethnic characteristics due to small sample size and because the minor allele for the SNPs being assessed did not vary by racial group.

To test the prediction of ACS symptoms by SNP minor allele presence, we performed logistic regression analyses that were similar to the cytokine plasma models. Each symptom was modeled as a dependent outcome for (1) a model with the full sample of patients and (2) a model with the with following independent variables: SNP (dominant), ACS case status, and an SNP-by-ACS (multiplicative) interaction term. *ORs* and 95% CIs were calculated from these models for the full sample and by ACS diagnosis. *ORs* represent the change in odds of reporting a symptom when the minor allele for an SNP is present. Analyses were unadjusted for covariates due to small sample size.

Results

Sample Demographics

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the 438 participants included in the cytokine plasma analysis and the 85 participants included in the SNP analysis appear in Table 2. We analyzed both cytokine and SNP samples in 49 of the participants. The sample demographics were consistent with regional and national characteristics of ACS and non-ACS cohorts. Most participants had private insurance or Medicare, greater than a higher school education (at least some college or higher), and an income of \geq US\$20,000. The majority of patients had hypertension, and slightly less than half had previously used tobacco or were obese. ACS cases were more likely than non-ACS cases to be male and have hypertension and hypercholesterolemia, and a majority were diagnosed with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction.

Protein Cytokines and Symptoms

Results for the effects of cytokines on ACS symptoms appear in Table 3. For statistical models including all patients, both with and without ACS, higher TNF- α levels and higher IL-6 levels were associated with lower odds of experiencing chest discomfort. Higher IL-6 levels were associated with increased odds of upper back pain, and higher IL-18 levels were associated with increased odds of nausea.

To further explore the effects of ACS diagnosis on the cytokine-symptom relationship, we tested interaction models with cytokine-by-ACS interaction terms and evaluated the diagnosis specific and interaction effects on reported symptom. For IL-6 levels, the odds of chest discomfort and chest pressure were significantly lower with higher cytokine levels among ACS cases, but not among controls, with greater evidence of an interaction effect of IL-6-by-ACS status in the association of chest pressure ($p_{\text{interaction}} = .008$). Similarly, for IL-18 levels, the significantly increased likelihood of sweating with higher cytokine levels was uniquely observed among ACS cases but with a nonsignificant interaction term. The finding of increased sweating with elevated IL-18 is noteworthy since sweating is associated with myocardial infarction (Ryan et al., 2007). In some cases, models were only significant among patients for whom ACS was ruled out. Increased odds of shoulder pain and upper back pain were associated with elevated IL-6 levels in patients in which ACS was ruled out. Higher IL-18 levels were associated with decreased odds of palpitations in patients without ACS.

SNPs and Symptoms

Results for analyses of the effects of SNPs on ACS symptoms appear in Table 4. These pilot results should be viewed with caution due to the small sample sizes and observation of wide 95% CIs. For models combining both ACS and non-ACS patients, the presence of any copy of the minor allele for TNF rs1799964 (C) and TNF rs361525 (A) was associated with increased odds of reporting light headedness. Analyses with SNP-by-ACS diagnosis interaction terms revealed how effects differed by ACS diagnosis. Notably, the presence of any copy of the TNF rs1799724 minor allele (T) was associated with greater odds of shortness of breath in patients diagnosed with ACS. The TNF rs1799964 minor allele (C) was significantly associated with higher rates of unusual fatigue among ACS cases but not non-ACS controls, with consistent directions of effect among the groups. TNF rs361525 minor allele (A) was associated with greater odds of reporting arm pain for patients without ACS. There were no IL-6 SNPs associated with ACS symptom presentation.

Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to determine whether relationships existed between protein cytokine levels (TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-18) or cytokine gene polymorphisms and symptoms of potential ACS. Key cytokine findings were that increased levels of TNF- α and IL-6 were associated with a decreased likelihood of chest discomfort for all patients, an increased level of IL-6 was associated with a lower likelihood of chest pressure for ACS patients only, elevated IL-18 level was associated with an increased likelihood of sweating in patients with ACS only. We explored genotype associations with self-reported symptoms for six of eight common candidate SNP variants meeting the HWE assumption. Of these, three

Table 2. Sample Characteristics.

CharacteristicCytokine Sample $(n = 206)$ SNP Sample $(n = 49)$ Cytokine Sample $(n = 232)$ SAge (years), mean (SD)60.7 (11.4)63 (10.1)58.2 (15.5)Sex (male), n (%)154 (74.8)30 (61.2)120 (51.7)Diagnosis, n (%)123 (59.7)34 (69.4)naUA46 (22.3)8 (16.3)naNSTEMI123 (59.7)34 (69.4)naSTEMI37 (18.0)7 (14.3)naRace, n (%)White134 (65.0)30 (61.2)164 (71.3)Black34 (16.5)1 (2.0)23 (10.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) $Private from employer$ 75 (36.9)14 (29.2)Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private gaid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$	NP Sample $(n = 36)$ 58.7 (16.5) 23 (63.9) na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) I (2.8) 12 (36.1)
Age (years), mean (SD) $60.7 (11.4)$ $63 (10.1)$ $58.2 (15.5)$ Sex (male), $n (\%)$ 154 (74.8)30 (61.2)120 (51.7)Diagnosis, $n (\%)$ UA46 (22.3)8 (16.3)naUA46 (22.3)8 (16.3)naNSTEMI123 (59.7)34 (69.4)naSTEMI37 (18.0)7 (14.3)naRace, $n (\%)$ White134 (65.0)30 (61.2)164 (71.3)Black34 (16.5)1 (2.0)23 (10.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, $n (\%)$ Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private paid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, $n (\%)$ 4 (10.5)13 (27.1)25 (10.8)	58.7 (16.5) 23 (63.9) na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) I (2.8)
Sex (male), n (%)154 (74.8)30 (61.2)120 (51.7)Diagnosis, n (%) V V V UA46 (22.3)8 (16.3) na NSTEMI123 (59.7)34 (69.4) na STEMI7 (14.3) na Race, n (%) V V White134 (65.0)30 (61.2)Black34 (16.5)1 (2.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)Private from employer75 (36.9)Private from employer75 (36.9)Private paid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)Education, n (%) $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ <td>23 (63.9) na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8) 12 (2.1)</td>	23 (63.9) na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8) 12 (2.1)
Diagnosis, n (%)46 (22.3)8 (16.3)naUA46 (22.3)8 (16.3)naNSTEMI123 (59.7)34 (69.4)naSTEMI37 (18.0)7 (14.3)naRace, n (%)White134 (65.0)30 (61.2)164 (71.3)Black34 (16.5)1 (2.0)23 (10.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%)Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private paid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) </td <td>na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)</td>	na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
UA46 (22.3)8 (16.3)naNSTEMI123 (59.7)34 (69.4)naSTEMI37 (18.0)7 (14.3)naRace, n (%) $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ White134 (65.0)30 (61.2)164 (71.3)Black34 (16.5)1 (2.0)23 (10.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) $(\%)$ $(\%)$ Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private from employer61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ Kethigh school27 (13.2)13 (27.1)25 (10.8)Visite school27 (13.2)0 (14.7)41 (12.0)	na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
NSTEMI123 (59.7)34 (69.4)naSTEMI37 (18.0)7 (14.3)naRace, n (%) V V White134 (65.0)30 (61.2)164 (71.3)Black34 (16.5)1 (2.0)23 (10.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) V V Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private paid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) V V V V Keine Medicare10 (20.4) V V Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) V V V V Vilje school27 (13.2)13 (27.1)25 (10.8)	na na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
STEMI $37 (18.0)$ $7 (14.3)$ naRace, $n (\%)$ W V V White $134 (65.0)$ $30 (61.2)$ $164 (71.3)$ Black $34 (16.5)$ $1 (2.0)$ $23 (10.0)$ Hispanic $15 (7.3)$ $15 (30.6)$ $19 (8.3)$ Other $23 (11.2)$ $3 (6.1)$ $24 (10.4)$ Health insurance, $n (\%)$ V V V Private from employer $75 (36.9)$ $14 (29.2)$ $74 (32.5)$ Private from employer $0 (4.9)$ $4 (8.3)$ $21 (9.2)$ Medicare $61 (30.0)$ $20 (41.7)$ $81 (35.5)$ Other government insurance ^a $22 (10.8)$ $5 (10.4)$ $28 (12.3)$ Not insured $35 (17.2)$ $5 (10.4)$ $24 (10.5)$ Education, $n (\%)$ V V V V Viligh school $27 (13.2)$ $13 (27.1)$ $25 (10.8)$	na 27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
Race, n (%)I34 (65.0)30 (61.2)I64 (71.3)Black134 (16.5)1 (2.0)23 (10.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) r r r Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private paid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) r r r <high school<="" td="">27 (13.2)13 (27.1)25 (10.8)Uitse back46 (23.4)9 (12.7)41 (12.2)</high>	27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
White134 (65.0)30 (61.2)164 (71.3)Black34 (16.5)1 (2.0)23 (10.0)Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) V V Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)Private paid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)Understand21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) V <td>27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)</td>	27 (75.0) 2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
Black $34 (16.5)$ I (2.0) $23 (10.0)$ Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) $75 (36.9)$ 14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private from employer75 (36.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) $77 (13.2)$ 13 (27.1)25 (10.8)	2 (5.6) 6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
Hispanic15 (7.3)15 (30.6)19 (8.3)Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) -75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private from employer75 (36.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) -77 (13.2)13 (27.1)25 (10.8)	6 (16.7) 1 (2.8)
Other23 (11.2)3 (6.1)24 (10.4)Health insurance, n (%) -75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private from employer75 (36.9)14 (29.2)74 (32.5)Private paid by patient10 (4.9)4 (8.3)21 (9.2)Medicare61 (30.0)20 (41.7)81 (35.5)Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8)5 (10.4)28 (12.3)Not insured35 (17.2)5 (10.4)24 (10.5)Education, n (%) -77 (13.2)13 (27.1)25 (10.8)I bit is the reliable of the school27 (13.2)13 (27.1)25 (10.8)	I (2.8)
Health insurance, n (%) 75 (36.9) 14 (29.2) 74 (32.5) Private from employer 75 (36.9) 14 (29.2) 74 (32.5) Private paid by patient 10 (4.9) 4 (8.3) 21 (9.2) Medicare 61 (30.0) 20 (41.7) 81 (35.5) Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8) 5 (10.4) 28 (12.3) Not insured 35 (17.2) 5 (10.4) 24 (10.5) Education, n (%) 27 (13.2) 13 (27.1) 25 (10.8) < High school	
Private from employer 75 (36.9) 14 (29.2) 74 (32.5) Private paid by patient 10 (4.9) 4 (8.3) 21 (9.2) Medicare 61 (30.0) 20 (41.7) 81 (35.5) Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8) 5 (10.4) 28 (12.3) Not insured 35 (17.2) 5 (10.4) 24 (10.5) Education, n (%)	12 (24 1)
Private paid by patient 10 (4.9) 4 (8.3) 21 (9.2) Medicare 61 (30.0) 20 (41.7) 81 (35.5) Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8) 5 (10.4) 28 (12.3) Not insured 35 (17.2) 5 (10.4) 24 (10.5) Education, n (%) 27 (13.2) 13 (27.1) 25 (10.8) Visite school 27 (13.2) 13 (27.1) 25 (10.8)	13 (36.1)
Medicare 61 (30.0) 20 (41.7) 81 (35.5) Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8) 5 (10.4) 28 (12.3) Not insured 35 (17.2) 5 (10.4) 24 (10.5) Education, n (%) 27 (13.2) 13 (27.1) 25 (10.8) Ubit school 27 (13.2) 13 (27.1) 25 (10.8) 14 (10.2)	4 (11.1)
Other government insurance ^a 22 (10.8) 5 (10.4) 28 (12.3) Not insured 35 (17.2) 5 (10.4) 24 (10.5) Education, n (%)	14 (38.9)
Not insured 35 (17.2) 5 (10.4) 24 (10.5) Education, n (%)	4 (II.I)
Education, n (%) <high (10.8)<br="" (13.2)="" (27.1)="" 13="" 25="" 27="" school="">Ukit school 44 (12.2)</high>	I (2.8)
<high school<="" th=""> 27 (13.2) 13 (27.1) 25 (10.8) Uist school 44 (12.4) 14 (10.2) 14 (10.2)</high>	()
	4 (11.1)
High school 46 (22.4) 8 (16.7) 44 (19.0)	7 (19.4)
Some college $(2, 2, 8)$ $(2,$	8 (22.2)
College/graduate work $44(215)$ $12(250)$ $47(203)$	7 (194)
Graduate degree = 27(132) = 5(104) = 38(164)	10 (27.8)
	10 (27.0)
<pre><20.000 52 (27 l) 12 (30 0) 73 (33 8)</pre>	6 (176)
22,000,49,999 $(27,3)$ $11,(77,5)$ $90,(37,0)$	17 (50.0)
20,000-9,999 $43,(2,2,4)$ $10,(2,5,0)$ $33,(1,5,3)$	5 (14 7)
5,000-7,777 $7,0(25,0)$ $5,0(1,3)$	5 (17.7)
$= 100,000 \qquad \qquad 33 (17.2) \qquad 7 (17.3) \qquad 30 (13.7)$	21 (58 3)
Hypertension, $n'(n)$ 177 (70.2) 51 (07.0) 177 (00.7)	21 (58.3)
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$	21 (50.5)
$\begin{array}{cccc} r_{a1111y}(n_{15}CU)y, & r_{1}(z_{0}) & & c_{0}(\tau\tau, r) & & 25(\tau 0, r) & & 112(\tau 7, 3) \\ \hline \\ Diabates & n_{1}(z_{0}) & & & c_{1}(\tau, r) & & & 142(\tau 7, 3) \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	10 (31.4)
Diabetes, $ii_1(x_0)$ $37(27,7)$ $i+(25,0)$ $07(27,0)$	11 (30.6)
$\frac{100}{200} \frac{100}{200} 10$	0 (0 0)
Cocane use, $n(x_0)$ 17 (7.3) 1 (2.0) 15 (6.5) Cocane use, $n(x_0)$	0 (0.0)
Kidney disease, n (%) 22 (10.8) 5 (10.2) 26 (11.3)	8 (22.2)
Obese, n (%) y_0 (43.7) 22 (45.8) 103 (44.4)	20 (55.6)
DASI, mean $(5D)$ 37.6 (18.3) 41 (18.6) 32.1 (17.4)	34.9 (20.8)
CCI, mean (SD) $2(1.7)$ $1.7(1.2)$ $1.7(2.0)$	1.3 (1.6)
Symptoms, n (%)	
Chest disconfort 138 (67.0) 34 (69.4) 163 (70.3)	23 (63.9)
Chest pain 144 (69.9) 31 (63.3) 155 (66.8)	23 (63.9)
Chest pressure 142 (68.9) 33 (67.3) 153 (65.9)	20 (55.6)
Short of breath 103 (50.0) 30 (61.2) 141 (60.8)	17 (47.2)
Unusual fatigue // (3/.4) 26 (53.1) 122 (52.6)	16 (44.4)
Lightheadedness /2 (34.9) 21 (43.7) 104 (44.8)	16 (44.4)
Nausea 66 (32.0) 25 (51.0) 89 (38.4)	13 (36.1)
Arm pain 73 (35.4) 28 (57.1) 69 (29.7)	8 (22.2)
Sweating 67 (32.5) 24 (49.0) 67 (28.9)	12 (33.3)
Shoulder pain 66 (32.0) 24 (49.0) 69 (29.7)	9 (25.0)
Upper back pain 40 (19.4) 19 (38.8) 72 (31.0)	9 (25.0)
Palpitations 53 (25.7) 10 (20.4) 70 (30.2)	14 (38.9)
Indigestion 41 (19.9) 23 (46.9) 53 (22.8)	13 (36.1)
Symptom number, mean (SD) 5.2 (3.1) 6.7 (3.3) 5.7 (3.1)	5.4 (3.0)
Overall symptom distress, mean (SD) 7.1 (2.6) 7.5 (2.5) 6.7 (2.5)	64(26)
Cytokine level, mean (SD)	0.7 (2.0)
TNF- α (pg/ml) 3 (5.9) 2.2 (1.3) ^c 3.3 (5.5)	0.7 (2.0)
IL-6 (pg/ml) 25.9 (62.6) I8.3 (31.3) ^c 36.2 (90.4)	2.7 (2.6) ^d
IL-18 (pg/ml) 218 (162.5) 257.8 (184.2) ^c 234.1 (224.6)	2.7 (2.6) ^d 24.6 (52.2) ^d

Note. Cytokine sample includes participants that had blood collected for cytokine testing. The SNP sample includes participants that had blood collected for genetic testing. ACS = acute coronary syndrome; CCI = Charlson Comorbidity Index; DASI = Duke Activity Status Index; NA = not applicable; NSTEMI = non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; SNP = single-nucleotide polymorphism; STEMI = ST elevation myocardial infarction; UA = unstable angina; IL = interleukin. ^aVeteran's Administration (VA)/disability/Medicaid values represent *n* (%) unless otherwise denoted. ^bFamily history of heart disease or premature sudden cardiac death. ^c*n* is 25. ^d*n* is 24.

						Participan	ts				
			All		A	ACS Cases (n =	206)	Non	-ACS Controls (n = 232)	Interaction
Cytokine	Symptom	n	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p Value	n	Odds Ratio (95% Cl)	p Value	n	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	þ Value	þ Value
TNF-α	Chest discomfort	433	0.6 [0.4, 0.9]	.017	206	0.5 [0.2, 1.2]	.109	227	0.6 [0.3, 1.1]	.077	.612
	Shoulder pain	433	1.3 [0.9, 1.8]	.143	206	0.8 [0.4, 1.6]	.533	227	2.4 [1.2, 4.7]	.010	.025
IL-6	Chest discomfort	432	0.7 [0.5, 0.9]	.019	206	0.4 [0.1, 0.8]	.016	226	0.8 0.6, 1.1	.184	.070
	Chest pressure	432	0.8 [0.6, 1.0]	.097	206	0.3 [0.1, 0.7]	.005	226	1.0 [0.7, 1.4]	.895	.008
	Shoulder pain	432	1.1 [0.9, 1.4]	.342	206	0.6 [0.3, 1.3]	.195	226	1.4 [1.0, 1.9]	.046	.054
	Upper back pain	432	1.3 [1.0, 1.7]	.031	206	1.1 [0.6, 1.9]	.721	226	1.4 [1.0, 2.0]	.040	.436
IL-18	Nausea	433	1.1 [1.0, 1.3]	.045	206	1.1 [0.9, 1.3]	.268	227	1.1 [1.0, 1.3]	.095	.886
	Sweating	433	1.1 [1.0, 1.2]	.086	206	1.3 [1.1, 1.5]	.010	227	1.0 [0.9, 1.2]	.638	.058
	Palpitations	433	0.9 [0.8, 1.0]	.051	206	1.0 [0.8, 1.2]	.804	227	0.8 [0.6, 1.0]	.025	.151

Table 3. Prediction of Symptoms From Cytokine Levels.

Note. Table presents two models for each symptom outcome: (1) a model with all patients combined and (2) a model with an ACS diagnosis-by-cytokine interaction and separate odds ratios (*ORs*) calculated for ACS and non-ACS patients. Models adjusted for age, sex, race, Charlson Comorbidity Index, Duke Activity Status Index, and time of day. *OR* for TNF- α represents change in odds of symptom being present for 10-unit increase in cytokine level. *OR* for IL-6 and IL-18 represents change in odds of symptom being present for 100-unit increase in cytokine level. All 13 symptoms from ACS Symptoms Checklist were tested. Only symptoms with statistically significant comparisons are shown. Boldface values indicate significance at $\alpha < .05$. ACS = acute coronary syndrome; CI = confidence interval; IL = interleukin; TNF- α = tumor necrosis factor- α .

TNF SNPs were associated with shortness of breath, light headedness, unusual fatigue, and arm pain.

Evidence from prior studies suggests that changes in TNF- α protein levels are associated with symptoms such as pain, fatigue, sleep disturbance, and depression. In one study, expression of TNF- α increased in neurons following a painful stimulus (Andrade et al., 2011). Koch et al. (2007), studying 94 patients with chronic pain, found that levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF- α and IL-6 in the plasma, correlated with elevated pain intensity. Their study included a heterogeneous sample of patients with a variety of chronic pain disorders, such as postherpetic neuralgia, complex regional pain syndrome, cancer pain, arthrosis, back pain, failed back surgery syndrome, and fibromyalgia. H. Wang, Schiltenwolf, and Buchner (2008), in a prospective longitudinal clinical study, evaluated the role and clinical relevance of TNF- α in patients with chronic lowback pain. They found that a significantly higher proportion of patients with chronic low-back pain had increased TNF-a levels during a 6-month course compared to a healthy control group. Unlike in previous studies, Imholz et al. (2017) found no significant association between chest pain and either TNF- α or IL-6 levels in patients with myocardial infarction. They suggested that during the period between symptom onset and blood collection, pro-inflammatory cytokines may have been downregulated by anti-inflammatory mediators, making any initial association between levels of these cytokines and pain intensity difficult to detect. By contrast, in participants in the present study, higher levels of TNF-a and IL-6 were associated with lower odds of experiencing chest discomfort in patients with and without ACS. It is possible that this finding could be explained by the presence of anti-inflammatory cytokines, which we did not measure. When tissue is invaded or destroyed by leukocytes during an inflammatory episode, several

mediators such as IL-1, IL-6, and TNF- α -1 migrate to the site (Rittner, Machelska, & Stein, 2005), contributing to pain sensations. At the same time, some analgesic mediators are also released, which may mitigate the stimulation of pain sensors (DeVon, Piano, Rosenfeld, & Hoppensteadt, 2014).

Weber et al. (2016) examined levels of IL-6 in patients with disc herniation, spinal stenosis, or degenerative disc disease compared to levels in healthy controls. They found that levels of IL-6 were significantly higher in participants with lower back pain. Individuals with lower back pain from spinal stenosis or degenerative disc disease had significantly higher levels of IL-6 than both those with pain from disc herniation and healthy controls. In the present study, we observed similar increased reports of upper back pain (rather than lower) with increased levels of IL-6 in the non-ACS group. Higher levels of IL-6 in patients ruled in for ACS, however, were associated with lower odds of experiencing chest discomfort or chest pressure. Despite this reduced likelihood of chest-related symptoms, ACS case status contributed uniquely to these models; significant interaction effects of case status and chest pressure further strengthens this evidence.

Elevated IL-18 contributes to both the central and peripheral stress responses, and researchers found that panic attacks in humans or restraint stress in mice induce a rapid increase in the level of circulating IL-18 (Kokai, Kashiwamura, Okamura, Ohara, & Morita, 2002). These authors suggested that the elevation of plasma IL-18 levels reflects the increased production and release of IL-18 in the central nervous system under stress-ful settings. In the present study, we found that, among the combined case/control sample, increased IL-18 levels were significantly associated with increased report of nausea, yet our post hoc findings were not informative regarding group effects. Only among patients with ACS were higher levels of IL-18

TNF Genot	ype Definition							AII			ACS Cases			Non-ACS Contre	ols	Interaction
SNP	Variant Location	Loci (GRCh38.p12)	Minor Allele	Major Allele	MAF	Symptom	фО ч	ds Ratio 5% CI)	p Value	2	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p Value	2	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p Value	þ Value
rs 799724	Intergenic:	31574705	⊢	υ	0.	Short of	85 3.2 [[0.11,0.1]	.058	49	10.4 [1.2, 89.1]	.032	36	0.7 [0.1, 4.9]	.728	.068
rs I 799964	o upstream <i>INF</i> Intergenic: 5' upstream TNF	31574531	υ	⊢	.17	breatn Lightheaded Unusual	85 3.5 [85 2.5 [1.4, 9.1] 1.0, 6.3]	.010 .058	49 49	3.3 [0.9, 12.1] 4.9 [1.2, 20.7]	.072 .031	36 36	3.9 [0.9, 15.9] 1.4 [0.4, 5.6]	.061 .593	.226 .061
rs361525	Intergenic: 5' upstream <i>TNF</i>	31575324	A	U	.05	ratigue Lightheaded Arm pain	83 5.6 [83 2.9 [1.1, 29.0] 0.7, 12.6]	.039 .149	8 4 8	6.5 [0.7, 63.4] 1.1 [0.2, 7.1]	.107 .936	35 35	4.7 [0.4, 51.1] 15.6 [1.3, 182.1]	.199 .028	.852 .091
Note. Table _F patients. <i>TNI</i>	resents two models for the SNP rs1800629 was no	each symptom out ot analyzed due to	come: (1) violation	a model of Hard	with al y-Weii	ll patients combi nberg equilibriu	ned and (2) m. All 13 sy	a model with mptoms froi	n an ACS m the Av	i diagn CS Syr	osis-by-SNP intera nptom Checklist w	ction an	d sepa ted. O	arate ORs calculated Only symptoms with	for ACS a statistica	nd non-ACS ly significant

= single-nucleotide polymorphism.

= minor allele frequency; SNP

= confidence interval; MAF

= acute coronary syndrome; CI

comparisons are shown. Boldface values indicate significance at α < .05. ACS

associated with higher odds of experiencing sweating, which is consistent with the existing literature (Ryan et al., 2007). Sweating during ACS is triggered by stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system. Based on Kokai et al.'s findings, we hypothesize that increases in IL-18 may occur following the stress of ACS, contributing to the occurrence or severity of sweating. Sweating and palpitations are also associated with anxiety and stress, so it is possible that IL-18 is activated by both ischemia and the mental stress of acute illness.

We observed significant preliminary symptom—*TNF* genotype associations in patients evaluated for ACS that warrant further research. Taken as a whole, our pilot gene associations were in the expected direction; that is, we observed increased risk of symptoms in the presence of *TNF* risk alleles. A number of studies found that, among cytokine SNPs, *TNF* rs1800629 and *IL6* rs1800795 were significantly associated with CAD, and these SNPs were important risk factors for the development of ACS (Ansari et al., 2017; Babu et al., 2012; Kazemi et al., 2018).

In a systematic review, authors found associations between elevated fatigue and specific polymorphisms in TNF genes (T. Wang, Yin, Miller, & Xiao, 2017). Cytokine SNPs were significantly associated with all three subgroups of fatigue (chronic fatigue syndrome, cancer-related fatigue, and other disease-related fatigue). The authors further found that a TNF gene variation may be associated with differences in frequency and severity of fatigue. Another study showed that the TNF SNP rs1800629 was associated with morning fatigue in breast cancer patients (Dhruva et al., 2015). Other researchers found that the same SNP was independently associated with fatigue in breast cancer survivors (Bower et al., 2013). Although we did not evaluate the rs1800629 variant in our study, we did identify a significant association of TNF SNP rs1799964 with unusual fatigue in ACS patients but not in non-ACS controls. However, the CI for this finding was very wide; thus, this association requires future study with larger samples. We identified a number of other associations between TNF SNPs and symptoms, including positive associations of rs17999964 and rs361525 with greater odds of reporting lightheadedness and of rs1799724 with increased odds of reporting shortness of breath. These are the first reports of associations between TNF variants and these symptoms and should be considered preliminary.

We found no significant associations of *IL6* SNPs with any symptoms, despite prior evidence in the literature. T. Wang et al. (2017) demonstrated that *IL6* SNP rs1800795 was associated with cancer-related fatigue during and after treatment. Similarly, Bower et al. (2013) found that the same SNP was independently associated with fatigue in breast cancer survivors. Shi et al. (2015) found that the IL6 GG genotype for rs1800795 showed diverse associations with moderate/severe symptoms by ethnic group in patients with multiple myeloma 1 year after diagnosis: Non-Hispanic Whites with a GG genotype were less likely to report moderate/severe fatigue, while that genotype predicted moderate/severe pain in patients other than non-Hispanic Whites with multiple myeloma 1 year postdiagnosis. Rausch et al. (2010) reported that dyspnea was associated with *IL6* rs2069835 in Caucasian lung cancer survivors. The differences between our findings and those of these prior studies may be related to chronic versus acute symptom mechanisms. Or, it may be that studies powered to assess differences by subgroups are needed to reveal significant associations between *IL6* SNPs and patient symptoms.

It is important to note that, in our review of the literature regarding relationships between pain and pain-associated symptoms and cytokines, a vast majority of studies enrolled patients with chronic pain. The causes of pain vary in chronic versus acute conditions. In ischemic cardiac pain, there is stimulation of the autonomic nervous system (DeVon et al., 2014). By contrast, the cause of pain in many chronic pain conditions is neuropathic. Therefore, associations between cytokines and pain may vary between acute and chronic conditions. The present study was of acute symptoms and acute pain phenotypes for ACS. We do not yet know whether biological pathways of pain are completely different or may overlap in acute or chronic painful conditions.

Limitations

There were several limitations to the study. First, the genetic sample size was small. A larger sample may be needed to increase the power to detect differences in associations for other cytokine gene polymorphisms. Second, we did not adjust statistical analyses for the genetic results for covariates due to the small sample size of the SNP models. Third, we did not correct statistical procedures for multiple testing as the analyses were exploratory in nature. Fourth, the sample was predominantly Caucasian; therefore, generalizability of our findings to other racial or ethnic groups is limited. Five, we cannot infer cause-and-effect relationships among symptoms, genotype, and inflammatory protein levels through this observational study. We also acknowledge that patients presenting with symptoms suggestive of ACS but subsequently ruled out for the condition are not optimal controls. Some of these patients had chronic ischemic heart disease but did not experience ACS on that admission. A healthy control group may have strengthened the internal validity of the findings. Despite these limitations, we were able to detect significant associations between protein cytokines and SNPs and selected symptoms in patients evaluated for ACS.

Conclusions

In the present study, we found that select cytokine plasma levels and cytokine gene polymorphisms were associated with 11 of the 13 ACS symptoms we assessed. Our goal was to seek preliminary evidence of associations between circulating and genetic biomarkers with symptoms of ACS that could be relevant for risk screening and improved diagnosis in the future. We focused on cytokine-related biomarkers due to their known involvement in CAD and their existing association with other (chronic) symptoms. Inflammatory biomarkers could be useful for discriminating patients with less typical ACS symptoms from those with other disease conditions, particularly among high-risk individuals. Our preliminary data may contribute to reducing the significant gap in our understanding of the influence of cytokine biomarkers on expression of acute symptoms. Our observed effect sizes, directions of effect, and SNP population estimates among ACS cases and controls can inform the design of future ACS–symptom–cytokine association studies.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Kevin Grandfield, Publication Manager for the UIC Department of Biobehavioral Health Science, for editorial assistance.

Author Contributions

S. Mirzaei contributed to conception design, analysis, and interpretation; drafted the manuscript; critically revised the manuscript; gave final approval; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. L. Burke contributed to design, analysis, and interpretation; drafted the manuscript; critically revised the manuscript; gave final approval; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. A. Rosenfeld contributed to conception, design, and acquisition; drafted the manuscript; critically revised the manuscript; gave final approval; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. S. Dunn contributed to conception, design, acquisition, and interpretation; drafted the manuscript; critically revised the manuscript; gave final approval; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. J. Dungan contributed to conception, design, analysis, and interpretation; drafted the manuscript; critically the revised manuscript; gave final approval; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. K. Maki contributed to conception acquisition, analysis, and interpretation; drafted the manuscript; critically revised the manuscript; gave final approval; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. H. DeVon contributed to conception, design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation; drafted the manuscript; critically revised the manuscript; gave final approval; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the National Institute of Nursing Research (NINR; grant number R01NR012012).

References

- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. (2015). A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature*, 526, 68–74. doi:10.1038/ nature15393
- Ait-Oufella, H., Taleb, S., Mallat, Z., & Tedgui, A. (2011). Recent advances on the role of cytokines in atherosclerosis. *Arteriosclero*sis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, 31, 969–979. doi:10.1161/ ATVBAHA.110.207415

- Albert, P. R. (2011). What is a functional genetic polymorphism? Defining classes of functionality. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*, 36, 363–365. doi:10.1503/jpn.110137
- Altara, R., Manca, M., Hermans, K. C., Daskalopoulos, E. P., Brunner-La Rocca, H. P., Hermans, R. J., ... Blankesteijn, M. W. (2015). Diurnal rhythms of serum and plasma cytokine profiles in healthy elderly individuals assessed using membrane based multiplexed immunoassay. *Journal of Translational Medicine*, 13, 129. doi:10.1186/s12967-015-0477-1
- Andrade, P., Visser-Vandewalle, V., Hoffmann, C., Steinbusch, H. W., Daemen, M. A., & Hoogland, G. (2011). Role of TNF-alpha during central sensitization in preclinical studies. *Neurological Sciences*, 32, 757–771. doi:10.1007/s10072-011-0599-z
- Ansari, W. M., Humphries, S. E., Naveed, A. K., Khan, O. J., & Khan, D. A. (2017). Influence of cytokine gene polymorphisms on proinflammatory/anti-inflammatory cytokine imbalance in premature coronary artery disease. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 93, 209–214. doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2016-134167
- Babu, B. M., Reddy, B. P., Priya, V. H., Munshi, A., Rani, H. S., Latha, G. S., ... Jyothy, A. (2012). Cytokine gene polymorphisms in the susceptibility to acute coronary syndrome. *Genetic Testing* and Molecular Biomarkers, 16, 359–365. doi:10.1089/gtmb.2011. 0182
- Belfer, I., Wu, T., Kingman, A., Krishnaraju, R. K., Goldman, D., & Max, M. B. (2004). Candidate gene studies of human pain mechanisms: Methods for optimizing choice of polymorphisms and sample size. *Anesthesiology*, 100, 1562–1572. doi:10.1097/ 00000542-200406000-00032
- Berg, K. K., Madsen, H. O., Garred, P., Wiseth, R., Gunnes, S., & Videm, V. (2009). The additive contribution from inflammatory genetic markers on the severity of cardiovascular disease. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology*, 69, 36–42. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3083.2008.02187.x
- Blake, G. J., & Ridker, P. M. (2001). Novel clinical markers of vascular wall inflammation. *Circulation Research: Journal of The American Heart Association*, 89, 763–771. doi:10.1161/hh2101. 099270
- Blankenberg, S., McQueen, M. J., Smieja, M., Pogue, J., Balion, C., Lonn, E., ... HOPE study investigator. (2006). Comparative impact of multiple biomarkers and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in the context of conventional risk factors for the prediction of recurrent cardiovascular events in the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) Study. *Circulation*, 114, 201–208. doi:10. 1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.590927
- Bower, J. E., Ganz, P. A., Irwin, M. R., Castellon, S., Arevalo, J., & Cole, S. W. (2013). Cytokine genetic variations and fatigue among patients with breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 31, 1656–1661. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.46.2143
- Buraczynska, M., Ksiazek, K., Zukowski, P., & Grzebalska, A. (2016). Interleukin-18 gene polymorphism and risk of CVD in older patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Research* and Clinical Practice, 121, 178–183. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2016. 09.021
- Castillo, L., Rohatgi, A., Ayers, C. R., Owens, A. W., Das, S. R., Khera, A., ... de Lemos, J. A. (2010). Associations of four circulating chemokines with multiple atherosclerosis phenotypes in a

large population-based sample: Results from the Dallas heart study. *Journal of Interferon and Cytokine Research*, 30, 339–347. doi:10.1089/jir.2009.0045

- Charlson, M., Szatrowski, T. P., Peterson, J., & Gold, J. (1994). Validation of a combined comorbidity index. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 47, 1245–1251. doi:10.1016/0895-4356(94)90129-5
- Cui, G., Li, Z., Li, R., Huang, J., Wang, H., Zhang, L., ... Wang, D. W. (2014). A functional variant in APOA5/A4/C3/A1 gene cluster contributes to elevated triglycerides and severity of CAD by interfering with microRNA 3201 binding efficiency. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 64, 267–277. doi:10.1016/ j.jacc.2014.03.050
- De Groot, V., Beckerman, H., Lankhorst, G. J., & Bouter, L. M. (2003). How to measure comorbidity. A critical review of available methods. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 56, 221–229. doi:10.1016/S0895-4356(02)00585-1
- DeVon, H. A., Piano, M. R., Rosenfeld, A. G., & Hoppensteadt, D. A. (2014). The association of pain with protein inflammatory biomarkers: A review of the literature. *Nursing Research*, 63, 51–62. doi:10.1097/NNR.00000000000013
- DeVon, H. A., Ryan, C. J., Ochs, A. L., & Shapiro, M. (2008). Symptoms across the continuum of acute coronary syndromes: Differences between women and men. American Journal of Critical Care: An Official Publication, American Association of Critical-Care Nurses, 17, 14–24; quiz 25.
- DeVon, H. A., Ryan, C. J., Rankin, S. H., & Cooper, B. A. (2010). Classifying subgroups of patients with symptoms of acute coronary syndromes: A cluster analysis. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 33, 386–397. doi:10.1002/nur.20395
- DeVon, H. A., & Zerwic, J. J. (2003). The symptoms of unstable angina: Do women and men differ? *Nursing Research*, 52, 108–118. doi:10.1097/00006199-200303000-00007
- Dhruva, A., Aouizerat, B. E., Cooper, B., Paul, S. M., Dodd, M., West, C., ... Miaskowski, C. (2015). Cytokine gene associations with self-report ratings of morning and evening fatigue in oncology patients and their family caregivers. *Biological Research for Nur*sing, 17, 175–184. doi:10.1177/1099800414534313
- Doong, S. H., Dhruva, A., Dunn, L. B., West, C., Paul, S. M., Cooper, B. A., ... Miaskowski, C. (2015). Associations between cytokine genes and a symptom cluster of pain, fatigue, sleep disturbance, and depression in patients prior to breast cancer surgery. *Biological Research for Nursing*, 17, 237–247. doi:10.1177/ 1099800414550394
- Dowlati, Y., Herrmann, N., Swardfager, W., Liu, H., Sham, L., Reim, E. K., & Lanctot, K. L. (2010). A meta-analysis of cytokines in major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*, 67, 446–457. doi:10. 1016/j.biopsych.2009.09.033
- Dunn, L. B., Aouizerat, B. E., Langford, D. J., Cooper, B. A., Dhruva, A., Cataldo, J. K., ... Miaskowski, C. (2013). Cytokine gene variation is associated with depressive symptom trajectories in oncology patients and family caregivers. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 17, 346–353. doi:10.1016/j.ejon.2012.10.004
- Galbo, H., & Kall, L. (2016). Circadian variations in clinical symptoms and concentrations of inflammatory cytokines, melatonin, and cortisol in polymyalgia rheumatica before and during prednisolone treatment: A controlled, observational, clinical

experimental study. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*, *18*, 174. doi:10. 1186/s13075-016-1072-4

- Goldstein, L. B., Samsa, G. P., Matchar, D. B., & Horner, R. D. (2004). Charlson index comorbidity adjustment for ischemic stroke outcome studies. *Stroke*, 35, 1941–1945. doi:10.1161/01.STR. 0000135225.80898.1c
- Gori, A. M., Corsi, A. M., Fedi, S., Gazzini, A., Sofi, F., Bartali, B., ... Ferrucci, L. (2005). A proinflammatory state is associated with hyperhomocysteinemia in the elderly. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 82, 335–341. doi:10.1093/ajcn.82.2.335
- Hansson, G. K. (2005). Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 352, 1685–1695. doi:10.1056/NEJMra043430
- He, M., Cornelis, M. C., Kraft, P., van Dam, R. M., Sun, Q., Laurie, C. C., ... Qi, L. (2010). Genome-wide association study identifies variants at the IL18-BCO2 locus associated with interleukin-18 levels. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, 30*, 885–890. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.109.199422
- Hlatky, M. A., Boineau, R. E., Higginbotham, M. B., Lee, K. L., Mark, D. B., Califf, R. M., ... Pryor, D. B. (1989). A brief selfadministered questionnaire to determine functional capacity (the Duke Activity Status Index). *American Journal of Cardiology*, 64, 651–654. doi:10.1016/0002-9149(89)90496-7
- Hollander, J. E., Blomkalns, A. L., Brogan, G. X., Diercks, D. B., Field, J. M., Garvey, J. L., ... Education, G. I. (2004). Standardized reporting guidelines for studies evaluating risk stratification of emergency department patients with potential acute coronary syndromes. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 44, 589–598. doi:10. 1016/j.annemergmed.2004.08.009
- Hussain, S., Iqbal, T., & Javed, Q. (2015). TNF-alpha-308G>A polymorphism and the risk of familial CAD in a Pakistani population. *Human Immunology*, 76, 13–18. doi:10.1016/ j.humimm.2014.12.010
- Imholz, L., Meister-Langraf, R. E., Princip, M., Fux, M., Schnyder, U., Barth, J., ... von Kanel, R. (2017). Are inflammatory cytokines associated with pain during acute myocardial infarction? *Neuroimmunomodulation*, 24, 154–161. doi:10.1159/000481455
- Johnson, V. J., Yucesoy, B., & Luster, M. I. (2004). Genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms in cytokine genes using real-time PCR allelic discrimination technology. *Cytokine*, 27, 135–141. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2004.05.002
- Katz, D. A., Aufderheide, T. P., Bogner, M., Rahko, P. S., Hillis, S. L., & Selker, H. P. (2008). Do emergency department patients with possible acute coronary syndrome have better outcomes when admitted to cardiology versus other services? *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 51, 561–570. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2007.05.016
- Kazemi, E., Jamialahmadi, K., Avan, A., Mirhafez, S. R., Mohiti, J., Pirhoushiaran, M., ... Ghayour-Mobarhan, M. (2018). Association of tumor necrosis factor-alpha-308 G/A gene polymorphism with coronary artery diseases: An evidence-based study. *Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis*, 32. doi:10.1002/jcla.22153
- Koch, A., Zacharowski, K., Boehm, O., Stevens, M., Lipfert, P., von Giesen, H. J., ... Freynhagen, R. (2007). Nitric oxide and proinflammatory cytokines correlate with pain intensity in chronic pain patients. *Inflammation Research*, 56, 32–37. doi:10.1007/ s00011-007-6088-4

- Kokai, M., Kashiwamura, S., Okamura, H., Ohara, K., & Morita, Y. (2002). Plasma interleukin-18 levels in patients with psychiatric disorders. *Journal of Immunotherapy*, 25, S68–S71. doi:10.1097/ 00002371-200203001-00011
- Lio, D., Candore, G., Crivello, A., Scola, L., Colonna-Romano, G., Cavallone, L., ... Caruso, C. (2004). Opposite effects of interleukin 10 common gene polymorphisms in cardiovascular diseases and in successful ageing: Genetic background of male centenarians is protective against coronary heart disease. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, 41, 790–794. doi:10.1136/jmg.2004.019885
- Mallat, Z., Henry, P., Fressonnet, R., Alouani, S., Scoazec, A., Beaufils, P., ... Tedgui, A. (2002). Increased plasma concentrations of interleukin-18 in acute coronary syndromes. *Heart*, 88, 467–469. doi:10.1136/heart.88.5.467
- Mazzone, A., Cusa, C., Mazzucchelli, I., Vezzoli, M., Ottini, E., Pacifici, R., ... Falcone, C. (2001). Increased production of inflammatory cytokines in patients with silent myocardial ischemia. *Journal* of the American College of Cardiology, 38, 1895–1901. doi:10. 1016/S0735-1097(01)01660-6
- Miller, G. E., Freedland, K. E., & Carney, R. M. (2005). Depressive symptoms and the regulation of proinflammatory cytokine expression in patients with coronary heart disease. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 59, 231–236. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2005.06.004
- Miyoshi, K., Obata, K., Kondo, T., Okamura, H., & Noguchi, K. (2008). Interleukin-18-mediated microglia/astrocyte interaction in the spinal cord enhances neuropathic pain processing after nerve injury. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 28, 12775–12787. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3512-08.2008
- Nilsonne, G., Lekander, M., Åkerstedt, T., Axelsson, J., & Ingre, M. (2016). Diurnal variation of circulating interleukin-6 in humans: A meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, *11*, e0165799. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0165799
- Nunez, J. E., Nunez, E., Facila, L., Bertomeu, V., Llacer, A., Bodi, V., ... Chorro, F. J. (2004). Prognostic value of Charlson comorbidity index at 30 days and 1 year after acute myocardial infarction. *Revista Espanola Cardiologia*, 57, 842–849.
- Quan, H., Li, B., Couris, C. M., Fushimi, K., Graham, P., Hider, P., ... Sundararajan, V. (2011). Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score for risk adjustment in hospital discharge abstracts using data from 6 countries. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 173, 676–682. doi:10.1093/aje/kwq433
- Radovanovic, D., Seifert, B., Urban, P., Eberli, F. R., Rickli, H., Bertel, O., ... on behalf of the AMIS Plus Investigators. (2014). Validity of Charlson Comorbidity Index in patients hospitalised with acute coronary syndrome. Insights from the nationwide AMIS Plus registry 2002-2012. *Heart*, 100, 288–294. doi:10.1136/ heartjnl-2013-304588
- Rausch, S. M., Clark, M. M., Patten, C., Liu, H., Felten, S., Li, Y., ... Yang, P. (2010). Relationship between cytokine gene single nucleotide polymorphisms and symptom burden and quality of life in lung cancer survivors. *Cancer*, 116, 4103–4113. doi:10.1002/cncr.25255
- Rehman, S., Akhtar, N., Saba, N., Munir, S., Ahmed, W., Mohyuddin, A., & Khanum, A. (2013). A study on the association of TNFalpha(-308), IL-6(-174), IL-10(-1082) and IL-1Ra(VNTR) gene

polymorphisms with rheumatic heart disease in Pakistani patients. *Cytokine*, *61*, 527–531. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2012.10.020

- Reyes-Gibby, C. C., Swartz, M. D., Yu, X., Wu, X., Yennurajalingam, S., Anderson, K. O., ... Shete, S. (2013). Symptom clusters of pain, depressed mood, and fatigue in lung cancer: Assessing the role of cytokine genes. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 21, 3117–3125. doi:10.1007/s00520-013-1885-5
- Reyes-Gibby, C. C., Wu, X., Spitz, M., Kurzrock, R., Fisch, M., Bruera, E., & Shete, S. (2008). Molecular epidemiology, cancerrelated symptoms, and cytokines pathway. *Lancet Oncology*, 9, 777–785. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70197-9
- Rittner, H. L., Machelska, H., & Stein, C. (2005). Leukocytes in the regulation of pain and analgesia. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*, 78, 1215–1222. doi:10.1189/jlb.0405223
- Ryan, C. J., DeVon, H. A., Horne, R., King, K. B., Milner, K., Moser, D. K., ... Zerwic, J. J. (2007). Symptom clusters in acute myocardial infarction: A secondary data analysis. *Nursing Research*, 56, 72–81. doi:10.1097/01.NNR.0000263968.01254.d6
- Schnabel, R. B., & Blankenberg, S. (2009). Commentary: Circulating cytokines and risk stratification of stroke incidence—Will we do better in future? *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 38, 261–262. doi:10.1093/ije/dyn263
- Seruga, B., Zhang, H., Bernstein, L. J., & Tannock, I. F. (2008). Cytokines and their relationship to the symptoms and outcome of cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 8, 887–899. doi:10.1038/nrc2507
- Shi, Q., Wang, X. S., Li, G., Shah, N. D., Orlowski, R. Z., Williams, L. A., ... Cleeland, C. S. (2015). Racial/ethnic disparities in inflammatory gene single-nucleotide polymorphisms as predictors of a high risk for symptom burden in patients with multiple myeloma 1 year after diagnosis. *Cancer*, 121, 1138–1146. doi:10.1002/cncr.29154
- Sturmer, T., Raum, E., Buchner, M., Gebhardt, K., Schiltenwolf, M., Richter, W., & Brenner, H. (2005). Pain and high sensitivity C reactive protein in patients with chronic low back pain and acute sciatic pain. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 64, 921–925. doi: 10.1136/ard.2004.027045
- Sukoff Rizzo, S. J., Neal, S. J., Hughes, Z. A., Beyna, M., Rosenzweig-Lipson, S., Moss, S. J., & Brandon, N. J. (2012). Evidence for sustained elevation of IL-6 in the CNS as a key contributor of depressive-like phenotypes. *Translational Psychiatry*, 2, e199. doi:10.1038/tp.2012.120
- Taraz, M., Taraz, S., & Dashti-Khavidaki, S. (2015). Association between depression and inflammatory/anti-inflammatory cytokines in chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease

patients: A review of literature. *Hemodialysis International*, 19, 11–22. doi:10.1111/hdi.12200

- Tekola Ayele, F., Doumatey, A., Huang, H., Zhou, J., Charles, B., Erdos, M., ... Rotimi, C. N. (2012). Genome-wide associated loci influencing interleukin (IL)-10, IL-1Ra, and IL-6 levels in African Americans. *Immunogenetics*, 64, 351–359. doi:10.1007/s00251-011-0596-7
- Verri, W. A. Jr., Cunha, T. M., Magro, D. A., Domingues, A. C., Vieira, S. M., Souza, G. R., ... Cunha, F. Q. (2008). Role of IL-18 in overt pain-like behaviour in mice. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 588, 207–212. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2008.04.010
- Verri, W. A. Jr., Cunha, T. M., Parada, C. A., Poole, S., Cunha, F. Q., & Ferreira, S. H. (2006). Hypernociceptive role of cytokines and chemokines: Targets for analgesic drug development? *Pharmacol*ogy & *Therapeutics*, *112*, 116–138. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera. 2006.04.001
- Wang, H., Schiltenwolf, M., & Buchner, M. (2008). The role of TNFalpha in patients with chronic low back pain-a prospective comparative longitudinal study. *Clinical Journal of Pain*, 24, 273–278. doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e31816111d3
- Wang, T., Yin, J., Miller, A. H., & Xiao, C. (2017). A systematic review of the association between fatigue and genetic polymorphisms. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*, 62, 230–244. doi:10.1016/j. bbi.2017.01.007
- Wang, T., Yin, J., Miller, A. H., & Xiao, C. (2017). A systematic review of the association between fatigue and genetic polymorphisms. *Brain Behavior and Immunity*, 62, 230–244. doi:10.1016/j. bbi.2017.01.007
- Wang, X. S., Shi, Q., Williams, L. A., Mao, L., Cleeland, C. S., Komaki, R. R., ... Liao, Z. (2010). Inflammatory cytokines are associated with the development of symptom burden in patients with NSCLC undergoing concurrent chemoradiation therapy. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 24*, 968–974. doi:10.1016/j.bbi. 2010.03.009
- Weber, K. T., Alipui, D. O., Sison, C. P., Bloom, O., Quraishi, S., Overby, M. C., ... Chahine, N. O. (2016). Serum levels of the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 vary based on diagnoses in individuals with lumbar intervertebral disc diseases. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*, 18, 3. doi:10.1186/s13075-015-0887-8
- Welsh, P., Lowe, G. D., Chalmers, J., Campbell, D. J., Rumley, A., Neal, B. C., ... Woodward, M. (2008). Associations of proinflammatory cytokines with the risk of recurrent stroke. *Stroke*, 39, 2226–2230. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.504498