DOI: 10.1111/mcn.12229

Review Article

A meta-analysis of nutrition interventions on mental development of children under-two in low- and middleincome countries

Leila Margaret Larson^{*} and Aisha K. Yousafzai[†]

*Emory University, Nutrition and Health Sciences Program, Laney Graduate School, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, and [†]Aga Khan University, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan

Abstract

Interventions to improve nutritional status of young children in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) may have the added benefit of improving their mental and motor development. This meta-analysis updates and goes beyond previous ones by answering two important questions: (1) do prenatal and postnatal nutritional inputs improve mental development, and (2) are effects on mental development associated with two theoretically interesting mediators namely physical growth and motor development? The meta-analysis of articles on Medline, PsycINFO, Global Health and Embase was limited to randomized trials in LMICs, with mental development of children from birth to age two years as an outcome. The initial yield of 2689 studies was reduced to 33; 12 received a global quality rating of strong. Of the 10 prenatal and 23 postnatal nutrition interventions, the majority used zinc, iron/folic acid, vitamin A or multiple micronutrients, with a few evaluating macronutrients. The weighted mean effect size, Cohen's d (95% CI) for prenatal and postnatal nutrition interventions on mental development was 0.042 (-0.0084, 0.092) and 0.076 (0.019, 0.13), respectively. Postnatal supplements consisting of macronutrients yielded an effect size d (95%) CI) of 0.14 (0.0067, 0.27), multiple micronutrients 0.082 (-0.012, 0.18) and single micronutrients 0.058 (-0.0015, 0.12). Motor development, but not growth status, effect sizes were significantly associated with mental development in postnatal interventions. In summary, nutrition interventions had small effects on mental development. Future studies might have greater effect if they addressed macronutrient deficiencies combined with child stimulation and hygiene and sanitation interventions.

Keywords: infant and child nutrition, micronutrient, macronutrient, cognitive development, child development, low income countries.

Correspondence: Leila Larson, Emory University, Nutrition and Health Sciences Program, Laney Graduate School, 1462 Clifton Rd, Suite 314, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, USA. E-mail: leila.larson@emory.edu

Introduction

Malnourished children consistently perform poorly on tests of mental development in both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies (Grantham-McGregor *et al.* 2007). The impact of nutrition on cognitive and language development is particularly important in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) where many children are affected by both macronutrient and micronutrient deficiencies. Consequently a number of recent nutrition interventions have examined cognitive benefits along with physical growth. The first objective of this review is to examine accumulating evidence for the effects of both macro and micronutrient supplements on mental development in young children less than two years of age.

The secondary aim is to examine the proposed pathways by which nutrition may impact mental development. It is critical to examine mediators in the pathways showing how nutritional status/physical growth leads to mental development. Previous meta-analyses have not examined these mediators. Postnatally, better nutrition may influence mental development through several pathways. In addition to direct effects on brain development, another is through motor development: children with better nutrition may walk at an earlier age (Dewey et al. 2001), leading to increased interaction with, and exploration of, their environment. Adolph and Tamis-LeMonda argue that infants willingly abandon their status as expert crawlers to become unsteady walkers, in part because it leads to richer experiences, more ground to cover and objects to play with, and a different type of interaction with others (2014). Height and weight may also influence the caregiver's behaviour toward the child, such as providing more sophisticated stimulation to a child who appears more mature physically and less to a malnourished child (Brown & Pollitt 1996). Both pathways enhance stimulation and may in turn affect children's overall cognitive performance (Prado & Dewey 2014). This review examines mental development and motor development outcomes of children under-24 months receiving a nutrition intervention. It also investigates whether children's mental development (mainly cognitive) is associated with greater growth and motor development, thus supporting explanations of the link between nutrition and mental development.

Two previous systematic reviews examined the effects of multiple micronutrients on cognitive outcomes in children, together including only two randomized controlled trials (RCT) of children under-two years (Ramakrishnan *et al.* 2009; Eilander *et al.* 2010). Several studies assessed motor development only. Eilander *et al.* (2010) included one study on mental development with no significant effects (Dhingra *et al.* 2004). Two other trials included outcomes of motor development, specifically the age of walking unassisted, where multiple micronutrients had significantly positive effects (Faber *et al.* 2005; Olney *et al.* 2006). In Ramakrishnan *et al.*'s review (2009) only one study, different than the

one noted by Eilander and colleagues, looked at mental development and found no significant effects because of multiple micronutrients (Black *et al.* 2004a). These reviews were limited to postnatal micronutrient interventions only with few assessing child cognition outcomes; therefore, the effect of micronutrient supplementation on children's cognition as well as the comparison with macronutrient supplementation requires further investigation.

A third systematic review examined the effects of a single micronutrient, namely iron supplements, in seven RCTs where there was a non-significant effect on mental and motor development of children under-24 months of age (Pasricha et al. 2013). The effect of iron, a single micronutrient, compared with multiple micronutrient supplementation can be further analysed. Finally, a fourth systematic review found a small effect of postnatal nutrition interventions on mental development but was confined to studies between 2000 and 2012, and so excluded many studies conducted before that date when macronutrients were more likely to be studied (Aboud & Yousafzai 2015). Further, this review did not examine possible explanations for the small overall effect seen.

A systematic review looking at prenatal micronutrient supplementation and its effect on children's mental development found no significant results (Leung *et al.* 2011). However, psychomotor outcomes improved in two studies using multiple micronutrients and one study using fish oil (Joos *et al.* 1983; Tofail *et al.* 2006; Li *et al.* 2009). Several other fatty acid reviews have been conducted and found non-significant overall effects on mental and motor development (Smithers *et al.* 2008; Beyerlein *et al.* 2010; Qawasmi *et al.* 2012; Gould *et al.*

Key messages

- Mental development is weakly affected by nutrition interventions in children under-two years of age in low- and middle-income countries.
- There is a non-significant trend toward greater benefit on mental development from interventions using macronutrients and multiple micronutrients as compared with single micronutrients.
- Nutrition interventions of adequate sample sizes for the outcome of interest (i.e. mental development) are needed.
- Investigation of the mediating pathways to mental development, including stunting, illness, motor development and temperament, is needed to create a stronger evidence base for their impact on early mental development in resource-poor settings.

2013; Qawasmi *et al.* 2013), but their findings are largely from RCTs in high-income countries limiting the interpretation of these data for LMICs where maternal malnutrition is highly prevalent.

In recent years, the number of nutrition intervention studies assessing development as a primary or secondary outcome has increased making it timely for current review. The primary objective of this meta-analysis is to examine the effect of nutrition supplementation on mental development in LMICs. This meta-analysis extends upon previous systematic reviews by addressing effects of pre and postnatal interventions, as well as micro and macronutrition supplementation and their effects on mental development. The secondary objective is to examine the potential pathways by which nutritional inputs may affect mental development, namely nutritional status and motor development. Motor development has been used to explain how nutritional inputs affect mental development (Brown & Pollitt 1996; Prado & Dewey 2014); for example, by enhancing activity and exploration with length and weight underpinning this explanation. We examine these associations in order to identify key mediators, but also potential barriers to why mental development may not be affected. This review is limited to RCTs in LMICs to study the effect of nutrition in resource-poor settings and is also limited to children under the age of two years. The first 1000 days is now of greatest concern to nutritionists and is an age of rapid brain development (Werker & Tees 2005). As a result, improved conditions before the age of two years may have greater benefits on mental and motor development than at a later age. Therefore, this review looks at the effect of nutrition interventions in pregnant and lactating mothers on their children's mental development before the age of two years, and also the effect of nutrition interventions in children on their mental development until the age of two years.

Methods

Study search

A search of four databases, Global Health, Medline, PsycINFO and Embase, was conducted to identify articles on nutrition interventions and mental development. The search strategy included topics related to nutrition, mental development, and evaluated interventions, using the following terms: nutrient requirements, infant foods, feeding behaviour, food supplements, nutrients, micronutrient, diet, iodine, iron, stunting, height, malnutrition, Bayley, PPVT, language, cognitive, trial, intervention, programme and RCT. The search was limited to years January 1970 to September 2014, and to English language publications. In Medline, it was possible to limit the age from birth to 24 months. The references from the identified articles were also searched for any additional studies. The PRISMA guidelines were followed (Moher et al. 2009). The clinical trials registry (www.clinicaltrials.gov) was searched for relevant trials in the same study period that were not captured in the peer reviewed literature search. However, no additional trials were found.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were listed as: LMIC, RCT, mental development outcome measured in children from birth to 24 months and an empirical analysis of the data. Fine and gross motor, morbidity, mortality, growth and other nutritional outcomes were recorded if they were analysed in the article. Authors were contacted to obtain outcome statistics if they were not included in the published article. Both prenatal and postnatal nutritional supplementation trials were included. Samples of preterm children were excluded because the degree of prematurity cannot be reliably assessed in many LMICs, particularly with home births. Studies with supplementation periods shorter than two months were excluded. Other exclusion criteria included: no specific child- or prenatal-based intervention, such as screening or cash transfer interventions; hospital-based studies for children with a major disease or disorder, such as cancer or diabetes; autistic children and reviews or secondary analyses of studies that were already included.

Study selection and data extraction

A first pass of the articles yielded by the search strategy examined the country of data, age of children and nature of the sample. This yielded still a large number of citations, which were further examined in a second pass that considered all inclusion criteria. These two passes were done independently by two reviewers. Data extraction was also completed independently by these two reviewers. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion. Data extraction tables were created with the following information: (1) reference and country; (2) sample size analysed, ages at baseline and endpoint, baseline height-for-age z-score (HAZ) or body mass index (BMI); (3) study design; (4) intervention including nutrients, duration; (5) main mental development outcomes, nutritional outcomes, motor outcomes and effect size Cohen's d; and (6) quality assessment. The effect size was the main summary measure. All mental development test scores were retrieved, whether they included separate or combined cognitive and language subtest scores. Most studies had groups that were comparable at baseline on variables that correlated with the outcome. For this reason, the outcome mean and standard deviation at the study endpoint were used to calculate the effect size for each comparison. Effect sizes were calculated for all group comparisons in a single study.

Quality assessment of RCTs

The Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) quality assessment tool was used to assign a global rating to each study (Jackson & Waters 2005). Quality is rated according to selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection methods, withdrawals and dropouts, intervention integrity and analysis. Ratings of prenatal and postnatal studies were assigned by two independent reviewers to ensure reliability (kappa = 0.69 for prenatal studies and kappa = 0.72 for postnatal studies). We used funnel plots to assess potential publication bias.

Analysis

The effect size Cohen's d for each group comparison was calculated by dividing the difference in the mean endpoint scores for the intervention and control group by the pooled standard deviation. These effect sizes were then weighted by the inverse variance of the endpoint scores. The overall effect size was calculated by taking the mean of these weighted individual trial effect sizes. In order to appropriately assess for statistical heterogeneity among trials, we ran a chi squared test on the Cochrane's heterogeneity statistic Q, and calculated the l^2 statistic (calculated as $l^2 = (Q - df)/Q$, where df is the degree of freedom). For prenatal trials, the *Q*statistic was 15.46 with a *P*-value of 0.22 and l^2 of 22.39; postnatal trials resulted in a *Q*-statistic of 41.39 with a *P*-value of 0.08 and l^2 of 25.11. These l^2 values represent moderate heterogeneity, and therefore random effects models were used. The statistical software SAS version 9.4 was used for the analysis.

Specific to our secondary objective, we used PROC MIXED to run a random effects meta-regression model to examine whether study quality (its global rating), intervention type, sample size, baseline HAZ (for postnatal studies) or baseline maternal BMI (for prenatal studies), motor development effect size and endline HAZ effect size were significantly associated with mental development effect size. The study was included in the model as a random effect and study quality, intervention type, sample size, baseline HAZ or BMI, motor development effect size and endline HAZ effect size were used as fixed effects in the model. We used the empirical sandwich estimator to account for covariance correlation matrix between and within studies. This analysis adjusts for correlations among multiple effects derived from the different interventions provided within specific studies.

Results

Search flow

The original search of all four databases yielded 2689 citations with 936 excluded because of duplicates. The first pass reduced the number of studies to 188, and the second pass left 33 RCTs of nutrition interventions analysing mental development in children aged less than two years in LMICs (Fig. 1). An additional 12 studies were identified from recent reviews that appeared in the database search, of which none was included in the final sample after full text review.

Study characteristics

The studies included in the current meta-analysis were classified into two main categories (see Tables 1 and 2): (1) those where nutrition was given prenatally and children under the age of two were followed-up after birth



Fig. I. Selection of studies for the systematic review of the effect of nutritional interventions on child mental development.

to test mental development; and (2) those where supplementation or some other type of nutrition intervention was given to children under the age of two years and their mental development was assessed shortly after. Ten studies fit the former category and 23 met the latter category. All but one had samples that ranged from well nourished to moderately malnourished on average. Prenatal interventions included 5352 children; postnatal intervention included 6485 children.

Most studies were conducted in low-income countries from Africa and South Asia. Some were conducted in Latin America, where countries have higher Human Development Indexes; nonetheless samples included malnourished children from urban slums or rural sites.

The prenatal studies used supplementation in the second and/or third trimesters of pregnancy. Most of the postnatal nutrition interventions started when the child was six months of age. However, six trials began within the first two months after birth. The duration of the interventions ranged from two months to 24 months, with a mode of six months.

The majority of studies used zinc, iron/folic acid, vitamin A, iodine or multiple micronutrients (n = 24). Others looked at the effect of supplementation with fatty acids or food supplements (n=8), and one gave a calorie- and protein-dense milk supplement. With respect to the comparison group, the majority of studies provided a placebo or nothing (n=19), and the remainder provided either fewer micronutrients or lower energy supplements.

Mental development tests used

Almost all of the studies included in this meta-analysis used a direct assessment of the child, where a sequence of tasks, ordered in terms of level of difficulty, is given to the child and scored as pass or fail. Items involve measuring competencies related to cognition, expressive and receptive language and fine motor skills.

Twenty-four studies used the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (BSID-I, -II or -III)-Mental Scale (Bayley 2006). Four studies instead administered the Griffiths Mental Developmental Scale (Griffiths 1996), while single studies used the Fagan Test of Infant Intelligence (Fagan & Shepard 1986), a language test derived from BSID II, language milestones or a twoitem problem-solving test included in the BSID II. Most studies were unable to separate language and cognition subscores and so the effect of the intervention on these distinct outcomes is not clear. When language and cognition were measured separately, cognition was used to calculate the effect size for mental development. The Bayley, Griffith and milestones were used to measure gross motor development if included as an outcome in the study.

			Main development outco	omes			Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Mental development (mean ± SD)	p	Motor development (mean ± SD)	þ	Item ratings	Global rating
Thilly <i>et al.</i> 1980a DR Congo HDI .304 (Thilly, 1980a,1980b;	N = 75; INT $n = 39$; CTRL $n = 36Mothers enrolled in 2nd and 3rdtrimesterInfants tested at 23 months.$	RCT where Intervention received a single dose of 475-mg iodine in oil and Control received a placebo.	Brunet-Lézine: INT (115 ± 18) > CTRL (103 ± 24)**	0.57			W, S, S, S, W, W, S, M	Weak
1 muy, 1281) Joos <i>et al.</i> 1983 Taiwan HDI .699 (Joos <i>et al.</i> 1983)	N = 198; INT $n = 99$; CTRL $n = 99Mothers enrolled during lactationof first pregnancy.Infants from second pregnancy tested at 8 mMean base maternal BMI = 20.4 kg/m2$	RCT where Intervention received a high calorie and protein supplement (800 kcal and 40-g protein per day). Control received placebo with 6 kcal or 80 kcal per day. They were in liquid form. A vitamin and mineral pill was given to all women. Supplementation during lactation of first child and continued through interpregnancy, pregnancy and lactation of second child. This analysis used children from	BSID: INT (4.48 ± 1.8) = CTRL (4.39 ± 1.8)	0.05	<i>BSID</i> : INT (3.8 ± 1.9) = CTRL (3.31 ± 1.71)	0.27	M, S, S, M, S S, M	Moderate
Hamadani et al. 2002 Bangladesh HDI .515 (Hamadani	N = 168; INT $n = 83$; CTRL $n = 85Women started supplementation at4-m gestationInfants tested at 13 mMean base maternal BMI = 18.7 kg/m2$	the second pregnancy only. RCT where Intervention received daily zinc supplementation (30-mg zinc acetate tablets) and Control received a placebo.	BSID II: INT (99.34 ± 11.2) < CTRL (102.64 ± 10.0)*	-0.31	<i>BSID II</i> : INT (88.7 ± 17.4) < CTRL (95.7 ± 15.0)**	-0.43	M, S, S, S, S, S, M, S,	Strong
et al. 2002) Schmidt et al. 2004 Indonesia HDJ .629 (Schmidt	N = 188; INT $n = 94$; CTRL $n = 94Women enrolled at 16–20-wk gestationInfants measured at 12 mMean base maternal BMI = 22.0 kg/m2$	RCT where Intervention received weekly supplementation of 120-mg Fe + 500-mcg FA + 4800-mcg retinol in the form of retinyl acctate and Control received 120-mg	<i>BSID</i> : INT (105.4 ± 22.3) = CTRL (104.0 ± 27.1)	0.06	<i>BSID</i> : INT (98.3 ± 32.0) = CTRL (102.3 ± 36.8)***	-0.12	M, S, S, S, W, S, S, S	Moderate
ta al. 2004) Tofáil <i>et al.</i> 2006 Bangladesh HDI .515 (Tofáil <i>et al.</i> 2006)	N = 249; INT $n = 125$; CTRL $n = 124Mothers supplemented in last trimesterInfants tested at 10 mMean base maternal BMI = 20.3 kg/m2$	re + JOO-ILIGE rA. Re + JOO-ILIGE rA. Reif supplementation of 4 g of fish oil (containing 1.2 g of docosahexaenoic acid and 1.8 g of eicosapentaenoic acid) and Control received 4 g of daily soy-oil (containing 2.25 g of linoleic acid and 0.27 g of a-linolenic acid).	BSID II: INT (102.5 ± 8.0) = CTRL (101.5 ± 7.8)	0.13	<i>BSID II</i> : INT (101.7 ± 10.9) = CTRL (100.5 ± 10.1)	0.11	M, S, S, S, S, S S, S, S	Strong
								Continues)

Table I. Prenatal intervention studies

			Main development outco	omes			Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Mental development (mean ± SD)	q	Motor development (mean ± SD)	q	Item ratings	Global rating
McGrath <i>et al.</i> 2006 Tanzania HDI <i>476</i> (McGrath <i>et al.</i> 2006)	N = 334; Multivitamin $n = 93$; No multivitamin $n = 74$; Vitamin $A n = 94$; No vitamin $A n = 73$ Mothers enrolled at 12–27-wk gestation Infants measured at 18 m HIV-infected mothers	RCT where Interventions received daily vitamin A (30 mg of β-carotene + 5000 IU preformed vitamin A), multivitamins with no vitamin A (20-mg B1, 20-mg B12, 500-mg vitamin C, 30-mg vitamin E and 0.8-mg FA), or multivitamins + vitamin A, and Control	BSID II: INT MV (78.4 \pm 13.8) = CTRL No MV (82.0 \pm 13.5) INT Vit A (80.6 \pm 12.5) = CTRL No vit A (79.3 \pm 15.4)	-0.26 0.09	BSID II: INT MV (86.2 ± 14.3) = CTRL No MV (88.5 ± 14.8) INT Vit A (87.4 ± 12.2) = CTRL No vit A (87.0 ± 15.1)	-0.16 0.03	М, S, S, S, S, S	Moderate
Tofail <i>et al.</i> 2008 Bangladesh HDI .515 (Tofail <i>et al.</i> 2008)	N = 1407; INT MMN $n = 705$; CTRL $n = 702Mother emolled btw6 and 8 weeks of pregnancy.Infants measured at 7mMean base maternal BMI = 20.2 kg/m2$	received placebo. RCT where Intervention received daily MMN and Control received iron and folate. MMN included 150-mcg I (potassium iodide), 15-mg Zn (sulphate), 65-mcg Se (sodium selenite), 2-mg Cu (sulphate), 800-mcg retinyl acetate (RE) vitamin A, 1.4-mg thiamine mononitrate, 1.4-mg vitamin niboflavin, 18-mg vitamin B3 (macin), 19-mg vitamin B6 (pyridoxine hydrochloride), 2.6-mcg vitamin B12 (cyanocobalmin), 70-mg vitamin D3) and 10-mcg vitamin D (vitamin D3) and 10-mcg vitamin D (vitamin D3) and 10-mcg vitamin E (<i>c</i> -tocopherol acetate) in the recommended dietary allowance dose in addition to 60-mg Fe (fumarate) and 400-mcg folate.	Problem solving test: Support: INT MM (11.3 \pm 7.9) = CTRL (11.1 \pm 7.5)	0.03	BSID II:IVT MM (103.66 \pm 16.6) = CTRL (102.42 \pm 15.4)	0.08	S, S, S, W, M, S, S, S	Moderate
Li <i>et al.</i> 2009 China HDI .699 (Li <i>et al.</i> 2009)	N = 1159; INT Fe/FA $n = 393$; INT MMN $n = 351$; CTRL $n = 415$ Mean gestation age of mothers at enrollment = 97.36 days Infants measured at 12 m Mean base maternal BMI = 20.8 kg/m ₂	Control received 30-mg Fe (fumarate) and 400-mg folate. Custer RCT where Interventions received MMN, iron/folic acid or folic acid supplementation. Assume two trimesters of supplementation. Control received folic acid. MMN included 30-mg iron, 400-mcg folate, 15-mg zinc, 2-mg copper, 65-mcg selenium, 150-mcg iodine, 800-mcg vitamin A., 1,4-mg vitamin B1, 1,4-mg vitamin B2, 1,9-mg vitamin B6, 2.6-	BSID: INT Fe/FA (102.44 ± 45.26) = CTRL (102.65 ± 49.21) INT MMN (103.65 ± 42.11) INT MMN (103.65 ± 42.11) (102.65 ± 49.21)	0.04 0.02	BSID: INT Fe/FA (45.3 \pm 22.15) = CTRL (45.39 \pm 22.40) INT MMN (45.64 \pm 19.83) = CTRL (45.39 \pm 22.40)	-0.004	s s M, s, w, N, s, m,	Moderate

			Main development outco	omes			Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Mental development (mean ± SD)	q	Motor development (mean ± SD)	q	Item ratings	Global rating
Chang <i>et al.</i> 2013 China HDI 699 (Chang <i>et al.</i> 2013)	N = 850; INT Fe/FA $n = 238$; INT MMN n = 254; CTRL $n = 313Mean gestation age of mothers atenrollment = 97.36 daysInfants measured at 24mMean base maternalBMI = 20.8 kg/m2$	mcg vitamin B12, 5-mcg vitamin D, 70- mg vitamin C, 10-mg vitamin E and 18-mg niacin. FE/FA included 60-mg iron and 400-mcg folic acid. FA included 400-mcg folic acid. Cluster RCT where Interventions received MMN, iron/folic acid or folic acid supplementation. Assume two trimesters of supplementation. Control received folic acid. MMN included 30-mg iron, 400-mcg folate, 15-mg zinc, 2-mg ocpper, 65-mcg selenium, 150-mcg iodine, 800-mcg vitamin B2, 1.9-mg vitamin B1, 1.4-mg vitamin B2, 1.9-mg vitamin B6, 2.6-mcg vitamin B12, 5-mcg vitamin D, 70-mg vitamin C, 10-mg vitamin E	BSID II: INT Fe/FA (90.31 ± 16.1) = CTRL (88.78 ± 17.3) INT MMN (89.67 ± 19.5) = CTRL (88.78 ± 17.3)	0.09	<i>BSID II</i> : INT Fe/FA (10447 ± 11.7) = (TRL FA (103.89 ± 12.8) inT MMN (103.13 ± 13.0) = CTRL FA (103.89 ± 12.8)	0.05-	S, S, S, W, M, S, S, S	Moderate
Hamieh <i>et al.</i> 2013 Viet Nam HDI 617 (Hamieh <i>et al.</i> 2013)	N = 769; INT $n = 381$; CTRL $n = 388Mothers enrolled if < 16-wk gestationInfants tested at 6mMean base maternal BMI = 19.9 kg/m2$	 and Jo-mg mach. <i>FE/FA</i> included a folo-mg actin. <i>FE/FA</i> included 400-mcg folic acid. FA included 400-mcg folic acid. Cluster RCT where Intervention received MMN twice per week and Control received 60-mg elemental iron plus 0.4-mg folic acid daily. MMN contained 15 micronutrients, including 60-mg iron, 20-mg zinc, 30-mcg iodine, 4-mg coper. 130-mcg iodine, 16-mg vitamin A, 28-mg thismine, 28-mg ribolation, 38-mo vitamin A, 28-mg ribolation, 57-mc vitamin nizci 	<i>BSID III</i> :INT (101.2 ± 9.9) = CTRL (100.2 ± 11.4)	60.0			ی بی بی بی بی	Moderate
Note: Assessm analysis; HDI, Development;	ent of Quality (Item Ratings) with following cat Human Development Index; RCT, Randomized effect size d, standardized mean difference; SD,	B12, 1.5-mg folic acid, 140-mg vitamin C, 400 IU vitamin D and 20-mg vitamin E. egories in order: selection bias, study desigr t Controlled Trial; m, month; wk, week; INT standard deviation; W, weak; M, moderate;	n, confounders, blinding, da T, Intervention group; CTR S, strong; MMP, multiple m	tta collectio L, Control nicronutrier	n methods, withdrawals 6 group; BMI, body mass ii tt powder; MMN, multipl	and dropout: ndex; BSID, le micronutri	s, interventi , Bayley Scal ients; MV, m	on integrity, es of Infant ultivitamin;

Table I. (Continued)

				Main development:	al outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
Grantham- McGregor <i>et al.</i> 1991 Jamaica HDI .731 (Grantham- McGregor <i>et al.</i> 1991)	N = 65 INT $n = 32$ CTRL $n = 33$ Base age = 9–24 m with mean = 18 m End age = 33–48 m with mean = 24 m Mean base HA $Z = -2^{\circ} 0$	RCT where Intervention received milk-based formula 750 keal and 20 g protein/day and Control received no supplement.	24	Griffths Mental Scales: INT (86 ± 10) = CTRL (83 ± 10)	0:0	Griffths Mental Scales: INT (97 ± 13) = CTRL (95 ± 11)	71.0			M, S, S, M, M M, M	Strong
Idjradinata & Polliti 1993 Indonesia HDI .629 (Idjradinata & Polliti 1993)	N = 44 NT $n = 22$ CTRL $n = 22$ Base age = 12–18 m End age = 16–22 m 16–22 m informe	RCT where Intervention received ferrous sulphate 3 mg/ kg per day in syrup form and Control received a placebo syrup.	4	BSID: INT (1091 ± 22) = CTRL (1058 ± 23)	1.02	BSID: INT (08.7±2.1)= CTRL (108.3±2.1)	0.19			, S, S, S, S, S, S, M,	Moderate
Ashworth et al. 1998 Brazil HDI .730 (Ashworth et al. 1998)	matules matules n = 100 n = 48 n = 48 2n = 48 2n = 48 2n = 48 2n = 44 LBW term infants. Base age = 0 m Base age = 0 m	Prospective double- blind part-RCT where two Intervention groups received 1-mg or 5-mg Zn (as zinc sulphate) daily (except Sundays) and Control received Iplacebo. Injected liqud Zn/	0	BSID: INT 1-mg Zn (101.1 ± 11.0) = CTRL (100.4 ± 11.3) INT 5-mg Zn (100.4 ± 11.3) = CTRL (100.4 ± 11.3)	0.06	BSID: INT 1-mg Zn (1067 \pm 111) = CTRL (1091 \pm 122) INT 5-mg Zn (1069 \pm 121) = CTRL (1001 \pm 123)	-0.21 -0.18			M, M, S, S, W, M, S, S	Moderate
Pollitt <i>et al.</i> 2000 Indonesia HDI .629 (Beckett <i>et al.</i> 2000; Pollitt	V = 75 V = 75 V = 75 V = 38 CTRL n = 37 Base age = 12 m End age = 24 m Base HAZ ≤ -1	Cluster RCT where Intervention given daily high energy + Fe (E) (1171 kJ + 12-mg iron) or given Fe with low energy (M) (12-mg iron + 209 kJ). Control given	12	$\begin{array}{l} BSID 1:\\ BSID 1:\\ INT M + E (151.3;\\ \pm 60) = CTRL\\ (1493 \pm 80)\end{array}$	0.28					W, S, W, M, S, M	Weak

9 of 30

(Continues)

© 2015 John Wiley & Sons Ltd Maternal & Child Nutrition (2017), 13, e12229

				Main development	al outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
2000; Poliitt et al. 2000)		skim milk only (209 kJ). MMN tablet included eight micronutrients, in tablet form (doses of micronutrients other than iron not identified). High energy drink was condensed milk vs low energy skim milk.									
Castillo- Duran <i>et al.</i> 2001 Chile HDI .819 (Castillo- Duran <i>et al.</i>	N = 112 term neonates; INT $n = 57$ CTRL $n = 55$ Base age = newhorn	RCT where intervention group was given 5 mg/d supplemental zinc within 20 days of birth, and then monthy until	12	BSID II: At 12 m: INT (909±105) = CTRL (889 ±91)	0.20	BSID II: At 12 m: INT (845±115) = CTRL (876 ± 9.9)	-0.29	WAZ: At 12 m: INT (-023 ± 104) = CTRL (022 ± 086)	-0.47	M. S, S, S, W, M, S, M	Moderate
2001)	End age = 12 m	1 year. Control group was given a lactose placebo. All received iron 1 to 2 mg/kg/d after 5 mo.						HAZ At12 m: $INT (-0.44 \pm 0.94)$ = CTRL (-0.07 ± 0.75)	-0.60		
Hamadani <i>et al.</i> 2001 Bangladesh HDI .515 (Hamadani	N = 198 INT $n = 97$ CTRL $n = 101$ Base age	RCT where Intervention received daily 5-mg Zn and Control received	9	BSID II: INT (105.1 ± 11.0) < CTRL (106.4 ± 9.3)*	-0.33	BSID II: INT (88.0±18.9) = CTRL (90.6 ±18.9)	-0.14	WAZ: INT (-2.4 ±0.9) = CTRL (-2.5±0.9)	0.11	M, S, S, S, S, M, S, S	Strong
et al. 2001)	End age mean = 13.6 m Mean base HAZ = -1.1							HAZ: INT $(-23$ $\pm 1.0) = CTRL$ (-24 ± 1.0)	0.10		
Black <i>et al.</i> 2004a Bangladesh HDI 515	N = 221 INT MMN $n = 35$ INT Zn + Fe n = A3	RCT where Intervention received 16 MMN compared with Control	9	BSID II: Fe (104.3 ± 9.5) = CTRL (102.7 ± 13.5)	0.14	BSID II: Fe (99.5 ± 16.6) = CTRL (95.4± 16.3)	0.25	WAZ: Fe (-2.2 ± 1.0) - CTP1	-0.18	S, S, S, S, S, M, S, M	Strong
(Black <i>et al.</i> 2004a)	INT Fe $n = 47$ INT Fe $n = 47$ INT Zn $n = 49$ CTRL (riboflavin) n = 45	with control (riboflavin) and with iron and zinc separately and together. INT MMN: 2xRDA		z = CTRL (1027) = CTRL (1027) ± 135)	0.18	Zn (1012 ± 166) = CTRL (954 ± 163) Fe + Zn (103.7	0.35 0.51	-2.0 ± 1.2) (-2.0 ± 1.2) Zn (-2.0 ±12) = CTRL	0		
											Continues)

Table 2. (Continued)

					Main developmen	tal outcomes	2				Quality	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Base age 6.5 m End age 12.7 m	thiamine, niacin, FA, pantothenic acid.		Fe+Zn (105.4	0.12	± 162) = CTRL (95.4 ± 163)		(-2.0 ± 1.2)			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Mean base $HAZ = -1.2$	iodine, copper, manganese, selenium and Vitamins C, D, E,		± 11.6) = CTRL (102.7 ± 13.5)		$MMN (1045 \pm 16.5) = CTRL$	0.55	Fe + Zn (-22) $\pm 1.3) = CTRL$ (-2.0 ± 1.2)	-0.16		
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \text{Suphate} & \text{Int \mathbb{Z}: $20\text{-mg Fe} \\ \text{suphate} & \text{Int \mathbb{Z}: $20\text{-mg Fe} \\ \text{suphate} & \text{Int \mathbb{Z}: $20\text{-mg Fe} \\ \text{suphate} & \text{Int \mathbb{Z}: $20\text{-mg \mathbb{Z}} & \text{Int \mathbb{Z}: $20\text{-mg \mathbb{Z}: $24\text{-mg \mathbb{Z}} & \text{Int \mathbb{Z}: $24\text{-mg \mathbb{Z}: $24\text{-mg \mathbb{Z}} & \text{Int $\mathbb{Z}$$			B6, B12 + 20-mg Fe + 20-mg Zn INT Zn + Fe: 20-mg Zn sulphate + 20-mg Fe		$MMN (104.3 \pm 13.0) = CTRL (102.7 \pm 13.5)$	0.22	(95.4 ± 10.3)		MMN $(-21 \pm 1.0) = CTRL$ (-2.0 ± 1.2)	-0.00		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			sulphate INT Fe: 20-mg Fe sulphate INT Zn: 20-mg Zn acctate						HAZ: Fe (-1.6 ± 0.9) = CTRL (-2.0) $\pm 1.2)$	0.38		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CIKL: I-mg nboffavm						$Zn (-1.6 \pm 0.9) = CTRL (-2.0 \pm 1.2)$	0.38		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									Fe + Zn (-1.8 ± 0.9) = CTRL (-1.7 ± 1.0)	-0.11		
Black 2004 $N = 162$ RCT where 5 MMN9 $BSID II:$ 0.04 $BSID II:$ 0.020India HD1INT MMN + Zn+ Zn group comparedINT MMN + Zn0.04 $BSID II:$ 0.020 554 (Black $n = 85$ with 5 MMN group. (86.2 ± 4.9) $(91.7 \pm 98) =$ (1.7 ± 0.04) 554 (Black $n = 85$ with 5 MMN group. (86.2 ± 4.9) $(91.7 \pm 98) =$ (1.7 ± 0.02) $et al. 2004b)$ CTRL MMSyrup with MMN fed (86.2 ± 4.9) $(91.7 \pm 98) =$ (1.7 ± 0.02) $n = 77$ directly to children (86.4 ± 5.1) (91.5 ± 142) (91.5 ± 142) Base age = 1mdaiy. MMN includes (86.4 ± 5.1) (91.5 ± 142) (91.5 ± 142) Mean base180 mg/d calcium,HAZ = -1.990 mg/d folder and (1.7 ± 0.02) All newborns60 m0/d folate and (1.7 ± 0.02) (1.7 ± 0.02) (1.7 ± 0.02)									$MMN (-1.7) \pm 0.8) = CTRL$	0		
small-for-	Black 2004 India HDI :554 (Black <i>et al.</i> 2004b)	N = 162 INT MMN + Zn n = 85 CTRL MM n = 77 Base age = 1 m End age = 10 m Mean base HAZ = -1.9 All newborns small-for-	R CT where 5 MMN + Zn group compared with 5 MMN group. Syrup with MMN fed directly to children daily. MMN includes 0.5 mg/d riboflavin, 180 mg/d calcium, 90 mg/d folate and	σ	BSID II: INT MMN + Zn (862±49) = CTRL MMN (864±51)	0.04	BSID II: INT MMN + Zn (91.7 ± 9.8) = CTRL MMN (91.5 ± 142)	0.020	(-1.7±1.1)		တ် တ် တ် တ် တ တ် တ်	Strong

				Main development	tal outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
Lind <i>et al.</i> 2004	gestational age, <10 th percentile weight, term $N = 650$ mr $T = n = 163$	10 mg/d iron, with 5 mg of zinc sulphate. RCT where Intervention received	Q	<i>BSID II:</i> INT Fe (101	0.20	<i>BSID II:</i> INT Fe (106	0.27	WAZ: INT Zn	0.18	S, S, S, S, S, W,	Moderate
Indonesia HDI .629 (Lind <i>et al.</i> 2004)	INT Zn $n = 162$ INT Fe + Zn n = 161 CTRL $n = 164$ Base age = 6 m End age = 12 m	daily supplementation with 10-mg Fe, or 10-mg Zn, or both, and Control received placebo syrup.		± 9.7) = CTRL (99 ± 10.0) INT Zn (101 ± 9.3) = CTRL (99 ± 10.0)	0.21	\pm 11.0) > CTRL (103 \pm 10.8)* INT Zn (105 \pm 10.6) = CTRL (99 \pm 10.0)	0.58	(-1.46 ± 1.8) < CTRL $(-1.72 \pm 1.00)^{*}$ INT Fe (-1.65 ± 1.08)	0.06	s S S	
	Mean base $HAZ = -0.3$			INT $Zn + Fe$ (100 \pm 9.8) = CTRL (99 \pm 10.0)	0.10	INT Zn + Fe (103 ± 10.3) = CTRL (99 ± 10.0)	0.39	= CTRL (-1.72 ± 1.00) INT Fe + Zn (-1.68 ± 1.02)	0.04		
								= CTRL (-1.72 ± 1.00) (-1.72 ± 1.00) HAZ INT Fe (-0.66 ± 0.91) = CTRL	0.17		
								(-0.81 ± 0.86) INT Zn (-0.77 ± 0.92) = CTRL (-0.81 ± 0.86)	0.04		
								INT Fe + Zn (-0.90 ± 0.90) = CTRL (-0.81 ± 0.86)	-0.10		
Taneja <i>et al.</i> 2005 India HDI .554	N = 571 INT $n = 283$ CTRL $n = 288$	RCT where Intervention received daily 20-mg zinc (10-mg	4	BSID II: INT (92.8	0.14	BSID II: INT (93.9	0.15			S, S, S, S, S, S, S, M, M	Strong
											Continues)

Table 2. (Continued)

				Main developmer.	ital outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
(Taneja <i>et al.</i> 2005)	Base age = $12-18$ m with mean = 14.9 m End age = $16-24$ m with mean = 21 m Base 26.00	for infants) and Control received placebo.		± 10.9) = CTRL (91.3 ± 10.8)		± 11.8) = CTRL (92.2 ± 11.4)					
Gardner et al. 2005 Jamaica HDI. 731 (Gardner et al. 2005)	N = 114 $NT n = 55$ $CTRL n = 59$ Base age = 9-30 m End age = 15-36 m Mean base HAZ = -1.4	Cluster RCT where Intervention received 10-mg Zn daily with/out stimulation and Control received placebo with/ out stimulation, All of 10 MMN including 1500 IU vitamin A, 400 IU vitamin D, 0.5-	٥	Griffiths Mental Scales: Cognitive INT (89:45 ± 12.8) = CTRL (89:85 ± 11.8)	-0.03	Griffiths Mental Scales: Locomotor $INT (97.4 \pm 12.7) = CTRL$ (102.6 \pm 9.9)	-0.46	WAZ: INT (-2.04 ± 0.56) = CTRL (-2.03 ± 0.58) HAZ: INT (-1.26 ± 0.71) = CTRL	-0.02	M, S, S, S, S	Strong
		mg vitamin B1, 0.8-mg riboflavin, 7-mg nicotinamide, 1-mg vitamin B6, 30-mg vitamin C, 8-mg iron, 1- mg folic acid and 2-mg						(-1.08 ± 0.80)			
Aboud & Akhter 2011 Bangladesh HDI .515 (Aboud & Akhter 2011)	N = 186 INT $n = 99$ CTRL $n = 85$ Base age mean = 14 m End age	vitamin B12. Uluster RCT where Intervention received MMP (containing 12.5 mg of iron, 300 mcg of vitamin A, 150 mcg of folic acid, 50 mg of	٢	BSID II: Language: INT (30.89 $\pm 21.2) = CTRL$ (32.7 ± 21.3)	-0.09			WAZ: INT (-1.87 ± 1.0) > CTRL $(-2.03 \pm 1.0)*$	0.16	M, S, S, M, S, S, S,	Strong
	mean = 21 m Mean base HAZ = -1.6	vitamin C and 5 mg of zinc) plus six-session parenting programme on stimulation and Control received						HAZ: INT (-1.89 ± 1.1) = CTRL (-1.99 ± 1.1)	0.09		
											Continues)

© 2015 John Wiley & Sons Ltd Maternal & Child Nutrition (2017), 13, e12229

				Main developments	al outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor de velopment (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
Rosado <i>et al.</i> 2011 Mexico HDI .775 (Rosado <i>et al.</i> 2011)	N = 186 INT n = 55 CTRL n = 61 Base age = 12–24 m with mean = 22.4 m End age18–30 m with mean = 28 m Mean base HAZ = -12	parenting programme but no MMP. RCT where Intervention received daily supplement (44-g MMP daily, consisting of 194 kcal, 815 kJ, 6-g protein, 7-g fatt, 28-g carbohydrates, 25-mg sodium, 10-mg iron, 10-mg zinc, 400-mcg vitamin A, 6-mg vitamin E, 40-mg vitamin B12, 50- mcg folic acid, 0.8-mg riboflavin) and Control received placebo received placebo	Q	<i>BSID II:</i> INT (135.9 ± 13.7) = CTRL (137.6 ± 12.6)	-0.13	<i>BSID II:</i> INT (886 ± 9.2) = CTRL (90.0 ± 9.3)	-0.15	WAZ:INT INT (-0.7 ± 0.9) = CTRL (-0.7 ± 1.0)** HAZ: INT (-1.1 ± 1.0) = CTRL (-1.0 ± 1.1)	0 -0.10	S, S, S, S, M, W, S, S, M, W,	Moderate
		carbohydrates). Half- supplement group excluded here.									
Siegel <i>et al.</i> 2011 Nepal HDI .463 (Siegel <i>et al.</i> 2011)	N = 325 INT Zn n = 80 INT Fe + FA n = 80 INT MMN n = 80 MMN n = 80 CTRL n = 81 Base age 1-35 wk with mean = 7 m End age mean = 19 m Base stunting = 16.7%	Cluster RCT with 3 INTs (daily supplement with Zn, Fe or both) and Control received a placebo. INT Zn: 5 mg/d Zn INT Fe \pm FA: 6.25 mg/d Fe; 25 mg/d FA INT MMN: 5 mg/d Zn + 6.25 mg/d Fe + 25 mc/d FA	12	Fagen Test of Infant Infant Intelligence: Fixation time: INT Zn (1.31 ± 0.2) = CTRL (1.30 ± 0.21) INT Fe + FA (1.30 ± 0.21) INT Fe + FA (1.30 ± 0.21) INT MMN (1.32 ± 0.2) = CTRL (1.30 ± 0.21)	0.05 0.10 0.10			WAZ: INT Zn (-1.52 ± 1.04) = CIRL (-1.99 ± 1.00) INT Fe + FA (-1.93 ± 0.02) = CIRL (-1.93 ± 1.00) INT MMN (-1.75 ± 1.10) = CIRL (-1.99 ± 1.00)	0.46 0.17 0.23 0.23	M, S, S, S, S, W, M,	Moderate
										9	ontinues)

Table 2. (Continued)

				Main development	al outcome:	S				Quality	
leference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
								HAZ: INT Zn (-0.95 ± 0.08) = CTRL (-1.64 ± 0.93)	0.72		
								INT Fe + FA (-1.34 ± 0.03) = CTRL (-1.64 ± 0.03)	0.32		
								INT MMN (-1.40 ± 1.09) = CTRL (-1.64 + 0.93)	0.24		
àurnida <i>et al.</i> 012 ndonesia HDI (629 Gurnida <i>t al.</i> 2012)	N = 59 INT $n = 29$ CTRL $n = 30$ Base age 2–8 wk with mean = 3.8 wk End age 24 wk Mean base	RCT where Intervention given formula with 9 mg/100 g gangliosides for up to 6 months. Controls given standard formula milk with 6 mg/100 g.	٥	Griffths Mental Development Scale: Cognitive: $INT (131.1 \pm 14.8) > CTRL$ $(123.2 \pm 16.0)^{***}$	0.53	Griffths Mental Development Scale: Gross (Locomotive) motor: INT (1200 ± 1223) = CTRL	0.20			M, S, S, S, W, S, S, M	Moderat
4anno <i>et al.</i> 012 Zambia HDI 448 Growth 010; Gibson 010; Gibson 14anno <i>et al.</i> 012)	HAZ = -0.4 N = 335 INT $n = 160$ CTRL $n = 175$ Base age = 6 m End age = 18 m Mean base HAZ = -0.8 38% of mothers were HIV+; 3.9% of children were HIV+;	RCT where Intervention given richly forttified porridge flour and Controls given standard fortfified flour. INT flour was fortfified with 18 micronutrients (6.5-mg vitamin A, 2-g vitamin c, 0.1-mg vitamin D, 9-mg thiamin, 11-mg riboflavin, 140-mg	12	BSID II: INT (89.8 \pm 7.4) = CTRL (88.4 \pm 7.4)	0.19	(117. \pm 1691) BSID II: INT (90.0 \pm 6.2) < CTRL (91.4 \pm 6.1)*	-0.23	HAZ: INT (-1.05 ±12) = CTRL (-1.12±1.11)	0.06	M, S, S, S, S, M, S, S	Strong

				Main development	tal outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental de velopment (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
Nahar <i>et al.</i> 2012 Bangladesh HDI .515 (Nahar <i>et al.</i> 2012)	N = 136 $INT n = 77$ $CTRL n = 59$ $Base age = 6-24 m$ $e-24 m$ $age = 12-30 m$ Mean base $HAZ = -3.5$	niacin, 9-mg pyridoxine, 2-mg folate, 10-mcg vitamin B12, 40-mg pantothenić acid, 1-g magnesium oxide, 250-mg iron, 200-mg zinc, 3-mg copper, 12-mg manganese, 0.2-mg selenium, 7-g phosphorus). RCT where Intervention received food supplementation (FS) and control received growth monitoring, health education and micronutrient supplementation. FS consisted of roasted rice powder 20 g, roasted micronutrient micronutrient. Sconsisted of roasted rice powder 20 g, roasted micronutrient. Both groups received multivitamin drops with a daily dose of 1 ml providing vitamin-A, vitamin-D, thiamin, riboflavin, pyridoxine, micotimamide, calcium, ascorbic acid and zinc sulphate, and from	¢	BSID II: INT (679±144) = CTRL (667 ± 136)	60 [.] 0	BSID II: INT (662±152) = CTRL (69.4 ±16.4)	-0.20	WAZ: INT (-34 ± 0.8) = CTRL (-32 ± 11) HAZ: INT (-39 ± 1.1) = CTRL (-4.1 ± 10)	-0.21	S S S S S S S S S	Moderate
) e	Continues)

Table 2. (Continued)

Table 2. (Con	tinued)										
				Main development	tal outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean±SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
Phuka <i>et al.</i> 2012 Malawi HDI .418 (Phuka <i>et al.</i> 2009; Phuka <i>et al.</i> 2012)	N = 163 INT n = 51 CTRL n = 56 Base age = 6 m Mean base HAZ = -1.6	weeks 2 to 12, iron and folic acid were provided as standard treatment of severe mahutrition (micronutrient doses were not specified). RCT where Intervention given daily 50-g lipid-based spread fortified with 17 vitamins and minerals (256 kcal, 7-g protein, 14-g carbohydrate, 17-g fat, 400-mcg retinol, 160- mcg folate, 6-mg niacin, 0.5-mg vitamin B6, 0.9-mcg vitamin B6, 0.9-mcg vitamin B12, 30-mg vitamin B12, 30-mg vitamin B2, 30-mg vitamin D, 283-mg calcium, 0.4-mg copper, 135-mcg todine, 8-mg iron, 60-mg	2	Griffths Mental Development: Cognitive: INT (18.25 ± 2.41) = CTRL (18.41 ± 2.31)	-0.07	Griffiths Mental Development: Closs motor (Locomotive): $\operatorname{INT}(15.81 \pm 0.78) = \operatorname{CTRL}(15.91 \pm 0.98)$ (15.91 ± 0.98)		WAZ: INT (-0.62 ± 1.04) = CTRL (-1.74 ± 1.07) HAZ: INT (-1.57 ± 1.01) = CTRL (-1.64 ± 0.82)	0.08	ý ý ý Ň ý ý ý	Strong
Surkan <i>et al.</i> 2013 Nepal HDI .463 (Surkan <i>et al.</i> 2013)	N = 569 INT Zn $n = 127$ INT Fe + FA n = 129 INT 3 MMN n = 161 CTRL $n = 152Base age =$	magnesum. 1.4-mcg selenium and 8-mg zinc). Controls given daily 71-g com-soy flour with fewer micronutrients. Cluster randomized trial with three Interventions with daily supplement with Zn. Fe or both, and Control received placebo. INT Zn: 10 mg/d zinc INT Fe + FA: 12.5 mg/d	12	Fagen Text of Infant Intelligence: Language score: INT Zn (10.2 ± 3.39) = CTRL No Zn (10.35 ± 2.92)	-0.05	Griffiths Mental Development Scale and the MacArthur Communicative Development Inventory: INT Zn (238	-0.06			ς ς, ς, ς, Μ, ς, Μ,	Moderate

(Continues)

				Main development:	al outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
Yousafzai e al. 2014 Pakistan HDI. 515 (Yousafzai et al. 2014)	4-17 m End age = 16-29 m N = 680 NNT $n = 334$ CTRL $n = 346$ Base age = 0- 2.5 m End age = 24 m Mean base	Fe; 50 mcg/d FA INT 3 MMN (Zn +Fe + FA) Children <1 yr received half-dose of supplement. Cluster RCT, factorial design where intervention received mutrition education and MMP and Control received standard care. MMP comprised of	24	INT Fe (10.2 ± 3.38) = CTRL No Fe (10.25 ± 2.93) BSID III: Cognition: INT (76.5 ± 22.2) > CTRL (71.9 ± 18.0)****	-0.02	±65) = CTRL No Zn (242 ±65) INT Fe (241 ± 65) = CTRL No Fe (240 ± 65) BSID III: INT 87.8 (22.6) > CTRL 81.9 (20.7)****	0.02 d = 0.20			۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲	Moderate
Singla <i>et al.</i> 2014 Bangladesh HDI .515 (Singla <i>et al.</i> 2014)	HAZ = -1.0 $N = 186$ $NN = 186$ $CTRL n = 89$ $CTRL n = 87$ $Base age = 7-12 m$ $F - 12 m$ $LBW children$ $Mean base$ $HAZ = -2.0$	iron, folate, vitamin A and vitamin C (doses not specified). RCT where Intervention received daily 22-element MMP plus education and control received education only. MMP contained 300-mcg vitamin A, 5-mcg vitamin A, 5-mcg vitamin B1, 0.5-mg vitamin B1, 0.5-mg	Q	BSID III: Cognition: INT (51.2 ±4.66) = CTRL (50.67 ± 4.31)	0.08					න් න් න් න් න න් න්	Strong
		phosphorus, 0.6-mg									Continues)

Table 2. (Continued)

				Main development	al outcomes					Quality	
Reference	Sample size analysed, ages at base and endline	Design	Duration (m)	Mental development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Motor development (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Growth parameters (mean ± SD)	Effect size d	Item ratings	Global rating
Attanasio <i>et al.</i> 2014 Colombia	<i>N</i> = 626 INT <i>n</i> = 308 CTRL <i>n</i> = 318	manganese, 20-mcg vitamin K, 1.8-mg pantothenic acid and 6-mg biotin. Cluster RCT where Intervention group received micronutrient	18	BSID III: Cognition: INT (71.63	-0.01	BSID III: Gross Motor: INT (63.19	-0.04			M, S, S, W, S,	Moderate
HDI .719 (Attanasio et al. 2014)	Base age = 12-24 m End age = 20-42 m Base stunting = 13.0%	sprinkles and Control group received nothing. Sprinkles contained 12.5-mg iron, 5-mg zinc, vitamin A 300-meg vitamin A 300-meg folic acid and 30-me vitamin C.		± 4.26) = CTRL (71.68 ± 4.38)		± 2.99) = CTRL (63.31 ± 2.79)				Ś	
Colombo et al. 2014 Peru HDI .741	N = 249 INT $n = 128$ CTRL $n = 121$ Base age = 6 m	RCT where Intervention group received a daily liquid supplement containing	12	BSID II: INT (94.98 ± 6.95) = CTRL (94.43 ± 6.76)	0.08	BSID II: INT (104.10 ± 5.77) = CTRL (103.92 ± 6.10)	0.03	WAZ: INT (0.1 ± 0.9) = CTRL (0.1 ± 0.8)	0	S, S, S, S, S, S, W, S, S, S, S	Moderate
(Colombo et al. 2014)	End age = 18 m Mean base HAZ = -0.5	10 mg/d of zmc (zmc sulphate), 10 mg/d of siron (ferrous sulphate) and 0.5 mg/d of copper (copper oxide), and Control group received an identical daily liquid supplement containing only 10 mg/d of rion and 0.5 mg/d of copper.						HAZ: INT $(-05 \pm 1.0) = CTRL$ (-0.6 ± 0.9)	0.11		

Infant Development; effect size *d*, standardized mean difference; SD, standard deviation; RDA, recommended dietary allowance; W, weak; M, moderate; S, strong; MMP, multiple micronutrient powder; MMN, multiple micronutrients; MV, multivitamin; Zn, zinc; Fe, iron; FA, folic acid. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Effects of nutrition interventions on mental development

Regarding prenatal supplementation interventions, the mean effect size for mental development was very small and non-significant at d = 0.042 (95% CI: -0.0084, 0.092) (n = 10 studies, 5352 participants), ranging from -0.31 to 0.57. The forest plot for mental scores from prenatal supplementation interventions is shown in Fig. 2. Most of the interventions had very little positive effect on mental development, and some even had significant negative effects. However, the 10 studies in this group were quite heterogeneous with respect to intervention, preventing analysis of trends among similar studies.

Looking next at postnatal trials, nutrition interventions resulted in a significant mean effect size Cohen's d for mental development of d = 0.076 (95% CI: 0.019, 0.13) (n = 23 studies, 6485 participants) with a range from -0.33 to 1.022. The forest plot for mental development scores from postnatal supplementation trials is shown in Fig. 3. Excluding the outlier, the study by Idjradinata & Pollitt (1993), the effect size remained similar at d = 0.069 (95% CI: 0.021, 0.12) (n = 22 studies, 6441 participants).

Positive outcomes on mental development in postnatal interventions are seen with the use of calorie- and protein-dense milk, gangliosides added to milk and the use of fortified porridge or a rice and lentil mixture (Grantham-McGregor et al. 1991; Pollitt et al. 2000; Gurnida et al. 2012; Manno et al. 2012; Nahar et al. 2012). Multiple micronutrients, iron and folic acid and zinc interventions showed mixed results. Multiple micronutrient supplementation of children seems to have a slightly higher positive effect on mental development when compared with supplementation with only one micronutrient. When stratified by supplementation type, the weighted effect sizes for multiple micronutrient interventions (Fig. 4) and for single micronutrient interventions (Fig. 5) are d = 0.082 (95% CI: -0.012, (n=6) interventions, 1915 participants) and d = 0.058 (95% CI: -0.0015, 0.12) (n = 19 interventions,3803 participants), respectively.

There could be some added benefit from the provision of fats, energy and protein, When stratifying the analysis by interventions with energy, fat or protein

Author (year)	Country	Intervention	Weight (%)						
Joos (1983)	Taiwan	Energy+protein	3.3	3					
Tofail (2006)	Bangladesh	Omega-3 fatty acid	4.1						
Schmidt (2004)	Indonesia	MMN	3.1			-			
McGrath (2006)	Tanzania	MMN	2.7	,	-				
Tofail (2008)	Bangladesh	MMN	23.3						
Li (2009)	China	MMN	12.6						
Chang (2013)	China	MMN	9.3			-	-		
Hanieh (2013)	Vietnam	MMN	12.7			-	-		
Hamadani (2002)	Bangladesh	Zn	2.7		•				
McGrath (2006)	Tanzania	Vitamin A	2.7			•			
Thilly (1980)	DR Congo	Iodine	1.2				-		4
Li (2009)	China	Fe/FA	13.4						
Chang (2013)	China	Fe/FA	8.9						
Overall Effect			100			++-			
	Random Effects Mo	del (I2=22.4%)		-0.8	-0.4	0	0.4	0.8	1.2

Note: MMN (multiple micronutrient); Zn (zinc); Fe (iron); FA (folic acid)

Fig. 2. Forest plot for of mental development effect sizes for prenatal interventions.

Author (year)	Country	Intervention	Weight (%)	
Grantham-McGregor (1991)	Jamaica	Energy+protein	0.9	
Politt (2000)	Indonesia	MMN+energy	1.0	
Rosado (2011)	Mexico	MMN+energy+protein	1.6	
Gurnida (2012)	Indonesia	Gangliosides	0.8	
Manno (2012)	Zambia	MMN+energy	4.7	· · · · · ·
Phuka (2012)	Malawi	Energy	1.5	
Nahar (2012)	Bangladesh	MMN+energy	1.9	
Aboud (2011)	Bangladesh	MMN	2.6	·
Yousafzai (2014)	Pakistan	MMN	9.5	
Singla (2014)	Bangladesh	MMN	2.6) — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Black (2004)	Bangladesh	MMN	1.1	
Attanasio (2014)	Colombia	MMN	8.8	
Siegel (2011)	Nepal	MMN	2.3	t
Black (2004)	Bangladesh	Fe/Zn	2.3	
Lind (2004)	Indonesia	Fe/Zn	4.6	
Idjradinata (1993)	Indonesia	Fe	0.5	
Black (2004)	Bangladesh	Fe	0.5	
Lind (2004)	Indonesia	Fe	4.6	
Siegel (2011)	Nepal	Fe/FA	2.3	•
Surkan (2013)	Nepal	Fe/FA	8.0	
Ashworth (1998)	Brazil	lmgZn	1.3	
Ashworth (1998)	Brazil	5mgZn	1.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hamadani (2001)	Bangladesh	Zn	2.7	P
Castillo-Duran (2001)	Chile	Zn	1.6	
Lind (2004)	Indonesia	Zn	4.6	
Black (2004)	Bangladesh	Zn	1.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Black (2004)	India	MMN+Zn	2.3	J
Gardner (2005)	Jamaica	Zn	1.6	
Taneja (2005)	India	Zn	8.0	
Siegel (2011)	Nepal	Zn	2.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Surkan (2013)	Nepal	Zn	8.0	
Colombo (2014)	Peru	Zn	3.5	
Overall Effect			100	→ ••

Note: MMN (multiple micronutrient); Zn (zinc); Fe (iron); FA (folic acid)

Fig. 3. Forest plot for of mental development effect sizes for postnatal interventions.

compared with those giving one or more micronutrients, we see a slightly higher benefit from the former. The weighted effect size for interventions giving energy, fat, omega-3 fatty acid or protein (Fig. 6) is d=0.14 (95% CI: 0.0067, 0.27) (n=7 interventions, 893 participants) and for interventions

giving one or multiple micronutrients, it is d = 0.066 (95% CI: 0.016, 0.12) (n = 25 interventions, 5592 participants).

The funnel plot for postnatal interventions looks symmetrical (Fig. 7). Consequently we ruled out bias in publishing only studies with significant effects.

Author (year)	Country	Intervention	Weight (%)		3		
Black (2004)	Bangladesh	MMN	4.0			•	_
Aboud (2011)	Bangladesh	MMN	9.6	F	•	-F	
Siegel (2011)	Nepal	MMN	8.4	H			
Yousafzai (2014)	Pakistan	MMN	35.5			•	
Singla (2014)	Bangladesh	MMN	9.7	H	•		
Attanasio (2014)	Colombia	MMN	32.8				
Overall Effect			100		+		
	Random Effects Model ((I ² =8.4%)		-0.4	0.0	0.4	0.8

Note: MMN (multiple micronutrient)

Fig. 4. Forest plot for of mental development effect sizes for postnatal multiple micronutrient interventions.



Note: MMN (multiple micronutrient); Zn (zinc); Fe (iron); FA (folic acid)

Fig. 5. Forest plot for of mental development effect sizes for postnatal single micronutrient interventions.

Publication bias seems to be more of an issue with prenatal supplementation interventions. The funnel plot shown in Fig. 8 is asymmetrical, with more studies with positive effect sizes. It should be noted that there are fewer prenatal supplementation trials compared with postnatal supplementation trials.

Author (year)	Country	Intervention	Weight (%)					
Grantham-McGregor (1991)	Jamaica	Energy+protein	7.3		+	•		
Politt (2000)	Indonesia	MMN+energy	8.4			•		
Rosado (2011)	Mexico	MMN+energy+protein	13.1		•			
Gurnida (2012)	Indonesia	Gangliosides	6.4			le contraction de la contracti	•	
Manno (2012)	Zambia	MMN+energy	37.7					
Phuka (2012)	Malawi	Energy	12.1		•			
Nahar (2012)	Bangladesh	MMN+energy	15.1		-	•	•	
Overall Effect			100					
Ra	ndom Effects Mode	el (I2=8.1%)		-0.6	-0.2	0.2	0.6	1.0

Note: MMN (multiple micronutrient)

Fig. 6. Forest plot for of mental development effect sizes for postnatal energy, protein and fat interventions.



Fig. 7. Funnel plot for postnatal interventions.

Using the random effects meta-regression on mental development, we found that quality of the study, sample size and intervention type (micronutrient or energy given) were not significant predictors of either postnatal or prenatal effect size. Further, baseline HAZ (n = 19 interventions) was not a significant predictor of postnatal effect size and baseline maternal BMI (n = 10 interventions) was not a significant predictor of prenatal effect size. A random effects model was fit to look at the association between motor and mental development and found that motor development effect size (n = 11 prenatal interventions, n = 22 postnatal interventions) was significantly associated with mental

development effect size (regression coefficient = 0.32, 95% CI: 0.087, 0.54) in postnatal but not prenatal interventions. End-line HAZ effect size (n = 19 postnatal interventions), however, was not significantly associated with mental development.

Discussion

Taken together, nutrition interventions did not seem to have a significant effect on mental (cognitive) development of children under-two years in LMICs. The effect sizes were d = 0.042 for prenatal nutrition and d = 0.076 for postnatal nutrition. This



Fig. 8. Funnel plot for prenatal interventions.

meta-analysis comparing interventions between studies, although not statistically significant, showed a trend toward more benefit on cognitive development from the provision of postnatal multiple micronutrients compared with a single micronutrient, and also for provision of fats, energy and protein with micronutrients compared with micronutrients alone. This suggests that the most promising approach is a combination of macro- and micronutrients; however, future work would need to examine these approaches further to determine significant benefits of combined macro and micronutrient supplementation with single approaches.

Several lines of evidence support the need for both macro and micronutrients in brain development and particularly in LMICs samples. For example, during infancy 20% of the body's energy is used to support brain structure and function (Raichle 2010). Furthermore, specific macro- and micronutrients gain added importance when considering how widespread is their presence in the brain. Fats have important functions in synaptogenesis, membrane function and the synthesis of myelin that coats neurons and are thought to speed processing (Georgieff 2007). Iron plays a role in myelination, transmitter synthesis and hippocampal energy metabolism in the neonatal period (Georgieff 2007). However, the relation between brain and behaviour is not always straightforward; a lack of correspondence may occur if secondary sites of the

brain compensate for deficits in the primary site. For example, visual sites of the brain may compensate for deficits in the more efficient language sites for reading (Parviainen *et al.* 2006). The trend toward a larger impact of fats, calories and protein could be a function of the setting of these studies, all being in food insecure areas where both macro and micronutrients are lacking in diets. There is a need to explore the effect of fat, energy and protein provision in addition to micronutrients on cognitive outcomes of children in resource poor areas.

The effect sizes of motor development are significantly associated with those of mental development in postnatal interventions. One explanation offered by Brown and Pollitt and elaborated by Prado and Dewey is that better-nourished children's motor ability (fine and gross) to interact with and explore their environment could positively affect their cognitive development (Brown & Pollitt 1996; Prado & Dewey 2014). Nutrition supplements did increase exploration and activity in one study (Aburto et al. 2010) but not in another (Meeks Gardner et al. 1995). However, the benefits of exploration and activity accrue only if they lead to mentally challenging stimulation, which was the case in the Aburto study when the combination of macro and micronutrients enhanced exploration (fine motor manipulation of play objects) but not activity (gross body movements) (2010). In sum, nutrition has the opportunity to enhance cognitive development when it supports fine motor skills that can be applied to stimulating play materials rather than gross motor skills that generate activity (Aburto *et al.* 2010). Motor development as a mediator in the relationship between nutrition and mental development cannot be conclusively established in this review because of their concurrent measurement, and future work would need to examine the temporality of this relationship; however, their significant relationship is indicative of this possibility and should be further investigated in appropriately designed interventions.

This review found no association between HAZ and mental scores effect sizes in postnatal interventions. However, a recent meta-analysis of 68 observational studies in LMIC found an association of better HAZ with earlier walking and better motor scores, and for every unit increase of HAZ prior to two years of age, an improved +0.22 standard deviation unit increase of prospective mental development was observed (Sudfeld *et al.* 2015). Therefore, despite common cross-sectional findings that height and mental development are strongly correlated (Grantham-McGregor *et al.* 2007; Hadley *et al.* 2008; Olney *et al.* 2009; Barros *et al.* 2010; Servili *et al.* 2010), there is no evidence from these studies to explain why and the mechanisms warrant future investigation.

The degree of variation between studies and the limited number of prenatal trials makes it difficult to identify trends other than by intervention type. Out of all the studies included, 36% had a quality rating of strong. The majority of those rated as moderate or weak decreased their quality by not reporting validity and reliability of their developmental measure in their context, not including study participation rates, and because of high drop-out rates.

We have added to previous systematic reviews by including more studies for pre- and postnatal nutrition, and by examining evidence for two explanatory variables, namely motor development and nutritional outcomes. This is a comprehensive meta-analysis that compiles studies using single and multiple micronutrients, as well as various fats, energy and protein. Mean effect sizes for these different nutrition interventions could be calculated for only three categories (fats/energy/protein, multiple micronutrients and single micronutrient) and suggest a trend toward greater benefit for multiple micronutrients and fats/energy/protein.

There are a number of limitations to this analysis. The control, or comparison, groups of these studies did not all receive a placebo; some received calories, multiple micronutrients or a single nutrient. The effect size from the latter type of studies is likely smaller than what it would be had the control group received a placebo. Furthermore, not all studies looked at the nutritional outcomes and motor development of the children, so sufficient evidence for this explanation is still lacking. To see an effect on mental development in children aged under-two years, supplementation may need to be provided over a longer duration. Being restricted to LMICs only, the overall small effect size could also be because of the children's lack of protein and energy, in general. This could influence growth, which would affect motor development and the children's ability to explore their environment, thus affecting their mental development (Prado & Dewey 2014).

Previous reviews have likewise not identified significant effects of nutrition interventions on mental development in children aged under-two years (Ramakrishnan et al. 2009; Eilander et al. 2010; Leung et al. 2011; Pasricha et al. 2013; Aboud & Yousafzai 2015). Only two studies in this meta-analysis were appropriately sampled for analysis of development outcomes using the Bayley test (Attanasio et al. 2014; Yousafzai et al. 2014); to detect an effect size of 0.25 or larger (a meaningful change in development scores), a power of 0.8 and an alpha of 0.05, a sample size of 175 per group is required. Second, the tests used to measure mental development in young children could be insensitive to the changes observed through a nutrition intervention and future studies could benefit from using tests and instruments that measure brain development and function on a finer scale (Cheatham et al. 2006; Colombo & Carlson 2012), such as functional nearinfrared spectroscopy and event-related brain potential (ERP). For example, one ERP study identified memory deficits specific to infants of diabetic mothers that were not picked up by the Bayley mental test (Nelson et al. 2000). A constraint in the current available data from nutrition interventions in LMICs is the lack of outcome measures outside of the traditional cognitive assessments (e.g. BSID or Griffiths Mental Development Scales). Although we limited this meta-analysis to studies in children under-two, measuring the longitudinal effect of early nutrition intervention on mental development in older ages may capture benefits not observed earlier (Colombo & Carlson 2012). Only a few studies such as the Jamaican and Guatemalan cohorts have longitudinal measures of mental development (Stein et al. 2008; Martorell et al. 2010; Walker et al. 2011). In the case of the Jamaican cohort, the highenergy nutrition intervention in children under two years did not confer a benefit on intelligence at 22 years of age (Walker et al. 2011). However, in the Guatemalan cohort, those exposed to a high-energy intervention in the prenatal period and the first two years of life experienced improved intellectual functioning at 27 to 33 years of age (Stein et al. 2008). It would be important for future studies to measure development over time including after the age of two years, so that this data can be included in other meta-analyses. In such studies, it would be important to do repeat measures to analyse and understand the pathways between early nutrition and subsequent development. Currently these data are highly limited in the literature from LMICs. There is also interest in combining nutrition with stimulation interventions to see whether additive or synergistic effects might be observed on mental development. Only a few studies have been appropriately designed to address this question and currently there is limited evidence on additive benefits, but more research is required on how to optimize integrated nutrition and stimulation packages of intervention (Grantham-McGregor et al. 2014). Other combined packages of care with nutrition also warrant further investigation (e.g. nutrition and water, sanitation and hygiene).

This meta-analysis was done in response to the growing amount of literature and uncertainty around the effect of nutrition supplementation interventions on mental development in young children. Three promising avenues to pursue in terms of future research are identified. First, the combination of micro and macronutrients appear to be the most promising supplement in terms of its effect on mental development of young children, yet more needs to be done to investigate how variations in the study design and implementation influence the outcome. Second, the connection between nutrients and mediators of mental development, such as length, illness, temperament and motor development, needs to be examined more carefully. Third, more prenatal supplementation trials are needed to establish the effect of *in-utero* nutritional gains on mental development. In all cases, early nutrition interventions warrant further investigation, beyond two years of age, to identify whether there is an impact in later childhood or adult functioning.

Contributions

L.M.L. and A.K.Y. performed the literature review and compiled the data. LML performed the analyses and wrote the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript. We would like to acknowledge Frances E. Aboud for her help in revising this manuscript and Emory University's Nutrition and Health Sciences Program, and the Laney Graduate School for L.M.L.'s support in pursuing her PhD.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Aboud F.E. & Akhter S. (2011) A cluster-randomized evaluation of a responsive stimulation and feeding intervention in Bangladesh. *Pediatrics* **127**, e1191–e1197.
- Aboud F.E. & Yousafzai A.K. (2015) Global health and development in early childhood. *Annual Review of Psychology* 66, 433–457.
- Aburto N.J., Ramirez-Zea M., Neufeld L.M. & Flores-Ayala R. (2010) The effect of nutritional supplementation on physical activity and exploratory behavior of Mexican infants aged 8–12 months. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 64, 644–651.
- Adolph K.E. & Tamis–LeMonda C.S. (2014) The costs and benefits of development: the transition from crawling to walking. *Child Development Perspectives* **8**, 187–192.
- Ashworth A., Morris S.S., Lira P.I. & Grantham-McGregor S. M. (1998) Zinc supplementation, mental development and

behaviour in low birth weight term infants in northeast Brazil. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **52**, 223–227.

- Attanasio O.P., Fernández C., Fitzsimons E.O.A., Grantham-McGregor S.M., Meghir C. & Rubio-Codina M. (2014) Using the infrastructure of a conditional cash transfer program to deliver a scalable integrated early child development program in Colombia: cluster randomized controlled trial. *BMJ* 349, g5785.
- Barros A.J., Matijasevich A., Santos I.S. & Halpern R. (2010) Child development in a birth cohort: effect of child stimulation is stronger in less educated mothers. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **39**, 285–294.
- Bayley N. (2006) *Bayley Scales of Infant Development Manual*, 3rd edn. The Psychological Corporation: Antonio, TX.
- Beckett C., Durnin J.V., Aitchison T.C. & Pollitt E. (2000) Effects of an energy and micronutrient supplement on anthropometry in undernourished children in Indonesia. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 54(Suppl 2), S52–S59.
- Beyerlein A., Hadders-Algra M., Kennedy K., Fewtrell M., Singhal A., Rosenfeld E., et al. (2010) Infant formula supplementation with long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids has no effect on Bayley developmental scores at 18 months of age—IPD meta-analysis of 4 large clinical trials. Journal of Pediatrics Gastroenterology and Nutrition 50, 79–84.
- Black M.M., Baqui A.H., Zaman K., Ake Persson L., El Arifeen S., Le K., et al. (2004a) Iron and zinc supplementation promote motor development and exploratory behavior among Bangladeshi infants. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 80, 903–910.
- Black M.M., Sazawal S., Black R.E., Khosla S., Kumar J. & Menon V. (2004b) Cognitive and motor development among small-for-gestational-age infants: impact of zinc supplementation, birth weight, and caregiving practices. *Pediatrics* 113, 1297–1305.
- Brown J.L. & Pollitt E. (1996) Malnutrition, poverty and intellectual development. *Scientific American* 274, 38–43.
- Castillo-Duran C., Perales C.G., Hertrampf E.D., Marin V.B., Rivera F.A. & Icaza G. (2001) Effect of zinc supplementation on development and growth of Chilean infants. *Journal* of *Pediatrics* 138, 229–235.
- Chang S., Zeng L., Brouwer I.D., Kok F.J. & Yan H. (2013) Effect of iron deficiency anemia in pregnancy on child mental development in rural China. *Pediatrics* 131, e755–e763.
- Cheatham C.L., Colombo J. & Carlson S.E. (2006) N-3 fatty acids and cognitive and visual acuity development: methodologic and conceptual considerations. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 83, 1458s–1466s.
- Colombo J. & Carlson S.E. (2012) Is the measure the message: the BSID and nutritional interventions. *Pediatrics* **129**, 1166–1167.
- Colombo J., Zavaleta N., Kannass K.N., Lazarte F., Albornoz C., Kapa L.L., *et al.* (2014) Zinc supplementation sustained normative neurodevelopment in a randomized, controlled

trial of Peruvian infants aged 6–18 months. *Journal of Nutrition*. doi: 10.3945/jn.113.189365

- Dewey K.G., Cohen R.J., Brown K.H. & Rivera L.L. (2001) Effects of exclusive breastfeeding for four versus six months on maternal nutritional status and infant motor development: results of two randomized trials in Honduras. *Journal* of Nutrition 131, 262–267.
- Dhingra P., Menon V.P., Sazawal S., Dhingra U., Marwah D., Sarkar A., et al. (2004) Effect of fortification of milk with zinc and iron along with vitamins C, E, A and selenium on growth, iron status and development in preschool children —a community-based double-masked randomized trial. In: Report from the 2nd World Congress of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition.
- Eilander A., Gera T., Sachdev H.S., Transler C., van der Knaap H.C., Kok F.J., *et al.* (2010) Multiple micronutrient supplementation for improving cognitive performance in children: systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **91**, 115–130.
- Faber M., Kvalsvig J.D., Lombard C.J. & Benade A.J. (2005) Effect of a fortified maize-meal porridge on anemia, micronutrient status, and motor development of infants. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 82, 1032–1039.
- Fagan J.F. & Shepard P. (1986) The Fagan Test of Infant Intelligence. Infantest Corporation: Cleveland, OH 87.
- Gardner J.M., Powell C.A., Baker-Henningham H., Walker S. P., Cole T.J. & Grantham-McGregor S.M. (2005) Zinc supplementation and psychosocial stimulation: effects on the development of undernourished Jamaican children. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 82, 399–405.
- Georgieff M.K. (2007) Nutrition and the developing brain: nutrient priorities and measurement. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 85, 614s–620s.
- Gibson R.S., Kafwembe E., Mwanza S., Gosset L., Bailey K. B., Mullen A., *et al.* (2011) A micronutrient-fortified food enhances iron and selenium status of Zambian infants but has limited efficacy on zinc. *Journal of Nutrition* **141**, 935–943.
- Gould J.F., Smithers L.G. & Makrides M. (2013) The effect of maternal omega-3 (n-3) LCPUFA supplementation during pregnancy on early childhood cognitive and visual development: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 97, 531–544.
- Grantham-McGregor S., Cheung Y.B., Cueto S., Glewwe P., Richter L. & Strupp B. (2007) Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* **369**, 60–70.
- Grantham-McGregor S.M., Fernald L.C., Kagawa R.M. & Walker S. (2014) Effects of integrated child development and nutrition interventions on child development and nutritional status. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1308, 11–32.

- Grantham-McGregor S.M., Powell C.A., Walker S.P. & Himes J.H. (1991) Nutritional supplementation, psychosocial stimulation, and mental development of stunted children: the Jamaican Study. *Lancet* 338, 1–5.
- Griffiths R. (1996) GMDS 0-2. Griffiths Mental Development Scales—Revised: Birth to 2 Years. Hogrefe: Oxford.
- Gurnida D.A., Rowan A.M., Idjradinata P., Muchtadi D. & Sekarwana N. (2012) Association of complex lipids containing gangliosides with cognitive development of 6-month-old infants. *Early Human Development* 88, 595–601.
- Hadley C., Tegegn A., Tessema F., Asefa M. & Galea S. (2008) Parental symptoms of common mental disorders and children's social, motor, and language development in sub-Saharan Africa. *Annals of Human Biology* 35, 259–275.
- Hamadani J.D., Fuchs G.J., Osendarp S.J., Huda S.N. & Grantham-McGregor S.M. (2002) Zinc supplementation during pregnancy and effects on mental development and behaviour of infants: a follow-up study. *Lancet* 360, 290–294.
- Hamadani J.D., Fuchs G.J., Osendarp S.J., Khatun F., Huda S.N. & Grantham-McGregor S.M. (2001) Randomized controlled trial of the effect of zinc supplementation on the mental development of Bangladeshi infants. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 74, 381–386.
- Hanieh S., Ha T.T., Simpson J.A., Casey G.J., Khuong N.C., Thoang D.D., *et al.* (2013) The effect of intermittent antenatal iron supplementation on maternal and infant outcomes in rural Viet Nam: a cluster randomised trial. *PLoS Medicine* **10**, e1001470.
- Idjradinata P. & Pollitt E. (1993) Reversal of developmental delays in iron-deficient anaemic infants treated with iron. *Lancet* 341, 1–4.
- Jackson N. & Waters E. (2005) Criteria for the systematic review of health promotion and public health interventions. *Health Promotion International* 20, 367–374.
- Joos S.K., Pollitt E., Mueller W.H. & Albright D.L. (1983) The Bacon Chow study: maternal nutritional supplementation and infant behavioral development. *Child Development* 54, 669–676.
- Leung B.M., Wiens K.P. & Kaplan B.J. (2011) Does prenatal micronutrient supplementation improve children's mental development? A systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* **11**, 12.
- Li Q., Yan H., Zeng L., Cheng Y., Liang W., Dang S., et al. (2009) Effects of maternal multimicronutrient supplementation on the mental development of infants in rural western China: follow-up evaluation of a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 123, e685–e692.
- Lind T., Lonnerdal B., Stenlund H., Gamayanti I.L., Ismail D., Seswandhana R., *et al.* (2004) A community-based randomized controlled trial of iron and zinc supplementation in Indonesian infants: effects on growth and development. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **80**, 729–736.

- Manno D., Kowa P.K., Bwalya H.K., Siame J., Grantham-McGregor S., Baisley K., *et al.* (2012) Rich micronutrient fortification of locally produced infant food does not improve mental and motor development of Zambian infants: a randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Nutrition* 107, 556–566.
- Martorell R., Melgar P., Maluccio J.A., Stein A.D. & Rivera J. A. (2010) The nutrition intervention improved adult human capital and economic productivity. *Journal of Nutrition* **140**, 411–414.
- McGrath N., Bellinger D., Robins J., Msamanga G.I., Tronick E. & Fawzi W.W. (2006) Effect of maternal multivitamin supplementation on the mental and psychomotor development of children who are born to HIV-1-infected mothers in Tanzania. *Pediatrics* 117, e216–e225.
- Meeks Gardner J., Grantham-McGregor S.M., Chang S.M., Himes J.H. & Powell C.A. (1995) Activity and behavioral development in stunted and nonstunted children and response to nutritional supplementation. *Child Development* 66, 1785–1797.
- Moher D., Liberati A., Tetzlaff J. & Altman D.G. (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 151, 264–269.
- Nahar B., Hossain M.I., Hamadani J.D., Ahmed T., Huda S.N., Grantham-McGregor S.M., *et al.* (2012) Effects of a community-based approach of food and psychosocial stimulation on growth and development of severely malnourished children in Bangladesh: a randomised trial. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 66, 701–709.
- Nelson C.A., Wewerka S., Thomas K.M., de Regnier R.-a., Tribbey-Walbridge S. & Georgieff M. (2000) Neurocognitive sequelae of infants of diabetic mothers. *Behavioral Neuroscience* **114**, 950.
- Olney D.K., Kariger P.K., Stoltzfus R.J., Khalfan S.S., Ali N.S., Tielsch J.M., *et al.* (2009) Development of nutritionally atrisk young children is predicted by malaria, anemia, and stunting in Pemba, Zanzibar. *Journal of Nutrition* 139, 763–772.
- Olney D.K., Pollitt E., Kariger P.K., Khalfan S.S., Ali N.S., Tielsch J.M., *et al.* (2006) Combined iron and folic acid supplementation with or without zinc reduces time to walking unassisted among Zanzibari infants 5- to 11-mo old. *Journal* of Nutrition 136, 2427–2434.
- Parviainen T., Helenius P., Poskiparta E., Niemi P. & Salmelin R. (2006) Cortical sequence of word perception in beginning readers. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26, 6052–6061.
- Pasricha S.-R., Hayes E., Kalumba K. & Biggs B.-A. (2013) Effect of daily iron supplementation on health in children aged 4–23 months: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *The Lancet Global Health* 1, e77–e86.
- Phuka J.C., Gladstone M., Maleta K., Thakwalakwa C., Cheung Y.B., Briend A., et al. (2012) Developmental

outcomes among 18-month-old Malawians after a year of complementary feeding with lipid-based nutrient supplements or corn-soy flour. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* **8**, 239–248.

- Phuka J.C., Maleta K., Thakwalakwa C., Cheung Y.B., Briend A., Manary M.J., *et al.* (2009) Postintervention growth of Malawian children who received 12-mo dietary complementation with a lipid-based nutrient supplement or maize-soy flour. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 89, 382–390.
- Pollitt E. (2000) A developmental view of the undernourished child: background and purpose of the study in Pangalengan, Indonesia. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 54 (Suppl 2), S2–S10.
- Pollitt E., Saco-Pollitt C., Jahari A., Husaini M.A. & Huang J. (2000) Effects of an energy and micronutrient supplement on mental development and behavior under natural conditions in undernourished children in Indonesia. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 54(Suppl 2), S80–S90.
- Prado E.L. & Dewey K.G. (2014) Nutrition and brain development in early life. *Nutrition Reviews* **72**, 267–284.
- Qawasmi A., Landeros-Weisenberger A. & Bloch M.H. (2013) Meta-analysis of LCPUFA supplementation of infant formula and visual acuity. *Pediatrics* 131, e262–e272.
- Qawasmi A., Landeros-Weisenberger A., Leckman J.F. & Bloch M.H. (2012) Meta-analysis of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation of formula and infant cognition. *Pediatrics* **129**, 1141–1149.
- Raichle M.E. (2010) Two views of brain function. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 14, 180–190.
- Ramakrishnan U., Nguyen P. & Martorell R. (2009) Effects of micronutrients on growth of children under 5 y of age: metaanalyses of single and multiple nutrient interventions. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 89, 191–203.
- Rosado J.L., Lopez P., Garcia O.P., Alatorre J. & Alvarado C. (2011) Effectiveness of the nutritional supplement used in the Mexican Oportunidades programme on growth, anaemia, morbidity and cognitive development in children aged 12–24 months. *Public Health Nutrition* 14, 931–937.
- Schmidt M.K., Muslimatun S., West C.E., Schultink W. & Hautvast J.G. (2004) Mental and psychomotor development in Indonesian infants of mothers supplemented with vitamin A in addition to iron during pregnancy. *British Journal of Nutrition* 91, 279–286.
- Servili C., Medhin G., Hanlon C., Tomlinson M., Worku B., Baheretibeb Y., *et al.* (2010) Maternal common mental disorders and infant development in Ethiopia: the P-MaMiE Birth Cohort. *BMC Public Health* **10**, 693.
- Siegel E.H., Kordas K., Stoltzfus R.J., Katz J., Khatry S.K., LeClerq S.C., *et al.* (2011) Inconsistent effects of iron-folic acid and/or zinc supplementation on the cognitive development of infants. *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition* 29, 593–604.

- Singla D.R., Shafique S., Zlotkin S.H. & Aboud F.E. (2014) A 22-Element Micronutrient Powder Benefits Language but Not Cognition in Bangladeshi Full-Term Low-Birth-Weight Children. *The Journal of Nutrition* **144**, 1803–1810.
- Smithers L.G., Gibson R.A., McPhee A. & Makrides M. (2008) Effect of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation of preterm infants on disease risk and neurodevelopment: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 87, 912–920
- Stein A.D., Wang M., DiGirolamo A., Grajeda R., Ramakrishnan U., Ramirez-Zea M., et al. (2008) Nutritional supplementation in early childhood, schooling, and intellectual functioning in adulthood: a prospective study in Guatemala. Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine 162, 612–618.
- Sudfeld C.R., McCoy D.C., Danaei G., Fink G., Ezzati M., Andrews K.G., *et al.* (2015) Linear growth and child development in low- and middle-income countries: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* **135**, e1266–e1275.
- Surkan P.J., Siegel E.H., Patel S.A., Katz J., Khatry S.K., Stoltzfus R.J., *et al.* (2013) Effects of zinc and iron supplementation fail to improve motor and language milestone scores of infants and toddlers. *Nutrition* 29, 542–548.
- Taneja S., Bhandari N., Bahl R. & Bhan M.K. (2005) Impact of zinc supplementation on mental and psychomotor scores of children aged 12 to 18 months: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Journal of Pediatrics* 146, 506–511.
- The Chilenje Infant Growth, Nutrition and Infection (CIGNIS) Study Team (2010)Micronutrient fortification to improve growth and health of maternally HIV-unexposed and exposed Zambian infants: a randomised controlled trial. PLoS One 5.
- Thilly C.H. (1981) Psychomotor development in regions with endemic goiter. In: *Fetal Brain Disorders: Recent Approaches to the Problem of Mental Deficiency* (eds B.S. Hetzel & R.M. Smith). Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press: New York, NY, USA.
- Thilly C.H., Lagasse R., Roger G., Bourdoux P., Ermans A. M. (1980a) Impaired fetal and postanal development and high perinatal death in a severe iodine deficient area. In: Thyroid Research VIII: Proceedings of the Eighth International Thyroid Congress, Sydney, Australia, 3–8 February, 1980. (ed J.R. Stockigt, Nagataki, S.). Pergamon, Oxford, NY, USA.
- Thilly C.H., Roger G., Lagasse R., Tshibangu D., Vanderpas J. B., Berquist, H., et al. (1980b) Fetomaternal relationship, fetal hypothyroidism, and psychomotor retardation. In: Role of Cassava in the Etiology of Endemic Goitre and Cretinism (eds A.M. Ermans, N.M. Mbulamoko, F. Delange & R. Ahluwalia). International Development Research Centre: Ottawa, Canada.
- Tofail F., Kabir I., Hamadani J.D., Chowdhury F., Yesmin S., Mehreen F., et al. (2006) Supplementation of fish-oil and

soy-oil during pregnancy and psychomotor development of infants. *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition* **24**, 48–56.

- Tofail F., Persson L.A., El Arifeen S., Hamadani J.D., Mehrin F., Ridout D., *et al.* (2008) Effects of prenatal food and micronutrient supplementation on infant development: a randomized trial from the Maternal and Infant Nutrition Interventions, Matlab (MINIMat) study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 87, 704–711.
- Walker S.P., Chang S.M., Vera-Hernandez M. & Grantham-McGregor S. (2011) Early childhood stimulation benefits adult competence and reduces violent behavior. *Pediatrics* 127, 849–857.
- Werker J.F. & Tees R.C. (2005) Speech perception as a window for understanding plasticity and commitment in lan-

guage systems of the brain. *Developmental Psychobiology* **46**, 233–251.

Yousafzai A.K., Rasheed M.A., Rizvi A., Armstrong R. & Bhutta Z.A. (2014) Effect of integrated responsive stimulation and nutrition interventions in the Lady Health Worker programme in Pakistan on child development, growth, and health outcomes: a cluster-randomised factorial effectiveness trial. *The Lancet*.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.