Enhanced Neural Responsiveness to Reward Associated With Obesity in the Absence of Food-Related Stimuli

Nils Opel,^{1#} Ronny Redlich,^{1#} Dominik Grotegerd,¹ Katharina Dohm,¹ Cordula Haupenthal,¹ Walter Heindel,² Harald Kugel,² Volker Arolt,¹ and Udo Dannlowski^{1,3}*

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of Münster, Münster, Germany ²Department of Clinical Radiology, University of Münster, Münster, Germany ³Department of Psychiatry, University of Marburg, Münster, Germany

Abstract: Background: Obesity has been characterized by alterations in brain structure and function associated with emotion processing and regulation. Particularly, aberrations in food-related reward processing have been frequently demonstrated in obese subjects. However, it remains unclear whether reward-associated functional aberrations in obesity are specific for food-related stimuli or represent a general deficit in reward processing, extending to other stimulus domains. Given the crucial role of rewarding effects in the development of obesity and the ongoing discussion on overlapping neurobiological traits of obesity and psychiatric disorders such as depression and substance-related disorders, this study aimed to investigate the possibility of altered reward processing in obese subjects to occur in the absence of food-related stimuli during a monetary reward condition. Methods: Twenty-nine healthy obese subjects (body mass index >30) and 29 healthy, age-, and sex-matched control subjects of normal weight underwent functional MRI during a frequently used card guessing paradigm. A Group \times Condition (win vs. loss) ANOVA was conducted to investigate differences between obese and normal-weight subjects. Results: We found significant Group × Condition interaction effects in brain areas involved in emotion regulation and reward processing including the insula, the striatum, and the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). This interaction was predominantly driven by a significant increase in blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) response in obese individuals while experiencing reward. Conclusions: Enhanced neural activation in obesity during reward processing seems to be

versity Medical Center Giessen and Marburg (UKGM) (7/2013 MR to U.D.) $\,$

DOI: 10.1002/hbm.22773

Published online 20 February 2015 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Nils Opel and Ronny Redlich contributed equally to this work and should, therefore, both be regarded as first authors.

Conflict of Interest: All authors state that they have no actual or potential conflict of interest to declare, including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations within three years of beginning the submitted work that could influence or bias their work.

Contract grant sponsor: Innovative Medizinische Forschung of the Medical Faculty of Münster; Contract grant numbers: DA120309; DA111107; and DA211012 (to U.D.); Contract grant sponsor: Uni-

^{*}Correspondence to: U. Dannlowski, Department of Psychiatry, University of Münster, Albert-Schweitzer-Str. 11, 48149 Münster, Germany. E-mail: dannlow@uni-muenster.de

Received for publication 17 November 2014; Revised 10 February 2015; Accepted 11 February 2015.

apparent even in the absence of food-related stimuli and, thus, might point to generalized dysfunctions in reward-related brain circuits in obese individuals. *Hum Brain Mapp* 36:2330–2337, 2015. © 2015 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: obesity; body mass index; reward; MRI; fMRI

INTRODUCTION

Obesity represents an increasing health care problem in most industrial countries [World Health Organization, 2014]. Altered impulse control and reward processing are assumed to be among the decisive features in the development of adverse behavioral patterns in eating disorders [Bonato and Boland, 1983; García-García et al., 2014; Guerrieri et al., 2007]. Recent research provides evidence for the existence of neurobiological aberrations which might explain these behavioral characteristics in obese subjects [Batterink et al., 2010; Weygandt et al., 2013]. Particularly, the extending use of neuroimaging techniques successfully disclosed structural and functional traits of obesity in brain areas associated with emotion processing [Burger and Berner, 2014; Shott et al., 2014; Walther et al., 2010].

Recent structural imaging findings comprise decreased overall gray and white matter as well as specific alterations in prefrontal and striatal areas in obese individuals, brain structures which are closely linked to impulse control and emotion regulation [Opel et al., 2015; Raji et al., 2010]. Compared to structural imaging, functional studies benefit from the possibility of customized paradigms allowing insights into specific neural processing and, therefore, constitute an effective method in the elucidation of dysfunctional reward patterns underlying obesity [Blum et al., 2014; Bragulat et al., 2010; Burger and Stice, 2014; Dimitropoulos et al., 2012; Frank et al., 2012].

In fact, enhanced neural responsiveness to food-related reward constitutes one of the most consistent findings in functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies on obesity [Burger and Berner, 2014; Dimitropoulos et al., 2012; Gearhardt et al., 2011].

Moreover, several studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) evidenced associations between food intake behavior and differences in reward processing: In a longitudinal study by Burger et al. [2014], future weight gain could be predicted by enhanced striatal responsivity during food-related reward learning and Batterink et al. [2010] found body mass index (BMI) scores to be negatively correlated with inhibitory control in response to food in obese individuals [Batterink et al., 2010; Burger and Stice, 2014].

However, as most fMRI studies in obesity used food or eating specific paradigms, the possibility of generalized dysfunctions in reward processing remains unclear.

The few studies available indicate that obesity might indeed be characterized by broader dysfunctions in emotion and reward processing [Balodis et al., 2013; Delgado-Rico et al., 2013].

In a study by Kishinevsky et al. [2012], executive function in the prefrontal cortex during a delay discounting trial could predict subsequent weight gain in obese subjects [Kishinevsky et al., 2012]. Moreover, Verdejo-Garcia et al. demonstrated associations between abnormal neural activation in reward brain regions and social decisionmaking in obese adolescents [Verdejo-García et al., 2014].

Regarding the ongoing discussion on overlapping neurobiological traits of obesity and psychopathological conditions, for example, substance-related disorders and affective disorders, it appears important to further examine the possibility of generalized reward deficiency in obesity [Blum et al., 2014; García-García et al., 2014].

Therefore, in this study, we investigated the possibility of altered reward processing in obesity in the absence of food-related reward stimuli. We suspected obese individuals to show increased neural responsiveness compared to normal-weight subjects during a monetary reward paradigm, particularly in prefrontal and striatal areas.

METHODS

Participants

Our study sample comprised 29 healthy obese subjects and 29 sex- and age-matched (ps > 0.49) healthy controls of normal weight. Due to excessive movements, two participants were excluded leaving a final study sample of 28 obese subjects (mean age = 43.8, SD = 8.9 years, mean BMI = 33.34, SD = 2.42) and 28 normal-weight subjects (mean age = 42.0, SD = 10.2 years, mean BMI = 22.64, SD = 1.44; see Table I). Inclusion criteria were defined as a BMI >30 for the obesity sample and a BMI of 20-25 for the normal-weight sample. For subjects of both samples, exclusion criteria were any history of neurological (e.g., concussion, stroke, tumor, neuroinflammatory diseases) and medical (e.g., cancer, chronic inflammatory or autoimmune diseases, heart diseases, diabetes mellitus, infections) conditions as well as regular intake of medication. All participants were free from any history of psychiatric disorders, according to the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) as conducted by a clinically experienced interviewer [Wittchen et al., 1997], had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, and had adequate knowledge of German and cognitive abilities (verbal IQ >80; multiple-choice vocabulary intelligence test MWT-B [Lehrl,

| | Norma | al weight-sample | (n = 28) | Ob | | | |
|-------------|--------|------------------|----------|--------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| | Mean | SD | Range | Mean | SD | Range | <i>P</i> -value |
| Age | 42.04 | 10.17 | 23–59 | 43.79 | 8.86 | 27–58 | 0.50 |
| Sex (m/f) | 15/13 | NA | NA | 15/13 | NA | NA | 1 |
| BMI | 22.64 | 1.44 | 20-25 | 33.34 | 2.42 | 30-40 | < 0.01 |
| HAMD | 0.89 | 2.01 | 0–8 | 0.68 | 1.36 | 0–6 | 0.64 |
| BDI | 1.96 | 2.22 | 0–7 | 1.18 | 1.36 | 0–5 | 0.12 |
| Verbal IQ | 118.36 | 12.82 | 95-143 | 114.93 | 13.26 | 94-136 | 0.33 |

 TABLE I. Sociodemographic characteristics of our final study sample consisting of 28 normal-weight healthy subjects and 28 obese healthy subjects

Means, standard deviations (SD), and group differences (as measured with *t*-tests or χ^2 -test).

Abbreviations: Beck Depression Inventory (BDI); Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD).

2005]). The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [Beck and Steer, 1987; Hautzinger et al., 1994] was used to assess the presence of depressive symptoms. Additionally, the 17-Item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD) [Hamilton, 1960] was conducted by a clinical interviewer as an objective depressive severity measurement. Participants were recruited by public notices and newspaper announcements and received a financial compensation. The study was approved by the local Institutional Review Boards (IRB), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants before study participation.

Stimulus Materials and Procedure

We used a modified, frequently used card guessing paradigm [Delgado et al., 2005; Forbes et al., 2009] to detect brain activity associated with reward processing. All participants were told that the final amount of their monetary reward would depend on their performance on the card game and were, thus, unaware of the actually fixed outcome ($10\in$).

The utilized pseudorandom block-design paradigm comprised nine blocks: three "win" blocks (block 1, 4, 7), three "lose" blocks (block 2, 5, 8), and three control blocks (block 3, 6, 9) with each block consisting of five trials. During each trial, subjects had 3 s to guess whether the value of a visually presented card was lower or higher than 5. After the choice was made, the numerical value of the card was presented for 0.5 s and followed by appropriate feedback (red down arrow for negative feedback, green up arrow for positive feedback) for an additional 0.5 s. Subjects were asked to confirm the gain via button press whenever positive feedback was given. Finally, a crosshair was presented for an alternating duration of 1.5 s for consecutive oddnumbered stimuli throughout the whole paradigm (i.e., for the first, third, fifth stimuli, etc.) or 2.5 s for consecutive even-numbered stimuli throughout the whole paradigm (i.e., for the second, fourth, sixth stimuli, etc.), resulting in a total trial length of 5.5 s and 6.5 s, respectively.

During the three "win" blocks, predominantly positive feedback (four trials, 80% correct) was given, whereas during the three "lose" blocks predominantly negative feedback (four trials, 80% false) was given. For each positive feedback, a fictional amount of 1€ was allocated while for each negative feedback a fictional amount of 50 Cents was discounted. The "win" and "lose" blocks were interleaved with three control blocks. During control blocks, subjects were requested to press a button at random during the presentation of an "x" (3 s), followed by an asterisk (0.5 s), a yellow circle (0.5 s), and a crosshair (again 1.5 s for odd-numbered stimuli; 2.5 s for even-numbered stimuli). All blocks were preceded by an instruction (3 s) resulting in a total block length of 32.5 s for odd-numbered blocks and 33.5 s for even-numbered blocks yielding a total task length of 296.5 s.

fMRI Data Acquisition and Analysis

T2* functional data were acquired using a 3 Tesla scanner (Gyroscan Intera 3T, Philips Medical Systems, Best, NL), using a single-shot echoplanar sequence, with parameters selected to minimize distortion in the region of central interest, while retaining adequate signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and T2* sensitivity. Volumes consisting of 34 slices were acquired (matrix 64×64, resolution 3.6 mm × 3.6 mm × 3.6 mm; repetition time (TR) = 2.1 s, echo time (TE) = 30 ms, flip angle (FA) = 90°). The slices were tilted 25° from the anterior commissures/posterior commissures (AC/PC) line to minimize drop out artifacts in the mediotemporal and orbitofrontal region.

The paradigm presentation was projected to the rear end of the scanner (Sharp XG-PC10XE with additional high frequency (HF) shielding). During the experiment, subjects lay supine in the MRI scanner with the response box in their right hand. The head position was stabilized with a vacuum head cushion.

Data were analyzed using statistical parametric mapping software (SPM8, Welcome Department of Cognitive

Neurology, London, UK; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/ spm). Preprocessing of our functional data included realignment, unwarping, and spatial normalization to MNI-space as well as smoothing with a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full-width at half-maximum as described in our previous work [Donges et al., 2012].

To isolate neural response during the different blocks (control, win, lose), onsets and durations of the corresponding experimental conditions were modeled using a canonical hemodynamic response function. This was done in the context of the general linear model including corrections for serial correlations and application of a high-pass filter of 128 s to remove low-frequency noise.

For each subject, first-level analyses were conducted yielding two contrast-images "win > control" and "lose > control". One normal-weight subject and one obese subject had to be excluded due to excessive head movement (exclusion criterion >3 mm/3°).

Second-level analyses. To address our hypothesis of altered reward processing in obesity, we performed a 2 (group: obesity vs. normal-weight) \times 2 (condition: reward > control vs. loss > control) ANOVA on whole-brain data, using a full factorial model, with group as between-subjects factor and reward condition as within-subjects factor. Post hoc *t*-tests were conducted to further investigate potential interaction effects. The anatomical labeling was performed by means of the AAL-Toolbox [Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002], and the Brodmann areas (BA) were identified with the Talairach Daemon atlas (http://www.talairach.org).

To control for multiple statistical testing, we maintained a cluster-level false-positive detection rate at P < 0.05 using a voxel-level threshold of P < 0.001 with a cluster extent (*k*) empirically determined by Monte Carlo simulations (n = 5,000 iterations). This was performed by means of the AlphaSim [Forman et al., 1995] procedure, implemented in the REST toolbox (http://restfmri.net/forum/index.php) as reported in our previous publications [Dannlowski et al., 2014, in press; Opel et al., 2014]. The empirically determined cluster threshold for whole-brain data was k = 101 voxels.

RESULTS

The Group × Condition ANOVA revealed significant interaction effects in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) extending to the insula and the putamen (x = -26, y = 22, z = -10; $F_{(1,108)} = 22.26$; P < 0.001; k = 132 voxels), as well as in areas of the prefrontal cortex including the middle frontal gyrus, the superior and the inferior frontal gyrus (x = -26, y = 40, z = 16; $F_{(1,108)} = 18.28$; P < 0.001; k = 169 voxels).

Post hoc analysis yielded a significant increase in BOLD contrast in obese subjects compared to normal-weight subjects for the reward versus control condition in clusters again including the insula extending to the OFC and the

putamen (right: x = 36, y = 18, z = -14; $t_{(108)} = 5.18$; P < 0.001; k = 208 voxels, left: x = -28, y = 24, z = -8; $t_{(108)} = 5.09$; P < 0.001; k = 206 voxels) and prefrontal areas including the middle frontal gyrus (x = -36, y = 32, z = 24; $t_{(108)} = 4.39$; P < 0.001; k = 355 voxels, x = -28, y = 60, z = 6; $t_{(108)} = 4.27$; P < 0.001; k = 400 voxels). Furthermore, significant enhancement in BOLD response for the reward versus control condition in the obese group could be detected in the anterior cingulate cortex (x = 6, y = 42, z = 6; $t_{(108)} = 4.23$; P < 0.001; k = 101 voxels) and several temporal, occipital, and cerebellar brain areas (cuneus/precuneus: $x = 12, y = -80, z = 24; t_{(108)} = 4.56; P < 0.001; k = 736$ voxels, angular gyrus: x = 50, y = -70, z = 28; $t_{(108)} = 4.92$; P < 0.001; k = 178 voxels, cerebellum: x = 34, y = -72, z = -32; $t_{(108)} = 4.42$; P < 0.001; k = 167 voxels; see Figure 1, Table II).

No significant increase in BOLD contrast could be found in normal-weight subjects compared to obese subjects regarding the reward versus control condition and no significant differences between both groups regarding the loss versus control condition could be detected at the applied thresholds (P < 0.001, k > 101).



Obesity is associated with enhanced neural responsiveness to reward. Rendered brain surface (cutout at MNI coordinates at x = -14, y = 6, z = -5) depicts the results of a t-test contrasting both groups (Obesity > Normal Weight) for the reward > control condition showing the ACC, and the OFC. Color bar: Tvalue. Abbreviations: MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute, ACC, Anterior Cingulate Gyrus, OFC, Orbitofrontal Cortex. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

| | | Cluster size (k) | MNI (at peak) | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----|---------|------|---------|
| | BA | | x | y | z | Side | T-value |
| Insula/Inferior Frontal Gyrus, orbital part/Putamen | 47/13/45 | 208 | 36 | 18 | -14 | R | 5.18 |
| Insula/Inferior Frontal Gyrus, orbital part/Putamen | 47/13 | 206 | -28 | 24 | $^{-8}$ | L | 5.09 |
| Angular Gyrus/Middle Óccipital Gyrus/Middle Temporal Gyrus | 39/19 | 178 | 50 | -70 | 28 | R | 4.92 |
| Cuneus/Precuneus/Calcarine Gyrus/Superior Occipital Gyrus/Middle Occipital Gyrus | 31/19/7/ 18/30/23 | 736 | 12 | -80 | 24 | R | 4.56 |
| Cerebellum | _ | 167 | 34 | -72 | -32 | R | 4.42 |
| Middle Frontal Gyrus/Inferior Frontal Gyrus Pars Triangularis | 9/46/10/45 | 355 | -36 | 32 | 24 | L | 4.39 |
| Middle Frontal Gyrus/Superior Frontal Gyrus | 10/32/24/8 | 400 | -28 | 60 | 6 | L | 4.27 |
| Anterior Cingulate Gyrus/Middle Frontal Gyrus, orbital part | 32/24 | 101 | 6 | 42 | 6 | R | 4.23 |
| Inferior Parietal Gyrus/Angular Gyurs | 40 | 103 | -38 | -56 | 34 | L | 4.07 |

TABLE II. Results of the post hoc t-tests displaying clusters with significantly enhanced neural responsiveness to reward (versus control) in obese subjects compared to normal-weight subjects^a

Abbreviations: MNI, Montreal Neurologic Institute.

^aAll reported whole-brain analyses were conducted with a voxel-threshold of P < 0.001, minimum cluster volume threshold $k \ge 101$. Coordinates based on MNI atlas.

In a second step, to rule out a potential contribution of frequently observed structural alterations in obese subjects, mean gray matter values of each subject were added to the model as nuisance regressor using Voxel-Based-Morphometry as described in our previous work [Dannlowski et al., in press; Opel et al., 2014; Redlich et al., 2014a, b] (see Supporting Information). However, the inclusion of structural data as a covariate did not change the pattern of our results (see Supporting Information Table I).

Additionally, we conducted a psychophysiological interaction analysis (PPI) to detect possible patterns of altered network connectivity related to the reward versus control condition as used by Laeger et al. [Friston et al., 1997; Laeger et al., 2014]. Therefore, the cluster demonstrating the strongest effect in the preceding fMRI post hoc t-test (reward > control contrast for obese > normal-weight subjects) was defined as seed region (x = 36, y = 18, z = -14, k = 208 voxels). The signal time course of this seed was extracted and the reward > control contrast served as psychological factor. The individual contrast images of the PPI term reflecting the influence of task condition on network connectivity were compared between obese and nonobese subjects. Yet, no significant differences between both groups could be found regarding the functional coupling at the applied thresholds (P < 0.001, k > 101).

DISCUSSION

With this study, we provide evidence for frequently observed alterations in reward processing to emerge independently from food-related stimuli in obesity. As suspected, obese individuals exhibited enhanced neural activation in areas assumed to be involved in reward processing during a common monetary reward paradigm. Our results suggest that adverse patterns of neural processing during reward experiences in obesity are apparent beyond the subject area food and, thus, might point to generalized dysfunctions in reward processing in obese individuals.

Obese subjects showed increased BOLD response to reward (but not loss) in the OFC, the striatum, the insula, and the anterior cingulate cortex compared to subjects of normal weight. This observation of enhanced neural response toward rewarding stimuli in prefrontal and subcortical areas matches results from previous neuroimaging studies on obesity using food-stimuli [Burger and Berner, 2014; Dimitropoulos et al., 2012; Gearhardt et al., 2011].

The critical role of medial prefrontal, insular, and striatal areas in obesity also finds support in results of previous fMRI studies reporting altered neural activation during impulse control and decision-making to predominantly emerge in similar brain areas [Batterink et al., 2010; Delgado-Rico et al., 2013]. Furthermore, the apparent consensus on the importance of aberrations in reward-specific brain areas like the OFC, the striatum, and the cingulate cortex in the development of obesity leans on findings from structural neuroimaging research [Bolzenius et al., 2015; Marqués-Iturria et al., 2013; Opel et al., 2015; Raji et al., 2010]. This suggests that both structural and functional aberrations might be present and could simultaneously contribute to the etiological processes underlying obesity. Interestingly, the results of this study might, however, implicate that structural and functional alterations do not mutually depend on each other, as we found that inclusion of structural data did not affect the pattern of our functional results. Rather, it seems that obesity might be

associated with different specific morphological and functional changes. Moreover, studies on resting state function in obesity indicated that functional alterations could possibly exceed the domain of neural reward processing [García-García et al., 2013; Kullmann et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015]. Furthermore, functional connectivity analyses revealed an excessive modulation of the ventral striatum by the orbitofrontal cortex during food reward processing in obese subjects [Carnell et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2009]. It has been suggested that these altered connections in obese subjects might lead to enhanced food-craving in response to food cues [Stoeckel et al., 2009]. However, our finding of enhanced neural activation in obese subjects during reward in the striatum, the insula, and the OFC does not seem to substantially arise from aberrant network connectivity, as no significant PPI could be detected.

There has been growing evidence on the adverse clinical effects of abnormal neural processing in areas closely linked to the reward system in obesity, inter alia future weight gain [Batterink et al., 2010; Kishinevsky et al., 2012].

As altered reward processing seems to appear in a most common situation-like monetary gain in obese subjects, one might conclude that the neural alterations underlying this trait could also bear adverse effects in varying circumstances.

Among others, a shared neurobiological background of obesity and substance addiction has been frequently discussed and supports this hypothesis [García-García et al., 2014]. Also, Tomasi et al. (2014) previously demonstrated overlapping patterns of neural response in the OFC to cocaine as well as to food cues and points to the critical role of the dopaminergic system in both food and drug addiction [Tomasi et al., 2014], and furthermore, genetic variation in the dopaminergic system was reported to alter striatal responsiveness to reward [Dannlowski et al., 2013; Forbes et al., 2009]. Indeed, dysfunctions in dopamine pathways have been suggested to interfere in the development of severe obesity, affective disorders, and substance addiction and might, therefore, constitute an essential link between these conditions [Blum et al., 2014; Opel et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2009].

This notion is supported by recent findings regarding the importance of the dopamine system in obesity: First, D2 receptor availability has been shown to be significantly decreased in obese subjects and second, decreased striatal D2 receptor availability has been demonstrated to be positively correlated with prefrontal metabolism [Volkow et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2001].

Consequently, our findings of prefrontal and striatal hyper-reactivity might actually reflect blunted reward responsiveness in obesity as proposed by Carnell et al. [2012]. Given the decisive role of reward deficiency in addictive and affective disorders, our results shed more light on possible neurobiologial underpinnings underlying the high prevalent comorbidity of obesity and psychiatric disorders [De Wit et al., 2010].

As a basic function of reward is to induce a subjective feeling of pleasure and positive emotion, altered responsive-

ness to reward and, thus, reinforcing stimuli might contribute to the generation and maintenance of depressive symptoms, which could reflect the higher prevalence of depression in obese participants compared to normalweight participants [Carey et al., 2014; Luppino et al., 2010].

The key role of reward-related brain areas like the OFC and the cingulate cortex in both affective disorders and obesity combined with findings of directly overlapping gray matter reductions in these particular areas in obesity and major depression highlight the possibility of a shared neurobiological background underlying this high prevalent comorbidity [Drevets, 2007; Opel et al., 2015].

Our observation of generalized dysfunctions in rewardrelated brain circuits in obesity, thus, underlines the importance of further investigation of possible clinical and neurobiological overlaps between obesity and further psychopathological conditions. In particular longitudinal studies should aim to investigate bidirectional associations between obesity and highly prevalent psychopathologic disorders, both sharing prefrontal and striatal alterations referring to a common key factor in the development of these disorders: the reward system.

Strengths of our study include the solid sample size. All of our participants were free from severe comorbidities including diabetes and cardiovascular disease which are high prevalent in obese subjects and might, therefore, constitute a confounding factor. Moreover, we used a robust paradigm which has frequently been shown to provide reliable results in studies on reward processing [Delgado et al., 2005; Forbes et al., 2009].

Some limitations must be acknowledged. First and foremost, we did not perform neuropsychological testing and, thus, could not provide information on impulsivity or behavioral control nor did we assess information on state of satiation in our subjects. We further did not control for potential confounding effects of smoking and alcohol intake, albeit substance abuse or addiction was an exclusion criterion. Studies investigating reward valence revealed that particularly medial OFC (MOFC) activation is associated with positive reward and with its subjective feeling of pleasantness [Kringelbach, 2003; Kringelbach and Radcliffe, 2005; Liu et al., 2011; Walter et al., 2008]. Due to the lack of data on subjective evaluation we cannot provide information about a potential association of functional activation patterns and the subjective feeling of pleasantness in this study. Furthermore, as our study design is cross-sectional, no statement on causal relationships can be made and, therefore, our interpretation of the data must be treated with care.

CONCLUSION

To conclude, enhanced neural activation in obesity during reward processing seems to be apparent in the absence of food-related stimuli and, therefore, might point to broader dysfunctions in reward-related brain circuits in obese individuals. This finding of a generalized reward deficiency in obesity might highlight the importance to further explore possible shared neurobiological traits in obesity and psychopathological conditions which could lead to a better understanding and potentially advanced treatment options in obesity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Ahmad Hariri for providing the fMRI paradigm.

REFERENCES

- Balodis IM, Kober H, Worhunsky PD, White MA, Stevens MC, Pearlson GD, Sinha R, Grilo CM, Potenza MN (2013): Monetary reward processing in obese individuals with and without binge eating disorder. Biol Psychiatry 73:877–886.
- Batterink L, Yokum S, Stice E (2010): Body mass correlates inversely with inhibitory control in response to food among adolescent girls: An fMRI study. Neuroimage 52:1696–1703.
- Beck AT, Steer RA (1987): Beck Depression Inventory: Manual. San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Blum K, Thanos PK, Gold MS (2014): Dopamine and glucose, obesity, and reward deficiency syndrome. Front Psychol 5:919.
- Bolzenius JD, Laidlaw DH, Cabeen RP, Conturo TE, McMichael AR, Lane EM, Heaps JM, Salminen LE, Baker LM, Scott SE, Cooley SA, Gunstad J, Paul RH (2015): Brain structure and cognitive correlates of body mass index in healthy older adults. Behav Brain Res 278:342–347.
- Bonato DP, Boland FJ (1983): Delay of gratification in obese children. Addict Behav 8:71–74.
- Bragulat V, Dzemidzic M, Bruno C, Cox CA, Talavage T, Considine RV, Kareken DA (2010): Food-related odor probes of brain reward circuits during hunger: A pilot FMRI study. Obesity (Silver Spring) 18:1566–1571.
- Burger KS, Berner LA (2014): A functional neuroimaging review of obesity, appetitive hormones and ingestive behavior. Physiol Behav 136:121–127.
- Burger KS, Stice E (2014): Greater striatopallidal adaptive coding during cue-reward learning and food reward habituation predict future weight gain. Neuroimage 99:122–128.
- Carey M, Small H, Yoong SL, Boyes A, Bisquera A, Sanson-Fisher R (2014): Prevalence of comorbid depression and obesity in general practice: A cross-sectional survey. Br J Gen Pract 64: e122–e127.
- Carnell S, Gibson C, Benson L, Ochner CN, Geliebter A (2012): Neuroimaging and obesity: Current knowledge and future directions. Obes Rev 13:43–56.
- Dannlowski U, Domschke K, Birosova E, Lawford B, Young R, Voisey J, Morris CP, Suslow T, Konrad C, Kugel H, Ohrmann P, Bauer J, Schöning S, Zavorotnyy M, Diemer J, Arolt V, Baune BT, Zwanzger P (2013): Dopamine D₃ receptor gene variation: Impact on electroconvulsive therapy response and ventral striatum responsiveness in depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 16:1443–1459.
- Dannlowski U, Grabe HJ, Wittfeld K, Klaus J, Konrad C, Grotegerd D, Redlich R, Suslow T, Opel N, Ohrmann P, Bauer J, Zwanzger P, Laeger I, Hohoff C, Arolt V, Heindel W, Deppe M, Domschke K, Hegenscheid K, Völzke H, Stacey D, Meyer

Zu Schwabedissen H, Kugel H, Baune BT: Multimodal imaging of a tescalcin (TESC)-regulating polymorphism (rs7294919)specific effects on hippocampal gray matter structure. Mol Psychiatry, doi: 10.1038/mp.2014.39 (in press).

- Dannlowski U, Kugel H, Redlich R, Halik A, Schneider I, Opel N, Grotegerd D, Schwarte K, Schettler C, Ambrée O, Rust S, Domschke K, Arolt V, Heindel W, Baune BT, Suslow T, Zhang W, Hohoff C (2014): Serotonin transporter gene methylation is associated with hippocampal gray matter volume. Hum Brain Mapp 35:5356–5367.
- De Wit L, Luppino F, van Straten A, Penninx B, Zitman F, Cuijpers P (2010): Depression and obesity: A meta-analysis of community-based studies. Psychiatry Res 178:230–235.
- Delgado MR, Miller MM, Inati S, Phelps EA (2005): An fMRI study of reward-related probability learning. Neuroimage 24:862–873.
- Delgado-Rico E, Soriano-Mas C, Verdejo-Román J, Río-Valle JS, Verdejo-García A (2013): Decreased insular and increased midbrain activations during decision-making under risk in adolescents with excess weight. Obesity (Silver Spring) 21:1662–1668.
- Dimitropoulos A, Tkach J, Ho A, Kennedy J (2012): Greater corticolimbic activation to high-calorie food cues after eating in obese vs. normal-weight adults. Appetite 58:303–312.
- Donges U-S, Kugel H, Stuhrmann A, Grotegerd D, Redlich R, Lichev V, Rosenberg N, Ihme K, Suslow T, Dannlowski U (2012): Adult attachment anxiety is associated with enhanced automatic neural response to positive facial expression. Neuroscience 220:149–157.
- Drevets WC (2007): Orbitofrontal cortex function and structure in depression. Ann NY Acad Sci 1121:499–527.
- Forbes EE, Brown SM, Kimak M, Ferrell RE, Manuck SB, Hariri AR (2009): Genetic variation in components of dopamine neurotransmission impacts ventral striatal reactivity associated with impulsivity. Mol Psychiatry 14:60–70.
- Forman SD, Cohen JD, Fitzgerald M, Eddy WF, Mintun MA, Noll DC (1995): Improved assessment of significant activation in functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI): Use of a cluster-size threshold. Magn Reson Med 33:636–647.
- Frank GKW, Reynolds JR, Shott ME, Jappe L, Yang TT, Tregellas JR, O'Reilly RC (2012): Anorexia nervosa and obesity are associated with opposite brain reward response. Neuropsychopharmacology 37:2031–2046.
- Friston KJ, Buechel C, Fink GR, Morris J, Rolls E, Dolan RJ (1997): Psychophysiological and modulatory interactions in neuroimaging. Neuroimage 6:218–229.
- García-García I, Jurado MÁ, Garolera M, Segura B, Sala-Llonch R, Marqués-Iturria I, Pueyo R, Sender-Palacios MJ, Vernet-Vernet M, Narberhaus A, Ariza M, Junqué C (2013): Alterations of the salience network in obesity: A resting-state fMRI study. Hum Brain Mapp 34:2786–2797.
- García-García I, Horstmann A, Jurado MA, Garolera M, Chaudhry SJ, Margulies DS, Villringer A, Neumann J (2014): Reward processing in obesity, substance addiction and non-substance addiction. Obes Rev 15:853–869.
- Gearhardt AN, Yokum S, Orr PT, Stice E, Corbin WR, Brownell KD (2011): Neural correlates of food addiction. Arch Gen Psychiatry 68:808–816.
- Guerrieri R, Nederkoorn C, Stankiewicz K, Alberts H, Geschwind N, Martijn C, Jansen A (2007): The influence of trait and induced state impulsivity on food intake in normal-weight healthy women. Appetite 49:66–73.
- Hamilton M (1960): A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg 23:56–63.

- Hautzinger M, Bailer M, Worall H, Keller F (1994): Beck Depressions-Inventar (BDI). Testhandbuch. Bern: Hans Huber.
- Kishinevsky FI, Cox JE, Murdaugh DL, Stoeckel LE, Cook EW, Weller RE (2012): fMRI reactivity on a delay discounting task predicts weight gain in obese women. Appetite 58:582–592.
- Kringelbach ML (2003): Activation of the human orbitofrontal cortex to a liquid food stimulus is correlated with its subjective pleasantness. Cereb Cortex 13:1064–1071.
- Kringelbach ML, Radcliffe J (2005): The human orbitofrontal cortex: Linking reward to hedonic experience. Neuroscience 6:691–702.
- Kullmann S, Heni M, Veit R, Ketterer C, Schick F, Häring H-U, Fritsche A, Preissl H (2012): The obese brain: Association of body mass index and insulin sensitivity with resting state network functional connectivity. Hum Brain Mapp 33:1052–1061.
- Laeger I, Keuper K, Heitmann C, Kugel H, Dobel C, Eden A, Arolt V, Zwitserlood P, Dannlowski U, Zwanzger P (2014): Have we met before? Neural correlates of emotional learning in women with social phobia. J Psychiatry Neurosci 39:E14–E23.
- Lehrl S (2005): Mehrfachwahl-Wortschatz-Intelligenztest MWT-B. Balingen: Spitta Verlag.
- Liu X, Hairston J, Schrier M, Fan J (2011): Common and distinct networks underlying reward valence and processing stages: A meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 35:1219–1236.
- Luppino FS, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, Stijnen T, Cuijpers P, Penninx BWJH, Zitman FG (2010): Overweight, obesity, and depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Arch Gen Psychiatry 67:220–229.
- Marqués-Iturria I, Pueyo R, Garolera M, Segura B, Junqué C, García-García I, José Sender-Palacios M, Vernet-Vernet M, Narberhaus A, Ariza M, Jurado MÁ (2013): Frontal cortical thinning and subcortical volume reductions in early adulthood obesity. Psychiatry Res 214:109–115.
- Opel N, Redlich R, Zwanzger P, Grotegerd D, Arolt V, Heindel W, Konrad C, Kugel H, Dannlowski U (2014): Hippocampal atrophy in major depression: A function of childhood maltreatment rather than diagnosis? Neuropsychopharmacology 39: 2723–2731.
- Opel N, Redlich R, Grotegerd D, Dohm K, Heindel W, Kugel H, Arolt V, Dannlowski U (2015): Obesity and major depression: Body-mass index (BMI) is associated with a severe course of disease and specific neurostructural alterations. Psychoneuroendocrinology 51:219–226.
- Raji CA, Ho AJ, Parikshak NN, Becker JT, Lopez OL, Kuller LH, Hua X, Leow AD, Toga AW, Thompson PM (2010): Brain structure and obesity. Hum Brain Mapp 31:353–364.
- Redlich R, Almeida JJR, Grotegerd D, Opel N, Kugel H, Heindel W, Arolt V, Phillips ML, Dannlowski U (2014a): Brain morphometric biomarkers distinguishing unipolar and bipolar depression. A voxel-based morphometry-pattern classification approach. JAMA Psychiatry 71:1222–1230.
- Redlich R, Grotegerd D, Opel N, Kaufmann C, Zwitserlood P, Kugel H, Heindel W, Donges U-S, Suslow T, Arolt V, Dannlowski U (2014b): Are you gonna leave me? Separation

anxiety is associated with increased amygdala responsiveness and volume. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 10:278–284.

- Shott ME, Cornier M-A, Mittal VA, Pryor TL, Orr JM, Brown MS, Frank GKW (2014): Orbitofrontal cortex volume and brain reward response in obesity. Int J Obes (Lond) 39:214–21.
- Stoeckel LE, Kim J, Weller RE, Cox JE, Cook EW, Horwitz B (2009): Effective connectivity of a reward network in obese women. Brain Res Bull 79:388–395.
- Tomasi D, Wang G-J, Wang R, Caparelli EC, Logan J, Volkow ND (2014): Overlapping patterns of brain activation to food and cocaine cues in cocaine abusers: Association to striatal D2/D3 receptors. Hum Brain Mapp 36:120–136.
- Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Landeau B, Papathanassiou D, Crivello F, Etard O, Delcroix N, Mazoyer B, Joliot M (2002): Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. Neuroimage 15:273–289.
- Verdejo-García A, Verdejo-Román J, Rio-Valle JS, Lacomba JA, Lagos FM, Soriano-Mas C (2014): Dysfunctional involvement of emotion and reward brain regions on social decision making in excess weight adolescents. Hum Brain Mapp.
- Volkow ND, Wang G-J, Telang F, Fowler JS, Thanos PK, Logan J, Alexoff D, Ding Y-S, Wong C, Ma Y, Pradhan K (2008): Low dopamine striatal D2 receptors are associated with prefrontal metabolism in obese subjects: Possible contributing factors. Neuroimage 42:1537–1543.
- Walter M, Bermpohl F, Mouras H, Schiltz K, Tempelmann C, Rotte M, Heinze HJ, Bogerts B, Northoff G (2008): Distinguishing specific sexual and general emotional effects in fMRIsubcortical and cortical arousal during erotic picture viewing. Neuroimage 40:1482–1494.
- Walther K, Birdsill AC, Glisky EL, Ryan L (2010): Structural brain differences and cognitive functioning related to body mass index in older females. Hum Brain Mapp 31:1052–1064.
- Wang G-J, Volkow ND, Logan J, Pappas NR, Wong CT, Zhu W, Netusll N, Fowler JS (2001): Brain dopamine and obesity. Lancet 357:354–357.
- Wang G-J, Volkow ND, Thanos PK, Fowler JS (2009): Imaging of brain dopamine pathways: Implications for understanding obesity 3:8–18.
- Weygandt M, Mai K, Dommes E, Leupelt V, Hackmack K, Kahnt T, Rothemund Y, Spranger J, Haynes J-D(2013): The role of neural impulse control mechanisms for dietary success in obesity. Neuroimage 83C:669–678.
- Wittchen H-U, Wunderlich U, Gruschwitz S, Zaudig M (1997): SKID-I. Strukturiertes Klinisches Interview für DSM-IV. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- World Health Organization (2014): Obesity and overweight. Available at http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/ en/.
- Zhang B, Tian D, Yu C, Zhang J, Tian X, von Deneen KM, Zang Y, Walter M, Liu Y (2015): Altered baseline brain activities before food intake in obese men: A resting state fMRI study. Neurosci Lett 584:156–161.