# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

# The role impairment associated with mental disorder risk profiles in the WHO World Mental Health International College Student Initiative

Jordi Alonso<sup>1,2,3</sup> | Gemma Vilagut<sup>1,2,3</sup> | Philippe Mortier<sup>4</sup> | Randy P. Auerbach<sup>5</sup> | Ronny Bruffaerts<sup>4</sup> | Pim Cuijpers<sup>6</sup> | Koen Demyttenaere<sup>4</sup> | David D. Ebert<sup>7</sup> | Edel Ennis<sup>8</sup> | Raul A. Gutiérrez-García<sup>9</sup> | Jennifer Greif Green<sup>10</sup> | Penelope Hasking<sup>11</sup> | Sue Lee<sup>12</sup> | Jason Bantjes<sup>13</sup> | Matthew K. Nock<sup>14</sup> | Stephanie Pinder-Amaker<sup>15</sup> | Nancy A. Sampson<sup>12</sup> | Alan M. Zaslavsky<sup>12</sup> | Ronald C. Kessler<sup>12</sup> | |

<sup>1</sup>Health Services Research Group, IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Pompeu Fabra University (UPF), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>3</sup>CIBER en Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Universitair Psychiatrisch Centrum–Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (UPC-KUL), Campus Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>5</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York City, New York, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Clinical, Neuro and Developmental Psychology, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup> Department for Psychology, Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany

<sup>8</sup>School of Psychology, Ulster University, Londonderry, UK

<sup>9</sup>Universidad De La Salle Bajio, Campus Salamanca, Guanajuato, Mexico

<sup>10</sup>School of Education, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>11</sup>Curtin University, Bentley, Western Australia, Australia

<sup>12</sup> Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>13</sup>Psychology Department, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

<sup>14</sup> Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>15</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence

Dr. Jordi Alonso, IMIM (Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques), C/Doctor Aiguader, 88 E-08003 Barcelona, Spain. Email: jalonso@imim.es

### Funding information

Belgian Fund for Scientific Research, Grant/Award Number: (11N0514N/ 11N0516N/ 1114717N) (PM) 11N0514N/ 11N0516N/1114717N; Eli Lilly, Grant/ Award Number: (IIT-H6U-BX-I002) (RB, PM) IIT-H6U-BX-I002; Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Instituto de Salud Carlos III-FEDER, Grant/Award Number: (PI13/00343); ISCIII, Grant/Award Number: (Río Hortega, CM14/00125) (Sara Borrell, CD12/00440); Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, PNSD, Grant/ Award Number: (Exp. 2015I015); DIUE Generalitat de Catalunya, Grant/Award Number: (2017 SGR 452) FPU (FPU15/ Abstract

**Objective:** The objective of this study is to assess the contribution of mental comorbidity to role impairment among college students.

**Methods:** Web-based self-report surveys from 14,348 first-year college students (Response Rate [RR] = 45.5%): 19 universities, eight countries of the World Mental Health International College Student Initiative. We assessed impairment (Sheehan Disability Scales and number of days out of role [DOR] in the past 30 days) and seven 12-month DSM-IV disorders. We defined six multivariate mental disorder classes using latent class analysis (LCA). We simulated population attributable risk proportions (PARPs) of impairment.

**Results:** Highest prevalence of role impairment was highest among the 1.9% of students in the LCA class with very high comorbidity and bipolar disorder (C1): 78.3% of them had severe role impairment (vs. 20.8%, total sample). Impairment was lower in two other comorbid classes (C2 and C3) and successively lower in

# <sup>2 of 12</sup> WILEY

05728); Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Instituto de Salud Carlos III-FEDER, Grant/ Award Number: (PI13/00506); King Baudouin Foundation, Grant/Award Number: (2014-J2140150-102905) (RB) 2014-J2140150-102905; Protestants Fonds voor de Geestelijke Volksgezondheid, Grant/ Award Number: Student survey project (PC): South African Medical Research Council, Grant/Award Number: DJS: US Public Health Service, Grant/Award Numbers: RO1 DA016558, R01-MH069864, R13-MH066849 and R01 DA016558; Fogarty International Center, Grant/Award Number: FIRCA R03-TW006481: Conseio Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología. Grant/Award Number: CB-2016-01-285548; PFGV; ZonMw, Grant/Award Number: 636110005; BARMER, Grant/ Award Number: StudiCare (DDE); National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), Grant/ Award Numbers: R01MH070884 and R56MH109566

#### ALONSO ET AL.

the rest. A similar monotonic pattern was found for DOR. Both LCA classes and some mental disorders (major depression and panic, in particular) were significant predictors of role impairment. PARP analyses suggest that eliminating all mental disorders might reduce severe role impairment by 64.6% and DOR by 44.3%.

**Conclusions:** Comorbid mental disorders account for a substantial part of role impairment in college students.

## KEYWORDS

college students, disability, role impairment

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

College students are a key group in society in terms of human capital (Abel & Deitz, 2012) as they play a major role in future economic growth and innovation. Most lifetime mental disorders begin in childhood or adolescence (Kessler, Amminger, et al., 2007), and the college years are consequently a peak period for prevalence of recent mental disorders. For instance, a meta-analysis estimated that 30.6% of college students meet criteria for major depression (Ibrahim, Kelly, Adams, & Glazebrook, 2013). Mental disorders have a substantial impact on academic performance (Auerbach et al., 2016; Kessler, Foster, Saunders, & Stang, 1995) and prematriculation onset disorders are strong predictors of college attrition (Auerbach et al., 2016). Mental disorders are also associated with lower employment in adulthood (Mojtabai et al., 2015). Therefore, it is important to detect and treat mental disorders when they exist among college students.

Knowledge about role impairment due to mental disorders among college students is insufficient. We recently reported (Alonso et al., 2018) a high prevalence of severe role impairment in first-year college students in eight countries. We found the highest levels of severe impairment in the domains of close personal relationships and social life and also found high levels of impairment in productive activities. Our results were consistent with previous studies in single countries (Verger, Guagliardo, Gilbert, Rouillon, & Kovess-Masfety, 2010). We also found in that prior report that number of comorbid mental disorders was positively associated with severe role impairment, but the shape of this association was not investigated in detail (Alonso et al., 2018). Taking comorbidity into account is essential given that mental disorders typically do not exist in isolation (Kessler, Chiu, Demler, Merikangas, & Walters, 2005). In addition, for the purposes of intervention, different profiles of comorbidity might call for differentiated intervention services. The association of mental comorbidity with role impairment thus deserves further analysis.

An earlier study in this issue used latent class analysis (LCA) to identify comorbidity patterns (or classes) that are strongly predictive of 12-month suicidality beyond the risks associated with individual disorders, suggesting that there are interactive predictive effects of the disorders in these classes (Auerbach et al., 2018). The analysis found a very small proportion of students (1.9%) in a highly comorbid class associated with high prevalence of bipolar disorder (Class 1), larger proportions of students in two other comorbid classes characterized either by a combination of internalizing and externalizing disorders (Class 2, 5.8%) or mostly internalizing disorders (Class 3, 14.6%), and a final large class of students with pure disorders (16.1%; i.e., each student had only one disorder). Assessing the degree of association of those comorbidity classes with role impairment may help us understand specific care needs of students and facilitate trans-diagnostic interventions (Harrer et al., 2018). Addressing those needs may potentially reduce individual suffering of patients and their families as well as increase the long-term human capital of the societies that today's college students will embody in the future.

The World Health Organization (WHO) World Mental Health International College Student (WMH-ICS) Initiative was developed to obtain accurate longitudinal information about the frequency, correlates, and impact of mental, substance, and behavioral disorders among college students internationally (https://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/wmh/college\_student\_survey.php). The aims also included assessing unmet need for treatment, developing a practical method for targeting students in need of outreach, and laying the groundwork for the implementation and evaluation of preventive and clinical interventions.

The objectives of this paper are to estimate among incoming first-year college students in the first wave of the WMH-ICS surveys (a) the prevalence of role impairment (home management/chores, work, close personal relationships and social life, and days out of role) associated with mental comorbidity classes; and (b) the role of comorbid mental disorders in accounting for these role impairments.

# 2 | METHOD

# 2.1 | Sample

The initial round of WMH-ICS surveys was carried out in a convenience sample of 19 colleges and universities (henceforth referred to as colleges) in eight countries (Australia, Belgium, Germany, Mexico, Northern Ireland, South Africa, Spain, and the United States). Details on the participating countries and colleges are provided elsewhere (Alonso et al., 2018) and earlier in this issue of the journal (Auerbach et al., 2016; 2018). Web-based self-report questionnaires were administered to all incoming first-year students between October 2014 and February 2017. To participate in the survey, students had to be enrolled in the first year and fluent in the official language of the country. We excluded all those participants not meeting these inclusion criteria and those who did not provide informed consent. A total of 14.371 eligible questionnaires were completed, with sample sizes ranging from a low of 633 in Australia to a high of 4,580 in Belgium. The weighted (by achieved sample size) mean response rate across all surveys was 45.5%.

# 2.2 | Procedures

All incoming first-year students in the participating colleges were invited to participate in a web-based self-report health survey. The initial mode of contact varied across colleges, with the survey being either part of a health evaluation in some colleges, part of the registration process in others, and implemented as a stand-alone survey delivered via student email addresses in still others. In all cases other than in Mexico (see below), potential respondents were invited to participate and initial nonrespondents were recontacted through a series of personalized reminder emails containing unique electronic links to the survey. Ten colleges implemented conditional incentives in the final stages of refusal conversion (e.g., a raffle for store credit coupons and movie passes). In addition, one site (Spain) used an "end-game strategy" consisting of a random sample of nonrespondents at the end of the normal recruitment period that was offered incentives for participation. The situation was different in Mexico, where students were invited to participate in conjunction with mandatory activities, which varied from college to college (e.g., student health evaluations and tutoring sessions), with time set aside for completing the survey during the sessions. Informed consent was obtained before administering the questionnaires in all countries. Procedures for obtaining informed consent and protecting human participants were approved and monitored for compliance by the institutional review boards of the organizations coordinating the surveys in each country. At the end of the survey, all respondents received a general notification on how to access specialized mental health services at their colleges. Students who reported recent and/or severe suicide thoughts or behaviors additionally received more detailed information about available resources within their college and/or community. Details about ethics approval for the WHO WMH-ICS Initiative countries is available in this link: http://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/wmh/ftpdir/IRB\_ EthicsApproval\_WMH-ICS.pdf.

### 2.3 | Measures

#### 2.3.1 | Role impairment outcomes

Severity of health-related role impairment in the past 12 months was assessed using an adapted version of the Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS; Leon, Olfson, Portera, Farber, & Sheehan, 1997) that assessed impairment separately in each of four role domains: home management/chores, work roles, close personal relationships, and social life. Impairments in home management were defined as difficulties in such things as "cleaning, shopping, and working around the house, apartment or yard." Impairments in work were defined as difficulties in the "ability to work as well as most of other people." Impairments in close personal relationships were defined as difficulties in "the ability to initiate and maintain close personal relationships." Impairments in social life, finally, were not defined. A 0 to 10 visual analogue scale was used to rate the degree of impairment for each domain. In each of these four cases (Ibrahim et al., 2013), respondents were asked to rate the extent to which problems with their physical or emotional health interfered with their activities in this area on a 0-to-10 scale with labels associated with scale values of no (0), mild (1-3), moderate (4-6), severe (7-9), and very severe (10) interference. A summary 0-40 scale that combines all four responses has a Cronbach's in the total sample of 0.87. Consistent with prior WMH reports (Kessler & Ustun, 2004; Wittchen, Nelson, & Lachner, 1998), we defined two dichotomies for each of the four SDS role domains as well as for the maximum score across these domains to define respondents who reported any impairment (i.e., scores in the range 1-10 versus 0) and severe interference (i.e., scores in the range 7-10 versus 0-6). In addition, respondents were asked how many days out of 30 in the past month they were totally unable to work or carry out their other normal daily activities because of problems with their physical or mental health or because of problems due to their use of alcohol or drugs.

### 2.3.2 | Mental disorders

Due to the size and logistical complexities of the surveys, it was impossible to administer an in-depth psychiatric diagnostic interview to each student. Instead, the survey instrument consisted of a series of short validated self-report screening scales for lifetime and 12-month prevalence of seven common DSM-IV disorders. These included four internalizing disorders (major depressive episode, mania/hypomania, generalized anxiety disorder, and panic disorder) and three externalizing disorders (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [ADHD], alcohol abuse or dependence, and drug abuse or dependence involving either cannabis, cocaine, any other street drug, or a prescription drug either used without a prescription or used more than prescribed to get high, buzzed, or numbed out). This is a larger set of disorders than used in previous college mental health surveys, most of which either focused only on depression (for review, see Ibrahim et al., 2013) or included only screening scales of current anxious and depressive symptoms (Mahmoud, Staten, Hall, & Lennie, 2012). Although a much larger set of disorders is used in the face-to-face WMH (Scott, De Jonge, Stein, & Kessler, 2018), concerns were raised

# 4 of 12 | WILEY

about administering student surveys that would be long enough to include all those disorders. The seven disorders in the core WMH-ICS surveys were consequently a compromise that included the disorders associated with the highest levels of role impairment among college students in the WMH surveys (Auerbach et al., 2016). As an indication of the coverage of these disorders, 83% of the college students in the WMH surveys who reported suicidal ideation in the 12 months before interview met criteria for one or more of these seven disorders during that same time period.

The assessments of five of the seven disorders were based on the Composite international Diagnostic Interview Screening Scales (Kessler, Calabrese, et al., 2013). The other two disorders were based on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (Saunders, Aasland, Babor, de la Fuente, & Grant, 1993) screen for alcohol use disorder and on the WHO Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (Kessler et al., 2005) for adult ADHD. The CIDI-SC scales have been shown to have good concordance with blinded clinical diagnoses based on the Structured Clinical interview for DSM-IV (First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 1994), with area under the curve (AUC) in the range 0.70-0.78 (Kessler, Santiago, et al., 2013). However, these validation studies have not yet been carried out in samples of college students. The version of the AUDIT we used, which defined alcohol use disorder as either a total score of 16+ or a score of 8-15 with 4+ on the AUDIT dependence questions (Babor, Higgins-Biddle, Saunders, & Monteiro, 2001), has been shown to have concordance with clinical diagnoses in the range AUC = 0.78-0.91 (Reinert & Allen, 2002). Additional items taken from the CIDI (Kessler & Ustun, 2004) were used to assess age-of-onset of each disorder and number of lifetime years with symptoms. The DSM-IV version of the ASRS was found to have good concordance with blinded clinical diagnoses based on a standard research diagnostic interview for adult ADHD in two separate clinical studies (Kessler, Adler, et al., 2007; Kessler & Ustun, 2004).

In addition to assessing lifetime prevalence of all the above disorders other than ADHD, brief screening assessments were made for lifetime prevalence of binge-eating disorder, intermittent explosive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder, but 12-month evaluation of these disorders was not done in this initial round of the WMH-ICS surveys. This omission has been corrected in the more recent version of the survey that is currently being administered. For purposes of the analyses reported here, these disorders were coded as lifetime, but not 12-month, disorders even though it is almost certainly the case that at least some of these disorders were active in the 12 months before the survey. The inclusion of these disorders in the current analysis accounts for discrepancies in the proportion of students who are estimated to have lifetime disorders compared with the proportion presented in an earlier report (Auerbach et al., 2018).

# 2.3.3 | Socio-demographics and college-related factors

The following socio-demographic variables were included in the survey: gender, age, parental education, parental marital status, urbanicity of the place the student was raised, religious background, sexual orientation, the extent to which respondents were attracted to men and women, and the gender(s) of people they had sex with (if any) in the past 5 years. Respondents were also asked where they ranked academically compared with other students at the time of their high school graduation, what their most important reason was to go to college, where they were living during the first semester of the academic year, and if they expected to work during the school year. More detailed descriptions of these measures are presented elsewhere (Alonso et al., 2018) and earlier in this issue (Auerbach et al., 2018).

# 2.4 | Analysis methods

## 2.4.1 | Weighting

The data were weighted to adjust for socio-demographic differences between survey respondents and the population data reported by college administrators. The analyses reported here are based on 14,348 respondents, for whom poststratification weights were computed. Standard methods for poststratification weighting were used for this purpose (Groves & Couper, 1998). Comparisons of these distributions showed that the only meaningful difference was that females had a somewhat higher response rate than males. In Spain, respondents to the end-game interviews were given a weight equal to 1/p, where p represented the proportion of nonrespondents at the end of the normal recruitment period that was included in the end-game, to adjust for the undersampling of these hard-to-recruit respondents. This meant that the data were doubly weighted in the case of the Spanish survey, one to include the end-game weight and then with the poststratification weight applied to those weighted data. For the analyses, each country was given an equal sum of weights, with the total sum of weights across countries set at 14,348.

# 2.4.2 | Analysis of the associations between latent classes and impairment

As described in more detail in a separate paper in this issue (Auerbach et al., 2018), LCA (Magidson & Vermunt, 2004) was used to examine multivariate profiles among the seven 12-month DSM-IV disorders. LCA is an analysis approach that classifies each person in the analysis into one of a small number of multivariate profiles (referred to as "classes"), in this case defined by the cross-classification of the seven 12-month DSM-IV disorders in such a way as to capture the main patterns of comorbidity among these disorders. Once an optimal number of classes is selected and their characteristics defined, each respondent is assigned to the class with the highest probability of membership for purposes of subsequent analysis.

Once the latent classes were defined, SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., 2017) was used to examine associations of LCA classes with role impairment using logistic regression analysis as well as ordinary linear regression for the association of LCA classes with number of days out of role. All models were adjusted by socio-demographic and college-related variables. Logistic regression coefficients and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were exponentiated to compute odds ratios (ORs) and associated 95% CIs to facilitate interpretation. All results were pooled across countries using a fixed effects modeling (FEM) approach by including dummy control variables for country. Due to the variable within-country sample sizes, no attempt was made to search for variation in associations across countries. We chose FEM instead of a multilevel modeling approach to account for the nested structure of the data because our focus is on pooled within-group associations between individual-level predictors and outcomes rather than geographic variation in mean outcome scores. In a situation of this sort, FEM is preferable because it yields estimates of individual-level associations comparable with multilevel analysis without the restrictive, and in our case incorrect, assumption in the latter approach that the aggregate units (i.e., countries and universities within countries) represent random samples from the population of all such units (Goldstein, 2010).

We computed population attributable risk proportions (PARPs) of the impairment outcome measures due to the disorders considered here by using simulation methods. The simulations began by calculating the expected scores on the role impairment outcome measures based on prediction models that included the LCA classes as predictors. We then recalculated these individual-level predicted outcome scores based on the assumption that all respondents were in the class with no lifetime disorders. The difference in mean values of these two scores divided by the mean for the observed data was used to define the proportion of impairment that we might expect to be prevented with complete eradication of the mental disorders considered here. The implicit assumption here is that the coefficients in the prediction models were due to causal effects of disorders on impairments, although the PARP estimates are useful as descriptive measures of association even when this assumption of causality cannot be supported rigorously.

Due to the amount of item-level missing data in the surveys being relatively large (for the most part because not all surveys assessed all constructs), we used the method of multiple imputation by chained equations (Van Buuren, 2012) with 20 imputations per case to adjust for item-missing data. See Auerbach et al. (2018) for details about the missing data patterns. Significance tests were consistently carried out using.05-level two-sided multiple imputationadjusted tests.

# 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | Socio-demographic distribution of the sample

As described in more detail elsewhere in this issue (Auerbach et al., in press), a majority of respondents (54.8%, ranging from 51.4% in Germany to 60.7 in the United States) were female and most others male (44.7%, range = 38.2% to 51.0%), with the small remaining number defining themselves as either transsexual or "other" (0.5%, range = 0.0% to 1.2%). Most respondents were either 16–18 years of age (51.1%), 19 (25.8%), or 20–21 (12.2%). The vast majority (96.5%) were full-time students. The majority of respondents defined themselves as heterosexual with no same-sex attraction (72.4%) and the others as either heterosexual with some same-sex attraction

(14.1%), nonheterosexual without same-sex intercourse (8.1%), or nonheterosexual with same-sex intercourse (5.4%).

# 3.2 | Distribution of comorbidity classes/patterns among 12-month mental disorders

A detailed report on 12-month prevalence of mental disorders in this sample is presented elsewhere (Auerbach et al., 2018). In addition, a report on the distribution of multivariate LCA profiles among these disorders is presented separately in this issue (Auerbach et al., 2018). As shown in that report, 38.4% of respondents screened positive for at least one of the 12-month disorders, and clear multivariate profiles among these disorders were detected in LCA. The least common class (C1; 1.9% of students) was made up of students with high comorbidity (four or more disorders, the majority including mania/hypomania). Three other classes consisted of students with combined internalizing-externalizing comorbidity (C2; 5.8%), mostly internalizing comorbidity (C3; 14.6%), and pure disorders (C4; 16.1%; that is, each student in this class had one and only one disorder). Two other classes consisted of students with no 12-month disorders that either did (C5; 29.2%) or did not (C6; 32.4%) have a lifetime history of one or more of the disorders.

### 3.3 | Prevalence of role impairments

About three quarters (74.6%) of students reported at least some healthrelated role impairment in at least one of the four SDS role domains (i.e., a score in the range 1–10 on at least one of the four 0-to-10 SDS scales; Table 1). Between 45.3% (home) and 61.6% (social) of students reported at least some impairment in each of the four role domains. Severe role impairments were much less common, with 20.8% of respondents reporting severe role impairment in at least one role domain and between 6.7% (home) and 12.4% (social) in individual role domains.

As expected, SDS role impairment scores were significantly associated with number of days out of role (Table 2). Students who reported severe role impairment in at least one SDS domain had a mean of 6.5 days out of role in the past 30 days compared with means of 2.5 days among students with nonsevere role impairment and 0.8 days among students with no role impairment on any SDS domain. The work role domain was most strongly associated with number of days out of role, and the mean number of such days among students who reported severe work role impairment (8.0 days) tended to be higher, although not significantly so in statistical terms, than the

**TABLE 1** Distributions of Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) impairment in the total sample (*n* = 14,348)

	Any		Severe		Severe/	Severe/Any		
	%	(SE)	%	(SE)	%	(SE)		
Home	45.3*	(0.7)	6.7*	(0.4)	14.9*	(0.8)		
Work	58.7*	(0.6)	9.7*	(0.4)	16.6*	(0.6)		
Relationship	57.3*	(0.6)	11.4*	(0.4)	20.0*	(0.7)		
Social	61.6*	(0.6)	12.4*	(0.4)	20.1*	(0.7)		
Any	74.6*	(0.5)	20.8*	(0.5)	27.8*	(0.7)		

\*Significant at the 0.05 level, two-sided MI-corrected test.

	None		Any	Any		e	Severe	Severe		
	Mean	(SE)	Mean	(SE)	Mean	(SE)	Mean	(SE)	F <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	
Home	1.6	(0.6)	4.5	(1.1)	3.9	(0.3)	7.6	(2.0)	408.2*	
Work	1.1	(0.3)	4.2	(0.3)	3.4	(0.7)	8.0	(2.3)	772.9*	
Relationship	1.5	(0.4)	4.0	(0.3)	3.2	(0.7)	6.9	(1.7)	422.1*	
Social	1.3	(0.5)	3.9	(0.3)	3.2	(0.7)	6.6	(1.5)	499.0*	
Any	0.8	(0.4)	3.6	(0.3)	2.5	(0.5)	6.5	(1.1)	806.1*	

**TABLE 2** Mean number of days out of role associated with Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) role impairment (n = 14,348)

<sup>a</sup>Test of the association between a three-category SDS score (0, 1–6, 7–10) and number of days out of role.

\*Significant at the 0.05 level, two-sided MI-corrected test.

means among students who reported severe role impairments in any of the other three role domains (6.6–7.6).

# 3.4 | Associations of comorbidity LCA classes with role impairment outcomes

A generally monotonic association was found between complexity of comorbidity and prevalence of SDS role impairment (Table 3). Class 1 (C1) had by far the highest prevalence of both any impairment (98%) and severe impairment (78.3%). Prevalence of both any impairment and any severe impairment were lower and roughly equal in the two other comorbid classes C2 and C3 (91.7–94.5% any; 43.4–50.0% severe) and successively lower in the pure disorder class (C4), and the classes with no 12-month disorders either in the presence (C5) or absence (C6) of lifetime disorders (81.6–55.6% any; 23.9–6.3% severe). Also, a similar generally monotonic pattern was found

between LCA classes and both probability of having any days out of role and mean number of days out of role. The highest probability of any days out of role, as well as of mean number of days out of role in the past 30 days, were in C1 (90.4%; 8.6 days). They were lower, and comparable to each other, in C2 and C3 (73.7–77.8%; 5.4–5.5 days) and successively lower in C4 (58.7%; 3.1 days), C5 (52.2%; 2.4 days), and C6 (35.4%; 1.4 days). A similar pattern is observed in each impairment domain with increasing prevalence of impairment as complexity of comorbidity, according to the defined classes, increased, and similar results are observed in classes C2 and C3.

# 3.5 | The joint associations of LCA classes and disorders with role impairment

We estimated multivariable models in which either LCA classes, the  $\mathsf{DSM}\text{-}\mathsf{IV}$  disorders underlying these classes, or both were used to

**TABLE 3** Associations of latent (LCA) classes with role impairment outcomes  $(n = 14,348)^{a}$ 

	Class 1 n = 174 (1.9%)		Class 2 n = 670 (5.8%)		Class 3 n = 1,7 (14.6%	40	Class 4 n = 2,2 (16.1%	12	Class 5 n = 4,5 (29.2%)	25	Class 6 n = 5,0 (32.4%)	21	
	%	(SE)	%	(SE)	%	(SE)	%	(SE)	%	(SE)	%	(SE)	F 5
I. Any role impairment													
Home	90.5*	(2.8)	67.2*	(2.9)	69.1*	(1.6)	51.1*	(1.9)	41.1*	(1.3)	28.9*	(1.1)	157.5*
Work	93.8*	(2.4)	83.3*	(2.1)	84.8*	(1.1)	66.4*	(1.6)	57.3*	(1.1)	38.1*	(1.1)	270.4*
Relationship	93.2*	(2.5)	80.5*	(2.2)	84.1*	(1.1)	65.8*	(1.6)	56.1*	(1.2)	36.0*	(1.1)	290.6*
Social	95.7*	(1.6)	83.5*	(2.0)	88.1*	(1.0)	70.0*	(1.5)	60.9*	(1.1)	40.4*	(1.1)	270.4*
Any	98.0	(1.1)	91.7*	(1.5)	94.5*	(0.7)	81.6*	(1.3)	77.1*	(1.0)	55.6*	(1.1)	209.7*
II. Severe role impairment													
Home	39.7*	(5.1)	13.7*	(2.3)	15.5*	(1.3)	7.1*	(0.9)	4.0*	(0.6)	1.9*	(0.4)	90.8*
Work	49.5*	(5.2)	21.9*	(2.6)	25.4*	(1.5)	9.8*	(1.0)	4.5*	(0.5)	3.0*	(0.4)	171.9*
Relationship	49.9*	(5.2)	26.9*	(2.7)	28.3*	(1.5)	12.7*	(1.1)	6.0*	(0.6)	3.2*	(0.5)	183.0*
Social	53.0*	(5.2)	23.7*	(2.6)	33.1*	(1.6)	13.3*	(1.2)	7.1*	(0.7)	3.2*	(0.4)	190.7*
Any	78.3*	(4.1)	43.4*	(3.0)	50.0*	(1.7)	23.9*	(1.4)	12.4*	(0.9)	6.3*	(0.6)	314.5*
III. Days out of role (maximum of 30) $^{\rm b}$													
Any Days	90.4*	(2.7)	73.9*	(2.5)	77.8*	(1.3)	58.7*	(1.6)	52.2*	(1.2)	35.4*	(1.1)	218.0*
Number of Days	8.6*	(0.7)	5.4*	(0.4)	5.5*	(0.2)	3.1*	(0.2)	2.4*	(0.1)	1.4*	(0.1)	173.6*

<sup>a</sup>C1: High comorbidity; C2: other internalizing-externalizing comorbidity; C3: primarily internalizing comorbidity; C4: pure disorders; C5: no 12-month disorders with a lifetime history of at least one disorder; C6: no lifetime disorders.

<sup>b</sup>Entries in the Any Days row represent the proportion of students in each class who had any days out of role in the past 30 days. Entries in the Number of Days row represent the mean number of days out of role over that time period.

\*Significant at the 0.05 level, two-sided MI-corrected test.

predict SDS role impairments and days out of role controlling for country and socio-demographics. The global significance tests in models that included both sets of predictors ranged from  $F_5 = 9.6$ -103.5 for classes, and  $F_{1-6} = 9.0$ -65.8 for disorders, all of them being statistically significant (see Table S1). We made no attempt to evaluate interactions between classes and disorders based on a prior finding that we lacked the statistical power to do this in predicting other outcomes (Auerbach et al., 2018).

Inspection of the predictive effects of disorders in models that controlled for classes shows that the significant coefficients involving disorders were almost entirely positive (44 out of 46; Tables 4 and 5). The exception was a negative association between alcohol use disorder and social role impairment as well as with any SDS impairment (OR = 0.8, 95% CI [0.6, 0.9] and OR = 0.7, 95% CI [0.6, 0.9], respectively) suggesting the social facilitating effects of alcohol use in a college setting. Major depression was the only disorder that had significant associations with all 12 outcomes. Panic disorder had significant associations with 11 out of the 12 outcomes and ADHD with nine of the 12, followed by drug use disorder (6/12), bipolar disorder (4/12), alcohol use disorder (4/12), and generalized anxiety disorder (0/12).

The LCA classes were also significant as a set in all 12 models, with 93% (56/60) of the associations statistically significant between the five class dummy variables (compared with omitted C6) and the 12 outcomes. The significant associations of the classes with the outcomes were entirely positive, suggesting synergistic effects of comorbidity on impairments. Consistent with this interpretation, the strongest associations involved the comorbid classes (C1–C3). Most strikingly, the high-comorbidity class (C1) was

associated with odds ratios of 7.8 in predicting social role impairment, and 7.1 in predicting any work role impairment, 5.1 in predicting severe work role impairment, 10.0 in predicting severe close personal relations role impairment, and 7.1 in predicting severe social role impairment (Table 5). Other significant ORs were in the range 2.2–7.8 and were 15.5–10.3 for C1 predicting the aggregated outcomes of any SDS role impairment and severe role impairment across all role domains.

Associations of socio-demographic and college-related variables predicting role impairment outcomes are presented in Table S2.

# 3.6 | Population attributable risk proportions

We obtained an indication of the extent to which mental disorders influence the role functioning of students by calculating PARP (Table 6). Estimates of the proportion of any SDS role impairment that might be prevented if all students were in C6 (i.e., no lifetime disorders) were 21.4% for any role impairment, 30.1–32.8% for any impairment within SDS role domains, higher for days out of role (30.2% for any and 44.3% for number of days out of role), and much higher for severe impairment (64.6% for any and 65.5%–70.1% within SDS role domains). C3 accounted for the largest proportion of impairment, although other classes were important in absolute terms due to the larger proportions of students in those classes. In relation to the small proportion of all the impairment outcomes (7.0–9.8% of severe impairment within SDS role domains).

					, , ,				
	Any SDS	Any SDS role impairment		e SDS role impairment	Any da	ays out of role	Number of days out of ro		
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	b	(SE)	
I. Classes									
C1	15.5*	[5.4, 44.4]	10.3*	[6.6, 16.0]	2.7*	[1.4, 5.4]	1.0	(1.3)	
C2	5.9*	[4.0, 8.5]	4.0*	[3.1, 5.3]	1.8*	[1.2, 2.5]	0.3	(0.7)	
C3	8.6*	[6.7, 11.1]	6.5*	[5.2, 8.0]	3.4*	[2.8, 4.1]	1.8*	(0.3)	
C4	3.0*	[2.5, 3.6]	2.5*	[2.1, 3.1]	1.7*	[1.4, 2.0]	0.0	(0.3)	
C5	2.6*	[2.4, 2.9]	1.9*	[1.6, 2.3]	2.0*	[1.8, 2.2]	0.7*	(0.1)	
F <sub>5</sub>	103.5*		67.5*		62.4*		16.6*		
II. Internalizing disorders									
Major depressive episode	1.8*	[1.4, 2.1]	2.2*	[1.9, 2.5]	1.7*	[1.4, 2.0]	2.0*	(0.3)	
Bipolar spectrum disorder					1.9*	[1.4, 2.7]	1.4*	(0.6)	
Generalized anxiety disorde	r								
Panic disorder	2.3*	[1.3, 4.3]	1.7*	[1.3, 2.3]	1.9*	[1.4, 2.5]	1.5*	(0.5)	
III. Externalizing disorders									
Alcohol use disorder	0.7*	[0.6, 0.9]			1.4*	[1.2, 1.7]	0.7	(0.5)	
ADHD			1.4*	[1.2, 1.7]	1.5*	[1.3, 1.8]	1.2*	(0.3)	
Drug use disorder					1.6*	[1.2, 2.1]	2.0*	(0.7)	
Fv <sup>b</sup>	14.7*		36.2*		10.5*		9.0*		

TABLE 4 Associations of 12-month LCA classes and underlying 12-month DSM-IV disorders with role impairment outcomes (n = 14,348)<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Based on forward stepwise regression models that included all five latent class analysis (LCA) classes C1–C5 in addition to all individual disorders that stepped in at the 0.05 level of significance using MI-adjusted two-sided tests.

<sup>b</sup>v = the number of mental disorders assessed in Parts II and III of the table.

\*Significant at the.05 level, two-sided MI-corrected test.

8 of 12				
v	VILEY —			

8 of 12	-WILEY-	ALONSO ET AL.
	VVILE I	
TABLE 5	Associations of 12-month LCA classes and underlying 12-month DSM-IV disorders with role impairment outcomes (n	= 14,348)

	Home		Work		Relationship		Social	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
I. Any role impairment								
A. Classes								
C1	2.2*	[1.0, 4.6]	7.1*	[3.9, 12.9]	3.2*	[1.6, 6.5]	7.8*	[3.8, 19.1]
C2	1.3	[0.9, 1.8]	3.9*	[3.0, 5.1]	2.4*	[1.8, 3.3]	3.5*	[2.6, 5.5]
C3	2.5*	[2.0, 3.0]	5.2*	[4.4, 6.2]	4.8*	[4.0, 5.7]	5.9*	[5.1, 7.7]
C4	1.4*	[1.2, 1.7]	2.2*	[1.9, 2.6]	2.1*	[1.9, 2.4]	2.4*	[2.1, 3.1]
C5	1.6*	[1.5, 1.9]	2.2*	[2.0, 2.4]	2.2*	[2.0, 2.4]	2.3*	[2.2, 2.6]
F <sub>5</sub>	29.3*		90.5*		88.9*		90.8*	
B. Internalizing disorders								
Major depressive episode	1.7*	[1.5, 2.0]	1.6*	[1.3, 1.8]	2.0*	[1.7, 2.3]	2.0*	[1.6, 2.3]
Bipolar spectrum disorder	1.9*	[1.3, 2.7]			1.6*	[1.1, 2.3]		
Generalized anxiety disorder								
Panic disorder	1.8*	[1.3, 2.4]	2.3*	[1.6, 3.3]	1.9*	[1.4, 2.7]	2.3*	[1.5, 3.6]
C. Externalizing disorders								
Alcohol use disorder	1.4*	[1.1, 1.7]					0.8*	[0.6, 0.9]
ADHD	1.8*	[1.5, 2.1]	1.5*	[1.2, 1.8]	1.5*	[1.2, 1.7]	1.2	[1.0, 1.5]
Drug use disorder	2.1*	[1.5, 3.1]			1.6*	[1.1, 2.2]	1.6*	[1.1, 2.3]
Fv	12.0*		17.2*		15.6*		17.9*	
II. Severe role impairment								
A. Classes								
C1	4.7*	[2.5, 8.9]	5.1*	[3.3, 7.9]	10.0*	[6.7, 14.8]	7.1*	[4.5, 11.2]
C2	2.2*	[1.4, 3.6]	2.7*	[1.9, 3.8]	5.2*	[3.9, 7.0]	3.4*	[2.4, 4.7]
C3	3.5*	[2.4, 5.2]	4.0*	[3.1, 5.2]	6.4*	[5.0, 8.2]	6.4*	[4.9, 8.3]
C4	2.1*	[1.4, 3.1]	1.8*	[1.4, 2.3]	2.8*	[2.2, 3.6]	2.6*	[2.0, 3.4]
C5	2.0*	[1.4, 2.8]	1.4*	[1.1, 1.8]	1.8*	[1.4, 2.3]	2.2*	[1.7, 2.8]
F <sub>5</sub>	9.6*		23.2*		54.6*		40.8*	
B. Internalizing disorders								
Major depressive episode	1.7*	[1.4, 2.2]	2.2*	[1.9, 2.7]	2.0*	[1.7, 2.3]	2.2*	[1.9, 2.6]
Bipolar spectrum disorder								
Generalized anxiety disorder								
Panic disorder	1.6*	[1.2, 2.2]	1.7*	[1.3, 2.2]			1.3*	[1.0, 1.7]
C. Externalizing disorders								
Alcohol use disorder								
ADHD	1.8*	[1.4, 2.4]	1.8*	[1.5, 2.1]			1.3*	[1.1, 1.5]
Drug use disorder	1.7*	[1.1, 2.6]						
F <sub>v</sub>	10.2*		37.0*		65.8*		28.9*	

Based on forward stepwise regression models that included all five latent class analysis (LCA) classes C1-C5 in addition to all individual disorders that stepped in at the.05 level of significance using MI-adjusted two-sided tests.

by: the number of mental disorders assessed in Parts II and III of the table. \*Significant at the.05 level, two-sided MI-corrected test.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report on the associations of multivariate mental disorders comorbid classes with role impairment among college students in a large, cross-national sample. Three major results are worth highlighting. First, role impairment is frequent among students with mental disorders but much more so among the small fraction (1.9%) of students with high comorbidity (C1), more than three quarters of whom report severe role impairment. Moreover, the associations of mental comorbidity with role impairment outcomes follow a generally monotonic pattern. Second, multivariable models found that both LCA classes and some individual mental disorders (most notably, major depression and panic) were significant predictors of all role impairment outcomes. Controlling for disorders, a monotonic association was found between complexity of comorbidity and role impairment. Finally, PARP analyses showed that eliminating all mental disorders could theoretically reduce severe role impairments among college students by almost two thirds, under the assumption that the observed associations are causal (Krysinska & Martin, 2009). These results suggest the need to target students with existing mental disorders for clinical interventions and students at risk of these disorders for preventive interventions.

TABLE 6 Population attributable risk proportions (PARPs) of role impairment outcomes due to each 12-month LCA class (n = 14,348)<sup>a</sup>

	Class 1 (%)	Class 2 (%)	Class 3 (%)	Class 4 (%)	Class 5 (%)	Classes 1-5 (%)
I. Any role impairment						
Home	2.1	4.1	11.0	6.8	6.9	30.8
Work	1.5	3.8	10.3	6.9	8.8	31.3
Relationship	1.5	3.7	10.8	7.4	9.4	32.8
Social	1.3	3.3	9.7	6.8	9.1	30.1
Any	0.8	2.1	6.3	4.8	7.5	21.4
II. Severe role impairment						
Home	9.8	9.2	27.6	11.4	8.3	66.4
Work	8.5	10.5	32.3	10.3	3.8	65.5
Relationship	7.1	11.1	30.7	12.3	6.4	67.6
Social	7.0	8.8	33.8	12.1	8.4	70.1
Any	5.9	9.5	29.1	12.4	7.6	64.6
III. Days out of role (maximum of $30)^{b}$						
Any days	1.8	3.7	10.1	6.4	8.3	30.2
Number of days	4.1	6.8	18.2	7.9	7.2	44.3

<sup>a</sup>PARPs were calculated across all 20 multiply imputed data sets combined and should be interpreted as average values across these datasets. The models used to calculate PARPs controlled for country and socio-demographics.

<sup>b</sup>The percentages represent the expected reductions in numbers of days out of role as a percentage of total number of currently observed days out of role in the full sample.

In a previous study, we reported a strong association between mental disorders and role impairment (Alonso et al., 2018). This association was strongest for social life, close personal relationships, and work domains, and in particular in the case of major depressive episode and generalized anxiety disorder. In that study, the number of comorbid mental disorders was associated with a higher likelihood of role impairment, but in multivariable models, the relationship between comorbidity and impairment was subadditive; that is, for a person within a given mental disorder, a comorbid disorder would add impairment but less so than it would be expected if the latter disorder happened alone. Results presented here show that the association of comorbidity classes with role impairments is also monotonic, with the class characterized by highest comorbidity showing the highest level of impairment, the other comorbid classes (either predominantly internalizing or mixed internalizing and externalizing) having intermediate levels of impairment, the class characterized by pure disorders having lower impairments, and the classes with no disorders having the lowest impairments. These results, coupled with the finding reported by Auerbach et al. (2018) of comparable associations of disorder classes with suicidal ideation and behaviors, suggest that LCA class membership would be a useful marker of risk to use in targeting clinical interventions for students.

It is also important to note that several disorders were associated with variation in role impairment net of the effects of class. Impairments were most consistently associated with major depression, panic disorder, and ADHD after adjusting for class membership. This association is consistent with evidence for the special importance of these disorders in previous surveys among students (Verger et al., 2010) as well as in the general population (Comer et al., 2011; Edlund et al., 2018). However, the LCA showed that substantial proportions of the students with these disorders also had other diagnoses, making it important to consider the use of trans-diagnostic approaches in treating these students (Barlow et al., 2017). The high prevalence of students with multiple mental disorders creates a challenge for delivery of these or other treatments. As discussed in a number of the other papers in this special issue, the existence of inexpensive evidence-based online interventions with proven effectiveness could help address this problem (Cuijpers, Kleiboer, Karyotaki, & Riper, 2017; Ebert et al., 2018). This approach could be of special value among college students, who have ready access to, and familiarity with, computers and given their low levels of use of conventional mental health services (Bruffaerts et al., under review; Thorley, 2017).

# 4.1 | Strengths and limitations

An important strength of our study is that it was based on a large sample that included students across eight different countries. Pooling across these different countries was facilitated by using a consistent methodology as well as highly standardized data management and analysis procedures. Nevertheless, our findings should be considered in light of several limitations.

First, the response rates were low in several sites, although these response rates compare favorably to those achieved in other largescale college student surveys (39–44%; Eisenberg, Hunt, & Speer, 2013; Paul, Tsypes, Eidlitz, Ernhout, & Whitlock, 2015). Although it has been shown that the empirical relationship between response rate and nonresponse bias is weak (Groves, 2006), recent findings warn of potential overestimation of mental disorders when response rates are low (Mortier et al., 2018). In addition, the colleges and universities we surveyed were convenience samples rather than nationally representative samples of incoming first-year students, which may limit the representativeness of the data.

Second, data are not available on the validity of diagnostic assessments even though, as noted in Section 2.3, diagnoses of 12-month prevalence of the seven core disorders based on screening scales have

#### 10 of 12 | WILEY

shown good concordance with diagnoses based on blinded semistructured clinical interviews in other populations. In addition, the very brief screens for lifetime binge-eating disorder, intermittent explosive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder have never been validated. Our assumption that none of these lifetime disorders was active in the 12 months before the survey is almost certainly inaccurate, leading to some misclassification of comorbidity classes. This also accounts for discrepancies in the proportion of students estimated to have lifetime disorders here compared with those in an earlier report (Auerbach et al., 2018). This omission has been corrected in the more recent version of the survey that is currently being administered, and we should be able to estimate if misclassification bias in our analyses is of any importance.

Third, we used an adapted version of the SDS. The original scale was targeted for individuals with mental disorders and asked specifically about the impairment caused by such conditions (Klemenc-Ketis, Kersnik, Eder, & Colaric, 2011). The modified scale used in our study did not differentiate between physical and mental health. This may have led us to attribute impairment related to physical health to the presence of mental disorders. However, bias should be small given that impairment of mental disorders as measured by the SDS tends to be considerably higher than that of physical conditions (Ormel et al., 2008). Nonetheless, additional analyses taking into account the presence of physical disorders could help better estimate those effects.

Finally, the LCA was based on the assumption that true underlying classes exist that lead the disorders to be conditionally independent within classes. If this assumption is incorrect, it might be that other methods would yield more useful characterizations of the multivariate profiles among disorders. This possibility needs to be investigated in future analyses of the WMH-ICS data.

# 5 | CONCLUSIONS

This online survey of first-year college students in eight countries revealed strong associations of mental disorders with role impairment outcomes, especially severe impairment, with magnitudes in terms of population attributable risk that were very comparable for all four role domains we considered. The LCA results showed that comorbidity had special importance in predicting severe role impairment, suggesting that the classes defined by the existence of comorbidity might be useful as markers of need for treatment. The high prevalence of comorbid mental disorders among college students creates a challenge for treatment. Innovative e-therapies are available that might be useful in diminishing role impairment among university college students.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding to support this initiative was received from the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) R56MH109566 (R. P. A.), and the content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or NIMH; the Belgian Fund for Scientific Research (11N0514N/11N0516N/ 1114717N; P. M.), the King Baudouin Foundation (2014-J2140150-102905; R. B.), and Eli Lilly (IIT-H6U-BX-I002; R. B. and P. M.); BARMER, a health care insurance company, for project StudiCare (DDE); ZonMw (Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development; Grant 636110005) and the PFGV (PFGV; Protestants Fonds voor de Geestelijke Volksgezondheid) in support of the student survey project (PC); South African Medical Research Council and the Ithemba Foundation (DJS); Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Instituto de Salud Carlos III-FEDER (PI13/00343), ISCIII (Río Hortega, CM14/00125), ISCIII (Sara Borrell, CD12/00440), Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, PNSD (Exp. 2015I015); DIUE Generalitat de Catalunya (2017 SGR 452), FPU (FPU15/05728); Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Instituto de Salud Carlos III-FEDER (PI13/00506); European Union Regional Development Fund (ERDF) EU Sustainable Competitiveness Programme for Northern Ireland, Northern Ireland Public Health Agency (HSC R&D), and Ulster University (TB); Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) Grant CB-2016-01-285548 (C. B.). The WMH-ICS Initiative is carried out as part of the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative. The WMH survey is supported by the National Institute of Mental Health NIMH R01MH070884, the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Pfizer Foundation, the US Public Health Service (R13-MH066849, R01-MH069864, and R01 DA016558), the Fogarty International Center (FIRCA R03-TW006481), the Pan American Health Organization, Eli Lilly and Company, Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, GlaxoSmithKline, and Bristol-Myers Squibb (RCK). None of the funders had any role in the design, analysis, interpretation of results, or preparation of this paper.

We thank the staff of the WMH Data Collection and Data Analysis Coordination Centres for assistance with instrumentation, fieldwork, and consultation on data analysis. A complete list of all withincountry and cross-national WMH publications can be found at http://www.hcp.med.harvard.edu/wmh/.

### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

In the past 3 years, Dr. Kessler received support for his epidemiological studies from Sanofi Aventis; was a consultant for Johnson & Johnson Wellness and Prevention, Sage Pharmaceuticals, Shire, Takeda; and served on an advisory board for the Johnson & Johnson Services Inc. Lake Nona Life Project. Kessler is a co-owner of DataStat, Inc., a market research firm that carries out healthcare research.

Dr. Ebert reports to have received consultancy fees/served in the scientific advisory board from several companies such as Minddistrict, Lantern, Schoen Kliniken, and German health insurance companies (BARMER, Techniker Krankenkasse). He is also stakeholder of the Institute for Health Training Online (GET.ON), which aims to implement scientific findings related to digital health interventions into routine care.

### WHO WMH-ICS COLLABORATORS

Australia: Penelope Hasking (PI), Mark Boyes (School of Psychology, Curtin University); Glenn Kiekens (School of Psychology, Curtin University, RG Adult Psychiatry KU Leuven, Belgium); *Belgium*: Ronny Bruffaerts (PI), Philippe Mortier, Koen Demyttenaere, Erik Bootsma (KU Leuven); *France*: Mathilde Husky (PI), Université de Bordeaux; Viviane Kovess-Masfety, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Santé Publique; *Germany*: David D. Ebert (PI), Matthias Berking, Marvin Franke, Fanny Kählke (Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen Nuremberg); Harald Baumeister, Ann-Marie Küchler (University of Ulm); Hong Kong: Arthur Mak (PI), Chinese University of Hong Kong; Siu Oi-ling, Lingnan University; Mexico: Corina Benjet (PI), Guilherme Borges, María Elena Medina-Mora (Instituto Nacional de Psiguiatría Ramón de la Fuente); Adrián Abrego Ramírez, (Universidad Politécnica de Aguascalientes); Anabell Covarrubias Díaz, (Universidad La Salle Noroeste); Ma. Socorro Durán, Gustavo Pérez Tarango, María Alicia Zavala Berbena (Universidad De La Salle Bajío); Rogaciano González González, Raúl A. Gutiérrez-García (Universidad De La Salle Bajío, campus Salamanca); Alicia Edith Hermosillo de la Torre, Kalina Isela Martínez Martínez (Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes); Sinead Martínez Ruiz (Universidad La Salle Pachuca); Netherlands: Pim Cuijpers (PI), Eirini Karyotaki (VU University Amsterdam); Northern Ireland: Siobhan O'Neill (PI),(Psychology Research Institute, Ulster University); Tony Bjourson, Elaine Murray, (School of Biomedical Sciences, Ulster University); South Africa: Dan J. Stein (PI), (Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, MRC Unit on Risk & Resilience in Mental Disorders, University of Cape Town); Christine Lochner, Janine Roos, Lian Taljaard, (MRC Unit on Risk & Resilience in Mental Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Stellenbosch University); Jason Bantjes, Wylene Saal, (Department of Psychology, Stellenbosch University); Spain: The UNIVERSAL study Group (Universidad y Salud Mental) includes: Jordi Alonso (PI), Gemma Vilagut, (IMIM-Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute/CIBERESP); Itxaso Alayo, Laura Ballester, Gabriela Barbaglia Maria Jesús Blasco, Pere Castellví, Ana Isabel Cebrià, Carlos García-Forero, Andrea Miranda-Mendizábal, Oleguer Parès-Badell (Pompeu Fabra University); José Almenara, Carolina Lagares (Cadiz University), Enrique Echeburúa, Andrea Gabilondo, Álvaro Iruin (Basque Country University); María Teresa Pérez-Vázquez, José Antonio Piqueras, Victoria Soto-Sanz, Jesús Rodríguez-Marín (Miguel Hernández University); and Miquel Roca, Margarida Gili, Margarida Vives (Illes Balears University); USA: Randy P. Auerbach (PI), (Columbia University); Ronald C. Kessler (PI), (Harvard Medical School); Jennifer G. Green, (Boston University); Matthew K. Nock, (Harvard University); Stephanie Pinder-Amaker, (McLean Hospital and Harvard Medical School); Alan M. Zaslavsky (Harvard Medical School).

## ROLE OF FUNDING

The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

#### ORCID

Jordi Alonso D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8627-9636 Pim Cuijpers D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5497-2743 Jennifer Greif Green D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3541-4989 Ronald C. Kessler D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4831-2305

### REFERENCES

- Abel, J. R., & Deitz, R. (2012). Do colleges and universities increase their region's human capital? *Journal of Economic Geography*, 12(3), 667–691. https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbr020
- Alonso, J., Mortier, P., Auerbach, R. P., Bruffaerts, R., Vilagut, G., Cuijpers, P., ... Collaborators, W. W.-I. (2018). Severe role impairment associated

with mental disorders: Results of the WHO World Mental Health Surveys International College Student Project. *Depression and Anxiety*, *35*, 802–814. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22778

- Auerbach, R. P., Alonso, J., Axinn, W. G., Cuijpers, P., Ebert, D. D., Green, J. G., ... Bruffaerts, R. (2016). Mental disorders among college students in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(14), 2955–2970. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291716001665
- Auerbach, R. P., Mortier, P., Bruffaerts, R., Alonso, J., Benjet, C., Cuijpers, P., ... Kessler, R. C., WHO WMH-ICS Collaborators. (2018). WHO World Mental Health Surveys International College Sutdent Project: Prevalence and distribution of mental disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 127(7), 623–638. https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000362
- Auerbach, R. P., Mortier, P., Bruffaerts, R., Alonso, J., Benjet, C., Cuijpers, P., ... Collaborators., W. H. O. W.-I (in press). Mental disorder risk profiles in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys International College Student Project. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research. Submitted
- Babor, T. F., Higgins-Biddle, J. C., Saunders, J. B., & Monteiro, M. G. (2001). The alcohol use disorders identification test. Guidelines for Use in Primary Care. (Second). Geneva: Switzerland.
- Barlow, D. H., Farchione, T. J., Bullis, J. R., Gallagher, M. W., Murray-Latin, H., Sauer-Zavala, S., ... Cassiello-Robbins, C. (2017). The unified protocol for transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders compared with diagnosis-specific protocols for anxiety disorders: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiatry, 74(9), 875–884. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.2164
- Bruffaerts, R., Mortier, P., Auerbach, R. P., Alonso, J., Benjet, C., Cuijpers, P., ... on behalf of the W.-l. collaborators (under review). Lifetime and 12month treatment for mental disorders and suicidal thoughts and behaviors among first-year college students. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*.
- Comer, J. S., Blanco, C., Hasin, D. S., Liu, S.-M., Grant, B. F., Turner, J. B., & Olfson, M. (2011). Health-related quality of life across the anxiety disorders: Results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions (NESARC). *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 72(1), 43–50. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.09m05094blu
- Cuijpers, P., Kleiboer, A., Karyotaki, E., & Riper, H. (2017). Internet and mobile interventions for depression: Opportunities and challenges. *Depression* and Anxiety, 34(7), 596–602. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22641
- Ebert, D. D., Van Daele, T., Nordgreen, T., Karekla, M., Compare, A., Zarbo, C., ... Baumeister, H. (2018). Internet-and mobile- based psychological interventions: Applications, efficacy, and potential for improving mental health: a report of the EFPA E-Health Taskforce. *European Psychologist*, 23, 167–187. https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000318
- Edlund, M. J., Wang, J., Brown, K. G., Forman-Hoffman, V. L., Calvin, S. L., Hedden, S. L., & Bose, J. (2018). Which mental disorders are associated with the greatest impairment in functioning? *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-018-1554-6
- Eisenberg, D., Hunt, J., & Speer, N. (2013). Mental health in American colleges and universities: Variation across student subgroups and across campuses. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 201(1), 60–67. https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0b013e31827ab077
- First, M., Spitzer, R., Gibbon, M., & Williams, B. (1994). Structured clinical interview for axis I DSM-IV Disorders. New York: New York State Psychiatric Institute: Biometrics Research Department.
- Goldstein, H. (2010). *Multilevel statistical models* (4th ed.). New York: Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 9780470973394
- Groves, R. M. (2006). Nonresponse Rates and Nonresponse Bias in Household Surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 70(5), 646. https://doi. org/10.1093/poq/nfl033
- Groves, R. M., & Couper, M. P. (1998). Nonresponse in household interview surveys. New York: Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118490082
- Harrer, M., Adam, S. H., Fleischmann, R. J., Baumeister, H., Auerbach, R., Bruffaerts, R., ... Ebert, D. D. (2018). Effectiveness of an internet- and

# 12 of 12 WILEY

app-based intervention for college students with elevated stress: Randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(4), e136. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.9293

- Ibrahim, A. K., Kelly, S. J., Adams, C. E., & Glazebrook, C. (2013). A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence in university students. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 47(3), 391–400. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.11.015
- Kessler, R. C., Adler, L., Ames, M., Demler, O., Faraone, S., Hiripi, E., ... Walters, E. E. (2005). The World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS): A short screening scale for use in the general population. *Psychological Medicine*, 35(2), 245–256. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15841682. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0033291704002892
- Kessler, R. C., Adler, L. A., Gruber, M. J., Sarawate, C. A., Spencer, T., & Van Brunt, D. L. (2007). Validity of the World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS) Screener in a representative sample of health plan members. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 16(2), 52–65. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.208
- Kessler, R. C., Amminger, G. P., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Lee, S., & Ustun, T. B. (2007). Age of onset of mental disorders: A review of recent literature. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 20(4), 359–364. https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c
- Kessler, R. C., Calabrese, J. R., Farley, P. A., Gruber, M. J., Jewell, M. A., Katon, W., ... Wittchen, H.-U. (2013). Composite International Diagnostic Interview screening scales for DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, 43(8), 1625–1637. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291712002334
- Kessler, R. C., Chiu, W. T., Demler, O., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Archives of General Psychiatry, 62(6), 617–627. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.617
- Kessler, R. C., Foster, C. L., Saunders, W. B., & Stang, P. E. (1995). Social consequences of psychiatric disorders, I: Educational attainment. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 152(7), 1026–1032. https://doi.org/ 10.1176/ajp.152.7.1026
- Kessler, R. C., Santiago, P. N., Colpe, L. J., Dempsey, C. L., First, M. B., Heeringa, S. G., ... Ursano, R. J. (2013). Clinical reappraisal of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Screening Scales (CIDI-SC) in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 22(4), 303–321. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1398
- Kessler, R. C., & Ustun, T. B. (2004). The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 13(2), 93–121. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15297906. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/mpr.168
- Klemenc-Ketis, Z., Kersnik, J., Eder, K., & Colaric, D. (2011). Factors associated with health-related quality of life among university students. *Srpski Arhiv za Celokupno Lekarstvo*, 139(3–4), 197–202. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21618866. https://doi.org/ 10.2298/SARH1104197K
- Krysinska, K., & Martin, G. (2009). The struggle to prevent and evaluate: Application of population attributable risk and preventive fraction to suicide prevention research. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, 39(5), 548–557. https://doi.org/10.1521/suli.2009.39.5.548
- Leon, A. C., Olfson, M., Portera, L., Farber, L., & Sheehan, D. V. (1997). Assessing psychiatric impairment in primary care with the Sheehan Disability Scale. International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine, 27(2), 93–105. https://doi.org/10.2190/T8EM-C8YH-373N-1UWD
- Magidson, J., & Vermunt, J. K. (2004). Latent class models. In D. Kaplan (Ed.), The SAGE handbook of quantitative methodology for the social sciences (pp. 175–198). Thousand Oaks, CA: SagePublications. https:// doi.org/10.4135/9781412986311.n10
- Mahmoud, J. S. R., Staten, R., Hall, L. A., & Lennie, T. A. (2012). The relationship among young adult college students' depression, anxiety, stress, demographics, life satisfaction, and coping styles. *Issues in*

Mental Health Nursing, 33(3), 149-156. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 01612840.2011.632708

- Mojtabai, R., Stuart, E. A., Hwang, I., Eaton, W. W., Sampson, N., & Kessler, R. C. (2015). Long-term effects of mental disorders on educational attainment in the National Comorbidity Survey ten-year follow-up. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, *50*(10), 1577–1591. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-015-1083-5
- Mortier, P., Cuijpers, P., Kiekens, G., Auerbach, R. P., Demyttenaere, K., Green, J. G., ... Bruffaerts, R. (2018). The prevalence of suicidal thoughts and behaviours among college students: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 48(4), 554–565. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717002215
- Ormel, J., Petukhova, M., Chatterji, S., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M. C., ... Kessler, R. C. (2008). Disability and treatment of specific mental and physical disorders across the world. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 192(5), 368–375. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.107.039107
- Paul, E., Tsypes, A., Eidlitz, L., Ernhout, C., & Whitlock, J. (2015). Frequency and functions of non-suicidal self-injury: Associations with suicidal thoughts and behaviors. *Psychiatry Research*, 225(3), 276–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2014.12.026
- Reinert, D. F., & Allen, J. P. (2002). The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): A review of recent research. Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research, 26(2), 272–279. Retrieved from https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11964568. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2002.tb02534.x

SAS Institute Inc (2017). SAS/STATR software. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.

- Saunders, J. B., Aasland, O. G., Babor, T. F., de la Fuente, J. R., & Grant, M. (1993). Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol consumption—II. *Addiction*, *88*(6), 791–804. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8329970. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1993.tb02093.x
- Scott, K. M., De Jonge, P., Stein, D. J., & Kessler, R. C. (2018). Mental disorders around the world: Global perspectives from the WHO world mental health surveys. New York: Cambridge University Press. https://doi. org/10.1017/9781316336168
- Thorley, C. (2017). Not by Degrees Improving Student Mental Health in the UK's Universities. In B. Darren, & L. Hywel (Eds.), *Making the most* of our geological resources: A Northern Energy Taskforce working paper on Carbon Capture and Storage and Shale Gas. London: IPPR, Institute for Public Policy Research.
- Van Buuren, S. (2012). Flexible imputation of missing data. Boca Raton: CRC Press (Taylor & Francis Group). https://doi.org/10.1201/b11826
- Verger, P., Guagliardo, V., Gilbert, F., Rouillon, F., & Kovess-Masfety, V. (2010). Psychiatric disorders in students in six French universities: 12-month prevalence, comorbidity, impairment and help-seeking. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 45(2), 189–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-009-0055-z
- Wittchen, H. U., Nelson, C. B., & Lachner, G. (1998). Prevalence of mental disorders and psychosocial impairments in adolescents and young adults. *Psychological Medicine*, 28(1), 109–126. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9483687. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0033291797005928

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**How to cite this article:** Alonso J, Vilagut G, Mortier P, et al. The role impairment associated with mental disorder risk profiles in the WHO World Mental Health International College Student Initiative. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2019;28: e1750. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1750