

Erratum in: “Molecular Changes and Vision Loss in a Mouse Model of Closed-Globe Blast Trauma” by Courtney Bricker-Anthony, Jessica Hines-Beard, and Tonia S. Rex (*Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55:4853–4862) doi:10.1167/iovs.14-14353

It has come to the authors’ attention that the software on the Cerebral Mechanics OptoMotry system was misinterpreted. It states “CW (right)” and “CCW (left)”; thus the individual performing the assay understood “right” to mean “right eye” and “left” to mean “left eye” when in fact the use of the terms right and left in the software refers to the direction of the motion of the contrasting bars. The eyes that are being tested are actually the opposite. Thus the graph in the paper is of the contralateral eye rather than the ipsilateral eye to the overpressure airwave. Figure 8D has been corrected in the article online. The data are very similar; the results still show statistically significant decreases at 7, 14, and 28 days after injury as compared to sham eyes.

Citation: Bricker-Anthony C, Hines-Beard J, Rex TS. Erratum in: Molecular changes and vision loss in a mouse model of closed-globe blast trauma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2016;57:4367. DOI:10.1167/iovs.14-14353a

